



A New Rabbit-Skin Model to Evaluate Protective Efficacy of Tuberculosis Vaccines

Huiyu Chen^{1,2†}, Xun Liu^{2,3†}, Xingming Ma^{1,2}, Qian Wang^{1,2}, Guang Yang⁴, Hongxia Niu^{2,3}, Shuaixiang Li², Bingzheng He², Shanshan He^{1,2}, Arthur M. Dannenberg Jr.⁵, Bingdong Zhu^{2,3*} and Ying Zhang^{6*}

¹ Department of Immunology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ² Gansu Key Lab of Evidence Based Medicine and Clinical Transfer Medicine & Lanzhou Center for Tuberculosis Research, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ³ School of Basic Medical Sciences, Institute of Pathogen Biology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ⁴ School of Basic Medical Sciences, Institute of Chinese Integrative Medicine, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ⁵ Departments of Environmental Health Sciences, Epidemiology, Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, and Pathology, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD, United States, ⁶ Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Johnan A. R. Kaleeba, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, United States

Reviewed by:

Paras Jain, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, United States Pallab Ghosh, Harvard Medical School, United States

*Correspondence:

Bingdong Zhu bdzhu@lzu.edu.cn Ying Zhang yzhang@jhsph.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 09 October 2016 Accepted: 24 April 2017 Published: 17 May 2017

Citation:

Chen H, Liu X, Ma X, Wang Q, Yang G, Niu H, Li S, He B, He S, Dannenberg Jr. AM, Zhu B and Zhang Y (2017) A New Rabbit-Skin Model to Evaluate Protective Efficacy of Tuberculosis Vaccines. Front. Microbiol. 8:842. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00842 **Background:** BCG protection is suboptimal and there is significant interest to develop new tuberculosis (TB) vaccines. However, there are significant limitations of the current vaccine evaluation systems in the mouse model. Here, we developed a BCG-challenge rabbit skin model as a new way to evaluate the protective efficacy of selected TB subunit vaccine candidates.

Methods: Rabbits were immunized with subunit vaccines, including EAMM (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4), MH (Mtb10.4-HspX), and LT70 (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4-Rv2626c) three times subcutaneously every 3-weeks and challenged with the attenuated *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG intradermally 6-weeks after last immunization. The immune response induced by the vaccine candidates was measured, the histopathology induced by the BCG challenge was studied, and the number of bacilli in the liquefied caseum was determined.

Results: The subunit vaccines generated high antigen-specific IgG antibodies and fastened the liquefaction and healing process, and significantly reduced the viable BCG load. The subunit vaccine LT70 and EAMM-MH reduced BCG bacterial load in comparison to proteins EAMM, MH, Rv2626c, and also BCG itself. The Koch phenomena induced by the LT70 and combination of EAMM-MH were the same as that produced by BCG itself and were more rapid than those induced by the other proteins and the saline controls.

Conclusions: The subunit vaccines LT70 and the combination of EAMM-MH showed promising protective efficacy as expected in the rabbit skin model, which can serve as a visual and convenient new model for evaluating TB vaccines.

Keywords: tuberculosis, subunit vaccine, BCG, rabbit skin model, pathology

1

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major threat to global public health. About one-third of the world's population is infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis) (Lonnroth et al., 2010). Drug-resistant TB and co-infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) make TB more difficult to control (Shah et al., 2007; Ghebreyesus et al., 2010). The current TB vaccine BCG has a high efficacy in preventing infants and children from miliary and meningeal tuberculosis (Colditz et al., 1994; Trunz et al., 2006), but shows variable efficacy against pulmonary tuberculosis (ranging from 0 to 80%) in adults (Fine, 1995). In the last decade, numerous novel TB vaccine candidates (including subunit vaccines) have been developed (Brennan and Thole, 2012; Wang et al., 2013). The subunit vaccines are designed by delivering one or more potentially protective mycobacterial antigens with an adjuvant or a viral vector. In some experiments, subunit vaccines have shown comparable or even better protective efficacy than BCG (Lindenstrom et al., 2009).

Animal models are essential to early stages of vaccine evaluation (Meyer and McShane, 2013). Among them, nonhuman primates have been used, because their genomes, physiology, and immune systems are remarkably similar to those of human beings (Flynn et al., 2003). Cattle are the natural host for Mycobacterium bovis and most likely to be infected with *M. bovis* through inhalation of aerosolized droplets, which produce strikingly similar immune responses (Pollock et al., 2006). However, both non-human primates and cattle are large animals and the containment facilities are costly and not easy to evaluate different vaccine candidates. Guinea pigs and mice are the most commonly used animal models in which to study TB (Young, 2009). However, guinea pigs produce weak cellmediated immunity (CMI), and mice produce weak delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH; Helke et al., 2006). For these reasons, mice and guinea pigs rarely produce liquefied lesions and pulmonary cavities, which play an important role in exacerbation and transmission of human M. tuberculosis infection (Helke et al., 2006). Rabbits are superior to guinea pigs and mice as an animal model because of their ability to form liquefied and cavitary lesions which are similar to those found in human beings (Dannenberg, 2001, 2009, 2010; Helke et al., 2006).

Previously, we described a rabbit skin liquefaction model (Zhang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012). This model provides a more visual and convenient method to study TB pathogenesis by injecting rabbits intradermally with live BCG. Liquefied and ulcerative lesions could be produced, which were comparable to those in the lung even though skin and lungs are different tissues (Minassian et al., 2011). We have previously used the rabbit skin model to evaluate the virulence of different mycobacteria (Zhang et al., 2010) and the effects of immunomodulators on liquefaction and ulceration (Sun et al., 2012).

In the present study, we adapted the rabbit skin liquefaction model to evaluate the efficacy of TB subunit vaccines. The vaccines tested were fusion proteins EAMM (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4), MH (Mtb10.4-HspX), and LT70 (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4-Rv2626c), which consist of antigens expressed at different metabolic stages of *M*.

tuberculosis, such as ESAT6 (Ulrichs et al., 1998), MPT64_{190–198} (Silver et al., 1995), Ag85B (Lozes et al., 1997), Mtb8.4 (Coler et al., 1998), Mtb10.4 (Skjot et al., 2000), which are mainly expressed by replicating bacteria, whereas HspX and Rv2626c are highly expressed in dormant bacteria. In previous studies, the subunit vaccines LT70, EAMM, and combination of EAMM-MH were effective in protection against virulent *M. tuberculosis* infection in the mouse model (Xin et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). In this study, we found that the subunit vaccines LT70 and EAMM-MH also showed promising protective efficacy in the rabbit skin model, which indicates the rabbit skin model is a convenient and more visual model to evaluate TB vaccine candidates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mycobacteria, Fusion Proteins, and Adjuvants

BCG Shanghai strain was obtained from Lanzhou Institute of Biological Products and cultured in Middle brook 7H9 liquid medium (BD, NJ, USA) enriched with OADC (BD, NJ, USA). Log phase bacilli were harvested, washed, and adjusted in PBS to a concentration of 5×10^7 CFU/ml.

The fusion proteins EAMM (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4), MH (Mtb10.4-HspX), and LT70 (ESAT6-Ag85B-MPT64_{<190-198>}-Mtb8.4-Rv2626c) were overexpressed in *E. coli* strain BL21. They were purified by chromatography as previously reported (Xin et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). The fusion proteins were mixed with adjuvants N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-dioctadecylammonium bromide (DDA, Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) and poly(I:C) (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) to construct the subunit vaccines.

Animals

Female New Zealand white rabbits weighing 2.0–3.0 kg were provided by Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute (Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences). Animals were housed under conditions with *ad libitum* access to chow and water in Animal Center of Lanzhou University. These animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Lanzhou University [permit number: SYX(Gan)2013-001].

Vaccinations

Two experiments were performed separately to observe the utility of the rabbit skin model in TB vaccine evaluation. First, EAMM, MH, and EAMM-MH were evaluated. Rabbits were vaccinated three times at 3-week intervals with the subunit vaccines and injected with EAMM 20 μ g, MH 20 μ g, MH 10 μ g plus EAMM 10 μ g, respectively, in 200 μ l solution containing adjuvant of DDA 250 μ g and poly(I:C) 50 μ g. In addition, a group received BCG as 5 × 10⁶ CFU resuspended in 200 μ l saline at the time of the first subunit vaccination and another group received the same volume of saline as the control. There were three rabbits in each group and both flanks were used. All the rabbits were injected subcutaneously.

In order to verify utility of the rabbit skin model for evaluating TB vaccines, we performed the second trial to evaluate EAMM,

EAMM-MH, Rv2626c, and LT70, which were shown to have protective effect in our previous studies (Liu et al., 2016). Rabbits were vaccinated three times at 3-week intervals with the subunit vaccines and injected with Rv2626c 10 μ g, MH 20 μ g, EAMM 20 μ g, MH 10 μ g plus EAMM 10 μ g, and LT70 10 μ g, respectively, in 200 μ l of a solution containing 250 μ g of adjuvant DDA and 50 μ g of poly(I:C). In addition, a group received 5 \times 10⁶ CFU of BCG resuspended in 200 μ l of saline at the time of the first subunit vaccination and another group received the same volume of saline as the control. There were three rabbits in each group.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for Antibody Detection

Following immunization of MH and EAMM, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was performed 6-weeks after the final immunization to determine the serum levels of anti-ESAT6, anti-Ag85B, and anti-HspX. First, the 96-well microtiter plates were coated overnight at 4°C, respectively, with ESAT6, Ag85B, HspX (5 µg/ml antigen in coating buffer 100 µl/well). Then the plates were washed five times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST). The serum samples were diluted in halving concentrations with PBS from 1:100 to 1:204,800 and applied to the plates. Peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., Rockland, ME, USA) was diluted to 1:5,000 and used at 200 µl/well. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and washed by PBST. Then the colored substrate tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) was added to the plates. After 15 min, stop buffer was added. Serum from rabbits vaccinated with saline was used as the negative control. The cutoff value was double of negative control or 0.100 at OD 450 nm. Sera at OD 450 nm above the cutoff point were considered positive.

BCG Challenge and Measurement of the Rabbit Skin Lesions

Rabbits were injected intradermally at two sites on each flank with $100\,\mu$ l BCG containing 5 \times 10^6 CFU at 6-weeks after last vaccination (**Figure 4A**). The two sites were 2–3 cm apart. The size of the skin lesions (the length multiplied by the width and the thickness; Chandrasekhar et al., 1971; Dannenberg et al., 1972) was measured daily with calipers. The observer was blind to the group identities. In addition, the lesions and normal skin tissues nearby were collected, and the tissue sections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The histopathology was examined.

Bacterial Loads

At the peak stage of liquefaction, the liquefied caseum was collected, weighed, diluted with 1 ml saline, homogenized and cultured on the Middlebrook 7H10 agar enriched with 10% OADC and ampicillin (0.01 mg/ml) (to prevent the growth of contaminating bacteria). After 21 days, the colony-forming units (CFUs) were enumerated. Ziehl-Neelsen acid-fast staining was used to confirm that the bacterial colonies were tubercle bacilli.

Statistical Analysis

The SPSS19.0 software was used to calculate and analyze the means and their standard errors (SE). The sizes of the skin lesions were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) (including multivariate and repeated-measurement comparisons). ANOVA and the independent sample *t*-test were used to analyze the antibody titers and bacterial loads. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

BCG Could Induce Rabbit Skin Lesions

Intradermal BCG is known to induce healing tuberculous lesions in the rabbit (Zhang et al., 2010). Here, we studied their histopathology and measured the bacterial growth within them. The bacteria in the liquefied caseum were cultured on Middlebrook 7H10 plates and found to be acid-fast. The skin lesions contained epithelioid cells, macrophages, and lymphocytes. Langhans giant cells were present along with unabsorbed liquefied material and necrosis (**Figure 1**). These findings showed that BCG could cause significant lesions typical of tuberculosis and were not induced by contaminating bacteria, and that BCG could serve as a challenge organism for vaccine evaluation

Antigen-Specific Antibodies Induced by Vaccination

ELISA was performed 6-weeks after the final immunization to determine the serum levels of antibodies against ESAT6, Ag85B, and HspX. There were no antigen-specific antibodies present in the saline control group. The ESAT6-specific and Ag85B-specific IgG antibody titers in the EAMM group, and HspX-specific IgG antibody titers in the MH group were higher than those in the BCG group. Animals receiving the EAMM-MH subunit vaccine also generated higher levels of ESAT6-specific, Ag85B-specific, and HspX-specific IgG antibodies than the BCG group (Figure 2).

Effects of Different Vaccine Candidates on the Development of Rabbit Skin Lesions Following BCG Challenge

In our previous study (Sun et al., 2012), we described six stages in the development of tuberculous skin lesions induced by BCG: (a) Granuloma, a solid lesion with no evidence of liquefaction; (b) Onset of liquefaction, a softened lesion with some exudate; (c) Ulcerated ruptured lesion discharging some liquefied caseum; (d) Peak liquefied lesion with lots of liquefied caseum being discharged; (e) Early healing lesion which regressed visibly (the shrinkage had reached 60%) and scabbed; (f) Late healing lesions (the lesions had shrunk more than 75%) and were epithelialized.

We assessed the effects of different vaccine candidates on BCG-induced lesions by monitoring the time of the onset of liquefaction, ulceration, liquefaction peak and onset of healing following the BCG challenge (**Table 1**). The maximum size of the lesion was at the time of the liquefaction peak (**Table 1** and **Figure 3**). In MH and EAMM protein vaccination groups, the





lesions reached their maximum sizes at day 15; Group BCG and group EAMM-MH reached the liquefaction peak earlier (at day 13) than the single vaccine groups; Group LT70 reached the liquefaction peak at the earliest time (at day 12) among all groups, while the saline control group (at day 19) was the last to reach the liquefaction peak (Figure 3A). The lesions in the BCG group were the largest, while the lesions in the LT70 and combined EAMM-MH vaccine groups were smaller than the other groups. The volumes of lesions in the saline control and other protein groups were between those of the BCG and LT70 protein groups. The lesions in the LT70 group healed the fastest among all groups, and BCG group and EAMM-MH group healed faster than MH, EAMM, Rv2626c, and saline control groups (Figure 3B). These observations indicate that the BCG and the subunit vaccines accelerated both the liquefaction and the healing processes and that the LT70 was the most effective among them.

Effects of Vaccine Candidates on the Bacterial Load in the Liquefied Caseum

The liquefied caseum at the peak of liquefaction was collected, and the CFU count was determined. In the first vaccination trials, we evaluated the vaccines BCG and EAMM, MH, EAMM-MH. The results showed CFU in the BCG group was only lower than that in the saline control group. The bacterial loads in EAMM combined with MH group were the lowest (Figure 4B). Then, we evaluated the vaccines BCG, Rv2626c, EAMM, EAMM-MH, and LT70 in the second vaccination trial. In this experiment, BCG, EAMM-MH, and LT70 groups showed better protective effect than Rv2626c and EAMM did, while LT70 group showed the best effect to control bacterial growth or replication among all groups, and its bacterial load is lower than EAMM and BCG group (p < 0.05; Figure 4C). Therefore, LT70 and EAMM-MH showed more effective protection against mycobacterial infection than BCG and the single antigens in the rabbit skin model. Same tendency was found in the mice model challenged with virulent M. tuberculosis H37Rv by respiratory way (Xin et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016).

DISCUSSION

In our present study, we used the rabbit-skin model to evaluate the protective efficacy of subunit vaccines. The rabbits were immunized with BCG and the subunit vaccines EAMM, MH, EAMM-MH, Rv2626c, and LT70 and challenged with BCG intradermally. Following BCG—challenging, the vaccines accelerated liquefaction and healing and reduced the bacterial load. BCG, EAMM-MH, and LT70 vaccines showed better protective effect compared to the others, while LT70 was the most effective.

The rabbit is an excellent model for human TB, because rabbits and humans readily form liquefied lesions and cavities (Dannenberg, 2001, 2009). Liquefaction is a key process in tuberculosis. It leads to cavity formation that spreads the disease to others. Although mice produce strong CMI, their lesions rarely

	Time of onset of liquefaction (days post-infection)	Time of ulceration (days post-infection)	Time of peak of liquefaction (days post-infection)	Lesion volumes when liquefaction peaked (mm ³) (mean ± <i>SD</i>)	Onset of healing (days post-infection)
Control	14	17	19	544.78 ± 64.89	31
BCG	8	11	13	679.66 ± 62.31	25
Rv2626c	13	16	18	521.78 ± 61.90	29
MH	10	13	15	544.05 ± 63.60	30
EAMM	10	13	15	504.35 ± 65.46	27
EAMM-MH	8	11	13	380.15 ± 53.84**	25
LT70	7	10	12	301.16 ± 40.50**	22

TABLE 1 | Effects of different vaccine candidates on BCG-induced rabbit skin lesions.

**P < 0.05 vs. Saline and BCG.



liquefy. Guinea pigs are susceptible to *M. tuberculosis* and only sometimes develop liquefied lesions and form cavities (Helke et al., 2006). Both mouse and guinea pig models could not

completely reflect the real protective efficacy of human beings, because of different susceptibility, and lesion characteristics. In rabbits, during infection with tubercle bacilli CMI and



tissue-damaging DTH develops as in human beings, causing granulomas, liquefaction, and cavities successively (Dannenberg, 2009; Zhang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012). Consistent with the strength of immune responses, LT70, EAMM-MH, and BCG vaccination induced the most rapid liquefaction and healing of the lesions (**Figure 3**). LT70 provided the best protection, followed by EAMM-MH, and BCG in the rabbit skin model (**Figure 4C**), which is the same as in the more tedious mouse model challenged with virulent *M. tuberculosis* (Liu et al., 2016). The greater immune responses mediated by LT70 led to the most rapid ulceration, the most rapid discharge of the bacilli, and the most rapid healing in the rabbit skin model.

Our experiments evaluating vaccine efficacy in rabbit skin showed that the tuberculosis subunit vaccines accelerated the liquefaction and healing processes in TB lesions, and reduced the bacterial load in the liquefied caseum as in the Koch phenomenon. The pathological process is faster when re-infected with BCG because of the immunity developed by first infection. We found that effective vaccines, especially LT70, accelerated the liquefaction and healing processes, and provided some protection against the BCG challenge. In the human skin challenge model for mycobacterium tuberculosis using BCG, the skin biopsy specimens reflected a degree of mycobacterial immunity in previously BCG-vaccinated individuals, which are consistent with our results (Minassian et al., 2012).

The subunit vaccine LT70 and EAMM-MH groups provided the most effective protection, because they had the lowest bacterial load and least lesions or pathology. This effect is consistent with our findings in the mouse model (Liu et al., 2016). We believe that multistage antigens consisting of antigens from both growing and non-growing dormant phase could improve the protective efficacy of subunit vaccines, because these antigens are expressed at different stages of bacterial growth (Aagaard et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2016). The antigens ESAT6 and HspX have been reported to be immunogenic proteins that enhance capacity of BCG to activate human dendritic cells (DCs), promote production of cytokines such as IL-12, and activate CD4⁺ lymphocytes and NK cells. Rv2626c, a latency antigen, that can bind to the surface of murine macrophages and significantly induce the production of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α upon stimulation of murine macrophages (Bashir et al., 2010).

Due to biosafety facility limitations we challenged rabbit skin with BCG as a surrogate of virulent M. tuberculosis. BCG has previously been shown to develop lesions and liquefaction similar to that produced by a virulent TB strain (Zhang et al., 2010). Thus, in this study, rabbits were immunized subcutaneously with vaccine candidates (including subunit vaccines and BCG) and were challenged with BCG intradermally. We found that the subunit vaccine LT70 and EAMM-MH reduced BCG bacterial load in comparison to proteins EAMM, MH, Rv2626c, and also BCG itself. Nevertheless, we were able to obtain the same finding with regard to LT70 and EAMM-MH vaccine candidates in both the mouse model and in the rabbit skin model here in this study. Thus, the skin model is visual and conveniently observed although it differs from pulmonary TB infection. Moreover, its effect has been confirmed in human skin test (Minassian et al., 2012). The main caveat about using BCG to evaluate new TB vaccines is that BCG is deficient in some virulence factors. However, BCG still keeps some of the virulence of tubercle bacilli and has been used successfully in models to screen potential tuberculosis vaccines (Dannenberg, 1998; Dheda et al., 2010; Minassian et al., 2012). Future studies are needed to determine if BCG can replace M. tuberculosis as a challenge for vaccine evaluation in the skin model. This would greatly alleviate the biosafety concerns of working with virulent M. tuberculosis besides providing a simple and more visual approach to vaccine evaluation. In this study, we used saline as a control for vaccine evaluation as a vaccien free control, which was also used previously by us and also others in published studies on vaccine evaluation in mice. However, adjuvant may be a more appropriate control and will be used in future studies. Another limitation is that we were only able to evaluate limited antibody response but not cell-mediated immune (CMI) response in the rabbit model as reagents are not available. However, based on our previous studies with the mouse model which we have detected the CMI responses of the fusion protein-based vaccines (Zhang et al., 2010) we would expect to have similar antibody and CMI responses in the rabbit model.

In summary, the rabbit-skin model using BCG challenge is a convenient and novel method of evaluating new TB vaccines. It would be advisable to test all new vaccines with the rabbitskin model and the rabbit tubercle-count method (Dannenberg, 1998) with virulent human-type tubercle bacilli for the challenge in future studies. Our experiments suggest that it is necessary to use more than one animal model and different routes of infection to evaluate protective efficacy of vaccines (Dheda et al., 2010). Further, studies are needed to assess the utility of the

REFERENCES

- Aagaard, C., Hoang, T., Dietrich, J., Cardona, P. J., Izzo, A., Dolganov, G., et al. (2011). A multistage tuberculosis vaccine that confers efficient protection before and after exposure. *Nat. Med.* 17, 189–194. doi: 10.1038/nm.2285
- Bashir, N., Kounsar, F., Mukhopadhyay, S., and Hasnain, S. E. (2010). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* conserved hypothetical protein rRv2626c modulates macrophage effector functions. *Immunology* 130, 34–45. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2009.03196.x
- Brennan, M. J., and Thole, J. (2012). Tuberculosis vaccines: a strategic blueprint for the next decade. *Tuberculosis* 92, S6–S13. doi: 10.1016/S1472-9792(12) 70005-7
- Chandrasekhar, S., Shima, K., Dannenberg, A. M., Kambara, T., Fabrikant, J. I., and Roessler, W. G. (1971). Radiation, infection, and macrophage function, I. V. effect of radiation on the proliferative abilities of mononuclear phagocytes in tuberculous lesions of rabbits. *Infect. Immun.* 3, 254–259.
- Colditz, G. A., Brewer, T. F., Berkey, C. S., Wilson, M. E., Burdick, E., Fineberg, H. V., et al. (1994). Efficacy of BCG vaccine in the prevention of tuberculosis. Meta-analysis of the published literature. *JAMA* 271, 698–702. doi: 10.1001/jama.1994.03510330076038
- Coler, R. N., Skeiky, Y. A., Vedvick, T., Bement, T., Ovendale, P., Campos-Neto, A., et al. (1998). Molecular cloning and immunologic reactivity of a novel low molecular mass antigen of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J. Immunol. 161, 2356–2364.
- Dannenberg, A. M. Jr. (1998). Lurie's tubercle-count method to test TB vaccine efficacy in rabbits. *Front. Biosci.* 3, c27–c33. doi: 10.2741/A261
- Dannenberg, A. M. (2001). Pathogenesis of pulmonary Mycobacterium bovis infection: basic principles established by the rabbit model. Tuberculosis 81, 87–96. doi: 10.1054/tube.2000.0260
- Dannenberg, A. M. Jr. (2009). Liquefaction and cavity formation in pulmonary TB: a simple method in rabbit skin to test inhibitors. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 89, 243–247. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2009.05.006
- Dannenberg, A. M. Jr. (2010). Perspectives on clinical and preclinical testing of new tuberculosis vaccines. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 23, 781–794. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00005-10
- Dannenberg, A. M. Jr., Ando, M., and Shima, K. (1972). Macrophage accumulation, division, maturation, and digestive and microbicidal capacities in tuberculous lesions. 3. The turnover of macrophages and its relation to their activation and antimicrobial immunity in primary BCG lesions and those of reinfection. J. Immunol. 109, 1109–1121.
- Dheda, K., Schwander, S. K., Zhu, B. R., van Zyl-Smit, N., and Zhang, Y. (2010). The immunology of tuberculosis: from bench to bedside. *Respirology* 15, 433–450. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2010.01739.x
- Fine, P. E. (1995). Variation in protection by BCG: implications of and for heterologous immunity. *Lancet* 346, 1339–1345. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(95)92348-9

rabbit skin model as a rapid and visual model for TB vaccine development.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YZ, BZ, and AD designed the experiments; XL, HC, QW, GY, SL, and BH carried out the animal experiments; HN and SH purified the antigens which used as vaccines; XM and XL analyzed sequencing data; HC, XL, and BZ wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Major Science and Technology Projects of China (2012ZX10003-008-006). YZ was supported by in part by NIH grants AI099512 and AI108535.

- Flynn, J. L., Capuano, S. V., Croix, D., Pawar, S., Myers, A., Zinovik, A., et al. (2003). Non-human primates: a model for tuberculosis research. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 83, 116–118. doi: 10.1016/S1472-9792(02)00059-8
- Ghebreyesus, T. A., Kazatchkine, M., Sidibe, M., and Nakatani, H. (2010). Tuberculosis and HIV: time for an intensified response. *Lancet* 375, 1757–1758. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60595-8
- Helke, K. L., Mankowski, J. L., and Manabe, Y. C. (2006). Animal models of cavitation in pulmonary tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 86, 337–348. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2005.09.001
- Lindenstrom, T., Agger, E. M., Korsholm, K. S., Darrah, P. A., Aagaard, C., Seder, R. A., et al. (2009). Tuberculosis subunit vaccination provides long-term protective immunity characterized by multifunctional CD4 memory T cells. *J. Immunol.* 182, 8047–8055. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0801592
- Liu, X., Peng, J., Hu, L., Luo, Y., Niu, H., Bai, C., et al. (2016). A multistage mycobacterium tuberculosis subunit vaccine LT70 including latency antigen Rv2626c induces long-term protection against tuberculosis. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* 12, 1670–1677. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2016.1141159
- Lonnroth, K., Castro, K. G., Chakaya, J. M., Chauhan, L. S., Floyd, K., Glaziou, P., et al. (2010). Tuberculosis control and elimination 2010-50: cure, care, and social development. *Lancet* 375, 1814–1829. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60483-7
- Lozes, E., Huygen, K., Content, J., Denis, O., Montgomery, D. L., Yawman, A. M., et al. (1997). Immunogenicity and efficacy of a tuberculosis DNA vaccine encoding the components of the secreted antigen 85 complex. *Vaccine* 15, 830–833. doi: 10.1016/S0264-410X(96)00274-5
- Meyer, J., and McShane, H. (2013). The next 10 years for tuberculosis vaccines: do we have the right plans in place? *Expert Rev. Vaccines* 12, 443–451. doi: 10.1586/erv.13.19
- Minassian, A. M., Ronan, E. O., Poyntz, H., Hill, A. V., and McShane, H. (2011). Preclinical development of an *in vivo* BCG challenge model for testing candidate TB vaccine efficacy. *PLoS ONE* 6:e19840. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019840
- Minassian, A. M., Satti, I., Poulton, I. D., Meyer, J. A., Hill, V. S., and McShane, H. (2012). A human challenge model for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* using *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacille Calmette-Guerin. J. Infect. Dis. 205, 1035–1042. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jis012
- Pollock, J. M., Rodgers, J. D., Welsh, M. D., and McNair, J. (2006). Pathogenesis of bovine tuberculosis: the role of experimental models of infection. *Vet. Microbiol.* 112, 141–150. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.11.032
- Shah, N. S., Wright, A., Bai, G. H., Barrera, L., Boulahbal, F., Martin-Casabona, N., et al. (2007). Worldwide emergence of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Emerging Infect. Dis.* 13, 380–387. doi: 10.3201/eid1303.061400
- Silver, R. F., Wallis, R. S., and Ellner, J. J. (1995). Mapping of T cell epitopes of the 30-kDa alpha antigen of *Mycobacterium bovis* strain bacillus Calmette-Guerin in purified protein derivative (PPD)-positive individuals. J. Immunol. 154, 4665–4674.

- Skjot, R. L., Oettinger, T., Rosenkrands, I., Ravn, P., Brock, I., Jacobsen, S., et al. (2000). Comparative evaluation of low-molecular-mass proteins from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* identifies members of the ESAT-6 family as immunodominant T-cell antigens. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 214–220. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.1.214-220.2000
- Sun, H., Ma, X., Zhang, G., Luo, Y., Tang, K., Lin, X., et al. (2012). Effects of immunomodulators on liquefaction and ulceration in the rabbit skin model of tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 92, 345–350. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2012. 03.005
- Trunz, B. B., Fine, P., and Dye, C. (2006). Effect of BCG vaccination on childhood tuberculous meningitis and miliary tuberculosis worldwide: a meta-analysis and assessment of cost-effectiveness. *Lancet* 367, 1173–1180. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68507-3
- Ulrichs, T., Munk, M. E., Mollenkopf, H., Behr-Perst, S., Colangeli, R., Gennaro, M. L., et al. (1998). Differential T cell responses to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* ESAT6 in tuberculosis patients and healthy donors. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 28, 3949–3958. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-4141(199812)28:1260;3949::AID-IMMU394962;3.0.CO;2-4
- Wang, C. C., Zhu, B., Fan, X., Gicquel, B., and Zhang, Y. (2013). Systems approach to tuberculosis vaccine development. *Respirology* 18, 412–420. doi: 10.1111/resp.12052

- Xin, Q., Niu, H., Li, Z., Zhang, G., Hu, L., Wang, B., et al. (2013). Subunit vaccine consisting of multi-stage antigens has high protective efficacy against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in mice. *PLoS ONE* 8:e72745. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072745
- Young, D. L. (2009). Animal models of tuberculosis. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 39, 2011–2014. doi: 10.1002/eji.200939542
- Zhang, G., Zhu, B., Shi, W., Wang, M., Da, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Evaluation of mycobacterial virulence using rabbit skin liquefaction model. *Virulence* 1, 156–163. doi: 10.4161/viru.1.3.11748

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Chen, Liu, Ma, Wang, Yang, Niu, Li, He, He, Dannenberg Jr., Zhu and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.