



Earthworms Mitigate Pesticide Effects on Soil Microbial Activities

Sylvain Bart*, Céline Pelosi, Alexandre Barraud, Alexandre R. R. Péry, Nathalie Cheviron, Virginie Grondin, Christian Mougin and Olivier Crouzet

UMR ECOSYS, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Versailles, France

Earthworms act synergistically with microorganisms in soils. They are ecosystem engineers involved in soil organic matter degradation and nutrient cycling, leading to the modulation of resource availability for all soil organisms. Using a soil microcosm approach, we aimed to assess the influence of the earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa on the response of soil microbial activities against two fungicides, i.e., Cuprafor Micro® (copper oxychloride, a metal) and Swing® Gold (epoxiconazole and dimoxystrobin, synthetic organic compounds). The potential nitrification activity (PNA) and soil enzyme activities (glucosidase, phosphatase, arylamidase, and urease) involved in biogeochemical cycling were measured at the end of the incubation period, together with earthworm biomass. Two common indices of the soil biochemistry were used to aggregate the response of the soil microbial functioning: the geometric mean (Gmean) and the Soil Quality Index (SQI). At the end of the experiment, the earthworm biomass was not impacted by the fungicide treatments. Overall, in the earthwormfree soil microcosms, the two fungicides significantly increased several soil enzyme and nitrification activities, leading to a higher GMean index as compared to the nontreated control soils. The microbial activity responses depended on the type of activity (nitrification was the most sensitive one), on the fungicide (Swing® Gold or Cuprafor Micro[®]), and on the doses. The SQI indices revealed higher effects of both fungicides on the soil microbial activity in the absence of earthworms. The presence of earthworms enhanced all soil microbial activities in both the control and fungicide-contaminated soils. Moreover, the magnitude of the fungicide impact, integrated through the SQI index, was mitigated by the presence of earthworms, conferring a higher stability of microbial functional diversity. Our results highlight the importance of biotic interactions in the response of indicators of soil functioning (i.e., microbial activity) to pesticides.

Keywords: Lumbricidae, fungicide, enzyme activity, nitrification, ecotoxicology

INTRODUCTION

Earthworms and microorganisms represent the largest part of the living biomass in soils. They ensure a wide range of essential soil functions (Brown et al., 2000) and thus contribute to ecosystem services (Blouin et al., 2013; Bertrand et al., 2015). As ecosystem engineers (Jones et al., 1994), earthworms play key roles in the dynamic of the soil organic matter (SOM) and of the resource availability for other soil organisms through tight interactions with microorganisms, which act

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stéphane Pesce, National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture (IRSTEA), France

Reviewed by:

Juan C. Sanchez-Hernandez, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain Manuel Aira, University of Vigo, Spain

> *Correspondence: Sylvain Bart sylvain1.bart@outlook.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, Ecotoxicology and Bioremediation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 17 December 2018 Accepted: 20 June 2019 Published: 03 July 2019

Citation:

Bart S, Pelosi C, Barraud A, Péry ARR, Cheviron N, Grondin V, Mougin C and Crouzet O (2019) Earthworms Mitigate Pesticide Effects on Soil Microbial Activities. Front. Microbiol. 10:1535. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01535

1

as chemical engineers (Scheu, 1987; Edwards and Fletcher, 1988). The mechanical and biological activities of earthworms catalyze SOM decomposition, carbon and nitrogen mineralization, and nutrient turnover, by modulating microbial biomass and activity (Daniel and Anderson, 1992; Zhang and Hendrix, 1995; Tiunov and Scheu, 1999). Different earthworm species are known to increase soil microbial respiration (Scheu, 1987) or soil enzyme activities related to C, N, and P cycling (Tao et al., 2009; Dempsey et al., 2013), especially in the drilosphere (casts and burrow walls, Loquet et al., 1977; Aira et al., 2003). These works highlight significant effects of earthworms on the abundance of various microbial groups (i.e., ammonifiers, denitrifiers, and proteolytic bacteria). The mucus produced by earthworms is also a nutrient resource for microbial activity (Martin et al., 1987). However, by ingesting microbial biomass, they can also decrease the total microbial biomass while increasing the specific activities of its residual component (i.e., extra-cellular enzyme activities, Zhang et al., 2000; Aira et al., 2009).

The use of pesticides in agroecosystems may impair biodiversity and biological activity in cultivated soils (Bengtsson et al., 2005; Hole et al., 2005). The normalization of experimental conditions to assess pesticide impacts on soil organisms greatly contributed to such a historical separation between biological models, while ecological approaches spoke up for taking into account biotic interactions in the study of ecosystem function under chemical stress (Burrows and Edwards, 2004; Clements and Rohr, 2009). In turn, few investigations on the effects of pesticides on soil biological functioning have considered the fundamental interaction between the soil fauna (earthworms) and microorganisms, as earthworms can increase microbial activity, even in insecticide-contaminated soils (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2018).

Earthworms are often used as soil biological indicators (Spurgeon et al., 2003), and the impacts of pesticides on earthworms have been extensively documented (Pelosi et al., 2014). These physical ecosystem engineers continuously modify soil microhabitats, and thereby influence on microbial life and the related biogeochemical activities. Pesticide application can also impact soil microbial communities and their activity, but with much more contrasted results (by decreasing or increasing them) depending on the active compound and the microbial groups (Chen et al., 2001; Wainwright, 2006; Niemi et al., 2009), with possible outcomes for microbe-mediated processes (Muñoz-Leoz et al., 2013). In this respect, enzyme activities are useful indicators of soil health because enzymes contribute to nutrient cycling (Burns and Dick, 2002) and their activity can be used as a proxy of changes in soil functioning due to the alteration of microbial communities in response to heavy metal exposure (Kandeler et al., 1996; Speir and Ross, 2002). The multiple direct and indirect effects jointly affect enzyme activity, which results in an increase, decrease or leveling off of its catalytic activity (Gianfreda and Rao, 2008; Riah et al., 2014). Copper (Cu) can be used as an inorganic pesticide in organic farming. Like other metals, depending on its concentrations, copper can be an oligoelement acting as a co-factor for some enzymes, or a toxicant for the cellular activities (Giller et al., 2009). Chemical stressors can affect narrow niche functions (N2 fixation or nitrification) more than broad-scale niche processes (enzyme activities), which may display higher diversity and functional redundancy (Pell et al., 1998; Crouzet et al., 2016; Karas et al., 2018).

There is a general knowledge gap about the relationship between taxonomic diversity and ecosystem functions, so that functional rather than taxonomic diversity could be more suitable to investigate microbial roles in ecosystems (Zak et al., 1994). Microbial functional diversity is defined as the numbers, types, activities, and rates at which a range of substrates is metabolized by the microbial communities to contribute to ecosystem processes (e.g., organic matter mineralization, Zak et al., 1994). To assess the impact of soil contamination on soil functions, several indices were developed as indicators of soil quality by aggregating different soil microbial activities, especially enzymatic activities involved in biogeochemical cycles (Bending et al., 2002; Rodríguez-Loinaz et al., 2008). The geometric mean (Gmean) index can be a suitable proxy of soil functional diversity (Lessard et al., 2014), since it was calculated with a sufficient range of activities depending on numerous metabolic reactions and interactions among members of the soil biota (Nannipieri et al., 2002). The SQI is another index that characterizes changes in the measured microbial activity (decrease of increase) following a treatment (Bloem et al., 2006).

The aim of our work was to quantify the potential benefit of the presence of earthworms for the tolerance of the soil microbial community to fungicides. It was based on the assumption that earthworms can modulate microbial activity and exposure to contaminants due to their ecosystem engineer role. The hypotheses were that (i) the two fungicides would differently impact microbial activity due to their different fates in the soil, and (ii) earthworms would confer a higher tolerance to the microbial communities exposed to fungicides. We carried out a dose-effect study in soil microcosms to assess the influence of the presence of earthworms on the impact of two commercial formulations of fungicides (Cuprafor Micro® with copper oxychloride as the active ingredient, and Swing® Gold with epoxiconazole and dimoxystrobin as active ingredients) on soil microbial activities. These fungicides were selected because they can affect both earthworms (Pelosi et al., 2014) and soil microorganisms at doses close to recommended application rates, whereas herbicides or insecticides usually disturb microbial processes at much higher doses (Wainwright, 2006; Muñoz-Leoz et al., 2013). In addition, the inorganic copper-based fungicide does not dissipate, while the synthetic organic fungicide does. To assess the fungicide effects, some earthworm endpoints were measured (survival and biomass) and the microbial responses targeted several microbial activities involved in biogeochemical cycling and the whole functional microbial diversity (with enzyme indexes).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil and Earthworms

The soil used for all experiments was sampled from the top 0-20 cm in a permanent grassland in Versailles ($48^{\circ}48'$ N, $2^{\circ}5'$ E) where no chemical had been applied for more than

20 years. It was a Luvisol (FAO soil classification) and its main physical characteristics were as follows: pH 7.5, organic matter 32.6 g kg⁻¹, C/N 12.7, 29% sand, 48% silt, 23% clay, and 25.2 mg Cu kg⁻¹ (see Bart et al., 2017 for more details). The soil was air-dried and sieved to 2 mm.

Mature Aporrectodea caliginosa s.s individuals were collected by hand-sorting from an agricultural field in Estrées-Mons (49°52′ N 3°01′E). Their weight ranged from 600 to 1 000 mg. They were stored in the soil used for the experiments at $15 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 24 h darkness for at least 10 days before the experiments.

Pesticides

Swing[®] Gold (BASF Agro SAS, dimoxystrobin 133 g L⁻¹, epoxiconazole 50 g L⁻¹) is an organic synthetic fungicide widely used in conventional farming to protect cereal crops. The Recommended Dose (RD) was calculated as $1.16 \ 10^{-3} \ \text{mL kg}^{-1}$ (corresponding to 150 µg kg⁻¹ of dimoxystrobin and to 60 µg kg⁻¹ of epoxiconazole) of dry soil for a soil density of 1.29 and considering that the active compounds of this fungicide are mostly found in the top 10 cm of soil (McDonald et al., 2013; Chabauty et al., 2016). Based on the LC₅₀ estimated to be 6.3 times the RD for *A. caliginosa* (Bart et al., 2017), we tested 0.33, 1, and 3 times the RD of this commercial formulation.

Cuprafor Micro[®] (Quimicas del Valles, 50% copper oxychloride) is a metal-based fungicide commonly used in organic farming to prevent spore germination; it is authorized in organic management. The RD was calculated as 15.5 mg kg⁻¹ (corresponding to 7.75 mg Cu kg⁻¹ of dry soil) for a soil density of 1.29 and considering that the active compounds of this fungicide are mostly found in the top 5 cm of soil (Couto et al., 2015). Based on literature reviews (Ma, 1984; Spurgeon et al., 2004; Bart et al., 2017; Pesticide Properties DataBase [PPDB], 2018) and taking into account that Cu can accumulate in soils, we tested 3.33, 10, and 30 times the RD, which corresponds to the addition of 25.8, 77.5, and 232.3 mg kg⁻¹ of Cu.

Experimental Design

Soil microcosms were built up with five replicates for each condition. Each microcosm corresponded to a 1-L plastic vessel with a removable perforated cover for gas exchange. Each vessel contained 500 g of dry soil and 24 g of dry horse dung as a food resource for earthworms, corresponding to a feeding of 6 g ind⁻¹ month⁻¹ as suggested in Lowe and Butt (2005) and in Bart et al. (2018) for A. caliginosa. The soil moisture was adjusted to 70% of the maximum water holding capacity (mWHC) using the fungicide solutions or tap water as controls. The food moisture was also adjusted to 70% of mWHC and mixed with the soil. Four A. caliginosa individuals were introduced in each vessel, and incubation was run for 28 days in a climate-controlled room at $15 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Earthworms were weighed on day 0 and at the end of the experiment (on day 28). The soil moisture content was controlled once a week. A similar set of microcosms was set up without adding earthworms.

Microbial Activity

All soil enzyme activities mainly come from microorganisms (prokaryotes and fungi) and are involved in the nutrient cycles

of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) (Burns et al., 2013), through organic matter mineralization. Nitrification is a key step of nitrogen cycling ensured by specific bacterial and archaeal guilds (Prosser, 2005), which are known to be highly sensitive to pesticides (Crouzet et al., 2016). The potential nitrification activity (PNA) and soil enzymes β -D-glucosidase (GLU), phosphatase (PHOS), urease (URE), and arylamidase (ARM) were assessed in each microcosm on day 28 after the fungicide treatments. Three analytical replicates were measured for each microcosm and each activity.

PNA was determined in accordance with Petersen et al. (2012), with some modifications specified in Corbel et al. (2015). Briefly, 4 g of fresh soil were sampled and mixed with 25 mL of MilliQ water and (NH₄)₂SO₄ at a final concentration of 1 mM. Samples were incubated at 25°C under continuous shaking (150 rpm). After 2.5 and 45 h, 1 mL was sampled and centrifuged at 13,000 g for 5 min, and supernatants were stored at -20° C until analyses of the nitrate (N0₃⁻) and nitrite (NO_2^{-}) ions by colorimetry according to the Griess reaction. The supernatants were dropped in microplates and the Griess solution was added (HCl, 0.5M, vanadium chloride III (Sigma-Aldrich 208272) at 1 g L⁻¹, sulfanilamide (Sigma-Aldrich S9251) at 2.5 g L^{-1} and N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediaminedihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich 222488) at 0.25 g L^{-1}), and then incubated at 60°C for 1.5 h. The optical densities were determined at 540 nm with a microplate reader (SAFAS Xenius, Monaco). Results were then expressed as PNA, which is the rate of $N-NO_3^- + N-NO_2^$ production during activity measurements (for 45 h), in µg N released $g^{-1} h^{-1}$.

The soil enzymes β -D-GLU, PHOS, ARN, and URE were measured according to the ISO 20130 (2018) standard, with a slight modification for URE. All measurements were performed at the soil pH, in an unbuffered soil water solution, in accordance with Lessard et al. (2013). Three aliquots of 4 g of fresh soil each were sampled in each microcosm, and each one was mixed with 25 mL of MilliQ water (10 min, ambient temperature, continuous shaking at 250 rpm). Aliquots of soil solution (125 µL) were incubated in 96-well microtiter plates with the following substrates: 4-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (final concentration in the wells: 8.3 mM, incubation time: 1 h at 37°C) for GLU, 4-nitrophenylphosphate (8.3 mM, 30 min at 37°C) for PHOS, urea (80 mM, 3 h at 25°C) for URE, and L-leucine β -naphthylamide-hydrochloride (1.3 mM, 1 h at 37°C) for ARYL-N. Each substrate was added at a concentration corresponding to its saturating concentration. After the incubation period, reactions were stopped by adding a CaCl₂ solution (0.5 M) and Tris-HCl (0.1 M, pH 12) for GLU, PHOS, and URE. For ARM, reactions were stopped with ethanol 96% (v/v). The microplate was then centrifuged at 3,000 g for 5 min, and an aliquot of 0.2 mL from each well was used to evaluate enzyme activity. The para-nitrophenol (pNP) released by GLU and PHOS activities was measured at 405 nm, and the β-naphthylamine released by ARYL-N activity was determined at 540 nm, using a microplate reader (SAFAS, Monaco). Enzyme activities were calculated based on external calibration curves using standards (Sigma): p-nitrophenol (final concentrations in the wells ranged from 0 to 0.4 mM), β -naphthylamine (from 0 to

0.2 mM). The ammonium ion $\rm NH_4^+$ released by URE activity was determined at 610 nm with an HACH reagent (Loveland, CO, United States), and enzyme activity was calculated based on a calibration curve using an NH₄Cl standard (Sigma), with final concentrations in the wells ranging from 0 to 0.3 mM NH₄⁺. Calibration curves were performed in similar reaction mixtures as each enzyme but without soil solution, since no difference in adsorption of pNP and β -naphthylamine standards was expected in such similar soil samples (the same soil and amount of OM in all microcosms). Results were then expressed in mU g⁻¹ dry soil, representing nanomoles of product released per minute and per g of equivalent dry soil.

Soil Functional Diversity

The impact of pesticides on soil microbial functioning was assessed using the GMean index (Hinojosa et al., 2004), which aggregates each of the individual microbial activities. The Gmean index is considered as a suitable proxy of functional microbial diversity (Lessard et al., 2014):

$$GMean = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right)^{1/n}$$

where y_i is the enzyme activity or PNA, n is the number of soil enzymes and the PNA (5). High GMean values mean high microbial functional diversity (Lessard et al., 2014).

The second index was the SQI, as described by Bloem et al. (2006). It was calculated using the average factorial deviation from the reference value (Ten Brink et al., 1991):

$$SQI = 10^{logm - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |logm - logn_i|}{n}}$$

where m is the reference soil (mean value of enzyme activity or PNA in the control soil in the presence or in the absence of earthworms, set to 100%), and n are the measured values as percentages of the reference soil. A decrease of the SQI highlights a modification (increase and/or decrease) in the soil microbial activity.

Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R software Core Team (2015). The analyses of biomass changes between day 0 and day 28, and the assessment of the mortality rate were performed using the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test. When the normality and homoscedasticity conditions were satisfied, each microbial activity and index was analyzed using a twoway ANOVA to test the effect of fungicide concentrations, of the presence of earthworms, and of the interaction between these two factors. Then, a Tukey test was performed to assess the difference between pesticide treatments in the soils in the presence or in the absence of earthworms. When the normality and homoscedasticity conditions were not satisfied, the non-parametric kruskalmc (multiple comparison) test (adjusted *p*-values based on Bonferroni's corrections were applied) to assess the difference between pesticide treatments in the soils in the presence or in the absence of earthworms. The percentages of increase of the PNA, Gmean index, and SQI between the control and the highest concentration tested were compared between the soils in the presence and in the absence of earthworms using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

RESULTS

Effects of the Copper Fungicide

An earthworm mortality rate of 5% was recorded in the Cu3.33 and the control treatments at the end of the experiment (after 28 days). No mortality occurred in the Cu10 and Cu30 treatments. There was no significant difference in earthworm mortality or weight along the experiment whatever the Cu fungicide concentration tested.

The responses of enzyme activities and PNA in the control soil and Cu-treated soils in the presence or in the absence of earthworms are presented in Table 1. In the absence of earthworms, a significant effect of the Cu treatment was measured on three enzyme activities (GLU, PHOS, and ARN), and there were significant differences between the control and Cu treatments. Glucosidase activity significantly increased as compared to the control, only following the Cu3.33 treatment (Table 1). Phosphatase activity decreased by 25.1% in the Cu30 treatment as compared to the control. Arylamidase activity increased with increasing Cu concentrations, to reach +60% of the control value in the Cu30 treatment. The presence of earthworms significantly increased all enzyme activities as compared to the earthworm-free soils. It also resulted in a lower difference or no difference at all between enzyme activities in the control and Cu-treated soils. Then, only phosphatase activity significantly decreased by 22.7% in the Cu30 treatment as compared to the control. This decrease was of the same magnitude as the 25.1% observed in the earthworm-free soils.

The two-way ANOVA test revealed that PNA was significantly affected by the presence of earthworms $[F(1,32) = 23.5, p \le 0.0001]$, by the Cu treatments $[F(3,32) = 25.4, p \le 0.0001]$, and by the interaction between these two factors $[F(3,32) = 11, p \le 0.0001]$ (**Table 1**). Considering the earthworm-free soil, PNA significantly increased by +37, +40, and +57% in the Cu3.33, Cu10, and Cu30 treatments, respectively, as compared to the control. In the soils that harbored earthworms, statistical analyses did not reveal any effect whatever the Cu applications as compared to the control, but PNA was higher following the Cu30 treatment than following the Cu3.33 and Cu10 treatments. The magnitude of the PNA increase between the control and Cu30 treatment was much higher in the earthworm free soils $(57 \pm 11\%)$ than in the soils harboring earthworms $(12 \pm 5\%)$ (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.012).

There was a significant effect, highlighted by the twoway ANOVA test, of the Cu treatments [F(3,32) = 4.2, p = 0.013], of earthworms [F(1,32) = 702, $p \le 0.0001$], and of the interaction between these two factors [F(3,32) = 7.8, $p \le 0.0001$] on the GMean index (**Figure 1A**). The presence of earthworms strongly promoted soil microbial activity in all treatments. When considering only the set of earthworm-free soil microcosms, the GMean index significantly increased with

				Treat	Treatment					Effects	
Enzyme and microbial activities		Earthworm absence	absence			Earthworm presence	resence				
	Control	Cu 3.33	Cu 10	Cu 30	Control	Cu 3.33	Cu 10	Cu 30	ш	t	txE
β-D-glucosidase	12.5±1.1a	16.4 ± 1.2b	13.1 ± 0.7a	14.3 ± 0.8ab	25.0 ± 1.4A	24.3 ± 1.7A	23.9 ± 3.1A	25.2 ± 1.0A	***	*	×
Phosphatase	20.7 ± 1.3a	20.2 ± 1.4a	17.1 ± 1.4ab	$15.5 \pm 1.6b$	34.8 ± 2.7A	34.9 ± 2.6A	$30.8 \pm 3.9B$	$26.9 \pm 1.0C$	***	* *	SU
Urease	17.6 ± 2.8a	21.3 ± 2.6a	19.7 ± 1.4a	20.5 ± 2.4a	30.0 ± 2.1A	$31.9 \pm 2.0 A$	$29.7 \pm 2.6 \text{A}$	28.3 ± 2.1A	* *	SU	SU
Arylamidase	6.3 ± 0.4a	8.2 ± 0.6b	$8.9 \pm 0.3 bc$	10.1 ± 1.4c	13.1 ± 0.7AB	12.4 ± 0.4A	12.7 ± 1.1AB	$13.7 \pm 0.5B$	***	***	* * *
PNA	0.46 ± 0.05a	0.62 ± 0.04b	$0.64 \pm 0.04b$	$0.71 \pm 0.05c$	0.66 ± 0.05AB	$0.65 \pm 0.05 $	0.64 ± 0.04A	$0.73 \pm 0.04B$	***	***	***



increasing Cu application rates (by 19.9 \pm 7.9% in Cu30 as compared to the control), while there was no effect of the Cu treatment on the GMean index in the set of soil microcosms harboring earthworms.

The two-way ANOVA test revealed a significant effect of the Cu treatments $[F(3,32) = 36, p \le 0.0001]$, of earthworms $[F(1,32) = 161, p \le 0.0001]$, and of the interaction between these two factors $[F(3,32) = 14, p \le 0.0001]$ on the SQI (Figure 1B). Significant effects of the Cu application rates on the SQI were only observed in the earthworm-free soils in which the SQI decreased by 20.0 \pm 3% between the control and the Cu30 treatment.

Effects of the Swing[®] Gold fungicide

No earthworm mortality was recorded in the SG0.33 treatment. A mortality rate of 5% was found in the SG1 and control treatments, and 20% in the SG3 treatment. Nevertheless, these

Earthworms Microbial Activities and Pesticides

results were not statistically significant. No impact was recorded on the earthworm biomass.

The enzyme activity and PNA responses in the control soil and the soil treated with the SG fungicide, in the presence or in the absence of earthworms, are presented in Table 2. In the earthworm-free soil microcosms, the SG treatment had a significant effect on all enzyme activities, but there was no difference in phosphatase activity between the control and the SG3 treatment, contrary to the Cu treatments. GLU, URE, and ARN activities significantly increased by 25, 19, and 18%, respectively, in the SG3-treated soils as compared to the control. The presence of earthworms significantly increased all enzyme activities, as previously observed with the Cu treatment. Considering the set of soil microcosms harboring earthworms, a significant effect of the SG treatment was observed on phosphatase and urease activity between the control and the SG0.33 and SG3 treatments, respectively. Urease activity increased by 17.0% in the SG3 treatment as compared to control.

The two-way ANOVA test revealed that PNA was significantly affected by the presence of earthworms $[F(1,32) = 68, p \le 0.0001]$ and the SG treatments $[F(3,32) = 52, p \le 0.0001]$, but not by their interaction [F(3,32) = 2.9, p = 0.05] (**Table 2**). PNA increased along with the increase in SG application rates, in the presence or absence of earthworms. However, the magnitude of the increase in PNA between the control and SG3-treated soils was much higher in the earthworm-free soils $(73.4 \pm 11.4\%)$ than in the soils harboring earthworms ($32.4 \pm 8.2\%$) (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.008).

There was a significant effect, highlighted by the two-way ANOVA test, of the SG treatments [F(3,32) = 25, $p \le 0.0001$], of earthworms [F(1,32) = 1,681, $p \le 0.0001$], and of the interaction between these two factors [F(3,32) = 3, p = 0.045] on the GMean index (**Figure 2A**). The presence of earthworms promoted overall soil microbial activity in all modalities. There was a significant increase of the GMean index with the increase in SG application rates, in the absence or in the presence of earthworms. However, the magnitude of the GMean increase between the control and the SG3 treatment was significantly higher in the absence of earthworms ($+27.4 \pm 4.0\%$) than in their presence ($+8.6 \pm 3.9\%$) (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.008).

The two-way ANOVA test revealed a significant effect of the SG treatments [F(3,32) = 61, $p \le 0.0001$], of earthworms [F(1,32) = 109, $p \le 0.0001$], and of the interaction between these two factors [F(3,32) = 11.9, $p \le 0.0001$] on the SQI (**Figure 2B**). There was a significant effect of the different SG application rates on the SQI, in the absence or in the presence of earthworms. However, the magnitude of the SQI decrease between the control and the SG3 treatment was significantly higher in the absence of earthworms ($-7.7 \pm 1.3\%$) than in the presence of earthworms ($-7.1 \pm 1.4\%$) (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.008).

DISCUSSION

The absence of an impact of fungicides on earthworm biomass and mortality during the experiment, whatever the concentrations applied, validates the sublethal concentration values retained for this experiment. Besides, no dormancy

				Trea	Treatment					Effects	
Enzyme and microbial activities		Earthworm absence	absence			Earthworm presence	presence				
	Control	SG 0.33	SG 1	SG 3	Control	SG 0.33	SG 1	SG 3	ш	t	t xE
β-D-glucosidase	12.5 ± 1.1a	13.6 ± 0.7a	12.3 ± 1.2a	16.6 ± 1.6b	25.0 ± 1.4A	25.5 ± 1.1A	24.8 ± 1.6A	23.7 ± 0.6A	****	×	* *
Phosphatase	20.7 ± 1.3ab	23.5 ± 2.1a	$20.2 \pm 0.7 b$	20.3 ± 2.4ab	34.8 ± 2.7A	$39.3 \pm 1.6B$	38.0 ± 1.4AB	38.0 ± 2.1AB	* *	××	SU
Urease	17.6 ± 2.8a	19.1 ± 2.5ab	18.7 ± 2.0ab	$21.5 \pm 0.6b$	30.1 ± 2.1A	$32.3 \pm 0.9 \text{AB}$	$33.5 \pm 2.1 \text{AB}$	$35.2 \pm 1.2B$	* *	**	SU
Arylamidase	6.3 ± 0.4a	7.7 ± 1.3b	7.4 ± 0.5ab	7.8 ± 0.3b	13.6 ± 0.7A	$12.8 \pm 0.7 \text{A}$	13.1 ± 0.5A	$12.8 \pm 0.5A$	**	SU	*
PNA	0.46 ± 0.05a	$0.66 \pm 0.06b$	$0.63 \pm 0.04b$	$0.79 \pm 0.05c$	0.66 ± 0.04A	$0.76 \pm 0.05B$	0.76 ± 0.05BC	$0.87 \pm 0.05C$	***	***	SU



was observed in the earthworms collected at the end of the experiment. Nevertheless, the absence of an effect on biomass did not inform on possible impacts on earthworm behavior in the soils containing pesticides (Capowiez et al., 2006; Dittbrenner et al., 2011). Therefore, possible effects of fungicides on earthworm burrowing or feeding activities, which are key parameters related to their influence on soil microbial communities (Edwards and Bohlen, 1996), cannot be excluded.

Impact of Fungicides on Soil Microbial Activity in the Absence of Earthworms

In the absence of earthworms, contrasted responses were reported depending on the microbial activities and the fungicides, but overall both commercial fungicides increased the whole soil microbial activity, integrated with the GMean index. The decrease in phosphatase activity with increasing application rates of the Cu-based fungicide is in accordance with several previous works showing that phosphatase activity decreased in soils treated with 150 and 450 mg Cu kg^{-1} (Wyszkowska and Wyszkowski, 2010). Urease activity remained stable in our experiment, even at the highest concentration tested (232.5 mg kg⁻¹ of Cu), similarly to previous works where Cu hydroxide or CuCl₂ did not impact urease activity even at 156 mg kg⁻¹ (Wightwick et al., 2013). Conversely, Nor (1982) reported thorough inhibition of soil urease at 120 mg Cu kg^{-1} . A theoretical PNEC for metals in soils can be predicted for given soil properties, on the basis of the HC 5% hazardous effect derived from SSD analyses (including plants, the meso- and macrofauna, and microorganisms) computed with literature data collection (Oorts et al., 2006b; Smolders et al., 2009). For our soil properties, the PNEC value was around 78.5 mg Cu kg⁻¹ dry soil, resulting in expected toxic effects on some microbial activities at Cu30 (232 mg kg⁻¹). The increases in GLU, ARM, and PNA activity in the Cutreated soils as compared to the controls were not expected. Copper has indeed been observed to impair soil microbial biomass or activity (Giller et al., 2009), more so following spiking of solutions of metal salts (Oorts et al., 2006a; Smolders et al., 2009). The impairment of microbial enzyme activity by metals might result from cellular toxicity that decreases the whole metabolism, or from the reaction of metal ions with the substrate or the protein-active groups of enzymes in soils (Deng and Tabatabai, 1995).

Two main hypotheses could explain such differences between the stimulation of microbial activity observed in our experiment (in the presence or in the absence of earthworms) and the numerous previous works underlining toxic effects of Cu (inhibition) at doses similar to those tested in this work. First, the addition of horse manure (at 4.8% w/w dry soil) provided a very high level of organic matter which strongly adsorbed copper ions, and likely decreased copper bioavailability for the same total input as compared to the previous cited literature. Such metal buffering by OM addition may have alleviated the Cu toxicity to soil microbial activities in our experiment. Second, another essential difference was that almost all these studies investigated impacts of Cu salt solutions, while we used a commercial formulation of Cu oxide containing unknown adjuvants and surfactants. These compounds can deeply modify the fate of copper in the soil and its effects on soil microorganisms. Adjuvants of commercial formulations of pesticides might act as available sources of nitrogen and carbon able to stimulate microbial biomass and activity (Crouzet et al., 2010; Mijangos et al., 2010). As a result, in our experimental conditions, the microbial exposure to copper would be below toxic thresholds, which would be consistent with the response patterns of microbial activities showing a hormetic-like response. Some previous findings been already observed for several soil microbial enzymes or nitrification in response to metal stress (Langdon et al., 2014; Han et al., 2019). Overcompensation in response to disruption in homeostasis was assumed the fundamental mechanism of hormesis, existing to preserve organism homeostasis (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2002).

Regarding the SG fungicide, an experiment with a commercial fungicide containing the same active substances (dimoxystrobin and epoxiconazole) showed negative effects of these fungicides on the activity of soil dehvdrogenase and urease recorded only at 100-fold the recommended field rates (Jastrzębska and Kucharski, 2007). Along with ours, these results underline that, at realistic doses, fungicides based on a dimoxystrobin epoxiconazole mixture do not negatively affect the related soil enzyme activities (i.e., PHOS, GLU, URE, and ARN), but could disturb soil nitrification (PNA). Overall, the increases of several microbial activities have already been observed with other organic synthetic pesticides (glyphosate, Haney et al., 2002; carbendazim, tebuconazole, and captan, Burrows and Edwards, 2000; Chen et al., 2001; Cycoń et al., 2006). A first assumption about this phenomenon is that the fungicides killed or inhibited the activity of certain groups of non-target fungi. On the short term, dead fungal biomass might be used as a food resource by living microorganisms, and this could lead to greater bacterial activity, along with decreased competition for other resources (Monkiedje et al., 2007). Another assumption is that fungicides kill or inhibit the soil microfauna, such as protozoa or nematodes which are predatory for microorganisms (Ekelund and Rønn, 1994; Ronn et al., 2002), thus turning off the top-down regulation of microbial biomass and activity. Finally, as regards copper, we used commercial formulations instead of pure active compounds. The surfactants and the adjuvants contained in commercial products may influence the impacts of active ingredients on microbial activity (Crouzet et al., 2010).

Earthworms Shape the Responses of Microbial Activity to Fungicides

One of the important results of this study is that the presence of earthworms increased all microbial (soil enzyme and nitrification) activities, even in the fungicide-treated soils. Overall, this result could lead us to think that earthworm behavior was not impaired in the SG- or Cu-treated soils, as earthworm effects on the different activities were similar in all soils. The stimulation of microbial activity by earthworms has already been observed (Scheu, 1987; Binet et al., 1998; Aira et al., 2003; Mougin et al., 2013). However, our study, along with that of Sanchez-Hernandez et al. (2018), is the first to show that this ability was preserved in pesticide-treated soils, while earthworm-free soils were disturbed. The absence of a pesticide effect on the earthworms, at the doses tested in our experiment, may have contributed to the conservation of their benefits for microbial activity. The higher tolerance and stability of the activity and functional diversity of microbial communities in response to pesticides conferred by the presence of earthworms could be explained by the ecosystem engineer role of earthworms that provides favorable micro-habitats for microbial communities (Haynes et al., 2003; Lipiec et al., 2016). Even if we did not assess the dynamic of exposure to the two pesticides, earthworm activity probably modified microorganism exposure to copper or organic

fungicides. Earthworms can indeed influence the fate of metals or organic pesticides in soils (Sizmur and Hodson, 2009; Rodriguez-Campos et al., 2014).

Suitability of Microbial Activity Endpoints

Pesticide effects seemed to depend on the microbial metabolism underlying the measured activity. In our study, the magnitude of the effects on nitrification was higher than on the various soil enzyme activities. This might be explained by the fact that PNA measured the activity of physiologically active and viable microorganisms, while the measure of soil enzyme activities captures intracellular and extracellular activities. A significant amount of hydrolytic activity comes from extracellular (abiotic) enzymes bound and protected by soil colloids (Knight and Dick, 2004); they do not require the intracellular integrity of microbial cells to be expressed (Burns and Dick, 2002). Thus, it has been evidenced that decreases in activity in response to soil management are reflected more by the activity of extracellular stabilized enzymes than by enzymes belonging to viable microbial cells (Knight and Dick, 2004). Soil extracellular enzymes immobilized on soil organo-mineral complexes may not be as sensitive to toxicants as those associated with microbial cells (Nannipieri, 1994). In addition, soil enzymes are released by a great diversity of soil living biota (e.g., protozoa, plants, and the soil meso- and macrofauna), including a huge diversity of microorganisms (bacteria, fungi, algae) (Burns and Dick, 2002). By contrast, nitrification is mainly ensured by specific functional groups of bacteria or archaea, with a minor contribution of heterotrophic fungal nitrification in agricultural soils (Prosser, 2005). A lower functional redundancy in nitrifying communities may increase the sensitivity of nitrification to a stress as compared to broader-scale processes, such as enzyme activities, ensured by a wide microbial diversity (Wertz et al., 2007; Griffiths and Philippot, 2012). The underlying activities of functional microbial groups involving in N-cycling were already reported to be more sensitive to pesticides than the soil enzymes or other microbial activities related to C-cycling (Crouzet et al., 2016; Karas et al., 2018; Rose et al., 2018).

Finally, the soil biological indexes used to summarize the overall impact of pesticides on microbial activity yielded two different analyses. On the one hand, the GMean index increased along with the increase of fungicide concentrations, highlighting that global microbial activity increased. On the other hand, the SQI decreased along with the increase in fungicide concentrations, highlighting a modification in microbial activity under pesticide pressure (increase and/or decrease). This decrease of the SQI indicates that pesticides induce a disturbance of the soil system, which was buffered in the presence of earthworms in the microcosms.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors conceived and designed the study. SB, AB, and OC performed the experiments, samplings, and measures on

earthworms and nitrification. NC and VG performed the analysis of soil enzymatic activities. SB and OC carried out the data analysis and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the review and approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the "IDI 2015" project funded by the IDEX Paris-Saclay, ANR-11-IDEX-0003-02.

REFERENCES

- Aira, M., Monroy, F., and Dominguez, J. (2003). Effects of two species of earthworms (*Allolobophora sp.*) on soil systems: a microfaunal and biochemical analysis. *Pedobiologia* 47, 877–881. doi: 10.1078/0031-4056-00274
- Aira, M., Monroy, F., and Dominguez, J. (2009). Changes in bacterial numbers and microbial activity of pig manure during gut transit of epigeic and anecic earthworms. J. Hazard. Mat. 162, 1404–1407. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.06. 031
- Bart, S., Amosse, J., Lowe, C. N., Mougin, C., Pery, A. R. R., and Pelosi, C. (2018). Aporrectodea caliginosa, a relevant earthworm species for a posteriori pesticide risk assessment: current knowledge and recommendations for culture and experimental design. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. 25, 33867–33881. doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-2579-9
- Bart, S., Laurent, C., Péry, A. R. R., Mougin, C., and Pelosi, C. (2017). Differences in sensitivity between earthworms and enchytraeids exposed to two commercial fungicides. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 140, 177–184. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017. 02.052
- Bending, G. D., Turner, M. K., and Jones, J. E. (2002). Interactions between crop residue and soil organic matter quality and the functional diversity of soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 34, 1073–1082. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00040-8
- Bengtsson, J., Ahnstrom, J., and Weibull, A. C. (2005). The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: a meta-analysis. J. Appl. Ecol. 42, 261–269. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01005.x
- Bertrand, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Whalen, J., de Oliveira, T., and Roger-Estrade, J. (2015). Earthworm services for cropping systems. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 35, 553–567. doi: 10.1007/s13593-014-0269-7
- Binet, F., Fayolle, L., and Pussard, M. (1998). Significance of earthworms in stimulating soil microbial activity. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 27, 79–84. doi: 10.1007/ s003740050403
- Bloem, J., Schouten, A. J., Sørensen, S. J., Rutgers, M., van der Werf, A., and Breure, A. M. (2006). "Monitoring and evaluating soil quality," in *Microbiological Methods for Assessing Soil Quality*, eds J. Bloem, D. W. Hopkins, and A. Benedetti (Wallingford: CAB International), 23e49.
- Blouin, M., Hodson, M. E., Delgado, E. A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K. R., et al. (2013). A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. *Eur. J. Soil. Sci.* 64, 161–182. doi: 10.1111/ejss.12025
- Brown, G. G., Barois, I., and Lavelle, P. (2000). Regulation of soil organic matter dynamics and microbial activity in the drilosphere and the role of interactions with other edaphic functional domains. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 36, 177–198. doi: 10.1016/S1164-5563(00)01062-1
- Burns, R. G., DeForest, J. L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Stromberger, M. E., Wallenstein, M. D., et al. (2013). Soil enzymes in a changing environment: current knowledge and future directions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 58, 216–234. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009
- Burns, R. G., and Dick, R. P. (eds) (2002). Enzymes in the Environment. Activity, Ecology, and Applications. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker Inc. 614.
- Burrows, L., and Edwards, C. A. (2000). The effects of the fungicide carbendazim in an innovative integrated terrestrial microcosm system. *Proc. Bright. Pest. Conf. Pests Dis.* 4C-2, 365–370.
- Burrows, L. A., and Edwards, C. A. (2004). The use of integrated soil microcosms to assess the impact of carbendazim on soil ecosystems. *Ecotoxicology* 13, 143–161. doi: 10.1023/B:ECTX.0000012411.14680.21

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Christelle Marraud, Jean-Pierre Pétraud, and all the staff of the platform Biochem-Env, UMR1402 EcoSys, INRA, France. Biochem-Env is a service of the "Investment d'Avenir" infrastructure AnaEE-France, overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) (ANR-11-INBS-0001). The authors thank Jodie Thénard and Véronique Etievant, for their help and technical assistance. The authors also thank the reviewers for improving the manuscript.

- Calabrese, E. J., and Baldwin, L. A. (2002). Defining hormesis. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 21, 91–97. doi: 10.1191/0960327102ht2170a
- Capowiez, Y., Bastardie, F., and Costagliola, G. (2006). Sublethal effects of imidacloprid on the burrowing behaviour of two earthworm species: modifications of the 3D burrow systems in artificial cores and consequences on gas diffusion in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 285–293. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio. 2005.05.014
- Chabauty, F., Pot, V., Bourdat-Deschamps, M., Bernet, N., Labat, C., and Benoit, P. (2016). Transport of organic contaminants in subsoil horizons and effects of dissolved organic matter related to organic waste recycling practices. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23, 6907–6918. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-5938-9
- Chen, S. K., Edwards, C. A., and Subler, S. (2001). Effects of the fungicides denomyl, captan and chlorothalonil on soil microbial activity and nitrogen dynamics in laboratory incubations. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 33, 1971–1980. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00131-6
- Clements, H. W., and Rohr, J. (2009). Community responses to contaminants: using basic ecological principles to predict ecotoxicological effects. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28, 1789–1800. doi: 10.1897/09-140.1
- Corbel, S., Bouaïcha, N., Martin, F., Crouzet, O., and Mougin, C. (2015). Soil irrigation with toxic cyanobacterial microcystins increases soil nitrification potential. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 13, 459–463. doi: 10.1007/s10311-015-0520-8
- Couto, R. R., Benedet, L., Comin, J. J., Belli Filho, P., Martins, S. R., Gatiboni, L. C., et al. (2015). Accumulation of copper and zinc fractions in vineyard soil in the mid-western region of Santa Catarina. Brazil. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 73, 6379–6386. doi: 10.1007/s12665-014-3861-x
- Crouzet, O., Batisson, I., Besse-Hoggan, P., Bonnemoy, F., Bardot, C., Poly, F., et al. (2010). Response of soil microbial communities to the herbicide mesotrione: a dose–effect microcosm approach. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 193–202. doi: 10.1016/ j.soilbio.2009.10.016
- Crouzet, O., Poly, F., Bonnemoy, F., Bru, D., Batisson, I., Bohatier, J., et al. (2016). Functional and structural responses of soil N-cycling microbial communities to the herbicide mesotrione: a dose-effect microcosm approach. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23, 4207–4217. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-4797-8
- Cycoń, M., Piotrowska-Seget, Z., Kaczyńska, A., and Kozdrój, J. (2006). Microbiological characteristics of a sandy loam soil exposed to tebuconazole and λ -cyhalothrin under laboratory conditions. *Ecotoxicology* 15, 639–646. doi: 10.1007/s10646-006-0099-8
- Daniel, O., and Anderson, J. M. (1992). Microbial biomass and activity in contrasting soil materials after passage through the gut of the earthworm *Lumbricus rubellus* Hoffmeister. Soil Biol. Biochem. 24, 465–470. doi: 10.1016/ 0038-0717(92)90209-G
- Dempsey, M. A., Fisk, M. C., Yavitt, J. B., Fahey, T. J., and Balser, T. C. (2013). Exotic earthworms alter soil microbial community composition and function. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 67, 263–270. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.009
- Deng, S., and Tabatabai, M. A. (1995). Cellulase activity of soils: effect of trace elements. Soil Biol. Biochem. 27, 977–979. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(95)0 0005-Y
- Dittbrenner, N., Moser, I., Triebskorn, R., and Capowiez, Y. (2011). Assessment of short and long-term effects of imidacloprid on the burrowing behaviour of two earthworm species (*Aporrectodea caliginosa* and *Lumbricus terrestris*) by using 2D and 3D post-exposure techniques. *Chemosphere* 84, 1349–1355. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.05.011
- Edwards, C. A., and Bohlen, P. J. (1996). *Biology and Ecology of Earthworms*, 3rd Edn. London: Chapman and Hall.

- Edwards, C. A., and Fletcher, K. E. (1988). Interactions between earthworms and microorganisms in organic-matter breakdown. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 24, 235–247. doi: 10.1016/0167-8809(88)90069-2
- Ekelund, F., and Rønn, R. (1994). Notes on protozoa in agricultural soil, with emphasis on heterotrophic flagellates and naked amoebae and their ecology. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 321–363. doi: 10.1016/0168-6445(94)90068-X
- Gianfreda, L., and Rao, M. A. (2008). Interactions between xenobiotics and microbial and enzymatic soil activity. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 269–310. doi: 10.1080/10643380701413526
- Giller, K. E., Witter, E., and McGrath, S. P. (2009). Heavy metals and soil microbes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 2031–2037. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.026
- Griffiths, B. S., and Philippot, L. (2012). Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil microbial community. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 112–129. doi: 10. 1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00343.x
- Han, J., Wang, S., Fan, D., Guo, Y., Liu, C., and Zhu, Y. (2019). Time-dependent hormetic response of soil alkaline phosphatase induced by Cd and the association with bacterial community composition. *Microb. Ecol.* doi: 10.1007/ s00248-019-01371-1 [Epub ahead of print].
- Haney, R. L., Senseman, S. A., and Hons, F. M. (2002). Effect of roundup ultra on microbial activity and biomass from selected soils. J. Environ. Qual. 31, 730–735. doi: 10.2134/jeq2002.7300
- Haynes, R. J., Fraser, P. M., Piercy, J. E., and Tregurtha, R. J. (2003). Casts of *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (Savigny) and *Lumbricus rubellus* (Hoffmeister) differ in microbial activity, nutrient availability and aggregate stability. *Pedobiologia* 47, 882–887. doi: 10.1078/0031-4056-00275
- Hinojosa, M. B., García-Ruíz, R., Viñegla, B., and Carreira, J. A. (2004). Microbiological rates and enzyme activities as indicators of functionality in soils affected by the Aznalcóllar toxic spill. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 36, 1637–1644. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.006
- Hole, D. G., Perkins, A. J., Wilson, J. D., Alexander, I. H., Grice, P. V., and Evans, A. D. (2005). Does organic farming benefit biodiversity? *Biol. Conserv.* 122, 113–130. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2004.07.018
- ISO 20130 (2018). Soil Quality Measurement of Enzyme Activity Patterns in Soil Samples Using Colorimetric Substrates in Micro-Well Plates. Geneva: ISO.
- Jastrzębska, E., and Kucharski, J. (2007). Dehydrogenases, urease and phosphatases activities of soil contaminated with fungicides. *Plant Soil Environ.* 53, 51–57. doi: 10.17221/2296-PSE
- Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H., and Shachak, M. (1994). Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69, 373–386. doi: 10.17221/2296-PSE
- Kandeler, E., Kampichler, C., and Horak, O. (1996). Influence of heavy metals on the functional diversity of soil communities. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 23, 299–306. doi: 10.1007/BF00335958
- Karas, P. A., Baguelin, C., Pertile, G., Papadopoulou, E. S., Nikolaki, S., Storck, V., et al. (2018). Assessment of the impact of three pesticides on microbial dynamics and functions in a lab-to-field experimental approach. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 63, 636–646. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.073
- Knight, T. R., and Dick, R. P. (2004). Differentiating microbial and stabilized β-glucosidase activity relative to soil quality. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 36, 2089–2096. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.06.007
- Langdon, K. A., McLaughlin, M. J., Kirby, J. K., and Merrington, G. (2014). The effect of soil properties on the toxicity of silver to the soil nitrification process. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 33, 1170–1178. doi: 10.1002/etc.2543
- Lessard, I., Renella, G., Sauvé, S., and Deschênes, L. (2013). Metal toxicity assessment in soils using enzymatic activity: can water be used as a surrogate buffer? *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57, 256–263. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.09.009
- Lessard, I., Sauvé, S., and Deschênes, L. (2014). Toxicity response of a new enzymebased functional diversity methodology for Zn-contaminated field-collected soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 71, 87–94. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.01.002
- Lipiec, J., Frac, M., Brzezinska, M., Turski, M., and Oszust, K. (2016). Linking microbial enzymatic activities and functional diversity of soil around earthworm burrows and casts. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1361. doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2016.01361
- Loquet, M., Bhatnagar, T., Bouche, M., and Rouelle, J. (1977). Essai d'estimation de l'influence écologique des lombrices sur les microorganismes. *Pedobiologia* 17, 400–417.
- Lowe, C. N., and Butt, K. R. (2005). Culture techniques for soil dwelling earthworms: a review. *Pedobiologia* 49, 401–413. doi: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.04. 005

- Ma, W. (1984). Sublethal toxic effects of copper on growth, reproduction and litter breakdown activity in the earthworm *lumbricus rubellus*, with observations on the in#uence of temperature and soil ph. *Environ. Pollut. Ser. A* 33:207219. doi: 10.1016/0143-1471(84)90011-4
- Martin, A., Cortez, J., Barois, I., and Lavelle, P. (1987). Les mucus intestinaux de Ver de terre moteur de leurs interactions avec la microflore. *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol.* 24, 549–558.
- McDonald, J., Gaston, L., Elbana, T., Andres, K., and Crandfield, E. (2013). Dimoxystrobin sorption and degradation in sandy loam soil: impact of different landscape positions. *Soil Sci.* 178, 662–670. doi: 10.1097/SS.000000000000000
- Mijangos, I., Albizu, I., Epelde, L., Amezaga, I., Mendarte, S., and Garbisu, C. (2010). Effects of liming on soil properties and plant performance of temperate mountainous grasslands. *J. Environ. Manag.* 91, 2066–2074. doi: 10.1016/j. jenvman.2010.05.011
- Monkiedje, A., Spiteller, M., Maniepi, S. J. N., and Sukul, P. (2007). Influence of metalaxyl- and mefenoxam-based fungicides on chemical and biochemical attributes of soil quality under field conditions in a southern humid forest zone of Cameroon. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39, 836–842. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.10. 002
- Mougin, C., Cheviron, N., Repincay, C., Hedde, M., and Hernandez-Raquet, G. (2013). Earthworms increase ciprofloxacin mineralization in soils. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 11, 127–133. doi: 10.1007/s10311-012-0385-z
- Muñoz-Leoz, B., Garbisu, C., Charcosset, J. Y., Sánchez-Pérez, J. M., Antigüedad, I., and Ruiz-Romera, E. (2013). Non-target effects of three formulated pesticides on microbially-mediated processes in a clay-loam soil. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 449, 345–354. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.01.079
- Nannipieri, P. (1994). "The potential use of soil enzymes as indicators of productivity, sustainability and pollution," in *Soil Biota: Management in Sustainable Farming Systems*, ed. C. E. Pankhurst (Canberra, VIC: CSIRO), 238–244.
- Nannipieri, P., Kandeler, E., and Ruggiero, P. (2002). "Enzyme activities and microbiological and biochemical processes in soil," in *Enzymes in the Environment*, eds R. G. Burns and R. P. Dick (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker), 1–33.
- Niemi, R. M., Heiskanen, I., Ahtiainen, J. H., Rahkonen, A., Mäntykoski, K., Welling, L., et al. (2009). Microbial toxicity and impacts on soil enzyme activities of pesticides used in potato cultivation. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 41, 293–304. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2008.12.002
- Nor, Y. M. (1982). Soil urease activity and kinetics. Soil Biol. Biochem. 14, 63–65. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(82)90078-5
- Oorts, K., Ghesquière, U., Swinnen, K., and Smolders, E. (2006b). Soil properties affecting the toxicity of CuCl2 and NiCl2 for soil microbial processes in freshly spiked soils. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 836–844. doi: 10.1897/04-672R.1
- Oorts, K., Bronckaers, H., and Smolders, E. (2006a). Discrepancy of the microbial response to elevated copper between freshly spiked and long-term contaminated soils. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 845–853. doi: 10.1897/04-673R.1
- Pell, M., Stenberg, B., and Torstensson, L. (1998). Potential denitrification and nitrification tests for evaluation of pesticide effects in soil. *Ambio* 27, 24–28. doi: 10.1007/s10646-009-0300-y
- Pelosi, C., Barot, S., Capowiez, Y., Hedde, M., and Vandenbulcke, F. (2014). Pesticides and earthworms. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 34, 199–228. doi: 10.1007/s13593-013-0151-z
- Pesticide Properties DataBase [PPDB] (2018). Available at: https://sitem.herts.ac. uk/aeru/ppdb/en/Reports/246.htm (accessed 2018).
- Petersen, D. G., Blazewicz, S. J., Firestone, M., Herman, D. J., Turetsky, M., and Waldrop, M. (2012). Abundance of microbial genes associated with nitrogen cycling as indices of biogeochemical process rates across a vegetation gradient in Alaska. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 993–1008. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02679. x
- Prosser, J. I. (2005). "Nitrification," in *Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment*, eds D. Hillel and J. L. Hatfield (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 31–39.
- Riah, W., Laval, K., Laroche-Ajzenberg, E., Mougin, C., Latour, X., and Trinsoutrot-Gattin, I. (2014). Effects of pesticides on soil enzymes: a review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 12, 257–273. doi: 10.1007/s10311-014-0458-2
- Rodriguez-Campos, J., Dendooven, L., Alvarez-Bernal, D., and Contreras-Ramos, S. M. (2014). Potential of earthworms to accelerate removal of organic

contaminants from soil: a review. Appl. Soil Ecol. 79, 10-25. doi: 10.1016/j. apsoil.2014.02.010

- Rodríguez-Loinaz, G., Onaindia, M., Amezaga, I., Mijangos, I., and Garbisu, C. (2008). Relationship between vegetation diversity and soil functional diversity in native mixed-oak forests. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40, 49–60. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio. 2007.04.015
- Ronn, R., McCaig, A. E., Griffiths, B. S., and Prosser, J. I. (2002). Impact of protozoan grazing on bacterial community structure in soil microcosms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 6094–6105. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.12.6094-6105.2002
- Rose, M. T., Ng, E. L., Weng, Z., Wood, R., Rose, T. J., and Van Zwieten, L. (2018). Minor effects of herbicides on microbial activity in agricultural soils are detected by N-transformation but not enzyme activity assays. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 87, 72–79. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2018.04.003
- Sanchez-Hernandez, J. C., Notario Del Pino, J., Capowiez, Y., Mazzia, C., and Rault, M. (2018). Soil enzyme dynamics in chlorpyrifos-treated soils under the influence of earthworms. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 612, 1407–1416. doi: 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2017.09.043
- Scheu, S. (1987). Microbial activity and nutrient dynamics in earthworm casts. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 5, 230–234. doi: 10.1007/BF00256906
- Sizmur, T., and Hodson, M. E. (2009). Do earthworms impact metal mobility and availability in soil? – a review. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 1981–1989. doi: 10.1016/j. envpol.2009.02.029
- Smolders, E., Oorts, K., Van Spring, P., Schoeters, I., Jannsen, C. R., McGrath, S. P., et al. (2009). Toxicity of trace metals in soil as affected by soil type and aging after contamination: using calibrated bioavailability models to set ecological soil standards. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28, 1633–1642. doi: 10.1897/08-592.1
- Speir, T. W., and Ross, D. J. (2002). "Hydrolytic enzyme activities to assess soil degradation and recovery," in *Enzymes in the Environment: Activity, Ecology and Applications*, eds R. G. Burns and R. P. Dick (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker Inc.), 403–431.
- Spurgeon, D. J., Svendsen, C., Kille, P., Morgan, A. J., and Weeks, J. M. (2004). Responses of earthworms (*Lumbricus rubellus*) to copper and cadmium as determined by measurement of juvenile traits in a specifically designed test system. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 57, 54–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2003.08.003
- Spurgeon, D. J., Weeks, J. M., and Van Gestel, C. A. M. (2003). A summary of eleven years progress in earthworm ecotoxicology. *Pedobiologia* 47, 588–606. doi: 10.1016/S0031-4056(04)70243-7
- Tao, J., Griffiths, B., Zhang, S., Chen, X., Liu, M., Hu, F., et al. (2009). Effects of earthworms on soil enzyme activity in an organic residue amended rice–wheat rotation agro-ecosystem. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 42, 221–226. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2009. 04.003

- Ten Brink, B. J. E., Hosper, S. H., and Colijn, F. (1991). A quantitative method for description and assessment of ecosystems: the AMOEBA-approach. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 23, 265–270. doi: 10.1016/0025-326X(91)90685-L
- Tiunov, A. V., and Scheu, S. (1999). Microbial respiration, biomass, biovolume and nutrient status in burrow walls of *Lumbricus terrestris L. (Lumbricidae). Soil Biol. Biochem.* 31, 2039–2048. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00127-3
- Wainwright, M. J. (2006). A review of the effect of pesticides on microbial activity in soils. *Eur. J. Soil. Sci.* 29, 287–298. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.1978.tb00776.x
- Wertz, S., Degrange, V., Prosser, J. I., Poly, F., and Le Roux, X. (2007). Decline of soil microbial diversity does not influence the resistance and resilience of key soil microbial functional groups following a model disturbance. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 2211–2219. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01335.x
- Wightwick, A. M., Reichman, S. M., Menzies, N. W., and Allinson, G. (2013). The effects of copper hydroxide, captan and trifloxystrobin fungicides on soil phosphomonoesterase and urease activity. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 224:1703. doi: 10.1007/s11270-013-1703-1
- Wyszkowska, J., and Wyszkowski, M. (2010). Activity of soil dehydrogenases, urease, and acid and alkaline phosphatases in soil polluted with petroleum. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 73, 1202–1210. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2010. 492004
- Zak, J. C., Willig, M. R., Moorhead, D. L., and Wildman, H. G. (1994). Functional diversity of microbial communities - a quantitative approach. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 26, 1101–1108. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(94)90131-7
- Zhang, B.-G., Li, G.-T., Shen, T.-S., Wang, J.-K., and Sun, Z. (2000). Changes in microbial biomass C, N and P and enzyme activities in soil incubated with the earthworms *Metaphire guillelmi* or *Eisenia fetida*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 2055–2062. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00111-5
- Zhang, Q. L., and Hendrix, P. F. (1995). Earthworm (Lumbricus rubellus and Aporrectodea caliginosa). Effects on carbon flux in soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 59, 816–823. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1995.03615995005900030026x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Bart, Pelosi, Barraud, Péry, Cheviron, Grondin, Mougin and Crouzet. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.