



Corrigendum: Effect of Diet on the Enteric Microbiome of the Wood-Eating Catfish *Panaque nigrolineatus*

Ryan C. McDonald¹, Joy E. M. Watts² and Harold J. Schreier^{1,3*}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, United States, ² Department of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, United Kingdom, ³ Department of Marine Biotechnology, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, United States

Keywords: lignocellulose digestion, microbiome, 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, predictive metagenomics, Amazonian catfish

A Corrigendum on

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Martin G. Klotz, Washington State University, United States

*Correspondence:

Harold J. Schreier schreier@umbc.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Symbioses, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 18 December 2019 Accepted: 14 February 2020 Published: 27 February 2020

Citation:

McDonald RC, Watts JEM and Schreier HJ (2020) Corrigendum: Effect of Diet on the Enteric Microbiome of the Wood-Eating Catfish Panaque nigrolineatus. Front. Microbiol. 11:331. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00331 Effect of Diet on the Enteric Microbiome of the Wood-Eating Catfish Panaque nigrolineatus by McDonald, R. C., Watts, J. E. M., and Schreier, H. J. (2019). Front. Microbiol. 10:2687. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02687

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 3** as published. All exponents were incorrectly shown as positive values when they should have been negative. The corrected **Table 3** appears below.

TABLE 3 | Relative abundance of the three classes of cellulose degrading enzymes based on predictive metagenomics.

	Mixed diet	Wood diet
Endoglucanase (EC:3.2.1.4)	$4.01 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.50 \times 10^{-4} \pm 8.74 \times 10^{-5}$
β-Glucosidase (EC:3.2.1.21)	$1.25 \times 10^{-4} \pm 9.21 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.59 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.90 \times 10^{-4}$
Cellulose 1,4-β-cellobiosidase (EC:3.2.1.91)	$1.04 \times 10^{-7} \pm 2.03 \times 10^{-7}$	$6.19 \times 10^{-7} \pm 8.98 \times 10^{-7}$

Abundances were calculated using PICRUSt (see methods) and compared across diet type and tissue region.

1

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 McDonald, Watts and Schreier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.