



## The Transfer of the Ferredoxin Gene From the Chloroplast to the Nuclear Genome Is Ancient Within the Paraphyletic Genus *Thalassiosira*

#### Alexandra-Sophie Roy1\*, Christian Woehle2 and Julie LaRoche3\*

<sup>1</sup> Genomic Microbiology, Institute of General Microbiology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany, <sup>2</sup> Max Planck-Genome-Centre Cologne, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne, Germany, <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Jackie L. Collier, Stony Brook University, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Daniel Tamarit, Wageningen University & Research, Netherlands Gwenael Piganeau, UMR7232 Biologie Intégrative des Organismes Marins (BIOM), France

#### \*Correspondence:

Alexandra-Sophie Roy sroy@ifam.uni-kiel.de Julie LaRoche julie.laroche@dal.ca

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Aquatic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 30 December 2019 Accepted: 07 September 2020 Published: 02 October 2020

#### Citation:

Roy A-S, Woehle C and LaRoche J (2020) The Transfer of the Ferredoxin Gene From the Chloroplast to the Nuclear Genome Is Ancient Within the Paraphyletic Genus Thalassiosira. Front. Microbiol. 11:523689. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.523689 Ferredoxins are iron-sulfur proteins essential for a wide range of organisms because they are an electron transfer mediator involved in multiple metabolic pathways. In phytoplankton, these proteins are active in the mature chloroplasts, but the petF gene, encoding for ferredoxin, has been found either to be in the chloroplast genome or transferred to the nuclear genome as observed in the green algae and higher plant lineage. We experimentally determined the location of the petF gene in 12 strains of Thalassiosira covering three species using DNA sequencing and gPCR assays. The results showed that *petF* gene is located in the nuclear genome of all confirmed Thalassiosira oceanica strains (CCMP0999, 1001, 1005, and 1006) tested. In contrast, all Thalassiosira pseudonana (CCMP1012, 1013, 1014, and 1335) and Thalassiosira weissflogii (CCMP1010, 1049, and 1052) strains studied retained the gene in the chloroplast genome, as generally observed for Bacillariophyceae. Our evolutionary analyses further extend the dataset on the localization of the petF gene in the Thalassiosirales. The realization that the *petF* gene is nuclear-encoded in the Skeletonema genus allowed us to trace the petF gene transfer back to a single event that occurred within the paraphyletic genus Thalassiosira. Phylogenetic analyses revealed the need to reassess the taxonomic assignment of the Thalassiosira strain CCMP1616, since the genes used in our study did not cluster within the T. oceanica lineage. Our results suggest that this strains' diversification occurred prior to the ferredoxin gene transfer event. The functional transfer of *petF* genes provides insight into the evolutionary processes leading to chloroplast genome reduction and suggests ecological adaptation as a driving force for such chloroplast to nuclear gene transfer.

Keywords: ferredoxin, gene transfer, Thalassiosira genus, T. pseudonana, T. weissflogii, PETF, T. oceanica, phylogenetics

**Abbreviations:** Ct, threshold cycle; Fe, iron; ITS, internal transcribed spacer; LCA, last common ancestor; *petF*, ferredoxin;  $petF_{CP}$ , chloroplastic genome petF;  $petF_{NUC}$ , nuclear genome petF; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; rbcS, small subunit of Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase or RuBisCO; tef1a, translation elongation factor 1 alpha.

## INTRODUCTION

Diatoms are widely recognized as some of the most ecologically successful eukaryotes on the planet (Armbrust, 2009), accounting for approximately 40% of the global net primary production (Nelson et al., 1995; Field et al., 1998). Although diatoms are widely investigated, the reason for their immense success is still not fully understood. The ecological success of diatoms has often been attributed to their functional plasticity allowing them to dominate in very diverse environments, from nutrientrich coastal areas to Fe-depleted, high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (HNLC) oceanic regions (Strzepek and Harrison, 2004). Iron (Fe) is known to limit the growth of diatoms in large areas of the oceans (Moore et al., 2004; Boyd et al., 2007), notably in (HNLC) regions where in situ Fe addition experiments have triggered large diatom blooms (Chisholm et al., 2001; Boyd et al., 2007). Although genetic adaptation can manifest itself on various levels, part of the adaptation mechanism in Thalassiosira oceanica (a diatom extremely tolerant to Fe-limitation) is related to the tight regulation of the stoichiometric composition of the components of the photosystem I (PSI) and II (PSII) and the intermediate electron carrier proteins (Strzepek and Harrison, 2004). Ferredoxin, as the first electron acceptor and an Fecontaining enzyme, will therefore play an important role in regulating the redox poise of the chloroplast electron transfer chain (Hanke and Mulo, 2013). In addition to the plasticity in T. oceanica's photosynthetic architecture allowing a reduction in the Fe-rich PSI relative to PSII, replacement of cytochrome C6 with plastocyanin, a protein that does not contain Fe (Peers and Price, 2006), allows for further reduction in the cellular Fe quota. Similarly, the replacement of the Fe-containing protein PetF by flavodoxin (Fld1A) was observed in many diatoms in Fe-limited growth conditions (LaRoche et al., 1995; McKay et al., 1997).

While the evolutionary origin of plastid in photosynthetic eukaryotes has been firmly attributed to primary endosymbiosis of a cyanobacterium (Martin et al., 2002; Kleine et al., 2009), the complex plastids of diatoms and many other microalgae result from the secondary endosymbiosis of eukaryotic red algae harboring functional plastids (Archibald, 2009; Zimorski et al., 2014). Massive transfer of genetic content from the ancestral plastid genome to the host genome (termed endosymbiotic gene transfer) characterized the evolution following the endosymbiosis event resulting in major genomic modifications of both the nuclear and plastid genomes. In fact, the photosynthetic apparatus of diatoms involves more than 700 genes coordinated by the plastid and nuclear genomes. However, modern chloroplast genomes host only about 200 of these genes (Kleffmann et al., 2004). The remaining photosynthetic proteins are encoded in the nuclear genome, synthesized in the cytoplasm and imported in the plastid by complex import systems that have co-evolved with N-terminal targeting sequences (Garg and Gould, 2016). The coordinated regulation of chloroplast and nuclear encoded genes in variable environmental conditions is essential to ensure photosynthetic function. Although transfer events that take place on an evolutionary timescale are generally studied by a comparative genomic approach, experimental studies with transgenic plants have demonstrated that the frequency of gene transfer from the chloroplast to the nuclear genome is higher than previously thought (Stegemann et al., 2003). In diatoms, plastid genome is subject to frequent internal rearrangements (Oudot-Le Secq et al., 2007) that promote the transfer of organelle-encoded genes to the nuclear genome (Martin et al., 2002; Richly and Leister, 2004; Bock and Timmis, 2008; Sheppard and Timmis, 2009; Sabir et al., 2014). Further, the multiple endosymbiotic events together with special features of centromeres in diatoms may have provided an ecologically driven mechanism for remodelling of the chloroplast and nuclear genomes (Diner et al., 2017). In T. oceanica (Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal CCMP1005 (Sunda and Huntsman, 1995; Strzepek and Harrison, 2004), a species with a true haploid nuclear genome (Lommer et al., 2012), the *petF* gene encoding for the ferredoxin protein PetF was functionally transferred from the chloroplast to the nuclear genome (Lommer et al., 2010). This is unusual because the *petF* gene is commonly found in the chloroplast genome of most diatoms including the closely related coastal species Thalassiosira pseudonana and Thalassiosira weissflogii (Grunow) G. Fryxell & Hasle (Gueneau et al., 1998; Lommer et al., 2012). The fact that T. oceanica maintains a higher growth rate under Fe limitation than T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii (Sunda et al., 1991; Maldonado and Price, 1996; Strzepek and Harrison, 2004) is interesting in regard to the *petF* gene transfer. Furthermore, it was established that the *petJ* gene, encoding for cytochrome C6, was transferred from the chloroplast genome to the nuclear genome in diatoms and that this transfer happened early in the evolution of diatoms, dating back to the separation between Leptocylindrus and all other diatoms (Ruck et al., 2014). It is speculated that some gene modifications such as deletions, inversions duplication, or other forms of adaptation could be evolutionary responses to environmental stress. For example, the presence of both *fld1A* and *petF* protein-coding gene in the nuclear genome may have originated as a response to Fe stress and possibly gave some diatoms an ecological advantage through time. It was also previously hypothesized that the functional transfer of the *petF* gene may provide *T. oceanica* an additional advantage to tolerate Fe limitation (Lommer et al., 2010). Here, we used quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) to determine whether the petF gene transfer to the nuclear genome was generally detectable across all strains of T. oceanica in addition to CCMP1005, for which such a transfer has been confirmed (Lommer et al., 2010). We also investigated whether the *petF* transfer to the nuclear genome is scattered throughout other isolated strains of the Thalassiosira genus. A common gene transfer at the origin of multiple strains could indicate for a shared benefit for the evolution of Thalassiosira genus. We cultivated and screened five strains of T. oceanica (CCMP0999, 1001, 1005, 1006, and 1616), four of T. pseudonana (CCMP1012, 1013, 1014, and 1335), and three of T. weissflogii (CCMP1010, 1049, and 1052) to determine the localization of the *petF* gene. The results established that the *petF* gene transfer is unique to T. oceanica. The experimental approach was complemented by phylogenetic reconstructions including homologs obtained from publicly available databases to extend the number of potential transferred *petF* genes by considering additional species from Thalassiosirales.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Strains and Cultures**

A total of 12 strains from three *Thalassiosira* species (**Table 1**) were obtained from the National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota (NCMA formerly CCMP<sup>1</sup>) and were cultured in sterile f/2 artificial seawater medium (Guillard and Ryther, 1962; Kester et al., 1967; Guillard, 1975). Each strain was cultured in duplicate at its optimal temperature and light conditions (**Table 1**) and was harvested in late exponential phase reached within 7 to 15 days. Cells were collected by filtration on polycarbonate filters (Durapore<sup>®</sup> 47 mm, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) with pore sizes compatible to the algae's size. Cells from each filter were resuspended in a small volume of sterile medium and centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to concentrate the cells in pellets, which were thereafter flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until DNA extraction was performed.

#### **Nucleic Acid Extraction**

Total nucleic acid was extracted using the DNeasy Plant mini kits from Qiagen® (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the standard manufacturer's protocol, with minor modifications. Changes to the protocol included a 10-s sonication of the cell pellets following the addition of lysis buffer, the washing step with AW buffer was done twice, and the rinsing step with 500  $\mu$ l of 96% ethanol was done thrice as recommended to increase yield. DNA was eluted in 250 µl of elution buffer and was finally sheared using a sterile hypodermic needle (30 gauge) attached to a sterile 1-ml syringe. DNA amounts were determined by microvolume spectrophotometer nanodrop ND-1000 (PeqLab GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) as the mean of triplicate measurements, and quality of the DNA was tested using the automated electrophoresis system Experion<sup>TM</sup> DNA-12K analysis kit from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, California, United States). All samples were kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further analysis.

#### **Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Gene transfer was assessed by comparing the threshold cycle (Ct) values of reference single-copy genes of known compartmental locations, either chloroplastic or nuclear, with the Ct values of the *petF* gene (chloroplastic, *petF*<sub>CP</sub>; or nuclear, *petF*<sub>NUC</sub>). The presence of multiple chloroplasts in *Thalassiosira* spp. results in multiple copies of chloroplast genomes; and because the chloroplast genome copies are naturally enriched in comparison with the nuclear genome, single-copy nuclear-encoded genes are easily separated from single-copy nuclear-encoded genes. Single-copy genes located in the nuclear genome of eukaryotic diploid cells are present in two copies per cell, while genes located in the chloroplast genome have several copies.

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction was performed with SYBR green master mix on the ABI Prism<sup>®</sup> SDS 7000 (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies<sup>TM</sup>, CA, United States) to determine the location of the gene of interest *petF*, by comparing its Ct value with single-copy gene of reference. Following our

<sup>1</sup>http://ncma.bigelow.org

previous work (Lommer et al., 2010), it was established that to accurately determine the location of the *petF* gene, two reference genes were necessary for comparison. The first selected gene was the translation elongation factor 1 alpha (tef1a), established as a single-copy gene by screening diverse phylogenetically unrelated genomes; this gene has a nuclear location and is used for normalization of the Ct values. The rbcS gene, encoding for the small subunit Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase or RuBisCO, was selected as the single-copy chloroplast gene used to characterize the chloroplast location. The 18S rRNA gene was also amplified with general primers for all Thalassiosira strains used in the study to confirm that all strains belonged to the Thalassiosira genus. The qPCR reactions (25 µl) were composed of 12.5 µl of Platinum SYBRgreen® (Invitrogen by Life Technologies<sup>TM</sup>, Carlsbad, CA, United States), 0.5 µl of 10 µM forward and reverse primers each, 6.5 µl of PCR- $H_2O$ , and 5 µl of sheared gDNA template. The reactions were carried out with cycling conditions of 2 min at 50°C, 2 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of (15 s at 95°C and 30 s at 60°C), 15 s at 95°C followed by a dissociation stage of 20 s at 60°C, and a final extension of 15 s at 95°C. Triplicates of two independent biological samples per strain and no-template controls were run for each primer pair. The designed primer sets (Primer Express v2.0 by Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies<sup>TM</sup>, Carlsbad, CA, United States) were tested and optimized (Supplementary Table S2; Lommer et al., 2010) to detect these specific genes (amplicon of approximately 100 bp) with high amplification efficiency (>95%). The amplification efficiency of individual primer pairs was evaluated by using the LinReg program<sup>2</sup> on amplification curves from assays with equal DNA amounts from diverse Thalassiosira oceanica, Thalassiosira pseudonana, and Thalassiosira weissflogii. Relative gene copy number was assessed using Ct, where each Ct was equal to a two-fold concentration difference. The mean Ct values of triplicate reactions of the two biological replicates at a threshold level of 0.2 were used to compare the values of the gene of interest to the ones of the genes of reference. The *petF* copy number was compared with the Ct values of either the *rbcS* or *tef1a* gene. The Ct values are presented as the mean Ct values of the triplicate values of both biological replicates for each strain studied. To obtain a clear gene location, the gene copy number was calculated by normalizing Ct value from the gene of interest to the Ct values of tef1a and was thereafter used to calculate the relative gene copy numbers with the formula  $2^{\Delta Ct}$ , where the concentration fold difference is represented by  $\Delta Ct = Ct_{(geneofreference)} - Ct_{(geneofinterest)}$  (Pitti et al., 1998; Pelham et al., 2006). The tefla gene was compared with itself, as it is known to be single-copy gene and thus yielded the standardized value of 1.

#### petF, 18S, and ITS2 PCR

The *petF*, *18S* rRNA, and internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) regions were prepared for sequencing using standard PCR composed of 2.5  $\mu$ l of 10× DreamTaq buffer (Fermentas, Life Science, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), 1.25  $\mu$ l of dNTP's 10 mM, 2.5  $\mu$ l of each

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://www.gene-quantification.de/

Species	Strain CCMP	Env. T (°C)	Origin	Environment	Taxonomic author(s)
Thalassiosira Oceanica	0999	22–26	Continental slope; North Atlantic	Warm core eddy	(Hustedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. oceanica	1001	22–26	Continental slope; North Atlantic	n/a	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. oceanica	1005	22–26	Sargasso Sea	Oceanic	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. oceanica	1006	22–26	Sargasso Sea	Oceanic	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. oceanica	1616	22–26	Eastern Mediterranean Sea	n/a	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
Thalassiosira pseudonana	1012	11–16	Swan River Estuary, Perth, Australia	Estuarine	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. pseudonana	1013	11–16	Conwy, Gwynedd, Wales, United Kingdom	Estuarine	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. pseudonana	1014	11–16	North Pacific Gyre	n/a	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. pseudonana	1335	11–16	Long Island, NY, United States	Estuarine shallow bay	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
Thalassiosira weissflogii	1010	11–16	Stream, between Bermuda and New York	Oceanic	(Husdedt) Hasle & Heimdal
T. weissflogii	1049	11–16	Long Island Sound Sea, NY, United States	n/a	(Grunow) Fryxell & Hasle
T. weissflogii	1052	11–16	Skagerrak Sea, Oslo Fjord, Norway	n/a	(Grunow) Fryxell & Hasle

TABLE 1 | Tested Thalassiosira strains environmental details (n/a = not available, Env. T = environmental temperature).

Thalassiosira oceanica was cultured at 24.6°C, 70–90 μE, and 14/10-h light/dark cycles. T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii were cultured at 16°C, 100 μE, and 12/12-h light/dark cycles.

10 mM primers (Supplementary Table S2; Eurofins, Ebersberg, Germany), 0.25 µl of 5 U/µl of DreamTaq<sup>TM</sup> DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Life Science, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Template DNA for petF/18S rRNA gene amplification was 3 µl of DNA template and 13 µl of PCR water, while 1.5 µl of DNA template with 14.5 µl of PCR water was used for the ITS2 amplification. The petF PCR was carried out with cycling conditions of 30 s at 95°C, 30 cycles (30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 53°C for T. oceanica and at 55.8°C for *T. pseudonana/T. weissflogii*), 15 s at 72°C, and a final extension of 1 min at 72°C. The 18S rRNA gene was amplified as for petF except with an annealing temperature of 53°C for 30 s. The ITS2 regions were treated with modified ITS cycling conditions as described in Kumar and Shukla (2005) with cycling conditions of 10 min at  $95^{\circ}$ C, 10 cycles (1 min at  $95^{\circ}$ C and 1 min at  $55^{\circ}$ C), 1.5 min at 72°C, and 10 min at 72°C. Different primers were used to assure maximum amplification efficiency of each species (Supplementary Table S2). The size of the PCR products was thereafter verified by electrophoresis on a 1.3% agarose gel to assure presence and amplification of the DNA fragment. Positive samples were sequenced.

#### Sequencing

Standard 3730xl Sanger sequencing (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies<sup>TM</sup>, Carlsbad, CA) of the *petF* gene, the 18S rRNA, and the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region was carried out (Supplementary Table S2). Consensus sequences of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 per strain were obtained using SEQUENCHER 5.4.1<sup>3</sup>, MI, United States). Representatives of the Sanger 18S and petF sequences were determined with an automatic approach, as follows: the Sanger raw sequencing results for the 18S and petF were mapped to Thalassiosira reference sequences (18S accessions: AAFD02000029.651044.652838, AGNL01025219.4749.6540, and FJ600728.1.1764; YP\_874492.1, petF accessions: YP\_009093409.1, and EJK54785.1) via local BLAST (Version: 2.2.28+, also tested with the more recent version 2.10.1+, "blastn

-task blastn -e-value 1e-5 -max\_target\_seqs 1" and "blastx -evalue 1e-5 -max\_target\_seqs 1" for the 18S and petF, respectively; Altschul et al., 1997); and the first best hit aligned region on each query sequence was extracted. If multiple sequences per species were obtained, they were further combined using the CAP3 assembly tool (Version 02/10/15; Huang and Madan, 1999); the longest resulting sequence exhibiting similarity to one of the reference sequences was picked for phylogenetic reconstructions. In case of *petF*, the reference sequences were further used to determine the reading frame for translation into amino acid sequences via the EMBOSS transeq tool (Version 6.6.0.0; Rice et al., 2000). The final sequences for phylogenetics are deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and have the following accession numbers: MN809232-MN809243 for the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2, MN807452-MN807463 for the 18S, and finally, MN846055-MN846066 for the petF.

#### **Phylogenetics**

The PetF protein sequences from the genus Thalassiosira and related species were obtained from the NCBI databases (including target peptide sequences; May 2018; Supplementary Figure S1). The downloaded sequences were used as query for similarity searches to find additional protein homologs of species annotated as Thalassiosirales in the marine microbial eukaryote transcriptome project (MMETSP; Keeling et al., 2014). Best BLAST hits (e-value cutoff  $10^{-10}$ ) were extracted to determine candidate homologs and to define a representative protein sequence set. In some cases, amino acids were removed at the end or the beginning as likely incorrectly predicted N- or C-termini as revealed by multiple sequence alignments (see below). Here, we noticed that some protein sequences were not starting with methionine residues, while covering more than the full length of the NCBI Thalassiosira reference sequences (see above). We trimmed them from the start until the occurrence of the first "M" residue. Further, one "X" residue was trimmed from the C-terminus to adjust it to the other sequence ends for the same genus (see Supplementary Figure S1 for details on trimmed residues). Two distinct petF homologs found for Minutocellus polymorphus were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.genecodes.com/

Tratessbolra     0999     teffa     19.2     0.5     4.64E-03     1     1       oceanica     pelF     18.2     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       dcs     14.6     0.1     2.12E-09     24     1     2       pelF     21.5     0.3     -     3     2     Nuclear transfer       dcs     15.5     0.4     8.81E-10     1065     2     1     1       pelF     16.6     0.1     -     10     1     Nuclear transfer       dcs     12.2     0.2     9.49E-09     202     1     1       pelF     18.8     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       dcs     12.2     0.2     9.49E-09     202     1     1       pelF     18.8     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       dcs     Amplification     with TpT.w primers     Unknown     Unknown     1     1     1       pseudonana <th>Species</th> <th>Strain</th> <th>Gene</th> <th><i>x</i> Ct</th> <th>SD</th> <th><i>p</i> value</th> <th>Copy #</th> <th>SE</th> <th>Status</th>	Species	Strain	Gene	<i>x</i> Ct	SD	<i>p</i> value	Copy #	SE	Status
cceanica     pelf     18.2     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       ndo5     14.6     0.1     2.125-00     24     1       1001     leffa     23.1     0.9     1.48E-00     1     2       pelf     21.5     0.3     -     3     2     Nuclear transfer       nbc5     15.5     0.4 <b>2.83E-06</b> 1     1     1       1005     leffa     19.9     0.4 <b>2.83E-06</b> 1     1       1006     leffa     19.0     0.4 <b>2.83E-06</b> 1     1       1006     leffa     19.0     0.4 <b>2.83E-06</b> 1     1       1006     leffa     19.0     0.2 <b>3.49E-09</b> 202     1     Nuclear transfer       1006     leffa     19.6     0.3 <b>3.02E-06</b> 3     1     Nuclear transfer       1006     leffa     No amplification     Nuclear transfer     Unknown     Unknown       pseudonana     pelf	Thalassiosira	0999	tef1a	19.2	0.5	4.64E-03	1	1	
bcs14.60.12.12E-092.41 $\mu eff$ 23.10.91.48E-0212 $\mu eff$ 23.10.91.48E-0212 $\mu cs$ 15.50.48.81E-101962 $\mu cs$ 15.50.48.81E-101962 $\mu cs$ 15.50.48.81E-101962 $\mu cs$ 12.20.29.49E-092021 $\mu cs$ 12.20.29.49E-092021 $\mu cs$ 14.30.03.20E-08391 $\mu cs$ 14.30.03.20E-08391 $\mu cs$ 14.30.03.20E-08391 $\mu cs$ 14.30.03.20E-08391 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01511 $\mu cs$ 16.80.11.9EE-01511 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01511 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01511 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01111 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01111 $\mu cs$ 16.60.11.9EE-01111 $\mu cs$ 16.60.52.6E-07111 $\mu cs$ 16.60.6-2111 $\mu cs$ 16.60.6-2111 $\mu cs$ 16.	oceanica		petF	18.2	0.1	-	2	1	Nuclear transfer
1001     telfa     23.1     0.9     1.48E-02     1     2       pelF     21.5     0.3     -     3     2     Nuolear transfer       1005     telfa     19.9     0.4     8.81E-10     195     2       1005     telfa     19.9     0.4     2.83E-06     1     1       1006     telfa     19.6     0.3     1.04E-02     1     1       1006     telfa     19.6     0.3     1.04E-02     1     1       1006     telfa     No amplification     2     1     Nuclear transfer       1018     telfa     No amplification     1     1       pelF     18.8     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       1018     telfa     No amplification     1     1     1     1     1     1       pseudonana     pelF     15.5     0.2     1     1     1     1     1     1       pseudonana     pelF     15.5			rbcS	14.6	0.1	2.12E-09	24	1	
petf     21.5     0.3     -     3     2     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     15.5     0.4     8.31E-10     195     2       1005     1etfa     19.9     0.4     2.33E-66     1     1       petF     16.6     0.1     -     10     1     Nuclear transfer       petF     16.6     0.1     -     202     1     1       petF     18.8     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     14.3     0.0     3.20E-08     39     1     1       petF     No amplification     Vith Tp.Tv primers     Unknown     Unknown       rbcS     Amplification     Vith Tp.Tv primers     Unknown     Unknown       rbcS     15.6     0.1     1.5E-01     1     1       pseudonan     mbrF     15.6     0.1     1.5E-01     1     1       pseudonan     mbrF     15.6     0.1     1.5E-01     1     1     1       pseudonan <td>1001</td> <td>tef1a</td> <td>23.1</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1.48E-02</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td></td>		1001	tef1a	23.1	0.9	1.48E-02	1	2	
rbcS     16.5     0.4     8.81E-10     195     2       1005     teffa     19.9     0.4     2.83E-06     1     1       rbcS     12.2     0.2     9.49E-09     202     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     12.2     0.2     9.49E-09     202     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     14.3     0.0     3.0E-08     39     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     14.3     0.0     3.0E-08     39     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcS     No amplification     vert     No amplification     Vert     No amplification     Vert       rbcS     1616     1617     17.9     0.2     1.21E-08     1     1       pseudonana     petF     15.5     0.2     -     5     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     16.6     0.1     1.95E-01     5     1     1     1       pseudonana     petF     15.5     0.2     5.6E-07     1     1     1			petF	21.5	0.3	-	3	2	Nuclear transfer
1005     teffa     19.9     0.4     2.83E-06     1     1       pelF     16.6     0.1     -     100     1     Nuclear transfer       rbcs     12.2     0.2     0.49E-09     202     1     1       1006     teffa     19.6     0.3     1.04E-02     1     1       petF     18.8     0.1     -     2     1     Nuclear transfer       tbcs     14.3     0.0     3.20E-08     39     1     1       petF     No amplification     variability     3.20E-08     39     1     1       tbcs     No amplification     variability     No amplification     Variability     Variability     Variability     1       tbcs     No amplification     variability     12     1     1     1       pseudonana     vbcs     15.6     0.1     1.915E-01     5     1     1       pseudonana     vbcs     15.6     0.1     1.90E-01     17     1     1			rbcS	15.5	0.4	8.81E-10	195	2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1005	tef1a	19.9	0.4	2.83E-06	1	1	
hcs12.20.29.49E-092021100616f1a19.60.31.04E-0211petF18.80.1-211hcs14.30.03.20E-06321116161ef1aNo amplification320E-063211petFNo amplificationNo manufilicationUnknown11pseudonana10121ef1a17.90.21.21E-0611pseudonana161615.50.2-51Plastid retentionpseudonana161715.60.11.95E-0151Plastid retentionpseudonana161714.40.2-191Plastid retentionpseudonana161816.70.25.50E-0711Plastid retentionpseudonana161714.40.2-191Plastid retentionpseudonana161714.40.2-101Plastid retention1014161816.80.52.60E-07111petF15.10.6-71Plastid retention101416.70.25.50E-07111petF15.40.56.34E-0221Plastid retention1335164116.80.81.97E-04111weissflogipetF14.20.0- <td></td> <td>petF</td> <td>16.6</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>-</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td>Nuclear transfer</td>			petF	16.6	0.1	-	10	1	Nuclear transfer
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			rbcS	12.2	0.2	9.49E-09	202	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1006	tef1a	19.6	0.3	1.04E-02	1	1	
rbcS14.30.03.20E-083911616teffaNo amplificationUnknownpetFNo amplificationNo amplificationUnknownrbcSAmplificationNo amplificationUnknownrbcSteffa17.90.21.21E-081pseudonanapetF15.50.2-51pseudonanarbcS15.60.11.95E-0151pseudonanarbcS15.60.11.95E-0151pseudonanarbcS15.60.11.95E-0151pseudonanarbcS15.60.11.80E-0111pseudonanarbcS14.50.11.80E-01171petF15.10.6-21Plastid retentionrbcS15.40.58.48E-02211petF15.10.6-71Plastid retentionrbcS15.40.58.48E-02211petF14.00.6-71Plastid retentionrbcS14.20.36.58E-01611metsSflogiirbcS1.420.0-231rbcS14.20.0-2311rbcS14.90.5-263Plastid retentionrbcS14.90.52.40E-012531rbcS14.9 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>petF</td> <td>18.8</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>Nuclear transfer</td>			petF	18.8	0.1	-	2	1	Nuclear transfer
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } 1616 & tef1a & No amplification & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			rbcS	14.3	0.0	3.20E-08	39	1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } & No \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		1616	tef1a	No amplif	ication				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			petF	No amplif	ication				Unknown
Thalassiosira     1012     tef1a     17.9     0.2     1.21E-08     1     1       pseudonana     petF     15.5     0.2     -     5     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.6     0.1     1.95E-01     5     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.6     0.1     1.95E-01     5     1     1       petF     14.4     0.2     -     19     1     Plastid retention       petF     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1     Plastid retention       1014     tef1a     16.7     0.2 <b>5.50E-07</b> 1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       rbcS     14			rbcS	Amplificat	tion with T.p/T.				
pseudonana     petF     15.5     0.2     -     5     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.6     0.1     1.95E-01     5     1     1       1013     tef1a     18.6     0.5 <b>2.67E-05</b> 1     1       petF     14.4     0.2     -     19     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     5.50E-07     1     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     6.7     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     6.7     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     16.4     0.5     6.58E-01     6     1     1       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0	Thalassiosira	1012	tef1a	17.9	0.2	1.21E-08	1	1	
rbcS     15.6     0.1     1.95E-01     5     1       1013     teffa     18.6     0.5 <b>2.67E-05</b> 1     1       petF     14.4     0.2     -     19     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1     1       1014     teffa     16.7     0.2 <b>5.50E-07</b> 1     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     1       petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01 <td>pseudonana</td> <td></td> <td>petF</td> <td>15.5</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>Plastid retention</td>	pseudonana		petF	15.5	0.2	-	5	1	Plastid retention
1013     tef1a     18.6     0.5     2.67E-05     1     1       petF     14.4     0.2     -     19     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1     1       1014     tef1a     16.7     0.2     5.50E-07     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       meissflogii     petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.3     0.1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>rbcS</td> <td>15.6</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>1.95E-01</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>			rbcS	15.6	0.1	1.95E-01	5	1	
petF     14.4     0.2     -     19     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1     1       1014     tef1a     16.7     0.2     5.50E-07     1     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8     1.97E-04     1     1     1       petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       meissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25     3       1049     tef1a <td< td=""><td></td><td>1013</td><td>tef1a</td><td>18.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>2.67E-05</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>		1013	tef1a	18.6	0.5	2.67E-05	1	1	
rbcS     14.5     0.1     1.80E-01     17     1       1014     tef1a     16.7     0.2     5.50E-07     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     1       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8     1.97E-04     1     1       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8     1.97E-04     1     1       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     1       ueissflogii     petF     14.9     0.5     -     26     3     Plastid retention       1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2     2.40E-01			petF	14.4	0.2	-	19	1	Plastid retention
1014     tef1a     16.7     0.2     5.50E-07     1     1       petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1     Plastid retention       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8     1.97E-04     1     1     petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1     petF     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     9     1     1       petF     14.9     0.5     -     26     3     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25			rbcS	14.5	0.1	1.80E-01	17	1	
petF     15.1     0.6     -     2     1     Plastid retention       hbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8 <b>1.97E-04</b> 1     1       petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       tralassiosira     1010     tef1a     18.8     0.2 <b>1.15E-07</b> 1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.9     0.5     -     26     3     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25     3     1     1       1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2     1.23E-13     1     1     1       petF		1014	tef1a	16.7	0.2	5.50E-07	1	1	
rbcS     15.4     0.5     8.48E-02     2     1       1335     tef1a     16.8     0.8 <b>1.97E-04</b> 1     1       petF     14.0     0.6     -     7     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.2     0.3     6.58E-01     6     1     1       thalassiosira     1010     tef1a     18.8     0.2 <b>1.15E-07</b> 1     1       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       weissflogii     petF     14.2     0.0     -     23     1     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1     1       1049     tef1a     19.6     1.2 <b>2.70E-05</b> 1     3     Plastid retention       nbcS     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25     3     1     1       1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2     -     239     1     Plastid retention			petF	15.1	0.6	-	2	1	Plastid retention
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			rbcS	15.4	0.5	8.48E-02	2	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1335	tef1a	16.8	0.8	1.97E-04	1	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			petF	14.0	0.6	-	7	1	Plastid retention
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			rbcS	14.2	0.3	6.58E-01	6	1	
weissflogli   petF   14.2   0.0   -   23   1   Plastid retention $rbcS$ 14.3   0.1   1.79E-02   22   1     1049   tef1a   19.6   1.2 <b>2.70E-05</b> 1   3 $petF$ 14.9   0.5   -   26   3   Plastid retention $rbcS$ 14.9   0.5   2.40E-01   25   3     1052   tef1a   19.2   0.2   1.23E-13   1   1 $petF$ 11.2   0.2   -   239   1   Plastid retention $rbcS$ 12.1   0.3   9.09E-07   129   1   1	Thalassiosira	1010	tef1a	18.8	0.2	1.15E-07	1	1	
rbcS     14.3     0.1     1.79E-02     22     1       1049     tef1a     19.6     1.2 <b>2.70E-05</b> 1     3       petF     14.9     0.5     -     26     3     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25     3       1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2 <b>1.23E-13</b> 1     1       petF     11.2     0.2     -     239     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     12.1     0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129     1     1	weissflogii		petF	14.2	0.0	-	23	1	Plastid retention
1049   tef1a   19.6   1.2   2.70E-05   1   3     petF   14.9   0.5   -   26   3   Plastid retention     rbcS   14.9   0.5   2.40E-01   25   3     1052   tef1a   19.2   0.2   1.23E-13   1   1     petF   11.2   0.2   -   239   1   Plastid retention     rbcS   12.1   0.3   9.09E-07   129   1	U		rbcS	14.3	0.1	1.79E-02	22	1	
petF     14.9     0.5     -     26     3     Plastid retention       rbcS     14.9     0.5     2.40E-01     25     3       1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2 <b>1.23E-13</b> 1     1       petF     11.2     0.2     -     239     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     12.1     0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129     1     Plastid retention		1049	tef1a	19.6	1.2	2.70E-05	1	3	
rbcS 14.9 0.5 2.40E-01 25 3   1052 tef1a 19.2 0.2 <b>1.23E-13</b> 1 1   petF 11.2 0.2 - 239 1 Plastid retention   rbcS 12.1 0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129 1			petF	14.9	0.5	-	26	3	Plastid retention
1052     tef1a     19.2     0.2 <b>1.23E-13</b> 1     1       petF     11.2     0.2     -     239     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     12.1     0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129     1			rbcS	14.9	0.5	2.40E-01	25	3	
petF     11.2     0.2     -     239     1     Plastid retention       rbcS     12.1     0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129     1		1052	tef1a	19.2	0.2	1.23E-13	1	1	
rbcS 12.1 0.3 <b>9.09E-07</b> 129 1			petF	11.2	0.2	-	239	1	Plastid retention
			rbcS	12.1	0.3	9.09E-07	129	1	

**TABLE 2** | *petF* location status (Status) based on relative copy numbers (Copy #)  $\pm$ SE and paired two-sample *t*-test for means Ct values ( $\bar{x}$  Ct) of amplification curves  $\pm$ SD, where mean Ct values are from triplicate qPCRs of two biological replicates.

Differences between petF and tef1a/rbcS (references) are significant when p < 0.01 (bold).

discarded because we were not able to differentiate clearly if they represented two diversified gene copies or contamination by another diatom species (accessions: CAMPEP\_0197733592 and CAMPEP\_0197725580). All sequence alignments were reconstructed using MAFFT tool v7.123b (options: "-maxiterate 1000 -localpair"; Katoh and Standley, 2013). First, the NCBI homologs were aligned, followed by the gradual addition of homologs derived from MMETSP and from the Sanger sequencing via the "-add" and "-addFragments" alignment options, respectively. Finally, phylogenetic trees were produced from alignments using IQ-TREE 1.5.5 with 1,000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates and the ModelFinder function enabled (Inferred model of substitution: WAG+G4; Nguyen et al., 2015). An alternative phylogeny without target peptides was reconstructed after removal of the first 48 alignment columns that were found to cover potential target peptide sequences. The *18S* phylogeny of the species sequence set corresponding to the PetF proteins was obtained from the SILVA sequences database (May 2018; Quast et al., 2013) and from sequences provided by the MMETSP project for individual eukaryotic transcriptomes. The *18S* of *Conticribra weissflogiopsis* (accession: KT347147.1.3358) was additionally included because it was found to be the most closely related to the *18S* of *T. oceanica* CCMP1616 as determined by the SILVA webservice "Search and classify" tool (min. identity: 0.95; number of neighbors: 1). Phylogenies for the *18S* sequences were reconstructed with MAFFT and IQ-TREE applying the same parameters as for the PetF proteins (inferred model of substitution: TIM3+F+R2).

First, the 18S sequences from databases were aligned with the "-maxiterate 1000 -localpair -adjustdirection" options of MAFFT, and Sanger sequencing results were added (MAFFT; options: "-addFragments"). The ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 phylogeny was produced directly from the consensus sequences (inferred model of substitution: HKY+F+G4) with *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* as the outgroup (accession: EF553458.1). Alignments and phylogenetic trees were uploaded to FigShare (figshare.com; doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.12783209). To determine if the petF gene is encoded on plastid genomes of other algae, the GenBank annotations of all 2,670 RefSeq plastid genomes (May 2018; O'Leary et al., 2016) were downloaded and searched for petF genes (string search: "gene = PetF"). Algal taxa known to harbor plastids of green algal origin (i.e., 'Viridiplantae,' 'Euglenozoa,' 'Rhizaria,' and 'Lepidodinium') were excluded (Supplementary Table S1).

## RESULTS

## Transfer of *petF* Gene Was Uniquely Found in the *Thalassiosira oceanica* Strains

We applied a qPCR assay on multiple strains of three Thalassiosira spp., namely, Thalassiosira oceanica, Thalassiosira pseudonana, and Thalassiosira weissflogii. Examples of the results obtained for  $petF_{NUC}$  and  $petF_{CP}$  localization are presented in Figure 1 where the *petF* location is determined by comparing its gene copy number with the gene copy numbers of the nuclear and chloroplast reference genes from the same DNA extract. Details of the location of the *petF* gene for each strain and associated statistical tests are presented in Table 2. None of the no-template controls run for each strains had a signal. Within T. oceanica, five strains (CCMP0999, 1001, 1005, 1006, and 1616) were tested; and in all strains except CCMP1616, the *petF* gene copy number corresponded more closely to the copy number of the nuclear gene *tef1a* rather than to the copy number of the chloroplast-encoded rbcS gene, corroborating transfer to the nuclear genome (Figure 2 and Table 2). In CCMP0999 and 1005, the estimated copy numbers for the petF gene were statistically significantly different than both rbcS and petF gene copy numbers. However, the difference was much greater with *rbcS*, thus supporting a transfer of the *petF* gene to the nuclear genome, as demonstrated in Lommer et al. (2010). The qPCR results were not conclusive for T. oceanica CCMP1616 because the gene could not be amplified by any of the primers used for all of the other Thalassiosira strains from this study. The CCMP1616 strain originated from the Mediterranean Sea, whereas the four other strains were isolated from the open waters of the North Atlantic. Based on the qPCR assays, the strains of T. pseudonana (CCMP1012, 1013, 1014, and 1335) and T. weissflogii (CCMP1010 and 1049) were all confirmed to have retained the *petF* gene in the chloroplast genome (Figure 2 and Table 2). The qPCR assays conducted for T. weissflogii CCMP1052 resulted in petF gene copy numbers that were significantly different to both reference genes; however, the *petF* 

and *rbcS* (chloroplast) relative copy numbers are much higher than the gene copy number of *tef1a* (nuclear), suggesting that the *petF* is located in the chloroplast in keeping with the location for this species.

# Phylogenetic Affiliation of the *Thalassiosira* Strains

The *petF* gene transfers determined via the qPCR assays were further analyzed in the context of the phylogenetic relationships within the Thalassiosirales. Maximum likelihood trees of the ITS2 region, the PetF proteins, and the 18S rRNA gene were constructed for each experimentally studied strain and their closely related species. The highly intraspecific discriminating sequences of the ITS2 region were sequenced to provide a more refined classification of the strains within the Thalassiosira genus and to confirm their detailed taxonomic relationship (Amato et al., 2007; Moniz and Kaczmarska, 2009; Sorhannus et al., 2010). Classification on the basis of the ITS2 indicated a clear separation of the three Thalassiosira species studied where T. oceanica and T. weissflogii formed a monophyletic clade (Supplementary Figure S2). However, the ITS2 sequences from strains of the same species were nearly identical and therefore could not be distinguished on the sole basis of the diversity of their ITS2 region. T. oceanica CCMP1616 was the only outlier, which appeared to branch deeply in comparison with the other *T. oceanica* strains.

The phylogenetic reconstruction of PetF amino acid sequences showed that, with the exception of T. pseudonana CCMP1616 and T. weissflogii CCMP1052, the experimentally cultured strains of T. oceanica, T. pseudonana, and T. weissflogii grouped with *petF* genes from annotated genome sequences of the corresponding species (Figure 3). Here, we extended our analysis by including additional *petF* homologs from the genera Thalassiosira and Skeletonema obtained via transcriptome assemblies from the marine microbial transcriptome project (Marine Microbial Eukaryotes Transcriptome Project; MMETSP; Keeling et al., 2014). In the resulting phylogenetic tree (Figure 3), T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii CCMP1052 formed a close monophyletic relationship, albeit without bootstrap value support. Two strains of T. weissflogii were placed next to two database homologs for this species and one other member of Thalassiosirales (*Roundia cardiophora*). However, branch lengths here were zero, and there was no monophyletic clade that united all of the four taxonomic units of T. weissflogii. One strain of T. weissflogii (CCMP1052) grouped with T. pseudonana, while the T. pseudonana clade itself is a sister group to remaining species of the genus Thalassiosira. The latter comprised a highly supported monophyletic subclade (bootstrap support 92%) containing multiple Skeletonema spp., Thalassiosira spp., and four of the experimentally cultivated T. oceanica strains (CCMP0999, 1001, 1005, and 1006). As expected from the ITS2 phylogenetic tree results (Supplementary Figure S2), the PetF amino acid sequence of T. oceanica CCMP1616 formed a separate branch (Figure 3) from the other T. oceanica strains. The elevated evolutionary rates (i.e., branch lengths) estimated from the tree branch lengths connecting the root with T. oceanica CCMP1616



(0.09 substitutions per site) compared with the branch distance of T. oceanica CCMP1616 to its sister clade comprising the other isolates of T. oceanica and the genus Skeletonema (0.18 substitutions per site) indicate for an evolutionary transition. As previously observed (Groussman et al., 2015), most of the recovered petF transcript homologs of the mixed Thalassiosira-Skeletonema clade coded for a target peptide at the N-terminus (Supplementary Figure S1), indicating plastid-targeted proteins encoded in the nuclear genome and synthesized in the cytoplasm (Gould et al., 2008). Target peptides were not identified for the PetF amino acid sequences of Thalassiosira punctigera or Thalassiosira sp. strain NH16, but their N-termini appeared incomplete as they were derived from transcriptome rather than genome sequences and lacked the methionine start codon. The observed differences in evolutionary rates cannot be explained by the presence or absence of target peptides as demonstrated by another reconstructed PetF phylogeny after removal of alignment columns covering potential target peptide sequences

(Supplementary Figure S3). The complete PetF amino acid sequence is short (roughly 100 amino acids long) and on its own not suitable to uncover detailed phylogenetic relationships. To validate the results regarding *petF* and to obtain a large-scale resolution of the Thalassiosira taxon, an 18S rRNA phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on sequences obtained from the species and strains used in this study (Figure 4), together with additional species from public databases. The resulting phylogeny showed three distinct and highly supported clades formed by each of the species of interest in our study plus additional species of the order Thalassiosirales. Although the overall 18S rRNA phylogeny resembled that obtained for PetF, the differences in varying branch lengths of the clades were not observed as in the PetF tree. Nucleotide substitutions in rRNA genes and amino acid substitutions in proteins are not expected to behave the same. However, the overall relative branch lengths considering the same clades should be comparable. This suggests that the differences in evolutionary rates observed for trees of the 18S rRNA genes and





the PetF proteins were not simply caused by overall difference in genome evolution for the different species compared. Another notable difference between the *18S* and *PetF* topologies was the monophyletic grouping of the additional *Thalassiosira* species, other than *T. pseudonana*, *T. weissflogii*, or *T. oceanica*. The only sequence not clustering according to its currently assigned taxonomy was *T. oceanica* CCMP1616. This strain formed a clade with another species (*Conticribra weissflogiopsis*) within the Thalassiosirales. Although this grouping was not supported by the bootstrap analysis, these results suggest that *T. oceanica* CCMP1616 is more related to other species within the order Thalassiosirales than to other strains classified as *T. oceanica*.

#### petF Genes of Algal Plastid Genomes

All available genome annotations of plastids sequences for *petF* (RefSeq, May 2018; Pruitt et al., 2012) were searched to find additional *petF* gene transfer events in the evolution of diatoms and other algae (Supplementary Table S1). Here, we interpreted the absence of the *petF* gene in the plastid genome as supporting evidence for functional transfer to the nuclear genome. For the 21 diatoms (Bacillariophyta) plastid genomes available for screening, only T. oceanica CCMP1005 lacked a plastid-encoded petF gene (Lommer et al., 2010). Furthermore, we considered the complete 43 species set available for the Stramenopiles taxon with plastid genomes of diatoms and other photosynthetic eukaryotes. In addition to T. oceanica CCMP1005, we found that petF was missing in the plastid genomes of two members of Pelagomonadales (Aureococcus anophagefferens and Aureoumbra lagunensis) as well as in Pleurocladia lacustris. Based on our assumptions on the distinct taxonomic classes assigned to those species (Supplementary Table S1), only the two Pelagophyceae here would form a monophyletic group. All other species share a last common ancestor (LCA) with species from the same class that have a plastid-encoded petF gene. Thus, as we do not expect the *petF* gene to be transferred from the nucleus to the plastid genome, the most parsimonious explanation for the current observation would lead to at least three independent *petF* gene transfers (i.e., once in each of the classes Pelagophyceae, Coscinodiscophyceae, and Phaeophyceae). In order to obtain a broader sense on the petF gene location, we searched 146 plastid genomes representing other eukaryotic groups beyond the Stramenopiles taxon; only green plants were excluded as the *petF* gene is generally known to have been transferred to the nuclear genome (the Plantae Chlorophyta group; Martin et al., 1998, 2002). A petF annotation was not found in any of the plastid genomes of the searched (Supplementary Table S1) Haptophyceae, non-photosynthetic Apicomplexa, two closely related photosynthetic species of Chromerida (Chromera velia and Chromerida sp. RM11), and one species of Rhodophyta (Platysiphonia delicata).

#### DISCUSSION

#### **Phylogenetic Classification**

Our phylogenetic analyses confirm that all of the Thalassiosirales species studied here clustered together in one monophyletic group, supporting one common ancestor within the diatoms (Sabir et al., 2014). Our phylogenetic representations (Figures 3, 4) showed that the Skeletonema genus is nested within Thalassiosira as supported by previous studies (Medlin et al., 1993; Alverson et al., 2007; Chappell et al., 2013; McQuaid et al., 2018), indicating that the genus Thalassiosira is paraphyletic. The branch length between species in the phylogenetic trees presented (Supplementary Figure S2, Figures 3, 4) can be considered to represent genetic differences. While the tree topologies provide contradictory evidences on the exact relationship of the three species (T. pseudonana, T. weissflogii, and T. oceanica), their level of genetic divergence (Figures 3, 4) is congruent with previous demonstration that T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii are more closely related to each other than to T. oceanica (Luddington et al., 2012). This observation coupled with our knowledge of the distinct environmental conditions in which these species are detected (Table 1) reinforces the hypothesis that environmental factors influenced diatom speciation (Whittaker et al., 2012) and were possibly key for speciation in the *Thalassiosira* genus. The relative branching in the rooted phylogenies (Figures 3, 4) indicates that most strains of T. oceanica share a more recent LCA with the genus Skeletonema (and some additional Thalassiosira species) than the LCA shared with either T. pseudonana or T. weissflogii. Thus, this indicates that the LCA of T. oceanica and the Skeletonema lineage diversified after the LCA shared with T. pseudonana or T. weissflogii. It should be noted that the available genetic information for most species of the genus Thalassiosira is still scarce, and therefore our analyses were limited to the available species, leaving a degree of uncertainty regarding the complete phylogenetic relationship within the genus Thalassiosira.

We question the taxonomic identity of the strain classified as T. oceanica CCMP1616, after the petF gene failed to amplify with the primers used for the other T. oceanica strains (Figure 2). The classification of this strain with the wellestablished eukaryotic barcoding region ITS2 (Supplementary Figure S2; Coleman, 2003, 2009; Sorhannus et al., 2010; Caisova et al., 2011; Senapin et al., 2011; Whittaker et al., 2012) showed that CCMP1616 is unlikely to belong to the T. oceanica species because it is not part of the highly supported monophyletic T. oceanica clade. The 18S phylogeny further indicates that it instead stands as an independent neighbor of the other Thalassiosira clades, which cluster well according to their assigned species (Figure 4). Instead, our results suggest that the CCMP1616 strain may more likely be affiliated to Conticribra weissflogiopsis. We further compared our results with the 5.8S and ITS phylogenetic trees drawn by von Dassow et al. (2008), confirming that most tested strains formed clusters within their respective monophyletic groups as previously established, except for T. oceanica CCMP1616. Another study also used ITS2 to differentiate shifts in Thalassiosira spp. compositions and identified T. oceanica CCMP1616 as a different species, although it is morphologically similar to T. oceanica (Chappell et al., 2013). The results thus support that T. oceanica CCMP1616 is not part of the T. oceanica monophyletic group and was most likely misclassified (von Dassow et al., 2008). However, only further



genomic analysis will reveal whether strain CCMP1616 belongs to *T. oceanica* or another species.

#### **Gene Transfer**

The *petF* gene is typically found in the chloroplast of the Rhodophyta, Glaucophyta, Cryptophyta, and Bacillariophyceae (diatoms), whereas some other groups, Alveolata, Chlorophyta, and Streptophyta, have this gene in their nuclear genome (Martin et al., 2002; Lommer et al., 2010). The groups with nuclear *petF* have smaller chloroplast genomes ranging from ~30 to 100 protein genes versus  $\approx \geq 140$  for the ones that retained *petF* in the chloroplast (Kleffmann et al., 2004; Lommer et al., 2010). This gene content reduction is believed to result from gene loss during gene transfer causing genomic rearrangements or just by the transfer of the genes themselves (Lommer et al., 2010; Leister, 2005). Indeed, when insertion of organellar DNA to the nucleus

happens, major restructuring is expected to follow (Timmis et al., 2004; Leister, 2005). Stramenopiles (including Bacillariophyceae) typically have a  $petF_{CP}$ . However, it was previously discovered that in T. oceanica CCMP1005, a diatom with the typically large chloroplast genomic content of Stramenopiles ( $\approx$  140 chloroplastic genes), the *petF* gene was relocated in the nuclear genome and replaced by orf127 in the chloroplast genome (Lommer et al., 2010). It was suspected that the gene transfer may have been facilitated by the intrinsic features of the petF<sub>CP</sub> gene flanking regions. Large-scale chloroplast genome reduction should also benefit from an improved regulation of the transferred genes (Woodson and Chory, 2008) because it is known that the transfer process could possibly keep the genes in both places, creating a duplicate gene. The latter would raise a more complicated management of gene expression regulation and the protein level control as well (Oudot-Le Secq et al., 2007;



Roy et al.



Woodson and Chory, 2008). It is speculated that the relocation of *petF* could be connected to the intermittent regulation and use of *fld1A* and *petF* (LaRoche et al., 1995; McKay et al., 1999; Lommer et al., 2010; Whitney et al., 2011). Indeed, when Fe is limited, *fld1A* is up-regulated in many species of diatoms to support a continued efficient control of electron transfer for photosynthesis (LaRoche et al., 1995). It was demonstrated that gene relocation from the chloroplast to the nucleus increases with environmental stress, particularly with heat in plants (Wang et al., 2012). The association between higher heat and gene transfer is further supported by the suggestion that open chromatin regions, sites where such transfers may happen, have increased accessibility when cells are subjected to temperature stress (Wang and Timmis, 2013). A similar scenario can be envisioned for unicellular algae, especially for diatoms that inhabit marine oligotrophic surface waters subject to stress caused by high light intensities and nutrient limitation. However, this type of plastidto-nucleus transfer is only possible in organisms containing multiple plastids (Diner et al., 2017). Furthermore, the genomic DNA released by chloroplast lysis is considered a very important source for nuclear genome renewal or adaptation (Lommer et al., 2010; Diner et al., 2017). The genomic analysis of the petF gene transfer in different species is valuable by shedding light on the possible evolutionary processes that such chloroplast-tonucleus transfers and their regulation imply. Indeed, Woodson and Chory (2008) argued that plastid-to-nucleus gene transfers are advantageous because, when achieved as demonstrated for T. oceanica, they allow simpler genome regulation. The possible logistical challenges of controlling separated genome systems (organellar versus nuclear) by the cell are therefore avoided. The results from the qPCR assays and phylogenetic trees, together with the presence or absence of target peptide in the ferredoxin amino acid sequences, showed that the *petF* gene of all *T. oceanica* strains tested (except CCMP1616) was located in the nuclear genome, whereas the *petF* gene of all tested *T. pseudonana* and T. weissflogii strains was located in the chloroplast genome. The location of the *petF* gene in three strains (*T. oceanica* CCMP1005, T. pseudonana CCMP1014, and T. weissflogii CCMP1052) based on qPCR results was further clarified by the PetF phylogenetic tree that showed that these three strains clustered within their respective group (Figure 3). Further confirming the transfer to the nuclear genomes, the petF genes of several strains within this monophyletic clade (T. oceanica and Skeletonema sp.; Supplementary Figure S1) contained DNA sequences coding for a target peptide needed to target the protein to the chloroplast after it is synthesized in the cytoplasm. Although the full gene sequence for the *petF* was not available for all of the species we studied, the presence of target peptides in the pre-PetF protein is an additional confirmation of transfer from the plastid to the nuclear genome. Furthermore, mutation rates of nuclear genomes are expected to be at least twice as high as the mutations rates of plastid genomes (Wolfe et al., 1987; Smith, 2015), which is congruent with a recent study on the diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Krasovec et al., 2019).

The increase in the number of amino acid replacements represented by the length of the branches at the beginning of the T. oceanica CCMP1616 branch (with respect to the clade including Thalassiosira punctigera and Thalassiosira sp. strain NH16) suggests that the petF gene transfer happened there (Figure 3). This increased evolutionary rate is congruent with the petF gene relocation to the nucleus. Therefore, the 18S rRNA gene and *petF* phylogenetic trees support a scenario where the *petF* gene transfer took place before diversification of the members of this clade from the species of T. oceanica and the genus Skeletonema (Figures 3, 4). In conclusion, we were able to trace the *petF* gene transfer back in the ancestry of the genus Thalassiosira after speciation from the common ancestors with T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii. The gene arrangement of these two species was reported to represent the ancestry of the Thalassiosirales order (Sabir et al., 2014) and reinforced our findings on the functional transfer of petF. The change in the evolutionary rate further suggests that CCMP1616 diverged prior to the transfer event (Figure 3). Given the basal positioning in relation to the transferred homologs, one could speculate that CCMP1616 may represent an intermediate state, where

the *petF* was transferred to the nucleus but not yet affected by the evolutionary rate of the nucleus. The inclusion of the Skeletonema genus and other species of the genus Thalassiosira in our results strengthens the previous observations on *petF* being transferred in the Thalassiosira genus and being more ancient than previously reported (Lommer et al., 2010; Lommer et al., 2012). The petF gene was first found to have a nuclear homolog in the Chlorophyta-Plantae group after differentiation from their cyanobacterial ancestor (Martin et al., 1998). We confirm additional independent loss events amongst diatoms and other organism groups. Episodic evolution via multiple loss or independent acquisition is common in diatom adaptation (Theriot et al., 2015; Diner et al., 2017), as also seen with the psb28 gene (Jiroutova et al., 2010). The search in plastid genome annotations (Supplementary Table S1) highlighted the absence of the *petF* genes from several plastid genomes (Haptophyceae, non-photosynthetic Apicomplexa, Chromera velia, Chromerida sp. RM11, and Platysiphonia delicata). This absence suggests that the *petF* gene transfer from the chloroplast to the nucleus may have happened several times in evolutionary history. This aspect reinforces the hypothesis that the *petF* gene transfer occurred following environmental pressure and adaptation to different biogeochemical conditions, e.g., Fe limitation for phytoplankton (Chisholm et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2004; Boyd et al., 2007). In conclusion, these results indicate that although the transfer of *petF* to the nucleus is not essential to sustain a photosynthetic lifestyle, repeated endosymbiotic gene transfer of the *petF* supports an evolutionary benefit for photosynthetic eukaryotes.

Organellar DNA transfers were demonstrated to be continuously in progress and more frequent than anticipated (Fujita et al., 2008; Kleine et al., 2009) even if only a handful of research proved such transfer (chloroplast to nucleus in transgenic tobacco plant and mitochondrion to nucleus in yeast (Thorsness and Fox, 1990; Huang et al., 2003; Stegemann et al., 2003). Further evidences showed that high temperature could be the driving condition for functional gene transfer (Wang et al., 2012). Indeed, temperature stress was connected to the increased accessibility of chromatin regions, which were identified as the regions where successful organelle-to-nucleus transfers take place (Wang and Timmis, 2013). In the present Thalassiosira spp. case, the investigated species thrive in two different environmental temperatures. Thalassiosira oceanica grows in warmer water of 22-26°C, as does some Skeletonema species (e.g., Skeletonema costatum and Skeletonema ardens Yan et al., 2002; Kaeriyama et al., 2011), whereas T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii grow in 11–16°C water. This difference, but mostly the increase of temperature initially experienced by T. oceanica and possibly by some Skeletonema species, might have created the type of stress related to the improved accessibility of chromatin. Temperature and the exposition to different Fe concentration could have led to the functional *petF* transfer in T. oceanica and may have contributed to T. oceanica being remarkably tolerant to low Fe concentration compared with the other two Thalassiosira species studied here (Lommer et al., 2012). The reasons for successful organellar gene transfer are still unknown and need to be further investigated; however, some connections to ecological advantages through evolution are made. This capacity to adapt or even just to acclimate to a new environment will directly influence the composition of marine communities and their effect on the oceanic ecosystems (OceanStudiesBoard, 2010). Diatoms are well known for their ability to adapt successfully to new environmental conditions (von Dassow et al., 2008); and since one of the most successful diatom classes is *Thalassiosira* (Round, 1990; Kaczmarska et al., 2006), their response to environmental triggers to form great blooms in any oceanic water around the globe must be understood to predict their response to major environmental changes (e.g., ocean acidification and  $CO_2$  sequestration).

#### CONCLUSION

The present study shows that although the taxonomic assignment of cultured strains within three species of the Thalassiosira genus was confirmed, the taxonomy of the strain CCMP1616 could not be confidently assigned to Thalassiosira oceanica. Within these three closely related species (T. oceanica, Thalassiosira pseudonana, and Thalassiosira weissflogii), only T. oceanica diversified after the functional petF gene transfer from chloroplast to nuclear genome. It also demonstrates that the simple qPCR assay designed for this study is an appropriate tool for determining such gene transfer in closely related species and strains for which complete genome information is not available, thus providing insight into the evolutionary processes of chloroplast genome reduction. Our results showed that the petF transfer did not occur in T. pseudonana and T. weissflogii and confirms that the *petF* gene transfer happened after the differentiation of these three species. Moreover, additional species of the genus Thalassiosira and the genus Skeletonema expand the species exhibiting nuclear-encoded *petF* genes. The *petF* gene transfer occurred only once in the evolution of diatoms, but multiple times considering other closely related photosynthetic lineages. We speculate that the high tolerance of *T. oceanica* to severe Fe limitation and its ability to grow at higher temperature than other Thalassiosirales may be drivers for such transfer and that elevated temperatures might have increased the accessibility of chromatin, promoting the exchange of DNA as suggested by Wang and Timmis (2013). This hypothesis further supports that the functional transfer of the petF gene to the nuclear genome in T. oceanica may have originated from T. oceanica's preference for higher temperature, coupled with chronically low Fe concentrations in warm, oligotrophic surface waters.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the NCBI, MN809232-MN809243 for ITS1-5.8S-ITS2, MN807452-MN807463 for 18S, and MN846055-MN846066 for PetF.

Alignments and phylogenetic trees were uploaded to FigShare (figshare.com; doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.12783209).

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

A-SR and JL designed the experiments conducted by A-SR. CW designed and performed the phylogenetics analyses. All authors analyzed and interpreted the data. A-SR and CW wrote the manuscript with contribution by JL.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by BMBF project BIOACID (D10/1.1.2) and DFG grant RO3128/6-1 to JL.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Klüver and B. Weigel for their help with the laboratory culturing of the algae as well as M. Lommer for fruitful discussion about this work. Finally, we would like to acknowledge two reviewers whose comments significantly improved our manuscript.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2020.523689/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | Peptide homologs showing target peptide at the N-terminus typically found for plastid-targeted proteins encoded on the nucleus. A label indicates if the target peptide is suspected to be present; for sequences 8 & 9 information about the targeting peptide is missing. If protein sequences from public databases were modified for the alignment, the legend exhibits information on the details (See 'Note:').

Supplementary Figure S2 | ITS2 phylogenetic tree of experimentally cultured *Thalassiosira* (colored) with *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* as outgroup root; where only bootstraps values above 70 are presented.

Supplementary Figure S3 | Phylogenetic tree of ferredoxin (PetF) protein sequences without target peptides where experimentally cultured *Thalassiosira* strains are colored. Parametric bootstraps values above 70 are presented at the branches. The outgroup root clade contains *Rhizosolenia imbricata*, *Coscinodiscus radiatus*, and *Chaetoceros simplex*. For details on the sequences added from public databases see Supplementary Figure S1.

Supplementary Table S1 | Representation of the petF gene in plastid genomes of algae not considering green plants.

 $\label{eq:supplementary Table S2 | Oligonucleotides primers used for qPCR analysis (gray shading) and for Sanger sequencing.$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., et al. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402.
- Alverson, A. J., Jansen, R. K., and Theriot, E. C. (2007). Bridging the Rubicon: phylogenetic analysis reveals repeated colonizations of marine and fresh waters by thalassiosiroid diatoms. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 45, 193–210. doi: 10.1016/j. ympev.2007.03.024
- Amato, A., Kooistra, W. H. C. F., Levialdi Ghiron, J. H., Mann, D. G., Pröschold, T., and Montresor, M. (2007). Reproductive isolation among sympatric cryptic species in marine diatoms. *Protist* 158, 193–207. doi: 10.1016/J.PROTIS.2006. 10.001
- Archibald, J. M. (2009). The puzzle of plastid evolution. Curr. Biol. 19, R81–R88. doi: 10.1016/J.CUB.2008.11.067
- Armbrust, E. V. (2009). The life of diatoms in the world's oceans. Nature 459, 185–192. doi: 10.1038/nature08057
- Bock, R., and Timmis, J. N. (2008). Reconstructing evolution: gene transfer from plastids to the nucleus. *Bioessays* 30, 556–566. doi: 10.1002/bies.20761
- Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., et al. (2007). Mesoscale iron enrichment experiments 1993-2005: synthesis and future directions. *Science* 315, 612–617. doi: 10.1126/science.1131669
- Caisova, L., Marin, B., and Melkonian, M. (2011). A close-up view on ITS2 evolution and speciation - a case study in the Ulvophyceae (Chlorophyta, Viridiplantae). *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11:262. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-11-262
- Chappell, P. D., Whitney, L. P., Haddock, T. L., Menden-Deuer, S., Roy, E. G., Wells, M. L., et al. (2013). *Thalassiosira* spp. community composition shifts in response to chemical and physical forcing in the northeast Pacific Ocean. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:273. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00273
- Chisholm, S. W., Falkowski, P. G., and Cullen, J. J. (2001). Oceans. Dis-crediting ocean fertilization. *Science* 294, 309–310. doi: 10.1126/science.1065349
- Coleman, A. W. (2003). ITS2 is a double-edged tool for eukaryote evolutionary comparisons. *Trends Genet*. 19, 370–375.
- Coleman, A. W. (2009). Is there a molecular key to the level of "biological species" in eukaryotes? A DNA guide. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 50, 197–203. doi: 10.1016/ J.YMPEV.2008.10.008
- Diner, R. E., Noddings, C. M., Lian, N. C., Kang, A. K., McQuaid, J. B., Jablanovic, J., et al. (2017). Diatom centromeres suggest a mechanism for nuclear DNA acquisition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E6015–E6024. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1700764114
- Field, C. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., and Falkowski, P. (1998). Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* 281, 237–240. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.281.5374.237
- Fujita, K., Ehira, S., Tanaka, K., Asai, K., and Ohta, N. (2008). Molecular phylogeny and evolution of the plastid and nuclear encoded cbbX genes in the unicellular red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 83, 127–133.
- Garg, S. G., and Gould, S. B. (2016). The role of charge in protein targeting evolution. *Trends Cell Biol.* 26, 894–905. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2016.07.001
- Gould, S. B., Waller, R. F., and McFadden, G. I. (2008). Plastid evolution. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 491–517. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092915
- Groussman, R. D., Parker, M. S., and Armbrust, E. V. (2015). Diversity and evolutionary history of iron metabolism genes in diatoms. *PLoS One* 10:e0129081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129081
- Gueneau, P., Morel, F., LaRoche, J., and Erdner, D. (1998). The petF region of the chloroplast genome from the diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii*: sequence, organization and phylogeny. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 33, 203–211. doi: 10.1017/ s096702629800170x
- Guillard, R. R. L. (1975). "Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates," in *Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals*, eds W. L. Smith, and M. H. Chanley, (Boston, MA: Springer), 29–60. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-8714-9\_3
- Guillard, R. R. L., and Ryther, J. H. (1962). Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. Cyclotella nana Hustedt, and Detonula confervacea (cleve) Gran. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 8, 229–239.
- Hanke, G., and Mulo, P. (2013). Plant type ferredoxins and ferredoxin-dependent metabolism. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36, 1071–1084. doi: 10.1111/pce.12046
- Huang, C. Y., Ayliffe, M. A., and Timmis, J. N. (2003). Direct measurement of the transfer rate of chloroplast DNA into the nucleus. *Nature* 422, 72–76. doi: 10.1038/nature01435

- Huang, X., and Madan, A. (1999). CAP3: a DNA sequence assembly program. *Genome Res.* 9, 868–877.
- Jiroutova, K., Koreny, L., Bowler, C., and Obornik, M. (2010). A gene in the process of endosymbiotic transfer. *PLoS One* 5:e13234. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0013234
- Kaczmarska, I., Beaton, M., Benoit, A. C., and Medlin, L. K. (2006). Molecular phylogeny of selected members of the order thalassiosirales (Bacillariophyta) and evolution of the fultoportula1. *J. Phycol.* 42, 121–138. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2006.00161.x
- Kaeriyama, H., Katsuki, E., Otsubo, M., Yamada, M., Ichimi, K., Tada, K., et al. (2011). Effects of temperature and irradiance on growth of strains belonging to seven *Skeletonema* species isolated from Dokai Bay, southern Japan. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 46, 113–124. doi: 10.1080/09670262.2011.565128
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Keeling, P. J., Burki, F., Wilcox, H. M., Allam, B., Allen, E. E., Amaral-Zettler, L. A., et al. (2014). The marine microbial eukaryote transcriptome sequencing project (MMETSP): illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in the oceans through transcriptome sequencing. *PLoS Biol.* 12:e1001889. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889
- Kester, D. R., Duedall, I. W., Connors, D. N., and Pytkowic, R. M. (1967). Preparation of artificial seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 12, 176–179.
- Kleffmann, T., Russenberger, D., von Zychlinski, A., Christopher, W., Sjölander, K., Gruissem, W., et al. (2004). The Arabidopsis thaliana chloroplast proteome reveals pathway abundance and novel protein functions. Curr. Biol. 14, 354– 362. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.02.039
- Kleine, T., Maier, U. G., and Leister, D. (2009). DNA transfer from organelles to the nucleus: the idiosyncratic genetics of endosymbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 60, 115–138. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092119
- Krasovec, M., Sanchez-Brosseau, S., and Piganeau, G. (2019). First estimation of the spontaneous mutation rate in diatoms. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 11, 1829–1837. doi: 10.1093/GBE/EVZ130
- Kumar, M., and Shukla, P. K. (2005). Use of PCR targeting of internal transcribed spacer regions and single-stranded conformation polymorphism analysis of sequence variation in different regions of rRNA genes in fungi for rapid diagnosis of mycotic keratitis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43, 662–668. doi: 10.1128/JCM. 43.2.662-668.2005
- LaRoche, J., Murray, H., Orellana, M., and Newton, J. (1995). Flavodoxin expression as an indicator of iron limitation in marine diatoms. J. Phycol. 31, 520–530. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.1995.tb02545.x
- Leister, D. (2005). Origin, evolution and genetic effects of nuclear insertions of organelle DNA. Trends Genet. 21, 655–663. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2005.09.004
- Lommer, M., Roy, A. S., Schilhabel, M., Schreiber, S., Rosenstiel, P., and LaRoche, J. (2010). Recent transfer of an iron-regulated gene from the plastid to the nuclear genome in an oceanic diatom adapted to chronic iron limitation. *BMC Genomics* 11:718. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-718
- Lommer, M., Specht, M., Roy, A.-S., Kraemer, L., Andreson, R., Gutowska, M. A., et al. (2012). Genome and low-iron response of an oceanic diatom adapted to chronic iron limitation. *Genome Biol.* 13:R66. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-7-r66
- Luddington, I. A., Kaczmarska, I., and Lovejoy, C. (2012). Distance and characterbased evaluation of the V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene for the identification of diatoms (Bacillariophyceae). *PLoS One* 7:e45664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0045664
- Maldonado, M. T., and Price, N. M. (1996). Influence of N substrate on Fe requirements of marine centric diatoms. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 141, 161–172. doi: 10.3354/meps141161
- Martin, W. F., Rujan, T., Richly, E., Hansen, A., Cornelsen, S., Lins, T., et al. (2002). Evolutionary analysis of *Arabidopsis*, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 12246–12251. doi: 10.1073/pnas.182432999
- Martin, W. F., Stoebe, B., Goremykin, V., Hansmann, S., Hasegawa, M., and Kowallik, K. V. (1998). Gene transfer to the nucleus and the evolution of chloroplasts. *Nature* 393, 162–165. doi: 10.1038/30234
- McKay, R. M. L., Geider, R. J., and LaRoche, J. (1997). Physiological and biochemical response of the photosynthetic apparatus of two marine diatoms to Fe stress. *Plant Physiol.* 114, 615–622.
- McKay, R. M. L., LaRoche, J., Yakunin, A. F., Durnford, D. G., and Geider, R. J. (1999). Accumulation of ferredoxin and flavodoxin in a marine diatom in response to Fe. J. Phycol. 35, 510–519. doi: 10.1046/j.1529-8817.1999.3530510.x

- McQuaid, J. B., Kustka, A. B., Oborník, M., Horák, A., McCrow, J. P., Karas, B. J., et al. (2018). Carbonate-sensitive phytotransferrin controls high-affinity iron uptake in diatoms. *Nature* 555, 534–537. doi: 10.1038/nature25982
- Medlin, L. K., Williams, D. M., and Sims, P. A. (1993). The evolution of the diatoms origin of the group and assessment of the monophyly of its major divisions. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 28, 261–275. doi: 10.1080/09670269300650381
- Moniz, M. B. J., and Kaczmarska, I. (2009). Barcoding diatoms: is there a good marker? *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 9(Suppl. 1), 65–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009. 02633.x
- Moore, J. K., Doney, S. C., and Lindsay, K. (2004). Upper ocean ecosystem dynamics and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 18:GB4028. doi: 10.1029/2004GB002220
- Nelson, D. M., Tréguer, P., Brzezinski, M. A., Leynaert, A., and Quéguiner, B. (1995). Production and dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean: revised global estimates, comparison with regional data and relationship to biogenic sedimentation. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 9, 359–372. doi: 10.1029/95GB01070
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 268–274. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu300
- OceanStudiesBoard, (2010). Ocean Acidification: A National Strategy to Meet the Challenges of a Changing Ocean. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., et al. (2016). Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D733– D745. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1189
- Oudot-Le Secq, M.-P., Grimwood, J., Shapiro, H., Armbrust, E. V., Bowler, C., and Green, B. R. (2007). Chloroplast genomes of the diatoms *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Thalassiosira pseudonana*: comparison with other plastid genomes of the red lineage. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 277, 427–439.
- Peers, G., and Price, N. M. (2006). Copper-containing plastocyanin used for electron transport by an oceanic diatom. *Nature* 441, 341–344. doi: 10.1038/ nature04630
- Pelham, R. J., Rodgers, L., Hall, I., Lucito, R., Nguyen, K. C. Q., Navin, N., et al. (2006). Identification of alterations in DNA copy number in host stromal cells during tumor progression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 19848–19853. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0609635104
- Pitti, R. M., Marsters, S. A., Lawrence, D. A., Roy, M., Kischkel, F. C., Dowd, P., et al. (1998). Genomic amplification of a decoy receptor for Fas ligand in lung and colon cancer. *Nature* 396, 699–703. doi: 10.1038/25387
- Pruitt, K. D., Tatusova, T., Brown, G. R., and Maglott, D. R. (2012). NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): current status, new features and genome annotation policy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D130–D135. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1079
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Rice, P., Longden, I., and Bleasby, A. (2000). EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open software suite. *Trends Genet*. 16, 276–277.
- Richly, E., and Leister, D. (2004). NUPTs in sequenced eukaryotes and their genomic organization in relation to NUMTs. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21, 1972–1980. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msh210
- Round, F. E. (1990). Diatom communities their response to changes in acidity. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* 327, 243–249. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1990. 0059
- Ruck, E. C., Nakov, T., Jansen, R. K., Theriot, E. C., and Alverson, A. J. (2014). Serial gene losses and foreign DNA underlie size and sequence variation in the plastid genomes of diatoms. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 6, 644–654. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evu039
- Sabir, J. S. M., Yu, M., Ashworth, M. P., Baeshen, N. A., Baeshen, M. N., Bahieldin, A., et al. (2014). Conserved gene order and expanded inverted repeats characterize plastid genomes of Thalassiosirales. *PLoS One* 9:e107854. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107854
- Senapin, S., Phiwsaiya, K., Kiatmetha, P., and Withyachumnarnkul, B. (2011). Development of primers and a procedure for specific identification of the diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii*. Aquac. Int. 19, 693–704. doi: 10.1007/s10499-010-9384-x
- Sheppard, A. E., and Timmis, J. N. (2009). Instability of plastid DNA in the nuclear genome. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000323. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000323

- Smith, D. R. (2015). Mutation rates in plastid genomes: they are lower than you might think. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 7, 1227–1234. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evv069
- Sorhannus, U., Ortiz, J. D., Wolf, M., and Fox, M. G. (2010). Microevolution and speciation in *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (Bacillariophyta). *Protist* 161, 237–249. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2009.10.003
- Stegemann, S., Hartmann, S., Ruf, S., and Bock, R. (2003). High-frequency gene transfer from the chloroplast genome to the nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 100, 8828–8833. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1430924100
- Strzepek, R. F., and Harrison, P. J. (2004). Photosynthetic architecture differs in coastal and oceanic diatoms. *Nature* 431, 689–692. doi: 10.1038/nature02954
- Sunda, W. G., and Huntsman, S. A. (1995). Iron uptake and growth limitation in oceanic and coastal phytoplankton. *Mar. Chem.* 50, 189–206. doi: 10.1016/ 0304-4203(95)00035-P
- Sunda, W. G., Swift, D. G., and Huntsman, S. A. (1991). Low iron requirement for growth in oceanic phytoplankton. *Nature* 351, 55–57. doi: 10.1038/351055a0
- Theriot, E. C., Ashworth, M. P., Nakov, T., Ruck, E., and Jansen, R. K. (2015). Dissecting signal and noise in diatom chloroplast protein encoding genes with phylogenetic information profiling. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 89, 28–36. doi: 10. 1016/j.ympev.2015.03.012
- Thorsness, P. E., and Fox, T. D. (1990). Escape of DNA from mitochondria to the nucleus in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* 346, 376–379. doi: 10.1038/346376a0
- Timmis, J. N., Ayliffe, M. A., Huang, C. Y., and Martin, W. F. (2004). Endosymbiotic gene transfer: organelle genomes forge eukaryotic chromosomes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 5, 123–135. doi: 10.1038/nrg1271
- von Dassow, P., Petersen, T. W., Chepurnov, V. A., and Virginia Armbrust, E. (2008). Inter- and intraspecific relationships between nuclear DNA content and cell size in selected members of the centric diatom genus *Thalassiosira* (Bacillariophyceae). J. Phycol. 44, 335–349. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2008. 00476.x
- Wang, D., Lloyd, A. H., and Timmis, J. N. (2012). Environmental stress increases the entry of cytoplasmic organellar DNA into the nucleus in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 2444–2448. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1117890109
- Wang, D., and Timmis, J. N. (2013). Cytoplasmic organelle DNA preferentially inserts into open chromatin. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 5, 1060–1064. doi: 10.1093/gbe/ evt070
- Whitney, L. P., Lins, J. J., Hughes, M. P., Wells, M. L., Dreux Chappell, P., and Jenkins, B. D. (2011). Characterization of putative iron responsive genes as species-specific indicators of iron stress in thalassiosiroid diatoms. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:234. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00234
- Whittaker, K. A., Rignanese, D. R., Olson, R. J., and Rynearson, T. A. (2012). Molecular subdivision of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira rotula* in relation to geographic distribution, genome size, and physiology. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 12:209. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-12-209
- Wolfe, K. H., Li, W. H., and Sharp, P. M. (1987). Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 84, 9054–9058.
- Woodson, J. D., and Chory, J. (2008). Coordination of gene expression between organellar and nuclear genomes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 383–395. doi: 10.1038/ nrg2348
- Yan, T., Zhou, M., and Qian, P. (2002). Combined effects of temperature, irradiance and salinity on growth of diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. *Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol.* 20, 237–243.
- Zimorski, V., Ku, C., Martin, W. F., and Gould, S. B. (2014). Endosymbiotic theory for organelle origins. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 22, 38–48. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2014. 09.008

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Roy, Woehle and LaRoche. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.