



# Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 Exerts Antimicrobial and Cytotoxic Effects *in vitro* and Improves Blood Biochemical Parameters *in vivo* Against Notable Foodborne Pathogens

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Giovanna Suzzi, University of Teramo, Italy

### Reviewed by:

Rossana Sidari, Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria, Italy Jorge Reinheimer, National University of Litoral, Argentina

### \*Correspondence:

Fei Liu liufei@neau.edu.cn Guicheng Huo gchuo@neau.edu.cn; gchuo58@126.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 14 July 2020 Accepted: 31 August 2020 Published: 24 September 2020

#### Citation:

Evivie SE, Abdelazez A, Li B, Lu S, Liu F and Huo G (2020) Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 Exerts Antimicrobial and Cytotoxic Effects in vitro and Improves Blood Biochemical Parameters in vivo Against Notable Foodborne Pathogens. Front. Microbiol. 11:583070. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.583070 Smith Etareri Evivie<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Amro Abdelazez<sup>4,5</sup>, Bailiang Li<sup>1</sup>, Shijia Lu<sup>1</sup>, Fei Liu<sup>1\*</sup> and Guicheng Huo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Dairy Science, Ministry of Education, College of Food Science, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria, <sup>3</sup> Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria, <sup>4</sup> Institute of Microbe and Host Health, Linyi University, Linyi, China, <sup>5</sup> Department of Dairy Microbiology, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

Globally, foodborne diseases (FBDs) result in millions of sicknesses and deaths annually. Cumulative evidence suggests that the use of probiotic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains could be a viable alternative in inhibiting the activities of foodborne pathogens. This study aims to evaluate the in vitro antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and tolerance levels of Lactobacillus bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 against two notable foodborne pathogens -Escherichia coli ATCC25922 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923. Afterward, a 48 BALB/c mice-trial was used to assess its ameliorative effects on weight and serum biochemical parameters. Results showed that the cell-free supernatant (CFS) of this strain significantly inhibited both pathogens, but these effects were abolished at pH 6.5 and 7.0 (P < 0.05). Also, 6.96  $\pm$  0.02 log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 was still viable after three hours in simulated gastric juice and at pH 3.0, indicating that this strain was a potential probiotic candidate. Also, inflammatory activities in RAW264.7 cells were significantly inhibited using 10<sup>9</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 cells (P < 0.05). Significant weight losses were also prevented in the T<sub>LBSA</sub> (from 19.42  $\pm$  1.04 to 19.55  $\pm$  0.55 g) and T\_{LBEC} (from 22.86  $\pm$  0.90 to 14.77  $\pm$  9.86 g) groups compared to their respective model groups (T\_{SA} - from 21.65  $\pm$  1.80 to 20.14  $\pm$  1.84, and T\_{EC} - from 21.45  $\pm$  0.82 to 14.45  $\pm$  9.70 g). Besides, there was a slight weight gain in the S. aureus prevention group (T<sub>LBSA</sub>) compared to the model group ( $T_{SA}$ ). Serum biochemical analyses revealed that the total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and some mineral levels were markedly increased by S. aureus and E. coli administrations but were reversed to normalcy in both prevention groups (T<sub>LBSA</sub> and T<sub>LBEC</sub>). Interestingly, high-density lipoprotein

1

(HDL) levels, which were initially disrupted in the model groups, were restored in the prevention groups ( $T_{LBSA}$  and  $T_{LBEC}$ ). This study presents *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 as a promising probiotic candidate with antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, acid, and bile tolerant and lipid-regulating applications. It also gives valuable insights for targeted future *in vivo* treatment and prevention studies involving other probiotic LAB candidates. Future *in vivo* studies elucidating specific mechanisms behind the *in vitro* antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and *in vivo* ameliorative effects are warranted.

Keywords: L. bulgaricus, probiotics, anti-inflammation, tolerance, weight, biochemical, HDL, LDL

## INTRODUCTION

Foodborne diseases (FBDs) remain a persistent cause of illnesses and mortalities worldwide. Mitigation protocols target all stages of food production from farm to fork (US Food and Drug Administration [USFDA], 2017). Of particular interest are pathogenic Escherichia coli, known for causing diarrhea and hemorrhagic colitis in humans (Wasey and Salen, 2019), and Staphylococcus aureus, reputed for its global food safety problems (Liu C. et al., 2019). Previously, the World Health Organization (WHO) had assessed the link between FBDs and the possible food sources and identifying 11 food sources that are potential reservoirs for food pathogens (Batz et al., 2005; Pires et al., 2009). This and other previous reports again emphasize the constant dangers that these pathogens can pose as they can be quickly taken in by humans and cause a variety of uncomfortable conditions (Hoffmann et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). Besides, prompt and rapid detection of foodborne pathogens is essential to avoid FBDs (Sidari and Caridi, 2011; Kaden et al., 2018). The gut microbiota is a complex embodiment of a wide range of microorganisms that play vital roles in maintaining internal homeostasis. Tens of trillions of microbes housed here have significant effects on host metabolism, physiology, and immunity functions (Semenkovich, 2017). Several disorders, including FBDs, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular, and kidney malfunctions, have been traced to imbalances in the gut microbiome (Evivie et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2017).

There is a growing body of in vitro and in vivo evidence that probiotics could be useful in lowering incidences of FBDs by modulating the gut microbiome (Bron et al., 2017; Evivie et al., 2017). There is increasing use of probiotic single and co-culture strains in the treatment and prevention of FBDs as they are considered a therapeutic alternative with either minimal or no known side effects (Bian et al., 2015, 2016; Evivie et al., 2017; Evivie, 2019). It thus follows that the identification and validation of probiotic LABs that could be used in the formulation of industrially important and health-promoting yogurt drinks and other food products are imperative. The Asian-Pacific region has been recently projected to becoming the biggest yogurt consumer in the world by 2023, and this presents not only immense challenges but opportunities as well (Chan et al., 2019). At present, Turkey is the secondlargest yogurt consumer globally, with the United States being the lowest (Denissen et al., 2019). It has been demonstrated recently that a combination of blueberry and yogurt had

therapeutic effects on obesity, type-2 diabetes, and hypertension biomarkers (Shi et al., 2019). Also, skim yogurt with microbial transglutaminase is gradually gaining awareness among yogurt consumers (García-Gómez et al., 2019). Non-fat yogurt enriched with vitamin B ameliorated homocysteine levels in obese T2D patients (Binou et al., 2019). Consumption of probiotic yogurt by healthy subjects increased the overall diversity of the oral cavity microbiome in the short-term (Dassi et al., 2018). Consumption of yogurt drink containing Lactobacillus strains alleviated bacteria vaginosis (BV) symptoms in female subjects (Laue et al., 2018). These products are, however, less common in many developing parts of the world or expensive even if available (Westerik et al., 2019). In addition, the strain-specificity phenomena have informed the continued research into better candidates that could enhance the industrial and health value of dairy products.

The strain Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207, isolated from traditional dairy products from Sinkiang Province, China, has shown promising attributes recently in alleviating lead (Pb) toxicity in vivo in both prevention and treatment groups (Li et al., 2017). Besides, it can produce high amounts of pathogen-suppressing organic acid in vitro, improve immunity functions, and prevent organ damage in vivo (Evivie et al., 2019). However, nothing is known about its antimicrobial anti-inflammatory and tolerance properties (in vitro). In addition, its potential in vivo biochemical properties has not been reported. These findings will give further insight into its possible application as a probiotic food component and other possible therapeutic applications. The present study aims to investigate the *in vitro* antimicrobial properties of this strain against two foodborne pathogens (Escherichia coli ATCC25922 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923), its cytotoxic effects in murine monocytic cell line RAW264.7 induced by lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and tolerance in simulated gastric juice and bile salts. After that, an in vivo study assessing its impact on weight gain and a range of biochemical parameters was performed. It is also anticipated that this study will further enhance our understanding of its potential industrial significance.

# METHODOLOGY

## **Probiotics and Pathogens**

The Northeast Agricultural University's (NEAU) Key Laboratory of Dairy Science (Harbin, China) provided the *Lactobacillus* 

*bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 strain used in this study. Pathogenic *E. coli* ATCC25922 and *S. aureus* ATCC25923, obtained from the Heilongjiang Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau (Harbin, China), were prepared as described by Bian et al. (2015). For preliminary studies, the vaginal pathogen, *Gardnerella vaginalis* ATCC14018, was supplied by the Professor Xiangcheng Meng laboratory of the NEAU. All chemicals and reagents used in this research were purchased from reliable suppliers in China and of analytical grade. All experiments were carried out at the Bioengineering Unit of the KLDS, and safety precautions were strictly observed.

### **Cell-Free Supernatants (CFS)**

The preparation of cell-free supernatants (CFS) was performed as previously described by Bian et al. (2015) with slight modifications. Briefly, 2 mL of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 strain ( $10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) were inoculated into 100 mL of MRS broth and stored overnight at 37°C. Afterward, centrifugation was done at 10000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The CFS obtained was neutralized, and 2 M NaOH was used to adjust to the various pH levels for this study.

# Effects of pH Alternations and Enzymatic Actions on CFS Antimicrobial Activities

The CFS of the *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 was treated with different components - 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, catalase, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, proteinase K, and 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> papain to evaluate its antimicrobial effects against both pathogens. CFS, without any treatment, served as the control. The antimicrobial activities against *E. coli* ATCC25922 and *S. aureus* ATCC25923 after these treatments were assessed using the Oxford cup method as described previously by Bian et al. (2015), and results were presented as percentage values (%). Experiments were repeated thrice. This procedure was also followed to determine the preliminary antimicrobial activities of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 CFS against *G. vaginalis* ATCC14018.

### Acid and Bile Salt Tolerance Assessment

Assays testing the resistance of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 cells in gastric juice were carried out as earlier described by Charteris et al. (1998) with some modifications. Briefly, the strain was cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h and centrifuged at 10000  $\times$  g for 5 min at 4°C to collect cells. These cells were then washed twice with PBS buffer (pH 7.3) and suspended in PBS. Pepsin (0.3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added into PBS (pH = 1.5, 2, 2.5, and 3) to form the simulated gastric juice. Then, 3% (w/w, nearly 108 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) of the washed cell suspensions were inoculated into 1 mL simulated gastric juice and 0.3 mL NaCl (0.5%, w/v), mixed and incubated at 37°C. Viable counts were calculated at 0, 1, 2, and 3 h for testing the tolerance to gastric juice during the digestion of food in the stomach. Resistance to small intestine juice and bile salts were tested in a PBS solution (pH 8.0) with 0.1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>

pancreatin (Sigma) and PBS with 1% (w/v) Oxgall (Sigma), respectively, as described in Bian et al. (2015). Experiments were repeated thrice.

# Cytotoxic Effects in RAW264.7 Cells

Following the manufacturer's instructions, the CCK-8 (Cell Counting Kit-8) method was used to assess the anti-inflammatory potentials of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207, as recently described in Evivie et al. (2020). After digestion, RAW264.7 cells, with a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  mL/hole was inoculated in a 96-well plate. The supernatant was discarded, and cells were washed twice with PBS. Control and test samples were gently oscillated and incubated for 24 h. Cells were again washed twice with PBS, and then a 10  $\mu$ L CCK-8 solution was added to each control and test sample. After incubating for 2 h, absorption at 450 nm was measured using an enzyme marker, and the relative survival rate of macrophages was calculated according to the following formula:

Relative cell survival (%) =

 $\frac{\text{Sample absorbance} - \text{Blank absorbance}}{\text{Control absorbance} - \text{Blank absorbance}} \times 100$ 

### Animal Husbandry and Trials Animals and Experimental Design

A total of 48 BALB/c mice (7 to 8 weeks old and 20 to 25 g each) were purchased from the Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Company (Beijing, China). Also, six metal cages with eating and drinking sections were used for the treatment groups, giving eight mice/treatment. All BALB/c mice were kept in an environment-controlled room (25°C temperature and with a 12 h light/dark cycle). The acclimatization period was for one week, during which feed and water were provided ad libitum. Mice in the control group were administered with 200 µL of sterile normal saline, while the other five groups were fed, as shown in Table 1. The amount of LAB strains and pathogens orally fed to the animals during the period of study was 200 and 100 µL, respectively. The CFU count of the fed LAB strain was  $1 \times 10^8$ , and animals in each experimental group were fed twice daily. Weekly weights of the study animals were measured from acclimatization to the end of the study. This was to show the trend of the effect of the various diets on the animals. This study was approved by the NEAU Animal Ethics Committee (SRM-06).

## **Blood Biochemical Analyses**

After two weeks of study, all mice were fasted and sacrificed humanely. The serum centrifuged from the blood samples was used for clinical biochemistry measurement by an automatic biochemistry analyzer (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). The following parameters were tested: aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), urea,

TABLE 1	List of treatments administered to study animals.

S/N	Code	Content of treatment
1	С	200 μL of 0.5% saline solution
2	$T_{LB}$	200 μL Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207
3	T <sub>EC</sub>	100 μL Escherichia coli ATCC2522
4	T <sub>SA</sub>	100 μL Staphylococcus aureus ATCC2523
5	T <sub>LBEC</sub>	200 μL Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 + 100 μL E. coli ATCC25922
6	T <sub>LBSA</sub>	200 $\mu$ L Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 + 100 $\mu$ L S. aureus ATCC25923

TABLE 2 | Antimicrobial effects (%) of CFS from L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 against E. coli ATCC25922 and S. aureus ATCC25923 after enzymatic and pH treatments.

Strain	Treatment	Control	Escherichia coli ATCC25922	Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923
L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207	Catalase	$100\pm0^{a}$	$93.79 \pm 0.45^{b}$	$90.14 \pm 0.32^{b}$
	Proteinase K	$100\pm0^{a}$	$100\pm0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$
	Papain	$100\pm0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$
	pH 3.5	$100\pm0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$
	pH 4.0	$100\pm0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$	$100 \pm 0^{a}$
	pH 5.0	$100\pm0^{a}$	$71.94 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$	$68.91 \pm 0.27^{\circ}$
	pH 6.0	$100\pm0^{a}$	$9.82\pm0.38^{\rm d}$	$7.79\pm0.39^{d}$
	pH 6.5	$100\pm0^{a}$	$0 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
	pH 7.0	$100\pm0^{a}$	$0 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$

Values were expressed as Mean ± SD. All values were determined in triplicate. Values with the same alphabet along the same row are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

creatinine (CREA), sodium (Na), chlorine (Cl), calcium (Ca) and inorganic phosphorus (P).

### **Statistical Analyses**

Analysis of data from this research was performed by ANOVA using the SPSS v22.0 software (SPSS Inc., United States), and values were expressed as Mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). LSD was used to measure significant differences between mean values at a 5% level. Mean values and SD were calculated and presented in chart form as coordinate pairs with error bars.

## RESULTS

### Effects of Enzymatic Actions and pH Changes on CFS Antimicrobial Activities

The CFS of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 showed nearly 100% antimicrobial activity against both pathogens after treatment with proteinase K, papain, and a pH of 3.5 and 4.0. Catalase treatment lowered antimicrobial activities the most, compared to other enzymatic actions, giving 93.79  $\pm$  0.45% and 90.14  $\pm$  0.32% against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus growths, respectively (Table 2). Strain CFS antimicrobial effects reduced significantly as pH tended toward the neutral point, with antimicrobial activities against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus reducing by 86.35%, and 88.70%, respectively. No antimicrobial activities were observed at pH 6.5 and 7.0. CFS was generally more inhibitory against E. coli ATCC25922 than S. aureus ATCC25923. In this study, we also report for the first time, the potential antimicrobial effects of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 CFS against the vaginal pathogen, G. vaginalis ATCC14018 (Supplementary Table S1).

Viability count pН Time (h) 1.5 0  $8.27\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$ 1  $6.36 \pm 0.08^{b,c}$ 2  $3.88 \pm 0.06^{d}$ 3  $1.80\pm0.06^{\rm e}$ 2.0 0  $8.34 \pm 0.03^{a}$ 1  $7.16 \pm 0.04^{b}$ 2  $7.02 \pm 0.03^{b}$ 3  $6.91\pm0.03^{b}$ 25 0  $8.32 \pm 0.03^{a}$ 1  $7.25 \pm 0.02^{a,b}$ 2  $7.03\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$ З  $6.93 \pm 0.03^{b}$ 3.0 0  $8.32 \pm 0.03^{a}$ 1  $7.27 \pm 0.09^{a}$ 2  $7.05\pm0.04^{\rm b}$ 3  $6.96 \pm 0.02^{b}$ 

**TABLE 3** | LAB viable count under GIT conditions (log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>).

Values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. All values were determined in triplicate. Values with the same alphabet column-wise are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

### **Tolerance Assays**

The acid tolerance levels of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 was evaluated *in vitro*. This strain showed high tolerance in simulated gastric juice after three hours of incubation and at pH 3.0, where its lowest viability loss was recorded (1.36 log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> loss) and its highest loss after three hours at pH 1.5 (7.47 log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> loss) (**Table 3**). Also, high viability levels were observed at pH 2, 2.5, and 3.0 for three hours, and these were significantly different from viability counts at 0 h (P < 0.05). Interestingly,

Strain	Time (h)	Pancreatin (log CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Oxgall (log CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> )
Lactobacillus bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207	0	$7.30 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$7.41 \pm 0.04^{a}$
	1	$7.27 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$7.36 \pm 0.03^{a}$
	2	$7.23 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$7.32 \pm 0.02^{a}$
	3	$7.21 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$7.30\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$

Values were expressed as mean ± SD. All values were determined in triplicate. Values with the same alphabet column-wise are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

*L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 showed high survival rates in the presence of pancreatin (pH 8.0) and bile salts (1% w/v) after three hours of incubation. Besides, *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 showed  $<1 \log \text{CFU} \text{ mL}^{-1}$  loss after three hours of incubation (**Table 4**).

# Cytotoxic Effects of *L. bulgaricus* on RAW264.7 Cells

LPS-induced-RAW264.7 cells were treated with different concentrations of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 to determine its cytotoxic effects *in vitro* using the CCK-8 assay (**Figure 1**). The control was RAW264.7 cells without *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207. Results show that RAW264.7 cell activity was significantly reduced with  $1 \times 10^8$  and  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 concentrations, giving 93 and 71%, respectively (P < 0.05) while lower concentration levels were not significantly different from the control (P > 0.05).

### Weight of Study Animals

The weights (g) of mice in the six groups before, during, and after administration, with the various diets for S. aureus, ATCC25923 infection was evaluated (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S2). The average weight of  $T_{LB}$ -fed mice at the end of the first week of study was higher (23.78  $\pm$  1.28 g) than the control (23.21  $\pm$  1.21 g), although this was not significant (P > 0.05). Also, the T<sub>LBSA</sub> group had a higher average weight than the T<sub>SA</sub> group after one week of study. At the end of the second week, mice in the  $T_{LB}$ -fed group had higher mean weights (20.71  $\pm$  1.28 g) than those in the  $T_{SA}$  (20.14  $\pm$  1.84 g) and  $T_{LBSA}$  (19.55  $\pm$  0.55 g) groups. It was also observed that while there was a slight decrease in mean weight for the T<sub>SA</sub> group from the first to the second week of this study (21.65 to 20.14 g), there was a slight increase in mean weight in the TLBSA group (19.42 to 19.55 g) within the same period. This suggests that L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 could be useful in not only lowering weight loss in study animals within the two-week study period, but its administration could result in weight gain (P > 0.05). For the E. coli ATCC25922-fed group, the TLBEC group had a higher mean weight (14.77  $\pm$  9.86 g) than the T<sub>EC</sub> group (14.45  $\pm$  9.70 g) after two weeks of study, although this was significantly less (P < 0.05) than the T<sub>LB</sub> (20.71 ± 1.28 g) and control (21.53  $\pm$  1.24 g) groups (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S3). In addition, the weight of mice in the  $T_{LBEC}$  group was more than that of the  $T_{EC}$  group after one week of study, and these were comparable to mice weights in the  $T_{LB}$ and control groups.



**FIGURE 1** | *In vitro* cytotoxic effects of different concentrations (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 culture in RAW264.7 cells, Control - RAW264.7 cells; T1 - RAW264.7 cells; T1 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>5</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207; T2 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207; T3 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>7</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207; T4 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>8</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207; T5 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207, T5 - RAW264.7 cells + 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207, All values were obtained in triplicate and expressed as Mean ± SD. \*\*Significant difference compared to the control (*P* < 0.05).





### **Blood Biochemical Analyses**

After *E. coli* infection (T<sub>EC</sub>), mice AST levels increased significantly from 322  $\pm$  3.3 U/L (control) to 498  $\pm$  2.94 U/L (model group) (P < 0.05). A similar increase was observed in ALT levels (from 83  $\pm$  3.65 U/L to 239  $\pm$  1.1.63 U/L, respectively) (**Figure 4**). However, these parameters were restored to levels similar to the control and T<sub>LB</sub> group when *L. bulgaricus* 



KLDS 1.0207 was administered alongside *E. coli* ATCC25922 ( $T_{LBEC}$ ), demonstrating that *L. bulgaricus* KLDS1.0207 could be effective in preventing *E. coli* ATCC25922 infection activities. Total protein (TP) and albumin (ALB) levels were also elevated after *E. coli* infection compared to the control (P > 0.05) but were partially reversed in the  $T_{LBEC}$  group. After *S. aureus* administration, AST and ALT levels were significantly elevated (P < 0.05) compared to the control and the  $T_{LB}$  groups. These parameters were, however, reversed to normalcy in the  $T_{LBSA}$  group. Total protein and albumin levels were also returned to normal in the  $T_{LBSA}$  group. In all, results showed that *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 was effective in improving these parameters after administration of *E. coli* and *S. aureus in vivo*.

Mice TG levels in the T<sub>EC</sub> (1.42  $\pm$  0.02 mmol/L) and  $T_{SA}$  (1.58  $\pm$  0.07 mmol/L) groups were significantly lowered (P < 0.05) compared to the control group  $(2.46 \pm 0.36 \text{ mmol/L})$ . However, these levels were partially reversed in the  $T_{LBEC}$ (1.81  $\pm$  0.12 mmol/L) and T<sub>LBSA</sub> (1.94  $\pm$  0.02 mmol/L) groups, suggesting that E. coli and S. aureus pathogenicity not only lower TG levels in mice but that L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 administration could be effective in reversing abnormal TG levels (Figure 5). More so, HDL and LDL levels were significantly altered after E. coli and S. aureus administration (P < 0.05), but they were reversed to levels similar to that of the control group when L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 was fed alongside these pathogens ( $T_{LBEC}$  and  $T_{LBSA}$ ). Urea levels increased significantly (P < 0.05) after E. coli infection (T<sub>EC</sub>) compared to the control (64.23  $\pm$  1.34 and 29.63  $\pm$  2.81 mmol/L, respectively). This was reversed in the  $T_{LBEC}$  group (26.83  $\pm$  0.54 mmol/L). Similar trends were observed in the AST and ALT levels in the model (T.S.A. and T.E.C.) and prevention groups (TLBSA and TLBEC). Creatinine levels in the T\_{SA} group (69.20  $\pm$  0.54  $\mu$ mol/L) were also returned to normalcy in the T<sub>LBSA</sub> group (34.18  $\pm$  0.55  $\mu$  mol/L). Na, K, and Cl levels were also improved in the T<sub>LB</sub> group compared to the control and reversed in the TLBEC and

 $T_{LBSA}$  groups compared to the  $T_{EC}$  and  $T_{SA}$  model groups, respectively (**Figure 6**).

# DISCUSSION

### **Antimicrobial Activity**

As the global population soars, so has the demand for food. In the food and agricultural sectors, the use of chemicals poses health risks and is gradually being discontinued in many industrialized countries. As an alternative, food and agricultural product preservatives from environment-friendly sources like lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are being embraced (Fhoula et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2019). As probiotics, some LABs have improved health and prevented infection activities facilitated by enteropathogenic bacteria (Bian et al., 2015, 2016). However, because of strain-specific effects, only selected LAB candidates obtained from initial screening protocols are further studied (Yan et al., 2020). The current study evaluated the antimicrobial effects of the CFS of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 against two foodborne pathogens after subjection to enzymatic actions and pH changes. It was demonstrated that the CFS inhibited the proliferation of both pathogens, and this was not significantly affected by enzymatic activities (P > 0.05). As expected, the antimicrobial effects decreased as pH tended toward neutrality. Antimicrobial substances produced by LABs is a known mechanism for exerting probiotic effects against harmful microbes in the intestinal tract and thus have immense preservative, medical and biotechnological properties (Okereke et al., 2012). Earlier, Bian et al. (2015) showed that antimicrobial substances produced by L. helveticus KLDS 1.8701 could inhibit a range of foodborne pathogens, especially L. monocytogenes ATCC119115. Also, L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 can produce sufficient pathogen-inhibiting organic acid (lactic and acetic acids) in vitro, and this increased with carbon source supplementation (Evivie et al., 2019). Our recent report and findings from the current study strongly suggest that the inhibitory properties exhibited by this strain can be attributed to acid metabolites. This further confirms that this strain can be a potential source of bio-preservative in the food and allied industries. Further characterization of the antimicrobial substances produced, like bacteriocins and their specific mechanisms of action, is recommended for future research, as this data will support the use of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 as a potential functional food ingredient. In addition, the use of 'omics' techniques may give further insights into the antimicrobial pathways of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 (Evivie et al., 2020). Besides, preliminary trials showed that L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 could inhibit the growth of the vaginal pathogen, Gardnerella vaginalis ATCC14018 (Supplementary Table S1), reputed as a primary causal organism in the etiology of bacterial vaginosis (BV) (Peebles et al., 2019). Its CFS also had antimicrobial effects after pH alterations and enzymatic actions. Although the exact mechanism by which this vaginal pathogen was inhibited is not known, the current study can only hypothesize at this point that L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 may have potential



FIGURE 4 | Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), total protein (TP), and albumin (ALB) levels (U/L) of BALB/c mice in the control, and all trial groups. All values were obtained in triplicate and expressed as Mean ± SD.



use in the medical and pharmaceutical industries as a BV-inhibiting agent.

## **Tolerance Assay**

In the present study, the tolerance levels of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 in acid and bile salts were assessed to evaluate its possible probiotic effects. Our results show that this strain can survive through harsh stomach environment in adequate amounts (log  $6.96 \pm 0.02$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> after three hours) to have specific functions. The ability of sufficient quantities of LAB strains to transit the unfavorable acidic conditions of the stomach to the distal end of the ileum where it can proliferate to have beneficial effects is an essential indication of probiotic efficacy (Ljungh and Wadström, 2006; Rupa and Mine, 2012). This has been demonstrated in many previous studies involving *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus* strains (Thantsha et al., 2012; Ashraf and Smith, 2016; Evivie et al., 2017; Tang and Zhao, 2019).

A recent study assessing the biological and antidiabetic properties of *Lactobacillus* strains (including *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207) demonstrated that their acid and bile tolerant properties have the potentials for adhesion to or colonization of host intestine, which could endow them with anti-diabetic properties (Yan et al., 2020). Furthermore, in evaluating the tolerance levels of several promising commercial strains, Ashraf and Smith (2016) recently showed that some *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains could survive effectively under acid and bile conditions for up to 12 h, which again demonstrates the efficacy of specific strains to function as potential probiotics. *In vivo* studies are also required to validate these findings further.

# Cytotoxic Activities Against RAW264.7 Cells

Imbalances in the gut microbiota have been implicated in the onset and progression of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)



(Ryan et al., 2020). Accumulating evidence recommended the use of probiotics in IBD therapy against the traditional use of antibiotics (Liu M. et al., 2019). Also, Choi et al. (2019) recently posited that lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are known to worsen the IBD status of sufferers. From the preceding, research attention has been concerted toward the possible use of probiotic strains in LPS activities, thus alleviating IBD in humans. In this study, the LPS-lowering effects of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 were investigated, given its further application as a potential therapeutic ingredient. Results show that an increase in L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 cell count resulted in a significant decrease in RAW264.7 inflammatory activities. Previously, L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 has been shown to effectively alleviate lead (Pb) toxicity in vitro and in vivo, thus supporting the hypothesis that this strain could have promising antiinflammatory uses in the food and medical industries (Li et al., 2017). It should be noted that the specific mechanisms by which this effect was exerted is unknown and warrants further studies.

## Weight of Animals

In the present study, the administration of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 prevented significant weight loss (compared to the model disease groups) and, at other times, resulted in weight gain (compared to the control). This may be due to one or a combination of the *in vitro* inhibitory activities earlier reported in this study – CFS antimicrobial activities, organic acid production, survivability under acid, and bile salt conditions. Similar trends were observed in the activities of *L. bulgaricus* 151 in DSS-induced mice trials (Wasilewska et al., 2019). Herein, the researchers also interestingly observed a slight limited loss in weight, which is in agreement with what is reported at present. Previously, the weight of studied animals was shown to improve after probiotic administration, thus suggesting that specific single or multiple-dose strains could suppress pathogenic processes and pathways that promote weight loss (Aboderin and

Oyetayo, 2006; Niamah et al., 2017). In a preliminary trial, it was demonstrated that a co-culture of *S. thermophilus* KLDS 3.1003 and *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 had better effects on the weight of study animals than when both strains were administered separately (data not shown). Based on our previous and current findings, we propose that this co-culture could be used in formulating dairy products that have desirable antagonistic effects against selected foodborne pathogens. Further studies to investigate this hypothesis are thus recommended. These findings also suggest that *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 administration was more effective toward *S. aureus* ATCC25923 inhibition than *E. coli* ATCC25922.

## **Biochemical Analyses**

Hypercholesterolemia is a known leading cause of coronary heart diseases (CHD), with the World Health Organization (WHO) predicting that it would remain a major cause of death worldwide until 2030 (Thomas and Rich, 2007; Mohania et al., 2013; Dehkohneh et al., 2019). The possibility of experiencing a cardiac arrest is three times higher in hypercholesterolemic individuals than those with normal blood lipid levels (Kumar et al., 2012). Although the ameliorative effects of L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 against Pb-toxicity had been earlier reported, the present study is the first to report its preventive effects against E. coli and S. aureus pathogens by assessing in vivo blood biochemical parameters. It was revealed that the total cholesterol (TC) levels in the  $T_{SA}$  and  $T_{EC}$  groups were significantly higher compared to the control group (P < 0.05). These levels were reversed in the  $T_{LBSA}$  and  $T_{LBEC}$  prevention groups to those similar to the control group, thus demonstrating that L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 could have cholesterol-lowering effects. Raised cholesterol levels account for an estimated 2.6 million deaths globally, and a 1% reduction in TC levels results in a 2.3% reduction in coronary related risks (Baroutkoub et al., 2010; World Health Organization [WHO], 2018). Recently, tropical fruit-derived L. plantarum strains lowered both blood glucose and total cholesterol levels in Winstar rats after 14 days of administration (P < 0.05),

suggesting that probiotics can improve host health status by modulating biochemical parameters (da Costa et al., 2019). Also, the AST and ALT parameters are indicators of the proper functioning of vital organs like the liver (Hezaveh et al., 2019; Mirmozaffari, 2019). We show that although oral administration of these food pathogens negatively altered these parameters ( $T_{SA}$ and  $T_{EC}$  groups), the consumption of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 ( $T_{LBSA}$  and  $T_{LBEC}$  groups) ameliorated this situation. Although this is a welcome development, further studies are required to confirm our findings.

Also, HDL and LDL levels in the  $T_{SA}$  and  $T_{EC}$  groups were significantly altered compared to the control group, signaling the pathogenicity of both organisms. However, these anomalies were reversed when L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 was administered with the pathogens ( $T_{LBSA}$  and  $T_{LBEC}$  groups). LDL has also been implicated in incidences of cardiovascular diseases (Mattiuzzi et al., 2020). These findings align with a recent preliminary study showing that L. paracasei increased and decreased HDL and LDL levels in seven hypercholesterolemic patients (Chaiyasut et al., 2019). It is interesting to note that no high-fat diets (HFD) were administered in the present study before significant anomalies in the HDL and LDL levels were observed (P < 0.05). Recently, Aziza et al. (2019) assessed serum biochemical parameters in mice without feeding HFD and observed marked changes between the model (E. coli) and test groups. This again strengthens our position that food pathogens can disrupt many biochemical parameters (including HDL and LDL), and HFD does not need to be administered to observe significant variations in HDL, LDL, TG, and others.

Similarly, micronutrient levels that were disrupted by pathogen administration were at least partially reversed by L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 administration alongside the pathogens as a prevention protocol. In the future, the mechanisms behind these exerted effects will require further investigations to have a clear understanding of how this strain improves biochemical parameters. Also, the in vivo antimicrobial effect of this strain can be explored by considering more indexes related to toxin-producing or gut barrier integrity with more doses of the strain, and inclusion of positive and negative control strains. It may also be important to assess modulations in the gut microbiota of mice in these respective groups in the future to understand the mechanisms by which L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 restores normalcy to levels similar to the control and T<sub>LB</sub> groups. In all, findings from this study present L. bulgaricus KLDS 1.0207 as a tolerant strain with potential antimicrobial, cytotoxic, lipid-regulating, and anti-hypercholesterolemic properties which could endow it with promising future applications.

## CONCLUSION

Research investigating the effects of potential LAB strains against notable foodborne pathogens are still ongoing. This study assessed the *in vitro* antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and tolerance properties of *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207. Besides, the *in vivo* ameliorative effects of this strain on weight and serum biochemical parameters were evaluated. Results showed that its CFS had high antimicrobial effects against both pathogens even after enzymatic actions and pH alterations. It was also tolerant in simulated acid and bile salts. Finally, this LAB strain showed strong cytotoxic effects in murine RAW264.7 cells *in vitro* and improved weight and blood biochemical parameters *in vivo*. These findings put together, support the hypothesis that the *L. bulgaricus* KLDS 1.0207 is a probiotic strain with potential applications in the food and allied industries. Further targeted *in vivo* studies elucidating the mechanisms behind these effects as well as how these activities improve gut barrier integrity are warranted.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets presented in this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

## **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the NEAU Animal Ethics Committee (SRM-06).

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GH and SE conceived the study and acquired the funding for this project. SE, SL, AA, FL, and BL developed the method protocols. GH and FL validated the protocols. SE carried out formal analyses and wrote the original draft manuscript. SE and AA carried out the animal experiments. SE, FL, BL, and AA managed the resources for this study. SE, AA, and BL collected all data and administered it. SE, GH, and BL revised and edited the original draft. GH supervised the project. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# FUNDING

Grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFD0400303) and the Northeast Agricultural University Double First-Class Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (No. NEAUBH-18006) funded this study.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors appreciate Miss Wan Li, Miss Jin Da, Mr. Jincheng Du, and Dr. Guo Weikui, all of the College of Food Science, Northeast Agricultural University, for technical support. Constructive commentaries on the revised manuscript by Evivie, Ejiroghene Ruona is also highly appreciated.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020. 583070/full#supplementary-material

# REFERENCES

- Aboderin, F. I., and Oyetayo, V. O. (2006). Haematological studies of rats fed different doses of probiotic, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, isolated from fermenting corn slurry. *Pakistan J. Nutr.* 5, 102–105.
- Ashraf, R., and Smith, S. C. (2016). Commercial lactic acid bacteria and probiotic strains-tolerance to bile, pepsin and antibiotics. *Int. Food Res. J.* 23, 777–789.
- Aziza, A. E., Abdelhamid, F. M., Risha, E. F., Elsayed, M. M., and Awadin, W. F. (2019). Influence of Nigella sativa and rosemary oils on growth performance, biochemical, antioxidant and immunological parameters, and pathological changes in Japanese quail challenged with *Escherichia coli. J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 28, 354–366.
- Baroutkoub, A., Roushanzamir, M., Beglarian, R., Julayi, H., Sohrabi, Z., Mazloomi, M., et al. (2010). Effects of probiotic yoghurt consumption on the serum cholesterol levels in hypercholestromic cases in Shiraz, Southern Iran. Sci. Res. Essays 5:2206e9.
- Batz, M. B., Doyle, M. P., Morris, G., Painter, J., Singh, R., Tauxe, R. V., et al. (2005). Attributing illness to food. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11, 993–999. doi: 10.3201/eid1107. 040634
- Bian, X., Evivie, S. E., Muhammad, Z., Luo, G. W., Liang, H. Z., Wang, N. N., et al. (2015). In vitro assessment of the antimicrobial potentials of *Lactobacillus helveticus* strains isolated from traditional cheese in Sinkiang China against foodborne pathogens. *Food Funct.* 7, 789–797. doi: 10.1039/C5FO01041A
- Bian, X., Muhammad, Z., Evivie, S. E., Luo, G. W., Xu, M., and Huo, G. C. (2016). Screening of antifungal potentials of Lactobacillus helveticus KLDS 1.8701 against spoilage microorganism and their effects on physicochemical properties and shelf life of fermented soybean milk during preservation. *Food Control* 66, 183–189. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.02.004
- Binou, P., Yanni, A., Karathanos, V., Kartsioti, K., Chatzigeorgiou, A., Perrea, D., et al. (2019). MON-PO444 effects of daily consumption of yoghurt enriched with vitamin B in comparison to plain yoghurt on type 2 diabetic patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Abst. Clin. Nutr.* 38, S59–S296.
- Bron, P. A., Kleerebezem, M., Brummer, R. J., Cani, P. D., Mercenier, A., MacDonald, T. T., et al. (2017). Can probiotics modulate human disease by impacting intestinal barrier function? *Br. J. Nutr.* 117, 93–107. doi: 10.1017/ S0007114516004037
- Chaiyasut, C., Sivamaruthi, B. S., Sirilun, S., Tirawat, Y., and Sittiprapaporn, P. (2019). "A preliminary study on effect of lactobacillus paracasei on high-density and low-density lipoproteins level in hypercholesterolemic patients," in Proceedings of the 2019 16th International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics, Computer, Telecommunications and Information Technology (ECTI-CON), (Piscataway, NJ: IEEE), 442–445.
- Chan, Y. L., Jamalullail, N. A., Tan, C. P., Manap, M. Y. A., and Lai, O. M. (2019). Development of bio-yoghurt chewable tablet: a review. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 50, 539–553. doi: 10.1108/NFS-07-2019-0202
- Charteris, W. P., Kelly, P. M., Morelli, L., and Collins, J. K. (1998). Development and application of an *in vitro* methodology to determine the transit tolerance of potentially probiotic *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species in the upper human gastrointestinal tract. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 84, 759–768. doi: 10.1046/j. 1365-2672.1998.00407.x
- Choi, S. H., Lee, S. H., Kim, M. G., Lee, H. J., and Kim, G. B. (2019). Lactobacillus plantarum CAU1055 ameliorates inflammation in lipopolysaccharide-induced RAW264. 7 cells and a dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis animal model. *J. Dairy Sci.* 102, 6718–6725. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-16197
- da Costa, W. K. A., Brandão, L. R., Martino, M. E., Garcia, E. F., Alves, A. F., de Souza, E. L., et al. (2019). Qualification of tropical fruit-derived *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains as potential probiotics acting on blood glucose and total cholesterol levels in Wistar rats. *Food Res. Int.* 124, 109–117. doi: 10.1016/j. foodres.2018.08.035
- Dassi, E., Ferretti, P., Covello, G., Bertorelli, R., Denti, M. A., De Sanctis, V., et al. (2018). The short-term impact of probiotic consumption on the oral cavity microbiome. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10476. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28491-x
- Dehkohneh, A., Jafari, P., and Fahimi, H. (2019). Effects of probiotic *Lactobacillus* paracasei TD3 on moderation of cholesterol biosynthesis pathway in rats. *Iranian J. Basic Med. Sci.* 22, 1004–1009. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2019.33933.8073
- Denissen, K. F., Boonen, A., Nielen, J. T., Feitsma, A. L., van den Heuvel, E. G., Emans, P. J., et al. (2019). Consumption of dairy products in relation to the

presence of clinical knee osteoarthritis: the maastricht study. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 58, 2693–2704. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1818-7

- Evivie, S. E. (2019). The nexus between yoghurt consumption, yoghurt starter cultures and healthy living: progress and prospects. *Paper presented at the National Postdoctoral Academic Forum and Summit Seminar on the Development of the Green Food Industry in Heilongjiang Province at Harbin City, China on November 28, 2019*, (Washington, DC: National Postdoctoral Association).
- Evivie, S. E., Abdelazez, A. H., Li, B., Bian, X., Li, W., Du, J., et al. (2019). In vitro organic acid production and in vivo food pathogen suppression by probiotic S. thermophilus and *L. bulgaricus. Front. Microbiol.* 10:782. doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2019.00782
- Evivie, S. E., Huo, G. C., Igene, J. O., and Bian, X. (2017). Some current applications, limitations and future perspectives of lactic acid bacteria as probiotics. *Food Nutr. Res.* 61:1318034. doi: 10.1080/16546628.2017.1318034
- Evivie, S. E., Ogwu, M. C., Abdelazez, A., Bian, X., Liu, F., Li, B., et al. (2020). Suppressive effects of Streptococcus thermophilus KLDS 3.1003 on some foodborne pathogens revealed through in vitro, in vivo and genomic insights. *Food Funct.* 11, 6573–6587.
- Fhoula, I., Najjari, A., Turki, Y., Jaballah, S., Boudabous, A., and Ouzari, H. (2013). Diversity and antimicrobial properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from rhizosphere of olive trees and desert truffles of Tunisia. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2013:405708. doi: 10.1155/2013/405708
- García-Gómez, B., Romero-Rodríguez, Á, Vázquez-Odériz, L., Muñoz-Ferreiro, N., and Vázquez, M. (2019). Skim yoghurt with microbial transglutaminase: evaluation of consumer acceptance. *CyTA J. Food* 17, 280–287. doi: 10.1080/ 19476337.2019.1577304
- Hezaveh, Z. S., Azarkeivan, A., Janani, L., and Shidfar, F. (2019). Effect of quercetin on oxidative stress and liver function in beta-thalassemia major patients receiving desferrioxamine: a double-blind randomized clinical trial. *J. Res. Med. Sci.* 24:91. doi: 10.4103/jrms.JRMS\_911\_18
- Hoffmann, S., Devleesschauwer, B., Aspinall, W., Cooke, R., Corrigan, T., Havelaar, A., et al. (2017). Attribution of global foodborne disease to specific foods: findings from a World Health Organization structured expert elicitation. *PLoS One* 12:e0183641. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183641
- Kaden, R., Engstrand, L., Rautelin, H., and Johansson, C. (2018). Which methods are appropriate for the detection of Staphylococcus argenteus and is it worthwhile to distinguish S. argenteus from S. aureus? Infect. Drug Resist. 11:2335. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S179390
- Kumar, M., Nagpal, R., Kumar, R., Hemalatha, R., Verma, V., Kumar, A., et al. (2012). Cholesterol-lowering probiotics as potential biotherapeutics for metabolic diseases. *Exp. Diabetes Res.* 2012:902917. doi: 10.1155/2012/90 2917
- Laue, C., Papazova, E., Liesegang, A., Pannenbeckers, A., Arendarski, P., Linnerth, B., et al. (2018). Effect of a yoghurt drink containing Lactobacillus strains on bacterial vaginosis in women–a double-blind, randomised, controlled clinical pilot trial. *Beneficial Microbes* 9, 35–50. doi: 10.3920/BM2017. 0018
- Li, B., Jin, D., Yu, S. F., Evivie, S. E., Muhammad, Z., Huo, G., et al. (2017). In vivo and in vitro evaluation of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus KLDS1.0207 for the alleviative effect on lead toxicity. *Nutrients* 9:845. doi: 10.3390/nu9080845
- Li, M., Havelaar, A. H., Hoffmann, S., Hald, T., Kirk, M. D., Torgerson, P. R., et al. (2019). Global disease burden of pathogens in animal source foods, 2010. *PLoS One* 14:e0216545. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216545
- Liu, C., Shi, C., Li, M., Wang, M., Ma, C., and Wang, Z. (2019). Rapid and simple detection of viable foodborne pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus*. Front. Chem. 7:124. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00124/
- Liu, M., Zhang, X., Hao, Y., Ding, J., Shen, J., Xue, Z., et al. (2019). Protective effects of a novel probiotic strain, *Lactococcus lactis* ML2018, in colitis: *in vivo* and *in vitro* evidence. *Food Funct.* 10, 1132–1145. doi: 10.1039/C8FO02301H
- Ljungh, A., and Wadström, T. (2006). Lactic acid bacteria as probiotics. *Curr. Issues Intest. Microbiol.* 7, 73–90.
- Ma, B., Wang, J., Liu, C., Hu, J., Tan, K., Zhao, F., et al. (2019). Preventive effects of fluoro-substituted benzothiadiazole derivatives and chitosan oligosaccharide against the rice seedling blight induced by *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Plants* 8:538. doi: 10.3390/plants8120538

- Mattiuzzi, C., Sanchis-Gomar, F., and Lippi, G. (2020). Worldwide burden of LDL cholesterol: implications in cardiovascular disease. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 30, 241–244.
- Mirmozaffari, M. (2019). Developing an expert system for diagnosing liver diseases. *Eur. J. Eng. Res. Sci.* 4, 1–5. doi: 10.24018/ejers.2019.4.3.1168
- Mohania, D., Kansal, V. K., Nagpal, R., Yamashiro, Y., and Marotta, F. (2013). Suppression of diet-induced hypercholesterolemia by probiotic Dahi containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Int. J. Probiot. Prebiot. 8, 75–85.
- Niamah, A. K., Sahi, A. A., and Al-Sharifi, A. S. (2017). Effect of feeding soy milk fermented by probiotic bacteria on some blood criteria and weight of experimental animals. *Probiot. Antimicrob. Proteins* 9, 284–291. doi: 10.1007/ s12602-017-9265-y
- Okereke, H. C., Achi, O. K., Ekwenye, U. N., and Orji, F. A. (2012). Antimicrobial properties of probiotic bacteria from various sources. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 11, 9416–9421. doi: 10.5897/AJB11.3334
- Peebles, K., Velloza, J., Balkus, J. E., McClelland, R. S., and Barnabas, R. V. (2019). High global burden and costs of bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sex. Transm. Dis. 46, 304–311. doi: 10.1097/OLQ. 0000000000000972
- Pires, S. M., Evers, E. G., van Pelt, W., Ayers, T., Scallan, E., Angulo, F. J., et al. (2009). Attributing the human disease burden of foodborne infections to specific sources. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 6, 417–424. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2008.0208
- Rupa, P., and Mine, Y. (2012). Recent advances in the role of probiotics in human inflammation and gut health. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 8249–8256. doi: 10.1021/ jf301903t
- Ryan, F. J., Ahern, A. M., Fitzgerald, R. S., Laserna-Mendieta, E. J., Power, E. M., Clooney, A. G., et al. (2020). Colonic microbiota is associated with inflammation and host epigenomic alterations in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15342-5
- Semenkovich, N. (2017). The Effects of the Gut Microbiota on the Host Chromatin Landscape. Doctoral thesis, Washington University, St. Louis.
- Shi, M., Mathai, M. L., Xu, G., McAinch, A. J., and Su, X. Q. (2019). The effects of supplementation with blueberry, cyanidin-3-O-β-glucoside, yoghurt and its peptides on obesity and related comorbidities in a diet-induced obese mouse model. J. Funct. Foods 56, 92–101.
- Sidari, R., and Caridi, A. (2011). Methods for detecting enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli in food. Food Rev. Int. 27, 134–153. doi: 10.1080/87559129.2010. 535232
- Tang, W. H. W., Kitai, D., and Hazen, S. L. (2017). Gut microbiota in cardiovascular health and disease. *Circ. Res.* 120, 1183–1196. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117. 309715

- Tang, X., and Zhao, J. (2019). "Commercial strains of lactic acid bacteria with health benefits," in *Lactic Acid Bacteria*, ed. W. Chen (Singapore: Springer), 297–369.
- Thantsha, M. S., Mamvura, C. I., and Booyens, J. (2012). "Probiotics-what they are, their benefits and challenges," in *New Advances in the Basic and Clinical Gastroenterology*, ed. T. Brzozowski (London: Intechopen).
- Thomas, S., and Rich, M. W. (2007). Epidemiology, pathophysiology, and prognosis of heart failure in the elderly. *Heart Fail. Clin.* 3, 381–387. doi: 10.1016/j.hfc.2007.07.004
- US Food and Drug Administration [USFDA] (2017). FSMA Final Rule on Produce Safety. Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption. Available online at: https://www.fda.gov/ food/guidanceregulation/fsma/ucm334114.htm (accessed March 4, 2020).
- Wasey, A., and Salen, P. (2019). Escherichia coli (E coli 0157 H7). London: StatPearls Publishing.
- Wasilewska, E., Zlotkowska, D., and Wroblewska, B. (2019). Yoghurt starter cultures of streptococcus thermophilus and lactobacillus bulgaricus ameliorate symptoms and modulate the immune response in a mouse model of dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis. J. Dairy Sci. 102, 37–53. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-14520
- Westerik, N., Wacoo, A. P., Anyimo, E., Matovu, W., Reid, G., Kort, R., et al. (2019). Improving health and wealth by introduction of an affordable bacterial starter culture for probiotic yoghurt production in Uganda. *Challenges* 10:2. doi: 10.3390/challe10010002
- World Health Organization [WHO] (2018). Raised Cholesterol: Situation and Trends. Available online at: https://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk\_factors/ cholesterol\_text/en/ (accessed March 4, 2020).
- Yan, F., Li, N., Ye, Y., Wang, C., Zhao, L., Evivie, S. E., et al. (2020). Screening for potential novel probiotics with dipeptidyl peptidase IV-inhibiting activity for type-2 diabetes attenuation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Front. Microbiol*. 10:2855. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02855

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Evivie, Abdelazez, Li, Lu, Liu and Huo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.