



Parametarhizium (Clavicipitaceae) gen. nov. With Two New Species as a Potential Biocontrol Agent Isolated From Forest Litters in Northeast China

Siyu Gao^{1†}, Wei Meng^{2†}, Lixiang Zhang¹, Qun Yue³, Xu Zheng¹ and Lijian Xu^{1*}

¹ College of Advanced Agriculture and Ecological Environment, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, China, ² College of Life Science, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, China, ³ Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Peter Edward Mortimer, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Reviewed by:

Rajesh Jeewon, University of Mauritius, Mauritius Nalin Nilusha Wijayawardene, Qujing Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Lijian Xu xulijian@hlju.edu.cn; xulijiancau@gmail.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 11 November 2020 Accepted: 15 January 2021 Published: 19 February 2021

Citation:

Gao S, Meng W, Zhang L, Yue Q, Zheng X and Xu L (2021) Parametarhizium (Clavicipitaceae) gen. nov. With Two New Species as a Potential Biocontrol Agent Isolated From Forest Litters in Northeast China. Front. Microbiol. 12:627744. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.627744 A novel genus Parametarhizium with two new entomopathogenic species, Parametarhizium changbaiense and Parametarhizium hingganense, was introduced based on their morphological characteristics and a multigene phylogenetic analysis, which were isolated from the forest litters collected in Northeast China. To infer their phylogenetic relationships, a six-gene dataset consisting of DNA fragments of [nuclear small subunit rDNA (SSU) + LSU + TUB + TEF + RPB1 + RPB2] was used for phylogenetic analysis, including 105 related fungi. The new genus Parametarhizium formed a monophyletic clade basal to Metarhizium and its related genera (formerly Metarhizium sensu lato). Parametarhizium can be morphologically distinguished from related genera by the combination of the following characteristics: formation of white to yellow colonies on different media, candelabrum-like arrangement of cylindrical or obpyriform phialides, and small subglobose to ellipsoidal conidia. Both P. hingganense and P. changbaiense exhibited anti-insect activities against three farmland pests Monolepta hieroglyphica, Callosobruchus chinensis, and Rhopalosiphum maidis. This is the first report of entomopathogenic fungi exhibiting the anti-insect activity against Mo. hieroglyphica.

Keywords: 3 new taxa, taxonomy, phylogeny, biopesticide, metarhizium, entomopathogenic fungi

INTRODUCTION

Fungi are diverse, with over 144,000 species (Willis, 2018), and about 2,000 new species are described every year (Hawksworth and Lücking, 2017). Fungi are a valuable natural resource and can be applied in agriculture and industry, such as biocontrol agents and antibiotic production (Aly et al., 2011; Filizola et al., 2019; Hyde et al., 2019). They can be used to control farmland pests as a

Abbreviations: BLAST, Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; BPP, Bayesian posterior probability; ITS, Internal transcribed spacer; LSU, Nuclear large subunit; rDNA; LT₅₀, Median lethal time; MEA, Malt extract agar; ML, Maximum likelihood; MLBS, Maximum likelihood bootstrap values; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; OA, Oatmeal agar; PDA, Potato dextrose agar; RPB1, RNA polymerase II largest subunit; RPB2, RNA polymerase II second largest subunit; SDAY, Sabouraud dextrose agar with yeast extract; SGSF125, *Parametarhizium changbaiense* SGSF125 isolate; SGSF355, *Parametarhizium hingganense* SGSF355 isolate; SSU, Nuclear small subunit rDNA; TEF, Translation elongation factor 1 alpha; TUB, Beta tubulin.

promising green alternative for chemical pesticides (Tulloch, 1976; Zimmermann, 2007; Brunner-Mendoza et al., 2018). However, only a few fungal genera are commercially developed as biocontrol agents such as *Metarhizium*, *Beauveria*, and *Trichoderma* (Zimmermann, 1993). Besides the species from the genera *Metarhizium*, *Beauveria*, and *Trichoderma*, the anti-insect activities of other fungi also deserve to be investigated extensively, especially newly discovered fungal species.

The family Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Hypocreales) is a large group of fungi with 48 genera (including six new genera erected in 2020) and over 500 species¹ (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020; Wijayawardene et al., 2020). Clavicipitaceae is morphologically characterized by cylindrical asci, thickened ascus apices, and filiform and multiseptate ascospores that tend to often disarticulate at maturity (Rogerson, 1970; Sung et al., 2007a). Fungi of Clavicipitaceae can grow in plants or invertebrates as symbionts or parasites, such as Epichloë spp. (symbionts of grasses), ergot fungi (Claviceps spp.) that parasitize ears of cereals, and Metarhizium spp. (parasites of insects) (Sung et al., 2007b; Schardl et al., 2013; Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Metarhizium is a ubiquitous genus of entomopathogenic fungi, being first discovered and erected in 1879 (Metchnikoff, 1879). Over the next 100 years, various new Metarhizium species were described based on their morphology characterized by dark spores and branched conidiophore with whorls of two to four phialides. Some Metarhizium species had been developed and become famous commercial biocontrol agents, such as Metarhizium anisopliae, Metarhizium brunneum, and Metarhizium robertsii (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2007; Zimmermann, 2007; Castrillo et al., 2011). With the increase in the fungal strain discovery of Metarhizium and its related genera, it is difficult to identify cryptic species by morphology alone (Bischoff et al., 2009; Luangsa-ard et al., 2017; Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Subsequently, multigene phylogenetic studies resulted in the delimitation of various lineages of Metarhizium into new species and genera (Bischoff et al., 2006, 2009; Kepler et al., 2014). Until 2014, according to the combination of phylogenetic and morphological studies, Metarhizium was expanded to over 30 species, which included members of the Metarhizium flavoviride and M. anisopliae complex as well as five additional Metarhizium spp. forming a more basal lineage within Metarhizium (Kepler et al., 2014). Since then, a dozen new species of Metarhizium were discovered including Metarhizium baoshanense (Chen et al., 2018), Metarhizium argentinense (Gutierrez et al., 2019), Metarhizium purpureogenum (Nishi et al., 2017), Metarhizium bibionidarum (Nishi et al., 2017), Metarhizium chaiyaphumense (Luangsa-ard et al., 2017), Metarhizium kalasinense (Luangsa-ard et al., 2017), Metarhizium lepidopterorum (Chen et al., 2019), Metarhizium rongjiangense (Chen et al., 2019), and Metarhizium dendrolimatilis (Chen et al., 2017). Recently, a more extensive multigene phylogenetic study of the Clavicipitaceae enabled the resolution of some of the basal lineages of Metarhizium (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). As a consequence, Metarhizium was redefined, leading to the erection of six new related genera,

which are well-supported monophyletic groups based on the multigene phylogenetic analysis. Morphologically, the species of *Metarhizium* and related genera can be distinguished by the arrangement and shape of the phialides; the color, shape, and size of the conidia; and other characteristics (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Although *Metarhizium* is one of the best-studied entomopathogenic fungi with some species being marketed as biocontrol agents, most of the newly described species related to *Metarhizium* in the last decade were rarely screened for their anti-insect activities.

Entomopathogenic fungi are mainly isolated from their hosts directly, which, however, are difficult to find in the field. Therefore, we started looking for alternative sources to find fungi with anti-insect activities. Forest litters naturally include fallen leaves, dead insects, feces, and diverse microorganisms such as fungi. Our previous studies showed that forest litter is a very promising substrate for the discovery of new fungal species in general as exemplified by the recently described *Myxotrichum albicans* (Liang et al., 2019). In this study, we identified and described a new genus related to *Metarhizium* represented by two new candelabrum-like species based on morphology and a multilocus phylogenetic reconstruction. In addition, their potential as biocontrol agents was evaluated by applying a sporebased anti-insect assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

Forest litters were collected in the Changbai Mountains, Jilin province, China (42°24'N, 128°06'E), in October 2017 and Greater Hinggan mountains, Heilongjiang province, China (52°20'N, 124°42'E) in September 2018. The components of forest litters were mostly fallen leaves and a few twigs. The Changbai Mountains is a typical temperate-continental climate zone with an over 300-year-old broad-leaved Korean pine mixed forest. The dominant tree species are *Pinus koraiensis* Siebold et Zucc., *Tilia amurensis* Rupr., *Fraxinus mandshurica* Rupr., and *Quercus mongolica* Firsch. ex Turcz. The Greater Hinggan mountains are located within the cold temperate continental climate zone and consist of *Populus davidiana* Dode, *Betula platyphylla* Sukaczev, *Larix gmelinii* Rupr., and *Pinus sylvestris* L. as the dominant tree species.

Fungal Medium

The fungal medium comprised potato dextrose agar (PDA; 200 g potato, 20 g glucose, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water); 1/4 PDA (50 g potato, 5 g glucose, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water); Sabouraud dextrose agar with yeast extract (SDAY; 10 g yeast, 40 g glucose, 10 g peptone, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water); oatmeal agar (OA; 30 g oatmeal, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water); malt extract agar (MEA; 30 g malt, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water). Malt extract powder, agar powder, and yeast extract powder were manufactured by Aoboxing Biotech, Beijing, China. Glucose and peptone were manufactured by Kermel, Tianjin, China.

¹http://www.outlineoffungi.org

Fungal Isolation

The forest litters were packed in sterile envelopes and stored at 4°C until used. Fungi were isolated according to Bills et al. (2004) and Liang et al. (2019). Briefly, a litter sample was pulverized and then subjected to a minisieve filtration. The particles in the range of 105-210 µm were transferred to 10 ml of sterile water. The 0.1 ml suspension of the particles was poured on 1/4 PDA and then cultured at 25°C for 2 weeks. During that time, newly emerging fungal colonies were directly transferred to new PDA plates. By this method, about 500 fungal isolates (data not shown) were obtained. The isolates SGSF125 and SGSF355 were suspected to be undescribed species based on their morphological traits and were used in this study. The specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of Microbiology and Phytopathology, Heilongjiang University (HMPHU 1243 and HMPHU 1244), and the living culture (preserved in a metabolically inactive state) was deposited in China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC 19143 and CGMCC 19144).

DNA Extraction and PCR

Fungal genomic DNA was extracted from 100 mg of fresh mycelia. DNA extraction followed a previously published protocol (Liang et al., 2019). The DNA nuclear small subunit rDNA (SSU), internal transcribed spacer (ITS), nuclear large subunit rDNA (LSU), beta tubulin (TUB), translation elongation factor 1 alpha (TEF), RNA polymerase II largest subunit (RPB1), and RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (RPB2) were amplified by PCR. PCR was conducted in 50 µl volumes consisting of 25 μ l 2 \times Es Taq Master Mix, 1 μ l template, 2 µl forward primer, 2 µl reverse primer, 1 µl DNA template, and 20 μ l ddH₂O. 2 \times Es Master Mix was manufactured by CWBIO, Beijing, China. Primer sequence information is shown in Supplementary Table S1. Sequencing was performed using an ABI 3730 DNA analyzer and the BigDye Terminator mix v. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, Unites States). The sequences of the new species were submitted to GenBank (accession number is shown in Supplementary Table S2).

Physiological Assays

Each isolate was cultured in sterile 90 mm Petri dishes containing 20 ml of PDA and incubated at 20, 25, 30, and 35°C in complete darkness, for 2 weeks. The impact of different pH values (4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9) on fungal growth was also tested on PDA. The diameters of fungal colonies were measured every 2 days. After 14 days, 5 ml 0.05% Tween 80 was added to the PDA plates, and conidia were harvested with a sterile cotton swab and deposited into a sterile 10 ml-volume centrifuge tube. The conidial concentration was estimated using a hemocytometer.

Phylogenetic Analyses

A consensus sequence was obtained by aligning sequences from forward and reverse primers with MEGA v. 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016) and then queried in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using the BLAST program². The sequences

²http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

from 105 fungi classified in Metarhizium and related genera in the Clavicipitaceae (Kepler et al., 2014; Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020) were obtained from GenBank (Supplementary Table S2) and aligned with MEGA v. 7.0 (ClustalW). Alignments were manually adjusted to allow maximum alignments and minimum gaps. The alignments were concatenated as a dataset for a multigene phylogenetic analysis (Kepler et al., 2014; Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). The multigene phylogenetic analysis of the aligned sequences used RAxML as an optimality criterion, and statistical support was built on 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Edler et al., 2019). A general time-reversible (GTR) model with a gamma-distributed rate variation was used for the nucleotide partitions in the maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis. Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was used to estimate posterior probability by MrBayes v. 3.2.4 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Four simultaneous Markov chains were run for 3,000,000 generations (standard deviation of split frequencies less than 0.01), and trees were sampled every 1,000 generations. The first 2,000 trees representing the burn-in phase of the analysis were discarded, and the remaining trees were used to determine posterior probabilities in the majority rule consensus tree.

Collection of Insects

Adult insects of *Mo. hieroglyphica, Rhopalosiphum maidis*, and *Callosobruchus chinensis* were collected from the experimental fields of the Qiqihar Branch of Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences from July and August in 2019. The insect adults were collected from fields, placed in a perforated plastic container, and then maintained at 25°C until use.

Anti-insect Assay

For pathogenicity assays, conidial suspensions were produced using the same method as in the physiological assays. The conidial concentration was adjusted to 1×10^8 conidia/ml using a hemocytometer. Adults of C. chinensis were immersed in conidial suspension for 5 s, and 20 adults were inoculated in each treatment (Noble et al., 2018). Adults of Mo. hieroglyphica were immersed in conidial suspension for 5 s, and 35 adults were inoculated in each treatment. The effect of fungal isolates on the mortality of R. maidis was evaluated by spraying (Hussain et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020) 1 ml of each conidial suspension on 40 wingless adults per treatment. Tween 80 0.05% was applied as a control treatment. The treated insects were kept in 250 ml flasks with foods and maintained at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, $70 \pm 5\%$ RH in darkness for 10 days, and the survival of the insects was recorded daily. Cadavers were placed on wet filter paper disks in sterile 90 mm Petri dishes sealed with Parafilm and maintained in an incubator chamber at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $70 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) to investigate mycosis. All the tests were performed in triplicate.

Statistical Analyses

All data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows Version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). Data were shown as the mean \pm standard error (SE) from three biological replicates. For multiple comparisons, Tukey's multiple comparison test was used for significance analysis, and *p*-values equal to 0.05 were

considered significant. The significant differences were shown as different letters (such as a, b, and c) in tables and figures.

RESULTS

Colony Growth and Conidial Production at Different pH Values and Temperatures

There are two isolates, SGSF125 and SGSF355, isolated from the forest litters that are suspected as new species related to *Metarhizium* spp. according to their morphological traits. As suspected new species, the optimal pH and temperature of SGSF125 and SGSF355 were tested. The colony diameters and conidial productions of SGSF125 and SGSF355 on PDA at different temperatures, respectively, are shown in **Figure 1**. The optimal growth temperature of SGSF125 and SGSF355 was 25 and 30°C, respectively. At 25 and 30°C, SGSF355 had a higher growth rate and conidial production than SGSF125. SGSF355 had a wider optimal temperature range than SGSF125, and the conidial production of SGSF355 was similar at 25 and 30°C with no significant difference. The colony diameters of SGSF125 and SGSF355 were 13–18 mm on PDA on the 14th day.



Meanwhile, colony diameters and conidial productions of the two isolates were measured at different pH values within a period of 2 weeks (**Table 1**). The optimal pH values of SGSF125 and SGSF355 were 5 (**Table 1**). At the same pH, the colony diameter and conidial production of SGSF355 were higher than SGSF125, except at pH 4 (**Table 1**).

Phylogenetic Analyses

The sequence similarity of seven molecular taxonomic markers SSU, ITS, LSU, TUB, TEF, RPB1, and RPB2 between the two new species was in the range of 93.0–99.7% (except for TUB, 86.5%), indicating their close relationship. In contrast, the similarity to *Metarhizium* species and taxa from other related genera was much lower in particular for the ITS (<89%), RPB sequences (<85%), and TUB (<81%) (Figure 2 and Supplementary Tables S3, S4). All available sequences of the molecular taxonomic markers from the two new and closely related species (*Metarhizium* and the genera related to *Metarhizium*) were combined for a multigene phylogenetic analysis (Supplementary Table S2). The combined dataset concatenated a six-gene dataset consisting of DNA fragments of the SSU (735 bp), LSU (627 bp), TUB (253 bp), TEF

(766 bp), RPB1 (492 bp), and RPB2 (778 bp). Sequences of the genus Hypocrella were used as an outgroup. ITS sequences were generated only for barcoding purposes and not included in the multigene analyses (Kepler et al., 2014; Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Modeltest 3.7 resulted in the selection of the GTR model with a proportion of invariable sites (I) and the gamma distribution shape parameter (G). This model was used in MrBayes 3.2.4 and RAxML GUI 2.0.0-beta.10. Bayesian analyses resulted in 2,000 "burn-in" trees. For the ML analysis in RAxML, the GTRGAMMA model was used for the nucleotide partitions. The phylogenetic analysis (Figure 3) based on a combined dataset comprising SSU, LSU, TUB, TEF, RPB1, and RPB2 showed that the new species formed a monophyletic clade whose bootstrap values (BSs) and Bayesian posterior probability (BPP) were 100% and 1, respectively. This monophyletic was basal to the clade (BS and BPP were 67% and 1, respectively) of Metapochonia, Pochonia, Metarhizium, and other related genera. To include as many genera in Clavicipitaceae as possible, a phylogenetic analysis only based on the LSU sequences from 34 genera in Clavicipitaceae was also carried out (Supplementary Figure S1). The new species also formed a monophyletic

TABLE 1 Colony diameters and conidial productions of Parametarhizium changbaiense (SGSF125) and Parametarhizium hingganense (SGSF355).

Different pH treatment	Colony o	liameters	Conidial productions (per plate)		
	SGSF125	SGSF355	SGSF125	SGSF355	
рН4	7.2 ± 0.17 g	$5.2\pm0.02 \mathrm{h}$	3.23×10^{9} f	2.69 × 10 ⁹ g	
pH5	$15.5 \pm 0.03 b$	$17.67 \pm 0.02a$	6.37 × 10 ⁹ c	7.82 × 10 ⁹ a	
pH6	$14.0 \pm 0.03 bc$	$16.17 \pm 0.03a$	6.34×10^{9} c	7.36×10^{9} b	
pH7	13.2 ± 0.03 cde	14.7 ± 0.02 cdef	6.29×10^9 cd	6.38 × 10 ⁹ c	
pH8	11.7 ± 0.02 def	$13.3\pm0.02cd$	$4.59 \times 10^{9} e$	5.97×10^{9} d	
PH9	$11.5 \pm 0.00 \text{ef}$	$11.3 \pm 0.02 f$	$4.56 \times 10^{9} e$	6.11 × 10 ⁹ cd	

Different letters in colony diameters column (a, b, c, d, e, and f) or conidial productions column (a, b, c, d, e, f, and g) indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level.

88.58 88.3 97.57 97.3 94.42 94.3		88.58 97.57	88.58 95.96	88.58	87.34	84.82	87.77		88.77
	57 96.56	97.57	95.96	04.40					
94.42 94.			15.70	96.62	90.38		90.38	95.56	91.38
	19 91.70	94.19	94.55	94.91	94.25	94.31	93.24	94.19	94.24
83.70 83.3	87 84.53	83.87	82.71	83.16		83.89	83.46		84.46
80.35 79.3	89	79.59	81.58	80.91	79.77	81.60	79.88	82.51	80.88
86.56			89.72	90.23		86.72			
indrosporum M. chaiyap	humense M. prachinen.	se M. takense	K. carneum	Ma. marquandii		M. acridum	Pu. maesotensis	Pu. khaoyaiensi	Pu. pyriform
		98.79	99.06	99.59					
						85.42			88.80
									90.25
					92.75			91.12	91.85
									83.51
	89	79.95			80.05		80.51	82.42	81.51
87.35			88.93	89.72		86.72			
	80.35 79. 86.56 91. 99.19 99. 86.40 88. 96.50 91. 92.24 91. 83.62 83.	80.35 79.89 86.56	80.35 79.89 44 79.59 86.56	80.35 79.89 79.59 81.58 86.56 89.72 indrosporum M. chaiyaphumense M. prachinense M. takense K. carneum 99.19 99.13 98.79 99.06 86.40 88.06 89.52 87.46 88.47 96.50 91.04 90.75 91.04 95.61 92.24 91.71 90.35 91.97 92.29 83.62 83.55 84.20 83.26 82.59 81.29 79.89 79.95 81.70	80.35 79.89 81.58 80.91 86.56 89.72 90.23 indrosporum M. chaiyaphumense M. prachinense M. takense K. carneum Ma. marquandii 99.19 99.19 99.33 98.79 90.63 86.40 88.06 89.52 87.46 88.47 87.13 96.50 91.04 90.75 91.04 90.61 90.61 92.24 91.71 90.35 91.97 92.29 91.27 83.62 83.55 84.20 83.26 82.59 83.01 81.29 79.89 79.95 81.70 81.42	80.35 79.89 79.59 81.58 80.91 79.79 86.56 89.72 90.23 90.	80.35 79.89 79.59 81.58 80.91 79.77 81.60 86.56 89.72 90.23 86.72 86.72 indrosporum M. chaiyaphumens V. prachinens M. takense K. carneum M. marquandii V. cicada K. carneum M. marquandii V. cicada K. carneum 99.19 99.19 99.33 98.79 99.06 99.59 98.24 96.40 88.06 89.52 87.46 88.47 87.13 88.13 96.50 91.04 90.75 91.04 95.61 90.61 89.25 92.24 91.71 90.35 91.97 92.29 91.27 92.75 92.23 83.62 83.55 84.20 83.26 82.59 83.01 80.65 81.60 81.29 79.89 97.95 81.70 81.42 80.65 81.69	80.35 79.89 79.89 81.58 80.91 79.77 81.60 79.88 86.56 89.72 90.23 90.23 86.72 86.72 indrosporum M. chaiyaphumense M. prachinense M. prachinense M. prachinense M. takense K. carneum M. marquanili M. cicadae M. acridum Pu. maesotensis 99.19 99.19 99.33 98.79 99.66 99.59 98.24 96.60 88.06 89.52 87.46 88.47 87.13 88.13 85.42 87.8 96.50 91.04 90.75 91.04 95.61 90.61 89.25 89.25 92.24 91.71 90.35 91.97 92.29 91.27 92.75 92.23 90.85 83.62 83.55 84.20 83.26 82.59 83.01 80.05 81.69 80.51	80.35 79.89 79.59 81.58 80.91 79.77 81.60 79.88 82.51 86.56

-		
	1 -/0.89 Shimizuomyces paradoxus EFCC6279 Regiocrella carmerunensis ARSEF 7682	
	95/1 Claviceps paspali ATCC 13892 -7/1 Claviceps fusiformis ATCC 26019	
	9//1 Petchia siamensis BCC68420	
	-/1 100/1 Balansia hemingsiana A.E.G 96-27a Balansia pilulaeformis A.E.G 94-2	
	100/1 — Torrubiella luteorostrata NHJ 12516	
	-/0.99 -/	n (11)
	Parametarhizium hingganensis CGMCC19144*	Parametarhizium gen. nov.
	-/0.99 -/0.99 -/0.99 Metapochonia goniodes CBS 891.72* -/0.99 -/0.99 Metapochonia microbactrospora CBS 101433*	
	99/1 Metapochonia rubescens CBS 464.88* 99/1 100/1 Metapochonia rubescens CBS 110436	
	_/1 Metapochonia bulbillosa CBS 145.70*	
	Metapochonia bulbillosa CBS 247.68 86/1 100/1 Metapochonia suchlasporia CBS 251.83*	Metapochonia
	Metapochonia suchlasporia CBS 248.83*	
	100/T Metapochonia suchlasporia CBS 814.83 Pochonia chlamydosporia CBS 504.66*	
	100/1 Cordyceps chlamydosporia CBS 101244	Pochonia
	Pochonia chlamydosporia CBS 594.66 100/1 Pochonia chlamydosporia CBS 103.65*	Tocnomia
	Pochonia chlamydosporia CBS 429.64	
	99/1 Papiliomyces liangshanensis EFCC 1523 -/1 Papiliomyces shibinensis GZUHSB13050311	Papiliomyces
	99/1 Metacordyceps neogunnii BUM415 Keithomyces carneus CBS 239.32	Keithomyces
	100/1 Keithomyces sp. CBS 126563	Acanomyces
	-/0.96 Arguandomyces sp. CBS 127132 Marguandomyces marguandii CBS 182.27	Marquandomyces
	-/0.97 Purpureomyces pyriformis BCC85074*	
	73/0.97 Purpureomyces khaoyaiense BCC14290 Purpureomyces maesotensis BCC89300	Purpureomyces
	9/0.96 Rotiferophthora angustispora CBS 101437	Rotiferophthora
	Sungia yongmunense EFCC2131 Yosiokobayasia kusanagiense TNS-F 18494	Sungia Yosiokobayasia
	100/1 Metarhizium rileyi ARSEF 936	
	Metarhizium kalasinense BCC12687 Metarhizium phuwaiangense BCC85069*	
	Metarhizium phuwaiangense BCC78206 -/0.98 Metarhizium flavum BCC90870*	
	86/1 100/1 Metarhizium purpureon BCC89249 Metarhizium purpureon BCC82642*	
	100/1 Metarhizium album ARSEF 2082	
	Metarhizium album ARSEF 1941	
	100/1 Metarhizium album ARSEF 1942	
	-70.97 Metarhizium brasiliense ARSEF 2948b*	
	100/1 Metarhizium cercopidarum BCC31660*	
	-0.91 -0.91 Metarhizium cicadae BCC48696	
	Metarhizium cylindrosporum ARSEF 6926	
	100/1 Metarhizium cylindrosporum CBS 256.90b 96/1 Metarhizium owariense NBRC 33258	
	71/0.88 <i>Metarhizium viridulum</i> BCC36261 98/1 <i>Metarhizium megapomponiae</i> BCC25100*	
	Metarhizium viridulum ARSEF 6927	
	99/1 Metarhizium ovoidosporum BCC32600* Metarhizium samlanense BCC17091	
	-/0.96 Metarhizium ebumeum BCC79252*	
	79/1 Metarhizium granulomatis UAMH 11028 99/1 Chamaeleomyces viridis CBS659.71	
	100/1 ^a Metarhizium viride ARSEF 2456	
	Metarhizium novozealandicum ARSEF 4661	
	Metarhizium novozealandicum ARSEF 4674	
	Metarhizium novozealandicum ARSEF 4674	
	Metarhikium argeetalandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 Metarhikium argentinusus ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhikum blattodaee MY00896	
	Metarhitian mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 — Metarhitian experimense ARSEF 13510 1001 — Metarhitian Baovride CBS 218.56 981 — Metarhitian Baovride ARSEF 2025	
	1001 Metarhizium movozealandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 Metarhizium Januitum ARSEF 13510 1000 Metarhizium blattodaea MY00896 98/1 Metarhizium flavoviride CBS 218.56*	
	Metarhitim argeedandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 — Metarhitim argentimuse ARSEF 13510 1001 — Metarhitim favoride CBS 218.56 881 Metarhitim favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitim frigidum ARSEF 7445 Metarhitim frigidum ARSEF 745	
	Metarhizium argeaelandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 Metarhizium argeatimus ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhizium Janovirde CBS 218.56 88/1 Metarhizium Janovirde ARSEF 2025 Metarhizium frigdum ARSEF 7445 Metarhizium frigdum ARSEF 7445 Metarhizium frigdum ARSEF 7445 Metarhizium frigdum ARSEF 7445 Metarhizium frigdum ARSEF 124*	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitian movcealandicum ARSEF 4674 1000 Metarhitian experimense ARSEF 13510 1000 Metarhitian experimense ARSEF 1350 981 Metarhitian favoride CBS 218 S6* 8810 Metarhitian favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitian frigidam ARSEF 7424* Metarhitian vilicidaram BCC7600 1 Metarhitian biotecense BCC51812* 981 991 Metarhitian mina ARSEF 2037b	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitim morecealundicum ARSEF 4674 1000 Metarhitim agnitimara ARSEF 13510 1000 Metarhitim moridi Cd BS 218 56* 881 -0.99 Metarhitim figidan ARSEF 7142 -0.99 Metarhitim figidan ARSEF 7142 Metarhitim figidan ARSEF 7142 Metarhitim biotecense BCC51812* 981 991 Metarhitim mina ARSEF 2037b 1 Metarhitim mina ARSEF 2037b 1 Metarhitim mina ARSEF 2037b 1 Metarhitim moreanum ARSEF 2038*	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitian mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Horizian Metarhitian mavocealandicum ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhitian favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitian favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitian favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitian biotecense PCCS1812* 997 Metarhitian minus ARSEF 1745 997 Metarhitian biotecense PCCS1812* 997 Metarhitian biotecense PCCS1812* 997 Metarhitian minus ARSEF 2037 81/0.88	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhizim mavczealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhizim argentinase ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhizim favoride CBS 218.56 881 Metarhizim favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizim frigidum ARSEF 7445 Metarhizim frigidum ARSEF 745 Metarhizim minis ARSEF 1764 981 981 981 1001 Metarhizim minis ARSEF 2037b Metarhizim minis ARSEF 2037b Metarhizim koreanum ARSEF 2037b Metarhizim koreanum ARSEF 2037b 1000 Metarhizim koreanum ARSEF 2037b Metarhizim koreanum ARSEF 2037b M	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhizium navozealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhizium agentinsara ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhizium Generitara ARSEF 1350 1001 Metarhizium Generitara ARSEF 2125 Metarhizium favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizium favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizium favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizium favoride ARSEF 2037b 1001 Metarhizium minux ARSEF 2037b 1001 Metarhizium minux ARSEF 2037b 8110.88 1001 Metarhizium monor BCC19364 Metarhizium monor BCC29362 Metarhizium monor BRSEF 2037b Metarhizium monor BRSEF 2039 Metarhizium monor BCC19364 Metarhizium monor BRSEF 2039 Metarhizium monor BRSEF 5659 1001 Metarhizium penyligi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhizium penyligi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhizium penyligi ARSEF 7491	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhizim mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 Metarhizim agritimara ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhizim Gravorirde CBS 218.56* Metarhizim figuovirde ARSEF 2025 Metarhizim figudum ARSEF 7145 -0.97 Metarhizim misu ARSEF 124* Metarhizim misu ARSEF 124* 1001 Metarhizim misu ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim misu ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim misu ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim misu ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim moreamm ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim moreamm ARSEF 2037b 1901 Metarhizim moreamm ARSEF 2037b 1001 Metarhizim moreamm ARSEF 2039 Metarhizim koreamma MRSEF 2039 1001 Metarhizim penybigi ARSEF 6569	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitim mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 1001 Metarhitim parimtans ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhitim favorride CBS 218.56* Metarhitim favorride CBS 218.56* Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 2124 -0.97 Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 2124 9871 Metarhitim mita ARSEF 7445 9871 Metarhitim mita ARSEF 1744 9871 Metarhitim mita ARSEF 2037b 1810.88 1001 Metarhitim mita ARSEF 2037b 1810.88 1001 Metarhitim monoi BCC28246 Metarhitim monoi BCC28246 1001 Metarhitim monoi BSEF 5659 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 5659 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7485 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7485 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7485 1001 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7485	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitim morecealundicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitim morecealundicum ARSEF 13510 1000 9801 Metarhitim figudina ARSEF 1350 9801 Metarhitim figudina ARSEF 7424 -0.99 Metarhitim figudina ARSEF 7424 Metarhitim misu ARSEF 1764 980 980 980 980 980 980 980 980	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitim mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitim Metarhitim agentimsar ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CBS 218.56* Metarhitim flavorride CBS 218.56* 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CBS 218.56* 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CSS 2182* 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CSS 2182* 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CSS 2182* 1001 Metarhitim flavorride CSS 256 1001 Metarhitim penyloji ARSEF 5039 Metarhitim acridum ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim acridum ARSEF 7485* 1001 Metarhitim acridum ARSEF 7485* 1001 Metarhitim acridum ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim acridum ARSEF 2107*	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhitian mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitian Metarhitian agritimasa ARSEF 13510 1001 Metarhitian favorride CBS 218.56* Metarhitian favorride CBS 218.56* Metarhitian favorride ARSEF 2025 -0.97 Metarhitian cilidaram BCC5000 981 Metarhitian mila ARSEF 7445 981 Metarhitian mila ARSEF 124* 981 Metarhitian mila ARSEF 2037b 1993 Metarhitian mila ARSEF 2037b 1903 Metarhitian mila ARSEF 2037b 1001 Metarhitian monol BCC19364* 1001 Metarhitian monol BCC19364* 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7495 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7495 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 1308 1001 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 2107* 1002 Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412* Metarhitian archida ARSEF 7412*	Metarhizium flavoviride complex
	Metarhizim novocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhizim agnitimare ARSEF 13510 Metarhizim agnitimare ARSEF 742 Metarhizim frigidam ARSEF 742 Metarhizim frigidam ARSEF 742 Metarhizim frigidam ARSEF 742 Metarhizim mina ARSEF 2037b Metarhizim monor DCC19364* 1001 Metarhizim agning MASEF 7491 Metarhizim agning MASEF 7485 Metarhizim agning MASEF 7427 Metarhizim activity ARSEF 7430	
	Metarhizim mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhizim Metarhizim genitimsa ARSEF 13510 Metarhizim favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizim favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizim favoride ARSEF 2025 Metarhizim favoride ARSEF 7445 997 Metarhizim minu ARSEF 7445 997 Metarhizim minu ARSEF 745 8110.88 810.08 8100 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 81000 100	Metarhizium flavoviride complex Metarhizium anisopliae complex
	Metarhitim morecealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitim Metarhitim morecealandicum ARSEF 13510 1000 9801 Metarhitim fürden ARSEF 1245 Metarhitim fürden ARSEF 1245 Metarhitim fürden ARSEF 7445 907 908 1000 Metarhitim missi ARSEF 2035 1000 Metarhitim missi ARSEF 2037 1000 Metarhitim missi ARSEF 2037 1000 Metarhitim missi ARSEF 2037 1000 Metarhitim missi ARSEF 2037 1000 Metarhitim more 2037 1000 Metarhitim and 2038 1000 Metarhitim more 2037 1000 Metarhitim more 2037 1000 Metarhitim and 2038 1000 Metarhitim more 2037 1000 Metarhitim and 2038 10000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1	
	Metarhitim movcealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitim movcealandicum ARSEF 13510 Metarhitim mistricken MY00896 9801 Metarhitim figidan ARSEF 1248 -0.99 Metarhitim figidan ARSEF 7448 -0.99 Metarhitim mistriken miss ARSEF 1248 -0.99 Metarhitim mistriken miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim mistriken miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim mistriken miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim mistriken miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim miss ARSEF 2037b Metarhitim more BCC19304 Metarhitim more BCC19304 Metarhitim more BCC19304 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 2039 Metarhitim penphigi ARSEF 7491 8010 Metarhitim morid MASEF 7485 Metarhitim morid MASEF 7485 Metarhitim morid MASEF 7485 Metarhitim morid MASEF 7412 -0.09 Metarhitim morid MASEF 7412 -0.09 -0.00	
	Metarhitim marocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhitim Matoriae MY00896 981 Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 1215 Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 2025 Metarhitim favorride ARSEF 745 991 Metarhitim mina ARSEF 1744 991 991 Metarhitim mina ARSEF 2037b 810.88 1001 Metarhitim momo BCC5812 991 1001 Metarhitim momo BCC5812 991 1001 Metarhitim momo BCC582 1001 Metarhitim momo BRSEF 5039 Metarhitim arclidu ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhitim acridun ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim acridun ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7412* Metarhitim acridun ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7501 Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7501 Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7501 Metarhitim acridun ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim antopolita ARSEF 7412* Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7501 Metarhitim boreania RASEF 7501 Metarhitim antopolita ARSEF 748* Metarhitim antopolita ARSEF 748*	
	Metarhizim mavocealandicum ARSEF 4674 Metarhizim Metarhizim genitimsa ARSEF 13510 Metarhizim favoride CBS 218.56* Metarhizim minus ARSEF 7455 Metarhizim minus ARSEF 2037b 1001 Metarhizim favoride MESF 5039 Metarhizim acriadia MESF 5039 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 2039 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 5039 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 748* 1001 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 748* 1001 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1001 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1002 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1003 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1004 Metarhizim acriadia ARSEF 7491 1007	

FIGURE 3 | Multigene phylogenetic analysis of *Parametarhizium* and related genera (*Clavicipitaceae*). Phylogenetic based on concatenated Nuclear small subunit rDNA (SSU), Nuclear large subunit rDNA (LSU), Beta tubulin (TUB), Translation elongation factor 1 alpha (TEF), RNA polymerase II largest subunit (RPB1), and RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (RPB2) using maximum likelihood as optimality criterion. ML bootstrap values/Bayesian posterior probability above 70% (MLBS)/0.7 (BPP) are shown on the nodes. *Ex-type material. Branches with 100% (MLBS) and 1.0 (BPP) support are highlighted by thickened lines.



clade in the phylogenetic tree based on the LSU sequences. The isolated position of the new fungal isolates SGSF125 and SGSF355 in the multigene phylogenetic reconstruction together with their unique combination of morphological characteristics prompted us to erect a novel genus named *Parametarhizium*.

TAXONOMY

Parametarhizium S. Gao, W. Meng, Li Xiang Zhang, Q. Yue, L. J. Xu, gen. nov.

MycoBank no.: MB 837521

Etymology: based on its close morphological relationship to *Metarhizium*.

Type species: *Parametarhizium hingganense* S. Gao, W. Meng, Li Xiang Zhang, Q. Yue, L. J. Xu, sp. nov.

Diagnosis: Colonies white to yellow, velvety, exudate lemon yellow, sometimes radially sulcate, reverse pale yellow to brown. Conidiophores hyaline, arising from branches of aerial hyphae, with candelabrum-like arrangement of phialides. Phialides, 2–4 in whorls, cylindrical to obpyriform. Conidia subglobose to ellipsoidal, hyaline (1.1–) 1.2–2.2 (–2.8) × (1.0–) 1.6–1.7 (–2.6) μ m.

Description: Colonies on PDA, SDAY, MEA, OA, white to yellow, never green, radially sulcate, 25° C reaching 13– 20 mm in 2 weeks, velvety; exudate lemon yellow; reverse pale yellow to brown. Hyphae hyaline, septate, smooth-walled, 0.8– 2.5 μ m wide. Conidiophores hyaline, smooth-walled (20–) 34–62 (–100) × (0.9–) 1.5–1.9 (–2.2) μ m, arising from branches of aerial hyphae, with whorls of 2–4 phialides. Phialides candelabrumlike arrangement (2.7–) 5.6–17.5 (–26) × (1.2–) 1.4–2.3 (–2.5) μ m, cylindrical to obpyriform. Conidia subglobose to ellipsoidal, hyaline to yellow (1.1–) 1.2–2.2 (–2.8) \times (1.0–) 1.6–1.7 (–2.6) μ m (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S2).

Notes: Compared with those of *Metarhizium*, the colonies of *Parametarhizium* are white to yellow (vs. green), and its subglobose-to-ellipsoidal conidia are smaller (<3.3 μ m) than most of *Metarhizium* spp. *Parametarhizium* exhibits a candelabrum-like arrangement of phialides, while *Metapochonia* and *Pochonia* have *Verticillium*-like conidiophores, and other related genera show *Nomuraea*-like, *Paecilomyces*-like or *Lecanicillium*-like conidiophores.

Parametarhizium changbaiense S. Gao, W. Meng, Li Xiang Zhang, Q. Yue, L. J. Xu, sp. nov.

MycoBank no.: MB 837522

Etymology: referring to the location where the type material was collected.

Description: Colonies on PDA reaching 13–15 mm in 2 weeks, white, radially sulcate, velvety; reverse brown, radially sulcate. Colonies on SDAY reaching 15–17 mm, pale yellow, wrinkled, velvety, with undulate margin; reverse yellow. Colonies on MEA reaching 15–18 mm, white, flat; reverse brown. Colonies on OA reaching 18– 19 mm, flat, initially white, turning lemon yellow due to the production of conidial masses, exudate lemon yellow; reverse pale yellow.

Hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, $0.8-2.5 \ \mu m$ wide. Conidiophores arising from branches of aerial hyphae, bearing dense whorls of branches, terminating in branches with 2–4 phialides per branch, candelabrum–like arrangement of phialides. Phialides cylindrical (2.7–) 5.6–12.5 (–20) × (1.4–) 1.6–2.2 (–2.5) μm , with a short neck (0.9–) 1.2–5.5 (–7.6)

Species	Source	Phialides (μ m)	Conidia (μ m)	Colony color	References
Metarhizium acridum	Orthoptera	Cylindrical to slightly swollen, $7.0-7.5 \times 2.1-2.9$	Ovoid, 4.0-5.0 × 2.0-3.2	Dark yellow-green	Bischoff et al., 2009
Metarhizium cylindrosporum	Hemiptera (Cicada adult)	5-8 × 3-4.2	Microconidia ovoid, Subglobose, $3.3-6.7 \times 3.3-4.2$	Grayish-green	Tzean et al., 1993
Metarhizium chaiyaphumense	Hemiptera (Cicada nymph)	Clavate, $5-8 \times 2-3$	Microconidia ovoid, subglobose, 2–4 \times 2–3	White-green	Luangsa-ard et al., 2017
Metarhizium cicadae	Hemiptera: Cicadidae	Cylindrical, $4-7 \times 2-3.5$	Microconidia ovoid, ellipsoid, 2–6 \times 2.5–4	Dark-green	Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020
Metarhizium prachinense	Lepidoptera larva	Ovoid, obpyriform, $3-5 \times 2$	Subglobose, $3-5 \times 1.5-2.5$	White-green	Luangsa-ard et al., 2017
Metarhizium takense	Hemiptera (Cicada nymph)	Fusiomis, $5.0-8.0 \times 2.0-3.0$	Subglobose or ovoid, $3.0-5.0 \times 2.0-3.0$	Green	Luangsa-ard et al., 2017
Purpureomyces khaoyaiensis	Lepidoptera larva	Lecanicillium–like, 7–18 \times 1–3	Ovoid, 2-3 × 1.5-3	White to cream	Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020
Purpureomyces maesotensis	Lepidoptera larva	Lecanicillium–like, $4-21 \times 1.5-2$	Ovoid, $3 \times 1-2.5$	White to lilac	Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020
Purpureomyces pyriformis	Lepidoptera larva	Lecanicillium–like, 8–22 \times 1–2	Ovoid, 2-4 × 1.5-2	White to cream	Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020
Purpureomyces marquandii	Soil	Cylindrical, $8-15 \times 1.5-2$	Ellipsoidal, $2-3 \times 1.5-2$	Purple	Hywel-Jones, 1994
Keithomyces carneus	Soil	Cylindrical, $8-10 \times 2.5-3.0$	Globose, 3.5-5	White	Frisvad and Samson, 2004
Parametarhizium changbaiense	Forest litter	Cylindrical, $5.6-12.5 \times 1.6-2.2$	Subglobose, ellipsoid, 1.6–2.8 \times 1.3–2.2	White to yellow	This study
Parametarhizium hingganense	Forest litter	Obpyriform, 7.0–17.5 \times 1.4–2.3	Subglobose, ellipsoid, $1.1-3.3 \times 1.0-2.6$	Yellow	This study

IABLE 2 | Morphological comparison of Parametarhizium changbaiense and Parametarhizium hingganense with similar species.

× (0.6–) 0.7–1.1 (–1.3) μ m. Conidia unicellular, subglobose to ellipsoidal, hyaline to yellow (1.6–) 2.2 (–2.8) × (1.3–) 1.7 (–2.2) μ m (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure S2**).

Parametarhizium den nov

Type: China, Jilin Province, Changbai Mountains, on litters of forest, October 2017, Li Zeyu and Liu Boyang (holotype HMPHU 1243, culture ex-type CGMCC 19143).

Gene sequences ex-holotype: MN589741 (ITS), MN589994 (LSU), MN590231 (SSU), MN908589 (TEF), MT921830 (TUB), MN917168 (RPB1a), MT921829 (RPB2a).

Sexual morph: not observed

Habitat: forest litters

Distribution: Changbai Mountains, Jilin province, China

Notes: P. changbaiense is compared with P. hingganense, Keithomyces aciculare, M. flavoviride, Marquandomyces marquandii, Metarhizium frigidum, and Metarhizium suchlasporia. The conidial size of P. changbaiense is smaller than those found in M. flavoviride, M. frigidum, and K. aciculare, and the color of their colonies is not white to yellow. Ma. marquandii differs from P. changbaiense by the Paecilomyces-like conidiophores and purplish colonies, while M. suchlasporia exhibits slender phialides. A detailed list of the diagnostic features and sequence similarities in comparison with related species can be found in **Table 2** and **Figure 2**.

Parametarhizium hingganense S. Gao, W. Meng, Li Xiang Zhang, Q. Yue, L. J. Xu, sp. nov.

MycoBank no.: MB 837523

Etymology: referring to the location where the type material was collected.

Description: Colonies on PDA reaching 17–18 mm in 2 weeks, yellow, radially sulcate, velvety, with undulate margin; exudate lemon yellow; reverse yellow, radially sulcate. Colonies on SDAY reaching 17–20 mm, pale yellow, wrinkled, velvety, with undulate margin; reverse brown, wrinkled. Colonies on MEA reaching 15–18 mm, lemon yellow, radially sulcate, velvety; reverse brown, radially sulcate. Colonies on OA reaching 18–19 mm, flat, initially cream, turning lemon yellow due to the production of conidial masses; reverse pale yellow.

Hyphae hyaline, septate, smooth-walled, 0.8–2.5 μ m wide. Conidiophores arising from branches of aerial hyphae, bearing dense whorls of branches, terminating in branches with 2–4 phialides per branch, candelabrum-like arrangement of phialides. Phialides obpyriform (3.5–) 7.0–17.5 (–26) × (1.2–) 1.4–2.3 (– 2.5) μ m, with a long distinct neck, (1.6–) 2.5–7.1 (–8.5) × (0.5–) 0.6–1.1 (–1.2) μ m. Conidia unicellular, subglobose to ellipsoidal, hyaline to yellow, (1.1–) 1.2 (–3.3) × (1.0–) 1.6 (–2.6) μ m (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure S2**).

Type: China, Heilongjiang province, Greater Hinggan mountains, on litters of forest, September 2018, Li Zeyu and Liu Boyang (holotype HMPHU 1244, culture ex-type CGMCC 19144).

Gene sequences ex-holotype: MN055703 (ITS), MN061635 (LSU), MN055706 (SSU), MN065770 (TEF), MN061672 (TUB), MN917170 (RPB1a), MT939494 (RPB2a).



Sexual morph: not observed

Habitat: forest litters

Distribution: Greater Hinggan mountains, Heilongjiang province, China.

Notes: *P. hingganense* is compared with *P. changbaiense*, *Keithomyces carneum*, *Ma. marquandii*, *Metarhizium globosum*, *Metarhizium minus*, and *Metarhizium blattodeae*. The phialides arrangement of *K. carneum* and *Ma. marquandii* is *Paecilomyces*-like, but *P. hingganense* possesses candelabrumlike conidiophores. The conidia of *M. blattodeae*, *M. globose*, and *M. minus* are bigger than those in *P. hingganense* and have different shapes. *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* differ mainly in the shape of their phialides and colony characteristics. The phialides of *P. changbaiense* are cylindrical with a short neck, while those of *P. hingganense* are obpyriform with a long distinct neck. Colonies of *P. changbaiense* are white on PDA compared to the yellow colonies of *P. hingganense* (Figure 4). A detailed list of the diagnostic features and sequence similarities in comparison with related species can be found in Table 2 and Figure 2.

Anti-insect Potentials of *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense*

Given the similarity to the core *Metarhizium*, the anti-insect abilities of *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* were tested. *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* were both pathogenic to

Mo. hieroglyphica, C. chinensis, and R. maidis under laboratory conditions. The external white mycelia of P. changbaiense and P. hingganense on the cadavers of three tested insects firstly emerged from the abdomen and then gradually surrounded the insects. In contrast to green cadavers caused by most Metarhizium spp. such as M. anisopliae and M. robertsii, the color of cadavers caused by P. changbaiense and P. hingganense was white (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S3). The mortality of P. changbaiense and P. hingganense to above their farmland insects was higher than 85%, and there was no significant difference between P. changbaiense and P. hingganense with the exception that P. changbaiense against C. chinensis was 61.67% (Table 3).

With respect to the cadaver rate, all of them were higher than 70%. The cadaver rate of *P. hingganense* to *C. chinensis* and *R. maidis* is significantly higher than that of *P. changbaiense* SGSF125. The median lethal time of *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* strongly varied between the different insects but was significantly shorter than that of the control. Most of the *R. maidis* individuals died within a day after the treatment (4 days in the control), while *C. chinensis* individuals survived for around 6 days when treated with *P. hingganense* and 9 days when treated with *P. changbaiense* (11 days in the control). The effect on *Mo. hieroglyphica* was even more remarkable with a median lethal time of 3 days for both treatments compared to 11 days in the control (**Figure 5**). The results of the anti-insect assays

Host species	Strain no.	Mortality (%)	Median lethal time (days)	Cadaver rate (%)
Monolepta hieroglyphica	P. changbaiense	99.05 ± 0.95a	3.13	$95.56 \pm 0.2a$
	P. hingganense	$99.05 \pm 0.95 a$	2.92	98.89 ± 0.2a
	Control	$32.38 \pm 0.95 c$	11.17	0
Callosobruchus chinensis	P. changbaiense	$61.67 \pm 8.81 \mathrm{b}$	9.41	$73.33\pm0.2c$
	P. hingganense	98.33 ± 1.66a	6.37	$85.00\pm0.3b$
	Control	$40\pm2.88c$	10.67	0
Rhopalosiphum maidis	P. changbaiense	$100 \pm 0.00a$	0.85	$71.67\pm0.2c$
	P. hingganense	$100 \pm 0.00a$	0.54	$80.83\pm0.2b$
	Control	$38.33 \pm 2.2c$	4.08	0

Different letters (a, b, and c) in the same column indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level.

implied that *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* are non-host-specific entomopathogens and thus offer a biocontrol potential against farmland pests.

DISCUSSION

Although the discovery of new fungal taxa is on the rise, mycotaxonomy is still an ongoing challenge when introducing new fungal species. Jeewon and Hyde (2016) provided many recommendations for the appropriate use of phylogenetic and morphological data in species delineation, and in this study, we followed the guidelines to establish our new taxa. We proposed one new genus with two new species which are related to members of the Metarhizium complex (formerly Metarhizium sensu lato). According to the pairwise nucleotide sequence similarities for seven loci (Figure 2), the close relatives of P. changbaiense and P. hingganense are genus Metarhizium and its related genera (formerly Metarhizium sensu lato). Currently, the phylogenetic relationships of Metarhizium and its related genera are inferred based on DNA sequence analyses from multigenes (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Since the related genera are not in the clade of the core Metarhizium forming monophyletic clades and their morphological traits are different from core Metarhizium, they have been renamed as other new genera, respectively, such as Papiliomyces and Keithomyces (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). The phylogeny shown in Figure 3 was constructed from DNA sequence analyses of the SSU, LSU, TUB, TEF, RPB1, and RPB2 regions, which supported the current taxonomy of Metarhizium and its related genera erected in 2020. This phylogenetic analysis also strongly supported that P. changbaiense and P. hingganense form a monophyletic clade similar with the related genera erected in 2020 (Mongkolsamrit et al., 2020). Combined with morphological results, it is proposed that a new genus, Parametarhizium, is erected.

The morphological traits of *P. changbaiense* and *P. hingganense* are compared with their close species, including phialides arrangements, sizes and shapes of conidia, and colony colors (**Table 2**). The conidia of *Parametarhizium* are subglobose, and their size is smaller than that of *Metarhizium*, and the colony of *Parametarhizium* is yellow or white with the exudate compared

with the green colony of *Metarhizium*. With respect to phialides arrangements, *Metarhizium* and its related genera have four kinds, candelabrum-like, *Verticillium*-like, *Paecilomyces*-like, and *Lecanicillium*-like. *Parametarhizium* is candelabrum-like. *Metapochonia* and *Pochonia* are *Verticillium*-like. *Keithomyces* and *Marquandomyces* are *Paecilomyces*-like. *Purpureomyces* and *Papiliomyces* are *Lecanicillium*-like. The conidiophores of *Sungia* erect with solitary, awl-shaped phialides. Furthermore, *Metapochonia, Pochonia, Sungia,* and *Marquandomyces* produce chlamydospores, but *Parametarhizium* on PDA, SDAY, MEA, and OA could not produce chlamydospores. In addition, the colony color of *Parametarhizium* is white to yellow, different from these close genera.

Since the conidia of *Parametarhizium* spp. are subglobose, the morphological traits of the related species producing subglobose conidia are compared and shown in **Table 2**. The conidia sizes of *Pu. khaoyaiensis*, *Pu. Maesotensis*, *Ma. marquandii* (Banihashemi, 2012) range within $2-3 \times 2-3 \mu m$ and they are similar to *Parametarhizium*. However, the phialides arrangements of these four species are *Paecilomyces*-like which is different from *Parametarhizium*.

The anti-insect results implied the potentials of *P. hingganense* and *P. changbaiense* as new biocontrol agents against farmland pests. There are some reports about anti-insect activities against *C. chinensis* and *R. maidis* of the entomopathogenic fungi *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, and *Isaria fumosorosea* (Sajid et al., 2017; Khan et al., 2018). The mortality of *R. maidis* caused by *P. hingganense* and *P. changbaiense* is similar to *M. anisopliae* and higher than the others. To the best of our knowledge, no related studies about anti-insect activity of entomopathogenic fungi against *Mo. hieroglyphica* are published so far. Therefore, the two entomopathogens, *P. hingganense* and *P. changbaiense*, are the first fungal species reported to show anti-insect activity against *Mo. hieroglyphica*.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SG isolated fungi, built up the phylogenetic tree, investigated anti-insect bioassay, and wrote the manuscript. WM designed the experiments, wrote the manuscript, and provided partial funding. LZ identified and provided insects. QY did the chemical analyses and revised the manuscript. XZ collected the insects. LX designed the experiments, identified the fungal isolates, wrote the manuscript, and provided partial funding. All authors discussed the results.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31870528), the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (QC2015033), and

REFERENCES

- Aly, A. H., Debbab, A., and Proksch, P. (2011). Fifty years of drug discovery from fungi. *Fungal Divers*. 50, 3–19. doi: 10.1007/s13225-011-0116-y
- Banihashemi, Z. (2012). First report of *Paecilomyces marquandii* from Iran. *Rostaniha* 13, 207–210.
- Bills, G. F., Christensen, M., Powell, M., and Thorn, G. (2004). "Saprobic soil fungi," in *Biodiversity of Fungi: Inventory and Monitoring Method*, eds G. M. Mueller, G. F. Bills, and M. S. Foster (Burlington, MA: Elsevier), 271–302.
- Bischoff, J., Rehner, S., and Humber, R. (2006). *Metarhizium frigidum* sp. nov.: a cryptic species of *M. anisopliae* and a member of the *M. flavoviride* complex. *Mycologia* 98, 737–745. doi: 10.1080/15572536.2006.11832645
- Bischoff, J., Rehner, S., and Humber, R. (2009). A multilocus phylogeny of the Metarhizium anisopliae lineage. Mycologia 101, 512–530. doi: 10.3852/07-202
- Brunner-Mendoza, C., Reyes-Montes, M., Moonjely, S., Bidochka, M., and Toriello, C. (2018). A review on the genus *Metarhizium* as an entomopathogenic microbial biocontrol agent with emphasis on its use and utility in Mexico. *Biocontrol. Sci. Techn.* 29, 83–102. doi: 10.1080/09583157.2018.1531111
- Castrillo, L., Griggs, M., Ranger, C., Reding, M., and Vandenberg, J. (2011). Virulence of commercial strains of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium brunneum* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) against adult *Xylosandrus germanus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and impact on brood. *Biol. Control* 58, 121–126. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.04.010
- Chen, W., Han, Y., Liang, J., and Liang, Z. (2019). Morphological and phylogenetic characterization of novel *Metarhizium* species in Guizhou, China. *Phytotaxa* 419, 189–196. doi: 10.11646/phytotaxa.419.2.5
- Chen, W., Han, Y., Liang, J., Liang, Z., and Jin, D. (2017). Metarhizium dendrolimatilis, a novel Metarhizium species parasitic on Dendrolimus sp. larvae. Mycosphere 8, 31–37. doi: 10.5943/mycosphere/8/1/4
- Chen, Z., Xu, L., Yang, X., Zhang, Y., and Yang, Y. (2018). *Metarhizium baoshanense* sp. nov., a New entomopathogen fungus from Southwestern China. *Pak. J. Zool.* 50, 1739–1746. doi: 10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.5.1739.1746
- Edler, D., Klein, J., Antonelli, A., and Silvestro, D. (2019). raxmlGUI 2.0 beta: a graphical interface and toolkit for phylogenetic analyses using RAxML. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/800912
- Filizola, P. R. B., Luna, M. A. C., de Souza, A. F., Coelho, I. L., Laranjeira, D., and Campos-Takaki, G. M. (2019). Biodiversity and phylogeny of novel *Trichoderma* isolates from mangrove sediments and potential of biocontrol against *Fusarium* strains. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 18:89. doi: 10.1186/s12934-019-1108-y
- Frisvad, J., and Samson, R. (2004). Polyphasic taxonomy of *Penicillium* subgenus *Penicillium*. A guide to identif cation of food and airborne terverticillate Penicillia and their mycotoxins. *Stud. Mycol.* 2004, 1–173.
- Gutierrez, A., Leclerque, A., Manfrino, R., Luz, C., Ferrari, W., Barneche, J., et al. (2019). Natural occurrence in Argentina of a new fungal pathogen of

Open Fund (No. 20200522-4) of Key Laboratory of Salinealkali Vegetation Ecology Restoration, Ministry of Education (Northeast Forestry University).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate Dr. Eric Kuhnert's help with the revision of manuscript and for his valuable suggestions.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2021.627744/full#supplementary-material

cockroaches, Metarhizium argentinense sp. nov. Fungal. Biol. U.K. 123, 364–372. doi: 10.1016/j.funbio.2019.02.005

- Hawksworth, D., and Lücking, R. (2017). Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 5:FUNK-0052-2016. doi: 10.1128/ microbiolspec
- Hussain, A., Rizwan-ul-Haq, M., Al-Ayedh, H., Ahmed, S., and Al-Jabr, A. (2015). Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* infection on the feeding performance and antioxidant defence of red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*. *BioControl.* 60, 849–859. doi: 10.1007/s10526-015-9682-3
- Hyde, K. D., Xu, J., Rapior, S., Jeewon, R., Lumyong, S., Niego, A. G. T., et al. (2019). The amazing potential of fungi: 50 ways we can exploit fungi industrially. *Fungal Divers*. 97, 1–136. doi: 10.1007/s13225-019-00430-9
- Hywel-Jones, N. (1994). Cordyceps khaoyaiensis and C. pseudomilitaris, two new pathogens of lepidopteran larvae from Thailand. Mycol. Res. 98, 939–942. doi: 10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80267-0
- Jeewon, R., and Hyde, K. (2016). Establishing species boundaries and new taxa among fungi: recommendations to resolve taxonomic ambiguities. *Mycosp.* 7, 1669–1677. doi: 10.5943/mycosphere/7/11/4
- Kepler, R., Humber, R., Bischoff, J., and Rehner, S. (2014). Clarification of generic and species boundaries for *Metarhizium* and related fungi through multigene phylogenetics. *Mycologia* 106, 811–829. doi: 10.3852/13-319
- Khan, B., Ahmad, K., Zafar, J., Shoukat, R., and Farooq, M. (2018). Efficacy of different entomopathogenic fungi on biological parameters of pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 6, 1972–1976.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Liang, J., Liu, B., Li, Z., Meng, W., Wang, Q., and Xu, L. (2019). Myxotrichum albicans, a new slowly-growing species isolated from forest litters in China. Mycoscience 60, 232–236. doi: 10.1016/j.myc.2019.03.002
- Luangsa-ard, J. J., Mongkolsamrit, S., Thanakitpipattana, D., Khonsanit, A., Tasanathai, K., Noisripoom, W., et al. (2017). *Clavicipitaceous entomopathogens:* new species in *Metarhizium* and a new genus *Nigelia*. *Mycol. Prog.* 16, 369–391. doi: 10.1007/s11557-017-1277-1
- Metchnikoff, E. (1879). Maladies des Hannetons Duble. Zapiski Imperatorskogo Obshchestua Sel'skago Khozyaistra Yuzhnoi Rossii. Odessa: Elsevier, 17–50.
- Meyling, N., and Eilenberg, J. (2007). Ecology of the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae in temperate agroecosystems: potential for conservation biological control. Biol. Control. 43, 145–155. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.07.007
- Mongkolsamrit, S., Khonsanit, A., Thanakitpipattana, D., Tasanathai, K., Noisripoom, W., Lamlertthon, S., et al. (2020). Revisiting *Metarhizium* and the description of new species from Thailand. *Stud. Mycol.* 95, 171–251. doi: 10.1016/j.simyco.2020.04.001

- Nishi, O., Shimizu, S., and Sato, H. (2017). Metarhizium bibionidarum and M. purpureogenum: new species from Japan. Mycol. Prog. 16, 987–998. doi: 10.1007/s11557-017-1333-x
- Noble, R., Dobrovin-Pennington, A., Fitzgerald, J., Dew, K., Wilson, C., Ross, K., et al. (2018). Improving biocontrol of black vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) with entomopathogenic fungi in growing media by incorporating spent mushroom compost. *BioControl.* 63, 697–706. doi: 10.1007/s10526-018-9877-5
- Rogerson, C. T. (1970). The hypocrealean fungi (*Ascomycetes*, *Hypocreales*). *Mycologia* 62, 865–910. doi: 10.1080/00275514.1970.1201 9033
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., et al. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* 61, 539–542. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/ sys029
- Sajid, M., Bashir, N., Batool, Q., Munir, I., Ameen, M., and Munir, S. (2017). Invitro evaluation of biopesticides (*Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Bacillus thuringiensis*) against mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* kalt. (Hemiptera: Aphididae). J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 5, 331–335.
- Schardl, C. L., Young, C. A., Hesse, U., Amyotte, S. G., Andreeva, K., Calie, P. J., et al. (2013). Plant-symbiotic fungi as chemical engineers: multi-genome analysis of the clavicipitaceae reveals dynamics of alkaloid loci. *PLoS Genet*. 9:e1003323. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003323
- Sung, G. H., Hywel-Jones, N. L., Sung, J. M., Luangsa-ard, J. J., Shrestha, B., and Spatafora, J. W. (2007a). Phylogenetic classification of *Cordyceps* and the clavicipitaceous fungi. *Stud. Mycol.* 57, 5–59. doi: 10.3114/sim.2007. 57.01
- Sung, G. H., Sung, J. M., Hywel-Jones, N. L., and Spatafora, J. W. (2007b). A multi-gene phylogeny of *Clavicipitaceae* (Ascomycota, Fungi): identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 44, 1204–1223. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2007. 03.011

- Tulloch, M. (1976). The genus Metarhizium. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 66, 407–411. doi: 10.1016/S0007-1536(76)80209-4
- Tzean, S., Hsieh, L., Chen, J., and Wu, W. (1993). Nomuraea cylindrospora comb. Nov. Mycologia 85, 514–519. doi: 10.1080/00275514.1993.12026302
- Wijayawardene, N. N., Hyde, K. D., Dai, D. Q., Tang, L. Z., Aptroot, A., Castañeda-Ruiz, R. F., et al. (2020). A dynamic portal for a communitydriven, continuously updated classification of Fungi and fungus-like organisms: outlineoffungi.org. *Mycosphere* 11, 1514–1526. doi: 10.5943/mycosphere/ 11/1/11
- Willis, K. J. (ed.) (2018). State of the World's Fungi 2018. Report. London: Royal Botanic Gardens.
- Wu, S., Sarkar, S., Lv, J., Xu, X., and Lei, Z. (2020). Poor infectivity of Beauveria bassiana to eggs and immatures causes the failure of suppression on Tetranychus urticae population. BioControl 65, 81–90. doi: 10.1007/s10526-019-09970-0
- Zimmermann, G. (1993). The entornopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* and its potential as a biocontrol agent. *Pestic. Sci.* 37, 375–379. doi: 10.1002/ps. 2780370410
- Zimmermann, G. (2007). Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae. Biocontrol Sci. Techn. 17, 879–920. doi: 10.1080/ 09583150701593963

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Gao, Meng, Zhang, Yue, Zheng and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.