



A Novel Laboratory-Scale Mesocosm Setup to Study Methane Emission Mitigation by *Sphagnum* Mosses and Associated Methanotrophs

Martine A. R. Kox^{1,2}, Alfons J. P. Smolders^{2,3}, Daan R. Speth^{1†}, Leon P. M. Lamers², Huub J. M. Op den Camp¹, Mike S. M. Jetten¹ and Maartje A. H. J. van Kessel^{1*}

¹ Department of Microbiology, IWWR, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ² Department of Aquatic Ecology and Environmental Biology, IWWR, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ³ B-WARE Research Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sukhwan Yoon,
Korea Advanced Institute of Science
and Technology, South Korea

Reviewed by:

Adrian Ho,
Leibniz University Hannover, Germany
Wenyu Gu,
Stanford University, United States

*Correspondence:

Maartje A. H. J. van Kessel
maartje.vankessel@science.ru.nl

† Present address:

Daan R. Speth,
Division of Geological and Planetary
Sciences, California Institute
of Technology, Pasadena, CA,
United States

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Microbiological Chemistry
and Geomicrobiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 11 January 2021

Accepted: 26 March 2021

Published: 26 April 2021

Citation:

Kox MAR, Smolders AJP,
Speth DR, Lamers LPM,
Op den Camp HJM, Jetten MSM and
van Kessel MAHJ (2021) A Novel
Laboratory-Scale Mesocosm Setup
to Study Methane Emission Mitigation
by *Sphagnum* Mosses
and Associated Methanotrophs.
Front. Microbiol. 12:652486.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.651103

Degraded peatlands are often rewetted to prevent oxidation of the peat, which reduces CO₂ emission. However, the created anoxic conditions will boost methane (CH₄) production and thus emission. Here, we show that submerged *Sphagnum* peat mosses in rewetted-submerged peatlands can reduce CH₄ emission from peatlands with 93%. We were able to mimic the field situation in the laboratory by using a novel mesocosm set-up. By combining these with 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and qPCR analysis of the *pmoA* and *mmoX* genes, we showed that submerged *Sphagnum* mosses act as a niche for CH₄ oxidizing bacteria. The tight association between *Sphagnum* peat mosses and methane oxidizing bacteria (MOB) significantly reduces CH₄ emissions by peatlands and can be studied in more detail in the mesocosm setup developed in this study.

Keywords: methanotrophy, peatland restoration, *Sphagnum* moss, methane cycle, mesocosm

INTRODUCTION

Globally, about 15% of peatland area has been drained for agriculture, forestry or bioenergy production, with highest losses in Europe (Joosten and Clarke, 2002; Grootjans et al., 2012). Drainage results in the exposure of the organic peat layer to oxygen, resulting in high CO₂ emission (Waddington and Day, 2007; Abdalla et al., 2016; Reumer et al., 2018). As restoration measure, drained peatlands can be rewetted to protect organic matter from fast aerobic degradation (Grootjans et al., 2012; Renou-Wilson et al., 2019). However, the resulting anaerobic conditions create a suitable environment for the production of the potent greenhouse gas methane (CH₄), leading to high methane emissions (Harpenslager et al., 2015; Abdalla et al., 2016; Renou-Wilson et al., 2019). The water table is a well-known factor in controlling CH₄ cycling in wetlands (Bridgman et al., 2013; Ho et al., 2016); when the water table remains below the field surface, CH₄ emissions typically remain low. However, when the water table rises, the oxygen concentration decreases which results in a strong increase in CH₄ emission (Smolders et al., 2003; Harpenslager et al., 2015).

The CH₄ emission of rewetted peatlands seems to be strongly reduced by the presence of (aquatic) *Sphagnum* mosses, which harbor CH₄-oxidizing microorganisms that consume the produced CH₄ (Smolders et al., 2003; Raghoebarsing et al., 2005; Waddington and Day, 2007;

Kip et al., 2010). The association between these microorganisms and *Sphagnum* mosses was shown to be mutually beneficial (Raghoebarsing et al., 2005). By producing CO₂, methanotrophs can relieve the CO₂ limitation that *Sphagnum* mosses experience in submerged conditions (Smolders et al., 2001; Raghoebarsing et al., 2005; Kip et al., 2010). In return, MOB can benefit from O₂ production and shelter provided by the *Sphagnum* moss (Kip et al., 2010). Different types of MOB are associated to *Sphagnum* mosses. Molecular surveys showed that *Alphaproteobacterial* methanotrophs typically dominate 16S rRNA gene-libraries from *Sphagnum* mosses (Kip et al., 2011; Kox et al., 2016, 2018). Within the *Alphaproteobacteria* especially methanotrophs of the family *Methylocystaceae* (*Methylocystis* spp.) and the acidophilic methanotrophs of the family *Beijerinckiaceae* (*Methylocella*, *Methyloferula*, and *Methylocapsa*) are often found and several of these have been isolated from peatlands (Dedysh et al., 2000, 2004; Dedysh, 2011; Vorobev et al., 2011; Danilova et al., 2013). In addition, it has been shown that also gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs are present and active in peatlands (Kip et al., 2010; Esson et al., 2016; Kaupper et al., 2021) with species belonging to *Methylomonas*, *Methylobacter*, *Methylovulum*, and *Methylomicrobium* being described as active members.

Peatland methane fluxes have been studied in the field, but it is challenging to investigate the association between methanotrophs with *Sphagnum* mosses in more detail. Therefore, investigating methanotroph-*Sphagnum* interactions and methane-flux dynamics under laboratory-controlled conditions can provide detailed insights into underlying mechanisms. The goal of our study was to establish the role of *Sphagnum* mosses and associated methanotrophs in mitigating CH₄ fluxes from rewetted peatlands. An excellent case study for a rewetted peatland is the Mariapeel peatland in Netherlands, which has been drained since 1998. The peatland was rewetted for restoration purposes, which resulted in a decrease in CO₂ emission, but a strong increase in CH₄ emission (Smolders et al., 2003). We developed a new mesocosm set-up (Figure 1) in which methane-oxidation by submerged *Sphagnum* mosses can be studied in detail in a controlled laboratory setup, without the variability encountered in the field. We hypothesized that the submerged *Sphagnum* moss layer acts as a biofilter for CH₄, thereby reducing CH₄-emission to the atmosphere. Furthermore, it was expected that CH₄-oxidizing microorganisms are associated with *Sphagnum* mosses, rather than with peat water. Monitoring of the CH₄-flux throughout the mesocosm incubation, as well as CH₄ batch-assays and molecular analysis of 16S rRNA and methane monooxygenases (*pmoA* and *mmoX*) genes, showed that methanotrophs were highly active and enriched in the newly developed mesocosm setup.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Site and Field Measurements

The sampling site was located in the Mariapeel (51°24'28.4"N, 5°55'8"E), a peat bog nature conservation area in the southeast of the Netherlands. This site was visited for measurements and sampling in August 2017. Net diffusive gas fluxes were

measured in the field using a fast greenhouse gas analyzer with cavity ringdown spectroscopy (GGA-24EP; Los Gatos Research, United States) connected to a Perspex chamber (15 cm in diameter). The chamber was put on top of the moss for 10 min to measure fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄. In total three independent measurements were taken within two meter distance from each other. After removal of the moss layer and an equilibration period of 15 min, measurements were repeated. Submerged *Sphagnum cuspidatum* moss and water was collected after the measurements. Upon arrival in the laboratory, all samples were stored at 4°C until the start of the incubations. The experiment was performed twice; for the first mesocosm experiment, mosses were stored 1 day. For the second mesocosm experiment, this was 35 days.

Mesocosm Design

The mesocosm consists of a glass cylinder with a diameter of 12 cm and a height of 54 cm, with a separate reservoir that was connected with a Teflon connector (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). The reservoir volume was 0.5 L, the connector tube volume 0.1 L and the column volume 5.5 L. The liquid level in the mesocosms was maintained at 5.1 L. The column headspace was closed using a greased lid with a sampling port. Several sampling ports (in the reservoir, cylinder headspace and in the cylinder at 10, 20, 30, 35, and 40 cm height) allow for sampling at different heights. Sampling ports were closed using red butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp caps.

Mesocosm Incubation

The mesocosm incubations were performed in duplicate for 32 days at room temperature. Two mesocosms were incubated simultaneously, one containing 100 *Sphagnum cuspidatum* plants (6 cm in length each, 120 g fresh weight in total) in filtered peat water and one contained only filtered peat water. Prior to incubation, *Sphagnum* mosses were carefully rinsed with tap water. Both mesocosms had an acclimatization period of 7 days prior to sampling. CH₄ was added via the enclosed reservoir, which was stirred with a 2 cm magnetic stir bar at 250 rpm. Mesocosms were opened for 1 h per day to allow aeration. Directly after aeration, 20 ml of CH₄ and 5 ml CO₂ was injected in the reservoir. Light (16 h light, 8 h dark) was supplied on top of the mesocosm column using 120 deep red/white LEDs (Philips, Green-Power LED, Poland; 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation at vegetation level). As additional control incubation, 100 plantlets of gnotobiotic *Sphagnum fimbriatum* (obtained from moss stock center Freiburg, Germany) were incubated.

Mesocosm CH₄ Fluxes

CH₄ concentrations were measured directly after closing (0 h) and just before opening (23 h) the mesocosms by collecting 0.5 ml gas or water samples which were injected into a closed 5.9 ml Exetainer vial (Labco Ltd., Lampeter, United Kingdom). The concentration of dissolved CH₄ throughout the column was determined once a week, by sampling water at four different time points during the day (0 h, 3 h, 7 h, and




FIGURE 1 | Schematic set up of mesocosm incubation with in **(A)** control mesocosms containing only filtered peat water (blue) and in **(B)** the moss mesocosms, containing *Sphagnum* moss layer (green) in filtered peat water. **(C)** Picture of the mesocosm setup.

23 h after closing the headspace). The CH_4 concentration in the Exetainers (Labco Ltd., Lampeter, United Kingdom) was measured at least 4 h after sampling by using a gas chromatograph with a flame-ionized detector and a Porapak Q column de Jong et al. (2018). Dissolved CH_4 in the water was calculated based on the solubility of CH_4 and was accounted for in the flux calculations as well. The daily CH_4 flux in the mesocosm was calculated as the change in CH_4 concentration in the headspace, divided by the surface area (0.01131 m^2).

Potential CH_4 Oxidation Rates

Prior to and after the mesocosm incubation, moss (3 g fresh weight) and peat water (12 ml, unfiltered) and filtered ($0.2 \mu\text{m}$) was incubated in 120 ml serum vials that were closed with red-butyl rubber stoppers and metal crimp-caps. Each serum vial received 2 ml CH_4 (1.8%) and CH_4 concentration was measured as described above. As a control for biological methane oxidation, 6 ml of acetylene was added after 10 h of incubation with CH_4 .

Water Geochemistry

Water geochemistry was measured for both unfiltered and filtered (2–5 nm pore size, HF80S dialysis filter, Fresenius Medical Care, Homburg, Germany) peat water (see **Supplementary Table 9**). The pH was measured and elemental composition was determined using ICP-OES as described previously (Kox et al., 2018).

DNA Extraction

Five grams of moss (fresh weight) was taken from the mesocosm incubations and directly grinded using a pestle and mortar and liquid nitrogen, after which DNA was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil DNA extraction kit following manufacturers protocol (Qiagen Benelux B.V., Venlo, Netherlands). DNA quality was checked by gel-electrophoresis and using the Qbit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, United States).

Amplicon Sequencing and Analysis

Barcoded Amplicon-sequencing of the amplified V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16s rRNA gene [primers Bact-341f and Bact 785r (Klindworth et al., 2013)] was performed by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, Netherlands) using Illumina Miseq. The obtained 326045 reads were quality filtered and analyzed using Mothur (v1.36.1), following the Illumina Standard Operating Procedure (SOP, accessed on May 8th 2018, Kozich et al., 2013). Merged reads shorter than 400 bp were discarded, chimeras were removed using the UCHIME algorithm (Edgar et al., 2011) and the remaining sequences were clustered at 97% identity. The resulting OTUs were classified based on the SILVA v132 16s rRNA gene non-redundant database (SSURef_99_v132_SILVA). Non-target sequences (Chloroplasts, Mitochondria, unknown, Archaea, and Eukaryota) were removed from the dataset (see **Supplementary Tables 1, 2** for full overview of read processing). The output was analyzed with R [version 3.4.0

by the R Development Core Team (2017)] and Rstudio v1.1.456 (RStudio Team, 2016) using the packages Phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) and Vegan (Oksanen et al., 2016). Singletons were removed, and read libraries of all samples were rarefied by random subsampling (seed: 12345) to 6500 reads per sample (Rarefaction curves are depicted in **Supplementary Figure 2**). As a follow-up a PcoA plot (**Supplementary Figure 4**) was created using Phyloseq, based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix on rarefied data. All sequencing data can be accessed via GenBank NCBI BioProject PRJNA517391.

Quantitative PCR

Copy numbers of the Bacterial 16S rRNA gene and *pmoA* and *mmoX* genes were quantified by qPCR (see **Supplementary Table 3** for primers). The qPCR reaction mix consisted of PerfeCTA Quanta master mix (Quanta Biosciences, Beverly, MA, United States) and 0.5 ng sample DNA and 1 μ l of each primer (10 μ M). qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate with a C1000 Touch thermal cycler equipped with a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories B.V., Veenendaal, Netherlands). Triplicate measurements per sample were averaged prior to statistical analysis. Standard curves were obtained via 10-fold dilution series of a PGEM T-easy plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) containing the target gene. Data was analyzed using Bio-Rad CFX Manager version 3.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories B.V., Veenendaal, Netherlands).


Statistics

Statistics were performed by using R version 3.4.0 by the R Development Core Team (2017). In order to allow for parametrical statistical tests, Shapiro–Wilk’s test was used on the residual (stats-package) to test the normality of the data and Levene’s test (car-package) was used to test for homogeneity of variance. If assumptions of tests were not met, data was log-transformed (ln), which was the case for the field CH₄ flux data. A paired *T*-test was used to test whether the net CH₄ flux in the field was affected by the presence of moss (moss field/moss removed). Differences in the potential CH₄ oxidation activity in peat water and mosses prior to mesocosm incubation were tested using a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis tests. Within each material (moss/peat water) the effect of treatment (field/washing or filtering) was tested using an independent *T*-test. Differences in the potential CH₄ oxidation activity after mesocosm incubation were tested using a 3-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey HSD *post hoc* test. Differences in copy number between each moss sample for each target gene were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey HSD *post hoc* test. Here, the data for 16S rRNA gene and *mmoX* gene were log-transformed (ln) prior to analysis.

RESULTS

Field CH₄ Flux


To estimate diffusive CH₄ emissions in the field, flux-chamber measurements were conducted in plots with submerged *Sphagnum* mosses before and after removal of the moss layer.



The CH₄ emission in the field situation with the submerged *Sphagnum* moss layer was 4.1 ± 2.1 mmol CH₄ m⁻² day⁻¹ (mean \pm SEM, *n* = 3; **Figure 2**). Removal of the *Sphagnum* moss layer significantly increased the net CH₄ emission [$t_{(2)} = -6.1$, *p* < 0.05] to 60 ± 32 mmol CH₄ m⁻² day⁻¹ (**Figure 2**).

CH₄ Oxidation Activity Prior to Mesocosm Incubation

The CH₄ oxidation rates associated with the *Sphagnum* moss and peat water were determined prior to incubation in the mesocosm setup by using batch assays (**Figure 3**). Methane oxidation was clearly associated to *Sphagnum* mosses, which showed higher CH₄ oxidation rates (average rate mosses 143 ± 17 μ mol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹, **Figure 3**) compared to peat water, which had virtually no activity (0.05 ± 0.06 μ mol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹; $\chi^2 = 7.5$, *p* < 0.01, **Supplementary Figure 5** and **Supplementary Table 6**). Washing of *Sphagnum* mosses reduced the CH₄ oxidation rate by 15% to an average CH₄ oxidation rate of 121 μ mol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹; [$t_{(2)} = 1.5$, *p* > 0.05, **Figure 3**], indicating that most MOB were strongly associated with the mosses.



Methane Emission by the Mesocosm Incubations

The net CH₄ flux in the mesocosm showed a similar pattern for moss containing and control mesocosm until day 8 after incubation (Figure 4). Thereafter, the CH₄ concentration in the headspace of the *Sphagnum* moss containing mesocosm was lower compared to the control mesocosm. In addition, the CH₄ emission from the *Sphagnum* moss mesocosm gradually decreased during the 32 days incubation period, which is a strong indication of increasing CH₄ oxidation activity. A second replicate of the experiment showed a similar pattern, with lower CH₄ emission when *Sphagnum* mosses were present in the mesocosm (Supplementary Figure 8 and Supplementary Tables 7, 8). In order to test if the methane emission is decreased by activity of microorganisms associated to the moss or by a decreased diffusion of methane from the liquid to the gas phase of the column; a similar experimental setup was performed using *Sphagnum* mosses without any associated microorganisms (gnotobionts). Net CH₄ flux from this mesocosm was about 20% lower than the moss control (Supplementary Figure 9), indicating that both a decreased diffusion rate and microbial activity play a role in the observed decrease in CH₄ emission.

CH₄ Oxidation Activity After Mesocosm Incubation

After 32 days of incubation in the mesocosms, *Sphagnum* moss and peat water were taken from the mesocosm in order to

measure potential CH₄ oxidation activity in batch. The activity of the mosses was 189 µmol CH₄ g⁻¹ DW day⁻¹ (Table 1). Even after 32 days of incubation, peat water showed no CH₄ oxidation activity ($R^2 < 0.9$; see Table 1 and Supplementary Figures 6, 7), again indicating a tight association of the CH₄ oxidizing microorganisms with the moss. CH₄ oxidation associated with mosses was almost completely inhibited by acetylene [$F_{(1,4)} = 981.3$, $p < 0.001$, Table 1], indicating that the CH₄ oxidation is indeed entirely performed by methanotrophic microorganisms associated to the moss. During the incubation, the CH₄ oxidation activity associated to the moss had increased by 155% (from 121 to 189 µmol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹; Table 1 and Figure 3).

Sphagnum Associated Microorganisms

To quantify the microbial community, qPCR and amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA genes was performed. Quantification of the bacteria per gram of FW (16S rRNA gene; Figure 5) showed that bacterial copy numbers differed between moss from the field and between moss before and after incubation [$F_{(2,6)} = 34.3$, $p < 0.001$]. 98% of presumably loosely attached microorganisms were removed by washing the moss (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$). The washing step reduced the abundance of the *mmoX*-containing methanotrophs from 10¹⁰ to 10² copies per g FW (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$), whereas *pmoA*-containing methanotrophs were much less affected (remained around 10⁵ copies per g FW; Tukey HSD $p > 0.05$). At the end of the incubation time the copy numbers were 97% of the original value (Tukey HSD




TABLE 1 | Potential CH₄ oxidation (PMO) rate in batch, after mesocosm incubation.


| Material | Mesocosm | Treatment | Potential methane oxidation rate ($\mu\text{mol CH}_4 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DW day}^{-1}$) | SEM | <i>R</i> ² | <i>n</i> |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|---|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| Moss | Moss | | 189 | <i>a</i> | 0.98 | 3 |
| Moss | Moss | + acetylene | 2.0 | <i>b</i> | 0.3 | 3 |
| Material | Mesocosm | Treatment | Potential methane oxidation rate ($\mu\text{mol CH}_4 \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) | SEM | <i>R</i> ² | <i>n</i> |
| Water | Moss | | 0.02 | <i>a</i> | 0.17 | 3 |
| Water | Moss | + acetylene | 0.03 | <i>a</i> | 0.51 | 3 |
| Water | Peat water only | | 0.09 | <i>b</i> | 0.86 | 3 |
| Water | Peat water only | + acetylene | 0.05 | <i>b</i> | 0.67 | 3 |

Moss and peat water samples from each mesocosm were incubated in batch, with or without acetylene. Different italic letters indicate statistical differences between PMO rates, tested by 3-way ANOVA.

$p < 0.05$), indicating regrowth of microorganisms during the incubation in the mesocosm. Quantification of methanotrophic microorganisms by using qPCR targeting the *mmoX* and *pmoA* genes showed a similar trend [*mmoX* $F_{(2,6)} = 40.7$, $p < 0.001$; *pmoA* $F_{(2,6)} = 27.1$, $p < 0.001$; **Figure 5**], although *pmoA*-containing methanotrophs were overall less abundant than *mmoX*-containing methanotrophs (resp. 10^6 vs. 10^{10} copies per g FW). Upon mesocosm incubation *mmoX* copies increased from 10^2 to 10^8 (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$), while *pmoA*-containing methanotrophs marginally increased in copy number per g FW (Tukey HSD $p < 0.01$).

The microbial community composition associated with the mosses was studied by 16S rRNA gene sequencing of the V3-V4 region. Comparison of the moss microbial community

in the field and of the community after washing and incubation in the mesocosm showed a gradual change in microbial community (**Figure 6** and **Supplementary Figure 4**). However, the main classes of microorganisms remained the same throughout the incubation. Furthermore, mesocosm incubation increased the microbial community diversity (Shannon and Chao 1 index, **Supplementary Table 4**), where *Proteobacteria* was the most dominant phylum (**Figure 6A**). The relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was not affected by washing, but their relative number increased during incubation in our mesocosm set-up. Furthermore, especially the relative abundance of *Pedospaerales* and *Opiritales* increased upon incubation (**Supplementary Table 5**). When focusing on the methanotrophic community, the relative abundance



of Verrucomicrobial *Methylacidiphilales* associated to the moss increased after incubation (**Figure 6B**). Also other methanotrophic bacteria species, such as *Methylomonas* spp. and *Methylocystis* spp., increased in relative abundance upon incubation (**Figure 6B**) indicating that methane oxidation is facilitated by a number of different methanotrophs.

DISCUSSION

Sphagnum in Rewetted Peatland Is a Strong Natural CH₄ Filter


This study aimed to investigate the mitigation of CH₄ fluxes in rewetted peatlands by an active, natural biofilter composed of *Sphagnum* mosses and their associated CH₄ oxidizing microorganisms. In our study site, CH₄ emission was reduced with 93% by *Sphagnum* associated methanotrophs (**Figure 2**). The reduction of methane emission to the atmosphere by *Sphagnum*-associated methanotrophs could be mimicked in our newly developed mesocosm setup, although the methane emission reduction was less pronounced (31 vs. 93% in the field). Free-floating plants can reduce CH₄ emission by up to 70% by a combination of plant-associated CH₄ oxidation and decreased flux rates (Kosten et al., 2016). Also in our mesocosm setup, a dense layer of gnotobiotic *Sphagnum* moss already decreased methane emission, most probably by limiting CH₄ diffusion from the surface water to the atmosphere. This results in an increase in CH₄ concentration in the porewater, creating ideal conditions for enrichment of CH₄ oxidizing microorganisms. All in all, the large decrease of CH₄ emission in the presence of both submerged *Sphagnum* moss and methanotrophs emphasizes their important role in CH₄ cycling in peatlands (Basilikio et al.,

2004; Kip et al., 2010; Liebner et al., 2011; van Winden et al., 2012, 2020). The tight association between CH₄ oxidizers and *Sphagnum* mosses is further underlined by the fact that washing of the moss and filtering of the peat water had little effect on CH₄ oxidation activity.

Sphagnum: A Niche for CH₄-Oxidizing Microorganisms

QPCR revealed that total bacterial copy numbers per g FW decreased after moss washing. The number of sMMO-containing methanotrophs decreased most, indicating that these methanotrophs might be loosely attached epiphytes. However, subsequently they showed the highest increase in copy number (10²–10⁸ copies per g FW) upon mesocosm incubation, which indicates that these microorganisms have a very short generation time. The transcription of *mmoX* gene and activity of sMMO-containing methanotrophs has previously been reported in peatlands (Morris et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Liebner and Svenning, 2012), and together with our findings this suggests that sMMO-containing methanotrophs are relevant for acidic peatland ecosystems.

Surprisingly, the pMMO-containing methanotrophs were initially less abundant compared to sMMO-containing methanotrophs and seemed more tightly associated to *Sphagnum* moss as washing did not decrease their copy numbers. However, there was hardly any increase in abundance of pMMO-containing methanotrophs upon incubation, which might be explained by the lack of copper in our mesocosm incubations (Murrell et al., 2000). Ultimately, the enrichment of sMMO-containing methanotrophs in the mesocosm incubation shows that this set-up can be used to further study the functioning of sMMO methanotrophs in *Sphagnum* mosses.



Obtaining more insights into their functioning is of great importance since their ecology is less well understood than that of pMMO-containing methanotrophs.

Sphagnum-Associated Microbial Community

The *Sphagnum*-associated microbial community in all samples showed high similarity to previous *Sphagnum*-associated 16S rRNA gene libraries (Bragina et al., 2012, 2014; Kox et al., 2018). The dominant community members found in this study were similar to those in other investigation with dominant phyla being the *Proteobacteria* (*Alpha*- and *Gammaproteobacteria*), *Cyanobacteria* (*Oxyphotobacteria*) and *Acidobacteria* and a relatively high abundance of *Verrucomicrobia*. Upon mesocosm incubation the microbial diversity increased. The relative abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* and *Planctomycetes* increased, whereas the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* decreased. Future studies with (micro-) nutrient additions may help to find out what causes these changes in microbial community.

The methanotrophic microbial community profile showed that *Methylocidiphilales*, *Methylocystis*, and *Methylomonas* spp. all were more abundant at the end the mesocosm incubation. The qPCR profiles showed that the abundance of sMMO containing methanotrophs increased most during incubation. Compared to the 16S rRNA gene library, there are few methanotrophs identified known to possess sMMO. The *Verrucomicrobia* methanotrophic genera *Methylocidiphilum* and *Methylocidimicrobium* appear to contain only pMMO (Op den Camp et al., 2018), whereas *Methylocystis* species typically have solely pMMO, except for the acidophilic *Methylocystis* isolates *Methylocystis bryophila*, and *Methylocystis heyeri* (Dedysh et al., 2007; Belova et al., 2013) that contain both sMMO and pMMO. *Methylocella* species, facultative methane oxidizers, are the only known organisms containing exclusively sMMO (Dunfield and Dedysh, 2014; Dedysh and Dunfield, 2018). The lack of correlation found in the quantification of *pmmo* and *smmo* genes suggests that sMMO-only microorganisms not belonging

to *Methylocella* species are present in our samples. Alternatively, the lack of sMMO-containing methanotrophs in the sequencing analysis could be caused by the coarse taxonomic resolution of the 16S rRNA genes. The presence of sMMO-containing methanotrophs belonging to *Beijerinckiaceae* can thus not be entirely excluded.

Mesocosm Approach

Studying the *Sphagnum* microbiome in the field is challenging, because the microbial community associated with the moss is influenced by many biotic and abiotic factors which strongly fluctuate in a natural environment. Therefore, we designed a novel mesocosm set-up to mimic a submerged *Sphagnum* moss ecosystem and operated it under controlled laboratory conditions. The conditions could be even more controlled by supplying methane and air continuously to avoid fluctuations in the concentration of these gasses. This would most probably also reduce the fluctuations observed in methane fluxes (Figure 4). We hypothesized that the submerged *Sphagnum cuspidatum* moss layer acts as a biofilter for CH₄ and expected that the CH₄-oxidizing microbial community was mainly associated with *Sphagnum* moss. Similar to mosses in the field, results of our controlled mesocosm set-up showed a significant reduction (31%) in CH₄ emission that was associated with *Sphagnum* mosses and their microbial community (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 8). This CH₄ removal was only associated with the mosses; methane oxidation activity was not found in the peat water. However, this water can still contain low numbers of methanotrophs. It has been shown before that peat water can be a potential source for methanotrophs which can colonize *Sphagnum* moss (Putkinen et al., 2012).

During incubation in the novel mesocosm set-up, methanotrophic activity indeed increased along with an increase in MOB abundance. CH₄ oxidation batch-assays revealed a significant increase in methanotrophic activity after incubation (from 121 ± 4 to 189 ± 6 μmol CH₄ g⁻¹ DW day⁻¹, resp. Figure 3 and Table 1), indicating MOB involvement in CH₄ mitigation. Similarly, qPCR of functional methanotrophic

genes (*mmoX* and *pmoA*), indicated that significant numbers of CH₄-oxidizing bacteria were present in and on the moss and that their numbers increased over the course of the incubation.

The reduction in CH₄ emission in the mesocosm set-up was lower than the reduction found in the field, which is most likely due to peat moss density that is much higher in the field (~50 cm deep in the field compared to 6 cm in the mesocosm). Mesocosm incubations were terminated after 32 days; we believe that the CH₄ mitigation by the moss-associated methanotrophs in the mesocosm will increase even further by prolonging the incubation time. In addition, an increased *Sphagnum* moss density is expected to increase the CH₄ oxidation even further. Furthermore, the mesocosm set-up could be improved by a continuous supply system for CH₄ and air, which results in a system that is more comparable to the natural situation.

Implications for Degraded Peatlands

The large organic matter stocks in peatlands are a potential source for CO₂. Restoration measures aimed at preventing CO₂ emission often involve hydrological measures (rewetting; Lamers et al., 2002; Smolders et al., 2003), which result in high CH₄ production rates (Abdalla et al., 2016). Since peatland degradation affects the presence and abundance of *Sphagnum* (Gorham, 1991; Frolking et al., 2011), care should be taken to bring back and facilitate *Sphagnum* mosses in restored peatlands. Stimulation of the current population or even reintroduction of *Sphagnum* in peatland restoration projects can thereby strongly mitigate the resultant CH₄ emissions.

CONCLUSION

Sphagnum mosses have many key roles in peat ecosystems (Rydin and Jeglum, 2006), and our study shows that their microbiome and specifically their associated methanotrophs are crucial to reduce CH₄ emissions from peatlands. Peatland restoration practices involving rewetting typically result in high CH₄ emissions and should therefore simultaneously aim to stimulate the presence of *Sphagnum* mosses. With the development of our mesocosm setup, CH₄ mitigation by *Sphagnum* mosses and their associated methanotrophs can be studied in great detail, providing essential knowledge that can be used for restoration practices and climate research in the future.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Truu, J., Espenberg, M., Mander, Ü, and Smith, P. (2016). Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options. *Ecol. Evol.* 6, 7080–7102. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2469
- Basiliko, N., Knowles, R., and Moore, T. R. (2004). Roles of moss species and habitat in methane consumption potential in a northern peatland. *Wetlands* 24, 178–185.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>, PRJNA517391.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MARK, AJPS, and MAHjvK collected the samples. MARK and MAHjvK processed the samples and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. MARK, DRS, MSMJ, and MAHjvK designed the research. MARK performed the experiments. HJMOdC, MSMJ, LPML, and AJPS were involved in project discussion and data interpretation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

MARK was supported by the European Research Council Advanced Grant Ecomom 339880 to MSMJ, who was further supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (SIAM Gravitation grant 024 002 002 and Spinoza Award). MAHjvK was supported by NWO Veni grant (016.veni.192.062). HJMOdC was supported by European Research Council Advanced Grant VOLCANO 669371.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nardy Kip for her support with the initial design of the mesocosms, Tijs van den Bosch for helping with 16S rRNA sequencing and the Department of General Instrumentation at the Faculty of Science at Radboud University, especially Paul van der Ven and Sebastian Krosse, for measuring elemental composition of the water samples and determining stable isotope contents. Dr. Silvia Coolen is thanked for critical reviewing of the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.651103/full#supplementary-material>

- Belova, S. E., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Bodelier, P. L. E., and Dedysh, S. N. (2013). *Methylocystis bryophila* sp. nov., a facultatively methanotrophic bacterium from acidic *Sphagnum* peat, and emended description of the genus *Methylocystis* (ex Whittenbury et al. 1970) Bowman et al. 1993. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 1096–1104. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.043505-0
- Bragina, A., Berg, C., Cardinale, M., Shcherbakov, A., Chebotar, V., and Berg, G. (2012). *Sphagnum* mosses harbour highly specific bacterial diversity during their whole lifecycle. *ISME J.* 6, 802–813. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.151

- Bragina, A., Oberauer-Wappis, L., Zachow, C., Halwachs, B., Thallinger, G. G., Müller, H., et al. (2014). The *Sphagnum* microbiome supports bog ecosystem functioning under extreme conditions. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 4498–4510. doi: 10.1111/mec.12885
- Bridgham, S. D., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., Keller, J. K., and Zhuang, Q. (2013). Methane emissions from wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 1325–1346. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12131
- Chen, Y., Dumont, M. G., McNamara, N. P., Chamberlain, P. M., Bodrossy, L., Stralis-Pavese, N., et al. (2008). Diversity of the active methanotrophic community in acidic peatlands as assessed by mRNA and SIP-PLFA analyses. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 446–459. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01466.x
- Danilova, O. V., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Rozova, O. N., Detkova, E. N., Bodelier, P. L. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., et al. (2013). *Methylomonas paludis* sp. nov., the first acid-tolerant member of the genus *Methylomonas*, from an acidic wetland. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 2282–2289. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.045658-0
- de Jong, A. E. E., in't Zandt, M. H., Meisel, O. H., Jetten, M. S. M., Dean, J. F., Rasigraf, O., et al. (2018). Increases in temperature and nutrient availability positively affect methane-cycling microorganisms in Arctic thermokarst lake sediments. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20, 4314–4327. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14345
- Dedysh, S. N. (2011). Cultivating uncultured bacteria from northern wetlands: knowledge gained and remaining gaps. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:184. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00184
- Dedysh, S. N., Belova, S. E., Bodelier, P. L. E., Smirnova, K. V., Khmelena, V. N., Chidthaisong, A., et al. (2007). *Methylocystis heyeri* sp. nov., a novel type II methanotrophic bacterium possessing “signature” fatty acids of type I methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 472–479. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64623-0
- Dedysh, S. N., Berestovskaya, Y., Vasylieva, L., Belova, S., Khmelena, V., Suzina, N. E., et al. (2004). *Methylocella tundrae* sp. nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from acidic tundra peatlands. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 151–156. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02805-0
- Dedysh, S. N., and Dunfield, P. F. (2018). *Facultative Methane Oxidizers*, in: *Taxonomy, Genomics and Ecophysiology of Hydrocarbon-Degrading Microbes*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 1–20.
- Dedysh, S. N., Liesack, W., Khmelena, V. N., Suzina, N. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., Semrau, J. D., et al. (2000). *Methylocella palustris* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new methane-oxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine-pathway methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 50, 955–969.
- Dunfield, P. F., and Dedysh, S. N. (2014). *Methylocella*: a gourmand among methanotrophs. *Trends Microbiol.* 22, 368–369. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.05.004
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., and Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194–2200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Esson, K. C., Lin, X., Kumaresan, D., Chanton, J. P., Murrell, J. C., and Kostka, J. E. (2016). Alpha- and gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs codominate the active methane-oxidizing communities in an acidic boreal peat bog. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 2363–2371. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03640-15
- Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M. C., Treat, C. C., Kauffman, J. B., Tuittila, E. S., et al. (2011). Peatlands in the Earth's 21st century climate system. *Environ. Rev.* 19, 371–396.
- Gorham, E. (1991). Northern peatlands: role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecol. Appl.* 1, 182–195.
- Grootjans, A. P., Van Diggelen, R., Joosten, H., and Smolders, J. P. (2012). “Restoration of mires,” in *Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier*, eds J. van Andel, and J. Aronson, (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley), 203–213. doi: 10.1002/9781118223130.ch16
- Harpenslager, S. F., van den Elzen, E., Kox, M. A. R., Smolders, A. J. P., Ettwig, K. F., and Lamers, L. P. M. (2015). Rewetting former agricultural peatlands: topsoil removal as a prerequisite to avoid strong nutrient and greenhouse gas emissions. *Ecol. Eng.* 84, 159–168. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.08.002
- Ho, A., van den Brink, E., Reim, A., Krause, S. M. B., and Bodelier, P. L. E. (2016). Recurrence and frequency of disturbance have cumulative effect on methanotrophic activity, abundance, and community structure. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1493. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01493
- Joosten, H., and Clarke, D. (2002). *Wise Use of Mires and Peatlands*. Helsinki: International Mire Conservation Group and International Peat Society.
- Kaupper, T., Mendes, L. W., Harnisz, M., Krause, S. M. B., Horn, M. A., and Ho, A. (2021). Recovery of methanotrophic activity is not reflected in the methane-driven interaction network after peat mining. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87:e02355-20. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02355-20
- Kip, N., Dutilh, B. E., Pan, Y., Bodrossy, L., Neveling, K., Kwint, M. P., et al. (2011). Ultra-deep pyrosequencing of *pmoA* amplicons confirms the prevalence of methylomonas and methylocystis in sphagnum mosses from a dutch peat bog. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 667–673. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00260.x
- Kip, N., van Winden, J. F., Pan, Y., Bodrossy, L., Reichart, G.-J., Smolders, A. J. P., et al. (2010). Global prevalence of methane oxidation by symbiotic bacteria in peat-moss ecosystems. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 617–621.
- Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., et al. (2013). Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 1–11. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808
- Kosten, S., Piñero, M., de Goede, E., de Klein, J., Lamers, L. P. M., and Ettwig, K. (2016). Fate of methane in aquatic systems dominated by free-floating plants. *Water Res.* 104, 200–207. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.054
- Kox, M. A. R., Aalto, S. L., Penttilä, T., Ettwig, K. F., Jetten, M. S. M., and van Kessel, M. A. H. J. (2018). The influence of oxygen and methane on nitrogen fixation in subarctic *Sphagnum* mosses. *AMB Express* 8:76. doi: 10.1186/s13568-018-0607-2
- Kox, M. A. R., Lüke, C., Fritz, C., van den Elzen, E., van Alen, T., Op den Camp, H. J. M., et al. (2016). Effects of nitrogen fertilization on diazotrophic activity of microorganisms associated with *Sphagnum magellanicum*. *Plant Soil* 406, 83–100. doi: 10.1007/s11104-016-2851-z
- Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., and Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the miseq illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5112–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01043-13
- Lamers, L. P. M., Smolders, A. J. P., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2002). The restoration of fens in the Netherlands. *Hydrobiologia* 478, 107–130. doi: 10.1023/A:1021022529475
- Liebner, S., and Svenning, M. M. (2012). Environmental transcription of *mmoX* by methane-oxidizing *Proteobacteria* in a subarctic peatland. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 701–706. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02292-12
- Liebner, S., Zeyer, J., Wagner, D., Schubert, C., Pfeiffer, E. M., and Knoblauch, C. (2011). Methane oxidation associated with submerged brown mosses reduces methane emissions from Siberian polygonal tundra. *J. Ecol.* 99, 914–922. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01823.x
- McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8:e0061217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Morris, S. A., Radajewski, S., Willison, T. W., and Murrell, J. C. (2002). Identification of the functionally active methanotroph population in a peat soil microcosm by stable-isotope probing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 1446–1453. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.3.1446-1453.2002
- Murrell, J. C., McDonald, I. R., and Gilbert, B. (2000). Regulation of expression of methane monooxygenases by copper ions. *Trends Microbiol.* 8, 221–225. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01739-X
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlenn, D., et al. (2016). *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R Package 2.3–3.
- Op den Camp, H. J. M., Mohammadi, S. S., Pol, A., and Dunfield, P. F. (2018). “Verrucosomic microbial methanotrophs,” in *Methane Biocatalysis: Paving the Way to Sustainability*, eds M. G. Kalyuzhnyay, and X.-H. Xing, (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 43–55. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-74866-5_3
- Putkinen, A., Larmola, T., Tuomivirta, T., Siljanen, H. M. P., Bodrossy, L., Tuittila, E.-V., et al. (2012). Water dispersal of methanotrophic bacteria maintains functional methane oxidation in *Sphagnum* mosses. *Front. Microbiol.* 3:15. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00015
- R Development Core Team, (2017). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Raghoebarsing, A. A., Smolders, A. J. P., Schmid, M. C., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Wolters-Arts, M., Derksen, J., et al. (2005). Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for photosynthesis in peat bogs. *Nature* 436, 1153–1156. doi: 10.1038/nature03802

- Renou-Wilson, F., Moser, G., Fallon, D., Farrell, C. A., Müller, C., and Wilson, D. (2019). Rewetting degraded peatlands for climate and biodiversity benefits: results from two raised bogs. *Ecol. Eng.* 127, 547–560. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.02.014
- Reumer, M., Harnisz, M., Lee, H. J., Reim, A., Grunert, O., Putkinen, A., et al. (2018). Impact of peat mining and restoration on methane turnover potential and methane-cycling microorganisms in a northern bog. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, 1–17. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02218-17
- RStudio Team, (2016). *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. Vienna: RStudio Team.
- Rydin, H., and Jeglum, J. K. (2006). *The Biology of Peatlands*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Smolders, A. J. P., Tomassen, H. B. M., Pijnappel, H. W., Lamers, L. P. M., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2001). Substrate-derived CO₂ is important in the development of *Sphagnum* spp. *New Phytol.* 152, 325–332.
- Smolders, A. J. P., Tomassen, H. B. M., Van Mullekom, M., Lamers, L. P. M., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2003). Mechanisms involved in the re-establishment of *Sphagnum*-dominated vegetation in rewetted bog remnants. *Wetlands Ecol. Manag.* 11, 403–418. doi: 10.1023/B:WETL.0000007195.25180.94
- van Winden, J. F., Reichart, G.-J., McNamara, N. P., Benthien, A., and Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2012). Temperature-induced increase in methane release from peat bogs: a mesocosm experiment. *PLoS One* 7:e39614. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039614
- van Winden, J. F., Talbot, H. M., Reichart, G.-J., McNamara, N. P., Benthien, A., and Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2020). Influence of temperature on the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values and distribution of methanotroph-related hopanoids in *Sphagnum*-dominated peat bogs. *Geobiology* 18, 497–507.
- Vorobev, A. V., Baani, M., Doronina, N. V., Brady, A. L., Liesack, W., Dunfield, P. F., et al. (2011). *Methyloferula stellata* gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium that possesses only a soluble methane monooxygenase. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61, 2456–2463. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.028118-0
- Waddington, J. M., and Day, S. M. (2007). Methane emissions from a peatland following restoration. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 112, 1–11. doi: 10.1029/2007JG000400

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kox, Smolders, Speth, Lamers, Op den Camp, Jetten and van Kessel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.