



# Microbial Transformation of *neo*-Clerodane Diterpenoid, Scutebarbatine F, by *Streptomyces* sp. CPCC 205437

Dewu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoyu Tao<sup>1</sup>, Guowei Gu<sup>1</sup>, Yujia Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wenxia Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Wuli Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Yan Ren<sup>2\*</sup>, Shengjun Dai<sup>3\*</sup> and Liyan Yu<sup>1\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Bingyun Li, West Virginia University, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Abdelsamed I. Elshamy, National Research Centre (Egypt), Egypt Yongbo Xue, Sun Yat-sen University, China Dawei Li, Dalian Medical University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Yan Ren renyan198251@163.com Shengjun Dai daishengjun\_9@hotmail.com Liyan Yu yly@cpcc.ac.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 01 February 2021 Accepted: 12 March 2021 Published: 14 April 2021

#### Citation:

Zhang D, Tao X, Gu G, Wang Y, Zhao W, Zhao W, Ren Y, Dai S and Yu L (2021) Microbial Transformation of neo-Clerodane Diterpenoid, Scutebarbatine F, by Streptomyces sp. CPCC 205437. Front. Microbiol. 12:662321. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.662321 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Medicinal Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup> School of Pharmacy, Binzhou Medical University, Yantai, China, <sup>3</sup> School of Pharmacy, Yantai University, Yantai, China

Biotransformation of the *neo*-clerodane diterpene, scutebarbatine F (1), by *Streptomyces* sp. CPCC 205437 was investigated for the first time, which led to the isolation of nine new metabolites, scutebarbatine  $F_1$ – $F_9$  (2–10). Their structures were determined by extensive high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) and NMR data analyses. The reactions that occurred included hydroxylation, acetylation, and deacetylation. Compounds 2–4 and 8–10 possess 18-OAc fragment, which were the first examples of 13-spiro *neo*-clerodanes with 18-OAc group. Compounds 7–10 were the first report of 13-spiro *neo*-clerodanes with 2-OH. Compounds 1–10 were biologically evaluated for the cytotoxic, antiviral, and antibacterial activities. Compounds 5, 7, and 9 exhibited cytotoxic activities against H460 cancer cell line with inhibitory ratios of 46.0, 42.2, and 51.1%, respectively, at 0.3  $\mu$ M. Compound 5 displayed a significant anti-influenza A virus activity with inhibitory ratio of 54.8% at 20  $\mu$ M, close to the positive control, ribavirin.

Keywords: neo-clerodane diterpene, scutebarbatine F, biotransformation, cytotoxicity, antiviral activity, Streptomyces

#### INTRODUCTION

Biotransformation of natural products has been proved to be an efficient and environmentally friendly approach to the preparation of new compounds, and biotransformation is a powerful tool for the regioselective and stereoselective introduction under mild conditions (Bianchini et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2015; Hegazy et al., 2015; Zafar et al., 2016; Khojasteh et al., 2019). Microbial biotransformation can be an attractive alternative to introduce hydroxyl and acetyl groups at specific positions, which were difficult to achieve by conventional synthesis methods. So biotransformation method has been widely used for structural modification of terpenoids (Silva et al., 2013; Bhatti and Khera, 2014; Rico-Martínez et al., 2014; de Sousa et al., 2018; Luchnikova et al., 2020).

Clerodane diterpenoids are a widespread class of natural products and widely distributed in medicinal herbs from various families, such as Lamiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Compositae, and

1

Salicaceae (Li et al., 2016). So far, over 1,300 clerodane diterpene derivatives have been reported, and they displayed a broad range of promising biological properties, such as cytotoxic, insect antifeedant, anti-inflammatory, antiprotozoal, and antibacterial activities (Li et al., 2016; Luan et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2021). Structurally, all of the natural clerodane diterpenes were classified as seven different types on the basis of the decalin ring and C-11-C-16 moiety (Supplementary Figure 1; Li et al., 2016). Although more than 1,300 clerodane diterpenoids have been reported, there are a few reports on biotransformation of neoclerodane diterpenes (Hoffmann and Fraga, 1993; Choudhary et al., 2013; Mafezoli et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2016; Vasconcelos et al., 2017). To the best of our knowledge, there is no report about biotransformation of 13-spiro neo-clerodane diterpenes till now. Scutebarbatine F (1) with 13-spiro neo-clerodane skeleton was firstly isolated from Scutellaria barbata in 2006 by Dai group and showed significant cytotoxic activities against HONE-1 nasopharyngeal, KB oral epidermoid carcinoma, and HT29 colorectal carcinoma cell lines (Dai et al., 2006). Subsequently, the chemical structure of scutebarbatine F was revised by Wang et al. (2010). Furthermore, the compound with the same chemical structure was also reported to be names as barbatine C and scutebata F (Nguyen et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2010). In an attempt to obtain new biologically active neo-clerodane diterpenoids. We performed the biotransformation of scutebarbatine F using the Streptomyces sp. CPCC 205437, which resulted in the formation of nine previously undescribed metabolites (2-10) (Figure 1). Biotransformation of 1 introduces hydroxyl groups at C-2 and C-18, followed by introducing acetyl moiety in 18-OH. Herein, we describe the isolation, structure elucidation, and biological activities of nine biotransformation products (2-10).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **General Experimental Procedures**

Optical rotations were measured on an Autopol IV automatic polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a Persee TU-1901 UV-vis spectrometer. IR spectra were acquired on a PerkinElmer FT-IR/NIR spectrometer. The CD spectra were obtained on a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were measured on Bruker ARX-600 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm, and coupling constants (*J*) are given in hertz (Hz). Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESIMS) data were taken on a Thermo LTQ mass spectrometer. High-resolution ESIMS (HRESIMS) was carried out using a Thermo LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. Semi-preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed using NP7000 module (Hanbon Co. Ltd., China) equipped with a Shodex RI102 detector, and YMC ODS-A C<sub>18</sub> column (250 mm × 10 mm, i.d., 5  $\mu$ m) or SunFire C<sub>18</sub> column (250 × 10 mm, i.d., 5  $\mu$ m). Column chromatography (CC) was performed with silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, PR China) and Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare). Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using pre-coated silica gel GF<sub>254</sub> plates (Yan Tai Zi Fu Chemical Group Co., Yantai, China).

# Substrate

Scutebarbatine F was isolated and purified from *Scutellaria barbata* by the Dai group. Its structure was elucidated by HRESIMS and 1D and 2D NMR analyses as previously reported (Dai et al., 2006), and the purity was > 95% by HPLC analysis. The substrate was dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF) before being used for biotransformation.

# **Microorganism and Culture Medium**

The strain was originally purchased from the BeNa Culture Collection (BNCC) and identified on the basis of 16s rRNA gene sequence analysis by China Pharmaceutical Culture Collection. The 16S rRNA sequence was strongly homologous to *Streptomyces kronopolitis* NEAU-ML8(T) (99.3%) and has been deposited in GenBank with accession number MW699542. The strain was deposited at the China Pharmaceutical Culture Collection (No. CPCC 205437). It was grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) slant at 28°C and stored at 4°C. The medium of *Streptomyces* sp. was prepared on modified potato dextrose broth (PDB) medium (potato 200 g, glucose 20 g, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 3 g, MgSO<sub>4</sub> 0.73 g, vitamin B<sub>1</sub> 10 mg, distilled water 1 L).

#### Fermentation and Biotransformation

The medium was distributed in 24 Erlenmeyer flasks of 1,000 ml containing 200 ml of modified PDB liquid medium and autoclaved at 121°C. The mycelial agar plugs were transferred



into the flasks and incubated at  $28^{\circ}$ C for 3 days. Compound 1 (240 mg) was dissolved in DMF, added to each, flask and incubated at  $28^{\circ}$ C for an additional 6 days.

#### **Extraction and Isolation**

After 6 days of incubation, the cultures were filtered under reduced pressure to obtain the filtrate and mycelia. The mycelia were extracted with methanol three times, and organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a crude extract. The CH<sub>3</sub>OH extract was suspended in water and further extracted with EtOAc to obtain EtOAc residue. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc to afford EtOAc residue. The above two parts of EtOAc residues (2.4 g) were combined and firstly subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC with CHCl3-CH<sub>3</sub>OH (1:1) to give five fractions (Fr.1-Fr.5). Fraction Fr.3 (1.0 g) was separated by silica gel CC using CHCl<sub>3</sub>-acetone gradient (100:0-0:100) to provide 14 fractions (Fr.3.1-Fr.3.14) on the basis of TLC analysis. Fraction Fr.3.7 (105 mg) was separated by reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O (45:55) at 5 ml/min to yield 2 (40 mg, 16.7%). Fraction Fr.3.8 (60 mg) was isolated by reversedphase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O (45:55) at 5 ml/min to give 3 (16 mg, 6.7%). Fraction Fr.3.9 (187 mg) was purified via reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O (45:55) at 5 ml/min to obtain 4 (26 mg, 10.8%). Fraction Fr.3.10 (40.5 mg) was applied to reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN– H<sub>2</sub>O (45:55) at 5 ml/min to afford four fractions (Fr.3.10.1-Fr.3.10.4); fraction Fr.3.10.2 (22 mg) was further purified by reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN– H<sub>2</sub>O (40:60) at 5 ml/min to yield **8** (1.8 mg, 0.8%), **9** (2.1 mg, 0.9%), and **10** (4.3 mg, 1.8%); fraction Fr.3.10.3 (15 mg) was further isolated via reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O (35:65) at 5 ml/min to give **5** (9.2 mg, 3.8%) and **7** (6.5 mg, 2.7%). Fraction Fr.3.11 (43 mg) was separated by reversed-phase semi-preparative HPLC eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O (30:70) at 5 ml/min to yield **6** (8.2 mg, 3.4%).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>1</sub> (**2**): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  - 37.7° (*c* 0.05, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,475, 2,983, 1,782, 1,717, 1,374, 1,241, 1,110, 1,023, and 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): -2.34 (237.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 572.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 572.2498 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 572.2496); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 1**, **2**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>2</sub> (**3**): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  - 23.2° (*c* 0.04, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,527, 2,990, 1,783, 1,717, 1,374, 1,241, 1,109, 1,023, and 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): -1.88 (237.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 572.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 572.2499 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 572.2496); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 1, 2**).

No.	2	3	4	5	6
1	5.68, dt (9.6, 6.0)	5.64, dt (9.6, 6.0)	5.65, dt (9.6, 6.0)	5.68, dt (9.6, 6.0)	5.66, dt (9.6, 6.0)
2	2.71, m 2.29, m	2.71, m 2.33, m	2.67, m 2.27, m	2.71, m 2.27, m	2.68, m 2.24, m
3	5.56, m	5.68, m	5.52, m	5.64, m	5.60, m
6	3.90, d (10.2)	5.12, d (9.6)	3.63, d (9.6)	3.90, d (10.2)	3.63, d (9.6)
7	4.96, d (10.2)	3.52, d (9.6)	3.30, d (9.6)	4.97, d (10.2)	3.31, d (9.6)
10	2.65, d (9.6)	2.67, d (9.6)	2.58, d (9.6)	2.62, d (9.6)	2.54, d (9.6)
11	1.73, m 1.65, m	1.70, m 1.67, m	1.70, m 1.63, m	1.68, m 1.64, m	1.65, m 1.62, m
12	2.12, m 1.51, m	2.07, m 1.47, m	2.06, m 1.47, m	2.09, m 1.49, m	2.04, m 1.45, m
14	2.68, d (16.2) 2.66, d (16.2)	2.85, d (17.4) 2.69, d (17.4)	2.72, d (16.8) 2.63, d (16.8)	2.69, d (17.4) 2.65, d (17.4)	2.71, d (17.4) 2.61, d (17.4)
16	4.25, d (9.0) 4.16, d (9.0)	4.30, d (8.4) 4.11, d (8.4)	4.27, d (9.0) 4.13, d (9.0)	4.25, d (9.0) 4.15, d (9.0)	4.27, d (9.0) 4.12, d (9.0)
17	1.09, s	1.18, s	1.22, s	1.09, s	1.22, s
18	4.84, d (14.4) 4.69, dd (14.4, 1.8)	4.52, d (13.8) 4.40, d (13.8)	4.88, d (14.4) 4.69, d (14.4)	4.22, br d (15.0) 4.05, ddd (15.0, 4.2, 1.8)	4.22, br d (15.0) 4.03, ddd (15.0, 4.2, 1.8)
19	1.18, s	1.28, s	1.12, s	1.16, s	1.11, s
20	0.96, s	0.95, s	0.91, s	0.97, s	0.92, s
3'	9.08, d (2.4)	9.10, br s	9.07, d (2.4)	9.08, br s	9.07, d (2.4)
5'	8.84, br d (4.8)	8.84, d (4.8)	8.83, dd (4.8, 1.8)	8.84, d (4.8)	8.83, d (4.8)
6'	7.61, dd (7.8, 4.8)	7.60, dd (7.8, 4.8)	7.60, dd (7.8, 4.8)	7.60, dd (7.8, 4.8)	7.59, d (8.4, 4.8)
7' 2"	8.28, ddd (7.8, 2.4, 1.8)	8.29, ddd (7.8, 2.4, 1.8) 2.02, s	8.27, ddd (7.8, 2.4, 1.8)	8.28, ddd (7.8, 1.8, 1.8)	8.26, ddd (7.8, 2.4, 1.8)
2"'	2.08, s				
2""	2.05, s	2.02, s	2.05, s		

**TABLE 2** | <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz) data of **2–10** in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

No.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	71.4	71.3	71.7	71.9	72.2	75.1	75.4	74.9	75.6
2	32.2	31.9	32.1	32.1	32.0	71.5	71.7	71.3	71.7
3	119.0	122.6	118.2	117.3	116.9	126.8	123.4	126.0	122.8
4	143.3	141.4	143.9	148.7	149.3	141.4	142.5	140.4	143.1
5	44.3	43.2	43.9	44.3	43.8	45.5	46.3	45.3	46.0
6	68.9	75.3	71.9	69.1	72.2	72.4	69.2	75.5	72.2
7	75.6	72.4	73.7	75.6	73.7	73.2	75.4	72.0	73.5
8	80.3	81.1	80.8	80.3	80.7	80.6	80.6	81.5	81.2
9	38.2	37.9	37.9	38.2	37.9	37.9	37.8	37.5	37.5
10	42.5	42.9	42.5	42.6	42.6	41.1	41.3	41.4	41.2
11	28.3	28.5	28.6	28.2	28.6	28.1	28.3	28.6	28.6
12	27.3	27.9	27.8	27.3	27.8	27.5	27.2	27.9	27.7
13	76.5	76.2	76.2	76.5	76.2	76.5	76.5	76.3	76.2
14	43.4	43.2	43.4	43.4	43.4	43.1	43.2	43.1	43.2
15	174.4	174.2	174.4	174.3	174.4	174.0	174.4	174.3	174.8
16	76.2	75.8	76.2	76.2	76.2	76.0	76.3	76.0	76.4
17	19.5	19.5	19.8	19.6	19.9	18.4	18.9	19.0	19.2
18	64.1	63.7	64.2	61.7	62.0	19.4	63.4	62.5	63.6
19	15.9	16.8	15.8	16.1	16.1	17.2	16.8	17.6	16.7
20	20.8	20.7	21.0	21.0	21.1	20.6	20.8	20.8	20.9
1'	164.0	164.0	164.1	164.1	164.1	164.2	164.3	164.3	164.3
2'	125.6	125.6	125.6	125.7	125.7	125.9	125.9	126.3	126.0
3'	149.9	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.2	150.2	150.3	150.2
5'	153.8	153.8	153.8	153.9	153.8	153.8	153.8	153.9	153.8
6'	124.2	124.2	124.1	124.1	124.1	124.1	124.2	124.2	124.1
7'	137.0	137.1	137.0	137.0	136.9	137.1	137.1	137.1	137.
1"		170.0				169.6		170.2	
2"		21.4				21.1		21.5	
1"'	170.7			170.6		170.3	170.7		
2"'	21.1			21.0		20.6	21.1		
1""	170.0	170.0	170.0				170.0	170.1	170.0
2""	20.9	20.8	20.9				20.9	20.7	20.9

Scutebarbatine F<sub>3</sub> (4): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  - 23.3° (*c* 0.06, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,436, 2,983, 1,779, 1,716, 1,284, 1,241, 1,109, 1,022, and 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): -3.29 (239.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 530.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 530.2386 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>, 530.2390); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 1, 2**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>4</sub> (5): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  - 52.2° (*c* 0.05, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,406, 1,780, 1,718, 1,286, 1,245, 1,112, 1,024, and 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): -2.91 (239); ESIMS *m*/*z* 530.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 530.2382 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>, 530.2390); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 1, 2**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>5</sub> (**6**): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  - 20.8° (*c* 0.05, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,387, 2,988, 1,780, 1,717, 1,286, 1,246, 1,112, 1,024, and 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): + 0.52 (218.5), -2.97 (240); ESIMS *m*/*z* 488.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 488.2281 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>, 488.2284); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 1, 2**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>6</sub> (7): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  + 33.3° (*c* 0.03, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,406, 2,981, 1,780, 1,723, 1,287, 1,247, 1,203, 1,113, 1,024, and 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \epsilon$  (nm): -0.44 (264.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 572.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 572.2498 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 572.2496); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 2, 3**).

Scutebarbatine  $F_7$  (8): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  + 66.0° (*c* 0.05, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,355, 1,780, 1,726, 1,682, 1,240, 1,202, 1,111, 1,023, and 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \epsilon$  (nm): -0.39 (251.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 588.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 588.2441 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>11</sub>, 588.2445); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 2, 3**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>8</sub> (9): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  + 125.0° (*c* 0.06, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,386, 1,781, 1,723, 1,682, 1,243, 1,203, 1,132, 1,025, and 721 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm): -0.39 (248.5); ESIMS *m*/*z* 588.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 588.2440 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>11</sub>, 588.2445); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 2, 3**).

Scutebarbatine F<sub>9</sub> (**10**): white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  + 32.6° (*c* 0.05, MeOH); IR ( $\nu_{max}$ ): 3,364, 1,718, 1,684, 1,285, 1,203, 1,132, 1,024, 1,007, and 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>; ESIMS *m*/*z* 546.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 546.2342 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 546.2339); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see **Tables 2, 3**).

#### **Cytotoxic Activity Assays**

MTT assay was used to measure the cytotoxicity of compounds. Five human cancer cell lines (H460, HCT8, HT15, H1975, and MIA-PaCa-2) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells (5  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> cells/well) were added to 96-well culture dishes and grown for 24 h followed by the addition of fresh medium (100 ml) and the test compound. After an additional 48 h, the medium was removed, and fresh medium with MTT solution was added. The cells were incubated for 1 h, and then the optical density at 450 nm was determined. Compounds 1-10 were tested for H460 and HT15 cancer cell lines at six concentrations (10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, and 0.3125  $\mu$ M), and compounds 1-10 were tested for HCT8, H1975, and MIA-PaCa-2 cancer cell lines at three concentrations (100, 10, and 1 µM). Each concentration of the compounds was tested in three parallels. IC<sub>50</sub> values for each cell line were determined with SigmaPlot software (Chen et al., 2019).

# Anti-influenza A Virus Activity Assays

All the metabolites were evaluated for their anti-influenza A virus (anti-IAV) (H1N1) activities as in previously described methods (Gao et al., 2014).

# Anti-HIV Activity Assays

All the metabolites were tested for their anti-HIV activities as in previously reported methods (Zhang et al., 2017).

# Antibacterial Activity Assays

All the metabolites were tested for their antibacterial activities as in previously described methods (Zhang et al., 2017).

#### **TABLE 3** | <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, *J* in Hz) data of **7–10** in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

No.	7	8	9	10
1	5.63, dd (11.4, 7.8)	5.66, dd (11.4, 7.8)	5.60, dd (11.4, 7.8)	5.63, dd (11.4, 7.8)
2	4.28, m	4.34, dd (7.8, 2.4)	4.35, m	4.31, m
3	5.23, br s	5.44, d (2.4)	5.51, br s	5.40, dd (4.2, 1.8)
6	5.17, d (10.2)	3.72, d (10.2)	4.96, d (9.6)	3.46, dd (9.6, 3.6)
7	5.11, d (10.2)	4.91, d (10.2)	3.46, d (9.6)	3.25, dd (9.6, 7.8)
10	2.74, d (11.4)	2.65, d (11.4)	2.69, d (11.4)	2.58, d (11.4)
11	2.02, m1.63, m	1.99, m1.59, m	2.02, m1.60, m	1.98, m1.58, m
12	2.17, m1.63, m	2.15, m1.61, m	2.12, m1.60, m	2.08, m1.58, m
14	2.76, d (16.8)2.76, d (16.8)	2.73, d (17.4)2.64, d (17.4)	2.82, d (16.8)2.73, d (16.8)	2.70, d (16.8)2.66, d (16.8)
16	4.31, d (9.0)4.07, d (9.0)	4.26, d (9.0)4.12, d (9.0)	4.30, d (9.0)4.07, d (9.0)	4.27, d (9.0)4.09, d (9.0)
17	1.05, s	1.04, s	1.17, s	1.18, s
18	1.58, s	4.77, d (15.0)4.70, br d (15.0)	4.57, d (13.8)4.32, d (13.8)	4.79, br d (15.6)4.72, br d (15.6)
19	1.38, s	1.29, s	1.38, s	1.24, s
20	0.95, s	0.91, s	0.89, s	0.86, s
3'	9.10, br s	9.12, br s	9.11, br s	9.08, d (1.8)
5'	8.86, br s	8.87, br s	8.86, br s	8.84, dd (5.4, 1.8)
6'	7.62, dd (7.8, 4.2)	7.63, dd (7.8, 4.2)	7.62, dd (7.8, 4.2)	7.61, dd (7.8, 5.4)
7'	8.28, ddd (7.8, 4.2, 1.8)	8.29, br d (7.8)	8.28, br d (7.8)	8.28, ddd (7.8, 1.8, 1.8)
2"	1.97, s		2.02, s	
2"'	2.01, s	2.07, s		
2""		2.07, s	2.04, s	2.06, s

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Structure Elucidation**

In this study, the incubation of scutebarbatine F (1, 240 mg) with *Streptomyces* sp. CPCC 205437 for 6 days led to the isolation of nine new metabolites (**2–10**). Their structures were elucidated by the HRESIMS and 1D and 2D NMR data.

Metabolite 2 was isolated as a white amorphous powder. The HRESIMS spectrum of 2 showed an ion peak at m/z 572.2498  $[M + H]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 572.2496), 16 amu more massive than that of 1. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 displayed characteristic proton resonances of neo-clerodane diterpene skeleton including three tertiary methyls at  $\delta_H$  0.96 (3H, s, H-20), 1.09 (3H, s, H-17), and 1.18 (3H, s, H-19); two oxygenated methylenes at  $\delta_H$  4.16 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H<sub>a</sub>-16), 4.25 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H<sub>b</sub>-16), 4.69 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 1.8 Hz, H<sub>a</sub>-18), and 4.84 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz, H<sub>b</sub>-18); three oxygenated methines at  $\delta_H$  3.90 (1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-6), 4.96 (1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-7), and 5.68 (1H, dt, J = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, H-1); and one olefinic proton at 5.56 (1H, m, H-3). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR and distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) spectra of 2 showed 20 typical carbon signals for neo-clerodane diterpene skeleton, which consisted of three methyl carbons ( $\delta_C$ 20.8, 19.5, and 15.9), six methylene carbons ( $\delta_C$  76.2, 64.1, 43.4, 32.2, 28.3, and 27.3, including two oxygenated), five methine carbons (8<sub>C</sub> 119.0, 75.6, 71.4, 68.9, and 42.5, including one olefinic and three oxygenated), and six quaternary carbons ( $\delta_C$ 174.4, 143.3, 80.3, 76.5, 44.3, and 38.2, including one carbonyl, one olefinic, and two oxygenated). In addition, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 2 revealed the presence of two acetoxy groups  $[\delta_H 2.08 (3H, s, H-2)], \delta_C 170.7 (C-1)]$  and 21.1 (C-2));  $\delta_H$ 2.05 (3H, s, H-2""), δ<sub>C</sub> 170.0 (C-1"") and 20.9 (C-2"")] and a nicotinic acid ester unit [ $\delta_H$  9.08 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-3'), 8.84 (1H, br d, J = 4.8 Hz, H-5'), 7.61 (1H, d, J = 7.8, 4.8 Hz, H-6'), and 8.28 (1H, ddd, J = 7.8, 2.4, 1.8 Hz, H-7'),  $\delta_C$  164.0 (C-1'), 125.6 (C-2'), 149.9 (C-3'), 153.8 (C-5'), 124.2 (C-6'), and 137.0 (C-7')]. The general features of its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra closely resembled those of substrate 1, except that the methyl signal [ $\delta_H$  1.68 (H-18),  $\delta_C$  20.2 (C-18)] in 1 had disappeared, and a new oxygenated methylene signal [ $\delta_H$  4.84, 4.69,  $\delta_C$  64.1] in 2 was observed, suggesting the introduction of hydroxyl group at C-18. A detailed inspection of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **2** showed high-field shifts for H-6 ( $\delta_H$  3.90) and C-6 ( $\delta_C$  68.9), in comparison with those of 1. In the heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) spectrum (Figure 2), the correlations from H-6 to C-4, C-5, C-7, and C-19, and from H-18 to C-3, C-4, C-5, and C-1"", indicates that acetoxy substituent at C-6 disappeared, and an acetoxy group was attached to C-18. Furthermore, the nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) correlation (Figure 3) of H-6/H-10, along with the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-6,H-7}$  (10.2 Hz), established the  $\beta$ -configuration of H-6. Therefore, metabolite 2 was determined as scutebarbatine F<sub>1</sub>.

Metabolite **3** was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and its molecular formula  $C_{30}H_{37}NO_{10}$  was established by HRESIMS at m/z 572.2499 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, which is the same as that of **2**. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of **3** showed oxygenated methine signals at  $\delta_H$  5.12 and 3.52, instead of the resonances at  $\delta_H$  3.90 and 4.96 in **2**. The HMBC cross-peaks from H-6 to C-4, C-5, C-7, C-19, and C-1", and from H-7 to C-5, C-6, C-8, and C-17, assigned the acetoxy



FIGURE 2 | Key correlated spectroscopy (COSY) and heteronuclear multiple bond correlations (HMBCs) of 2, 5, 7, and 9.



group at C-6, rather than C-7. The NOESY correlations of H-7/H-17 and H-19, together with the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-6,H-7}$  (9.6 Hz), assigned the  $\alpha$ -configuration of H-7. Thus, metabolite **3** was elucidated as scutebarbatine F<sub>2</sub>.

Metabolite 4 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. HRESIMS gave an ion peak at m/z 530.2386 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, which refers to the molecular formula C28H35NO9 (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>, 530.2390), with 42 amu less than that of 3. This suggested that 4 lacked an acetoxy moiety compared with 3, which was further confirmed by the HMBCs from H-6 ( $\delta_H$  3.63) to C-4, C-5, C-7, and C-19. The NOESY correlations of H-6/H-10; H-7/H-17, H-19, and H-20, along with the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-6,H-7}$  (9.6 Hz), established the stereochemistry of 4 as the same as substrate 1. Accordingly, metabolite 4 was determined to be scutebarbatine F<sub>3</sub>. Metabolite 6 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. HRESIMS data of 6 showed an  $[M + H]^+$  ion at m/z 488.2281, consistent with the molecular formula  $C_{26}H_{33}NO_8$ , which is 42 amu less than that of 4, indicating the loss of an acetoxy moiety in 4. The HMBCs from H-6 to C-4, C-5, C-7, and C-19; from H-7 to C-5, C-6, C-8, and C-17; and from H-18 to C-3, C-4, and C-5 established the molecular structure of 6. The enhancement of H-10 was observed when H-6 was irradiated, and irradiation of H-7 enhanced H-17, H-19, and H-20 in the NOE experiments, indicating the relative configuration of 6. Thus, metabolite 6 was elucidated as scutebarbatine F<sub>5</sub>.

Metabolite 5 was obtained as a white amorphous powder and possessed the same molecular formula  $C_{28}H_{35}NO_9$  with 4 as supported by the HRESIMS ion peak at m/z 530.2382  $[M + H]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{28}H_{36}NO_9$ , 530.2390). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data of 5 resembled those of 2, except for the absence of an acetoxy substituent. The HMBC crosspeaks (**Figure 2**) from H-18 to C-3, C-4, and C-5 suggest that the C-18 acetoxy group disappeared in 5 compared with **2**. In the NOE experiment, irradiation of H-6 resulted in the enhancement of H-10, together with the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-6,H-7}$  (10.2 Hz), suggesting that H-6 and H-10 were *syn*-oriented. Therefore, metabolite 5 was established as scutebarbatine F<sub>4</sub>.

Metabolite 7 was isolated as a white amorphous powder, and its molecular formula of  $C_{30}H_{38}NO_{10}$  was determined by the HRESIMS peak at m/z 572.2498 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{30}H_{38}NO_{10}$ , 572.2496), with 16 amu higher than that of 1. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 7 were similar to those of 1, except for the presence of oxygenated methine proton at  $\delta_H$  4.28 and oxygenated carbon at  $\delta_C$  71.5, suggesting that a hydroxyl group might be introduced. The location of introduced OH group was attached to C-2 by the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H correlated spectroscopy (COSY) correlations of H-1/H-2/H-3 and the HMBC crosspeaks (**Figure 2**) from H-2 to C-1, C-3, and C-4. The NOESY correlation (**Figure 3**) between H-2 and H-10, together with the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-1,H-2}$  (7.8 Hz), assigned the  $\alpha$ -orientation of 2-OH. Therefore, metabolite 7 was determined to be scutebarbatine F<sub>6</sub>.

Metabolite **8** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The HRESIMS spectrum displayed  $[M + H]^+$  ion peak at m/z 588.2441 (calcd for  $C_{30}H_{38}NO_{11}$ , 588.2445), suggesting the substitution of one additional OH moiety compared with **2**. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of **8** were similar to those of **2** except that the methylene signals of H-2 at  $\delta_H$  2.71 and 2.29 had disappeared, and an additional oxygen-bearing methine signal at  $\delta_H$  4.34 was observed, indicating that the OH group may be introduced at C-2.



It was further confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-1/H-2/H-3 and the HMBCs from H-2 to C-1, C-3, and C-4. The stereochemistry of 2-OH was determined as  $\alpha$ -orientation on the basis of the large coupling constant of  ${}^{3}J_{H-1,H-2}$  (7.8 Hz) and the NOE experiment, in which the integration value of H-10 when H-2 was irradiated. The integration value of H-10 was enhanced when H-6 was irradiated, indicating the  $\alpha$ -configuration of 6-OH. Accordingly, metabolite 8 was elucidated to be scutebarbatine F7. Metabolite 9 was isolated as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>11</sub> was deduced from the HRESIMS peak at m/z 588.2440 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, which is the same as that of 8. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of 9 and 8 revealed an oxymethine signal at  $\delta_H$  3.46 in **9** in place of a signal at  $\delta_H$ 3.72 in 8, indicating that changes happened in the C-6 and C-7 positions, and the HMBC cross-peaks from H-6 to C-4, C-5, C-7, C-19, and C-1<sup> $\prime\prime$ </sup> determined the acetoxy group at C-6. The NOESY correlations for H-2/H-10, H-7/H-19, and H-20 assigned the  $\alpha$ -orientation of 2-OH and H-7. Thus, metabolite 9 was determined as scutebarbatine F<sub>8</sub>.

Metabolite 10 was isolated as a white amorphous powder. HRESIMS spectrum of 10 provided a major ion peak at m/z 546.2342 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>, 546.2339), consistent with the molecular formula of C28H35NO10, suggesting that a hydroxyl group was introduced in comparison with 4. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data of 10 were similar to those of 4. The obvious difference was the presence of oxygenated methine signal at  $\delta_H$  4.31 instead of methylene signals at  $\delta_H$  2.67 and 2.27 in 4. The OH moiety was attached to C-2 according to the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations of H-1/H-2/H-3 and the HMBC cross-peaks from H-2 to C-1, C-3, and C-4. The NOESY correlations of H-2/H-10, H-7/H-19, and H-20, together with the large coupling constants of  ${}^{3}J_{H-1,H-2}$  (7.8 Hz) and  ${}^{3}J_{H-6,H-7}$  (9.6 Hz), established the relative configuration of C-2, C-6, and C-7. Therefore, metabolite 10 was established as scutebarbatine F<sub>9</sub>.

#### **Biological Activity**

Compounds 1–10 were evaluated for cytotoxic activities against five human cancer cell lines including H460 (large cell lung carcinoma), HCT8 (colon carcinoma), HT15 (colon carcinoma), H1975 (non-small cell lung carcinoma), and MIA-PaCa-2

TABLE 4   Antiviral activities of 1-10.
---

	Inhibition rate (%) in 20 $\mu\text{M}$			
Compound	IAV	HIV-1		
1	14.16 ± 7.22	$16.63 \pm 1.57$		
2	0	$12.85 \pm 1.91$		
3	$21.02 \pm 11.73$	0		
4	$20.09 \pm 6.23$	$21.06\pm0.87$		
5	$54.75 \pm 4.10$	$11.33 \pm 2.39$		
6	0	0		
7	0	0		
8	$24.57 \pm 4.65$	$13.28 \pm 1.13$		
9	$26.51 \pm 18.76$	0		
10	$14.18 \pm 6.76$	$7.96 \pm 0.07$		
Ribavirin	$49.46 \pm 1.55$			
Efavirenz		$99.97 \pm 0.25$		

IAV, influenza A virus.

(pancreatic carcinoma). Compounds 5, 7, and 9 showed cytotoxic activities against H460 cancer cell line with inhibitory ratios of 46.0, 42.2, and 51.1%, respectively, at 0.3  $\mu$ M (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 1). Compounds 1–10 were tested for antiviral activities; compound 5 displayed a significant anti-IAV (H1N1) activity with inhibitory ratio of 54.8% at 20  $\mu$ M, which is close to the positive control, ribavirin (inhibitory ratio of 49.5% at 20  $\mu$ M); and none of them shows anti-HIV activities at the concentration of 20  $\mu$ M (Table 4). Compounds 1–10 were also evaluated for antibacterial activities against *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922. All compounds showed no antibacterial activities with the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values > 32  $\mu$ g/ml.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the biotransformation of 13-spiro *neo*-clerodane diterpene was investigated for the first time. Nine previously undescribed *neo*-clerodane diterpenoids 2-10 were afforded from microbial transformation of scutebarbatine F (1) by *Streptomyces* sp. CPCC 205437. Three types of reactions were involved in the biotransformation, including hydroxylation,

acetylation, and deacetylation, in which the hydroxylation follows region- and stereo-selective routes. Hydroxylation was performed at C-2 and C-18; acetylation was further catalyzed at 18-OH; and deacetylation was carried out at C-6 and C-7. Compounds 2–4 and 8–10 were the first examples of 13-spiro *neo*-clerodanes with 18-OAc group. Compounds 7–10 were the first report of 13-spiro *neo*-clerodanes with 2-OH. Compounds 5, 7, and 9 displayed good cytotoxic activities against H460 cancer cell line at 0.3  $\mu$ M. Compound 5 exhibited a significant anti-IAV activity. Our findings not only enrich the structural diversity of *neo*-clerodane family but also provide the guidance to biotransformation of *neo*-clerodane diterpenoids.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DZ and LY conceived and designed the experiments. DZ, XT, and GG contributed to fermentation, extraction, and isolation.

#### REFERENCES

- Bhatti, H. N., and Khera, R. A. (2014). Biotransformations of diterpenoids and triterpenoids: a review. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 16, 70–104. doi: 10.1080/ 10286020.2013.846908
- Bianchini, L. F., Arruda, M. F., Vieira, S. R., Campelo, P. M., Grégio, A. M., and Rosa, E. A. (2015). Microbial biotransformation to obtain new antifungals. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1433. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.0 1433
- Cao, H., Chen, X., Jassbi, A. R., and Xiao, J. (2015). Microbial biotransformation of bioactive flavonoids. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 33, 214–223. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv. 2014.10.012
- Chen, M., Wang, R., Zhao, W., Yu, L., Zhang, C., Chang, S., et al. (2019). Isocoumarindole A, a chlorinated isocoumarin and indole alkaloid hybrid metabolite from an endolichenic fungus *Aspergillus* sp. Org. Lett. 21, 1530–1533. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.9b00385
- Choudhary, M. I., Mohammad, M. Y., Musharraf, S. G., Onajobi, I., Mohammad, A., Anis, I., et al. (2013). Biotransformation of clerodane diterpenoids by *Rhizopus stolonifer* and antibacterial activity of resulting metabolites. *Phytochemistry* 90, 56–61. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.02.007
- Dai, S. J., Chen, M., Liu, K., Jiang, Y. T., and Shen, L. (2006). Four new neo-clerodane diterpenoid alkaloids from Scutellaria barbata with cytotoxic activities. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 54, 869–872. doi: 10.1248/cpb.54.869
- de Sousa, I. P., Sousa Teixeira, M. V., Jacometti Cardoso, and Furtado, N. A. (2018). An overview of biotransformation and toxicity of diterpenes. *Molecules* 23:1387. doi: 10.3390/molecules23061387
- Gao, Q., Wang, Z., Liu, Z., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., et al. (2014). A cell-based high-throughput approach to identify inhibitors of influenza A virus. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 4, 301–306. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2014. 06.005
- Hegazy, M. E., Mohamed, T. A., ElShamy, A. I., Mohamed, A. E., Mahalel, U. A., Reda, E. H., et al. (2015). Microbial biotransformation as a tool for drug development based on natural products from mevalonic acid pathway: A review. J. Adv. Res. 6, 17–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2014.11.009
- Hoffmann, J. J., and Fraga, B. M. (1993). Microbial transformation of diterpenes: hydroxylation of 17-acetoxy-kolavenol acetate by *Mucor plumbeus*. *Phytochemistry* 33, 827–830. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(93)85283-W

DZ and SD contributed to structure elucidation and wrote the manuscript. YW, WeZ, and WuZ contributed to bioactivities tests. YR and LY were the project leaders guiding the experiments and manuscript writing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81903790), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong (No. ZR2016HB21), the CAMS Initiative for Innovative Medicine (No. CAMS-I2M-3-014), the Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of CAMS (Nos. 2020-PT310-003 and 2019PT350004), the National Mega-project for Innovative Drugs (2019ZX09721001-004-006), and the National Microbial Resource Center (No. NMRC-2020-3).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2021.662321/full#supplementary-material

- Khojasteh, S. C., Bumpus, N., Driscoll, J. P., Miller, G. P., Mitra, K., Rietjens, I. M. C. M., et al. (2019). Biotransformation and bioactivation reactions-2018 literature highlights. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 51, 121–161. doi: 10.1080/03602532. 2019.1615937
- Kumar, R., Saha, A., and Saha, D. (2016). Biotransformation of 16oxacleroda-3,13(14)E-dien-15-oic acid isolated from *Polyalthia longifolia* by *Rhizopus stolonifer* increases its antifungal activity. *Biocatal. Biotransfor.* 34, 212–218. doi: 10.1080/10242422.2016.124 7824
- Li, R., Morris-Natschke, S. L., and Lee, K. H. (2016). Clerodane diterpenes: sources, structures and biological activities. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 33, 1166–1226. doi: 10.1039/ c5np00137d
- Luan, F., Han, K., Li, M., Zhang, T., Liu, D., Yu, L., et al. (2019). Ethnomedicinal uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and toxicology of species from the genus *Ajuga* L.: a systematic review. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 47, 959–1003. doi: 10.1142/ S0192415X19500502
- Luchnikova, N. A., Grishko, V. V., and Ivshina, I. B. (2020). Biotransformation of oleanane and ursane triterpenic acids. *Molecules* 25:5526. doi: 10.3390/ molecules25235526
- Mafezoli, J., Oliveira, M. C. F., Paiva, J. R., Sousa, A. H., Lima, M. A. S., Júnior, J. N. S., et al. (2014). Stereo and regioselective microbial reduction of the clerodane diterpene 3,12-dioxo-15,16-epoxy-4-hydroxycleroda-13(16),14diene. Nat. Prod. Commun. 9, 759–762.
- Nguyen, V. H., Pham, V. C., Nguyen, T. T. H., Tran, V. H., and Doan, T. M. H. (2009). Novel antioxidant *neo*-clerodane diterpenoids from *Scutelaria barbata. Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 33, 5810–5815. doi: 10.1002/ejoc.2009 00431
- Rico-Martínez, M., Medina, F. G., Marrero, J. G., and Osegueda-Robles, S. (2014). Biotransformation of diterpenes. *RSC Adv.* 4, 10627–10647. doi: 10.1039/ C3RA45146A
- Shen, J., Li, P., Liu, S., Liu, Q., Li, Y., Sun, Y., et al. (2021). Traditional uses, ten-years research progress on phytochemistry and pharmacology, and clinical studies of the genus *Scutellaria*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 265:113189. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2020. 113198
- Silva, E. D. O., Jacometti Cardoso, Furtado, N. A., Aleu, J., and Collado, I. G. (2013). Terpenoid biotransformations by *Mucor* species. *Phytochem. Rev.* 12, 857–876. doi: 10.1007/s11101-013-9313-5

- Vasconcelos, D. H. P., Barbosa, F. G., Oliveira, M. D. C. F. D., Lima, M. A. S., Freire, F. D. C. O., Sousa, A. H., et al. (2017). New clerodane diterpenes from fungal biotransformation of the 3,12-dioxo-15,16-epoxy-4-hydroxycleroda-13(16),14diene. *MOJ Biorg. Org. Chem.* 1:00036. doi: 10.15406/mojboc.2017.01.00036
- Wang, F., Ren, F. C., Li, Y. J., and Liu, J. K. (2010). Scutebarbatines W-Z, new neo-clerodane diterpenoids from Scutellaria barbata and structure revision of a series of 13-spiro neo-clerodanes. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 58, 1267–1270. doi: 10.1248/cpb.58.1267
- Zafar, S., Ahmed, R., and Khan, R. (2016). Biotransformation: a green and efficient way of antioxidant synthesis. *Free Radic. Res.* 50, 939–948. doi: 10.1080/ 10715762.2016.1209745
- Zhang, D., Zhao, L., Wang, L., Fang, X., Zhao, J., Wang, X., et al. (2017). Griseofulvin derivative and indole alkaloids from *Penicillium griseofulvum* CPCC 400528. J. Nat. Prod. 80, 371–376. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod. 6b00829
- Zhu, F., Di, Y. T., Liu, L. L., Zhang, Q., Fang, X., Yang, T. Q., et al. (2010). Cytotoxic neoclerodane diterpenoids from *Scutellaria barbata*. J. Nat. Prod. 73, 233–236. doi: 10.1021/np900309p

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Tao, Gu, Wang, Zhao, Zhao, Ren, Dai and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.