#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wei Li, Wuhan University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Wenxian Yang, Institute of Microbiology (CAS), China Jiabo Wang, Capital Medical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Linyuan Wang Wangly@bucm.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 08 September 2022 ACCEPTED 10 October 2022 PUBLISHED 01 November 2022

#### CITATION

Tang R, Wang L, Zhang J, Li X, Tan L, He W, Han H, Liu Y, Wang K and Wang M (2022) Exploring the active ingredients and pharmacological mechanisms of the oral intake formula Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on influenza virus type A based on network pharmacology and experimental exploration. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1040056. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1040056

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Tang, Wang, Zhang, Li, Tan, He, Han, Liu, Wang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Exploring the active ingredients and pharmacological mechanisms of the oral intake formula Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on influenza virus type A based on network pharmacology and experimental exploration

Ruying Tang<sup>1</sup>, Linyuan Wang<sup>1</sup>\*, Jianjun Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Xinyu Li<sup>3</sup>, Lingyun Tan<sup>3</sup>, Wei He<sup>3</sup>, Hui Han<sup>4</sup>, Yuan Liu<sup>5,6</sup>, Keyu Wang<sup>7</sup> and Mengyao Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, <sup>4</sup>Clinical Basic Teaching and Research Office of Medical College, Qingdao Binhai University, Qingdao, China, <sup>5</sup>College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, <sup>6</sup>Solarbio Life Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Second Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases, Beijing, China

**Objective:** To investigate the active ingredients, underlying anti-influenza virus effects, and mechanisms of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction (HSSD).

**Materials and methods:** The therapeutic effect of HSSD were confirmed through the survival rate experiment of H1N1-infected mice. Then, the HSSD solution and the ingredients absorbed into the blood after treatment with HSSD in rats were identified by UPLC/Q-TOF MS, while the main contents of ingredients were detected by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Next, a systems pharmacology approach incorporating target prediction, gene ontology (GO) enrichment, kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, and molecular docking were performed to screen out the active compounds and critical pathways of HSSD in treating influenza. According to prediction results, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and immunohistochemistry assay were used to detect the mRNA and protein expression levels of critical targets in H1N1-infected mice lungs.

**Results:** Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction improved the survival rate of H1N1-infected mice and prolonged the mice's lifespan. Besides, HSSD exerts an antivirus effect by decreasing the levels of hemagglutinin (HA) and nucleoprotein (NP) to inhibit the replication and proliferation of H1N1,

reducing the lung pathological state, inhibiting the cell apoptosis in the lung, and regulating the abnormal responses of peripheral blood, including GRA, LYM, white blood cell (WBC), PLT, and hemoglobin (HGB). Then, 87 compounds in the HSSD solution and 20 ingredients absorbed into the blood after treatment with HSSD were identified. Based on this, combined with the network analysis and previous research on antivirus, 16 compounds were screened out as the active components. Moreover, 16 potential targets were predicted by network pharmacology analysis. Next, molecular docking results showed stable binding modes between compounds and targets. Furthermore, experimental validation results indicated that HSSD regulates the contents of Immunoglobulin A (IgA), Immunoglobulin M (IgM), and Immunoglobulin G (IgG) in serum, modulating the levels of IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, MIP-1 $\alpha$ , and IP-10 in the lung tissue, and significantly decreasing the mRNA and protein expressions of TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, HIF1  $\alpha$ , VEGF, IL17A, and IL6 in the lung tissue.

**Conclusion:** Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction exerts an anti-influenza effect by affecting the expressions of mRNA and protein including TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-kB p65, HIF-1 $\alpha$ , VEGF, IL17A, IL6, and inhibiting the accumulation of inflammation. Our study provided experimental pieces of evidence about the practical application of HSSD in treating influenza.

KEYWORDS

Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction, influenza virus type A, UPLC/Q-TOF MS, systems pharmacology, TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 signaling pathway, HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 signaling pathway

## Introduction

Influenza is an acute respiratory infectious disease caused by an influenza virus infection, which has the characteristics of high pathogenicity and explosiveness, resulting in seriously endangering human health (Esper et al., 2011). In a relatively confined environment, there easily occurred an outbreak of influenza, and the old, weak, and pregnant are all high-risk groups of infected people. Worldwide, as many as 290,000-650,000 influenza patients die each year, and 3-5 million influenza patients develop severe diseases (Zimmerman et al., 2014). Influenza virus is a kind of orthomyxovirus, which is an RNA virus that causes influenza in humans and animals. Based on the differences between nuclear proteins and matrix proteins in the composition structure of the influenza virus, the influenza virus can be divided into three types (Caini et al., 2018). However, influenza is mainly caused by influenza A and B viruses, among which influenza A virus is the most important pathogen causing influenza epidemics (Hurt et al., 2004). In a previous clinical research report, the lowest survival rates were observed in patients with H1N1 infection after comparing with different strains of the influenza virus (Murillo-Zamora et al., 2021). Therefore, the influenza virus type A infection remain an urgent issue around the world.

Most of the influenza patients are accompanied by severe pneumonia and heart, kidney, and another organ failure that lead to death in severe cases, thus causing a high mortality rate during the severe period of illness (Lee and Lee, 2010). Commonly like many viruses, influenza virus type A relies on host factors and signaling pathways for replication, which may provide alternative options for treating infections. Nowadays, influenza vaccination is the intervention strategy of choice to prevent influenza, but its effectiveness is reduced in older adults and infants who are most vulnerable to severe or fatal influenza infections (Elbahesh et al., 2019). In addition, the antigenic variation of influenza virus type A complicates the production of an effective vaccine (Vemula et al., 2017). Similarly, the effectiveness of currently used antiviral drugs, including oseltamivir, ribavirin, amantadine, etc., is threatened by the development of resistance (Pizzorno et al., 2011). Compared with chemical drugs, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) with thousands of years of history application in preventing and treating influenza has some advantages in the aspect of few adverse reactions and less drug resistance (Wei et al., 2019). In addition, TCM plays an important role in antiviral by killing the virus directly, inhibiting the replication of the influenza virus, alleviating the inflammatory reaction, and enhancing the body's immunity (Li et al., 2022).

Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction (HSSD) is a TCM formula that has been formulated and used clinically to prevent and treat influenza by experienced clinicians at the Beijing University of Chinese Medicine after the outbreak of COVID-19. HSSD was formulated by the classical combination formula of Huoxiang Zhengqi Powder (HZP) and Er Xiang Powder (EXP) for treating influenza. HZP was recorded in the "Prescriptions People's Welfare Pharmacy" in ancient China, while EXP was used during China's Ming dynasty, and both HZP and EXP have clinical applications in influenza infection until now. Oral Chinese patent medicine Huoxiang Zhengqi dropping pills, whose prescription originated from HZP, were used to prevent and treat influenza, and results showed good curative effects according to previous clinical research reportings (Xiao et al., 2020). Similarly, HSSD has some clinical evidence not only in the TCM theory record but also in its therapeutic effects on influenza in population prevention applications. For instance, during the time of the outbreak of COVID-19 and influenza season, HSSD was widely used for the prevention and treatment of influenza in universities and communities in Beijing and volunteers reported no adverse reactions after the application of HSSD. Therefore, we conducted basic research on HSSD for the exploration of the efficacy and mechanism of HSSD in treating influenza in this study to provide an experimental piece of evidence for the clinical application of HSSD.

Network pharmacology refers to the construction of a "drug-target-gene-disease" network through the interaction and integration of systems biology, pharmacology, information network science, and computer science, by which the interactive relationship between drugs and diseases is studied through network analysis, processing, exploring, and predicting the mechanisms of the drug in treating diseases (Ye et al., 2021; Khan and Lee, 2022). Gradually, network pharmacology has become an effectively used tool in investigating the therapeutic mechanisms of the TCM formula. In our study, we investigated the therapeutic effect and mechanisms of HSSD in treating influenza by employing a systems pharmacology approach combined with animal experimental validation. The detailed study steps are shown in Figure 1. First, the A/PR8/34 (H1N1) virus-infected influenza virus type A mice model was used to evaluate the survival rate and antiviral effect of HSSD. Next, methods of high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) profile and UPLC/Q-TOF MS were performed to identify the compounds in the HSSD solution directly and the ingredients absorbed into the blood after HSSD administration orally. Based on this compounds' information, target prediction and enrichment analysis were carried out to systematically predict the underlying active compounds and potential pathways of HSSD in treating influenza. Then molecular docking was used

for preliminary verification of the network pharmacology results between active compounds and critical protein targets. Finally, the critical kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) signaling pathway of HSSD in the treatment of influenza was experimentally validated. Our study explored the understanding of the effective substances and mechanisms of HSSD in treating influenza and contributing to the clinical development and application of HSSD.

## Materials and methods

#### Chemicals and materials

Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction (batch No. 210101) was provided by Tianjin Zhongwei Hezhi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The herbal prescription of HSSD consists of 15 TCM, which were obtained from Beijing Chenyi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., (Beijing, China) and identified by Professor Chun Wang (School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine). The detailed information is as follows: Pogostemonis Herba [Lot No. 190820002, the dry aboveground portion of Pogostemon cablin (Blanco) Benth., Lamiaceae family], Moslae Herba (Lot No. 191014002, the dry aboveground portion of Mosla chinensis Maxim. or Mosla chinensis "Jiangxiangru," Lamiaceae family.), Polygonati Rhizoma (Lot No. 190315005, the dry rhizoma of Polygonatum kingianum Coll. et Hemsl., Polygonatum sibiricum Red., or Polygonatum cyrtonema Hua, Lily family.), Perillae Folium [Lot No. 191226002, dried leaves (or with shoots) of Perilla frutescens (L.) Britt., Lamiaceae family.], Tsaoko Fructus (Lot No. 181203003, the desiccative ripe fruit of Amomum tsao-ko Crevost et Lemaire, Zingiberaceae.), Poria [Lot No. 200122004, the dry sclerotia of Poria cocos (Schw.) Wolf, polyporaceae.], Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (Lot No. 200105001, the dry mature peel of Citrus reticulata Blanco, rue family.), Radix Fici Hirtae (Lot No. 200730003, the dry root of Ficus hirta Vahl, Moraceae.), Platycodonis Radix [Lot No. 190923004, the dry root of Platycodon grandiflorum (Jacq.) A. DC., Campanulaceae.], Zingiberis Rhizoma Recens (Lot No. 190312007, the fresh rhizomes of Zingiber officinale Rosc., Zingiberaceae.), Jujubae Fructus (Lot No. 200601001, the desiccative ripe fruit of Ziziphus jujuba Mill, rhamnaceae.), Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (Lot No. 200726001, the dried buds or with opening flowers of Lonicera japonica Thunb, Caprifoliaceae.), Eriobotryae Folium [Lot No. 181009007, the dry leaves of Eriobotrya japonica (Thunb.) Lindl., Rosaceae.], Mori Folium (Lot No. 191203002, the dry leaves of Morus alba L., Moraceae.), and Raphani Semen (Lot No. 190917002, the dry mature seed of Raphanus sativus L., Brassicaceae.). Besides, oseltamivir (Lot No. 0222001036, Yichang HEC Changjiang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China) was taken as a positive control. Reference standards



(purity  $\geq$  98%) chlorogenic acid (110753–202018) was purchased from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China), while caffeic acid (M27GB143417), scutellarin (Z01M10 × 81701), and rosmarinic acid (Y06A9K67402) were purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). HPLC-grade acetonitrile (I1098029022), methanol (I1144135113), and formic acid (Y6170039) were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

#### Animals and virus

Female BALB/c mice [6 weeks old, 15–17 g, certificate no. SCXK (Jing) 2011–0004] and adult male Sprague-Dawley rats [12-week-old, 180–200 g, certificate no. SCXK (Jing) 2016–0002] were obtained from SPF (Beijing) Biotechnology Co., Ltd., and then fed in a standard animal room (room temperature: 20–4°C; relative humidity: 30–40%; and light condition: 12-h dark/light cycle). All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Welfare and Ethical Inspection in the Beijing University of Chinese Medicine Animal Care Committee (No. BUCM-4-2021071601-3022).

Influenza A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) virus was propagated in the allantois of embryonated chicken eggs for 48 h at 35°C and then for 12 h at 4°C. The produced virus was stored at - 80°C until infection experiments. Before this study, a 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>) according to the Reed-Muench formula of influenza A virus strain for MDCK cells was calculated to be  $10^{-4}/100 \ \mu$ L. Besides, LD<sub>50</sub>, according to the Reed-Muench formula of influenza A virus strain for BALB/c mice infected the serial dilutions of the stock virus liquid by lung fluid quantification nebulizer (HRH-MAG4, Beijing Huironghe Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), was calculated with the H1N1 virus with a lung fluid quantification nebulizer in the lung at a lethal dose of 4 LD<sub>50</sub> to test the anti-influenza effect of HSSD.

#### Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction preparation and high performance liquid chromatography analysis

Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction was manufactured in Tianjin Zhongwei Hezhi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., (Beijing, China) as follows: a mixture of Pogostemonis Herba (30 kg), Moslae Herba (20 kg), Polygonati Rhizoma (40 kg), Perillae Folium (30 kg), Tsaoko Fructus (20 kg), Poria (40 kg), Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (30 kg), Radix Fici Hirtae (40 kg), Platycodonis Radix (20 kg), Zingiberis Rhizoma Recens (30 kg), Jujubae Fructus (30 kg), Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (12 kg), Eriobotryae Folium (20 kg), Mori Folium (20 kg), and Raphani Semen (10 kg) at a weight ratio of 1.5:1:2:1.5:1:2:1.5:2:1:1.5:1.5:0.6:1:1:0.5 were soaked for 1 h with 10-fold of water (volume/weight). Then, the soak was extracted by refluxing for 2 h as the first time extraction, the volatile oil, and condensed aromatic water were collected for standby application, while the liquid extract was filtered to obtain the filtrate A. Next, eightfold of water (volume/weight) was added into the filter residue and boiled again for 1 h, then the liquid extract was filtered to obtain the filtrate B. Subsequently, filtrate A and filtrate B were combined and concentrated under reduced pressure (temperature 65–70°C, vacuum degree-0.06  $\sim$ 

-0.08 MPa) to the concentrated solution with a relative density of 1.06 (50°C), then using spray dried method (moisture  $\leq$  5%, inlet temperature 195°C, and outlet temperature 90°C) to yield powder A. Besides, the above volatile oil and condensed aromatic water were wrapped in β-cyclodextrin (6.3 kg), and dried in a hot air circulation oven (45~50°C drying for about 7–8 h, moisture  $\leq$  5%) to obtain powder B. Finally, HSSD was obtained by mixing powder A and powder B in a 3D mixing jar for 30 min (moisture  $\leq$  5%). According to the daily prescription amount of HSSD, which was calculated to be 19.6 g/day of the mixture TCM crude drug for one person, combined with the obtained powder A and powder B, the daily oral fine powder of HSSD for one person was calculated to be 5.58 g/day of the mixture powder (5.36 g/day powder A and 0.22 g/day powder B). Then, the HPLC analysis of HSSD was performed on the Waters 2,695 HPLC system (Waters Technologies) with Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 column (4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min and 30°C column temperature. The mobile phase was composed of solvent A (acetonitrile) and solvent B (water-0.1% phosphoric acid): 0-80 min, 5-21% A; 80-95 min, 21-30% A; 95-103 min, 30-42% A; 103-110 min, 42-60% A; 110-115 min, maintained at 98% A. The injection volume was  $10 \,\mu\text{L}$  and the wavelength was set at 320 nm.

### Components identification of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction by using UPLC/Q-TOF MS analysis

Components identification of HSSD was performed by UPLC/Q-TOF MS analysis including two parts of detection. We first identified the ingredients of HSSD using an HSSD solution, then the analysis of serum samples (given orally in rats) after HSSD was performed subsequently to identify the ingredients of HSSD absorbing into the blood. Detected samples were prepared as follows: First, 2 ml HSSD solution (25.11 mg/ml) was added with 2 ml 20% methanol to dilute, shake, and centrifuge (12,000 rpm, 5 min), and the supernatant was obtained as the HSSD solution sample for liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis. Next, after 7 days of adaptive feeding, eight SD rats were randomly divided into the blank group (n = 4) and the experiment group (n = 4). The HSSD was administrated orally at a single dose of 10,044 mg/kg body weight (20-fold clinical doses; the daily dose of HSSD powder for human adults is 93 mg/kg/day and the equivalent dose ratio of human and rat was 0.018 according to the body surface area) to the experiment group. At the same time, an equivalent of distilled water was given to the blank group. For the collection of serum samples, the rats were anesthetized by the method of isoflurane inhalation after oral administration of the HSSD. The blood samples were collected from the orbital venous blood at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h, respectively. The collected samples were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C and then mixed to obtain a pooled serum. The blank serum was collected in the same way. A volume of 4 ml serum samples was immediately added with 12 ml methanol to precipitate serum proteins. After centrifuging at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant was concentrated and dried. Before analysis, the residue was redissolved with 100  $\mu$ L 80% methanol, mixing by 5 min vortexing. Finally, the supernatant was obtained as the serum sample for LC-MS analysis after centrifuging the residue redissolved solution for 15 min (12,000 rpm, 4°C).

UPLC/Q-TOF MS method was performed to identify the compounds of HSSD. The analysis of the prepared HSSD solution sample was performed by Waters H-Class UPLC (Waters Technologies Co., Ltd.) and AB Sciex Triple TOF® 4600 LC-MS (SCIEX Co., Ltd.) with both ESI-Negative/Positive ion mode. Samples were separated by the Waters CORTECS<sup>®</sup> UPLC<sup>®</sup> T3 (2.1 mm  $\times$  100 mm, 1.6  $\mu$ m), the temperature was maintained at 30°C, and the injection volume was  $2 \mu L$ . The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A), and water-0.1% formic acid (B) (100% B at 0-5 min, 100-95% B at 5-10 min, 95-85% B at 10-30 min, 85-80% B at 30-47 min, 80-55% B at 47-62 min, 55-10% B at 62-68 min, maintained 10% B at 68-73 min, 10-100% B at 73-73.1 min, maintained 100% B at 73.1-75 min) and the flow rate was 0.3 ml/min. The detection wavelength was 254 nm, 190-400 nm. The Mass parameters (Sciex Triple TOF 4600 LC-MS) conditions were as follows: the MS parameters including TOF mass range, 50--1,700; ion source gas 1, 50 psi; ion source gas 2, 50 psi; curtain gas, 35 psi; ion spray voltage floating, -4,500/5,000; ion source temperature, 500°C; declustering potential, ±100 V; collision energy,  $\pm 10$  eV. And the MS/MS parameters include MS/MS mass range, 50–1,250; declustering potential,  $\pm 100$  V; collision energy spread, 20 eV; ion release delay, 30 ms; ion release width, 15 ms. The data collection software was Analyst TF 1.7.1. While the data processing software was Peak View 1.2.

Subsequently, the UPLC/Q-TOF MS method was also used to identify the serum compounds. The analysis of the prepared serum sample after HSSD was taken orally in rats was performed by Agilent 1290 UPLC and Agilent Q-TOF 6545 LC-MS (Agilent Technologies Co., Ltd.) with both ESInegative/positive ion modes. Samples were separated by the Waters CORTECS® UPLC® T3 (2.1 mm  $\times$  100 mm, 1.6  $\mu m$  ), the temperature was maintained at 30°C, and the injection volume was 5 µL. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A), and water-0.1% formic acid (B) (100% B at 0-5 min, 100-95% B at 5-10 min, 95-85% B at 10-30 min, 85-80% B at 30-47 min, 80-55% B at 47-62 min, 55-10% B at 62-68 min, maintained 10% B at 68-73 min, 10-100% B at 73-73.1 min, maintained 100% B at 73.1-75 min) and the flow rate was 0.3 ml/min. The detection wavelength was 190-400 nm. The mass parameters (Agilent 6545 Q-TOF LC-MS) conditions were as follows: the MS parameters including mass range, 50-1,700; gas temperature, 320°C; drying gas, 8 L/min; nebulizer, 35 psi; sheath gas temperature, 350°C; sheath gas flow, 11 L/min; vcap, 4,000 V; nozzle voltage, 1,000 V; fragmentor, 175 V; skimmer, 65 V; ref mass, pos. 121.0508&922.0097, and neg. 197.8073&1033.9881. And the MS/MS parameters include MS/MS mass range, 50–1,700; collision energy,  $\pm 40$  eV. The data collection software was Mass Hunter Workstation Software LC/MS Data Acquisition for 6200 series TOF/6500 series Q-TOF (version B.06.01). While the data processing software was Mass Hunter Workstation Software Qualitative Analysis (version B.07.00).

#### Collection of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction's candidate ingredients and its potential targets

Based on the above ingredients analysis results of HSSD by the methods of HPLC and UPLC/Q-TOF MS, combined with their oral bioavailability  $[OB \ge 30\%, drug \text{ likeness } (DL) \ge 0.14]$ in TCMSP database (Ru et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2020), as well as the pharmacodynamic components information with definite anti-influenza virus effects in the published researches, the candidate components of HSSD were supplemented and collected. Subsequently, the potential targets of the identified ingredients of HSSD were obtained mainly from PubChem (Wang et al., 2012), Swiss Target Prediction (Daina et al., 2019), and BATMAN-TCM (Liu et al., 2016). The HSSD candidate ingredients' potential targets obtained from the above different databases were put together, removing duplicate targets and retaining the unique targets to get the "complete set of HSSD targets." Then, UniProt was used to convert the protein name of bioactive ingredients to the gene symbols (UniProt Consortium, 2019). Finally, Cytoscape v3.7.2 software was used to construct the "herb-compounds-targets" network, and the a cytoscape plugin for centrality analysis and evaluation of protein interaction networks (CytoNCA) plug-in function was performed to analyze the network topology attributes and screen the critical compounds and targets of the core network according to the value of degree and betweenness.

#### Acquisition of influenza related targets

The known influenza-related targets were obtained mainly from four databases using "influenza" as the keyword. Searching disease databases include DisGeNET (obtained all targets) (Piñero et al., 2021), CTD (obtained targets which score  $\geq 10$ ) (Davis et al., 2021), GeneCards (obtained targets which score  $\geq 1$ ) (Safran et al., 2010), and GenBank (obtained all targets) (Benson et al., 2018). The influenza-related targets obtained from the above different databases were put together, removing duplicate targets and retaining the unique targets to get the "complete set of influenza targets." Then, UniProt was used to convert the protein name of bioactive ingredients to the gene symbols (UniProt Consortium, 2019).

#### Identification of intersecting targets

The above "complete set of HSSD targets" and "complete set of influenza targets" were intersected to obtain a Venn diagram (Jia et al., 2021) of the "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza." Besides, influenza-related targets from different databases were also intersected to obtain a Venn diagram of the "intersected common targets of influenza."

# Protein-protein interaction and molecular complex detection analysis

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were created respectively by putting the "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza" and the "intersected common targets of influenza" into the STRING database (setting the organism into Homo sapiens, and confidence score  $\geq 0.4$ ) (Szklarczyk et al., 2019). Then, the result of the PPI networks was saved and inputted into Cytoscape v3.7.2 for further analysis. The Cytohubba plug-in was performed for the identification of the top 10 hub genes by using the maximum neighborhood component (MNC) method (Li et al., 2021). Besides, The molecular complex detection (MCODE) plug-in in Cytoscape (Deng et al., 2019) and Metascape (Zhou et al., 2019) was used for the cluster analysis of PPI networks.

# Enrichment analysis and molecular docking analysis

The "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza" and the "intersected common targets of influenza" were put into the database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery (DAVID) (Dennis et al., 2003) to carry out gene ontology (GO) functional enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. Then, critical GO and KEGG results of HSSD in treating influenza were identified by intersecting the above two enrichment results of the "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza" and the "intersected common targets of influenza." Finally, molecular docking between key active ingredients and critical pathway-related target proteins was performed with the help of the software AutoDock 4.2.6 and the Discovery Studio according to the method reported in a previous study (Li et al., 2021).

### Influenza infection mice experiments and intervention by Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction

The crude drug daily dose of HSSD for human adults is 0.33 g/kg (0.093 g/kg powder), and the equivalent dose in mice

TABLE 1 Primers for RT-qPCR.

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')						
TLR4-S	TGAGGACTGGGTGAGAAATGAGC						
TLR4-A	CTGCCATGTTTGAGCAATCTCAT						
CD14-S	TCAAGTTCCCGACCCTCCAA						
CD14-A	GCCCAGTGAAAGACAGATTGAG						
MyD88-S	TGACCCCACTCGCAGTTTGT						
MyD88-A	TTTGTTTGTGGGACACTGCTTTC						
NF-кВ p65-S	TCCTTTTCTCAAGCTGATGTGC						
NF-кВ р65-А	TTTCGGGTAGGCACAGCAAT						
GAPDH-S	CCTCGTCCCGTAGACAAAATG						
GAPDH-A	TGAGGTCAATGAAGGGGTCGT						
HIF1α-S	TTGCTTTGATGTGGATAGCGAT						
HIF1α-A	CATACTTGGAGGGCTTGGAGAAT						
VEGF-S	AGGAGTACCCCGACGAGATAGA						
VEGF-A	CACATCTGCTGTGCTGTAGGAA						
IL17A-S	TCCACCGCAATGAAGACCCT						
IL17A-A	CATGTGGTGGTCCAGCTTTCC						
IL6-S	CCCCAATTTCCAATGCTCTCC						
IL6-A	CGCACTAGGTTTGCCGAGTA						
IAV-NP-F	GTCAGAATGATCAAACGTGGGA						
IAV-NP-R	TACGGCAGGTCCATACACACAG						
β-actin-S	GTGACGTTGACATCCGTAAAGA						
β-actin-A	GTAACAGTCCGCCTAGAAGCAC						

is 10-fold that of adults commonly (Guo et al., 2021), which was calculated to be 3.3 g/kg/day (0.93 g/kg powder). After 7 days of adaption, 60 BALB/c mice were randomly divided into six groups (n = 10 per group) as follows: Control normal group (Normal), H1N1-infected group (Infected), Oseltamivirtreated group (Oseltamivir, 25 mg/kg/day, 10-fold of the clinical dose), Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction lowdose group (HSSD-L, 1.65 g/kg/day, fivefold of the clinical dose), Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction middle-dose group (HSSD-M, 3.3 g/kg/day, 10-fold of the clinical dose), and Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction high-dose group (HSSD-H, 6.6 g/kg/day, 20-fold of the clinical dose). Another 60 mice were also randomly divided into six groups for the survival test. Before virus inoculation, mice in the HSSD group were orally prophylaxis with HSSD for 14 days continuously. At the same time, mice in the other groups were treated under similar conditions with a phosphate buffer saline (PBS) medium. On the 15th day of the experiment, except for the control normal mice, mice in other groups were anesthetized with 1% pentobarbital solution (50 mg/kg body weight) by intraperitoneal injection, and then mice were inoculated with H1N1 at a lethal dose of 4 LD<sub>50</sub> suspended in PBS medium by lung fluid quantification nebulizer in the lung. The day of virus inoculation was defined as day 0. Treatment was initiated 24 h after the virus infection. Mice were gavage once daily with the above medicine from day 0 to day 5 separately. After 5 days of treatment, the lung tissues and blood were collected, while the survival experiment of mice was monitored after virus infection for 14 days (Zhu et al., 2018).

# Body weight, lung wet/dry ratio, and hemagglutinin titer assay

The body weight of mice was recorded during the experiment. Besides, the lung wet/dry weight ratio was tested to quantify the degree of pulmonary edema. Briefly, fresh lung tissues were removed, rinsed in saline, and then weighed to obtain the wet weight. Later, the lung tissue was dried at 80°C for 48 h in an oven to obtain the dry weight. Finally, in accordance with a previous stud calculating as wet/dry ratio = wet weight/dry weight (Peng et al., 2020). Otherwise, the viral load in lung tissues was presented as an hemagglutinin (HA) titer. Briefly, each lung was homogenized to moderate volume suspension with PBS, and 50  $\mu$ L of homogenates were serially diluted (twofold) in V-bottom 96-well plates and mixed with 50 µL of 1% chicken red blood cell suspension. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The HA titer was expressed as the maximum dilution times in which agglutination was observed according to a previous study (Zhu et al., 2018).

#### Hematoxylin and eosin assay

After mice were sacrificed, lung tissues were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, then embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and finally stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Under light microscopy, the lung tissue pathological changes were visualized (magnification  $100 \times$ ). Besides, according to reported previous research, two methods of semiquantitative visual scoring (lung injury score) and ImageJ analysis (counts of inflammatory cells and % area occupied) of the lung tissue pathological images were performed to assess the degree of lung injury according to the reported researches (Prakash et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2019).

#### Hematologic indices assay

Blood was taken from the mouse orbit. Immediately, using a Mindray automatic animal blood cell analyzer (BC-2800 VET, Shenzhen, China), part of the whole blood was collected in an ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)treated blood collection tube for the determination of blood parameters, including granulocyte percentage (GRA%), granulocyte absolute value (GRA#), lymphocyte percentage (LYM%), lymphocyte absolute value (LYM#), white blood cell count (WBC), blood platelet count (PLT), hemoglobin (HGB), and red blood cell (RBC).

#### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The serum samples were separated by centrifugation at 3,500 g for 10 min at 4°C. Then, Immunoglobulin A (IgA), Immunoglobulin G (IgG), and Immunoglobulin M (IgM) in the serum were measured using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. These ELISA kits were purchased from Kote Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China. Besides, Interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Interleukin-10 (IL-10), monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1), macrophage inflammatory protein 1 $\alpha$  (MIP-1 $\alpha$ ), and interferon-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) in lung homogenates were assayed using ELISA kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. These ELISA kits were purchased from Boaosen Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China.

# Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay

Levels of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), cluster of differentiation 14 (CD14), myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88), nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB p65), hypoxiainducible factor-1a (HIF1a), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), interleukin-17A (IL-17A), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and influenza A virus nucleoprotein (IAV-NP) mRNAs were identified by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Lung tissues from different groups were weighed and dissociated with the Trizol reagent. Next, total RNA was determined by a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Using a Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), the RNA of each sample was utilized to synthesize the first-strand cDNA. Table 1 shows the sequences for primers which were designed based on published mRNA sequences in the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information), and synthesized by a specialized biotechnology company (Wuhan Servicebio Technology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China). Next, a Multicolor Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, Hercules, USA) was used to amplify the cDNA with an SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). GAPDH was the internal reference for TLR4, CD14, MyD88, and NF- $\kappa$ B p65. While  $\beta$ -actin was the internal reference for HIF1a, VEGF, IL-17A, IL-6, and IAV-NP. The  $2^{-\Delta \ \Delta \ Ct}$  method was used to assess the mRNA expressions.

# Immunohistochemistry assay and immunofluorescence staining

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining steps were performed as previously described as follows (Zhu et al., 2018):

Paraffin sections were dewaxed in water. Then immersing deparaffinized slides in trisodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a water bath at 99°C to perform antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol, and then non-specific binding sites were blocked with 5% BSA. After the removal of BSA, the sections were incubated with primary antibody TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-κB p65, HIF1α, VEGF, IL-17A, and IL-6, respectively, at 4°C overnight in a humidified chamber, and then incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG antibody at 37°C. After quenching of endogenous peroxidase, the sections were blocked with 5% BSA for 30 min and then incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgA antibody at 4°C overnight in a humidified chamber. Slides were visualized using chromogenic substrate solution DAB and counterstained with hematoxylin, and then observed under a microscope. Histochemistry score (H-Score) was used for semi-quantification of target protein staining in lung tissue by converting a number of positives in each section and the intensity of staining into corresponding values. H-Score =  $\Sigma$  $(pi \times i) = (percentage of weak intensity \times 1) + (percentage$ of moderate intensity  $\times$  2) + (percentage of strong intensity  $\times$  3), "pi" representing the proportion of positive signal pixel area/cell number, while "i" stands for coloring intensity. H-score value ranged from 0 to 300, and the larger the value, the stronger the comprehensive positive intensity.

For immunofluorescence staining was performed according to previous research (Liu et al., 2020), lung tissue paraffin sections were deparaffinized in xylene, and subsequently hydrated in different concentrations of ethanol, repairing, and blocking endogenous peroxidase. After balancing at room temperature, the reaction liquid was added (Recombinant TDT enzyme:Biotin-dUTP labeling Mix:Equilibration Buffer = 1  $\mu$ L:5  $\mu$ L:50  $\mu$ L), and finally Streptavidin-HRP reaction liquid was added (Streptavidin-HRP: TBST = 1:200). Slides were visualized using chromogenic substrate solution 4;6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and then observed under a Nikon microscope (NIKON ECLIPSE C1, Japan). The nucleus is blue by labeling with DAPI. Terminal-deoxynucleoitidyl transferase mediated nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay kit is labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), and positive apoptosis cells are green. Finally, positive cell density, number/mm<sup>2</sup> of images, was calculated.

#### Statistical analysis

All experimental data were expressed as the means  $\pm$  SD. Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS 20.0 software in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Least-Significant Difference (LSD) *post hoc* test or the Dunnett T3 test for comparison of multiple groups. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

# HPLC profile of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction

Reproducibility analysis of HSSD (10 batches of samples) was conducted in **Figure 2** by using the HPLC method, and the results (similarities > 0.97) indicated that the preparation process of HSSD was reasonable and feasible. Four compounds from the HPLC chromatographic peaks, including chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, and rosmarinic acid, were identified by comparing them with standard references. Besides, the contents of two signature ingredients in the HSSD sample (batch No. 210101) were determined by the HPLC method as chlorogenic acid (0.63 mg/g), and hesperidin (3.13 mg/g), respectively. Quality control results of HSSD by HPLC are shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 1**.

### Compounds identification results of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction solution by UPLC/Q-TOF MS

During composition identification, the mass spectral data were preferentially matched with the Natural Products HR-MS/MS Spectral Library 1.0 database. Then, the compounds were initially screened according to the score information of each chromatographic peak, and then the compounds were further confirmed according to the primary and secondary information of each chromatographic peak. A total of 87 compounds from HSSD were identified finally. The detailed information on the compounds is summarized in Table 2. The extracted ion chromatograms of the 87 compounds in dosed groups and blank groups in positive and negative ion modes and the total ion chromatograms of the HSSD sample are shown in Supplementary Datasheet 2.

#### Absorbing compounds of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction in blood identification results by UPLC/Q-TOF MS

According to the accurate mass measurements, reference standards, and fragmentation behavior of the UPLC/Q-TOF MS method, as well as the related literature, a total of 20 prototype compounds in rat serum were identified from HSSD. The detailed information on the compounds is summarized in **Table 3**. The extracted ion chromatograms of the 20 compounds in dosed groups and blank groups in positive and negative ion modes and the total ion chromatograms of the serum sample are shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 3**.



standard compounds. (C) Control chromatographic of HPLC fingerprint. (D) Comparability results of reproducibility of HPLC fingerprint in 10 batches of HSSD samples. The main components of HSSD in the chromatograms include (1) chlorogenic acid, (2) caffeic acid, (3) scutellarin, and (4) rosmarinic acid.

### Protective effects of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction in improving the survival of H1N1-infected mice

The therapeutic potential of HSSD against H1N1 infection was evaluated in a mouse model of 4 LD<sub>50</sub> H1N1 infections. After 3 days of infection with a lethal dose of H1N1, mice showed signs of piloerection, lethargy, weight loss, and reduced food intake. Results in **Figure 3A** show that the infected group mice were all dead after 8 days of infection with a lethal dose of H1N1 and the survival rate was 0%. While survival rate increased to 100, 30, 50, and 60% after 14 days of infection with a lethal dose of H1N1 in the Oseltamivir group, HSSD-L group, HSSD-M group, HSSD-H group, respectively. Results in **Figure 3B** show that compared with the normal group, the body weight of the mice in the infected group decreased until death, and oseltamivir or HSSD administration intervention in different dose groups could regain the body weight of mice.

# Compounds and targets screening and the intersecting targets analysis

According to the results of the survival test, HSSD showed protection in the H1N1-infected mice. Next, we performed network pharmacology and molecular docking to predict the mechanisms of HSSD in treating H1N1. As a result, 357 compounds of HSSD were obtained from the TCMSP database together with the identification from UPLC/Q-TOF MS and HPLC. Then, 241 components of HSSD were obtained by removing the duplicate compounds. Subsequently, 1,414 potential targets of HSSD were collected after removing the

3.17 3.92 4.31 4.79 5.46 7.19 7.88 10.90	$[M-H]^{-}$ $[M+H]^{+}$ $[M+H]^{+}$ $[M-H]^{-}$ $[M+H]^{+}$	169.0141 268.1041 144.0477	169.0142 268.1040	-0.9			
4.31 4.79 5.46 7.19 7.88	[M + H] <sup>+</sup> [M-H] <sup>-</sup> [M + H] <sup>+</sup>	144.0477	268.1040	0.9	$C_7H_6O_5$	170.02	Gallic acid
4.79 5.46 7.19 7.88	[M-H] <sup>-</sup> [M + H] <sup>+</sup>			0.3	$C_{10}H_{13}N_5O_4$	267.10	Adenosine
5.46 7.19 7.88	[M + H] <sup>+</sup>		144.0478	-0.4	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NOS	143.04	5-(Methylsulfinyl)-4-pentenenitrile
7.19 7.88		282.0849	282.0844	1.8	$C_{10}H_{13}N_5O_5\\$	283.09	Guanosine
7.88	[] ( ] ] ]	330.0599	330.0598	0.3	$C_{10}H_{12}N_5O_6P\\$	329.05	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	153.0194	153.0193	0.4	$C_7H_6O_4$	154.03	Protocatechuic acid
10.90	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	197.0455	197.0455	-0.2	$C_9H_{10}O_5$	198.05	Danshensu
	$[M + FA-H]^-$	1179.3676	1179.3680	-0.3	$C_{42}H_{70}O_{35}$	1134.37	Cyclomaltoheptaose
11.48	$[M + H]^+$	188.0706	188.0706	0.0	$C_{11}H_9NO_2$	187.06	Indoleacrylic acid
12.24	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0777	385.0776	0.2	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	D-Glucaric acid 2-[(2E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3- methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate] (ACI)
12.55	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	353.0880	353.0878	0.5	$C_{16}H_{18}O_9$	354.10	Neochlorogenic acid
13.17	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0775	385.0776	-0.4	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	D-Galactaric acid 2-[(2E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3- methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate] (ACI)
15.15	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0779	385.0776	0.7	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	Hexaric acid, 3-[3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (ACI)
15.70	$[M + H]^+$	405.1392	405.1391	0.2	$C_{17}H_{24}O_{11}$	404.13	Kingiside
16.02	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0779	385.0776	0.7	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	Hexaric acid, 2-[3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (ACI) Isomer
16.47	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	179.0350	179.0350	0.1	$C_9H_8O_4$	180.04	Caffeic acid
16.85	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	353.0875	353.0878	-0.9	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	354.10	Chlorogenic acid
17.37	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	375.1299	375.1297	0.6	$C_{16}H_{24}O_{10}$	376.14	8-Epiloganic acid
18.29	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	353.0878	353.0878	0.0	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	354.10	Cryptochlorogenic acid
18.49	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	373.1138	373.1140	-0.6	$C_{16}H_{22}O_{10}$	374.12	Secologanic acid
18.57	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0777	385.0776	0.2	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	Hexaric acid, 2-[3-(4-hydroxy- 3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate] (ACI) Isomer
19.09	[M + FA-H] <sup>-</sup>	595.2612	595.2607	0.8	$C_{25}H_{42}O_{13}$	550.26	Tricalysionoside A
20.51	[M + FA-H] <sup>-</sup>	431.1922	431.1923	-0.2	$C_{19}H_{30}O_8$	386.19	(6S,9S)-Roseoside
20.73	$M^+$	310.1652	310.1649	1.0	$C_{16}H_{24}NO_5^+$	310.17	Sinapine
20.86	[M + FA-H] <sup>-</sup>	403.1248	403.1246	0.5	$C_{16}H_{22}O_9$	358.13	Sweroside
21.57	$[M + H]^+$	773.2138	773.2135	0.4	$C_{33}H_{40}O_{21}$	772.21	Quercetin-7-O-glucoside-3-O-rutinoside
22.45	$[M + H]^+$	611.1610	611.1607	0.6	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{16}$	610.15	Luteolin 6,8-di-C-glucoside
22.67	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	365.0874	365.0878	-1.1	$C_{17}H_{18}O_9$	366.10	Psoralenoside
22.96	$M^+$	342.1709	342.1700	0.3	$C_{20}H_{24}NO_4^+$	342.17	Magnoflorine
23.28	[M + FA-H] <sup>-</sup>	525.1619	525.1614	1.0	$C_{23}H_{28}O_{11}$	480.16	Paeoniflorin
24.53	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	637.1051	637.1046	0.7	$C_{27}H_{26}O_{18}$	638.11	Scutellarein-7-O-diglucuronide
24.58	$[M + H]^+$	595.1667	595.1657	1.6	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{15}$	594.16	Vicenin II
24.77	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	403.1244	403.1246	-0.5	$C_{17}H_{24}O_{11}$	404.13	Secoxyloganin
25.98	$[M + H]^+$	743.2383	743.2393	-1.4	$C_{33}H_{42}O_{19}$	742.23	Narirutin-4'-glucoside
26.26	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	637.1042	637.1046	-0.7	$C_{27}H_{26}O_{18}$	638.11	Luteolin-7-O-diglucuronide
26.43	$[M + H]^+$	627.1551	627.1556	-0.8	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{17}$	626.15	Quercetin-3,7-diglucoside
29.45	$[M + H]^{+}$	623.1247	623.1243	0.7	$C_{27}H_{26}O_{17}$	622.12	Apigenin-7-O-diglucuronide
31.11	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	609.1465	609.1461	0.6	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{16}$	610.15	Rutin
31.46	$[M + H]^+$	463.0873	463.0871	0.4	$C_{21}H_{18}O_{12}$	462.08	Luteolin-7-O-glucuronide
31.51	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	463.0884	463.0882	0.4	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	464.10	Hyperoside
31.89	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	447.0937	447.0933	0.9	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	448.10	Luteolin 7-glucoside Azelaic acid
	12.24 12.55 13.17 15.15 15.70 16.02 16.47 16.85 17.37 18.29 18.57 19.09 20.51 20.73 20.86 21.57 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 22.45 22.67 23.28 24.53 24.55 21.11 31.14 31.46 31.51	12.24 $[M-H]^-$ 12.55 $[M-H]^-$ 13.17 $[M-H]^-$ 15.15 $[M-H]^-$ 15.15 $[M-H]^-$ 15.70 $[M+H]^+$ 16.02 $[M-H]^-$ 16.47 $[M-H]^-$ 16.85 $[M-H]^-$ 17.37 $[M-H]^-$ 18.29 $[M-H]^-$ 18.49 $[M-H]^-$ 18.57 $[M+H]^-$ 20.51 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 20.73 $M^+$ 20.86 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 21.57 $[M + H]^+$ 22.45 $[M + H]^+$ 23.28 $[M + H]^-$ 24.53 $[M+H]^-$ 24.58 $[M + H]^+$ 24.59 $[M + H]^+$ 24.53 $[M + H]^-$ 24.54 $[M + H]^+$ 24.55 $[M + H]^+$ 24.56 $[M + H]^-$ 31.46 $[M + H]^+$ 31.46 $[M + H]^-$ 31.89 $[M - H]^-$	12.24 $[M-H]^ 385.0777$ 12.55 $[M-H]^ 353.0880$ 13.17 $[M-H]^ 385.0775$ 15.15 $[M-H]^ 385.0779$ 15.70 $[M+H]^+$ $405.1392$ 16.02 $[M-H]^ 385.0779$ 16.47 $[M-H]^ 375.1392$ 16.85 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 18.29 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 18.29 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 18.49 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 18.57 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 18.29 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ 20.51 $[M+FA-H]^ 375.1292$ 20.53 $[M+FA-H]^ 431.1922$ 20.73 $M^+$ $310.1652$ 20.86 $[M+FA-H]^ 451.1610$ 22.67 $[M+H]^+$ $611.1610$ 22.67 $[M+H]^+$ $611.1610$ 22.67 $[M+H]^ 431.248$ 21.57 $[M+H]^ 431.248$ 21.59 $[M+H]^ 431.241$ 25.98 $[M+H]^+$ $637.1042$ 26.43 $[M+H]^+$ $623.1247$ 31.46 $[M+H]^+$ $63.0873$ 31.46 $[M+H]^ 447.0937$ <	12.24 $[M-H]^ 385.0777$ $385.0776$ 12.55 $[M-H]^ 353.0880$ $353.0878$ 13.17 $[M-H]^ 385.0775$ $385.0776$ 15.15 $[M-H]^ 385.0779$ $385.0776$ 15.70 $[M+H]^+$ $405.1392$ $405.1391$ 16.02 $[M-H]^ 385.0779$ $385.0776$ 16.47 $[M-H]^ 179.0350$ $179.0350$ 16.85 $[M-H]^ 353.0875$ $353.0878$ 17.37 $[M-H]^ 375.1299$ $375.1297$ 18.29 $[M-H]^ 373.1138$ $373.1140$ 18.57 $[M-H]^ 385.0777$ $385.0776$ 19.09 $[M + FA-H]^ 395.0777$ $385.0776$ 20.51 $[M + FA-H]^ 310.1652$ $310.1649$ 20.86 $[M + FA-H]^ 431.1922$ $431.1923$ 20.73 $M^+$ $310.1652$ $310.1649$ 20.86 $[M + FA-H]^ 350.0874$ $365.0878$ 21.57 $[M + H]^+$ $611.1610$ $611.1607$ 22.67 $[M + H]^+$ $525.1619$ $525.1614$ 23.28 $[M + H]^+$ $525.1619$ $525.1614$ 24.53 $[M + H]^+$ $595.1667$ $595.1657$ 24.77 $[M + H]^+$ $595.1667$ $595.1657$ 24.77 $[M + H]^+$ $637.1042$ $637.1046$ 25.98 $[M + H]^+$ $623.1247$ $623.1243$ 31.11 $[M + H]^+$ $623.1247$ $623.1243$ 31.11 $[M + H]^+$ $623.1247$ $623.1243$ 31.11 $[M + $	12.24 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0777385.07760.212.55 $[M-H]^-$ 353.0880353.08780.513.17 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0775385.0776 $-0.4$ 15.15 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0779385.0776 $0.7$ 15.70 $[M+H]^+$ 405.1392405.13910.216.02 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0779385.0776 $0.7$ 16.47 $[M-H]^-$ 79.0350179.0350 $0.1$ 16.85 $[M-H]^-$ 353.0875353.0878 $-0.9$ 17.37 $[M-H]^-$ 375.1299375.1297 $0.6$ 18.29 $[M-H]^-$ 373.1138373.1140 $-0.6$ 18.57 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0777385.0776 $0.2$ 19.09 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 595.2612595.2607 $0.8$ 20.51 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 403.1248403.1246 $0.5$ 21.57 $[M + H]^+$ 403.1248403.1246 $0.5$ 21.57 $[M + H]^+$ 365.0874365.0878 $-1.1$ 22.66 $M^+$ 342.1709342.1700 $0.3$ 23.28 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 525.1619525.1614 $1.0$ 24.58 $[M + H]^+$ 637.1051637.1046 $-0.7$ 24.58 $[M + H]^+$ 637.1042 $637.1046$ $-0.7$ 24.58 $[M + H]^+$ 633.1247 $623.1243$ $0.7$ 25.98 $[M + H]^+$ 633.1247 $623.1243$ $-0.5$ 25.98 $[M + H]^+$ 637.1042 $637.1046$ $-0.7$ 26.43 $[M + H]^+$ 63.	12.24 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0777       385.0776       0.2 $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 12.55 $[M-H]^-$ 353.0880       353.0878       0.5 $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 13.17 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0775       385.0776 $-0.4$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 15.15 $[M+H]^-$ 385.0779       385.0776 $0.7$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 15.70 $[M+H]^+$ 405.1392       405.1391 $0.2$ $C_{17}H_{24}O_{11}$ 16.02 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0779       385.0776 $0.7$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 16.47 $[M-H]^-$ 375.1299       375.1297 $0.6$ $C_{16}H_{20}O_{10}$ 17.37 $[M-H]^-$ 373.0878       353.0878 $0.0$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{9}$ 17.37 $[M-H]^-$ 373.1138       373.1140 $-0.6$ $C_{16}H_{20}O_{10}$ 18.49 $[M-H]^-$ 373.1138       373.1140 $-0.6$ $C_{16}H_{20}N_{5}^+$ 20.51 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 403.1248       403.1246 $0.5$ $C_{16}H_{20}N_{5}^+$ 20.86 $[M + FA-H]^-$ 403.1248       403.1246 $0.5$ $C_{16}H_{20}O_{1}$	12.24 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0777       385.0776       0.2 $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 386.08         12.55 $[M-H]^-$ 353.0880       353.0878       0.5 $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 386.08         13.17 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0775       385.0776 $-0.4$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 386.08         15.15 $[M-H]^-$ 385.0779       385.0776 $0.7$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 404.13         16.02 $[M+H]^+$ 405.1392       405.1391 $0.2$ $C_{17}H_{24}O_{11}$ 404.13         16.02 $[M-H]^-$ 355.0779       385.0776 $0.7$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$ 386.08         16.47 $[M-H]^-$ 179.0350       179.0350 $0.1$ $C_{9}H_{9}O_{4}$ 180.04         16.85 $[M-H]^-$ 353.0875       353.0878 $-0.9$ $C_{16}H_{18}O_{9}$ 354.10         17.37 $[M-H]^-$ 375.1299       375.1297 $0.6$ $C_{16}H_{20}O_{10}$ 374.12         18.99 $[M+H]^-$ 373.118       373.1140 $-0.6$ $C_{26}H_{20}O_{13}$ 374.12         18.49 $[M+H]^-$ 310.1652       310.1649 $1.0$ <

TABLE 2 (Continued)

No.	Rt (min)	m/z	m/z actual value	m/z theoretical value	Error (ppm)	Formula	Formula weight	Name
43	33.93	$[M + H]^+$	581.1857	581.1865	-1.3	$C_{27}H_{32}O_{14}$	580.18	Naringin
44	34.67	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	515.1196	515.1195	0.2	$C_{25}H_{24}O_{12}$	516.13	Isochlorogenic acid B
45	35.49	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	515.1196	515.1195	0.2	$C_{25}H_{24}O_{12}\\$	516.13	Isochlorogenic acid A
46	36.28	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	623.1977	623.1981	-0.7	$C_{29}H_{36}O_{15}$	624.21	Acteoside
47	36.28	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	445.0776	445.0776	-0.1	$C_{21}H_{18}O_{11}$	446.08	Apigenin-7-glucuronide
48	37.61	$[M + H]^+$	611.1976	611.1970	0.9	$C_{28}H_{34}O_{15}$	610.19	Hesperidin
49	38.35	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	359.0767	359.0772	-1.5	$C_{18}H_{16}O_8$	360.08	Rosmarinic acid
50	39.16	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	753.2242	753.2248	-0.7	$C_{34}H_{42}O_{19}$	754.23	3,6'-Disinapoyl sucrose
51	39.24	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	515.1199	515.1195	0.8	$C_{25}H_{24}O_{12}$	516.13	Isochlorogenic acid C
52	41.28	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	651.1577	651.1567	1.6	$C_{29}H_{32}O_{17}$	652.16	Limocitrunshin
53	45.19	[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	301.1072	301.1071	0.5	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	300.10	5-Hydroxy-6,7-dimethoxyflavanone
54	47.10	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	633.2555	633.2553	0.4	$C_{32}H_{42}O_{13}$	634.26	Obacunone-17-O-β-D-glucoside
55	48.37	[M + FA-2H] <sup>2-</sup>	796.3426	796.3443	-2.2	C <sub>69</sub> H <sub>112</sub> O <sub>38</sub>	1548.68	Platycodin E
56	49.79	$[M + H]^+$	595.2017	595.2021	-0.7	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>14</sub>	594.19	Poncirin
57	52.92	[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	711.2125	711.2131	-0.8	$C_{32}H_{38}O_{18}$	710.21	3,5-Dihydroxy-6,7,8,3',4' -pentamethoxyflavonol- 3-O-[6''-(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl)]-glucoside
58	53.23	$[M + H]^+$	728.3991	728.4004	-1.8	C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>53</sub> N <sub>7</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	727.39	Citrusin III
59	53.49	$[M + H]^{+}$	901.4797	901.4791	0.6	$C_{45}H_{72}O_{18}$	900.47	Neosibiricoside D
60	53.50	[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	697.1980	697.1974	0.8	$C_{31}H_{36}O_{18}$	696.19	acid, 3-hydroxy-3- methyl-,6'-ester with 3-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-7-hydroxy-2-(4- hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)-5,6,8-trimethoxy-4H- 1-benzopyran-4-one (ZCI)
61	53.70	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	1237.5581	1237.5495	6.9	C57H90O29	1238.56	Platyconic acid A
62	54.10	$[M + H]^+$	1225.5868	1225.5848	1.6	$C_{57}H_{92}O_{28}$	1224.58	Platycodin D
63	54.33	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	1265.5840	1265.5808	10.4	$C_{59}H_{94}O_{29}$	1266.59	Platycodin A
64	54.63	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	1237.5570	1237.5495	6.1	$C_{57}H_{90}O_{29}$	1238.56	Platycodin J
65	54.83	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	327.2179	327.2177	0.6	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	328.23	Trihydroxy Octadecadienoic acid
66	55.06	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	327.2177	327.2177	0.0	$C_{18}H_{32}O_5$	328.23	Trihydroxy Octadecadienoic acid
67	55.24	$[M + H]^+$	725.2296	725.2287	1.2	$C_{33}H_{40}O_{18}$	724.22	Natsudaidain-3-O-(3-hydroxy- 3-methylglutarate)-glucoside
68	56.17	$[M + H]^+$	683.3996	683.4001	-0.7	$C_{36}H_{58}O_{12}$	682.39	3-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl platycodigenin
69	56.47	$[M + H]^+$	373.1280	373.1282	-0.5	$C_{20}H_{20}O_7$	372.12	Isosinensetin
70	56.59	$[M + NH4]^+$	840.4585	840.4587	-0.3	$C_{39}H_{66}O_{18}$	822.42	Loquatifolin A
71	56.74	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	329.2330	329.2333	-1.1	$C_{18}H_{34}O_5$	330.24	9,10,11-Trihydroxy-12-octadecanoic acid
72	57.21	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	329.2332	329.2333	-0.4	$C_{18}H_{34}O_5$	330.24	9,12,13-Trihydroxy-10-octadecanoic acid
73	57.65	$[M + H]^+$	403.1389	403.1387	0.4	$C_{21}H_{22}O_8$	402.13	5,6,7,3',4',5'-Hexamethoxyflavone
74	57.83	$[M + H]^+$	285.0758	285.0758	0.2	$C_{16}H_{12}O_5$	284.07	Wogonin
75	58.32	$[M + H]^+$	373.1278	373.1282	-1.0	$C_{20}H_{20}O_7$	372.12	Sinensetin
76	58.38	$[M + H]^+$	343.1176	343.1176	0.0	$C_{19}H_{18}O_{6}$	342.11	4′,5,7,8-Tetramethoxyflavone
77	58.66	$[M + H]^+$	471.2013	471.2013	-0.1	$C_{26}H_{30}O_8$	470.19	Limonin
78	59.99	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	307.1915	307.1915	0.1	$C_{18}H_{28}O_4$	308.20	Methyl-6-gingerol
79	60.31	$[M + H]^+$	403.1387	403.1387	-0.1	$C_{21}H_{22}O_8$	402.13	Nobiletin
80	61.55	$[M + H]^+$	433.1497	433.1493	0.9	$C_{22}H_{24}O_9$	432.14	3,5,6,7,8,3',4'-Heptamethoxyflavone
81	62.12	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	517.3176	517.3171	1.0	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	518.32	Corosin
82	62.30	$[M + H]^+$	373.1281	373.1282	-0.2	$C_{20}H_{20}O_7$	372.12	Tangeretin
83	62.73	$[M + H]^{+}$	345.0972	345.0969	0.9	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	344.09	5,2′-dihydroxy-6,7,8-Trimethoxyflavone
84	62.73	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	485.3271	485.3272	-0.3	$C_{30}H_{46}O_5$	486.33	Poricoic acid G
85	67.16	[M + H] <sup>+</sup>	455.3520	455.3520	0.1	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	454.34	Betulonic acid
86	67.26	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	497.3269	497.3272	-0.7	$C_{31}H_{46}O_5$	498.33	Poricoic acid A
87	69.50	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	455.3526	455.3531	-1.0	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>48</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	456.36	Ursolic acid

No.	Rt (min)	min) m/z m/z actua valu		m/z theoretical value	Error (ppm)	Formula	Formula weight	Name
1	5.25	$[M + H]^{+}$	144.0481	144.0483	-1.4	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NOS	143.04	5-(Methylsulfinyl)-4-pentenenitrile
2	17.69	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	353.0874	353.0873	0.3	$C_{16}H_{18}O_9$	354.10	Chlorogenic acid
3	18.09	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	375.1299	375.1291	2.1	$C_{16}H_{24}O_{10}$	376.14	8-epiloganic acid
4	19.23	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	353.0872	353.0873	-0.3	$C_{16}H_{18}O_9$	354.10	Cryptochlorogenic acid
5	19.47	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	385.0776	385.0771	1.3	$C_{16}H_{18}O_{11}$	386.08	Hexaric acid, 2-[3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate] (ACI) Isomer
6	21.9	$[M + FA-H]^-$	403.1246	403.1240	1.5	$C_{16}H_{22}O_9$	358.13	Sweroside
7	24.44	$[M + FA-H]^-$	525.1622	525.1608	2.7	$C_{23}H_{28}O_{11}$	480.16	Paeoniflorin
8	25.71	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	593.1495	593.1507	-2.0	C27H30O15	594.16	Vicenin II
9	25.92	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	403.1244	403.1241	0.7	$C_{17}H_{24}O_{11}$	404.13	Secoxyloganin
10	27.95	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	637.1023	637.1041	-2.8	$C_{27}H_{26}O_{18}$	638.11	Luteolin-7-O-diglucuronide
11	30.68	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	621.1103	621.1092	1.8	C27H26O17	622.12	Apigenin-7-O-diglucuronide
12	33.85	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	187.0969	187.0971	-1.1	$C_9H_{16}O_4$	188.10	Azelaic acid
13	35.46	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	579.1717	579.1714	0.5	C27H32O14	580.18	Naringin
14	38.19	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	445.0779	445.0771	1.8	$C_{21}H_{18}O_{11}$	446.08	Apigenin-7-glucuronide
15	39.35	[M-H] <sup>-</sup>	609.1817	609.1820	-0.5	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	610.19	Hesperidin
16	54.89	$[M + H]^+$	725.2294	725.2293	0.1	$C_{33}H_{40}O_{18}$	724.22	Natsudaidain-3-O-(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutarate)- glucoside
17	56.21	$[M + H]^+$	373.1287	373.1287	0.0	$C_{20}H_{20}O_7$	372.12	Isosinensetin
18	57.32	$[M + H]^+$	403.1384	403.1393	-2.2	$C_{21}H_{22}O_8$	402.13	5,6,7,3',4',5'-Hexamethoxyflavone
19	60.01	$[M + H]^+$	403.1390	403.1393	-0.7	$C_{21}H_{22}O_8$	402.13	Nobiletin
20	61.25	$[M + H]^+$	433.1498	433.1498	0.0	$C_{22}H_{24}O_9$	432.14	3,5,6,7,8,3',4'-Heptamethoxyflavone

TABLE 3 The compounds identified in rat serum after oral administration of HSSD.

duplicate targets. The "herb-compounds-targets" of HSSD were constructed to select the critical compounds and targets according to the value of the network degree. As a result, the top eight critical compounds, including quercetin, beta-sitosterol, ursolic acid, kaempferol, hyperoside, wogonin, luteolin, and acacetin were used for subsequent molecular docking. The top five targets were mainly ESR1, AR, PGR, GABRA3, and NR112. Detailed information on the network of "herb-compoundstargets" of HSSD is shown in Supplementary Datasheet 4. Besides, in Figure 4A, we obtained core 321 targets after intersecting 1414 targets of HSSD and 2116 targets of influenza as the "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza." In Figure 4B, we obtained core 52 targets after intersecting influenza targets getting from different disease databases as the "intersected common targets of influenza." Detailed target information is shown in Supplementary Datasheet 5.

#### PPI network construction and analysis

The PPI networks and its clusters analysis networks were established. The "intersected common targets of HSSD and influenza" PPI network was constructed. Besides, red color targets were cluster 1 with a score of 14.286, blue color targets were cluster 2 with a score of 12.936, green color targets were cluster 3 with a score of 11.778, purple color targets were cluster 4 with a score of 4.000, orange color targets were cluster 5 with a score of 3.636, and yellow color targets were cluster 6 with a score of 3.000. Besides, the "intersected common targets of influenza" PPI network was constructed, and its MCODE analysis resulted in two clusters. In these networks, the darker the color, the larger the circle, indicating that the targets occupied an important position in the network. Subsequently, hub genes were screened out from these two PPI networks. Finally, the top 10 critical hub genes of HSSD in treating H1N1 were obtained including TNF, IL6, IL1B, TLR4, CCL2, CXCL8, IL10, STAT1, IFNG, and CXCL10. Detailed information on PPI and MCODE analysis results is shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 6**.

#### Gene ontology and kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes enrichment analysis

The "intersected common targets of HSSD and influenza" enrichment analysis of GO terms was performed with 1,049 BPs, 146 CCs, and 204 MFs. While the "intersected common



FIGURE 3

Survival test results. (A) Effect of HSSD on the survival rate of H1N1-infected mice. (B) Body weight changes of mice in different groups during a survival test experiment.



targets of influenza" enrichment analysis of GO terms was performed with 305 BPs, 23 CCs, and 42 MFs. After intersecting, "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza" GO results and "intersected common targets of influenza" GO results, critical top 10 GO enrichment results and their networks are obtained in Figure 5A. According to the critical GO enrichment analysis, the gene targets associated with biological process (BP) are embroiled in positive or negative

regulation of gene expression, response to drugs, inflammatory response, positive regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter, etc. Gene targets in cellular component (CC) are primarily found in the cytosol, macromolecular complex, cytoplasm, extracellular space, nucleoplasm, etc. While molecular function (MF) is mainly revealed in enzyme binding, identical protein binding, protein binding, ubiquitin protein ligase binding, protease binding, etc. In conclusion, after intersecting "intersected common targets of HSSD and influenza" GO results and "intersected common targets of influenza" GO results, the critical top 10 GO enrichment demonstrated that HSSD treating influenza mainly revealed in the regulation of the drug, inflammatory response, and the regulation of apoptosis, cytokines, protein binding, etc.

Besides, the "intersected common targets of HSSD and influenza" enrichment analysis of 186 KEGG results was performed. While the "intersected common targets of influenza" enrichment analysis of 96 KEGG results was performed. After intersecting "intersected common targets of HSSD & influenza" KEGG results and "intersected common targets of influenza" KEGG results, critical top 10 KEGG signaling pathways and their networks are obtained in Figure 5B. The critical top 10 KEGG signaling pathways mainly revealed in the IL-17 signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, FoxO signaling pathway, C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway, HIF-1 signaling pathway, MAPK signaling pathway, T-cell receptor signaling pathway, and NOD-like receptor signaling pathway. In brief, GO and KEGG enrichment analysis results suggested that HSSD treats influenza mainly through combining multiple components with multiple influenza virus proteins, acting on multiple targets, and regulating multiple pathways, which include inflammatory response, immune response, cell apoptosis and influenza virus replication, as well as the IL-17, TNF, PI3K-Ak, and Toll-like receptor signaling pathways to achieve the effect of treatment of influenza. Detailed GO and KEGG enrichment information is shown in Supplementary Datasheets 7, 8.

#### Molecular docking analysis

The critical KEGG signaling pathway IL-17 signaling pathway and Toll-like receptor signaling pathway were selected for our study to explore the mechanisms of HSSD in treating H1N1 according to the results of network pharmacology. Besides, combined with the published research, TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65, and HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 pathways were tested. Then, the TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, HIF1  $\alpha$ , VEGF, IL17A, and IL6 in the critical pathways, as well as the TNF, IL1B, CCL2, CXCL8, IL10, STAT1, IFNG, and CXCL10 in the critical hub genes of HSSD in treating H1N1, were molecularly docked with the 16 key active compounds of HSSD, including quercetin, beta-sitosterol, ursolic acid, kaempferol, hyperoside, wogonin, luteolin, acacetin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, rosmarinic acid, hesperidin, naringin, paeoniflorin, and nobiletin. The docking score represents an active compounds (ligands) affinity for core targets (receptors). The lower the docking score, the better the ligand's interaction with the receptor. **Figure 6** displays the outcomes of molecular docking in terms of docking scores between 16 core targets and 16 active compounds. The stable combining mode between 16 core targets and active compounds is shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 9**.

#### Effects of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on body weight changes and lung damage in H1N1-infected mice

We recorded the body weight changes and pulmonary damage changes in lung tissue in vivo to confirm the therapeutic effect of HSSD in treating H1N1-infected mice. As shown in Figure 7A, after being infected with H1N1 on days 3 and 5, mice in the Infected group lost weight when compared with the Normal group (P < 0.001). While mice in other groups significantly increased their body weight after treating with HSSD in different doses compared with the Infected group (P < 0.001). In Figure 7B, the wet/dry ratio of the lung was remarkably elevated after being infected with the H1N1 virus in the Infected group (P < 0.001). Conversely, the increased lung wet/dry ratio was distinctly attenuated by HSSD (P < 0.001). Besides, hemagglutinin (HA) titer and nucleoprotein (NP) mRNA expressions in the lung were determined to verify whether HSSD attenuated viral replication in the respiratory tract in vivo. HA and NP were positively correlated with copies of the influenza virus. In Figure 7B, results show that HA titers and NP mRNA expression were significantly increased in H1N1-infected mice (P < 0.001). After treatment with HSSD, HA and NP were decreased significantly than the Infected group (P < 0.01, P < 0.05, or P < 0.001).

#### Effects of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on lung lesions in H1N1-infected mice

We explored the pulmonary gross lesions and pathological changes in lung tissue of H1N1-infected mice to confirm the treatment of HSSD in treating influenza. Figure 8A shows the color of lung tissue in the Normal group was bright pink, while in the Infected group, the lung tissue lesions were dark red and obvious observing the congestion and edematous. After the administration of HSSD or Oseltamivir,



the areas of pulmonary injury were decreased at different doses, indicating the remission of lung lesions. Furthermore, pathology changes in lung tissues are shown in **Figure 8B**, the Normal mice showed thin alveolar walls and intact bronchial epithelium, without secretions in the lumen, or inflammatory cell infiltration in the periphery. While in the Infected mice, the lung tissues observed the alveolar cavity, which was filled with inflammatory and bloody exudates, the alveolar wall structure was unclear, and a large number of lung consolidation areas existed. By comparison with the Infected group, after treatment with HSSD or Oseltamivir, the density of inflammatory cells and the exudate in the alveolar cavity were decreased, and more complete alveolar structures. In **Figure 8C**, the results of lung injury score, the inflammatory cell count, and the inflammatory cell area in lung tissues of mice treated with HSSD or Oseltamivir were significantly declined compared with the Infected group (P < 0.001, P < 0.01, or P < 0.05). The above results demonstrated that HSSD has a therapeutic effect on influenza viral pneumonia. Original images of lung H&E of three repeats are shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 10**.

	quercetin -	-5.24	-5	-7.17	-5.52	-6.15	-6.06	-6.35	-5.49	-6.48	-6.26	-7.18	-7.83	-4.35	-5.87	-5.13	-4.69			
	beta-sitosterol -	-6.8	-7.62	-8.94	-8.84	-9.16	-8.02	-9.44		-7.67	-7.93	-7.96	-10.99	-7.21	-8	-6.56	-6.91			2
	Ursolic acid -		-7.83	-8.08	-8.79	-8.21	-7.96	-9.06	-7.62	-8.15	-8.15	-8.64	-11.61	-7.84	-8.89	-7.8	-8.52			
	kaempferol -	-5.6	-5.05	-6.92				-6.84		-6.14	-6.52	-7.18	-7.92	-5.52	-6.21	-5.44	-5.47			
	Hyperoside -	-3.18	-3.02	-4.54	-5.08	-5.15	-4.02	-5.53	-4.35	-4.9	-5.17	-5.23	-8.36	-2.65	-6.51	-3.77	-3.87			4
	Wogonin -	-5.11	-5.27	-6.37	-6.28	-6.29	-6.34	-6.76	-6	-5.84	-6.46		-8.03	-4.78	-6.76	-5.66	-5.75			
	luteolin -	-7.1	-5.29	-7.03	-6.25	-6.57	-6.3	-7.48	-5.8	-6.7	-6.66	-7.26	-8.74	-5.38	-6.33	-5.65	-5.85			
	acacetin -	-6.94	-5.74	-6.28	-6.5	-6.42	-6.77	-7.7	-6.79	-6.78	-6.62	-7.36	-8.14	-5.9	-6.6	-5.89	-6.01			6
Ligands	Chlorogenic acid -	-5.51	-4.05	-5.48	-5.83	-7.16	-5.89	-5.98	-5.41	-6.92	-6.15	-5.68	-9.2	-3.6	-5.59	-3.24	-5.89			
	Caffeic acid -		-4.55	-4.97	-4.72	-5.93	-5.1	-5.54	-6.07	-6.65	-5.95		-8.96	-5.45	-6.08	-4.47	-6.11			
	Scutellarin -		-2.86	-6.23	-5.24	-5.11	-5.38	-5.66	-4.74	-6.4	-6.02	-4.63	-9.4	-3.91	-5.71	-2.95	-5.63			8
	Rosmarinic acid -		-3.65	-6.24	-6.4	-6.21	-5.06	-5.91	-6.31	-7.14	-7.7	-5.71	-9.89	-4.47	-6.2	-3.85	-6.7			
	Hesperidin -	-5.31	-4.31	-6.07	-5.21	-4.73	-6.66	-7.29	-5.2	-5.55	-6.13		-9.42	-4.27	-5.79	-2.94	-4.39			
	Naringin -	-4.96	-3.04	-4.96	-5.41	-4.74	-6.57	-8.9	-6.89	-5.8	-5.83	-4.96	-10.59	-3.29	-5.67	-3.27	-4.41			10
	Paeoniflorin -	-6.32	-4.26	-6.21	-6.51	-6.08	-6.15	-6.39		-5.55	-6	-6.69	-9.34	-5.09	-6.37	-5.08	-5.47			
	Nobiletin -		-4.81	-6.89	-6.28	-5.37	-6.34	-7.08		-5.97	-5.72	-7.07	-9.2	-5.2	-6.56	-5.61	-6.37			
		2z62)-	4glp)-	- (mob	- (yqyò	7rna) -	- (vįm)	'ama) -	IL6(1alu)-	TNF(11b4)-	twm)-	7so0) -	txdx)-	y6m) -	cm3)-	4q7h)-	1080)-			12
	nding energy cal/mol)	TLR4(2z62)	CD14(4glp)-	MyD88(4dom)	NF-кВ р65(6уру)	HIF1 α(7ma)-	VEGF(1mjv)	IL17A(7ama)	IL6(	TNF(	IL1B(1twm)-	CCL2(7so0)	CXCL8(4xdx)	IL10(1y6m)-	STAT1(3cm3).	IFNG(4q7h)	CXCL10(1080)-			
(11	<i>←</i>								Rece	ptors							<b></b>			

#### Effects of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on the apoptosis of infected cells in the lung tissues

TUNEL of lung tissues in each group was detected by fluorescent staining to observe the apoptosis of H1N1-infected cells as the TUNEL is often used as a marker of apoptosis. As shown in **Figure 9A**, the nucleus was blue by labeling with DAPI, while positive apoptosis cells were green which was labeled with the FITC of the TUNEL assay kit. There were a large number of TUNEL + cells in the lung tissues of the Infected group of mice. However, the HSSD or Oseltamivir-treated groups showed significantly lower numbers of TUNEL-positive cells than the Infected group. In **Figure 9B**, the infected dead cells density in lung tissues was measured in images, the number of infected dead cells density was increased in the Infected group (P < 0.001), while decreased after treatment with HSSD or Oseltamivir (P < 0.01, or P < 0.05). Original images of lung TUNEL of three repeats are shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 11**.

### Effects of Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction on levels of immune globulin/cytokine/chemokine in H1N1-infected mice

Influenza virus infection occurred usually leading to inflammatory reactions to the imbalances of immune globulin,



cytokines, and chemokines. As shown in Figure 10A, immune globulin including IgA, IgG, and IgM in the serum of the Infected group mice was significantly decreased (P < 0.001, or P < 0.01), while HSSD could increase this abnormal decline (P < 0.001, P < 0.01, or P < 0.05). Besides, Figure 10B shows that the treatment with HSSD could significantly inhibit the production of IFN-y, IL-6, MCP-1, MIP-1a, and IP-10 (P < 0.001, P < 0.05, or P < 0.01), while increasing the production of IL-10 (P < 0.05 or P < 0.01). In addition, results revealed that GRA#, GRA%, and PLT were increased, while the LYM#, LYM%, WBC, and HGB were decreased in plasma—changes induced by H1N1 infection (P < 0.001). Notably, HSSD in different doses reverted the routine blood parameters markedly by reducing the GRA#, GRA%, and PLT (P < 0.001), and increasing the LYM#, LYM%, WBC, and HGB (P < 0.001, or P < 0.05). Effects of HSSD on routine blood parameters in H1N1-infected mice are shown in **Supplementary Datasheet 12**.

### Huoxiang Suling Shuanghua Decoction inhibited the expressions of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 and HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 pathways in the lung tissues of H1N1-infected mice

To better understand the therapeutic mechanism of HSSD in H1N1-infected mice, we measured the expressions of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 and HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 pathways according to the prediction of network pharmacology results in lung tissues on the day 5 post-infection by using RT-qPCR and IHC staining. In Figures 11, 12, both mRNA expressions and IHC



protein expressions showed that H1N1 infection resulted in a significant increase in the expressions of TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-κB p65, HIF-1α, VEGF, IL-17A, and IL-6, (P < 0.01, or P < 0.001). After treatment with HSSD or Oseltamivir could downregulate their expressions (P < 0.05, P < 0.001, or P < 0.01). Original IHC images of three repeats are shown in **Supplementary Datasheets 13, 14**.

## Discussion

Traditional Chinese medicine formula is widely used in treating influenza in China. In our study, oral intake TCM formula HSSD, deriving from the clinical prescriptions HZP and Er Xiangshan Powder, showed considerable efficacy in the treatment of influenza. According to previous research, after being lethally infected with the influenza virus, the survival rate of mice, the change in body weight, and the state of

the mice are the most intuitive indicators to assess antiviral efficacy (Song et al., 2016). The survival rate results showed that HSSD significantly improved the survival rate of mice infected with a lethal dose of H1N1 and prolonged the mice's lifespan. Furthermore, HSSD inhibits the decreased body weight of infected mice, improving the statement of shivering, squinting, slow movement, etc. The survival rate test in an H1N1-infected mice model suggests that HSSD may be used as an alternative therapy for influenza. After viral transcription and replication, HA and NP playing the important role in the production, replication, proliferation, and release of the virus from host cells, which directly suggests the influenza virus loading in the host (Ma et al., 2018). After the virus infects the host, the corresponding immune status changes. Immunoglobulin is an effector molecule of humoral immunity, among which serotype of IgA can enhance the cytotoxic effect mediated by antibodydependent cells, thereby inhibiting the adhesion of pathogenic bodies on the mucosa, phagocytosis, and lysis of bacteria and



viruses, and then prevent them from entering the body (Gould et al., 2017). While IgM is the earliest antibody synthesized in body development and can be used for early diagnosis of infectious diseases (Justel et al., 2013). Besides, IgG is a humoral immune response antibody that can enhance macrophage phagocytosis of bacteria or kill target cells (Fujimoto et al., 2012). Therefore, we detected the levels of immunoglobulin (IgA, IgM, and IgG) contents to preliminarily explore the changes in immune status in influenza virus-infected mice and HSSDtreated mice. Moreover, routine blood parameters of GRA, LYM, WBC, PLT, and HGB represent the inflammatory response of the body after the infection of the influenza virus (Karimi et al., 2022). Our results demonstrated that HSSD can decrease the levels of HA and NP to inhibit the replication and proliferation of H1N1. In addition, HSSD reduces the lung pathological state of the H1N1-infected mice, inhibits the cell apoptosis in the lungs of the H1N1-infected mice, and regulates the abnormal responses of immunoglobulins (IgA, IgM, and IgG) and routine blood parameters, indicating the antiviral effects of HSSD.

Next, to explore the active ingredients of HSSD in treating influenza, the major chemical components of HSSD were identified by the UPLC/Q-TOF MS method. As a result, 87 kinds of compounds were identified by using the HSSD solution directly, and 20 kinds of compounds were identified in the absorbed blood. There were 54 kinds of compounds that had higher than 1,000,000 intensity of HSSD. While there were 12 kinds of compounds that had higher than 100,000 in the intensity of HSSD absorbing into the blood, and the flavonoids including luteolin-7-O-diglucuronide, apigenin-7-glucuronide, hesperidin, nobiletin, 5,6,7,3',4',5'-hexamethoxyflavone, and 3,5,6,7,8,3',4'-heptamethoxyflavone were the most abundant components that absorbed into the serum after treating HSSD.



Furthermore, the main components of HSSD in the HPLC profile were identified including chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, and rosmarinic acid. Based on these identified compounds of HSSD and related databases, the network of "herb-compounds-targets" of HSSD was constructed and the critical compounds were ranked according to the degree value of the network. Finally, the 16 active ingredients of HSSD, including quercetin, beta-sitosterol, ursolic acid, kaempferol, hyperoside, wogonin, luteolin, acacetin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, rosmarinic acid, hesperidin, naringin, paeoniflorin, and nobiletin, were screened out by combining with the experimental identified compounds results and the network analysis critical compounds results. Focusing on these critical compounds above, these 16 active compounds may be the potential material basis for the anti-influenza virus effect of HSSD. Furthermore, previous research has demonstrated the anti-influenza virus effect on most of these active compounds as followings. Quercetin shows inhibitory activity in the early stage of influenza infection (Wu et al., 2016). Beta-sitosterol is reported for its protection against influenza through NF-KB and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling in influenza A virus (IAV) infected cells to suppress inflammatory response (Zhou et al., 2020). Ursolic acid attenuates IAVtriggered inflammatory responses by regulating the miR-34c-5p/TLR5 axis (Wei et al., 2022). Kaempferol exhibits a protective effect on virus-induced inflammation via suppression of TLR4/MyD88-mediated NF-kB and MAPK pathways (Zhang et al., 2017). Hyperoside is reported as a universal drug against various influenza strains by perfect binding with conserved residues of influenza virus NP (Ahmad et al., 2016). Wogonin possesses a potent anti-influenza activity mediated by the regulation of AMPK activation (Seong et al., 2018). Luteolin suppresses coat protein I complex expression, which is related to influenza virus entry and endocytic pathway (Yan et al., 2019). Acacetin exhibits active effect on neuraminidase (NA) (Xu et al., 2020). Chlorogenic acid acts as a neuraminidase blocker to inhibit the influenza A virus both in cellular and animal models (Ding et al., 2017). Caffeic acid exhibits anti-virus in the early stages of infection (Utsunomiya et al., 2014). Scutellarin can be used in the treatment of influenza through anti-inflammatory



Expressions of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 pathway in the lung tissues. (A) Protein expressions of TLR4, CD14, MyD88, and NF- $\kappa$ B p65 in the lung tissues of H1N1-infected mice and its H-score calculation (immunohistochemistry, ×100). (B) mRNA expression levels of TLR4, CD14, MyD88, and NF- $\kappa$ B p65 in the lung tissues of H1N1-infected mice. Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3. ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001: significantly differently from the Normal group; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001: significantly differently from the Infected group.

(Fang et al., 2019). Rosmarinic acid has been reported to exert inhibitory activity against NA (Mahalapbutr et al., 2020). Hesperidin alleviates H1N1-induced impairment of pulmonary function by inhibiting cytokine production through MAPK signaling pathways (Ding et al., 2018). Paeoniflorin inhibits the expression of  $\alpha\nu\beta3$ , TGF- $\beta1$ , Smad2, NF- $\kappa$ B, and p38MAPK in the lung tissues for anti-influenza virus (Yu et al., 2021). These above-reported pieces of evidence of pharmacodynamic action of HSSD in treating influenza are mainly related to the inhibition of protein target NA required for early viral infection or the inhibition of inflammatory response caused by viral infection including TLR4/MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B, and MAPKs pathways. Briefly, these pieces of evidence between small molecule compounds and signaling pathways in previous research suggest reference signaling pathways of HSSD in treating influenza in our following mice experiments.

Network pharmacology was performed to investigate the potential key targets and critical KEGG signaling pathways of



Expressions of HIF-1 $\alpha$ / IL17 pathway in the lung tissues. (A) Protein expressions of IL-17A, IL-6, HIF-1 $\alpha$ , and VEGF in the lung tissues of H1N1 infected mice and its H-score calculation (immunohistochemistry, ×100). (B) mRNA expressions levels of IL-17A, IL-6, HIF-1 $\alpha$ , and VEGF in the lung tissues of H1N1 infected mice. Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3. ###P < 0.001: significantly differently from the Normal group; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001: significantly differently from the Infected group.

HSSD in treating influenza. As a result, the critical top 10 KEGG signaling pathways are mainly revealed in the IL-17 signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, FoxO signaling pathway, etc. While the TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B p65 in TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 signaling pathway, HIF1  $\alpha$ , VEGF, IL17A, and IL6 in HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 signaling pathway, as well as the TNF, IL1B, CCL2, CXCL8, IL10, STAT1, IFNG, and CXCL10 in the critical hub genes, were obtained as the key targets of HSSD in treating

H1N1. Molecular docking results between ingredient ligands (quercetin, beta-sitosterol, ursolic acid, kaempferol, hyperoside, wogonin, luteolin, acacetin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, rosmarinic acid, hesperidin, naringin, paeoniflorin, and nobiletin) and protein targets (TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, HIF1  $\alpha$ , VEGF, IL17A, IL6, TNF, IL1B, CCL2, CXCL8, IL10, STAT1, IFNG, and CXCL10) in our study shown stable binding modes. Influenza A is a single-stranded (ss) RNA, which can infect monocytes and macrophages causing the production

10.3389/fmicb.2022.1040056

of mediators of inflammatory and chemotactic cytokine and leading to acute lung injury (Cline et al., 2017). CD14 can be found either on the surface of macrophages or in soluble form in the serum, and CD14 plays a pro-inflammatory role leading to increased inflammation during influenza infection (Palipane et al., 2019). Research also reported that blocking TLR4 or CD14 in mice can protect against influenza-induced acute lung injury (Shirey et al., 2013). Besides, previous studies have reported that CD14, together with Toll-like receptors (TLRs), are the essential coreceptors recognizing viral proteins and nucleic acid of the influenza A virus in the sensing recognition system (Pauligk et al., 2004, Shi et al., 2020). In addition, blocking of CD14 on human monocytes by specific antibody or use of CD14-deficient murine macrophages abolished influenza A virus-induced cytokine production suggesting CD14 is required for influenza-induced cytokine production during infection of mouse macrophages (Dessing et al., 2007). Furthermore, if the virus infection persists, the NF-kB p65 pathway is activated and produces excessive proinflammatory cytokines, leading to acute inflammatory lung injury (Ma et al., 2021). Therefore, TLR4 or CD14 may bind to ssRNA, activating MyD88, and then the activation of MyD88 transmitting signals and activating NFκB p65, which increases the production of proinflammatory cytokines of IFN-y, IL-6, and chemokines of MCP-1, MIP-1a, and IP-10, while inhibiting the production of anti-inflammatory cytokine of IL-10 (Zhu et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2020). Besides, clinical research has shown that the levels of cytokines IL-6 and IL-17 are related to the disease severity of H1N1 infection patients, especially the IL-6 may be a biomarker for predicting fatal outcomes of H1N1 infection (Alagarasu et al., 2021). Hypoxia-inducible factor  $1\alpha$  (HIF- $1\alpha$ ) is an important transcription factor that regulates many downstream target genes (Huang et al., 2022). Once HIF-1a is over expression, its downstream target of VEGF is activated and overexpressed, and then promoting vascular endothelial cell division, inducing angiogenesis, and increasing vascular permeability, finally resulting in hyperosmolar pulmonary edema and inflammation pulmonary capillary endothelial cell damage (Li et al., 2020). Besides, the increased HIF-1a expression commonly enhances the production of IL-6 and IL-17 in a disease state (Talreja et al., 2019). Furthermore, researchers demonstrated that HIF-1α may function in conjunction with TLR4-stimulated innate immune responses to drive inflammation, suggesting the important role of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 pathway and HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL-17 pathway in the occurrence of inflammation after the H1N1 infection (Hu et al., 2014). Thus, the TLR4, CD14, MyD88, and NF-KB p65 in TLR4/NF-κB p65 signaling pathway, HIF1 α, VEGF, IL17A, and IL6 in HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 signaling pathway may be the targets responsible for the anti-inflammatory function of HSSD in treating influenza.

Overall, our results suggest that HSSD exerts pharmacological activity against influenza through multiple targets involved in TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 signaling pathway and

the HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 signaling pathway. Regardless, this study still has some limitations. There are multiple targets and multiple signaling pathways of HSSD in treating influenza that was screened out by network pharmacology; we tested the critical two signaling pathways of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B p65 signaling pathway and HIF-1 $\alpha$ /IL17 signaling pathway, which may fail in confirming the other actual effects of candidate targets and other signaling pathways. Therefore, further experimental validation is required in future studies from other respects.

# Conclusion

In our study, an integrative pharmacology strategy combined with experimental validation was used to explore the effects and mechanisms of HSSD in treating influenza. First, the therapeutic effects of HSSD were confirmed through the animal survival rate experiment by the lethal dose of H1N1 infection. Then, 87 kinds of compounds were identified using HSSD solution directly by UPLC/Q-TOF MS method, and 20 kinds of absorbing blood compounds were identified by using the serum sample treating the HSSD orally in rats. Second, 16 kinds of active compounds including quercetin, beta-sitosterol, ursolic acid, kaempferol, hyperoside, wogonin, luteolin, acacetin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, scutellarin, rosmarinic acid, hesperidin, naringin, paeoniflorin, and nobiletin were screened out as the critical compounds of HSSD in treating influenza according to the identification results and the network analysis results. Third, through network pharmacology and molecular docking analysis, the TLR4/NF-KB p65 signaling pathway and HIF-1a/IL17 signaling pathway were found to be highly related to the anti-inflammatory action of HSSD in treating influenza. Next, eight key targets were identified, including TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-κB p65, HIF1 α, VEGF, IL17A, and IL6, which were verified to be key targets for HSSD in the treatment of influenza. Finally, the H1N1 infection mice model demonstrated that HSSD can inhibit the accumulation of inflammation in influenza mice by decreasing the levels of HA and NP, reducing the cell apoptosis and pathological state in the lung, regulating the levels of routine blood parameters, IFN- $\gamma,$  IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, MIP-1 $\alpha,$  and IP-10, as well as attenuating the mRNA and protein expressions of key targets including TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-κB p65, HIF1 α, VEGF, IL17A, and IL6. In conclusion, our study suggested that TLR4, CD14, MyD88, NF-κB p65, HIF1 α, VEGF, IL17A, and IL6 in the TLR4/NF-ĸB p65 signaling pathway and HIF-1α/IL17 signaling pathway may be the key targets for the identified active compounds of HSSD to treat influenza by inhibiting inflammatory responses. Our study provides an efficient and powerful approach to a preliminary understanding of the mechanisms of the TCM formula. Further experimental validation of these predictions will be conducted to encourage a broader application of HSSD in clinical settings.

#### Data availability statement

The raw UPLCQ-TOF MS DATA presented in the study are deposited in the FigShare repository, accession number https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21300021.

### **Ethics statement**

All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Welfare and Ethical Inspection in the Beijing University of Chinese Medicine Animal Care Committee (No. BUCM-4-2021071601-3022).

## Author contributions

RT, JZ, LW, and WH conceived and designed the experiments. RT, XL, LT, and MW carried out the animal experiment. HH, XL, and YL conducted molecular experiments. RT and MW analyzed the data. RT wrote the manuscript. LW, WH, and KW contribution to the funding acquisition and administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFC1706800), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019SWAQ05-3-5), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (82000004).

#### References

Ahmad, A., Javed, M. R., Rao, A. Q., and Husnain, T. (2016). Designing and screening of universal drug from neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and standard drug chemicals against influenza virus nucleoprotein. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 16:519. doi: 10.1186/s12906-016-1469-2

Alagarasu, K., Kaushal, H., Shinde, P., Kakade, M., Chaudhary, U., Padbidri, V., et al. (2021). TNFA and IL10 polymorphisms and IL-6 and IL-10 levels influence disease severity in influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infected patients. *Genes* 12:1914. doi: 10.3390/genes12121914

Benson, D. A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Ostell, J., Pruitt, K. D., et al. (2018). GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D41–D47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1094

Caini, S., Seblain, C. E., Ciblak, M. A., and Paget, J. (2018). Epidemiology of seasonal influenza in the Middle East and North Africa regions, 2010-2016: Circulating influenza A and B viruses and spatial timing of epidemics. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 12, 344–352. doi: 10.1111/irv.12544

Cline, T. D., Beck, D., and Bianchini, E. (2017). Influenza virus replication in macrophages: Balancing protection and pathogenesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 98, 2401–2412. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.000922

### Acknowledgments

We thank the funding support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFC1706800), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019SWAQ05-3-5), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82000004) for helping to make this research successful.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.1040056/full#supplementary-material

Daina, A., Michielin, O., and Zoete, V. (2019). SwissTargetPrediction: Updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W357–W364. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz382

Davis, A. P., Grondin, C. J., Johnson, R. J., Sciaky, D., Wiegers, J., Wiegers, T. C., et al. (2021). Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD): Update 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D1138–D1143. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa891

Deng, J. L., Xu, Y. H., and Wang, G. (2019). Identification of potential crucial genes and key pathways in breast cancer using bioinformatic analysis. *Front. Genet.* 10:695. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00695

Dennis, G. Jr., Sherman, B. T., Hosack, D. A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H. C., et al. (2003). DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery. *Genome Biol.* 4:3.

Dessing, M. C., van der Sluijs, K. F., Florquin, S., and van der Poll, T. (2007). CD14 plays a limited role during influenza A virus infection in vivo. *Immunol. Lett.* 113, 47–51. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2007.07.016

Ding, Y., Cao, Z. Y., Cao, L., Ding, G., Wang, Z. Z., and Xiao, W. (2017). Antiviral activity of chlorogenic acid against influenza A (H1N1/H3N2) virus and its inhibition of neuraminidase. *Sci. Rep.* 7:45723. doi: 10.1038/srep45723 Ding, Z., Sun, G. Y., and Zhu, Z. M. (2018). Hesperidin attenuates influenza A virus (H1N1) induced lung injury in rats through its anti-inflammatory effect. *Antivir. Ther.* 23, 611–615. doi: 10.3851/imp3235

Elbahesh, H., Gerlach, T., Saletti, G., and Rimmelzwaan, G. F. (2019). Response modifiers: Tweaking the immune response against influenza a virus. *Front. Immunol.* 10:809. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00809

Esper, F. P., Spahlinger, T., and Zhou, L. (2011). Rate and influence of respiratory virus co-infection on pandemic (H1N1) influenza disease. J. Infect. 63, 260–266. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2011.04.004

Fang, M. Y., Liu, S. Y., Wang, Q. Q., Gu, X., Ding, P. M., Wang, W. H., et al. (2019). Qualitative and quantitative analysis of 24 components in jinlianhua decoction by UPLC-MS/MS. *Chromatographia* 82, 1801–1825. doi: 10.1007/s10337-019-03806-w

Fujimoto, C., Takeda, N., Matsunaga, A., Sawada, A., Tanaka, T., Kimoto, T., et al. (2012). Induction and maintenance of anti-influenza antigen-specific nasal secretory IgA levels and serum IgG levels after influenza infection in adults. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 6, 396–403. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00330.x

Gould, V. M. W., Francis, J. N., Anderson, K. J., Georges, B., Cope, A. V., and Tregoning, J. S. (2017). Nasal IgA provides protection against human influenza challenge in volunteers with low serum influenza antibody titre. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:900. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00900

Guo, R., Zhao, M., Liu, H., Su, R., Mao, Q., Gong, L., et al. (2021). Uncovering the pharmacological mechanisms of Xijiao Dihuang decoction combined with Yinqiao powder in treating influenza viral pneumonia by an integrative pharmacology strategy. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 141:111676. doi: 10. 1016/j.biopha.2021.111676

Hu, F., Mu, R., Zhu, J., Shi, L., Li, Y., Liu, X., et al. (2014). Hypoxia and hypoxiainducible factor- $1\alpha$  provoke toll-like receptor signalling-induced inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 73, 928–936. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202444

Huang, J., Pu, Y., Xu, K., Ding, Q., Sun, R., Yin, L., et al. (2022). High expression of HIF-1 $\alpha$  alleviates benzene-induced hematopoietic toxicity and immunosuppression in mice. *Environ. Pollut.* 311:119928. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol. 2022.119928

Hurt, A. C., Barr, I. G., Komadina, N., and Hampson, A. W. (2004). A novel means of identifying the neuraminidase type of currently circulating human A(H1) influenza viruses. *Virus Res.* 103, 79–83. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2004.02.017

Jia, A., Xu, L., and Wang, Y. (2021). Venn diagrams in bioinformatics. *Brief. Bioinform.* 22:bbab108. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbab108

Justel, M., Socias, L., Almansa, R., Ramirez, P., Gallegos, M. C., Fernandez, V., et al. (2013). IgM levels in plasma predict outcome in severe pandemic influenza. *J. Clin. Virol.* 58, 564–567. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2013.09.006

Karimi, Z., Oskouie, A. A., Rezaei, F., Ajaminejad, F., Marashi, S. M., and Azad, T. M. (2022). The effect of influenza virus on the metabolism of peripheral blood mononuclear cells with a metabolomics approach. *J. Med. Virol.* 94, 4383–4392. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27843

Khan, S. A., and Lee, T. K. W. (2022). Network pharmacology and molecular docking-based investigations of Kochiae Fructus's active phytomolecules, molecular targets, and pathways in treating COVID-19. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:972576. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.972576

Lai, Y., Zhang, Q., Long, H., Han, T., Li, G., Zhan, S., et al. (2020). Ganghuo kanggan decoction in influenza: Integrating network pharmacology and in vivo pharmacological evaluation. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11:607027. doi: 10.3389/fphar. 2020.607027

Lee, C. S., and Lee, J. H. (2010). Dynamics of clinical symptoms in patients with pandemic influenza A (H1N1). *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 16, 389–390. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03117.x

Li, X., Shan, C., Wu, Z., Yu, H., Yang, A., and Tan, B. (2020). Emodin alleviated pulmonary inflammation in rats with LPS-induced acute lung injury through inhibiting the mTOR/HIF-1 $\alpha$ /VEGF signaling pathway. *Inflamm. Res.* 69, 365–373. doi: 10.1007/s00011-020-01331-3

Li, X., Tang, H., Tang, Q., and Chen, W. (2021). Decoding the mechanism of huanglian jiedu decoction in treating pneumonia based on network pharmacology and molecular docking. *Front. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 9:638366. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021. 638366

Li, X. P., Wang, M. Z., Liu, C., Xiao, Y. C., Li, M. D., Ban, C. J., et al. (2022). Qingfeiyin decoction inhibits H1N1 virus infection via modulation of gut microbiota and inflammatory pathways in a murine model. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:874068. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.874068

Liu, S., Jin, Z., Zhang, Y., Rong, S., He, W., Sun, K., et al. (2020). The glucagonlike peptide-1 analogue liraglutide reduces seizures susceptibility, cognition dysfunction and neuronal apoptosis in a mouse model of dravet syndrome. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11:136. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00136 Liu, Z., Guo, F., Wang, Y., Li, C., Zhang, X., Li, H., et al. (2016). BATMAN-TCM: A bioinformatics analysis tool for molecular mechANism of traditional Chinese medicine. *Sci. Rep.* 6:21146. doi: 10.1038/srep21146

Ma, Q., Huang, W., Zhao, J., and Yang, Z. (2020). Liu Shen Wan inhibits influenza a virus and excessive virus-induced inflammatory response via suppression of TLR4/NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo. J. Ethnopharmacol. 252:112584. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2020.112584

Ma, Q., Yu, Q., Xing, X., Liu, S., Shi, C., and Luo, J. (2018). San Wu Huangqin decoction, a Chinese herbal formula, inhibits influenza a/PR/8/34 (H1N1) virus infection in vitro and in vivo. *Viruses* 10:117. doi: 10.3390/v1003 0117

Ma, Q. H., Ren, M. Y., and Luo, J. B. (2021). San Wu Huangqin decoction regulates inflammation and immune dysfunction induced by influenza virus by regulating the NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway in H1N1-infected mice. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 264:112800. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2020.112800

Mahalapbutr, P., Sangkhawasi, M., Kammarabutr, J., Chamni, S., and Rungrotmongkol, T. (2020). Rosmarinic acid as a potent influenza neuraminidase inhibitor: *In vitro* and *in silico* study. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 20, 2046–2055. doi: 10.2174/1568026619666191118110155

Murillo-Zamora, E., Trujillo, X., Huerta, M., Rios-Silva, M., Guzman-Esquivel, J., Benites-Godinez, V., et al. (2021). Survival in influenza virus-related pneumonia by viral subtype: 2016-2020. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 112, 288–293. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid. 2021.09.037

Palipane, M., Snyder, J. D., LeMessurier, K. S., Schofield, A. K., Woolard, S. N., and Samarasinghe, A. E. (2019). Macrophage CD14 impacts immune defenses against influenza virus in allergic hosts. *Microb. Pathog.* 127, 212–219. doi: 10. 1016/j.micpath.2018.12.008

Pauligk, C., Nain, M., Reiling, N., Gemsa, D., and Kaufmann, A. (2004). CD14 is required for influenza A virus-induced cytokine and chemokine production. *Immunobiology* 209, 3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2004.04.002

Peng, L. Y., Shi, H. T., Yuan, M., Li, J. H., Song, K., Huang, J. N., et al. (2020). Madecassoside protects against LPS-induced acute lung injury via inhibiting TLR4/NF-KB activation and blood-air barrier permeability. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11:807. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00807

Piñero, J., Saüch, J., Sanz, F., and Furlong, L. I. (2021). The DisGeNET cytoscape app: Exploring and visualizing disease genomics data. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol.* J. 19, 2960–2967. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2021.05.015

Pizzorno, A., Abed, Y., and Boivin, G. (2011). Influenza drug resistance. Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 32, 409-422. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1283281

Prakash, A., Sundar, S. V., Zhu, Y. G., Tran, A., Lee, J. W., Lowell, C., et al. (2015). Lung ischemia-reperfusion is a sterile inflammatory process influenced by commensal microbiota in mice. *Shock* 44, 272–279. doi: 10.1097/ shk.000000000000415

Ru, J., Li, P., Wang, J., Zhou, W., Li, B., Huang, C., et al. (2014). TCMSP: A database of systems pharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines. *J. Cheminform.* 6:13. doi: 10.1186/1758-2946-6-13

Safran, M., Dalah, I., Alexander, J., Rosen, N., Iny Stein, T., Shmoish, M., et al. (2010). GeneCards version 3: The human gene integrator. *Database* 2010:baq020. doi: 10.1093/database/baq020

Seong, R. K., Kim, J. A., and Shin, O. S. (2018). Wogonin, a flavonoid isolated from Scutellaria baicalensis, has anti-viral activities against influenza infection via modulation of AMPK pathways. *Acta Virol.* 62, 78–85. doi: 10.4149/av\_2018\_109

Shi, D. Z., Chen, M., Liu, L. J., Wang, Q. Q., Liu, S. Y., Wang, L. Z., et al. (2020). Anti-influenza A virus mechanism of three representative compounds from Flos Trollii via TLRs signaling pathways. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 253:112634. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2020.112634

Shirey, K. A., Lai, W. D., Scott, A. J., Lipsky, M., Mistry, P., Pletneva, L. M., et al. (2013). The TLR4 antagonist Eritoran protects mice from lethal influenza infection. *Nature* 497, 498–502. doi: 10.1038/nature12118

Song, J. A., Kim, H. J., Hong, S. K., Lee, D. H., Lee, S. W., Song, C. S., et al. (2016). Oral intake of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* M21 enhances the survival rate of mice lethally infected with influenza virus. *J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect.* 49, 16–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2014.07.011

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019). STRING v11: Protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D607–D613. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1131

Talreja, J., Talwar, H., Bauerfeld, C., Grossman, L. I., Zhang, K., Tranchida, P., et al. (2019). HIF-1 $\alpha$  regulates IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-17 in sarcoidosis. *eLife* 8:e44519. doi: 10.7554/eLife.44519

Tian, X., Hellman, J., Horswill, A. R., Crosby, H. A., Francis, K. P., and Prakash, A. (2019). Elevated gut microbiome-derived propionate levels are associated

with reduced sterile lung inflammation and bacterial immunity in mice. Front. Microbiol. 10:159. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00159

UniProt Consortium (2019). UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D506–D515. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1049

Utsunomiya, H., Ichinosei, M., Ikeda, K., Ozaki, M., Morishita, J., Kuwahara, T., et al. (2014). Inhibition by caffeic acid of the influenza A virus multiplication in vitro. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 34, 1020–1024. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2014.1859

Vemula, S. V., Sayedahmed, E. E., Sambhara, S., and Mittal, S. K. (2017). Vaccine approaches conferring cross-protection against influenza viruses. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* 16, 1141–1154. doi: 10.1080/14760584.2017.1379396

Wang, Y., Xiao, J., Suzek, T. O., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Zhou, Z., et al. (2012). PubChem's bioassay database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D400–D412. doi: 10.1093/ nar/gkr1132

Wei, W., Du, H., Shao, C., Zhou, H., Lu, Y., Yu, L., et al. (2019). Screening of antiviral components of ma huang tang and investigation on the ephedra alkaloids efficacy on influenza virus type A. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10:961. doi: 10.3389/fphar. 2019.00961

Wei, X., Lan, Y. Y., Nong, Z. F., Li, C. J., Feng, Z. Q., Mei, X. P., et al. (2022). Ursolic acid represses influenza A virus-triggered inflammation and oxidative stress in A549 cells by modulating the miR-34c-5p/TLR5 axis. *Cytokine* 157:155947. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2022.155947

Wu, W. J., Li, R. C., Li, X. L., He, J., Jiang, S. B., Liu, S. W., et al. (2016). Quercetin as an antiviral agent inhibits influenza A virus (IAV) entry. *Viruses Basel* 8:6. doi: 10.3390/v8010006

Xiao, M., Tian, J., Zhou, Y., Xu, X., Min, X., Lv, Y., et al. (2020). Efficacy of Huoxiang Zhengqi dropping pills and Lianhua Qingwen granules in treatment of COVID-19: A randomized controlled trial. *Pharmacol. Res.* 161:105126. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105126

Xu, L. J., Jiang, W., Jia, H., Zheng, L. S., Xing, J. G., Liu, A. L., et al. (2020). Discovery of multitarget-directed ligands against influenza A virus from compound yizhihao through a predictive system for compound-protein interactions. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 10:16. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00016

Yan, H. Y., Ma, L. L., Wang, H. Q., Wu, S., Huang, H., Gu, Z. Y., et al. (2019). Luteolin decreases the yield of influenza A virus in vitro by interfering with the coat protein I complex expression. *J. Nat. Med.* 73, 487–496. doi: 10.1007/s11418-019-01287-7

Ye, X., Wu, J., Zhang, D., Lan, Z., Yang, S., Zhu, J., et al. (2021). How aconiti radix cocta can treat gouty arthritis based on systematic pharmacology and UPLC-QTOF-MS/MS. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12:618844. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.618844

Yu, W. D., Zeng, M. S., Xu, P. P., Liu, J. Y., and Wang, H. X. (2021). Effect of paeoniflorin on acute lung injury induced by influenza A virus in mice. Evidences of its mechanism of action. *Phytomedicine* 92:153724. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2021. 153724

Zhang, R. H., Ai, X., Duan, Y. J., Xue, M., He, W. X., Wang, C. L., et al. (2017). Kaempferol ameliorates H9N2 swine influenza virus-induced acute lung injury by inactivation of TLR4/MyD88-mediated NF-kappa B and MAPK signaling pathways. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 89, 660–672. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.02.081

Zhou, B. X., Li, J., Liang, X. L., Pan, X. P., Hao, Y. B., Xie, P. F., et al. (2020). beta-sitosterol ameliorates influenza A virus-induced proinflammatory response and acute lung injury in mice by disrupting the cross-talk between RIG-1 and IFN/STAT signaling. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 41, 1178–1196. doi: 10.1038/s41401-020-0403-9

Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A. H., Tanaseichuk, O., et al. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1523. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6

Zhu, H., Lu, X., Ling, L., Li, H., Ou, Y., Shi, X., et al. (2018). *Houttuynia cordata* polysaccharides ameliorate pneumonia severity and intestinal injury in mice with influenza virus infection. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 218, 90–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2018. 02.016

Zimmerman, R. K., Rinaldo, C. R., Nowalk, M. P., Gk, B., Thompson, M. G., Moehling, K. K., et al. (2014). Influenza and other respiratory virus infections in outpatients with medically attended acute respiratory infection during the 2011-12 influenza season. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 8, 397–405. doi: 10.1111/irv. 12247