#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ji-Chuan Kang, Guizhou University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Samantha Chandranath Karunarathna, Qujing Normal University, China Rui-Heng Yang, Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Li-Wei Zhou liwei\_zhou1982@im.ac.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 08 October 2022 ACCEPTED 09 November 2022 PUBLISHED 01 December 2022

#### CITATION

Chen J-H, Shen S and Zhou L-W (2022) Modeling current geographic distribution and future range shifts of *Sanghuangporus* under multiple climate change scenarios in China. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1064451.

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1064451

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chen, Shen and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Modeling current geographic distribution and future range shifts of *Sanghuangporus* under multiple climate change scenarios in China

#### Jia-He Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Shan Shen<sup>1,3</sup> and Li-Wei Zhou<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>College of Life Science, Liaoning University, Shenyang, China, <sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

The genus Sanghuangporus is well-known for its edible and medicinal values. In this study, the most comprehensive occurrence records of Sanghuangporus with accurate species identification are subjected to MaxEnt, to model the current geographic distribution and future range shifts under multiple climate change scenarios in China. The current potential distribution model of Sanghuangporus is excellently predicted as indicated by the value of Area Under Receiver Operator Characteristic Curve. The current potential distribution basically corresponds to the known occurrence records of Sanghuangporus, and provides clues to new suitable habitats. The critical environmental variables to the distribution are annual precipitation, host plant, annual mean temperature and elevation. Host plant is not the most critical contribution to the model, but it indeed plays a decisive role in restricting the distribution of Sanghuangporus. This role is further confirmed by the distribution area of the highly suitable habitat increasing by 155.468%, when excluding host plant from environmental variables. For future scenarios, generally the area of highly suitable habitat for Sanghuangporus extremely increases, but the locations do not change a lot. In conclusion, this study provides important ecological information for the utilization and conservation of the edible and medicinal fungus Sanghuangporus.

KEYWORDS

conservation, fruitbodies, maximum entropy modeling, medicinal resources, wood-inhabiting macrofungi

## Introduction

Macrofungi are a group of fungal species that produce fruitbodies visible to the naked eye. These fungi, commonly called 'mushrooms', have been used as edible and medicinal food around world, and in China for thousands of years (Yuan et al., 2018). Macrofungi have been found to have nutritional and medicinal benefits for humans (Wu et al., 2019a).

The fleshy fruitbodies are prepared like other foods, while the tough ones are ground into powders and used as supplements to food or tea-like drinks (Wu et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). In China, the consumption of wild and cultivated fruitbodies of macrofungi is a large business expanding quickly. Of macrofungi, species in Sanghuangporus have attracted more and more attention from scientific research and industry development (Zhou et al., 2022). These species, recorded as 'Sanghuang' in the ancient books of traditional Chinese medicines, were recently identified to the fungal genus Sanghuangporus in Hymenochaetales, Basidiomycota (Wu et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2016), which further facilitates the medicinal utilization of these fungal resources (Zhou, 2020). For now, 18 species are named in Sanghuangporus (Wu et al., 2022), and 10 of them, viz., S. alpinus, S. baumii, S. lonicericola, S. quercicola, S. sanghuang, S. subbaumii, S. vaninii, S. vitexicola, S. weigelae, and S. zonatus are distributed in China (Wu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022). Since the publication of the first modern scientific research of 'Sanghuang' having antitumor properties (Ikekawa et al., 1968), this group of fungi has been intensively subjected to medicinal studies, especially in China. Besides antitumor properties, 'Sanghuang' has also been shown to possess other medicinal functions such as antioxidant, antidiabetic activity, antiinflammation, immunomodulation, and hepatoprotection (He et al., 2021; Hou et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022).

Due to the above-mentioned health benefits, the demand for fruitbodies of *Sanghuangporus* in China increases year by year. Of the 10 species of *Sanghuangporus* in China, only *S. baumii* and *S. vaninii* are cultivated on a large scale. *Sanghuangporus sanghuang* has been cultivated in the laboratory, while the cultivation of other seven species has never been reported (Yang et al., 2022).

Different species of *Sanghuangporus* inhabit various host plants with weak or strong specificity and occupy different ecological niches (Wu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021), and perhaps, a certain species may possess specific medicinal functions. The valuable medicinal resource of these uncultivated species of *Sanghuangporus* should not be ignored. Furthermore, as consumers prefer to pick from the wild, the distribution knowledge of *Sanghuangporus* in the wild is important for effective utilization and conservation of these fungal resources in China.

Species distribution models (SDMs) are widely used for predicting potential geographic distribution of various life forms based on currently known distribution in association with various environmental variables of these locations (Elith and Leathwick, 2009; Zurell et al., 2020). For the past two decades, SDMs have been increasingly used for modeling fungi (Hao et al., 2020). One of the modeling methods, maximum entropy (MaxEnt) modeling is characterized by a data-friendly algorithm (Phillips et al., 2006). Maybe due to this character being suitable for fungi with poor knowledge of species diversity (Hawksworth and Lücking, 2017), MaxEnt modeling seems to be the most popular prediction method of species distribution for fungi (Banasiak et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2019; Bie et al., 2021; Freestone et al., 2021; Pietras et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2021) as well as for other life forms (Li et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2021; Zhan et al., 2022).

The potential distribution of 'Sanghuang' was predicted by Yuan et al. (2015); however, the taxonomic system of 'Sanghuang' was not well established at that time. Of the three predicted species in Yuan et al. (2015), Phellinus igniarius is excluded from 'Sanghuang' (Zhou et al., 2016), and some records of another two species Phellinus baumii (= S. baumii) and P. vaninii (= S. vaninii) may represent S. alpinus, S. quercicola, S. subbaumii, S. weigelae and other morphology-similar species (Shen et al., 2021). It is a common sense that the well-established systematics is crucial for utilization and conservation of fungal resources (Zhou, 2020; Zhou and May, 2022). Moreover, the information on host plants that directly and strictly restricts the distribution of 'Sanghuang' (Wu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022) was not considered by Yuan et al. (2015). Given the above, the geographic distribution of 'Sanghuang', the important edible and medicinal wood-inhabiting fungi both culturally and economically (Zhou et al., 2022), deserves a more precise and updated modeling.

In this study, the most comprehensive and accurately identified species records of *Sanghuangporus* with related ecological information to date were subjected to MaxEnt modeling the current geographic distribution of the genus *Sanghuangporus* in its entirety in China. Moreover, the effects of host plants on the geographic distribution and the shifts of future ranges under multiple climate change scenarios were tested.

# Materials and methods

#### Fungal occurrence records

Information of Sanghuangporus, including both published (e.g., Tian et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2021) and unpublished Chinese records, was mainly retrieved from the three largest fungaria for wood-inhabiting macrofungi, viz. HMAS, BJFC and IFP. The abbreviations of fungaria follow Index Herbariorum.<sup>1</sup> Additional information was taken from the taxonomic literature of Dr. Sheng-Hua Wu and his colleagues (Zhou et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019b, 2020). The species identity of these records was determined preferentially based on the ITS barcoding gene (Shen et al., 2021). When ITS gene sequences were unavailable for certain records, other gene sequences and morphological characters were used to determine the species identity at least to the genus level. A total of 260 records of Sanghuangporus were identified. The geo-coordinates of these fungal records for modeling geographic distribution either came from field labels or were determined according to the sampling locations via Google Earth. All records and related ecological information are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. To avoid data redundancy of spatial autocorrelation, sampling locations less than 10 km were considered to be replications and

<sup>1</sup> http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/



thus deleted. Eventually, 72 records were retained for modeling the geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus* (Figure 1).

#### **Environmental variables**

A total of 19 bioclimatic indicators and corresponding elevation data (Table 1) were downloaded from WorldClim version 2.1 database<sup>2</sup>. These environmental variables from the climate data for 1970–2000 at a spatial resolution of 30" (approximately 1 km<sup>2</sup>; Fick and Hijmans, 2017) were used for modeling the current geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus*.

Regarding the future scenarios, four 20-year periods, *viz.* 2030s (2021–2040), 2050s (2041–2060), 2070s (2061–2080), and 2090s (2081–2,100), each corresponding to four Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) in CMIP6 model of IPCC AR6 (Eyring et al., 2016), *viz.* SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8.5 were considered. With WorldClim version 2.1 database as baseline climate, SSPs span five different shift extents of the world, *viz.* SSP1 corresponding to Sustainability—Taking the Green Road (Low challenges to mitigation and adaptation), SSP2 to Middle of the Road (Medium challenges to mitigation and adaptation), SSP3 to Regional Rivalry—A Rocky Road (High challenges to mitigation

2 https://www.worldclim.org/data/worldclim21.html

and adaptation), SSP4 to Inequality—A Road Divided (Low challenges to mitigation, high challenges to adaptation) and SSP5 to Fossil-fueled Development—Taking the Highway (High challenges to mitigation, low challenges to adaptation; see Riahi et al. (2017) for details). The future bioclimatic indicators under BCC-CSM2-MR general circulation model at a spatial resolution of 30″ (approximately 1 km<sup>2</sup>)<sup>3</sup> were downloaded for modeling the future geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus*. It is noteworthy that the bioclimatic indicators corresponding to SSP4 are unavailable.

Besides these commonly used bioclimatic indicators and elevation data, the host plant has been recognized as one of the most important factors restricting the growth of *Sanghuangporus* (Wu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022). Therefore, the distribution of each host plant genus of *Sanghuangporus* (Supplementary Table S1) was retrieved from Global Biodiversity Information Facility<sup>4</sup> as one of the variables. The number of host plants on each coordinate was converted to raster data by ArcGIS at a spatial resolution of 30" (approximately 1 km<sup>2</sup>) for modeling the current geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus*. To test the importance of host plants to the potential geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus*, the current models were also predicted with the exclusion of host plant from the

<sup>3</sup> https://www.worldclim.org/data/cmip6/cmip6\_clim30s.html

<sup>4</sup> https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/download/

TABLE 1 Environmental variables used for modeling the current	
distribution of Sanghuangporus and their contributions to the	
predicted model.	

BiolAnnual mean°C12.722.4temperatureBio2Mean diurnal range°CBio3Isothermality (Bio2/%3.52.7Bio7) (×100)Bio4Temperature°Cseasonality(standard deviation(standard deviation×100)Bio5Max temperature of°CBio6Min temperature of°Ccoldest monthBio7Temperature annual°C5.25.4-Bio8Mean temperature°Cof wettest quarterBio9Mean temperature°Cof driest quarterBio10Mean temperature°Cof coldest quarterBio12Annual precipitationmm48.0Bio13Precipitation ofmmwettest monthBio15Precipitation ofmmBio16Precipitation ofmmdriest quarterBio15Precipitation ofmmBio16Precipitation ofmmMi	Variable	Description	Unit	Contribution (%)	
Bio2Mean durnal range°CBio3Isothermality (Bio2/%3.52.7Bio7) (×100)%3.52.7Bio4Temperature°Cseasonality (standard deviation ×100)(standard deviation ×100)Bio5Max temperature of varmest month°CBio6Min temperature of coldest month°CBio7Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)°CBio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mm48.0Bio13Precipitation of mmBio14Precipitation of mmBio15Precipitation of mmBio16Precipitation of wettest quarterBio17Precipitation of mmBio15Precipitation of mmBio16Precipitation of mmBio17Precipitation of mmBio18Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio18Precipitation of mm-<	Bio1	Annual mean	°C	12.7	22.4
Bio3     Isobernature Bio7) (×100)     3.5     2.7       Bio4     Temperature seasonality (standard deviation ×100)     °C     -     -       Bio5     Max temperature of varmest month     °C     -     -       Bio6     Min temperature of coldest month     °C     -     -       Bio6     Min temperature of coldest month     °C     -     -       Bio7     Temperature annual coldest month     °C     -     -       Bio7     Temperature annual coldest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio8     Mean temperature of wettest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio10     Mean temperature of driest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio11     Mean temperature quarter     °C     -     -       Bio12     Annual precipitation quiest month     -     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mirest month     -     -     -       Bio16     Precipitation of mirest quarter     -     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mirest quarter     -     - </td <td></td> <td>temperature</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		temperature			
Bio7) (×100)     Bio4   Temperature   °C   -     seasonality   (standard deviation   ×100)     Bio5   Max temperature of   °C   -     Bio5   Max temperature of   °C   -     Bio6   Min temperature of   °C   -     Bio7   Temperature annual   °C   -     Gidest month   -   -     Bio8   Mean temperature   °C   -     Gidriest quarter   °C   -   -     Bio10   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Gidriest quarter   °C   -   -   -     Bio11   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Bio12   Annual precipitation   mm   48.0   -     Bio13   Precipitation of   mm   -   -     Bio14   Precipitation of   mm   -   -	Bio2	Mean diurnal range	°C	-	-
Bio4Temperature seasonality (standard deviation ×100)Bio5Ma temperature of varmest month°CBio6Min temperature of coldest month°CBio7Temperature annual coldest month°C5.25.4Bio7Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)°CBio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio11Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mm48.0Bio13Precipitation of mmmmBio14Precipitation of mmmmBio15Precipitation of mmBio16Precipitation of mmBio15Precipitation of mmBio15Precipitation of mmBio16Precipitation of mmBio17Precipitation of mmBio18Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio11Precipitation of mmBio15Precipitation of mmB	Bio3	Isothermality (Bio2/	%	3.5	2.7
seasonality     (standard deviation     ×100)     Bio5   Max temperature of °C   -     Bio6   Min temperature of °C   -     Bio7   Temperature annual °C   5.2   5.4     Bio8   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio8   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio8   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio9   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio10   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio11   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio12   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio13   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio14   Mean temperature   °C   -     Bio15   Precipitation of   mm   -     Bio16   Precipitation of   mm   -     Bio15   Precipitation of   mm   -     Bio14   Precipitation of   mm   -     Bio15   Precipitation of   mm   -     Gerificient of   -   -   -		Bio7) (×100)			
istand deviation       ×100)       Bio5     Max temperature of °C       warmest month       Bio6     Min temperature of °C       coldest month     -       Bio7     Temperature annual °C - 5.2     5.4       Bio8     Mean temperature of °C     -       marge (Bio5-Bio6)     -     -       Bio8     Mean temperature of °C     -       for wettest quarter     °C     -       Bio10     Mean temperature of °C     -       of driest quarter     °C     -       Bio11     Mean temperature of °C     -       of driest quarter     °C     -       Bio12     Annual precipitation mm 48.0     -       Bio13     Precipitation of mm     -       wettest month     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mm     -       Bio16     Precipitation of mm     -       wettest quarter     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mm     -       Wettest quarter	Bio4	Temperature	°C	-	-
x100)     Bio5   Max temperature of °C   -     warmest month   °C   -     Bio6   Min temperature of °C   -     coldest month   °C   5.2   5.4     Bio7   Temperature annual °C   5.2   5.4     Bio8   Mean temperature °C   -   -     Bio9   Mean temperature °C   -   -     Bio10   Mean temperature °C   -   -     Bio10   Mean temperature °C   -   -     Bio11   Mean temperature °C   -   -     of driest quarter   °C   -   -     Bio11   Mean temperature °C   -   -     of coldest quarter   -   -   -     Bio12   Annual precipitation mm 48.0   -   -     Bio13   Precipitation of   mm -   -     Bio14   Precipitation of   mm -   -     Wettest month   -   -   -     Bio15   Precipitation of   mm -   -     Bio16   Precipitation of   mm -   -		seasonality			
Bio5Max temperature of warmest month°CBio6Min temperature of coldest month°CBio7Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)°C5.25.4Bio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio11Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio12Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio13Precipitation recipitation of driest monthmm48.0-Bio14Precipitation of mmmmBio15Precipitation of miest monthmmBio16Precipitation of miest monthBio17Precipitation of miest quartermmBio18Precipitation of miest quartermmBio17Precipitation of miest quartermmBio18Precipitation of miest quartermmBio19Precipitation of miest quartermmBio19Precipitation of miest quartermmBio19Precipitation of miest quartermmBio19Precipitation of miest quartermmBio19Precipitation of miest quarter<		(standard deviation			
warmest month       Bio6     Min temperature of or C     -     -       Bio7     Temperature annual or C     5.2     5.4       Bio7     Temperature annual or C     5.2     5.4       Bio8     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio9     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio10     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio11     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio12     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio11     Mean temperature or C     -     -       Bio12     Mean temperature or C     -     -     -       Bio13     Precipitation or mm or temperature or C     -     -     -       Bio14     Precipitation of mm or or temperature or C     -     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mm or or temperature or C     -     -     -       Bio16     Precipitation of mm or or temperature or tempera		×100)			
Bio6Min temperature of coldest month°CBio7Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)°C5.25.4Bio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of warmest quarter°CBio11Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mmmm48.0-Bio13Precipitation of mmmmBio14Precipitation of mmmmBio15Precipitation of mmmmBio16Precipitation of mmBio17Precipitation of mmBio18Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio17Precipitation of mmBio18Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Precipitation of mmBio19Elevationmm-<	Bio5	Max temperature of	°C	-	-
coldest month       Bio7     Temperature annual °C     5.2     5.4       range (Bio5-Bio6)     -     -       Bio8     Mean temperature °C     -     -       of wettest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio9     Mean temperature °C     -     -       of driest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio10     Mean temperature °C     -     -       Bio11     Mean temperature °C     -     -       of warmest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio11     Mean temperature °C     -     -       of coldest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio12     Annual precipitation mm     48.0     -       Bio13     Precipitation of mm     -     -       Wettest month     -     -     -       Bio15     Precipitation of mm     -     -       gravitest quarter     -     -     -       Bio16     Precipitation of mm     -     -       gravitest quarter     <		warmest month			
Bio7Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)°C5.25.4Bio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of warmest quarter°CBio11Mean temperature of odest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation wettest monthmm48.0-Bio13Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio14Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio15Precipitation of wettest month3.93.5-Bio16Precipitation of wettest quarterBio17Precipitation of wettest monthBio18Precipitation of wettest quarterBio19Precipitation of wettest quarterBio19Precipitation of warmest quar	Bio6	Min temperature of	°C	-	-
range (Bio5-Bio6)     Bio8   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     bio9   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     bio10   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Bio10   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Bio10   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Bio11   Mean temperature   °C   -   -     Bio12   Annual precipitation   mm   48.0   -     Bio12   Annual precipitation of mm   -   -   -     Bio13   Precipitation of mm   -   -   -   -     Bio14   Precipitation of mm   -		coldest month			
Bio8Mean temperature of wettest quarter°CBio9Mean temperature of driest quarter°CBio10Mean temperature of warmest quarter°CBio11Mean temperature of oddest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mmMeanBio13Precipitation of mmmmBio14Precipitation of mtest monthmmBio15Precipitation of mtest monthBio16Precipitation of mtest monthBio17Precipitation of mtest monthBio18Precipitation of mtest monthBio19Precipitation of mtest monthBio19Precipitation of mtest quarterBio19Precipitation of mtest quarterBio19ElevationmmBio19ElevationmmBio19E	Bio7	Temperature annual	°C	5.2	5.4
of wettest quarter     °C     -     -       Bio9     Mean temperature quarter     °C     -<		range (Bio5-Bio6)			
Bio9     Mean temperature of the experiment of t	Bio8	Mean temperature	°C	-	-
of driest quarter     Bio10   Mean temperature or C of warmest quarter     Bio11   Mean temperature or C of coldest quarter     Bio12   Annual precipitation mm 48.0     Bio13   Precipitation of mm -     Bio14   Precipitation of mm -     Bio15   Precipitation of mm -     Bio16   Precipitation of mm -     Bio17   Precipitation of mm -     Bio18   Precipitation of mm -     Bio19   Precipitation of mm -		of wettest quarter			
Bio10   Mean temperature of warmest quarter   of warmest quarter     Bio11   Mean temperature of coldest quarter   of coldest quarter     Bio12   Annual precipitation mm   48.0   -     Bio13   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio14   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio15   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio16   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio17   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio16   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio17   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio17   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio18   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio19   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio18   Precipitation of mm   -   -     Bio19	Bio9	Mean temperature	°C	_	_
of warmest quarterBio11Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mmmm48.0-Bio13Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio14Precipitation of mtiest monthmmBio15Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio16Precipitation of mtiest monthmmBio17Precipitation of variation)%3.93.5Bio16Precipitation of variation)mmBio17Precipitation of mtert quartermmBio18Precipitation of mtert quartermmBio19Precipitation of mtert quartermmBio19ElevationmmBio19Elevationmm <td></td> <td>of driest quarter</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		of driest quarter			
Bio11Mean temperature of coldest quarter°CBio12Annual precipitation mailmm48.0-Bio13Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio14Precipitation of mtem netmmBio15Precipitation of mtem netmmBio15Precipitation%3.93.5Bio15Precipitation%3.93.5Seasonality (coefficient of variation)Bio16Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio17Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio18Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mitest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mitest quarterBio19Precipitation of <br< td=""><td>Bio10</td><td>Mean temperature</td><td>°C</td><td>-</td><td>_</td></br<>	Bio10	Mean temperature	°C	-	_
biole foldest quarter Biol2 Annual precipitation mm 48.0 - Biol3 Precipitation of mm - wettest month Biol4 Precipitation of mm - driest month Biol5 Precipitation % 3.9 3.5 seasonality (coefficient of % 3.9 seasonality (coefficient of % 3.9 seasonality (coefficient of % 7 variation) Biol6 Precipitation of mm - wettest quarter Biol7 Precipitation of mm - driest quarter Biol8 Precipitation of mm - trecipitation of mm - driest quarter Biol9 Precipitation of mm - Hercipitation of mm - Hercipitation of mm - Biol8 Precipitation of mm - Hercipitation		of warmest quarter			
Bio12Annual precipitationmm48.0-Bio13Precipitation ofmmwettest monthmmBio14Precipitation ofmmdriest month%3.93.5Bio15Precipitation%3.93.5icoefficient ofvariation)Bio16Precipitation ofmmwettest quarterBio17Precipitation ofmmBio18Precipitation ofmmBio18Precipitation ofmm-57.1Bio19Precipitation ofmmBio19Precipitation ofmm-58.1Bio19Biotiet quarterBio19Precipitation ofmm-8.8Bio19Elevationm9.98.8	Bio11	Mean temperature	°C	-	_
Bio13Precipitation of wettest monthmmBio14Precipitation of driest monthmmBio15Precipitation of seasonality (coefficient of variation)%3.93.5Bio16Precipitation of wettest quartermmBio17Precipitation of mterst quartermmBio18Precipitation of mterst quartermmBio19Precipitation of mterst quartermmBio19Precipitation of mterst quartermm-57.1Bio19Precipitation of mterst quartermmBio19Precipitation of mterst quartermm-8.8Bio19Precipitation of mterst quartermm-8.8		of coldest quarter			
wettest monthBio14Precipitation of mm - driest month- driest monthBio15Precipitation of seasonality (coefficient of variation)3.93.5Bio16Precipitation of mm - vettest quarter- - driest quarter- - - driest quarterBio17Precipitation of mm - vettest quarter- - - - driest quarter- - - - - triest quarterBio18Precipitation of mm - vettest quarter- - - - - - triest quarter- 	Bio12	Annual precipitation	mm	48.0	_
Bio14Precipitation of driest monthmmBio15Precipitation%3.93.5seasonality (coefficient of variation)Bio16Precipitation of wettest quartermmBio17Precipitation of ntmmmBio18Precipitation of mtermmBio19Precipitation of mtermmBio19Precipitation of mest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19PrecipitationmmBio19PrecipitationmmBio19Precipitation<	Bio13	Precipitation of	mm	-	_
driest monthBio15Precipitation%3.93.5seasonality (coefficient of variation)		wettest month			
Bio15Precipitation%3.93.5seasonality (coefficient of variation)(coefficient of variation)Bio16Precipitation of wettest quartermmBio17Precipitation of driest quartermmBio18Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of coldest quartermmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19Precipitation of mmmBio19PrecipitationmmBio19PrecipitationmmBio19PrecipitationBio19PrecipitationBio19Precipitation <t< td=""><td>Bio14</td><td>Precipitation of</td><td>mm</td><td>-</td><td>_</td></t<>	Bio14	Precipitation of	mm	-	_
seasonality (coefficient of variation)   Bio16 Precipitation of mm or wettest quarter   Bio17 Precipitation of mm - or or driest quarter   Bio18 Precipitation of mm - or or or driest quarter   Bio19 Precipitation of mm - or		driest month			
(coefficient of variation)Bio16Precipitation of wettest quarternm-Bio17Precipitation of nterst quarternm-Bio18Precipitation of marternm-Bio19Precipitation of numnm-Bio19Precipitation of coldest quarternm-Bio19Precipitation of numnm-Bio19Precipitation of squarternm-Bio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19Precipitation of squarterBio19	Bio15	Precipitation	%	3.9	3.5
variation) Bio16 Precipitation of mm – – wettest quarter Bio17 Precipitation of mm – – driest quarter Bio18 Precipitation of mm – 57.1 warmest quarter Bio19 Precipitation of mm – – coldest quarter		seasonality			
Bio16Precipitation of mmwettest quartermmBio17Precipitation of mmdriest quarterBio18Precipitation of mm-57.1warmest quarterBio19Precipitation of mmColdest quarterElevElevationm9.98.8		(coefficient of			
I wettest quarterBio17Precipitation of mmdriest quarterBio18Precipitation of mm -57.1warmest quarterBio19Precipitation of mmcoldest quarterElevElevation m 9.98.8		variation)			
Bio17Precipitation of driest quartermmBio18Precipitation of warmest quartermm-57.1Bio19Precipitation of coldest quartermmElevElevationm9.98.8	Bio16	Precipitation of	mm	-	_
driest quarterBio18Precipitation of mm - 57.1 warmest quarterBio19Precipitation of mm coldest quarterElevElevation m 9.9		wettest quarter			
Bio18Precipitation of warmest quartermm-57.1Bio19Precipitation of coldest quartermmElevElevationm9.98.8	Bio17	Precipitation of	mm	-	-
warmest quarter   Bio19 Precipitation of mm coldest quarter   Elev Elevation m 9.9		driest quarter			
Bio19Precipitation of coldest quartermmElevElevationm9.98.8	Bio18	Precipitation of	mm	-	57.1
coldest quarter Elev Elevation m 9.9 8.8		warmest quarter			
Elev Elevation m 9.9 8.8	Bio19	Precipitation of	mm	-	-
		coldest quarter			
Host plant Host plant tree/km <sup>2</sup> 16.9	Elev	Elevation	m	9.9	8.8
105t plant 105t plant tree/kiii 10.7 ~	Host plant	Host plant	tree/km <sup>2</sup>	16.9	~

The dash (-) means that the environmental variable is not included for modeling the geographic distribution due to auto correlation. The tilde  $(\sim)$  means that this variable is excluded for modeling.

environmental variables. When modeling the future geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus*, the variable of elevation was assumed to be unchanged over all analyzed time periods, while the distribution of their host plants (18 genera) under multiple future scenarios was separately predicted with the same method

as used for *Sanghuangporus* (see below Model evaluation section for details). The predicted index of niche suitability of host plants in a percentage form was converted to raster data by ArcGIS at a spatial resolution of 30" (approximately 1 km<sup>2</sup>). If more than one host plant genera were present in a single raster, the highest index of niche suitability from these genera was selected to represent this raster.

#### Model evaluation

The potential distribution of *Sanghuangporus* was modeled using MaxEnt (Phillips et al., 2006). Of all known occurrence records, 75% were randomly selected as the training data, and the other 25% of the samples were used as the test set. The number of maximum iterations was set as 1,000 for convergence. The process was repeated 10 times to generate an averaged result for subsequent analyses. The jackknife method was used to judge the importance of environmental variables for potential distribution. Other parameters were set as default.

Some environmental variables may be spatially correlated with each other. To avoid over-fitting induced by multicollinearity of variables, Pearson correlation coefficient (r) analysis method was used to judge the correlation between primary environmental variables. When  $|\mathbf{r}| > 0.8$ , two environmental variables were considered to be autocorrelated and the one with higher contribution rate was retained for further analyses (Supplementary Figure S1). Eventually, seven environmental variables including host plant were selected for modeling the current and future potential distribution of *Sanghuangporus* (Table 1). The response curves of these critical environmental variables to the distribution models were created.

The Area Under Receiver Operator Characteristic Curve (AUC) was estimated to determine the accuracy of the MaxEnt model for current geographic distribution (Phillips et al., 2017). In theory, the model is considered to perform well when the value of AUC is more than 0.8 and excellently when the value is more than 0.9 (Swets, 1988; Fielding and Bell, 1997). The index of niche suitability ranged from 0% to 100%, of which 0%–25% was considered to be unsuitable, 25%–50% to be of low suitability, 50%–75% to be moderately suitable, and 75%–100% to be highly suitable (Simonoff, 2003; Kim, 2013).

Comparing with the current potential distribution, the migration distance of mass centers (both coordinates and migration distances) and the variation of areas at different suitability level over above-mentioned four time periods under four future scenarios were calculated using ArcGIS 10.7.

## Results

The model for the current potential distribution of *Sanghuangporus* with the inclusion of host plant in the environmental variables is excellent as indicated by the values of AUC being 0.903, while that with exclusion of host plant from the

environment variables performs well as indicated by the values of AUC being 0.899 (Supplementary Figure S2).

The current potential distribution of Sanghuangporus basically corresponds to the known occurrence records of Sanghuangporus (Figure 2A). Of the suitable habitat, the highly suitable habitat occupies 20.238×10<sup>4</sup>km<sup>2</sup> (Supplementary Table S2) and the main locations concentrate in southwestern Jilin, southeastern Liaoning, southern Shaanxi, southwestern Hubei and Chongqing (Figure 2A). In addition, many distribution spots of highly suitable habitat are scattered in northeastern, southeastern, southwestern and central China (Figure 2A). Bio1, Bio3, Bio7, Bio12, Bio15, Elev, and Host plant are the critical environmental variables to the model of the current potential distribution of Sanghuangporus (Table 1). Response curves of these critical environmental variables indicated that in the highly suitable habitat Bio1 ranges from-6.7 to 21.4°C, Bio3 from 16.1 to 54.0%, Bio7 from 11.5 to 63.7°C, Bio12 from 371 to 4,434 mm, Bio15 from 21 to 150%, Elev from 42 to 6,852 m, and Host plant from 1 to 1,126 tree/km<sup>2</sup> (Supplementary Figure S3). Among these variables, Bio12, Host plant, and Bio1 are the most critical and contribute, respectively, 48.0%, 16.9%, and 12.7% to the model (Table 1).

When excluding host plant from the environmental variables, the potential distribution is similar to that with the inclusion of host plant (Figure 2B), but the area of the highly suitable habitat increases to  $51.701 \times 10^4$  km<sup>2</sup> (Supplementary Table S2) and the mass center of the distribution has a migration of 261.312 km (Supplementary Table S3). Likewise, other critical environmental variables are same, but Bio18 replaces Bio12 and also contributes as the most critical variables (Table 1). It is noted that both Bio12 and Bio18 are environmental variables related to precipitation and are autocorrelated in both models (Supplementary Figure S1). In the highly suitable habitat, the ranges of critical environmental variables (Supplementary Figure S4) are also similar to those with the inclusion of host plant (Supplementary Figure S3). Comparing with the current potential distribution, the locations of geographic distribution under future scenarios do not change much (Figure 3). Regarding the highly suitable habitat, the increase of area ranges from 67.179% under SSP5-8.5 scenario in the 2030s to 118.255% under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2050s, while under all four scenarios will this area increase over all four future time periods (Supplementary Table S2). The mass center of the distribution migrates mainly from 27.892 km under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2070s to 227.195 km under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2050s, except the extreme distance of 334.202 km under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2090s (Supplementary Table S3).

## Discussion

Sanghuangporus is a genus of notable edible and medicinal macrofungi (Zhou et al., 2016, 2022) and knowing where to find these species in the wild is very important for the utilization and conservation of this resource. In this study, the potential geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus* is modeled in China. Generally, the current potential distribution corresponds to the known occurrence records of *Sanghuangporus*, and moreover provides clues to new suitable habitats (Figure 2). Therefore, future field surveys for *Sanghuangporus* should pay attention to these new habitats, especially the highly suitable habitat. This will determine whether the current modeling is accurate and generate additional occurrence records for a new round of modeling.

The current potential distribution model of *Sanghuangporus* is influenced mainly by annual precipitation and annual mean temperature (Table 1). These two environmental variables are generally important for forming fruitbodies of macrofungi from mycelia in theory (Büntgen et al., 2012; Boddy et al., 2014). Besides these two environmental variables, it is summarized that host information is also a critical variable to the distribution



#### FIGURE 2

Current geographic distribution models of *Sanghuangporus* in China with the inclusion of host plant in the environmental variables (A) or not (B). The known occurrence records are labeled as blue circle.



Distribution models of *Sanghuangporus* under future scenarios in China. (A) Under SSP1-2.6 scenario in the 2030s, (B) Under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2030s, (C) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2030s, (C) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2030s, (C) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2050s, (F) Under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2050s, (G) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2050s, (H) Under SSP3-8.5 scenario in the 2050s, (I) Under SSP1-2.6 scenario in the 2070s, (I) Under SSP1-2.6 scenario in the 2070s, (I) Under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2070s, (I) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2070s, (I) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2070s, (I) Under SSP1-2.6 scenario in the 2090s, (N) Under SSP2-4.5 scenario in the 2090s, (O) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2090s, (P) Under SSP5-8.5 scenario in the 2090s, (I) Under SSP3-8.5 scenario in the 2090s, (I) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2090s, (I) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2090s, (I) Under SSP3-7.0 scenario in the 2090s, (I) Under SSP3-8.5 scenario in the 2090s,

model of fungi that interact with hosts (Hao et al., 2020). Host plant is thus expected to be a major environmental variable to the distribution of Sanghuangporus, because species in this fungal genus all have a strong or weak host specificity (Wu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022). Although host plant does not contribute a lot to the current distribution model (Table 1), its decisive role is to restrict the distribution of Sanghuangporus to the locations with specific trees at the genus level, even only a single tree. Moreover, when excluding host plant from environmental variables, the distribution area of the highly suitable habitat increases by 155.468% (Supplementary Table S2). This further confirms the decisive role of host plant to distribution of Sanghuangporus. It is noteworthy that host plant information of the current occurrence records of Sanghuangporus is far from comprehensive (Supplementary Table S1). For example, from all 260 records of Sanghuangporus, 40 are labeled on angiosperm and

49 have no host information. These occurrence records (labeled on angiosperm and no host) cannot provide host plant information for modeling potential geographic distribution. If the information of host plants is improved, either new host tree genera or known host tree genera in new locations, the modeled suitable habitat for Sanghuangporus will be accordingly enlarged and approach the reality. Therefore, accurate records of host plants in future field surveys may help in modeling the geographic distribution of Sanghuangporus and clarify the contributions of host plant to the model. Besides, the host information of Sanghuangporus under future scenarios is predicted (Supplementary Figure S5) from the current incomprehensive knowledge of fungal host records (Supplementary Table S1). Ideally, the distribution of the host plants related to Sanghuangporus will be comprehensively known under both the current and future scenarios, and then this distribution information should be accordingly set as the variable for modeling the distribution of *Sanghuangporus* under future scenarios.

Under any kind of future scenarios, human activity is not considered to be a variable for modeling. In the case that the location and mass center of *Sanghuangporus* do not change a lot under future scenarios (Supplementary Table S3), hopefully Natural Resource Conservation Areas special to *Sanghuangporus* and related protection laws could be proposed to avoid excessive collecting in the field. This strategy is consistent with the Chinese direction to post-2020 global biodiversity conservation (Wei, 2021).

Although *Sanghuangporus* is mainly utilized in China and adjacent countries, European scientists have also worked on the medicinal metabolites from unnamed species of *Sanghuangporus* from Africa (Chepkirui et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2019). Therefore, it seems necessary for modeling the geographic distribution of *Sanghuangporus* all over the world as well as China. However, the public occurrence records of *Sanghuangporus* outside China are rarely known in East Asia (Wu et al., 2012), Vietnam (Wu et al., 2022), Central Asia (Gafforov et al., 2020), Iran (Ghobad-Nejhad, 2015), Central Europe (Tomšovský, 2015), North America (Shen et al., 2021), Australia (Wu et al., 2022) and Africa (Zhou et al., 2016). These few records are not enough to accurately perform a global distribution modeling. The accumulation of more knowledge worldwide will be helpful for the comprehensive utilization of *Sanghuangporus*.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

#### Author contributions

J-HC: data curation, investigation, visualization, writingoriginal draft preparation. SS: data curation, investigation. L-WZ: conceptualization, investigation, writing-original draft preparation, writing-reviewing and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## References

Banasiak, Ł., Pietras, M., Wrzosek, M., Okrasińska, A., Gorczak, M., Kolanowska, M., et al. (2019). *Aureoboletus projectellus* (fungi, Boletales) – an American bolete rapidly spreading in Europe as a new model species for studying expansion of macrofungi. *Fungal Ecol.* 39, 94–99. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2018.12.006

Bie, J., Zheng, K. R., Gao, X., Liu, B. Y., Ma, J., Hayat, M. A., et al. (2021). Spatial risk analysis of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a global emerging fungal pathogen. *EcoHealth* 18, 3–12. doi: 10.1007/s10393-021-01519-x

Boddy, L., Büntgen, U., Egli, S., Gange, A. C., Heegaard, E., Kirk, P. M., et al. (2014). Climate variation effects on fungal fruiting. *Fungal Ecol.* 10, 20–33. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2013.10.006

Büntgen, U., Kauserud, H., and Egli, S. (2012). Linking climate variability to mushroom productivity and phenology. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 10, 14–19. doi: 10.1890/110064

# Funding

The research was financed by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (no. 2022YFC2601203), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31970012) and Biological Resources Program, Chinese Academy of Sciences (no. KFJ-BRP-017-12).

## Acknowledgments

Curators of IFP, BJFC and HMAS are thanked for sharing records of *Sanghuangporus* in details. Tian-Xiao (August) Hao (Telethon Kids Institute, Australia) is thanked for comments on modeling method the manuscript and Genevieve Gates (Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture, Australia) is thanked for polishing the English language.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.1064451/full#supplementary-material

Cheng, T., Chepkirui, C., Decock, C., Matasyoh, J. C., and Stadler, M. (2019). Sesquiterpenes from an eastern African medicinal mushroom belonging to the genus *Sanghuangporus. J. Nat. Prod.* 82, 1283–1291. doi: 10.1021/acs. jnatprod.8b01086

Cheng, Y., Zhou, L. J., Jiang, J. H., Tian, X. M., and Zhou, L. W. (2022). *Phylloporia* (Hymenochaetales, Basidiomycota), a medicinal wood-inhabiting fungal genus with much potential for commercial development. *Food Rev. Int.* doi: 10.1080/87559129.2021.1967382

Chepkirui, C., Cheng, T., Matasyoh, J., Decock, C., and Stadler, M. (2018). An unprecedented spiro [furan-2,1'-indene]-3-one derivative and other nematicidal and antimicrobial metabolites from *Sanghuangporus* sp. (Hymenochaetaceae, Basidiomycota) collected in Kenya. *Phytochem. Lett.* 25, 141–146. doi: 10.1016/j. phytol.2018.04.022

Elith, J., and Leathwick, J. R. (2009). Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 40, 677–697. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159

Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., et al. (2016). Overview of the coupled model intercomparison project phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 1937–1958. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016

Fick, S. E., and Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* 37, 4302–4315. doi: 10.1002/joc.5086

Fielding, A. H., and Bell, J. F. (1997). A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. *Environ. Conserv.* 24, 38–49. doi: 10.1017/S0376892997000088

Freestone, M. W., Swarts, N. D., Reiter, N., Tomlinson, S., Sussmilch, F. C., Wright, M. M., et al. (2021). Continental-scale distribution and diversity of *Ceratobasidium* orchid mycorrhizal fungi in Australia. *Ann. Bot.* 128, 329–343. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcab067

Gafforov, Y., Ordynets, A., Langer, E., Yarasheva, M., de Mello Gugliotta, A., Schigel, D., et al. (2020). Species diversity with comprehensive annotations of wood-inhabiting poroid and corticioid fungi in Uzbekistan. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:598321. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.598321

Ghobad-Nejhad, M. (2015). Collections on Lonicera in Northwest Iran represent an undescribed species in the *Inonotus linteus* complex (Hymenochaetales). *Mycol. Prog.* 14:90. doi: 10.1007/s11557-015-1100-9

Guo, Y., Li, X., Zhao, Z. F., and Nawaz, Z. (2019). Predicting the impacts of climate change, soils and vegetation types on the geographic distribution of *Polyporus umbellatus* in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 648, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.465

Hao, T., Guillera-Arroita, G., May, T. W., Lahoz-Monfort, J. J., and Elith, J. (2020). Using species distribution models for fungi. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 34, 74–88. doi: 10.1016/j.fbr.2020.01.002

Hawksworth, D. L., and Lücking, R. (2017). Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 5:FUNK-0052-2016. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec. FUNK-0052-2016

He, P. Y., Hou, Y. H., Yang, Y., and Li, N. (2021). The anticancer effect of extract of medicinal mushroom *Sanghuangporus vaninii* against human cervical cancer cell via endoplasmic reticulum stress-mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 279:114345. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2021.114345

Hou, R. R., Zhou, L. J., Fu, Y., Wang, T., Li, Z., Zhou, L. W., et al. (2021). Chemical characterization of two fractions from *Sanghuangporus sanghuang* and evaluation of antidiabetic activity. *J. Funct. Foods* 87:104825. doi: 10.1016/j. jff.2021.104825

Ikekawa, T., Nakanishi, M., Uehara, N., Chihara, G., and Fukuoka, F. (1968). Antitumor action of some Basidiomycetes, especially *Phellinus linteus*. *Gann* 59, 155–157.

Kim, D. (2013). Categorical data analysis, 3rd edition by AGRESTI, ALAN. *Biometrics* 69, 1088–1089. doi: 10.1111/biom.12128

Li, J., Fan, G., and He, Y. (2020). Predicting the current and future distribution of three *Coptis* herbs in China under climate change conditions, using the MaxEnt model and chemical analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 698:134141. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2019.134141

Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., Dudík, M., Schapire, R. E., and Blair, M. E. (2017). Opening the black box: an open-source release of Maxent. *Ecography* 40, 887–893. doi: 10.1111/ecog.03049

Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., and Schapire, R. E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Model.* 190, 231–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026

Pietras, M., Kolanowska, M., and Selosse, M. A. (2021). Quo vadis? Historical distribution and impact of climate change on the worldwide distribution of the Australasian fungus *Clathrus archeri* (Phallales, Basidiomycota). *Mycol. Prog.* 20, 299–311. doi: 10.1007/s11557-021-01669-w

Qin, A. L., Jin, K., Batsaikhan, M. E., Nyamjav, J., Li, G. L., Li, J., et al. (2020). Predicting the current and future suitable habitats of the main dietary plants of the Gobi bear using MaxEnt modeling. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 22:e01032. doi: 10.1016/j. gecco.2020.e01032

Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., et al. (2017). The shared socioeconomic pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: an overview. *Global Environ. Chang.* 42, 153–168. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009

Shen, S., Liu, S. L., Jiang, J. H., and Zhou, L. W. (2021). Addressing widespread misidentifications of traditional medicinal mushrooms in *Sanghuangporus* (*Basidiomycota*) through ITS barcoding and designation of reference sequences. *IMA Fungus* 12:10. doi: 10.1186/s43008-021-00059-x

Simonoff, J. S. (2003). Analyzing Categorical Data. New York NY: Springer.

Swets, J. A. (1988). Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. *Science* 240, 1285–1293. doi: 10.1126/science.3287615

Tang, X. G., Yuan, Y. D., Li, X. M., and Zhang, J. C. (2021). Maximum entropy modeling to predict the impact of climate change on pine wilt disease in China. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:652500. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.652500

Tian, X. M., Yu, H. Y., Zhou, L. W., Decock, C., Vlasák, J., and Dai, Y. C. (2013). Phylogeny and taxonomy of the *Inonotus linteus* complex. *Fungal Divers*. 58, 159–169. doi: 10.1007/s13225-012-0202-9

Tomšovský, M. (2015). *Sanghuangporus pilatii*, a new combination, revealed as European relative of Asian medicinal fungi. *Phytotaxa* 239, 82–88. doi: 10.11646/ phytotaxa.239.1.8

Wei, F. (2021). Towards post-2020 global biodiversity conservation: footprint and direction in China. *Innovation* 2:100175. doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100175

Wei, Y. Q., Zhang, L., Wang, J. N., Wang, W. W., Niyati, N., Guo, Y. L., et al. (2021). Chinese caterpillar fungus (*Ophiocordyceps sinensis*) in China: current distribution, trading, and futures under climate change and overexploitation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 755:142548. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142548

Wu, S. H., Chang, C. C., Wei, C. L., Jiang, G. Z., and Cui, B. K. (2019b). Sanghuangporus toxicodendri sp. nov. (Hymenochaetales, Basidiomycota) from China. MycoKeys 57, 101–111. doi: 10.3897/mycokeys.57.36376

Wu, S. H., Dai, Y. C., Hattori, T., Yu, T. W., Wang, D. M., Parmasto, E., et al. (2012). Species clarification for the medicinally valuable 'sanghuang' mushroom. *Bot. Stud.* 53, 135–149.

Wu, S. H., Wei, C. L., and Chang, C. C. (2020). Sanghuangporus vitexicola sp. nov. (Hymenochaetales, Basidiomycota) from tropical Taiwan. *Phytotaxa* 475, 43–51. doi: 10.11646/phytotaxa.475.1.4

Wu, F., Zhou, L. W., Vlasák, J., and Dai, Y. C. (2022). Global diversity and systematics of Hymenochaetaceae with poroid hymenophore. *Fungal Divers.* 113, 1–192. doi: 10.1007/s13225-021-00496-4

Wu, F., Zhou, L. W., Yang, Z. L., Bau, T., Li, T. H., and Dai, Y. C. (2019a). Resource diversity of Chinese macrofungi: edible, medicinal and poisonous species. *Fungal Divers*. 98, 1–76. doi: 10.1007/s13225-019-00432-7

Yang, Y., Chen, X. H., Dai, Y. C., Zhou, L. W., Cai, W. M., Guo, L. D., et al. (2022). Sanghuang industry in China: current status, challenges and perspectives – the Qiandao Lake declaration for sanghuang industry development. *Mycosystema*. doi: 10.13346/j.mycosystema.220065

Yu, H. L., Wang, T. J., Skidmore, A., Heurich, M., and Bässler, C. (2021). The critical role of tree species and human disturbance in determining the macrofungal diversity in Europe. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 30, 2084–2100. doi: 10.1111/geb.13372

Yuan, Y., Wang, Y. J., Sun, G. P., Wang, Y. R., Cao, L. J., Shen, Y. M., et al. (2018). Archaeological evidence suggests earlier use of *Ganoderma* in Neolithic China. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 63, 1180–1188. doi: 10.1360/N972018-00188

Yuan, H. S., Wei, Y. L., and Wang, X. G. (2015). Maxent modeling for predicting the potential distribution of Sanghuang, an important group of medicinal fungi in China. *Fungal Ecol.* 17, 140–145. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.001

Zhan, P., Wang, F. Y., Xie, P. G., Zhao, G. H., Wei, M. T., Wei, F. G., et al. (2022). Assessment of suitable cultivation region for *Panax notoginseng* under different climatic conditions using MaxEnt model and high-performance liquid chromatography in China. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 176:114416. doi: 10.1016/j. indcrop.2021.114416

Zhou, L. W. (2020). Systematics is crucial for the traditional Chinese medicinal studies and industry of macrofungi. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 34, 10–12. doi: 10.1016/j. fbr.2019.10.002

Zhou, L. W., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Tian, X. M., Wang, Y. F., and Wu, F. (2022). Current status of 'Sanghuang' as a group of medicinal mushrooms and their perspective in industry development. *Food Rev. Int.* 38, 589–607. doi: 10.1080/87559129.2020.1740245

Zhou, L. W., and May, T. M. (2022). Fungal taxonomy: current status and research agendas for the interdisciplinary and globalisation era. *Mycology*. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2022.2103194

Zhou, L. W., Vlasák, J., Decock, C., Assefa, A., Stenlid, J., Abate, D., et al. (2016). Global diversity and taxonomy of the *Inonotus linteus* complex (Hymenochaetales, Basidiomycota): *Sanghuangporus* gen. nov., *Tropicoporus excentrodendri* and *T. guanacastensis* gen. et spp. nov., and 17 new combinations. *Fungal Divers.* 77, 335–347. doi: 10.1007/s13225-015-0335-8

Zurell, D., Franklin, J., König, C., Bouchet, P. J., Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., et al. (2020). A standard protocol for reporting species distribution models. *Ecography* 43, 1261–1277. doi: 10.1111/ecog.04960