Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Waleed Younis, South Valley University, Egypt

REVIEWED BY Guoli Gong, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, China Anutthaman Parthasarathy, University of Bradford, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Duoyun Li ⊠ liduoyun94@163.com Qiwen Deng Qiwendeng@hotmail.com Zhijian Yu ⊠ yuzhijiansmu@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 23 November 2022 ACCEPTED 21 December 2022 PUBLISHED 09 January 2023

CITATION

Liu S, Xiong Y, Xiao H, Zheng J, Wen Z, Li D, Deng Q and Yu Z (2023) Inhibition of planktonic growth and biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* by entrectinib through disrupting the cell membrane. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1106319. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1106319

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Xiong, Xiao, Zheng, Wen, Li, Deng and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Inhibition of planktonic growth and biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* by entrectinib through disrupting the cell membrane

Shanghong Liu^{1,2†}, Yanpeng Xiong^{2†}, Haitao Xiao¹, Jinxin Zheng², Zewen Wen², Duoyun Li^{1,2*}, Qiwen Deng^{1,2*} and Zhijian Yu^{1,2*}

¹School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Health Sciences Center, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China, ²Department of Infectious Diseases and the Key Lab of Endogenous Infection, Shenzhen Nanshan People's Hospital, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University Health Science Center, Shenzhen, China

Over the last few decades, Staphylococcus aureus infection remain a major medical challenge and health concern worldwide. Biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance caused by S. aureus make it difficult to be eradicated from bacterial infections in clinics. In this study, our data demonstrated the antibacterial and excellent anti-biofilm activity of entrectinib against S. aureus. Entrectinib also exhibited the good safety, suggesting no toxicity with antibacterial concentration of entrectinib toward the erythrocytes and mammalian 239T cells. Moreover, entrectinib significantly reduced the bacterial burden of septic tissue in a murine model of MRSA infection. Global proteomic analysis of S. aureus treated with entrectinib showed significant changes in the expression levels of ribosomal structure-related (rpmC, rpmD, rplX, and rpsT) and oxidative stress-related proteins (Thioredoxin system), suggesting the possible inhibition of bacterial protein biosynthesis with entrectinib exposure. The increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) was demonstrated in the entrectinib-treated S. aureus, supported the impact of entrectinib on the expression changes of ROScorrelated proteins involved in oxidative stress. Furthermore, entrectinibinduced resistant S. aureus clone was selected by in vitro induction under entrectinib exposure and 3 amino acid mutations in the entrectinibinduced resistant S. aureus strain, 2 of which were located in the gene encoding Type II NADH: guinoneoxidoreductase and one were found in GTP pyrophosphokinase family protein. Finally, the bactericidal action of entrectinib on S. aureus were confirmed by disrupting the bacterial cell membrane. Conclusively, entrectinib exhibit the antibacterial and antibiofilm activity by destroying cell membrane against S. aureus.

KEYWORDS

entrectinib, biofilm, Staphylococcus aureus, proteomic, antibacterial

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is a major human and animal pathogen that prominently causes a wide range of hospital-and communityacquired infections in the clinic (Yang et al., 2017; Thwaites et al., 2018). The spectrum of infectious diseases caused by S. aureus range from minor skin and soft tissue infections, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, and pneumonia to life-threatening infections such as septic shock or critical pneumonia (Maurer et al., 2015; Tong et al., 2015). In recent years, the emergence of multiple drugresistant S. aureus, including methicillin-resistant S. aureus, vancomycin-resistant S. aureus, and linezolid-resistant S. aureus, has aroused great concern among the public (D'Costa et al., 2011; Shariati et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). The rapid transmission and the development of antibiotic resistance in S. aureus has widely limited the choices of antimicrobial treatment (Chambers and Deleo, 2009). Recently, the gradual increase of the treatment failure caused by S. aureus infection has been increasingly reported in antimicrobial treatment with the last-resorted antibiotics, such as vancomycin, linezolid and daptomycin (Katayama et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020). Therefore, in order to combat the difficult-to-treat infection caused by S. aureus, the development of novel antibacterial agents is urgently needed.

The biomaterials or the medical devices colonized with S. aureus are prone to form biofilm and it has become a significant challenge to improve the treatment outcome of biofilm-related implanted infection in clinics (Heim et al., 2020). The ingredients of biofilm mainly involves extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which are composed of extracellular polysaccharides, proteins, and extracellular DNA (eDNA). The biofilm cells are closely adhesive to each other and the biofilm structure is morphologically similar to a barrier, which can limit the diffusion and penetration of antimicrobial drugs (Yu et al., 2015; Flemming et al., 2016; Schramm et al., 2020). In addition, the high frequency of S. aureus clinical isolates displays the capacity to form biofilm, which often greatly reduces their sensitivity to antibiotics and protects themselves from immune clearance and immunotherapy. Biofilm formation has become one of the most important factors that caused the failure of antimicrobial treatment against S. aureus infection (Periasamy et al., 2012; Lister and Horswill, 2014; Shi et al., 2021). Therefore, the antimicrobial agents coupling with the anti-biofilm activity would greatly improve the clinical outcome of antimicrobial treatment against the biofilm-related or intractable S. aureus infection.

Drug repurposing is often explained as a strategy for reusing existing or approved drugs in unrelated other diseases. Previous reports support drug repurposing, as one of the excellent pathways for the development of the novel antimicrobial agents (Cruz-Muniz et al., 2017). Our previous reports have indicated the discovery of antimicrobial agents with anti-biofilm activity from the drugs that have previously been approved for non-antimicrobial uses, supporting Drug repurposing as an successful and economical approach for the discovery of the novel antimicrobial agents (Zheng et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2022; Wen et al., 2022). Moreover, entrectinib is firstly screened as a potential antimicrobial agent by us from the FDA-approved drug library. Entrectinib, an oral, selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been approved for the treatment of solid tumors harboring NTRK, ROS1, and ALK fusions several years ago. Entrectinib is considered as a weak p-glycoprotein substrate that can penetrate the brain–blood barrier. The effective concentrations within the central nervous system after oral administration of entrectinib facilitate it to exhibit the potent systemic antitumor activity in this organ (Dziadziuszko et al., 2021). Some reports showed excellent safety in animal experiments with doses up to 240 mg/kg/d for 14 consecutive days (Fischer et al., 2020; Frampton, 2021). The mounting clinical studies further showed its excellent safety in humans, whereas its antibacterial activity has never been reported.

Hence, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity of entrectinib against *S. aureus*. In addition, the effect of entrectinib on bacterial protein expression was investigated by label-free global proteomics, and the potential antibacterial mechanism of entrectinib was performed by *in vitro* induction of entrectinib resistant *S. aureus* and whole gene sequencing. Furthermore, disruption of the cell membrane of *S. aureus* by entrectinib was investigated as a possible antibacterial mechanism.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Staphylococcus aureus and E. faecalis clinical isolates employed in this study were retrospectively collected from the 6th Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University Health Science Center and identification of these bacteria isolates was performed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (IVD MALDI Biotyper, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), as in our previous reports. *Staphylococcus aureus* SA113and USA300 strains were purchased from the American type culture collection (ATCC). Strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm unless otherwise stated.

Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration

To determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of entrectinib, all the strains (1:1,000) were grown in Cation-Adjusted Mueller Hinton II Broth (CAMHB) on a 96-well roundbottomed microplate and exposed to a series of half dilutions of entrectinib (1.56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 μ M). CAMHB with equal volumes of entrectinib in Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a control. The MIC readings were performed after incubation at 37°C for 24h and were defined as the lowest concentration of visually inhibiting bacteria growth.

Growth curve assay

All the strains (1:200 dilution) were grown in TSB and exposed to different concentrations (6.25, 12.5, and $25\,\mu$ M) of entrectinib at 37°C shaking at 200 rpm. Bacterial growth curves in TSB without entrectinib in the plate were used as a control. The growth curves were measured by optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) at 1 h time intervals for 24 h under the Bioscreen C (Turku, Finland). The experiments were repeated at least three times in triplicate.

Biofilm inhibition assay

The capacity for entrectinib to inhibit the biofilm was assessed according to previous reports (Zheng et al., 2022). The different concentrations of entrectinib were inoculated with the *S. aureus* in the 96-well polystyrene plates with TSBG (TSB with 0.5% glucose) containing various concentrations of entrectinib (0, 6.25, and 12.5 μ M) at 37°C for 24 h without shaking. Then stained with crystal violet solution and determined using a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 570 nm (OD₅₇₀). The experiments were performed in triplicate at least three times. The confocal images were acquired using a Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (FV3000, OLYMPUS, Japan) with a 100× oil immersion objective, and the bacteria cells in the biofilm were stained by LIVE/DEAD (1 μ M SYTO9 and 1 μ M propidium iodide; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX).

Time-kill assay

Staphylococcus aureus YUSA145 and CHS101 were cultured for 24 h in TSB, then 1:200 diluted in TSB, after growing to OD_{600} reaching 0.5 at 37°C. The strains were exposed to the 25, 50, and 100µM entrectinib or without the drug, respectively, for 3, 6, and 24 h and resuspended with 0.9% NaCl. Vancomycin (8µg/ml) was used as the positive control. The samples were diluted and plated on TSB agar plates, and the calculation of viable cells was identified by CFU counting. All the experiments were repeated in triplicate.

Selection and whole-genome sequencing of entrectinib-induced resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Staphylococcus aureus isolates CHS101 were induced under the *in vitro* pressure of entrectinib with the initial concentration from 12.5 μ M with increasing induction concentration every 5 days for 60 consecutive days until to 200 μ M. Three individual derivative clones were picked and isolated at day 60 for subsequent three consecutive generations without entrectinib treatment in TSA plates. During the induction, *S. aureus* clone which could survive in the 200 μ M entrectinib was picked and defined as entrectinib tolerant derivatives. The entrectinib tolerant derivatives with significant MIC elevation of entrectinib was determined as the entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus. The total DNA was extracted from entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus clone using the MiniBEST Bacteria Genomic DNA Extraction Kit Ver.3.0 (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, China). Using NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, United States) to generate the sequencing libraries. The whole genome was sequenced by Illumina HiScanSQ using PE150 chemistry (Illumina) and analyzed by BWA-MEM software (v0.7.5a) 2 with standard parameters. The whole genome sequencing of entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus was uploaded to the NCBI database with the biosample accession SAMN31720757. Compared the sequence of parenteral isolate CHS101 with the bioproject accession PRJNA889679and the entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus using the MUMmer comparison software. Reliable SNPs were obtained after using BLAST, TRF, and Repeatmasker software to predict the repeat region of the sequencing and filter out the SNPs.

Proteomic analysis

Staphylococcus aureusSA113 was grown in TSB with entrectinib (12.5 μ M) or DMSO for 2h at 37°C. To harvest the bacteria, the cultures were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C and transferred to a new 2 ml screw-cap tube. The RIPA buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and glass beads were added, followed lysed by a cell disruption device at 70 Hz for 3 min. The proteins were reduced with 10 mM DTT (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) for 1 h at 70°C, then the alkylation was carried out using 50 mM iodoacetamide (IAA, Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. The samples were desalted, and the buffer was changed to 0.5 M ammonium bicarbonate buffer. Then the proteins were digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI). Peptides were separated on a C18 tip column (75 µm×250 mm, Acclaim PepMap RSLC, 2µm) and analyzed with a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The data were compared with the Uniprot reference proteome of S. aureus (strain NCTC 8325/PS 47) reference proteome database (UP000008816.fasta; 2,889 entries; downloaded in 20.02.2021).

Cytotoxicity assay

Assays for cellular viability were conducted using the cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8). The 293 T cells were cultured in T75 EasY Flask using Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂. An aliquot of 100 μ l of the cell suspension (containing 1 × 10⁴ cells) was added into a 96-well plate and incubate at 37°C for 24 h. After the old medium was removed, fresh DMEM containing different concentrations of entrectinib was added to the corresponding wells and incubated for another 24 h. An aliquot of 10 μ l CCK-8 reaction solution was added to

each well of the plate, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 1 h, extern

and then the optical density was detected at 450 nm.

Mouse wound infection model

This infection model was conducted with slight modifications based on previously reported methods (Cho et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2021; Gordon et al., 2022). An intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital at a 50 mg/kg dose was used to anesthetize mice before surgery to construct wound models caused by MRSA. The 6-mm diameter full-thickness wounds were pierced in both sides of the back using a biopsy punch after the back hair was shaved and the skin was rinsed with 75% alcohol. Then, $10\,\mu l\,\text{S.}$ aureus USA300 suspension $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/ml})$ was added to the wound, and the wound site was covered with a Tegaderm dressing to prevent contamination. Within 24h of infection, mice were randomized, and then 10 µl of different treatments were applied: 0.9% saline, vancomycin (2 mg/ml), and entrectinib (2 mg/ml), three treatments were administered every 8h. The mice were sacrificed 4 h after the last dose, and the wound site was excised, weighed, and homogenized in PBS solution. The homogenized suspension was serially 10-fold diluted with saline, and 100 µl amounts of appropriate dilutions were inoculated onto tryptone soy agar (TSA) plates in triplicate. The numbers of S. aureus clones were counted and bacterial burdens in wound homogenates (CFU/g) were calculated after 24h of incubation at 37°C.

Molecular docking

The molecular structure pdb file for *S. aureus* NDH-2 protein (5NA4) was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Using the Protein Preparation Wizard module of Schrödinger software to hydrogenate, repair missing residues, and optimize the structure, and water molecules. The molecular 3D structure file for entrectinib was downloaded from PubChem. The binding model of NDH-2 protein and entrectinib was predicted by molecular docking. Briefly, the best binding pocket of NDH-2 protein was chosen by structure-based cavity detection, then the docking based on AutoDockVina was performed to investigate the best binding site of entrectinib according to the Vina score (kcal/ mol) in the pocket (Liu et al., 2022).

Cytoplasmic membrane depolarization assay

The membrane depolarization assessment was performed with slight modifications from a previously reported method (Wen et al., 2022). Overnight culture *S. aureus* SA113 was diluted in 4 ml PBS to 1×10^6 CFU/mL, probe DiBAC₄(3) (1µM) was added, and the suspension was incubated for 30 min, followed by the addition of KCl to a final concentration of 0.1M to balance the cytoplasmic and

external K⁺ concentration. An aliquot of 90µl bacteria suspension was placed in a 96-well black plate and then fluorescence intensity was recorded on a microplate reader (excitation λ =492 nm, emission λ =518 nm) until it remained stable, then 10µl PBS containing entrectinib was added to final concentrations of 1×and2×MIC, 0.1% DMSO was used as the negative control, and then the fluorescence intensity was continuously detected 5 min intervals for 50 min.

ROS assessment

Overnight culture *S. aureus*SA113 was diluted in 2 ml PBS to 1×10^7 CFU/ml, probe DCFH-DA (5 μ M) was added, the suspension was incubated for 30 min in dark, then the bacterial cells were washed with PBS twice to remove the DCFH-DA outside the cell and then diluted in PBS to 1×10^7 CFU/ml. An aliquot of 90 μ l the bacterial suspension was placed in a 96-well plate, followed by 10 μ l of PBS (with entrectinib at $2 \times$ and $4 \times$ MIC), and the DMSO was added as a negative control. The fluorescence intensity was recorded continuously on a microplate reader for 1 h (excitation λ =492 nm, emission λ =518 nm).

Transmission electron microscopy assay

To check the effect of entrectinib on bacterial cell membrane damage, Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed. *S. aureus* SA113 (1:200 dilution) was inoculated with TSB at 37°C shaking at 200 rpm for 3 h. The bacteria samples were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to harvest bacteria, the pellets were collected, washed twice with PBS, resuspended in PBS, and then divided into two aliquots. The aliquots were treated with 100 μ M entrectinib or DMSO for 1 h. After the centrifuge, the bacteria precipitation was resuspended in the fixative. The samples were fixed with 1% OsO4 in 0.1 MPB (pH 7.4) and EMBed 812-embedded. Next, 60-80 nm sections were stained with 2% uranium acetate saturated alcohol solution and 2.6% Lead citrate using a standard protocol and then analyzed with TEM.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed by Student's t test and one-way factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 24.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

Data availability

The whole-genome sequencing files of the entrectinib-tolerant CHS101 clone were deposited in the NCBI database with the Biosample accession SAMN31720757 and the reference sequence the parenteral isolate CHS101 with the BioProject accession PRJNA889679. The raw data of whole-genome sequencing were posted in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under BioProject accession number PRJNA901618 in NCBI. The raw proteomics data are deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium through the partner iProX system with dataset identifier PXD038342.

Results

Antibacterial activity of entrectinib against Staphylococcus aureus

Initially, MIC of entrectinib was investigated for evaluating its antibacterial activity against clinical isolates of gram-positive bacteria, suggesting its range from 12.5to $25 \mu M$ in S. aureus and E. faecalis (Table 1). Subsequently, inhibition of planktonic growth of S. aureus by entrectinib were measured in clinical isolates of S. aureus YUSA139, CHS350, and CHS101 at different sub-MIC concentrations of entrectinib (6.25, 12.5, and $25\,\mu\text{M}$) by automatic instruments, demonstrating the potently antibacterial activity of entrectinib at the concentration of $25 \,\mu$ M (Figure 1). Moreover, the in vitro bactericidal ability of entrectinib was investigated by time-kill kinetics assay with S. aureus strains YUSA145 (MRSA) and CHS101 (MSSA), showing the excellent bactericidal activity of entrectinib on the planktonic cells of S. aureus with a dose-dependent manner. Worthy of our attention, after 6h of exposure to entrectinib with the concentration of 4× MIC, its bactericidal effect was even more potent than that with vancomycin concentration of 4× MIC (Figures 1D,E).

Entrectinib significantly inhibits Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation

The impact of entrectinib at sub-MIC concentrations (1/4× and 1/2× MIC) on *S. aureus* biofilm formation was evaluated in 2 clinical isolates of MRSA and 2 clinical isolates of MSSA by crystal violet staining, indicating the significant inhibition of biofilm formation of *S. aureus* by entrectinib (6.25 and 12.5 μ M; Figure 2A). In addition, the sturdy inhibition of biofilm formation by entrectinib at sub-MIC concentrations was confirmed in 8 *S. aureus* clinical isolates (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the influence of entrectinib on the biofilm formation of *S. aureus* was investigated by confocal laser scanning microscopy using

TABLE 1 The MIC distribution of entrectinib against *Staphylococcus* aureus and *E. faecalis*.

| Organism | Number | MIC of entrectinib (μM) | | MIC ₅₀ / MIC ₉₀ |
|-------------|--------|------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | 25 | 50 | |
| MSSA | 34 | 31 (91.2%) | 3 (8.8%) | 25/25 |
| MRSA | 16 | 15 (93.8%) | 1 (6.2%) | 25/25 |
| E. faecalis | 16 | 5 (31.3%) | 11 (68.7%) | 50/50 |

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*.

fluorescence staining and our data indicated the biofilm formation in the control group was about 9 times thicker when compared with that in the entrectinib-treated group, showing the significant inhibitory effect of entrectinib on the biofilm formation of *S. aureus* (Figure 2C).

Cytotoxicity assay and in vivo anti-infective effect of entrectinib

The toxicity of the entrectinib to mammalian cells was assessed by determining its hemolytic activity against the rabbit erythrocytes, showing no toxicity below 200 µM toward the rabbit erythrocytes (Figure 2D). The cytotoxicity of entrectinib on the 293 T cells was investigated using CCK-8, suggesting no impact of this chemical on the cell viability of 293T cells with various concentrations of entrectinib after 24-h treatment (Figure 2F). This data indicated that entrectinib with effective concentrations that could inhibit bacterial growth and biofilm formation seemed no cytotoxicity to normal mammalian cells. Subsequently, the antibacterial activity of entrectinib in a mouse full-thickness wound infection model treated with entrectinib after 24h were further evaluated. The bacterial burden of septic tissues in the entrectinib-treated group showed significantly low when compared with the control and the efficacy of antimicrobial treatment of entrectinib in this model was mostly equal to that of vancomycin (Figure 2E).

Global proteomic response of *Staphylococcus aureus* treated with entrectinib

The proteomic response of *S. aureus* strain SA113 treated with entrectinib concentration of 12.5 μ M for 2 h was analyzed by a quantitative label-free proteomic analysis with the mass spectrometry. Totally, 1,640 proteins were identified confidently (matched peptides \geq 1), and 173 differentially expressed proteins were determined (log₂foldchange \geq |1|, *p*-value \leq 0.05), including 106 upregulated proteins and 67 downregulated proteins (Supplementary Table S1). The results showed that the significantly upregulated proteins were involved in the oxidoreductase activity, antioxidant activity, lysine biosynthetic/metabolic process, aspartate family amino acid biosynthetic process, and proteindisulfide reductase activity. Moreover, the significantly decreased expressed proteins were mainly correlated with the nitrate metabolic, nitrogen cycle metabolic process, structural constituent of ribosome, and structural molecule activity (Figure 3).

Genetic mutations in entrectinib-induced resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

The *in vitro* induction of parenteral *S. aureus* CHS101were performed under exposure to a series of entrectinib concentrations



and the entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus was selected after the in vitro induction for 60 days. Then, entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus was identified with an eight-fold increase of MIC to 200 µM. Then, the genetic mutations between the entrectinib-induced resistant strain and the parenteralCHS101 strain were analyzed by performing the whole genome sequencing, suggesting adaptation mutation in 3 coding genes were found in the entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus strain, including a premature stop codon and 2 non-synonymous mutations (Supplementary Table S2). Two genetic mutation points in Type II NADH: quinoneoxidoreductase of entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus strain and another mutation in GTP pyrophosphokinase were determined. Type-II family protein NADH: quinoneoxidoreductases (NDH-2) is a membrane-binding protein involved in respiratory chains and the only enzyme with NADH: quinoneoxidoreductase activity expressed in S. aureus. Previous studies reported its crucial role in the S. aureus growth (Sena et al., 2018). Furthermore, the binding pose (-10.4 kcal/mol) between entrectinib was obtained by structure-based docking (Figure 2G), showing the following results: the oxygen atom of amide group of entrectinib could interact with THR169 by forming 2 hydrogen bonds; 2 Nitrogen atoms of benzimidazole of entrectinib could bind together with the oxygen atom of THR317 by forming one hydrogen bond; the oxygen atom of tetrahydropyran of entrectinib interact with THR317 by forming a hydrogen bond; benzene of entrectinib binds with PHE168 by forming pi-pi stacking. Additionally, entrectinib's structure participates in a hydrophobic interaction with ALA203, GLN320, PHE168, THR318, PRO316, THR352, VAL265, and THR352 of NDH-2 protein in *S. aureus* (Figure 2G).

Disruption of the cell membrane by entrectinib against *Staphylococcus aureus*

In order to determine the impact of entrectinib on the cell membrane of S. aureus, DiBAC4 (3) dye was used as an indicator of cytoplasmic membrane depolarization and our data exhibited the significant impact of entrectinib on the membrane depolarization of S. aureus (Figure 4A). To further confirm the damage of entrectinib on S. aureus cell membrane, transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis was performed and our data demonstrated the cytoplasmic membrane damage and cytoplasmic content loss with entrectinib exposure (Figure 4C). Additionally, membrane permeability changes often result in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the overproduction of ROS involves in the destruction of proteins, DNA, membranes, and ultimately death of bacteria cell (Klinger-Strobel et al., 2016). In this study, the DCFH-DA fluorescent probe was used to detect ROS production in bacterial cells, showing that after entrectinib treatment for 1 h, the significantly increased ROS level of S. aureus when compared to that in the control group (Figure 4B).



entrectinib was shown with the elements in a variety of color combinations (blue for nitrogen atom; red for oxygen atom; green for fluorine atoms). MRSA: CHS350 and YUSA139; MSSA: SA113 and CHS101. Compared with control, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; (Student's t-test).

Discussion

Entrectinib is a potent multikinase inhibitor with systemic inhibition activity against multiple oncogenic kinases, such as neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (NTRK), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) and proto-oncogene tyrosine protein kinase ROS1 (ROS1; Desai et al., 2022). Compared with similar drugs like larotrectinib and crizotinib, the designment of entrectinib facilitates it easily to penetrate the blood-brain barrier for the chemotherapy of tumors in the central nervous system (CNS; Doebele et al., 2020; Drilon et al., 2020). Entrectinib have been approved by FDA in 2019 and by Europe in 2020 clinically for the chemotherapy of solid tumors with positive NTRK fusions and non-small cell lung cancers with positive fusions of ROS1. This study firstly demonstrated that the MIC of entrectinib ranged from 25 to 50μ M, which showed a comparable high level compared with the commonly used anti-staphylococcus antibiotics, such as vancomycin and linezolid. Whereas the recommended dose of entrectinib used for adult patients is orally administered at 600 mg/ day (Fischer et al., 2020; Frampton, 2021). Additionally, entrectinib

is mainly metabolized in the liver primarily by cytochrome P450 isoenzymes CYP3A4 (the major route; Frampton, 2021). Therefore, the high dose of oral administration of entrectinib might facilitate its future use in the antimicrobial treatment of gastrointestinal infections. Moreover, combined with its good safety, entrectinib might be made as the paste for the local external application for the slight skin soft tissue infection or as fluid drops for the local eye infections. In local infectious tissue of chronic *S. aureus* infection, the biofilm formation might become a rational choice for eliminating the difficult-to-treat or biofilm-related *S. aureus*. Our data indicated the excellent anti-biofilm activity of entrectinib and further demonstrate its advantage in the future use of biofilmrelated *S. aureus* infection.

In this study, the LC/MS-based proteomics data demonstrated the impact of entrectinib on the global expression of the proteins in *S. aureus*, including 12 significantly downregulated proteins with the functions closely correlated to structural constituent of the ribosome and structural molecular activity. Furthermore, the differentially expressed proteins with significantly increased levels were mainly correlated to the antioxidant activity, oxidoreductase



activity, and protein-disulfide reductase activity. Worthy of our attention, the increased expression of Trx system-related proteins like TrxA, msrA2, and SAOUHSC_01999 were found (Lu and Holmgren, 2014). The thioredoxin system in S. aureus is composed of NADPH, thioredoxinreductase (TrxR), and thioredoxin (Trx; Holmgren, 1985; Dong et al., 2019). The electrons of several enzymes must be supplied by the thioredoxin system, which plays a crucial role in DNA synthesis, protein repair, and immune defense against oxidative stress in S. aureus. Additionally, some reports have indicated the antioxidant function of Trx system is involved in DNA and protein repair by reducing the expression level of the ribonucleotide reductase, methionine sulfoxide reductase, and regulating the activity of many redox-sensitive transcription factors (Gleason and Holmgren, 1988; Mostertz et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2013). Moreover, the Trx system provides electrons to thiol-dependent peroxidases (peroxiredoxins) for the rapid removal of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Previous studies support that TrxA in S. aureus defends against oxidative damage by donating electrons to mrsA and participating in protein repair (Potamitou et al., 2002; Lu and Holmgren, 2014). Overall, entrectinib inhibits S. aureus

growth and biofilm formation possibly by inhibiting protein biosynthesis and producing reactive oxygen species.

Notably, entrectinib was able to penetrate and disrupt the integrity of bacterial cell membranes, suggesting that membrane targeting may play a critical role in the antimicrobial actions of entrectinib. The mechanism of action mediated the bactericidal effects of entrectinib was assessed by electron microscopy, further validating its initial damage to cell permeability and subsequently the cell membrane disruption of S. aureus. Whole-genome sequencing results showed that two SNPs were found in the gene encoding a membrane-bound dehydrogenase Type II NADH: quinoneoxidoreductase (NDH-2). NDH-2 is a member of the two-Dinucleotide Binding Domains Flavoprotein (tDBDF) superfamily and this superfamily has also been named as the flavindisulfide reductases family. Previous reports have demonstrated the important roles of NDH-2involved in the respiratory chain andNDH-2 protein is the only NADH quinoneoxidoreductase expressed in S. aureus and absent in mammals (Sena et al., 2015; Marreiros et al., 2016; Blaza et al., 2017). Consequently, NDH-2is necessary for the S. aureus growth and has been regarded as a



and 2x MIC concentrations. *S. aureus* cells were treated with DiBAC₄(3) for 20min and then treated with entrectinib for 50min. The fluorescence of DiBAC₄(3) was detected at an excitation at 492nm with an emission at 518nm. **(B)** Generation of ROS of *S. aureus* treated with entrectinib (2x and 4x MIC). *Staphylococcus aureus* cells are treated with DCFH-DA for 30min, washed twice with PBS, then treated with entrectinib for 1h and the fluorescence intensity was detected at an excitation wavelength of 488nm and an emission wavelength of 525nm. **(C)** The TEM characterization on bacteria cells treated with or without 100μ M entrectinib. Red arrows indicate disruption of cell membranes and loss of cell contents. Compared with control, ***p <0.001; (Student's t-test).

promising target for the development of antibacterial drugs. Furthermore, NDH-2 protein contributes to the maintenance of the NADH/NAD balance and participates in stabilizing the quinol/ quinone ratio, which would avoid the excessive production of intracellular ROS (Melo et al., 2004; Marreiros et al., 2016; Sena et al., 2018). In this study, after entrectinib treatment, the increased ROS production in S. aureus might also contribute to NDH-2 gene mutations of the entrectinib-induced resistant clones. The molecular docking indicated the potential possible interaction of entrectinib with NDH-2 protein. Our data supported that NDH-2 protein was a possible binding target of entrectinib in this study, whereas their direct binding between entrectinib and NDH-2 need to be further confirmed. It is well-known that the bacterial cell membrane have been considered as a common target for developing the chemicals inhibiting bacterial growth and biofilm formations (Akhavan and Ghaderi, 2010; Yin et al., 2016). Our data robustly supported the disruption of entrectinib toward the cell membrane of S. aureus. Whereas, the mechanism and biological process of entrectinib disrupting the cell membrane of S. aureus should be elucidated in the future.

In addition, in this study, the *in vivo* experiments using a mouse wound infection model indicated the excellent antibacterial activity of entrectinib. Although the high dose of entrectinib with 600 mg/ day was administered in patients with tumors, the pharmacodynamic characteristics of entrectinib should be further investigated. The drug concentration of intracellular entrectinib needs to be studied. Our data indicated the excellent anti-biofilm activities of entrectinib. And it might be feasible for entrectinib to be used for biofilm-related infection by clinically combining it with other commonly used antibiotics that have no effect on bacterial biofilm. Moreover, the synergetic antibacterial activity of entrectinib combined with other commonly used antibiotics, such as β -lactam, vancomycin, linezolid, and daptomycin, should be evaluated by *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. Furthermore, the ingredients of *S. aureus* cell membrane are complicated and the target details of the mechanical action of entrectinib on the cell membrane need to be investigated.

In conclusion, this study firstly demonstrated the antibacterial activity of entrectinib against S. aureus and could effectively inhibit the biofilm formation at sub-inhibitory concentrations. In addition, global proteomic analysis of entrectinib treated with S. aureus showed the involvement of the differentially increased expressed proteins in oxidoreductase activity, antioxidant activity, and protein-disulfide reductase activity. Entrectinib-induced resistant S. aureus clone can be selected by in vitro induction under entrectinib exposure and 3 amino acid mutations in the entrectinib-induced resistant strain, 2 of which were located in the gene encoding Type II NADH: quinoneoxidoreductase and one in GTP pyrophosphokinase family protein, were found. The interaction between entrectinib with NDH-2 was predicted by molecular docking. Finally, the bactericidal action of entrectinib on S. aureus might inhibit the bacterial growth by disrupting the cell membrane. Conclusively, entrectinib exhibit the antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity by disrupting the cell membrane.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: The whole-genome sequencing files of the entrectinib-tolerant CHS101 clone were deposited in the NCBI database with the Biosample accession SAMN31720757 and the reference sequence the parenteral isolate CHS101 with the BioProject accession PRJNA889679. The raw data of whole-genome sequencing were posted in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under BioProject accession number PRJNA901618 in NCBI. The raw proteomics data are deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium through the partner iProX system with dataset identifier PXD038342.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by The animal ethics Committee of 6th Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University Health Science Center.

Author contributions

SL participated in the design of the study, carried out biofilm assay by crystal violet staining and cytotoxicity assay, predicted the binding model, analyzed whole-genome sequencing data and proteomics data, and drafted the manuscript. YX conducted the mice infection experiment and participated in whole-genome sequencing data analysis. HX participated in proteomics data analysis. ZW performed MIC and time-kill assays. JZ participated in biofilm assay. JZ and DL reviewed the manuscript. QD and ZY designed the study, participated in the data analysis, and provided critical revisions of the manuscript for valuable intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 82172283), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (grant nos.

References

Akhavan, O., and Ghaderi, E. (2010). Toxicity of graphene and graphene oxide nanowalls against bacteria. ACS Nano 4, 5731–5736. doi: 10.1021/nn101390x

Blaza, J. N., Bridges, H. R., Aragao, D., Dunn, E. A., Heikal, A., Cook, G. M., et al. (2017). The mechanism of catalysis by type-II NADH:quinone oxidoreductases. *Sci. Rep.* 7:40165. doi: 10.1038/srep40165

Chambers, H. F., and Deleo, F. R. (2009). Waves of resistance: Staphylococcus aureus in the antibiotic era. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 629–641. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2200

Cho, J. S., Zussman, J., Donegan, N. P., Ramos, R. I., Garcia, N. C., Uslan, D. Z., et al. (2011). Noninvasive in vivo imaging to evaluate immune responses and antimicrobial therapy against Staphylococcus aureus and USA300 MRSA skin infections. J. Invest. Dermatol. 131, 907–915. doi: 10.1038/jid.2010.417

2020A1515011049, 2020A1515010979, and 2021A1515011727), Shenzhen Key Medical Discipline Construction Fund (SZXK06162); Science, Technology, and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipality of Key Funds and Basic Research Funds (grant nos. JCYJ 20180302144403714 and JCYJ20190809110209389), and the science funds of the Nanshan District Government (grant nos. NS2021117, NS2021140, NS2021144, and NS2021066).

Acknowledgments

We thank Weiguang Pan and JieLian (Department of Laboratory Medicine, Huazhong University of Science and Technology Union Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China) for helping to identify and preserve the bacterial strains. We also thank Shangqing Liu (University of Connecticut, Hartford, CT, United States) and Hongcheng Zhu (Columbia University, New York, NY, United States) for reviewing the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1106319/ full#supplementary-material

Cruz-Muniz, M. Y., Lopez-Jacome, L. E., Hernandez-Duran, M., Franco-Cendejas, R., Licona-Limon, P., Ramos-Balderas, J. L., et al. (2017). Repurposing the anticancer drug mitomycin C for the treatment of persistent Acinetobacter baumannii infections. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 49, 88–92. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.08.022

D'Costa, V. M., King, C. E., Kalan, L., Morar, M., Sung, W. W., Schwarz, C., et al. (2011). Antibiotic resistance is ancient. *Nature* 477, 457-461. doi: 10.1038/nature10388

Desai, A. V., Robinson, G. W., Gauvain, K., Basu, E. M., Macy, M. E., Maese, L., et al. (2022). Entrectinib in children and young adults with solid or primary CNS tumors harboring NTRK, ROS1, or ALK aberrations (STARTRK-NG). *Neuro Oncol.* 24, 1776–1789. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac087

Doebele, R. C., Drilon, A., Paz-Ares, L., Siena, S., Shaw, A. T., Farago, A. F., et al. (2020). Entrectinib in patients with advanced or metastatic NTRK fusion-positive solid tumours: integrated analysis of three phase 1-2 trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 21, 271–282. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30691-6

Dong, C., Zhou, J., Wang, P., Li, T., Zhao, Y., Ren, X., et al. (2019). Topical therapeutic efficacy of Ebselen against multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus LT-1 targeting Thioredoxin reductase. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:3016. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03016

Drilon, A., Siena, S., Dziadziuszko, R., Barlesi, F., Krebs, M. G., Shaw, A. T., et al. (2020). Entrectinib in ROS1 fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: integrated analysis of three phase 1-2 trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 21, 261–270. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30690-4

Dziadziuszko, R., Krebs, M. G., De Braud, F., Siena, S., Drilon, A., Doebele, R. C., et al. (2021). Updated integrated analysis of the efficacy and safety of Entrectinib in locally advanced or metastatic ROS1 fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 39, 1253–1263. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.03025

Fischer, H., Ullah, M., de la Cruz, C. C., Hunsaker, T., Senn, C., Wirz, T., et al. (2020). Entrectinib, a TRK/ROS1 inhibitor with anti-CNS tumor activity: differentiation from other inhibitors in its class due to weak interaction with P-glycoprotein. *Neuro Oncol.* 22, 819–829. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa052

Flemming, H. C., Wingender, J., Szewzyk, U., Steinberg, P., Rice, S. A., and Kjelleberg, S. (2016). Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 563–575. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94

Frampton, J. E. (2021). Entrectinib: a review in NTRK+ solid Tumours and ROS1+ NSCLC. Drugs 81, 697–708. doi: 10.1007/s40265-021-01503-3

Gleason, F. K., and Holmgren, A. (1988). Thioredoxin and related proteins in procaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 4, 271–297. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1988. tb02747.x

Gordon, O., Dikeman, D. A., Ortines, R. V., Wang, Y., Youn, C., Mumtaz, M., et al. (2022). The novel Oxazolidinone TBI-223 is effective in three preclinical mouse models of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10:e0245121. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02451-21

Heim, C. E., Bosch, M. E., Yamada, K. J., Aldrich, A. L., Chaudhari, S. S., Klinkebiel, D., et al. (2020). Lactate production by Staphylococcus aureus biofilm inhibits HDAC11 to reprogramme the host immune response during persistent infection. *Nat. Microbiol.* 5, 1271–1284. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0756-3

Holmgren, A. (1985). Thioredoxin. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 54, 237-271. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.54.070185.001321

Katayama, Y., Azechi, T., Miyazaki, M., Takata, T., Sekine, M., Matsui, H., et al. (2017). Prevalence of slow-growth vancomycin nonsusceptibility in methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 61:e00452. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00452-17

Klinger-Strobel, M., Glaser, S., Makarewicz, O., Wyrwa, R., Weisser, J., Pletz, M. W., et al. (2016). Bactericidal effect of a Photoresponsive carbon monoxidereleasing nonwoven against Staphylococcus aureus biofilms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 60, 4037–4046. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00703-16

Lister, J. L., and Horswill, A. R. (2014). Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: recent developments in biofilm dispersal. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 4:178. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2014.00178

Liu, Y., Yang, X., Gan, J., Chen, S., Xiao, Z. X., and Cao, Y. (2022). CB-dock 2: improved protein-ligand blind docking by integrating cavity detection, docking and homologous template fitting. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, W159–W164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1135

Lu, J., and Holmgren, A. (2014). The thioredoxin antioxidant system. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 66, 75–87. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.07.036

Lu, J., Vlamis-Gardikas, A., Kandasamy, K., Zhao, R., Gustafsson, T. N., Engstrand, L., et al. (2013). Inhibition of bacterial thioredoxin reductase: an antibiotic mechanism targeting bacteria lacking glutathione. *FASEB* J27, 1394–1403. doi: 10.1096/fj.12-223305

Marreiros, B. C., Sena, F. V., Sousa, F. M., Batista, A. P., and Pereira, M. M. (2016). Type II NADH:quinone oxidoreductase family: phylogenetic distribution, structural diversity and evolutionary divergences. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 4697–4709. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13352

Maurer, K., Reyes-Robles, T., Alonzo, F. 3rd, Durbin, J., Torres, V. J., and Cadwell, K. (2015). Autophagy mediates tolerance to Staphylococcus aureus alphatoxin. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 429–440. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.03.001

Melo, A. M., Bandeiras, T. M., and Teixeira, M. (2004). New insights into type II NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductases. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 603–616. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.68.4.603-616.2004

Mostertz, J., Hochgrafe, F., Jurgen, B., Schweder, T., and Hecker, M. (2008). The role of thioredoxin TrxA in Bacillus subtilis: a proteomics and transcriptomics approach. *Proteomics* 8, 2676–2690. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200701015

Periasamy, S., Joo, H. S., Duong, A. C., Bach, T. H., Tan, V. Y., Chatterjee, S. S., et al. (2012). How Staphylococcus aureus biofilms develop their characteristic structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 1281–1286. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1115006109

Potamitou, A., Holmgren, A., and Vlamis-Gardikas, A. (2002). Protein levels of Escherichia coli thioredoxins and glutaredoxins and their relation to null mutants, growth phase, and function. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 18561–18567. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M201225200

Schramm, S., Hiller, K. A., Cantzler, S., Weilemann, H., Cantzler, M., Zimmermann, J. L., et al. (2020). The latest time point of retreatment (LTPR) as a novel method to determine antibacterial effects for binary use of cold atmospheric plasma and conventional agents. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:576500. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2020.576500

Sena, F. V., Batista, A. P., Catarino, T., Brito, J. A., Archer, M., Viertler, M., et al. (2015). Type-II NADH:quinone oxidoreductase from Staphylococcus aureus has two distinct binding sites and is rate limited by quinone reduction. *Mol. Microbiol.* 98, 272–288. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13120

Sena, F. V., Sousa, F. M., Oliveira, A. S. F., Soares, C. M., Catarino, T., and Pereira, M. M. (2018). Regulation of the mechanism of type-II NADH: Quinone oxidoreductase from S. aureus. *Redox Biol.* 16, 209–214. doi: 10.1016/j. redox.2018.02.004

Shang, Y., Guo, J., Zhao, Y., Chen, J., Meng, Q., Qu, D., et al. (2022). Clemastine inhibits the biofilm and hemolytic of Staphylococcus aureus through the GdpP protein. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10:e0054121. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00541-21

Shariati, A., Dadashi, M., Chegini, Z., van Belkum, A., Mirzaii, M., Khoramrooz, S. S., et al. (2020). The global prevalence of Daptomycin, Tigecycline, Quinupristin/Dalfopristin, and linezolid-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci strains: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 9:56. doi: 10.1186/s13756-020-00714-9

Shi, T., Hou, X., Guo, S., Zhang, L., Wei, C., Peng, T., et al. (2021). Nanoholeboosted electron transport between nanomaterials and bacteria as a concept for nano-bio interactions. *Nat. Commun.* 12:493. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20547-9

Sun, G., Hu, C., Mei, Q., Luo, M., Chen, X., Li, Z., et al. (2020). Uncovering the cytochrome P450-catalyzed methylenedioxy bridge formation in streptovaricins biosynthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 11:4501. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18336-5

Thwaites, G. E., Scarborough, M., Szubert, A., Nsutebu, E., Tilley, R., Greig, J., et al. (2018). Adjunctive rifampicin for Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia (ARREST): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 391, 668–678. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32456-X

Tong, S. Y., Davis, J. S., Eichenberger, E., Holland, T. L., and Fowler, V. G. Jr. (2015). Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 603–661. doi: 10.1128/ CMR.00134-14

Wen, Z., Zhao, Y., Gong, Z., Tang, Y., Xiong, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2022). The mechanism of action of Ginkgolic acid (15:1) against gram-positive bacteria involves cross talk with iron homeostasis. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10:e0099121. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00991-21

Xie, J., Zhou, M., Qian, Y., Cong, Z., Chen, S., Zhang, W., et al. (2021). Addressing MRSA infection and antibacterial resistance with peptoid polymers. *Nat. Commun.* 12:5898. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26221-y

Yang, S. C., Tseng, C. H., Wang, P. W., Lu, P. L., Weng, Y. H., Yen, F. L., et al. (2017). Pterostilbene, a Methoxylated resveratrol derivative, efficiently eradicates planktonic, biofilm, and intracellular MRSA by topical application. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1103. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01103

Yin, W., Yu, J., Lv, F., Yan, L., Zheng, L. R., Gu, Z., et al. (2016). Functionalized Nano-MoS2 with peroxidase catalytic and near-infrared Photothermal activities for safe and synergetic wound antibacterial applications. *ACS Nano* 10, 11000–11011. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.6b05810

Yu, S., Su, T., Wu, H., Liu, S., Wang, D., Zhao, T., et al. (2015). PslG, a selfproduced glycosyl hydrolase, triggers biofilm disassembly by disrupting exopolysaccharide matrix. *Cell Res.* 25, 1352–1367. doi: 10.1038/cr.2015.129

Zheng, J., Shang, Y., Wu, Y., Wu, J., Chen, J., Wang, Z., et al. (2021). Diclazuril inhibits biofilm formation and hemolysis of Staphylococcus aureus. *ACS Infect. Dis.* 7, 1690–1701. doi: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00030

Zheng, J., Shang, Y., Wu, Y., Zhao, Y., Chen, Z., Lin, Z., et al. (2022). Loratadine inhibits Staphylococcus aureus virulence and biofilm formation. *iScience* 25:103731. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.103731

Zhou, Y., Niu, C., Ma, B., Xue, X., Li, Z., Chen, Z., et al. (2018). Inhibiting PSMalpha-induced neutrophil necroptosis protects mice with MRSA pneumonia by blocking the agr system. *Cell Death Dis.* 9:362. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0398-z