



# Identification of Hypericin as a Candidate Repurposed Therapeutic Agent for COVID-19 and Its Potential Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Activity

Aline da Rocha Matos<sup>1†</sup>, Braulia Costa Caetano<sup>1†</sup>, João Luiz de Almeida Filho<sup>2</sup>, Jéssica Santa Cruz de Carvalho Martins<sup>1</sup>, Michele Gabrielle Pacheco de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, Thiago das Chagas Sousa<sup>1</sup>, Marco Aurélio Pereira Horta<sup>3</sup>, Marilda Mendonça Siqueira<sup>1</sup> and Jorge Hernandez Fernandez<sup>2\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Burtram Clinton Fielding, University of the Western Cape, South Africa

#### Reviewed by:

Admire Dube, University of the Western Cape, South Africa Florette Treurnicht, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

#### \*Correspondence:

Jorge Hernandez Fernandez jhfernandez@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 04 December 2021 Accepted: 13 January 2022 Published: 10 February 2022

#### Citation:

Matos AR, Caetano BC, de Almeida Filho JL, Martins JSCC, de Oliveira MGP, Sousa TdC, Horta MAP, Siqueira MM and Fernandez JH (2022) Identification of Hypericin as a Candidate Repurposed Therapeutic Agent for COVID-19 and Its Potential Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Activity. Front. Microbiol. 13:828984. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.828984 <sup>1</sup> Laboratório de Virus Respiratórios e do Sarampo, Insituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (LVRS-IOC-Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>2</sup> Laboratório de Química e Função de Proteínas e Peptídeos, Centro de Biociências e Biotecnologia, Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense (LQFPP-CBB-UENF), Campos dos Goytacazes, Brazil, <sup>3</sup> Plataforma de Laboratórios de Biossegurança Nível 3, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (NB3-IOC-Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

The COVID-19 pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on the global economy and public health. Its etiologic agent, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is highly transmissible, pathogenic and has a rapid global spread. Currently, the increase in the number of new confirmed cases has been slowed down due to the increase of vaccination in some regions of the world. Still, the rise of new variants has influenced the detection of additional waves of rising cases that some countries have experienced. Since the virus replication cycle is composed of many distinct stages, some viral proteins related to them, as the main-protease (Mpro) and RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), constitute individual potential antiviral targets. In this study, we challenged the mentioned enzymes against compounds preapproved by health regulatory agencies in a virtual screening and later in Molecular Mechanics/Poisson-Bolzmann Surface Area (MM/PBSA) analysis. Our results showed that, among the identified potential drugs with anti-SARS-CoV-2 properties, Hypericin, an important component of the Hypericum perforatum that presents antiviral and antitumoral properties, binds with high affinity to viral Mpro and RdRp. Furthermore, we evaluated the activity of Hypericin anti-SARS-CoV-2 replication in an in vitro model of Vero-E6 infected cells. Therefore, we show that Hypericin inhibited viral replication in a dose dependent manner. Moreover, the cytotoxicity of the compound, in cultured cells, was evaluated, but no significant activity was found. Thus, the results observed in this study indicate that Hypericin is an excellent candidate for repurposing for the treatment of COVID-19, with possible inhibition of two important phases of virus maturation.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Hypericin, RdRp, Mpro, drug repurposing

## INTRODUCTION

Since the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic was declared by WHO in March 2020, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused more than 260 million infections worldwide, with more than 5.1 million deaths (WHO, 2021). In Brazil, positive cases for COVID-19 have already reached more than 22 million and surpassed 600 thousand deaths (Ministério, 2021). Of note, the number of cases has experienced a decrease as the COVID-19 vaccines are being delivered worldwide. Despite that, the emergence of the virus variants,<sup>1</sup> such as the alfa, gamma, delta, and the recently described omicron, in addition to the relaxation of pandemic restrictions has been associated with new waves of increasing number of cases regionally (Lemey et al., 2021; Naveca et al., 2021).

The clinical presentation of COVID-19 is characterized by the exhibition of distinct signs and symptoms, which influence the disease severity, ranging from asymptomatic and mild cases to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), respiratory and multiple organ failure, and ultimately death. Risk groups for the development of the severe COVID-19 comprise individuals of advanced age and who present some comorbidities, as preexisting chronic medical conditions, such as diabetes (Zhou et al., 2020). A cytokine storm, associated with exacerbation of proinflammatory cytokine release due to the viral infection, is related to the emergence of ARDS and the evolution to the severe disease (Lucas et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020).

Presently, the process of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 is ongoing worldwide with distinct types of immunogens, including inactivated virus, adenoviral vectors, and viral RNA, among others (Abdulla et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2021). Vaccines constitute one of the most important public health strategies to reduce disease burden. However, it is important to emphasize that there are important issues regarding access to vaccines globally, such as their uneven distribution and the need for differentiated infrastructure for their inter and intra-country dissemination, which compromises the coverage necessary for homogeneous immunological protection of populations (Günl et al., 2021). In addition, depending on the vaccine platform used, there are differences in their efficacy and safety in individuals from some risk groups and against the SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) (Harvey et al., 2021).

The therapeutic management of the infection with SARS-CoV-2 has changed significantly since the beginning of the pandemic. In Europe (European Medicines Agency<sup>2</sup>) and in the US (Food and Drug Administration<sup>3</sup>), so far, only the antiviral Remdesivir, a nucleoside analog that targets the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), and neutralizing antibodies have been approved as treatment options for COVID-19, in the modality of emergency use. However, their therapeutic benefits are still being fully determined. Additionally, several antiviral drugs have been investigated for the treatment of COVID-19

in clinical trials, such as Favipiravir, Lopinavir/Ritonavir, Umifenovir (arbidol), and the new drug Paxvolid (Jomah et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2021; Mahase, 2021). Furthermore, host directed therapies, aiming to impair virus-host specific interface mechanisms, and immunomodulators that would counteract the exacerbated immune response associated with the disease severity are other relevant therapeutic options under investigation (Kumar et al., 2021).

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, drug repurposing has been deployed as one agile mechanism for the identification of new SARS-CoV-2 targets for drugs already approved, however, outside the scope of its original nomination. Through this strategy, time and investment needed for drug development could be reduced as the greater part of the pre-clinical phase is already completed, especially the safety assessment phase and formulation development (Hernandez et al., 2017; Montes-Grajales et al., 2020; Egieyeh et al., 2021). In addition, repurposing decreases the chance that the compound will be unsuccessful in the clinical phases, as this step has usually been completed with the original indication (Ismail et al., 2021). Among one of the strategies used to identify active molecules is Structure-Based Virtual Screening (SBVS), a computational technique that uses the structural information of a protein from the pathogen to find possible inhibitors in a library of compounds that bind the protein with the highest affinity (Li and Shah, 2017). Usually, the classification of these compounds is done through molecular docking experiments, that is, the calculation of binding mode between the ligand and the receptor protein (Fradera and Babaoglu, 2018). Thus, docking added to the current computational power, and the use of virtual libraries of free compounds like ZINC15 (Sterling and Irwin, 2015) turn a personal computer into a powerful tool for drug search and design, which is highly advantageous for drug repurposing and also provides support for next steps of the drug development process (Montes-Grajales et al., 2020).

The virus replication cycle is composed of many distinct stages. Viral proteins acting in each of these stages each constitute individual potential antiviral targets. One of them is the SARS-CoV-2 main-protease (Mpro), also called 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro), which is mainly responsible for processing the viral polyproteins (pp) 1a and 1ab into the non-structural proteins (NSPs), including the RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase (RdRp), the helicase, and the Mpro itself (Hegyi and Ziebuhr, 2002). Data from other studies have demonstrated that the activity of this enzyme is critical for replication of coronaviruses (Kim et al., 1995; Stobart et al., 2012). In addition, there are no described human analogs of this protein. Moreover, protease inhibitors are successfully implemented in the treatment against other viral diseases (Hoetelmans et al., 1997; Chary and Holodniy, 2010; Bacon et al., 2011). Altogether, these characteristics make the Mpro a promising antiviral drug target.

An additional relevant target is the RdRp, an enzyme that is responsible for the replication of viral RNA (**Figure 1A**), possessing an essential role in SARS-CoV-2 life cycle. This protein functions as a tripartite polymerase complex with NSP-7 and NSP-8 that further associates with NSP-14, which confers a proofreading exonuclease function (Subissi et al., 2014). Due

<sup>1</sup>who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>ema.europa.eu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>fda.gov



to the particularities of this protein, several inhibitors for RdRp from Flaviviruses and SARS-CoV have been reported and some are being evaluated in pre-clinical and clinical phases (Niyomrattanakit et al., 2010; Montes-Grajales et al., 2020; Studie Tian et al., 2021).

and colored according to protein domains, Mg ions represented in VDW and Hypericin is represented in orange licorice.

In this sense, the central goal of this work was to find compounds approved by international agencies as candidate therapeutic agents for repurposing against the SARS-CoV-2 through the SBVS experiment, specifically focusing on compounds that bind Mpro and RdRp. Secondly, we aimed to confirm the inhibitory action of promising compounds *in vitro*. As a result, one of the candidates identified was Hypericin, an antraquinone with antiviral and antifungal functions (Miskovsky, 2002). Additionally, we show that Hypericin acts as anti-SARS-CoV-2 replication inhibitor in  $\mu$ M concentrations in an *in vitro* model of Vero-E6 infected cells. These results qualify this drug as a promising antiviral candidate against SARS-Cov-2 and further experiments, including *in vivo* studies, are the next step of our experimentation.

# METHODOLOGY

# Structure Based Virtual Screening Experiment

In the SBVS experiment, essential proteins for the replication and maturation of SARS-CoV-2 were selected. The structures of the Mpro domain (pdb 6LU7) (Hatada et al., 2020) and the RdRp domain (7bv2) (Yin et al., 2021) from the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (RSCB PDB) (Berman et al., 2000) were used as receptor proteins. In both structures, all water and hetero-atoms were removed for docking experiments. In some experiments, Mg ions were maintained in the active site of the SARS-CoV-2 RdRp. Docking space was defined as a  $\pm 2$  nm (in X, Y, Z) at the center of the active site. For the SBVS campaign, the enzymes Mpro and RdRp were challenged against ligand database (ZINC15/Enzyme/Trial and ZINC15/Enzyme/World) that have a total of 3,400 molecules already approved by several international regulatory agencies. HTP SurflexDock pipeline uses GROMACS 5.2 (Abraham et al., 2015) for molecular simulations and receptor ensemble sampling and Autodock 4.2 (Morris et al., 2009) for docking experiments (de Almeida Filho and Fernandez, 2020). In the initial HTP SurflexDock scoring results, a functional cut-off of Ki less than 10E-9 kcal/mol were considered as good candidates for rescoring experiment. From this ranking, the 10 best inhibitors were evaluated for favorable binding on the protein active site and were re-evaluated through a post-processing step implemented in the HTP SurflexDock. Furthermore, the accurate binding energy inference ( $\Delta\Delta G$ ) of the most promising compounds was estimated using Molecular Mechanics/Poisson-Bolzmann Surface Area (MM/PBSA) methodology (Genheden and Ryde, 2015; Wang et al., 2018).

## The HTP SurflexDock Pipeline for Structure Based Virtual Screening

The HTP SurflexDock 1.0 pipeline<sup>4</sup> is based on MDR SurflexDock pipeline (de Almeida Filho and Fernandez, 2020), modified to perform 'docking and scoring' experiments to classify promising compounds in SBVS experiments. Thus, we incorporated two types of post-processing analysis into the HTP SurflexDock pipeline: (1) Manual refinement and re-scoring of compounds and (2) Inference of binding free energy ( $\Delta \Delta G$ ) for most promising complexes using MM/PBSA. In the postprocessing module for the inference of the  $\Delta \Delta G$  calculated from molecular simulations based on the MM/PBSA protocol was used (Mobley and Dill, 2009; Genheden and Ryde, 2015). MM/PBSA is widely used in affinity inference analyses, as well as compound rescoring (Wang et al., 2019). In this context, in HTP SurflexDock we used the g\_mmbpsa software (Ren et al., 2020) for complex affinity inference. The g\_mmpbsa is configured to calculate the free energy of the last 3 ns simulation and the initial 7 ns are used for the equilibrium of the system. At the end of the calculation, the python mmpbsa.py script is used to generate the graph of the  $\Delta G$  variation as a function of time and a summary containing the averages of the energy contributions.

## **Cell Culture**

We used Vero E6 cells (African green monkey kidney cells) for SARS-CoV-2 isolation and propagation, as well as for assays of evaluation of the antiviral potential of the candidate compound. All cell culture reagents were from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA, United States). Sterile, pyrogen free, culture-treated plastic ware was purchased from Corning and Sarstedt. The basic culture medium used for Vero E6 cells consisted of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium (DMEM) formulated with D-glucose (4.5 g/l) and L-Glutamine (3.9 mM). Basic medium was supplemented with  $100 \times$  penicillin-streptomycin solution (to final 100 U/ml and 100  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively) and with inactivated fetal bovine serum (USDA-qualified region FBS) at 10%. Both cell and viral cultures were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

# Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Isolate

The SARS-CoV-2 isolate used in the assays was obtained from a respiratory sample collected from a COVID-19 patient diagnosed in March 2020, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The original sample was a combination of two mid-turbinate nasal swabs and one pharyngeal swab, all collected in 3 ml of viral transport medium (DMEM supplemented with 1% bovine serum albumin and  $1 \times$  penicillin-streptomycin). For virus isolation, 200 µl of the sample were inoculated in a confluent monolayer of Vero E6 cells in a T25 culture flask. Culture was incubated for 96 hs, with inspections for development of cytopathic effect and collection of supernatants every 24 h to evaluate viral replication. The viral isolate was further characterized by whole genome sequencing (published on gisaid.org, accession number EPI ISL 414045) and transmission electron microscopy (Barreto-Vieira et al., 2021). Viral titer of the isolate was increased by an additional passage in Vero E6 cells, to obtain a working stock. The 50% Tissue Culture Infectious Dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>) titer of the viral working stock was determined by limiting dilution and infection of Vero E6 cells. All the procedures related with the viral isolate culture and further treatment were performed in biosafety level 3 laboratory, in accordance with the WHO guidelines.<sup>5</sup> Regarding ethical aspects, the patient sample used for viral isolation was collected at a sentinel health unit of the respiratory disease surveillance network of the Brazilian Ministry of Health, as part of routine procedures of the COVID-19 surveillance program. As the National Influenza Center and National SARS-CoV-2 Reference Laboratory for the surveillance network, our laboratory systematically receives respiratory samples for viral detection, sequencing, and isolation. All procedures involving patient samples were approved by the Committee of Ethics in Human Research of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (registration number CAAE 68118417.6.0000.5248).

## **Virus Inhibition Assay**

All incubation steps of the assays were performed at  $37^{\circ}$ C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. First, cells were plated and cultured overnight to obtain confluent monolayers. Next day, cells were washed once with plain PBS, then, SARS-CoV-2 inoculums were incubated for one hour. The viral dose of the inoculums corresponded to a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 TCID<sub>50</sub>. After infection, inoculums were removed from wells and replaced by the appropriate supplemented medium with distinct concentrations of the candidate compound. The candidate compound was diluted in NaOH (1 M), which was used as a control and was diluted similarly to the compound, reaching a concentration of 2 mM in the following experiments. Supernatants for viral quantification were collected 48 h post-infection (hpi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://htpsurflexdock.biocomp.uenf.br/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-WPE-GIH-2021.1

### **Viral Quantification**

We evaluated SARS-CoV-2 replication in the candidate compound-treated versus non-treated cultures by measuring the number of viral RNA copies in the supernatants. For this purpose, we used the real time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction method (real time qRT-PCR) (Corman et al., 2020). This protocol employs TaqMan primers and probes specific to the gene encoding the envelope (E) protein. As quantification standard, we used a synthetic RNA molecule comprising the reference sequence of the E target, with a known number of copies (10<sup>7</sup> copies/mL, kindly provided by Charité Virology through Pan American Health Organization). A concentration curve was prepared by serial dilution of the positive control from  $10^6$  to 10 copies/mL. Viral RNA was extracted from 140  $\mu$ L of cell-free culture supernatants using QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription and gene amplification were performed in one-step reactions with a qRT-PCR kit developed by the Biomanguinhos Institute (Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), in ABI 7500 thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, United States). The candidate compound concentration required to decrease the viral RNA by 50% (IC50) was calculated using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, United States).

### **Cellular Cytotoxicity**

Vero E6 cells were plated at  $10^4$  cells per well in 96-well plates and incubated overnight to obtain confluent monolayers. The following day, distinct concentrations of the candidate compound was added to the cultures, in triplicate. This was followed by incubation for 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cell supernatants were used to measure LDH released by cell death with the commercial kit CyQUANT<sup>TM</sup> LDH Cytotoxicity Assay (Thermofisher, Waltham, MA, United States). Briefly, 50 µl of the supernatants from the treated cells and of the controls were transferred to a microtiter plate. Then, 50 µl of LDH colorimetric substrate was added to each sample and incubated for 30 min at room temperature, protected from light. LDH activity was determined by absorbance (OD) at 490/680 nm. Cytotoxicity was determined according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, United States) by using One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison tests. Results were considered significant when p < 0.05.

### RESULTS

### *In silico* Analysis of Main-Protease and RNA Dependent RNA Polymerase Inhibitor Candidates

To identify good candidates for repurposing for SARS-Cov-2 Mpro and RdRp, we made a SBVS experiment with these proteins and a set of 3,400 molecules using the HTP SurflexDock.

For SARS-Cov-2 Mpro, our analysis ranked nine promising compounds as nM and pM inhibitors: Nelfinavir, Hypericin, Mitoxantrone, Saquinavir, Remikiren, Aclarubicin, ZINC24447427, Indinavir, and Dihydroergotamine, which comprise a group of anti-HIV, antitumor, and antifungal drugs (**Table 1**).

In addition, for SARS-Cov-2 RdRp our results indicated the 6 best candidates for RdRp inhibition as: Trypan blue, Hypericin, Mitoxantrone, Glycyrrhizinate Dipotassium, Lifitegrast, and Tudca, which obtained high affinity with the SARS-CoV-2 RdRp active site (**Table 2**) and represent molecules in clinical testing phases for treatment of neoplasms, lymphomas, antifungals, anti-HIV among other applications, which may indicate that these compounds are good candidates for broad-spectrum therapeutic antivirals.

Obtained results suggest Hypericin and Mitoxantrone as best candidates for *in vitro* further experiments, as pointed as nM inhibitor for both enzymes in docking experiments. Moreover, for RdRp-Hypericin complex, a consecutive MM/PBSA experiment pointed a stable interaction of Hypericin near motif C in the active site (**Figures 1B,C**) with calculated  $\Delta G = -22.704 \pm 4.008$  Kcal/mol (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Most of the other well-ranked molecules for the RdRp enzyme in our *in silico* experiments also are potential candidates for repurposing for the therapeutic treatment of SARS-CoV2.

### Hypericin Reduces Replication of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 *in vitro* at Non-cytotoxic Concentrations

As the *in silico* analysis demonstrated that Hypericin was a potential candidate for the binding and inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and RdRp proteins, we were interested in analyzing if this potential interaction would have an impact on the replication of the SARS-CoV-2 in our model of *in vitro* infection with the virus. Our results show that Hypericin significantly reduced viral replication in a concentration dependent fashion (**Figure 2A**). The highest Hypericin concentrations tested (10 and 100  $\mu$ M) resulted in the highest degree of reduction in supernatant viral RNA (p < 0.05) and reached 84 and 96% of inhibition, respectively.

Moreover, to confirm that the reduction in viral replication was related to the inhibition of the viral replication cycle and not to an indirect effect on the host cell, we next evaluated the potential cytotoxic effects of the compound by measuring the release of LDH in non-infected cells for 48 h. As a result, there was no significant increase in extracellular LDH in cells treated with increasing concentration of the drug (0.01–100  $\mu$ M), as compared to the cells treated with the drug vehicle NaOH (**Figure 2B**). Of note, total cell lysate was used as a positive control and presented a significant increase in LDH detection. These findings strengthen our computational screening experiments as Hypericin has come out to be one of the most interesting hits against the two viral proteins.

#### TABLE 1 | Docking hits for SARS-Cov-2 Mpro (pdb 6lu7 structure).

Molecule	Ligands	Activities described on Databases	Clinical trials	Molecular structure	Ki * (Mol)
ZINC3833846 CHEMBL584 Nelfinavir	TriaboS75 TriaboS76 TriaboS77 TriaboS77 Worldxx219 Worldxx222	- Pol polyprotein (Virus)* - Multidrug resistance protein 1 - Cytochrome P450 3A4 - Sodium-dependent noradrenaline transporter	- NCT00000859; - NCT00000885;(1) - NCT00000887;(2) - NCT00000892 HIV Infections.	P +	2E4
ZINC3780340 * CHEMBL286494 Hypericin	Triabx276	- Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 - D(3) dopamine receptor - Pol polyprotein (Virus)* - Cytochrome P450 3A4 - Glutathione reductase	- NCT00000645; (1) - NCT00000792; (1) HIV infections - NCT02448381; (1) Cutaneous Mycosis, Fungoides, Lymphoma		2E-9 5E-10 5E-11
ZINC3794794 * CHEMBL58 <i>Mitoxantrone</i>	Worldxx1214 Trialxx1848	-Multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1 - S-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2C - Pol polyprotein (Virus)* - Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily H member 2 - Solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 1B3	- <u>NCT00002003</u> (2) - <u>NCT00002259</u> (2) HIV Infections - <u>NCT00003858</u> (2) Prostatic Neoplasms - <u>NCT03250338</u> (3) Myeloid Leukemia		1E4 5E5 1E5 1E5
ZINC3914596 CHEMBL114 Saquinavir	Triabx908 Worldxx510	<ul> <li>Pol polyprotein (Virus)*</li> <li>Cytochrome P450 3A4</li> <li>Multidrug resistance protein 1</li> <li>Kappa-type opioid receptor</li> </ul>	-NCT00000848 (2) -NCT00000891 (2) -NCT00000892 -NCT00000898 -NCT00000906 HIV Infections.		7 5-11
ZINC4217406 CHEMBL31601 Remikiren	Triabx355 Triabx356	- Renin	No		5E4
ZINC24447427 CHEMBL1233940	Triabx372 Triabx373 Triabx374	- Pol polyprotein (Virus)*	No	and the	18.9
ZINC85537142 CHEMBL502620 Aclarubicin	Worldxx51 Worldxx52	- 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2B - 72 kDa type IV collagenase	- NCT03026842 (4) - NCT03045627 (2) - NCT03181815 (2) - NCT02723448 (1) Leukemia, Myeloid, Acute	of the	2.8-7
ZINC22448696 CHEMBL115 Indinavir	Triabx1799 World1162	<ul> <li>Pol polyprotein {Virus}*</li> <li>Cytochrome P450 3A4</li> <li>Protease</li> <li>Multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1</li> <li>Substance-K receptor</li> </ul>	- NCT00000804 - NCT00000841 (3) - NCT00000848 (2) - NCT00000850 (2) - NCT00000859 HIV Infections.	e fils	5E4 5E4
ZINC3978005 CHEMBL1732 Dihydroergotamine	Triabx1534 worldxx901 worldxx902	- 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 1A - Alpha-2A adrenergic receptor - D(2) dopamine receptor - D(1A) dopamine receptor -Alpha-1D adrenergic receptor	- <u>NCT02582996,</u> (3) - <u>NCT02706015</u> , (3) Migraine Disorders - <u>NCT01191723</u> , (1)		164

TABLE 2 | Docking hits for SARS-Cov-2 RdRp (pdb 7aap structure).

Molecule	Original Activity	Clinical Trial (Phase)	Molecular Structure	Ki (Kcal/mol) <sup>2e-12</sup>
ZINC169289767 CHEMBL1089641 Trypan blue	- Serine/threonine-protein kinase AKT3 - Renin	- Oftalmologic surgery (4)	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	1e-12 5e-13
ZINC3780340 CHEMBL286494 Hypericin	<ul> <li>Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1</li> <li>D(3) dopamine receptor</li> <li>Pol polyprotein (v)</li> <li>Cytochrome P450 3A4</li> <li>Glutathione reductase</li> </ul>	- NCT00000645 (1) - NCT00000792 (1) HIV Infections - NCT02448381 (3) Cutaneous Mycosis, Fungoides, Lymphoma		9e-10 8e-10
CHEMBL58 ZINC3794794 <i>MITOXANTRONE</i>	<ul> <li>Multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1</li> <li>5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2C</li> <li>Pol polyprotein (virus)</li> <li>Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily H member 2</li> <li>Solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 1B3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NCT00002003 (2</li> <li>NCT00002259 (2 HIV infections</li> <li>NCT00003858 (2 Prostatic Neoplas</li> <li>NCT00859001 (2 Lymphoma</li> <li>NCT03250338 (3 Leukemia, Myeli</li> </ul>		1e-07 2e-08 1e-08
ZINC96015174 CHEMBL1923952 Glycyrrhizinate Dipotassium	<ul> <li>Corticosteroid 11-beta- dehydrogenase isozyme 1</li> <li>Corticosteroid 11-beta- dehydrogenase isozyme 2</li> <li>Canalicular multispecific organic anion transporter 1</li> <li>Solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 1B2</li> </ul>	No	200 XDOC	1e-10 2e-10 4e-10
ZINC84668739 CHEMBL2048028 Lifitegrast	<ul> <li>Intercellular adhesion molecule 1</li> <li>Cytochrome P450 2C9</li> </ul>	No	profo to	3e-09 1.5e-09 1e-09
ZINC3914813 CHEMBL272427 <i>Tudca</i>	No	No		1e-08 2e-09 2e-10



**FIGURE 2** [Hypericin inhibits replication of SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro* at non-cytotoxic concentrations. (A) Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication by hypericin. Vero E6 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h at a MOI of 0.01. Infected cells were then treated with increasing concentrations of hypericin (0.01–100  $\mu$ M) for 48 hpi or NaOH (2 mM) as vehicle control. Viral RNA was quantified from the collected supernatants at 48 hpi by real time qRT-PCR. Bars show mean and SEM of four independent experiments. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison tests, \*p < 0.05. (B) Cytotoxicity of hypericin in Vero E6 cell line. Presence of LDH was measured in the supernatant of cells treated with the indicated concentrations of the compound for 48 h. Cell lysate was used as a positive control. Bars show mean and SEM of three independent experiments. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison tests, \*\*\*p < 0.0001.

## DISCUSSION

Almost 2 years after the identification of SARS-CoV-2 and the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic, many treatment options have been investigated, but just a few have displayed enough effect against the disease to be considered for emergency use, such as remdesivir and monoclonal antibodies, or to be regarded as a promising therapy by the health authorities, such as Paxlovid.<sup>6</sup>

Furthermore, they are targeted to specific clinical stages of the disease or patient groups. In search for more therapeutic options to contain the morbidity and mortality caused by SARS-CoV-2, drugs that target the viral proteins and the host molecules that drive the response against infection are being studied, as combination therapies are a good approach to successfully fight this disease (Kumar et al., 2021). Also, drug repurposing has been highlighted as a relevant strategy to speed up the identification of compounds with anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity and, more importantly, reduce time and cost for clinical implementation of any potential drugs identified in experimental conditions.

Here, we screened a database of 3,400 known chemical compounds by computational analysis, to identify molecules able to interact with the viral proteins Mpro and RdRp, both essential for replication of SARS-CoV-2. The ligands were further classified according to their affinity of interaction with the viral targets, by molecular docking. This analysis indicated several compounds with high affinity to the viral proteins that could, in theory, display anti-SARS-CoV-2 properties. In general, viral protease inhibitors are widely studied drugs by the academic community. Many of ranked compounds identified in this study include inhibitors developed for HIV treatment (Bardsley-Elliot and Plosker, 2000), most of them (Indinavir, Saquinavir, Indinavir and Hypericin) already tested in silico or in vitro as candidates for repurposing for the SARS-CoV-2 (Bello et al., 2020). Obtained here results for SARS-Cov-2 Mpro (Table 1) were in concordance with the general picture found in other in silico SBVS academic works and were interpreted as excellent positive control for our SBVS experimental pipeline. Interestingly, Hypericin appeared as one of the top hits in the panel of possible ligands for both Mpro and RdRp viral proteins (Tables 1, 2). Moreover, we showed that Hypericin reduced SARS-CoV-2 replication at µM concentrations in an in vitro experimental model and had no significant cytotoxic effect in the same model (Figures 1, 2).

Hypericin is an anthraquinone member of the naftodianthrone class of chemical components obtained primarily from plants of the genus Hypericum, particularly Hypericum perforatum (commonly known as St. John's wort). Previous reports have shown that Hypericin presents antiviral activity against some viruses, such as hepatitis C, HIV, and influenza A (Lenard et al., 1993; Jacobson et al., 2001; Pu et al., 2009; Shih et al., 2018), as well as for the avian coronavirus IBV (Chen et al., 2019). Additionally, Hypericin possess antitumor properties (Rook et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2021). Recent studies have reported relevant interaction between Hypericin and Mpro by molecular docking analysis with  $IC_{50}$ of 65 µM (Pitsillou et al., 2020a,b; Shivanika et al., 2020; Yalçın et al., 2021) and by inhibition of the protease activity in vitro (Pitsillou et al., 2020a), although in a different report employing fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) experiments, Hypericin was considered a weak SARS-Cov2 Mpro inhibitor (Loschwitz et al., 2021). In addition, some studies evaluated in silico the interaction of Hypericin with the SARS-CoV-2 Spike, papain-like protease (PLpro) and NSP14 proteins (Pitsillou et al., 2020b, 2021; Romeo et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021). However, none of these previous reports have demonstrated Hypericin antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 isolates.

Noteworthy, among additional antivirals identified, ranked compounds include inhibitors developed during the 1990s for HIV treatment (Noble and Faulds, 1996; Plosker and Noble, 1999; Bardsley-Elliot and Plosker, 2000). These are widely studied

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizers-novel-covid-19-oral-antiviral-treatment-candidate

drugs by the academic community and has already been explored as possible candidates for repurposing for the SARS-CoV2 by other authors (Bello et al., 2020). In this sense, Ohashi and coworkers point out that Nefilnavir can block the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in synergy with the anti-inflammatory Cefarantin in vitro (Ohashi et al., 2021). In addition, in silico simulations performed by Yamamoto et al. (2020) indicate that Nelfinavir inhibits virus replication by binding to the Mpro protein, while Cefarantin interferes with the interaction of Spike protein with the ACE2 enzyme, preventing intrusion into the cell. Other studies indicate that Nelfinavir also has a systemic effect by avoiding the oxytocin storm in patients infected with HIV-1 and, in this context, Xu et al. (2020) suggest that Nelfinavir can prevent complications caused in severe cases of COVID-19. Another well-rated antiretroviral in our tests was Saquinavir, widely used in the treatment of SARS together with Ribavirin in the 2003 epidemic (Tan et al., 2004). A study by Yamamoto et al. (2020) pointed out that Saquinavir can inhibit SARS-CoV2 replication at low concentrations ( $EC_{50} = 8.83 \mu M$ ) and suggests that the drug prevents the entry of the virus into the cell in addition to inhibiting the viral replication. Finally, Indinavir is also an antiretroviral that has also been well ranked in other SBVS but without in vitro validation (Shah et al., 2020). In the antitumor drug group, Mitoxantrone is an agent of the anthraquinone family used in the treatment of leukemia (Lokhande et al., 2021). In silico simulations with the Mpro of SARS-CoV2 indicate that Mitoxantrone binds strongly to the active site of enzyme through a network of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions inhibiting the replication of the virus (Farag et al., 2021). On the other hand, Aclarubicin is a drug of the anthracycline family in clinical trials for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. This drug has been evaluated in silico as a possible inhibitor of the interaction RBD-ACE2, hampering virus entrance into the host cell (Senathilake et al., 2020).

In conclusion, most of the well-ranked molecules for the Mpro and RdRp enzymes in our *in silico* experiments are good candidates for repurposing for the therapeutic treatment of SARS-CoV-2 and, among them, Hypericin presented promising results as a drug not previously evaluated for antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2. Further experiments are under way, such as definition of Hypericin anti-SARS-CoV-2 *IC*<sub>50</sub> in human cellular *in vitro* model by determination of specific virus viability after hypericin treatments and confirmation of Hypericin specific antiviral mechanisms of action and to compare its activity to other approved drugs like Remdesivir. Also in the scope of our interest is a phase I dose escalation study to determine antiviral activity of hypericin against SARS-Cov-2 and the safety in animal models.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ARM, BCC, JLAF, MMS, and JHF designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. ARM, BCC, JLAF, JSCCM, MGPO, and TCS conducted the experiments. MAPH provided the access and the training for working in BSL-3 facilities that are necessary to perform all experiments involving live SARS-CoV-2 virus manipulation. All authors contributed to manuscript formulation and review and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This work have received support from the following funding agencies/programs: (i) Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) through the research grants [402457/2020-0], [313403/2018-0], and [441080/2020-0] for MMS, a doctoral grant [141917/20156] for JLAF, and a bachelor grant for MGPO; (ii) Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), through ProAP-CAPES program, a doctoral grant for JSCCM and a bachelor grant for TCS; (iii) the Inova Fiocruz program, through research grant [48400462543257] for MMS; (iv) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) through the research grants [E-26/210.196/2020] and [CNE E-203.074/2017]. (v) Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense (UENF), posdoctoral grant for JLAF [PROPPG 02/2020].

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Fabio Olivares (LBCT-CBB-UENF) for ultrapure Hypericin supply.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022. 828984/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Molecular Mechanics/Poisson-Bolzmann Surface Area experimentation pointed to a stable interaction in Hypericin-SARS-Cov-2 RdRp complex. (A) Best docking results suggest that Hypericin interacts with RdRp active site near motif C and the Mg ion coordination residues, thus acting as competitive inhibitor of the ribonucleotide in RdRp polymerase activity. Residues interacting with Hypericin were numbered according to the nomenclature of 7bv2 pdb (Yin et al., 2021). (B) Calculated  $\Delta G = -22.704 \pm 4.008$  Kcal/mol over the 60 ns of complex simulation. After small fluctuation, complex interaction was stable over the last 20 ns of simulation. (C) Energetic contribution of residues contacting the RdRp catalytic site in Hypericin binding, according to color code mapped on the secondary structure os the SARS-Cov-2 RdRp. On average, residues in blue contributed negatively to the interaction with Hypericin while residues in green, yellow and red favored the binding (in Kcal/Mol) over the 60 ns of simulations. Energetic contributions were calculated according to the methodology in (g\_mmpbsa/single\_protein\_ligand\_energy\_contributions.html), thus modified for using Pymol 1.8.6 (www.pymol.org) for the visualization of the structures.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdulla, Z. A., Al-Bashir, S. M., Al-Salih, N. S., Aldamen, A. A., and Abdulazeez, M. Z. (2021). A summary of the sars-cov-2 vaccines and technologies available or under development. *Pathogens* 10:788. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10070788
- Abraham, M. J., Murtola, T., Schulz, R., Páll, S., Smith, J. C., Hess, B., et al. (2015). GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* 1, 19–25.
- Bacon, B. R., Gordon, S. C., Lawitz, E., Marcellin, P., Vierling, J. M., Zeuzem, S., et al. (2011). Boceprevir for Previously Treated Chronic HCV Genotype 1 Infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 364, 1207–1217. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa100 9482
- Bardsley-Elliot, A., and Plosker, G. L. (2000). Nelfinavir: an update on its use in HIV infection. *Drugs* 59, 581–620. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200059030-00014
- Barreto-Vieira, D. F., da Silva, M. A. N., Garcia, C. C., Miranda, M. D., Matos, A., da, R., et al. (2021). Morphology and morphogenesis of sars-cov-2 in vero-e6 cells. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 116:e200443. doi: 10.1590/0074-02760200443
- Bello, M., Mart-Muñoz, A., and Balbuena-Rebolledo, I. (2020). Identification of saquinavir as a potent inhibitor of dimeric SARS-CoV2 main protease through MM/GBSA. J. Mol. Model. 26:340
- Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., et al. (2000). The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 235–242. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.235
- Chary, A., and Holodniy, M. (2010). Recent Advances in Hepatitis C Virus Treatment: review of HCV Protease Inhibitor Clinical Trials. *Rev. Recent Clin. Trials* 5, 158–173. doi: 10.2174/157488710792007293
- Chen, H., Muhammad, I., Zhang, Y., Ren, Y., Zhang, R., Huang, X., et al. (2019). Antiviral Activity Against Infectious Bronchitis Virus and Bioactive Components of Hypericum perforatum L. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10:1272. doi: 10. 3389/fphar.2019.01272
- Corman, V., Bleicker, T., Brunink, S., Drosten, C., Landt, O., Koopmans, M., et al. (2020). Diagnostic Detection of Wuhan Coronavirus 2019 by Real-Time RT-PCR. A Protoc. New Coronavirus 2019 Detect. Dev. Berlin: Charite Vrology.
- de Almeida Filho, J. L., and Fernandez, J. H. (2020). MDR surflexdock:a semiautomatic webserver for discrete receptor-ensemble docking. J. Global 11347, 41–47.
- Dong, X., Zeng, Y., Zhang, Z., Fu, J., You, L., He, Y., et al. (2021). Hypericinmediated photodynamic therapy for the treatment of cancer: a review. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 73, 425–436. doi: 10.1093/jpp/rgaa018
- Egieyeh, S., Egieyeh, E., Malan, S., Christofells, A., and Fielding, B. (2021). Computational drug repurposing strategy predicted peptide-based drugs that can potentially inhibit the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with its target (humanACE2). *PLoS One* 16:e0245258. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0245258
- Farag, A., Wang, P., Ahmed, M., and Sadek, H. (2021). Identification of FDA Approved Drugs Targeting COVID-19 Virus by Structure-Based Drug Repositioning. Geneva: WHO.
- Fradera, X., and Babaoglu, K. (2018). Overview of methods and strategies for conducting virtual small molecule screening. *Curr. Protoc. Chem. Biol.* 9, 196–212.
- Genheden, S., and Ryde, U. (2015). The MM/PBSA and MM/GBSA methods to estimate ligand-binding affinities. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 10, 449–461.
- Günl, F., Mecate-Zambrano, A., Rehländer, S., Hinse, S., Ludwig, S., and Brunotte, L. (2021). Shooting at a moving target—effectiveness and emerging challenges for sars-cov-2 vaccine development. *Vaccines* 9, 1–30. doi: 10.3390/ vaccines9101052
- Harvey, W. T., Carabelli, A. M., Jackson, B., Gupta, R. K., Thomson, E. C., Harrison, E. M., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 409–424. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00573-0
- Hatada, R., Okuwaki, K., Mochizuki, Y., Handa, Y., Fukuzawa, K., Komeiji, Y., et al. (2020). Fragment Molecular Orbital Based Interaction Analyses on COVID-19 Main Protease- Inhibitor N3 Complex (PDB ID: 6LU7). J. Chem. Inf. Model. 60, 3593–3602.
- Hegyi, A., and Ziebuhr, J. (2002). Conservation of substrate specificities among coronavirus main proteases. J. Gen. Virol. 83, 595–599. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-83-3-595
- Hernandez, J. J., Pryszlak, M., Smith, L., Yanchus, C., Kurji, N., Shahani, V. M., et al. (2017). Giving drugs a second chance: overcoming regulatory and financial

hurdles in repurposing approved drugs as cancer therapeutics. *Front. Oncol.* 7:273. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2017.00273

- Hoetelmans, R. M., Meenhorst, P. L., Mulder, J. W., Burger, D. M., Koks, C. H., and Beijnen, J. H. (1997). Clinical pharmacology of HIV protease inhibitors: focus on saquinavir, indinavir, and ritonavir. *Pharm. World Sci.* 19, 159–175. doi: 10.1023/a:1008629608556
- Ismail, M. I., Ragab, H. M., Bekhit, A. A., and Ibrahim, T. M. (2021). Targeting multiple conformations of SARS-CoV2 Papain-Like Protease for drug repositioning: an in-silico study. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 131, 104295.
- Jacobson, J. M., Feinman, L., Liebes, L., Ostrow, N., Koslowski, V., Tobia, A., et al. (2001). Pharmacokinetics. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 45, 517–524. doi: 10.1128/AAC.45.2.517-524.2001
- Jomah, S., Asdaq, S. M. B., and Al-Yamani, M. J. (2020). Clinical efficacy of antivirals against novel coronavirus (COVID-19):a review. J. Infect. Public Health 13, 1187–1195. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.07.013
- Kim, J. C., Spence, R. A., Currier, P. F., Lu, X., and Denison, M. R. (1995). Coronavirus Protein Processing and RNA Synthesis Is Inhibited by the Cysteine Proteinase Inhibitor E64d. *Virology* 208, 1–8. doi: 10.1006/viro.1995. 1123
- Kumar, S., Çalışkan, D. M., Janowski, J., Faist, A., Conrad, B. C. G., Lange, J., et al. (2021). Beyond Vaccines: clinical Status of Prospective COVID-19 Therapeutics. *Front. Immunol.* 12:752227. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.752227
- Lemey, P., Ruktanonchai, N., Hong, S. L., Colizza, V., Poletto, C., Van den Broeck, F., et al. (2021). Untangling introductions and persistence in COVID-19 resurgence in Europe. *Nature* 595, 713–717. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03754-2
- Lenard, J., Rabson, A., and Vanderoef, R. (1993). Photodynamic inactivation of infectivity of human immunodeficiency virus and other enveloped viruses using hypericin and rose bengal: inhibition of fusion and syncytia formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 90, 158–162. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.1.158
- Li, Q., and Shah, S. (2017). "Structure-based virtual screening," in *Protein Bioinformatics* (Berlin: Springer), 111–124.\*
- Liu, C., Zhu, X., Lu, Y., Zhang, X., Jia, X., and Yang, T. (2021). Potential treatment with Chinese and Western medicine targeting NSP14 of SARS-CoV-2. J. Pharm. Anal. 11, 272–277. doi: 10.1016/j.jpha.2020.08.002
- Lokhande, K. B., Doiphode, S., Vyas, R., and Swamy, K. V. (2021). Molecular docking and simulation studies on SARS-CoV-2 Mpro reveals Mitoxantrone. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 39, 7294–7305. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2020.180 5019
- Loschwitz, J., Jäckering, A., Keutmann, M., Olagunju, M., Eberle, R. J., Coronado, M. A., et al. (2021). Novel inhibitors of the main protease enzyme of SARS-CoV-2 identified via molecular dynamics simulation-guided in vitro assay. Bioorg. *Chemistry* 111:104862. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.104862
- Lucas, C., Wong, P., Klein, J., Castro, T. B. R., Silva, J., Sundaram, M., et al. (2020). Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. *Nature* 584, 463–469. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2588-y
- Mahase, E. (2021). Covid-19: Pfizer's paxlovid is 89% effective in patients at risk of serious illness, company reports. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n2713
- Ministério, D. M. (2021). Boletim epidemiológico especial Doença Pelo Novo Coronavírus COVID-19. Berlin: Springer.
- Miskovsky, P. (2002). Hypericin-a new antiviral and antitumor photosensitizer: mechanism of action and interaction with biological macromolecules. *Curr. Drug Targets* 3, 55–84.
- Mobley, D. L., and Dill, K. A. (2009). Binding of Small-Molecule Ligands to Proteins: "What You See" Is Not Always "What You Get. *Structure* 17, 489–498. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2009.02.010
- Montes-Grajales, D., Puerta-Guardo, H., Espinosa, D. A., Harris, E., Caicedo-Torres, W., Olivero-Verbel, J., et al. (2020). In silico drug repurposing for the identification of potential candidate molecules against arboviruses infection. *Antiviral Res.* 173:104668.
- Morris, G. M., Huey, R., Lindstrom, W., Sanner, M. F., Belew, R. K., Goodsell, D. S., et al. (2009). AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J. Comput. Chem. 30, 2785–2791.
- Naveca, F. G., Nascimento, V., de Souza, V. C., Corado, A., de, L., Nascimento, F., et al. (2021). COVID-19 in Amazonas. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1230–1238. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-021-01378-7
- Niyomrattanakit, P., Chen, Y.-L., Dong, H., Yin, Z., Qing, M., Glickman, J. F., et al. (2010). Inhibition of dengue virus polymerase by blocking of the RNA tunnel. *J. Virol.* 84, 5678–5686.

- Noble, S., and Faulds, D. (1996). Saquinavir. A review of its pharmacology and clinical potential in the management of HIV infection. *Drugs* 52, 93–112. doi: 10.2165/00003495-199652010-00007
- Ohashi, H., Watashi, K., Saso, W., Shionoya, K., Iwanami, S., Hirokawa, T., et al. (2021). Potential anti-COVID-19 agents, cepharanthine and nelfinavir, and their usage for combination treatment. *iScience* 24:102367. doi: 10.1016/j.isci. 2021.102367
- Pitsillou, E., Liang, J., Karagiannis, C., Ververis, K., Darmawan, K. K., Ng, K., et al. (2020a). Interaction of small molecules with the SARS-CoV-2 main protease in silico and in vitro validation of potential lead compounds using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* 89:107408. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2020.107408
- Pitsillou, E., Liang, J., Ververis, K., Lim, K. W., Hung, A., and Karagiannis, T. C. (2020b). Identification of Small Molecule Inhibitors of the Deubiquitinating Activity of the SARS-CoV-2 Papain-Like Protease: in silico Molecular Docking Studies and in vitro Enzymatic Activity Assay. *Front. Chem.* 8:623971. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2020.623971
- Pitsillou, E., Liang, J., Ververis, K., Hung, A., and Karagiannis, T. C. (2021). Interaction of small molecules with the SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease: in silico studies and in vitro validation of protease activity inhibition using an enzymatic inhibition assay. J. Mol. Graph. Model. 104:107408. doi: 10.1016/j. jmgm.2021.107851
- Plosker, G. L., and Noble, S. (1999). Indinavir: a review of its use in the management of HIV infection. *Drugs* 58, 1165–1203. doi: 10.2165/00003495-199958060-00011
- Pu, X., Liang, J., Wang, X., Xu, T., Hua, L., Shang, R., et al. (2009). Anti-influenza A virus effect of Hypericum perforatum L. extract. *Virol. Sin.* 24, 19–27. doi: 10.1007/s12250-009-2983-x
- Ren, J., Yuan, X., Li, J., Lin, S., Yang, B., Chen, C., et al. (2020). Assessing the performance of the g\_mmpbsa tools to simulate the inhibition of oseltamivir to influenza virus neuraminidase by molecular mechanics Poisson–Boltzmann surface area methods. J. Chinese Chem. Soc. 67, 46–53.
- Romeo, A., Iacovelli, F., and Falconi, M. (2020). Targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein prefusion conformation: virtual screening and molecular dynamics simulations applied to the identification of potential fusion inhibitors. *Virus Res.* 286:198068. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198068
- Rook, A. H., Wood, G. S., Duvic, M., Vonderheid, E. C., Tobia, A., and Cabana, B. (2010). A phase II placebo-controlled study of photodynamic therapy with topical hypericin and visible light irradiation in the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and psoriasis. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 63, 984–990. doi: 10. 1016/j.jaad.2010.02.039
- Senathilake, K., Samarakoon, S., and Tennekoon, K. (2020). Virtual screening of inhibitors against spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2: a drug repurposing approach. *Hypothesis* [Preprints] 2020030042. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10. 20944/preprints202003.0042.v2
- Shah, B., Modi, P., and Sagar, S. R. (2020). Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID- 19. *Life Sci. J.* 252:13.
- Shih, C.-M., Wu, C.-H., Wu, W.-J., Hsiao, Y.-M., and Ko, J.-L. (2018). Hypericin inhibits hepatitis C virus replication via deacetylation and down-regulation of heme oxygenase-1. *Phytomedicine* 46, 193–198. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2017.08. 009
- Shivanika, C., Kumar, D. S., Ragunathan, V., Tiwari, P., A, S., and P, B. D. (2020). Molecular docking, validation, dynamics simulations, and pharmacokinetic prediction of natural compounds against the SARS-CoV-2 main-protease. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 8, 1–27. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2020.1815584
- Sterling, T., and Irwin, J. J. (2015). ZINC 15 Ligand Discovery for Everyone. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 55, 2324–2337. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.5b00559
- Stobart, C. C., Lee, A. S., Lu, X., and Denison, M. R. (2012). Temperature-Sensitive Mutants and Revertants in the Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 5 Protease

(3CLpro) Define Residues Involved in Long-Distance Communication and Regulation of Protease Activity. J. Virol. 86, 4801–4810. doi: 10.1128/jvi.067 54-11

- Subissi, L., Posthuma, C. C., Collet, A., Zevenhoven-Dobbe, J. C., Gorbalenya, A. E., Decroly, E., et al. (2014). One severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus protein complex integrates processive RNA polymerase and exonuclease activities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E3900–E3909. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1323705111
- Tan, E. L. C., Ooi, E. E., Lin, C.-Y., Tan, H. C., Ling, A. E., Lim, B., et al. (2004). Inhibition of SARS coronavirus infection in vitro with clinically approved antiviral drugs. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10:581.
- Tian, L., Qiang, T., Liang, C., Ren, X., Jia, M., Zhang, J., et al. (2021). RNAdependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) inhibitors: the current landscape and repurposing for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 213:113201.
- Wang, C., Greene, D., Xiao, L., Qi, R., and Luo, R. (2018). Recent Developments and Applications of the MMPBSA Method. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 4:87. doi: 10. 3389/fmolb.2017.00087
- Wang, E., Sun, H., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Liu, H., Zhang, J. Z. H., et al. (2019). End-Point Binding Free Energy Calculation with MM/PBSA and MM/GBSA:strategies and Applications in Drug Design. *Chem. Rev.* 119, 9478– 9508. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00055

WHO (2021). COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update 68. Geneva: WHO.

- Xu, Z., Yao, H., Shen, J., Wu, N., Xu, Y., Lu, X., et al. (2020). Nelfinavir is active against SARS-CoV-2 in Vero E6 cells. *ChemRxiv* [Epub online ahead of print] doi: 10.26434/chemrxiv.12039888
- Yalçın, S., Yalçınkaya, S., and Ercan, F. (2021). Determination of Potential Drug Candidate Molecules of the Hypericum perforatum for COVID-19 Treatment. *Curr. Pharmacol. Rep.* 7, 42–48. doi: 10.1007/s40495-021-00 254-9
- Yamamoto, N., Matsuyama, S., Hoshino, T., and Yamamoto, N. (2020). Nelfinavir inhibits replication of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in vitro. *BioRxiv* [Preprint] doi: 10.1101/2020.04.06.026476
- Ye, Q., Wang, B., and Mao, J. (2020). The pathogenesis and treatment of the 'Cytokine Storm" in COVID-19.'. J. Infect. 80, 607–613. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020. 03.037
- Yin, W., Luan, X., Li, Z., Zhou, Z., Wang, Q., Gao, M., et al. (2021). Structural basis for inhibition of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA polymerase by suramin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 28, 319–325. doi: 10.1038/s41594-021-00 570-0
- Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., et al. (2020). Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan. *China* 395, 1054–1062. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Matos, Caetano, de Almeida Filho, Martins, de Oliveira, Sousa, Horta, Siqueira and Fernandez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.