

Metagenomic Analysis Reveals Changes in Bacterial Communities and Antibiotic Resistance Genes in an Eye Specialty Hospital and a General Hospital Before and After Wastewater Treatment

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Krassimira Hristova, Marquette University, United States

Reviewed by:

Rachelle E. Beattie, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States Rachel Susan Poretsky, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

*Correspondence:

Yongliang Lou louyongliang2013@163.com Meiqin Zheng zmq@eye.ac.cn †These authors have contributed

equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 04 January 2022 Accepted: 13 April 2022 Published: 19 May 2022

Citation:

Ma X, Dong X, Cai J, Fu C, Yang J, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Wan T, Lin S, Lou Y and Zheng M (2022) Metagenomic Analysis Reveals Changes in Bacterial Communities and Antibiotic Resistance Genes in an Eye Specialty Hospital and a General Hospital Before and After Wastewater Treatment. Front. Microbiol. 13:848167. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.848167 Xueli Ma^{1,2†}, Xu Dong^{3†}, Jiabei Cai^{1,2}, Chunyan Fu^{1,2}, Jing Yang^{1,2}, Yuan Liu^{1,2}, Yan Zhang^{1,2}, Tian Wan^{1,2}, Shudan Lin^{2,3}, Yongliang Lou^{3*} and Meiqin Zheng^{1,2,3*}

¹ Eye Hospital and School of Ophthalmology and Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ² National Clinical Research Center for Ocular Diseases, Wenzhou, China, ³ Wenzhou Key Laboratory of Sanitary Microbiology, Key Laboratory of Laboratory Medicine, Ministry of Education, School of Laboratory Medicine and Life Sciences, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

The spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in hospital wastewater poses a great threat to public health, and wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) play an important role in reducing the levels of ARB and ARGs. In this study, high-throughput metagenomic sequencing was used to analyze the bacterial community composition and ARGs in two hospitals exposed to different antibiotic use conditions (an eye specialty hospital and a general hospital) before and after wastewater treatment. The results showed that there were various potential pathogenic bacteria in the hospital wastewater, and the abundance and diversity of the influent ARGs in the general hospital were higher than those in the eye hospital. The influent of the eye hospital was mainly composed of Thauera and Pseudomonas, and sul1 (sulfonamide) was the most abundant ARG. The influent of the general hospital contained mainly Aeromonas and Acinetobacter, and tet39 (tetracycline) was the most abundant ARG. Furthermore, co-occurrence network analysis showed that the main bacteria carrying ARGs in hospital wastewater varied with hospital type; the same bacteria in wastewater from different hospitals could carry different ARGs, and the same ARG could also be carried by different bacteria. The changes in the bacterial community and ARG abundance in the effluent from the two hospitals showed that the activated sludge treatment and the direct chlorination disinfection can effectively remove some bacteria and ARGs in wastewater but have limitations. The species diversity increased significantly after the activated sludge treatment, while the direct chlorination disinfection did not increase the diversity. The activated sludge treatment has a better effect on the elimination of ARGs than the direct chlorination disinfection. In summary, we investigated

1

the differences in bacterial communities and ARGs in wastewater from two hospitals exposed to different antibiotic usage conditions, evaluated the effects of different wastewater treatment methods on the bacterial communities and ARGs in hospital wastewater, and recommended appropriate methods for certain clinical environments.

Keywords: hospital wastewater, wastewater treatment, antibiotic resistance genes, bacterial communities, metagenomic

INTRODUCTION

The number of clinical pathogens with resistance against antibiotics is increasing rapidly, seriously endangering the sustainability of human and veterinary antibiotic use (Pruden et al., 2013). Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are considered to be one of the hot spots for the release of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) into the environment. Some ARGs persist after treatment and can be released into natural aquatic systems (Michael et al., 2013); these genes have been detected in different environments, such as drinking water (Ma et al., 2019), lakes (Liu et al., 2018), rivers (Xia et al., 2017), and soil (Chen et al., 2016). Compared with urban and community wastewater, hospital wastewater may contain more ARGs. Hospital wastewater may contain pathogenic bacteria and high concentrations of antibiotics and other drugs (Baquero et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). Bacteria isolated from hospital wastewater environments usually have multidrug resistance (MDR) (Manoharan et al., 2021); these resistance genes can be transmitted to the environment, and individual treatment of hospital wastewater has been considered as a supplement to urban wastewater treatment (Szekeres et al., 2017). Identifying the bacterial community composition and the abundance of ARGs in hospital wastewater is a prerequisite for choosing appropriate wastewater treatment methods to prevent ARGs from spreading to the environment.

The spread of ARGs is a serious threat to human health, wastewater treatment plays a vital role in eliminating ARB and ARGs. Several researchers are devoted to building new wastewater treatment technologies. To date, several strategies have been developed to minimize the harm of pollutants in wastewater, including oxidation (Ganiyu et al., 2021), biological treatment (Zhu et al., 2021), adsorption (Burakov et al., 2018), membrane bioreactor (Ryu et al., 2021), etc. However, these wastewater treatment techniques are designed to eliminate overall ARG levels, ignoring they can also create conditions suitable for the growth of antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) carrying certain ARGs (Moreira et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2021), and these surviving ARBs are harmful and may participate in horizontal gene transfer (HGT) between bacterial pathogens to facilitate the spread of ARGs (Makowska et al., 2020). To promote the faster development of wastewater treatment technology and make this strategy more effective, it is important to focus on the bacteria carrying ARGs that persist after wastewater treatment while eliminating overall ARGs levels.

Previous studies have shown high-level beta-lactam resistance genes are frequently found in hospital wastewater, and the abundance of which is related to antibiotic use and antibiotic residues in hospitals (Rowe et al., 2017; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2020). However, bacterial community and the ARGs prevalence in different types of hospital wastewater were expected to be affected. Studies have shown that antibiotic usage varies among different diseases and infection sites, so the types and concentrations of antibiotics in wastewater from different hospitals are different (Coutu et al., 2013; Szekeres et al., 2017). The disease types associated with general hospitals are complex, and the antibiotics used are diverse, but the types of diseases treated in eye hospitals are relatively limited, and chloramphenicol, gentamicin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, and ofloxacin are the main antibiotics used to treat eye diseases (Watson et al., 2018). A comprehensive understanding of the distribution and function of ARB and ARGs in different types of hospitals wastewater is required to reduce the spread of ARGs.

The research on hospital wastewater is one of the hotspots in the field of wastewater. Several studies have shown hospitals wastewater contributed high concentrations of antibiotic resistance (AR) (Lamba et al., 2017; Szekeres et al., 2017), and a correlation between antibiotics, ARGs, and ARB in hospital wastewater have been found (Cai et al., 2021; Manoharan et al., 2021). Fluoroquinolones were detected at the highest concentration in hospital wastewater samples and the incomplete removal of antibiotics and ARGs in WWTP severely affected the receiving river (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2015). In this study, we selected wastewater from an eye hospital and a general hospital before and after treatment and performed metagenomics analysis on them. We identified the distribution and prevalence of bacterial community and ARGs in the Eye and General hospital wastewater. That is significant for ensuring that appropriate treatment methods are capable to be used in certain clinical settings to prevent the ARGs in hospital wastewater from spreading into the environment and threatening the health of humans and animals. In addition, we attempted to evaluate the effects of the activated sludge treatment process and the direct chlorination disinfection treatment process on the bacterial communities and ARGs in hospital wastewater. This work may help promote the efficient development of wastewater treatment technology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection and DNA Extraction

Two hospitals (an eye specialty hospital and a general hospital in Zhejiang, China) were chosen for analysis. Influent and effluent samples were collected from the eye hospital and the general hospital before and after treatment. An activated



sludge treatment process was applied in the eye hospital, and a direct chlorination disinfection treatment process was applied in the general hospital. Detailed information on the wastewater treatment processes of the two hospitals is provided in **Figure 1**.

Grab wastewater samples were collected from the raw influent (E.RW1-3 and G.RW1-3) and the final effluent (E.TW4-6 and G.TW4-6) of the eye hospital and general hospital. The samples were collected in sterilized Nalgene 1-liter polycarbonate bottles, transported to the laboratory in refrigerated containers, and processed within 12 h. To helps account for temporal variation, the sampling processes were repeated three times on April 16, April 27, and May 16 in 2021, and finally, a total of 12 samples were obtained. The volumes of wastewater filtered represented a compromise between the expected DNA extraction yield, DNA requirement, and filtration capacity of the membrane before collapse (Narciso-da-Rocha et al., 2018), that is, 200 mL of influent from the two hospitals, 500 mL of effluent from the eye hospital, and 300 mL of effluent from the general hospital, which were pre-filtered through 5µm pore size polycarbonate filters to remove impurities. Then, each filtrate was filtered again using a 0.22 µm membrane (Whatman) filter to capture and concentrate microbes. The filter membranes were stored at -80° C until DNA extraction using the Powerwater DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer indications. The concentration and quality of the extracted DNA were measured by spectrophotometry (DeNovix DS-11, United States). The degree of DNA degradation and potential contamination were examined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Metagenomic Analysis

The genomic DNA was sequenced by the Illumina NovaSeq-6000 platform. All data were uploaded to the NCBI database under BioProject accession number PRJNA770854. KneadData was applied to the raw paired-end sequences to trim adaptors and filter low-quality reads (length < 50 bp, quality value < 20, or containing N bases).¹ The remaining high-quality sequences were used for further analysis. Taxonomic classification at the species level was performed using Kraken2 (Wood et al., 2019). The detection and quantification of the resistance profiles of metagenomic data were conducted using ARGs-OAP with the default parameters (Yang et al., 2016). The core database of ARGs-OAP was the Structured Antibiotic Resistance Genes (SARG) database, which includes 24 ARG types (e.g., tetracycline resistance genes) and 1,244 ARG subtypes (e.g., *tetA* and *tetB*). The classification of the mechanism categories of the ARGs detected was performed by mapping resistance subtypes into the CARD database (Alcock et al., 2020). 219,795,608 (40.42%) of the genes in the Kraken2 could be uniquely and reliably assigned to a phylum, 157,896,430 (29.03%) to a genus, and 134,542,775 (24.74%) to a species. Still, nearly half of the genes belonged to uncharacterized "microbial dark matter" (Oh et al., 2014).

Statistical Analysis

Alpha and beta diversity index determination at the genus level, principal component analysis (PCA), and Procrustes analysis were conducted using the vegan package in R 4.0.5 software. ² The heatmap of ARGs and stacking diagrams of genera and ARGs were generated using the complex heatmap and ggalluvial packages, respectively. The co-occurrence patterns between ARG subtypes and microbial taxa were determined using network analysis. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's $\rho > 0.8$, P < 0.01) were calculated using Hmisc packages, and the network was visualized using the Gephi platform (Li et al., 2015). Significant differences in the relative abundance of bacterial groups and ARG subtypes at different taxonomic levels were identified using a two-sided Welch's *t*-test *via* Statistics Analysis Metagenomic Profiles (STAMP) software v2.1.3 (Parks et al., 2014), and P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Microbial Community Diversity and Richness

Rarefaction analysis showed that sequencing covered the largest species diversity in the four groups, implying that the sequencing depth of this study was sufficient (**Figure 2A**). Shannon's diversity

¹http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/kneaddata

²http://www.r-project.org/

Ma et al.



index and Simpson's diversity index based on the genus profiles in the four groups were calculated to evaluate the community richness and diversity. The total number of reads obtained as well as the diversity indices are summarized in **Table 1**. The influent had lower microbial richness than the effluent, but the difference between the influent of the two hospitals was not significant. The Shannon index and Simpson index of the effluent of the eye hospital both increased significantly (P < 0.05), indicating that the activated sludge wastewater treatment process increased the abundance of microbial communities. However, the values for the general hospital, which used a direct chlorination disinfection treatment, did not increase (**Figure 2B**).

As shown in **Figure 2C**, PCA and PERMANOVA analysis showed that the influent and effluent from the two hospitals were clustered, and there were significant differences in beta diversity among the four groups (P = 0.001). Additionally, both the eye hospital and the general hospital showed stability in the effluent and high variability in the influent.

TABLE 1 List of samples used and overview of the total number of raw

 sequences and clean sequences, Shannon index, and Simpson index obtained

 for each library.

Locations Samples Raw reads Clean reads Shannon index Simpson index Eye hospital influent E.RW.1 78,749,707 74,530,946 4.712 12.345 Eye hospital influent E.RW.2 33,721,368 32,584,394 5.047 18.441 E.RW.2 33,721,368 32,584,394 5.047 18.441 E.RW.3 52,106,470 50,810,821 6.302 107.176 Eye hospital effluent E.TW.4 43,906,451 41,883,561 7.044 152.788 E.TW.5 39,886,889 37,964,624 7.256 177.738 E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 General hospital effluent G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832						
Influent E.RW.2 33,721,368 32,584,394 5.047 18.441 E.RW.3 52,106,470 50,810,821 6.302 107.176 Eye hospital effluent E.TW.4 43,906,451 41,883,561 7.044 152.788 E.TW.5 39,886,889 37,964,624 7.256 177.738 E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 Mospital effluent G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511	Locations	Samples	Raw reads			Simpson index
E.RW.3 52,106,470 50,810,821 6.302 107.176 Eye hospital effluent E.RW.3 52,106,470 50,810,821 6.302 107.176 Eye hospital effluent E.TW.4 43,906,451 41,883,561 7.044 152.788 E.TW.5 39,886,889 37,964,624 7.256 177.738 E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		E.RW.1	78,749,707	74,530,946	4.712	12.345
Eye hospital effluent E.TW.4 43,906,451 41,883,561 7.044 152.788 effluent E.TW.5 39,886,889 37,964,624 7.256 177.738 E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		E.RW.2	33,721,368	32,584,394	5.047	18.441
effluent E.TW.5 39,886,889 37,964,624 7.256 177.738 E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 hospital influent G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 hospital effluent G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		E.RW.3	52,106,470	50,810,821	6.302	107.176
General hospital influent E.TW.6 44,369,148 43,286,885 7.428 364.500 General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511	, i	E.TW.4	43,906,451	41,883,561	7.044	152.788
General hospital influent G.RW.1 49,439,600 47,368,291 4.362 20.015 G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		E.TW.5	39,886,889	37,964,624	7.256	177.738
hospital influent G.RW.2 40,211,581 39,218,420 5.070 34.970 G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		E.TW.6	44,369,148	43,286,885	7.428	364.500
G.RW.3 41,047,141 39,921,259 5.770 29.217 General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		G.RW.1	49,439,600	47,368,291	4.362	20.015
General hospital effluent G.TW.4 39,806,548 38,828,888 5.117 35.832 G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		G.RW.2	40,211,581	39,218,420	5.070	34.970
hospital effluent G.TW.5 36,853,900 35,791,795 5.063 30.511		G.RW.3	41,047,141	39,921,259	5.770	29.217
		G.TW.4	39,806,548	38,828,888	5.117	35.832
G.TW.6 64,035,650 61,184,335 5.259 44.226		G.TW.5	36,853,900	35,791,795	5.063	30.511
		G.TW.6	64,035,650	61,184,335	5.259	44.226

Taxonomic Classification and Community Diversity

Bacterial Diversity at the Phylum Level

The most abundant kingdom was bacteria, representing > 93% of the relative abundance in each individual sample, whereas the relative abundance of Archaea, Eukaryota, and Viruses were lower. The organisms from all kingdoms were classified into 39 phyla and 1423 genera. At the phylum level, the influent from the two hospitals were dominated by four phyla: *Proteobacteria* (E.RW 84.84%, G.RW 65.51%), *Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes*, and *Actinobacteria*, but the abundances were different (**Figure 3A**).

Among the effluent from eye hospital, the abundance of *Actinobacteria* increased (E.RW 2.60%, E.TW 8.45%, P < 0.05), but *Proteobacteria* (80.70%) was still the most abundant. The effluent from the general hospital showed that increased abundances of *Bacteroides* and *Firmicutes*, while the abundance of *Proteobacteria* (E.RW 65.51%, E.TW 33.99%, P < 0.05) decreased, and the relative abundance of the bacterial communities changed significantly (the relative abundances of *Bacteroides*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Firmicutes* were evenly distributed at approximately 30% each).

Bacterial Diversity at the Genus and Species Levels

At the genus level, *Thauera* (16.17%) and *Pseudomonas* (9.92%) were dominant in the eye hospital influent, and their abundances decreased to 4.62 and 6.19%, respectively, in the effluent, while *Thauera* and *Pseudomonas* accounted for only 0.09 and 1.69%, respectively, in the general hospital influent. Similarly, *Aeromonas* (19.57%) and *Acinetobacter* (16.65%) were dominant in the general hospital influent, and their abundances decreased to 8.05 and 2.75%, respectively, in the effluent, while the proportion of these two bacteria in the influent of the eye hospital was less than 1% (**Figure 3B**).

Further analysis at the species level showed that the most abundant *Pseudomonas* species in the eye hospital influent were *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* (2.41%) and *Pseudomonas entomophila* (2.37%). Among the most abundant *Aeromonas* and *Acinetobacter* species in the general hospital influent were *Acinetobacter johnsonii* (9.30%) and *Aeromonas caviae* (4.81%). Notably, the abundances of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (E.RW



0.27%, E.TW 1.13%) and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (E.RW 0.37%, E.TW 0.82%) increased instead of decreased under the wastewater treatment process of the eye hospital.

Abundance and Diversity of Antibiotic Resistance Genes

Antibiotic Resistance Gene Types

A total of 20 ARG types were identified in the 12 influent and effluent samples from the two hospitals, and the 10 most abundant ARGs are shown in **Figure 4**. The total abundance of all ARG types in all the samples ranged from 1.055341 to 2.262843 copies of ARG/copy of the 16S rRNA gene, and aminoglycoside (0.10 ARG/16S rRNA), multidrug (0.092 ARG/16S rRNA) and beta-lactam (0.074 ARG/16S rRNA) resistance genes had the highest abundances, followed by sulfonamide, tetracycline, and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (MLS) resistance genes.

Figure 5A shows the abundances of ARG types in each sample. The average total abundance of ARGs in the influent of eye hospital and general hospital was 1.614 \pm 0.177 ARG/16S rRNA and 1.883 \pm 0.451 ARG/16S rRNA, respectively, while in the



effluent, it was 1.240 \pm 0.237 ARG/16S rRNA and 1.278 \pm 0.048 ARG/16S rRNA, respectively, indicating that the average total abundance of ARGs in the general hospital influent was higher than that in the eye hospital influent. Moreover, the abundance of ARG detected in the influent of the two hospitals was higher than that in their respective effluent, indicating that both WWTPs effectively reduced the ARG abundances in the wastewater.

Figure 5B shows the relative percent abundance of ARG types in each sample, and the main ARG types and abundance in the two hospitals were different. The most abundant ARG types in the eye hospital influent were aminoglycoside (0.287ARG/16S rRNA), sulfonamide (0.274 ARG/16S rRNA), multidrug (0.262 ARG/16S rRNA), and beta-lactam (0.226 ARG/16S rRNA) resistance genes, which accounted for 64.99% of the total ARGs in the eye hospital influent. Similarly, the most abundant ARG types in the general hospital influent were aminoglycoside (0.379 ARG/16S rRNA), tetracycline (0.327 ARG/16S rRNA) betalactam (0.301 ARG/16S rRNA) and multidrug (0.267 ARG/16S rRNA) resistance genes, which accounted for 67.78% of the total ARGs in the general hospital influent. Furthermore, statistical analysis by STAMP (**Supplementary Figure 1**) showed that there were significant differences in 7 of the 20 ARG types (P < 0.05), among which bacitracin and trimethoprim resistance genes were abundant in the eye hospital influent, while tetracycline, quinolone, polymyxin, fosfomycin, and kasugamycin resistance genes were abundant in the general hospital influent, which further indicates that the abundance of ARG types in the influent of the two hospitals was different.

As shown in **Figure 5**, the abundance of the main ARG types in the effluent of the two hospitals changed, and the treatment also changed the ARG composition in the wastewater of the two hospitals. The vancomycin resistance gene abundance was significantly decreased in the effluent from the eye hospital by the activated sludge treatment process (P < 0.05). Nevertheless, the abundance of aminoglycoside and chloramphenicol resistance genes decreased significantly in the effluent from the general hospital by the direct chlorination process (P < 0.05). Interestingly, the abundance of MLS resistance genes in the



the ARG types in each sample with the total abundance normalized to 100%.

effluent of the general hospital was significantly higher than that in the influent (P < 0.05). In other words, the relative abundance of MLS resistance genes increased after treatment.

Antibiotic Resistance Gene Subtypes

A total of 715 subtypes were identified in the wastewater of the two hospitals, 463 and 614 subtypes were detected in the influent of the eye hospital and general hospital, respectively, indicating that the ARG subtypes in the influent from the general hospital were more diverse than those from the eye hospital (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Further analysis of the influent and effluent of the two hospitals showed that the diversity and abundance of ARG subtypes in the eye hospital effluent were significantly lower than those in the influent, indicating that the activated sludge wastewater treatment process reduced the diversity and abundance of ARGs.

As shown in Supplementary Figure 3, the influents of the two hospitals contained different abundances of ARG subtypes. The most abundant ARG subtypes in the eve hospital influent were sul1 (sulfonamides, 0.256 ARG/16S rRNA), aadA (aminoglycosides, 0.127 ARG/16S rRNA), qacE∆1 (MDR, 0.114 ARG/16S rRNA), and bacA (bacteriocins, 0.0853 ARG/16S rRNA), and these ARG subtypes accounted for 36.8% of the total ARG subtype abundance detected in the eye hospital influent. However, the most abundant ARG subtypes in the general hospital influent were tet39 (tetracyclines, 0.144 ARG/16S rRNA), sul1 (sulfonamides, 0.129 ARG/16S rRNA), aph(3")-I (aminoglycosides, 0.083 ARG/16S rRNA), and aadA (aminoglycosides, 0.071 ARG/16S rRNA), and these ARG subtypes accounted for 22.72% of the total ARG subtype abundance detected in the general hospital influent. Furthermore, the statistical analysis by STAMP showed that 9 subtypes had significantly higher abundances in the eye hospital than in the general hospital, and 12 subtypes had significantly lower abundances in the eye hospital than in the general hospital (Figure 6).

The analysis of the influent and effluent from the two hospitals revealed that the total abundance of ARG subtypes was significantly decreased after treatment by the activated sludge process, and the abundance of most ARG subtypes decreased to a certain extent, of which *lnuB* (MLS), *ermG* (MLS), aadE (aminoglycosides), and mefA (MLS) showed a significant decrease in abundance (P < 0.05). Surprisingly, three of these four subtypes were MLS resistance genes, and the abundance of the tetracycline resistance gene tetE did not decrease but increased (P < 0.05). We hypothesized that the activated sludge treatment processhad a better removal effect on MLS resistance genes. After the direct chlorination disinfection process in the general hospital, the abundances of most ARG subtypes also decreased to a certain extent, but only one resistance gene, *dfrb1* (trimethoprim), showed a significant decrease (P < 0.05). Similar to the activated sludge treatment process, after the direct chlorination disinfection treatment, the abundances of 7 ARG subtypes did not decrease but increased (P < 0.05), including 4 tetracycline, 2 beta-lactam, 1 MLS, and 1 vancomycin resistance gene.

Antibiotic Resistance Gene Resistance Mechanism Categories

All the ARG subtypes were classified into five primary mechanism categories: 485 antibiotic inactivation, 109 antibiotic efflux, 49 antibiotic target alteration, 29 antibiotic target replacement, and 15 antibiotic target protection. The unclassified mechanism categories were named "others" in this study. The dominant resistance mechanism categories detected in all of the wastewater samples were antibiotic inactivation and antibiotic efflux (**Supplementary Figure 4**). It is worth noting that each of these wastewaters contained ARGs belonging to all five mechanism categories.

In the eye hospital, the abundance of ARGs in the effluent treated by the activated sludge process was lower than that in the influent, and the abundance of antibiotic target alterationrelated genes was significantly lower than that in the influent (P < 0.05). In the general hospital using direct chlorination disinfection process, the abundance of antibiotic inactivation-related genes in the effluent was significantly lower than that in the influent (P < 0.05), while the abundance of antibiotic target alteration and antibiotic target protection-related genes were higher than that in the influent (P < 0.05); the abundances of genes related to other types of resistance mechanisms were also reduced.

Co-occurrence Patterns Between Antibiotic Resistance Genes and Microbial Taxa

To study the correlation between ARGs and the microbial community, Procrustes analysis was used to analyze the ARGs and microbial community in these 12 samples, and the results showed that the ARG composition was significantly correlated with the microbial community composition ($M^2 = 0.2901$, P = 0.001). Briefly, changes in the microbial community resulted in different ARG compositions in wastewater (Figure 7). However, procrustes analysis could provide only the overall correlation between ARGs and the microbial community. Therefore, correlation network analysis was performed to identify detailed relationships; this analysis was performed based on the significant correlations (Spearman's correlation coefficient $R^2 > 0.8$; P-value < 0.01).

We selected 30 genera and 70 subtypes with high abundances in the two hospitals for network analysis. The results showed significant differences in the potential primary bacteria carrying ARGs in the wastewater of the two hospitals. As shown in Figure 8A, according to the co-occurrence results, 24 bacterial genera were potential hosts for 36 ARG subtypes in the eye hospital. Among them, Bifidobacterium and Phocaeicola were the main bacterial genera related to ARGs, serving as potential hosts of 7 ARG subtypes. Stenotrophomonas and Azoarcus also carry 7 ARG subtypes, but the abundances of these two genera were relatively low. Xanthomonas and Lysobacter may carry 6 ARG subtypes. However, as shown in Figure 8B, according to the cooccurrence analysis results, 22 bacterial genera were potential hosts for 35 ARG subtypes in the general hospital. Among them, Enterobacter and Phascolarctobacterium were the main bacterial genera related to ARGs, serving as potential hosts of 10 ARG subtypes; in addition, Lactobacillus carries 9 ARG subtypes.

Bifidobacterium was the potential host of the majority of the ARGs in the eye hospital, including MLS (*ereA*, *ermG*, *mefA*), tetracycline (*tetM*, *tetQ*), aminoglycoside (*aadE*), and beta-lactam (*CfxA2*) resistance genes; however, *Bifidobacterium* was a potential host carrying only two ARG subtypes, kasugamycin (kasugamycin resistance protein *KsgA*), MDR (*TolC*), in the general hospital wastewater. In the general hospital, *Acinetobacter* was the potential host of the majority of the ARGs, including MDR (*acrB*, *adeJ*, *mdtB*, *mexT*), aminoglycoside [*aac*(3)-*II*, *aph*(3")-*I*, *aph*(6)-*I*], and MLS (*oprM*) resistance genes, but in eye hospital wastewater, *Acinetobacter* was a potential host carrying only one ARG subtype, namely, chloramphenicol (*cat*). In conclusion, the same bacteria in the eye hospitals and the general hospitals may carry different ARG subtypes, and the same



ARG subtype may also be carried by different bacteria in the two types of hospitals.

DISCUSSION

Potential pathogenic bacteria and ARGs in hospital wastewater pose a considerable threat to public health. Metagenomic

analysis revealed the complexity of the microbial communities and provided a functional profile of ARGs and patterns of co-occurrence between ARGs and potential host bacteria (Chakraborty et al., 2020). Our study identified the distribution and prevalence of bacterial community and ARGs in the Eye and General hospital wastewater and attempted to evaluate the effects of the activated sludge treatment process and the direct chlorination disinfection treatment process in hospital



wastewater. Studies on different types of hospitals before and after wastewater treatment offer an objective basis for recommending appropriate treatment methods for certain clinical environments.

In this study, we found that the microbial community structure in the influent was different between the two types of hospitals, and there were many clinically pathogenic bacteria present in the samples. Previous studies on hospital WWTPs (HWWTPs) and urban WWTPs (UWWTPs) have also shown that Proteobacteria, Bacteroides, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria dominate the influent (Tang et al., 2016; Lira et al., 2020; Manoharan et al., 2021; Rodriguez et al., 2021). The human gut microbes predominant in influent may originate from residues of human feces released into wastewater and may even include pathogens from patients (Karkman et al., 2019). Wastewater is rich in nitrogen, phosphorus, and other nutrients, which promote the growth and reproduction of bacteria. Proteobacteria and Firmicutes include several of the most important human bacterial pathogens, such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Staphylococcus aureus. Bacteroides participate in many important metabolic activities

in the human colon. Actinomycetes play an important role in the decomposition of organic matter. At the genus level, Thauera is abundant in activated sludge and can grow in aerobic and denitrifying conditions with large quantities of organic matter and aromatic compounds (Mechichi et al., 2002). Pseudomonas, Aeromonas, and Acinetobacter include most of the common clinical pathogens, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii often cause urinary tract infections and meningitis, etc. (Girija et al., 2020; Motbainor et al., 2020; Kizilates et al., 2021). These are also the key pathogens associated with hospital and community-acquired infections (Dijkshoorn et al., 2007). Varela et al. (2016) found that Aeromonas contained various ARGs in wastewater and suggested that this genus can be used as a tracer for monitoring the spread of antibiotic resistance in wastewater. In summary, hospital wastewater contains a high proportion of potential pathogens, and research on the potential microbiota of hospital wastewater and on the clinical pathogens present is particularly important.

The abundance and diversity of ARGs in the general hospital were higher than those in the eye hospital, and differences



Edges weighted according to the correlation coefficient and node size weighted according to the number of connections.

in human medical activities play an important role. The ARG types with high abundance correspond to the types of antibiotics commonly used in hospital medical treatment (Cai et al., 2021). Although in the same hospital different medical activities (surgical source wastewater and patient living source wastewater) have found that there were differences in the microbial community and ARGs (Manoharan et al., 2021). Patients in general hospitals and eye hospitals widely use different

types of antibiotics, promoting and maintaining the occurrence of various ARGs (Cai et al., 2021). Many studies have shown that hospital wastewater contains a high proportion of clinical pathogens, and general hospitals have a more complex clinical pathogen spectrum than eye hospitals. The larger scale of general hospitals than eye hospitals, accommodating more patients and bearing greater pressure, which is one of the reasons why microbial communities in general hospitals are more diverse (Lamba et al., 2017).

The ARG abundances in the influents of the general hospital were significantly higher than eve hospital influents, and the ARG types may be closely related to the hospital types. Aminoglycoside resistance genes were the most abundant in the influent of the two hospitals. In addition, greater abundances of sulfonamide resistance genes were found in the eye hospital, while greater abundances of tetracycline resistance genes were found in the general hospital. This result is consistent with the findings of Guo et al. (2021). Their study found that tetracycline resistance genes were the most abundant in untreated wastewater in a general hospital, while bacitracin resistance genes were the more abundant in untreated wastewater in a stomatology hospital. Based on these findings, we speculate that the main types of ARGs in the wastewater of specialist hospitals are different from those of general hospitals, and the ARG types also differ among different types of specialist hospitals. Tetracycline resistance genes generally have a higher abundance in the wastewater of general hospitals. This may be because tetracycline has been widely used to treat humans and tetracycline resistance genes are ubiquitous and abundant in the human gut (Hu et al., 2013). At present, tetracycline drugs are used cautiously to treat human diseases.

Pathogenic bacteria carrying ARGs pose a higher risk to human health (Shi et al., 2021). Multidrug-resistant bacteria detected in hospital wastewater pose a considerable threat to public health. Bifidobacterium has been recommended as a potential indicator of human fecal contamination in surface water, and its high correlation with ARGs also highlights the impact of human feces and human intestinal flora carrying ARGs on the spread of ARGs (Nebra et al., 2003). Enterobacter is considered to be a repository of ARGs (Diene and Rolain, 2013). Among these bacteria, HGT of Klebsiella pneumoniae resistance genes has been considered to be the main reason for the widespread existence of MDR in the hospital environment. Studies have shown that Acinetobacter can multiply in the hospital environment and cause up to 9% of hospital infections (Joly-Guillou, 2005). The differences between our results and those of previous studies provide a reference for predicting other new potential ARG hosts, and the corresponding relationship needs to be further verified by other methods, such as binning.

In addition, we found different changes in the effluent of the two types of hospitals. The uniformity and richness of the microbial community in the wastewater changed significantly, and the effects of different treatment methods were also different. The species diversity increased significantly after the activated sludge wastewater treatment, while the direct chlorination disinfection process did not increase the diversity. Increased species diversity in the effluent was also found in wastewater samples from other HWWTPs or UWWTPs (Lira et al., 2020; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2020; Manoharan et al., 2021). Changes in alpha diversity and the appearance of several genera belonging to specific microbial communities may be related to the process conditions of individual treatments (Hu et al., 2012; Wolff et al., 2018). The activated sludge process uses sludge-like flocs formed by the reproduction of aerobic microorganisms. This floc has a strong ability to adsorb and oxidize organic matter. Studies have shown that aerobic activated sludge samples have high species richness (Johnson et al., 2015), and chlorination achieves the disinfection effect directly through oxidation. In addition, the increase in species diversity during wastewater treatment may be related to the formation of biofilms in the WWTP environment (Wakelin et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2018). However, considering that there are many possible reasons for the differences in microbial community structure, more comprehensive and indepth studies are needed to verify this hypothesis.

Similarly, the ARGs in the two types of hospitals effluent also changed in significantly different manners. Sul1 can be transferred between bacteria in different environments, and its high abundance indicates that the potential risk of HGT between different bacteria in the environment is high (He et al., 2020). The treatment process of the WWTPs was effective in removing part of the ARGs (Tang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Pallares-Vega et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2021), but it also promoted bacterial growth and ARG exchange, leading to a further increase in ARGs (Du et al., 2015; Farkas et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2020). Whether wastewater is safe after treatment has always been the focus of debate. Previous research results have shown that the effectiveness of the chlorination process to eliminate ARGs is limited (Auerbach et al., 2007; Munir et al., 2011), and the abundance of ARB increases after chlorination, including of bacteria belonging to Enterobacteriaceae (Mokracka et al., 2012; Al-Jassim et al., 2015). In this study, we found that the direct chlorination- disinfection process had a poor removal effect on MLS resistance genes and even enriched these genes. In contrast, the activated sludge treatment had a better effect on the removal of MLS resistance genes. Moreover, we found that the activated sludge wastewater treatment has a better effect on the elimination of ARGs than the direct chlorination disinfection. Only a tetE (tetracycline) increased after treatment and our current medical needs for tetracycline antibiotics are low. However, some researchers believe that the effects of direct chlorination and the activated sludge process are equivalent, even though the activated sludge treatment process takes significantly longer than the direct chlorination disinfection process (Azuma and Hayashi, 2021).

The above results suggest that we should pay more attention to the treatment and emission standards for hospital wastewater. According to the specific situation of hospital wastewater, more reasonable and effective strategies should be formulated and implemented to limit the increase and spread of antibiotic resistance in the environment. Increasing the sampling locations and the number of samples sequenced will be helpful to monitor the microbial trends and diversity shifts. Linking wastewater strains with hospital clinical isolates to analyze the potential correlation between the ARB and ARG should also be considered in future hospital wastewater studies.

In conclusion, we used high-throughput metagenomic sequencing technology to analyze the bacterial community composition and the prevalence of ARGs before and after wastewater treatment in the two hospitals exposed to different antibiotic usage conditions (an eye hospital and a general hospital). We found that there were significant differences in the bacterial community and ARG composition of the influent between the two hospitals. The same bacteria in wastewater from different hospitals could carry different ARGs, and the same ARG could also be carried by different bacteria. The activated sludge treatment process and the direct chlorination disinfection have different effects on bacterial communities and ARGs in wastewater but also have limitations. We found that the activated sludge wastewater treatment has a better effect on the elimination of ARGs than the direct chlorination disinfection. Therefore, it is crucial to select appropriate wastewater treatment methods according to the specific wastewater conditions to reduce the wide distribution of ARB and ARGs in the water environment.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/, PRJNA770854.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MZ and YLo contributed to the conception, design, and interpretation of the data. XM and XD contributed to data analysis and drafted the manuscript. JC, CF, JY, YLi, YZ, TW, and SL contributed to sample collection and DNA extraction. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge all study participants and individuals who contributed to this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022. 848167/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Mean proportion and their differences for discriminative ARG types between samples of E.RW and G.RW.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Numbers of shared ARG subtypes by E.RW, E.TW, G.RW, and G.TW samples.

Supplementary Figure 3 | Abundance of the 100 major ARG subtypes in the samples.

Supplementary Figure 4 | The abundance of ARGs belonging to different resistance mechanism categories.

REFERENCES

- Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., et al. (2020). CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D517– D525. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz935
- Al-Jassim, N., Ansari, M. I., Harb, M., and Hong, P.-Y. (2015). Removal of bacterial contaminants and antibiotic resistance genes by conventional wastewater treatment processes in Saudi Arabia: is the treated wastewater safe to reuse for agricultural irrigation? *Water Res.* 73, 277–290. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2015.01. 036
- Auerbach, E. A., Seyfried, E. E., and Mcmahon, K. D. (2007). Tetracycline resistance genes in activated sludge wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res.* 41, 1143– 1151. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2006.11.045
- Azuma, T., and Hayashi, T. J. S. O. T. T. E. (2021). On-site chlorination responsible for effective disinfection of wastewater from hospital. *Sci. Total Environ.* 776:145951. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145951
- Baquero, F., Martínez, J.-L., and Cantón, R. (2008). Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in water environments. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 19, 260–265. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2008.05.006
- Burakov, A. E., Galunin, E. V., Burakova, I. V., Kucherova, A. E., Agarwal, S., Tkachev, A. G., et al. (2018). Adsorption of heavy metals on conventional and nanostructured materials for wastewater treatment purposes: a review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 148, 702–712. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.11.034
- Cai, L., Sun, J., Yao, F., Yuan, Y., Zeng, M., Zhang, Q., et al. (2021). Antimicrobial resistance bacteria and genes detected in hospital sewage provide valuable information in predicting clinical antimicrobial resistance. *Sci. Total Environ.* 795:148815. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148815
- Chakraborty, J., Sapkale, V., Rajput, V., Shah, M., Kamble, S., and Dharne, M. (2020). Shotgun metagenome guided exploration of anthropogenically driven resistomic hotspots within Lonar soda lake of India. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 194:110443. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110443
- Chen, Q., An, X., Li, H., Su, J., Ma, Y., and Zhu, Y.-G. (2016). Long-term field application of sewage sludge increases the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in soil. *Environ. Int.* 92, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2016.03.026
- Coutu, S., Rossi, L., Barry, D. A., Rudaz, S., and Vernaz, N. (2013). Temporal variability of antibiotics fluxes in wastewater and contribution from hospitals. *PLoS One* 8:e53592. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.005 3592
- Diene, S. M., and Rolain, J.-M. (2013). Investigation of antibiotic resistance in the genomic era of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli, especially *Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter. Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 11, 277–296. doi: 10.1586/eri.13.1
- Dijkshoorn, L., Nemec, A., and Seifert, H. (2007). An increasing threat in hospitals: multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5, 939–951. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1789
- Du, J., Geng, J., Ren, H., Ding, L., Xu, K., and Zhang, Y. (2015). Variation of antibiotic resistance genes in municipal wastewater treatment plant with A 2 O-MBR system. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 3715–3726. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3552-x
- Farkas, A., Bocoş, B., and Butiuc-Keul, A. (2016). Antibiotic resistance and intII carriage in waterborne *Enterobacteriaceae*. Water Air Soil Pollut. 227, 1–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4565.2010.00258.x
- Ganiyu, S. O., Martínez-Huitle, C. A., and Oturan, M. A. (2021). Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: advances in formation and detection of reactive species and mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 27:100678. doi: 10.1016/j.coelec.2020.100678
- Girija, S. A., Priyadharsini, J. V., and Paramasivam, A. (2020). Prevalence of carbapenem-hydrolyzing OXA-type β -lactamases among *Acinetobacter baumannii* in patients with severe urinary tract infection. *Acta Microbiol. Immunol. Hung.* 67, 49–55. doi: 10.1556/030.66.2019.030
- Guo, X., Tang, N., Lei, H., Fang, Q., Liu, L., Zhou, Q., et al. (2021). Metagenomic analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in untreated wastewater from three different hospitals. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:709051. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.709051
- He, P., Wu, Y., Huang, W., Wu, X., Lv, J., Liu, P., et al. (2020). Characteristics of and variation in airborne ARGs among urban hospitals and adjacent urban and suburban communities: a metagenomic approach. *Environ. Int.* 139:105625. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105625

- Hu, M., Wang, X., Wen, X., and Xia, Y. (2012). Microbial community structures in different wastewater treatment plants as revealed by 454-pyrosequencing analysis. *Bioresour. Technol.* 117, 72–79. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.061
- Hu, Y., Yang, X., Qin, J., Lu, N., Cheng, G., Wu, N., et al. (2013). Metagenome-wide analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in a large cohort of human gut microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1–7. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3151
- Johnson, D. R., Lee, T. K., Park, J., Fenner, K., and Helbling, D. E. (2015). The functional and taxonomic richness of wastewater treatment plant microbial communities are associated with each other and with ambient nitrogen and carbon availability. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 4851–4860. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920. 12429
- Joly-Guillou, M.-L. (2005). Clinical impact and pathogenicity of Acinetobacter. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 11, 868–873. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01227.x
- Karkman, A., Pärnänen, K., and Larsson, D. J. (2019). Fecal pollution can explain antibiotic resistance gene abundances in anthropogenically impacted environments. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1–8. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07992-3
- Kizilates, F., Keskin, A. S., and Onder, K. D. (2021). Clinical features of postoperative nosocomial meningitis in adults and evaluation of efficiency of intrathecal treatment. *Surg. Infect.* 22, 1059–1063. doi: 10.1089/sur.2021.024
- Kumar, M., Ram, B., Sewwandi, H., Honda, R., and Chaminda, T. (2020). Treatment enhances the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes in the wastewater of Sri Lanka, and India. *Environ. Res.* 183:109179. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109179
- Lamba, M., Graham, D. W., and Ahammad, S. (2017). Hospital wastewater releases of carbapenem-resistance pathogens and genes in urban India. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 13906–13912. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b03380
- Li, B., Yang, Y., Ma, L., Ju, F., Guo, F., Tiedje, J. M., et al. (2015). Metagenomic and network analysis reveal wide distribution and co-occurrence of environmental antibiotic resistance genes. *ISME J.* 9, 2490–2502. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.59
- Li, L., Dechesne, A., He, Z., Madsen, J. S., Nesme, J., Sørensen, S. J., et al. (2018). Estimating the transfer range of plasmids encoding antimicrobial resistance in a wastewater treatment plant microbial community. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 5, 260–265. doi: 10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00105
- Lira, F., Vaz-Moreira, I., Tamames, J., Manaia, C. M., and Martinez, J. L. (2020). Metagenomic analysis of an urban resistome before and after wastewater treatment. *Sci. Rep.* 10:8174. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-65031-y
- Liu, X., Lu, S., Guo, W., Xi, B., and Wang, W. (2018). Antibiotics in the aquatic environments: a review of lakes. *China. Sci. Total Environ.* 627, 1195–1208. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.271
- Ma, L., Li, B., and Zhang, T. (2019). New insights into antibiotic resistome in drinking water and management perspectives: a metagenomic based study of small-sized microbes. *Water Res.* 152, 191–201. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.12. 069
- Makowska, N., Philips, A., Dabert, M., Nowis, K., Trzebny, A., Koczura, R., et al. (2020). Metagenomic analysis of β-lactamase and carbapenemase genes in the wastewater resistome. *Water Res.* 170:115277. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2019. 115277
- Manoharan, R. K., Srinivasan, S., Shanmugam, G., and Ahn, Y. H. (2021). Shotgun metagenomic analysis reveals the prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements in full scale hospital wastewater treatment plants. *J. Environ. Manage.* 296:113270. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113270
- Mechichi, T., Stackebrandt, E., Gad'on, N., and Fuchs, G. (2002). Phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of bacteria degrading aromatic compounds under denitrifying conditions, and description of *Thauera phenylacetica* sp. nov., *Thauera aminoaromatica* sp. nov., and *Azoarcus buckelii* sp. nov. Arch. Microbiol. 178, 26–35. doi: 10.1007/s00203-002-0422-6
- Michael, I., Rizzo, L., Mcardell, C., Manaia, C., Merlin, C., Schwartz, T., et al. (2013). Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of antibiotics in the environment: a review. *Water Res.* 47, 957–995. doi: 10.1016/ j.watres.2012.11.027
- Mokracka, J., Koczura, R., and Kaznowski, A. (2012). Multiresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* with class 1 and class 2 integrons in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Water Res.* 46, 3353–3363. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.037
- Moreira, N. F., Narciso-Da-Rocha, C., Polo-López, M. I., Pastrana-Martínez, L. M., Faria, J. L., Manaia, C. M., et al. (2018). Solar treatment (H2O2, TiO2-P25 and GO-TiO2 photocatalysis, photo-Fenton) of organic micropollutants, human pathogen indicators, antibiotic resistant bacteria and related genes in urban wastewater. *Water Res.* 135, 195–206. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.01.064

- Motbainor, H., Bereded, F., and Mulu, W. (2020). Multi-drug resistance of blood stream, urinary tract and surgical site nosocomial infections of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among patients hospitalized at
- Felegehiwot referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 20:92. doi: 10.1186/s12879-020-4811-8 Munir, M., Wong, K., and Xagoraraki, I. (2011). Release of antibiotic resistant heateric and games in the offwart and hispaticle of fue waterwater utilities in
- bacteria and genes in the effluent and biosolids of five wastewater utilities in Michigan. *Water Res.* 45, 681–693. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2010.08.033
- Narciso-da-Rocha, C., Rocha, J., Vaz-Moreira, I., Lira, F., Tamames, J., Henriques, I., et al. (2018). Bacterial lineages putatively associated with the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes in a full-scale urban wastewater treatment plant. *Environ. Int.* 118, 179–188. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.05.040
- Nebra, Y., Bonjoch, X., Blanch, A. R. J. A., and Microbiology, E. (2003). Use of Bifidobacterium dentium as an indicator of the origin of fecal water pollution. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 2651–2656. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2651-2656. 2003
- Oh, J., Byrd, A. L., Deming, C., Conlan, S., Kong, H. H., and Segre, J. A. (2014). Biogeography and individuality shape function in the human skin metagenome. *Nature* 514, 59–64. doi: 10.1038/nature13786
- Pallares-Vega, R., Blaak, H., Van Der Plaats, R., De Roda Husman, A. M., Leal, L. H., Van Loosdrecht, M. C., et al. (2019). Determinants of presence and removal of antibiotic resistance genes during WWTP treatment: a cross-sectional study. *Water Res.* 161, 319–328. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.100
- Parks, D. H., Tyson, G. W., Hugenholtz, P., and Beiko, R. G. (2014). STAMP: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinformatics* 30, 3123–3124. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu494
- Peng, Y., Li, J., Lu, J., Xiao, L., and Yang, L. (2018). Characteristics of microbial community involved in early biofilms formation under the influence of wastewater treatment plant effluent. J. Environ. Sci. 66, 113–124. doi: 10.1016/j. jes.2017.05.015
- Pruden, A., Larsson, D. J., Amézquita, A., Collignon, P., Brandt, K. K., Graham, D. W., et al. (2013). Management options for reducing the release of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes to the environment. *Environ. Health Perspect*. 121, 878–885. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1206446
- Rodriguez, E. A., Ramirez, D., Balcazar, J. L., and Jimenez, J. N. (2021). Metagenomic analysis of urban wastewater resistome and mobilome: a support for antimicrobial resistance surveillance in an endemic country. *Environ. Pollut.* 276:116736. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116736
- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Chamorro, S., Marti, E., Huerta, B., Gros, M., Sànchez-Melsió, A., et al. (2015). Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in hospital and urban wastewaters and their impact on the receiving river. *Water Res.* 69, 234–242. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.021
- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Vaz-Moreira, I., Giustina, S., Llorca, M., Barcelo, D., Schubert, S., et al. (2020). Antibiotic residues in final effluents of European wastewater treatment plants and their impact on the aquatic environment. *Environ. Int.* 140:105733. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.10 5733
- Rowe, W. P. M., Baker-Austin, C., Verner-Jeffreys, D. W., Ryan, J. J., Micallef, C., Maskell, D. J., et al. (2017). Overexpression of antibiotic resistance genes in hospital effluents over time. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 72, 1617–1623. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx017
- Ryu, J., Jung, J., Park, K., Song, W., Choi, B., and Kweon, J. (2021). Humic acid removal and microbial community function in membrane bioreactor. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 417:126088. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126088
- Shi, Z., Zhao, R., Wan, J., Li, B., Shen, Y., Zhang, S., et al. (2021). Metagenomic analysis reveals the fate of antibiotic resistance genes in two-stage and one-stage

anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge. J. Hazard. Mater. 406:124595. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124595

- Szekeres, E., Baricz, A., Chiriac, C. M., Farkas, A., Opris, O., Soran, M. L., et al. (2017). Abundance of antibiotics, antibiotic resistance genes and bacterial community composition in wastewater effluents from different Romanian hospitals. *Environ. Pollut.* 225, 304–315. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.054
- Tang, J., Bu, Y., Zhang, X. X., Huang, K., He, X., Ye, L., et al. (2016). Metagenomic analysis of bacterial community composition and antibiotic resistance genes in a wastewater treatment plant and its receiving surface water. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 132, 260–269. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.06.016
- Varela, A. R., Nunes, O. C., and Manaia, C. M. (2016). Quinolone resistant Aeromonas spp. as carriers and potential tracers of acquired antibiotic resistance in hospital and municipal wastewater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 542, 665– 671. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.124
- Wakelin, S. A., Colloff, M. J., and Kookana, R. S. (2008). Effect of wastewater treatment plant effluent on microbial function and community structure in the sediment of a freshwater stream with variable seasonal flow. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 2659–2668. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02348-07
- Watson, S., Cabrera-Aguas, M., and Khoo, P. (2018). Common eye infections. *Aust. Prescr.* 41:67.
- Wolff, D., Krah, D., Dotsch, A., Ghattas, A. K., Wick, A., and Ternes, T. A. (2018). Insights into the variability of microbial community composition and micropollutant degradation in diverse biological wastewater treatment systems. *Water Res.* 143, 313–324. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.033
- Wood, D. E., Lu, J., and Langmead, B. (2019). Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* 20, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0
- Xia, Y., Li, A.-D., Deng, Y., Jiang, X.-T., Li, L.-G., and Zhang, T. (2017). MinION nanopore sequencing enables correlation between resistome phenotype and genotype of coliform bacteria in municipal sewage. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2105. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02105
- Yang, Y., Jiang, X., Chai, B., Ma, L., Li, B., Zhang, A., et al. (2016). ARGs-OAP: online analysis pipeline for antibiotic resistance genes detection from metagenomic data using an integrated structured ARG-database. *Bioinformatics* 32, 2346–2351. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw136
- Zhu, T.-T., Su, Z.-X., Lai, W.-X., Zhang, Y.-B., and Liu, Y.-W. (2021). Insights into the fate and removal of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes using biological wastewater treatment technology. *Sci. Total Environ.* 776:145906. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10040374

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ma, Dong, Cai, Fu, Yang, Liu, Zhang, Wan, Lin, Lou and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.