

# Enhancing the Discovery of Bioactive Secondary Metabolites From Fungal Endophytes Using Chemical Elicitation and Variation of Fermentation Media

Martin Muthee Gakuubi<sup>1,2</sup>, Kuan Chieh Ching<sup>1</sup>, Madhaiyan Munusamy<sup>1</sup>, Mario Wibowo<sup>1</sup>, Zhao-Xun Liang<sup>2</sup>, Yoganathan Kanagasundaram<sup>1</sup> and Siew Bee Ng<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Singapore Institute of Food and Biotechnology Innovation (SIFBI), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore, Singapore, <sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Shashi Kant Bhatia, Konkuk University, South Korea

#### Reviewed by:

Namarta Kalia, University of California, San Diego, United States Vijay K. Sharma, Agricultural Research Organization (ARO), Israel

\*Correspondence:

Siew Bee Ng ngsb@sifbi.a-star.edu.sg

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 18 March 2022 Accepted: 05 May 2022 Published: 06 June 2022

#### Citation:

Gakuubi MM, Ching KC, Munusamy M, Wibowo M, Liang Z-X, Kanagasundaram Y and Ng SB (2022) Enhancing the Discovery of Bioactive Secondary Metabolites From Fungal Endophytes Using Chemical Elicitation and Variation of Fermentation Media. Front. Microbiol. 13:898976. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.898976 Endophytic microorganisms are an important source of bioactive secondary metabolites. In this study, fungal endophytes obtained from A\*STAR's Natural Product Library (NPL) and previously isolated from different habitats of Singapore were investigated for their diversity, antimicrobial, and cytotoxic activities. A total of 222 fungal strains were identified on the basis of sequence analysis of ITS region of the rDNA gene. The identified fungal strains belong to 59 genera distributed in 20 orders. Majority of the identified strains (99%; 219 strains) belong to the phylum Ascomycota, while two strains belonged to the phylum Basidiomycota, and only one strain was from Mucoromycota phylum. The most dominant genus was Colletotrichum accounting for 27% of all the identified strains. Chemical elicitation using 5-azacytidine and suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) and variation of fermentation media resulted in the discovery of more bioactive strains. Bioassay-guided isolation and structure elucidation of active constituents from three prioritized fungal strains: Lophiotrema sp. F6932, Muyocopron laterale F5912, and Colletotrichum tropicicola F10154, led to the isolation of a known compound; palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub> and five novel compounds; palmarumycin CP<sub>30</sub>, muyocopronol A-C and tropicicolide. Tropicicolide displayed the strongest antifungal activity against Aspergillus fumigatus with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $1.8 \,\mu$ g/ml but with a weaker activity against the *Candida albicans* presenting an IC<sub>50</sub> of 7.1  $\mu$ g/ml. Palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub> revealed the best antiproliferative activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.1 and 2.1 µg/ml against MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells, respectively.

Keywords: bioactive compounds, drug discovery, diversity, endophytic fungi, secondary metabolites

# INTRODUCTION

Microbial secondary metabolites remain a major source of bioactive molecules with a broad range of applications in the pharmaceutical, food, cosmetic, and agrochemical industries (Singh et al., 2017). Microbial-originated drug discovery has gained new impetus in recent years as a result of the tremendous advancements that have been witnessed in genomics especially

high-throughput sequencing, bioinformatics in addition to the increasing incorporation of synthetic biology in natural product research (Bachmann et al., 2014; Keller, 2019). Following decades of exploration of bioactives from the traditional microbial sources such as soil, and with the continued diminishing hit rates from these microbial sources, natural product researchers have had to search for alternative sources of microbes for lead molecules in drug discovery (An et al., 2020). Some of the most promising group of microbes in this regard are those derived from the less studied and specialized niches such of endophytic microorganisms.

Fungal endophytes represent a relatively underexplored resource with a huge potential for the discovery of molecules with greater chemical novelty and interesting bioactivities (Zheng et al., 2016; Strobel, 2018; Gakuubi et al., 2021). These microbes occupy a unique ecological niche that is characterized by a wide range of interactions established over the years through their co-existence with host plants (Kusari et al., 2012; Gupta and Shukla, 2020). Endophytic fungi are among the most diverse group of fungi and have been isolated from practically all types of plants and plant tissues (Chowdhary et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2018). Indeed, every plant studied up till now has been found to harbor at least one fungal endophyte with some plants hosting hundreds of fungal strains (Faeth and Fagan, 2002). Already, a plethora of valuable molecules including antibiotics (Deshmukh et al., 2014; Martinez-Klimova et al., 2017), antifungal compounds (Deshmukh et al., 2018; Sinha et al., 2019), antimycobacterial compounds (Alvin et al., 2014), anticancer compounds (Kharwar et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014; Uzma et al., 2018), antioxidants molecules (Toghueo and Boyom, 2019), and enzymes with diverse applications (Corrêa et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2017; Mishra et al., 2019) have been isolated from these gifted microbial factories.

Bioprospecting of fungal endophytes from diverse geographies and habitats enhances the likelihood of discovering promising strains capable of biosynthesis of novel molecules with valuable industrial and biotechnological applications. With a typical tropical climate, Singapore is home to a diverse collection of native and exotic plant species that may as well harbor an equally diverse collection of fungal endophytes including potentially new undescribed strains. The objective of this work therefore was to evaluate the diversity and bioactive potential of fungal endophytes from the A\*STAR Natural Product Library (NPL). Aptly described as among the largest natural organism libraries in the world, the library which is housed at the Singapore Institute of Food and Biotechnology Innovation (SIFBI) boasts a collection of more than 54,000 and 58,000 fungi and actinomycetes, respectively, in addition to thousands of eubacteria and plant specimens (Ng et al., 2018).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Endophytic Fungal Strains**

Endophytic fungal isolates selected in the current study were grouped into four categories on the basis of their habitats of isolation in Singapore. *Habitat 1* consists of strains isolated from the three water catchment areas of Upper Seletar, Upper Pierce, and MacRitchie reservoirs. *Habitat 2* consists of strains isolated from Cluny road along Singapore Botanic Gardens. Strains from *Habitat 3* were isolated from two offshore islands of Pulau Ubin and St. John's, while *Habitat 4* consists of strains isolated from Bukit Timah Nature Reserve and Kent Ridge Park. The substrate and plant tissue details from which the fungal strains were isolated from are given in **Supplementary Table S1**, while the eight locations from where the isolates were derived from are shown in **Figure 1**. Reference stock cultures stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C were revived by sub-culturing on either malt extract agar (MEA, Oxoid, United Kingdom) or potato dextrose agar (PDA, Sigma, United States) plates and incubated at  $24^{\circ}$ C for 5 days and up to 14 days for the fast-growing and slow-growing strains, respectively.

# Molecular Identification of the Fungal Isolates

Genomic DNA was isolated from mycelia harvested from freshly subcultured plates. Approximately, 100 mg of mycelia from each fungus was scraped using sterile toothpick and grounded to powder in liquid nitrogen using a sterile mortar and pestle. When this method failed to produce quality genomic DNA from some fungal isolates, the following method was used to obtain better quality gDNA: Three mycelial agar disks (5mm in diameter) from the periphery of an actively growing culture of the fungi grown on malt extract agar (MEA, Oxoid, United Kingdom) were inoculated in a 250 ml flask containing 50 ml malt extract broth (MEB; 30 g malt extract, 5 g mycological peptone/L; pH 5.4±0.2). The flasks were incubated at 24°C in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm for 72 h for the fast-growing strains and up to 7 days for the slow-growing strains. The mycelial mass was harvested by filtering the growth culture through two layers of miracloth followed by drying of the mycelia by thoroughly squeezing out the liquid media through additional layers of miracloth. The mycelia were then ground to powder in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was extracted from the ground mycelia using DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturers' instructions. The extracted DNA quantified using was NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Amplification of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) region in rDNA gene was carried out using ITS86F (5'-GTGAATCATCGAATCTTTGAA-3') as the forward primer and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') as the reverse primer (White et al., 1990; Turenne et al., 1999). The final PCR reaction mixture of 20 µl contained 1 µl gDNA, 1 µl of each of the primers at 10 µM, 2 µl of 2 mM premixed dNTPs, 2 µl of green buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania), 12.8 µl autoclaved Milli-Q water, and 0.2 µl Dream Taq polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania). For the negative control, DNA was replaced with Milli-Q water. The PCR reactions were performed on a Veriti thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, CA, United States) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s initial extension at 72°C for



30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed on an agarose gels (1× TAE buffer, 1% agarose gel) stained with SYBR safe DNA gel stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, United States). Successful PCR amplification was confirmed by visualization of the gel on a ChemiDoc<sup>TM</sup> MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States). Amplified PCR products were purified using MEGAquick-spin total fragment DNA purification kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The amplicons were sent for bi-directional sequencing at first BASE DNA sequencing services<sup>1</sup> using the aforementioned primer pair.

# **Phylogenetic Analysis**

Generation of accurate consensus sequences for the ITS2 region from the forward (5'-3') and reverse (3'-5') sequence raw data for each fungal strain was performed using Benchling alignment editor program.<sup>2</sup> The alignments were trimmed, overhangs removed, and gaps corrected. The resultant nucleotide sequences were uploaded onto the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST; https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) for the analysis of sequences similarity. The identity of each strain was assigned on the basis of maximum identity score. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using MEGA 7 software with DNA sequences aligned using ClustaIW program embedded within the software (Kumar et al., 2016). The evolutionary relationships between the strains were computed using the Neighbor-Joining and Maximum Likelihood methods. The resultant phylogenetic results were used for taxonomic analyses as well as selection of fungal strains that would undergo fermentation for metabolites extraction.

# Fermentation and Extraction of Fungal Crude Extracts

Fermentation for extracts generation was done as follows: First, all the 146 fungal strains selected for extracts generation following phylogenetic analysis were grown in 10 ml cultures of two in-house liquid media (CF02LB and CF18LB) that have been formulated and optimized by the Natural Product Library group at SIFBI for fungal secondary metabolites production. Thereafter, two approaches, namely chemical elicitation and variation of fermentation media, were evaluated for their potential in enhancing the production of bioactive secondary metabolites from fungal endophytes. To this end, the 146 fungal strains were divided into two groups with the first group of 45 strains grown in the same two liquid media (CF02LB and CF18LB) in the presence of 50 µM 5-azacytidine (Sigma, Canada), a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor and 100 µM of suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, Cayman Chemical Company, United States), a histone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>www.base-asia.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://benchling.com

deacetylases inhibitor. The second group of 101 fungal isolates were grown in three additional media; one liquid media (CF07LB) and two solid media (CF25ST and CF28ST) in a setup modelled around the one strain-many compounds (OSMAC) approach (Bode et al., 2002; Supplementary Figure S1). Table 1 shows the composition of the five media that were used in this study. The cultures were incubated for 14 days in a shaking incubator at 24°C and 200 rpm for the liquid media cultures and 21 days at 24°C in static growth for the solid media cultures. Subsequently, the cultures were frozen overnight at -80°C and freeze-dried in a vacuum freeze-dryer for 4 days to expel all moisture. Dried cultures were resuspended in methanol and kept in a shaking incubator overnight at 24°C and 200 rpm to obtain the extractables. This was followed by filtration using filter paper (Whatman No. 4) to separate the dissolved metabolites from the insoluble media components and mycelial residues. The extracts were then dried using a centrifugal vacuum concentrator and weighed. Extracts were resuspended in appropriate amount of MeOH, dispensed in 96-well microplate and micronic storage tubes, dried and stored at 4°C until when required for bioassays and chemical analyses.

# **Antimicrobial Activity**

Four microbial pathogens, bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Klebsiella aerogenes* (ATCC 13048) and fungi *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231) and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (ATCC 46645), were used to assess the antimicrobial activities of fungal extracts. Screening of the crude extracts for antimicrobial activity was carried out in a single concentration of  $200 \mu$ g/ml in duplicate in a 384-well assay in which  $45 \mu$ l of  $5.0 \times 10^5$  and  $2.5 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml of the bacteria, and *C. albicans* cells, respectively, were seeded in each well with the same volume of  $2.5 \times 10^4$  spore/

TABLE 1 | Composition of the five media used in the study.

Components	Media (per L)							
Components	CF02LB	CF07LB	CF18LB	CF25ST	CF28ST			
Glucose	20g	15g	20g	_	_			
Maltose	10g	-	-	-	-			
Cane molasses	_	20 g	-	-	-			
Soluble starch	_	40 g	-	-	-			
Pharmamedia	-	25 g	-	-	-			
Peptone	_	-	5g	-	-			
Yeast extract	4 g	-	5g	1 g	0.2g			
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	-	_	0.5g	0.5g	0.1g			
MgSO <sub>4</sub> . 7H <sub>2</sub> O	_	-	1g	-	0.1g			
FeSO <sub>4</sub> . 7H <sub>2</sub> O	_	-	-	-	0.01 g			
FeCl₃	-	-	10mg	-	-			
ZnSO4. 7H2O	-	_	1.78mg	-	0.01 g			
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	_	-	73.5 mg	-	-			
CaCO₃	-	8g	-	-	-			
Sodium tartrate	-	_	-	0.5g	0.1g			
Oatmeal	20g	_	-	-	-			
Brown rice	-	_	-	20g/40ml	-			
Cracked corn	-	_	-	_	5g/10ml			
рН	7.5	Natural	5.5	Natural	Natural			

ml used for *A. fumigatus*. Gentamicin was used as a standard inhibitor in bacterial screens with a 16-point, 2-fold serial dilution prepared with a starting final assay concentration of  $25 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ . Amphotericin B was used as the standard inhibitor in fungal screens with a 16-point, 2-fold serial dilution prepared with a starting final assay concentration of  $20 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ . Dose response testing of crude extracts was similarly done with a starting concentration of  $200 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$  in an eight-point serial dilution for samples that had revealed average % inhibition  $\geq 50$  in the primary screens. Growth inhibitory activity of fungal extracts against the test pathogens was evaluated by reading the plate's OD at 600 nm using a plate reader (Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro reader, Switzerland) after 24, 48, and 72h of incubation for the bacteria, *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*, respectively.

# **Cytotoxic Activity**

Cytotoxic activity was evaluated against three cancer cell lines; the human pancreatic cancer cells PANC-1 and MIA PaCa-2, and the human lung cancer cells A549. Cell stocks stored in liquid nitrogen (-196°C) were subcultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, the Netherlands) enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Life Technologies, Paisley, United Kingdom) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution. The cells were grown for at least two passages in order to allow them to recover and achieve optimal growth conditions necessary for the cytotoxicity screening assays. Primary screening was done at a single concentration of 200 µg/ml in duplicate in a 384-well assay. Once the growing cells had attained confluence, they were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (Gibco, Life Technologies, Paisley, United Kingdom), harvested by trypsinization and viable cell density determined by trypan blue exclusion method using a haemocytometer. Cells were seeded in a 384-well black clear bottom plates (Greiner Bio-One, Austria) with 45 µl added into each well at a density of  $3.3 \times 10^4$  cells/mL for MIA PaCa-2 and A549 and  $5.5 \times 10^4$ cells/ml for PANC-1. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 h in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% relative humidity after which 5µl of extract was added into each well. Puromycin, a protein synthesis inhibitor, was used as the standard inhibitor in which a 16-point, 3-fold serial dilution was prepared with a starting final assay concentration of 184 µM. The plates were incubated for 72h after which 5µl of PrestoBlue reagent (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon, United States) was added in each well, and the plates incubated for 2h for A549 and MIA PaCa-2 and 4h for PANC-1. This was followed by reading of plate's fluorescence at an excitation wavelength of 560 nm and emission wavelength of 590 nm. Dose response testing of crude extracts was similarly done with a starting concentration of 200 µg/ml in an eight-point serial dilution for samples that had revealed average % inhibition  $\geq$ 50 in the primary screens.

For the isolated compounds, dose–response testing for both antimicrobial and cytotoxic screens was carried out in triplicate in an eight-point, 2-fold serial dilution assay with a starting concentration of  $100 \,\mu$ g/ml. The rest of the assay conditions

and controls were performed in a similar manner as in the primary screening.

## Natural Product Extract Dereplication, Compound Isolation, and Structure Elucidation

Active extracts were analyzed according to a dereplication procedures described in the literature (Butler et al., 2012). Three fungal strains, F6932, F10154, and F5912 whose extracts contained active constituents of interest, were fermented in large-scale to obtain sufficient crude extracts for compound isolation. The fungal strains were grown in 50 ml media in 250 ml glass conical flasks by inoculating three mycelial discs (5mm in diameter) into each of the media flask. F6932 was grown in CF02LB media with 50µM of 5-azacytidine, while F10154 and F5912 were grown in CF28ST media. The fermentation conditions were similar to those used for smallscale fermentation. At the end of incubation period, the culture flasks were frozen overnight at -80°C and then, freeze-dried in a vacuum freeze-dryer for 7 days to expel all the moisture. About 50 ml of methanol was added to each flask and the flasks kept in a shaking incubator overnight at 24°C and 200 rpm to extract the metabolites. This was followed by filtration through Whatman filter paper (No. 4) to separate the dissolved metabolites from the media and mycelial residues. For each fungal strain, the filtrate from all the flasks was combined into a single large flask, dried using a rotary evaporator and the weight of the dry extracts determined. The dried extracts obtained from strains F6932, F10154, and F5912 were soaked and resuspended with 20 ml MeOH, sonicated for 5 min, and centrifuged to separate the insoluble from the soluble. The supernatants were transferred to 50 ml round bottom flasks and dried using Buchi rotary evaporator. The dried enriched samples were dissolved in 2.5 ml MeOH, centrifuged, and the supernatants were then subjected to C<sub>18</sub> reversed-phase preparative HPLC purification. Enriched sample obtained from *Lophiotrema* sp. F6932 was separated by the following condition (solvent A: H<sub>2</sub>O+0.1% HCOOH, solvent B: MeCN+0.1% HCOOH; flow rate: 30-52 ml/min, gradient conditions: 85:15 isocratic for 5 min, 30 ml/min; followed by 85:15 isocratic for 5 min, 52 ml/min; 15%–55% of solvent B over 30 min, 55%–100% of solvent B over 22 min, and finally isocratic at 100% of solvent B for 10 min) to give 2.2 mg of palmarumycin CP<sub>30</sub> (1) and 3.4 mg of palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2). Enriched sample obtained from Muyocopron laterale F5912 was separated by the following condition (solvent A:  $H_2O + 0.1\%$  HCOOH, solvent B: MeCN+0.1% HCOOH; flow rate: 30-52 ml/min, gradient conditions: 75:25 isocratic for 5 min, 30 ml/min; followed by 75:25 isocratic for 5 min, 52 ml/min; 25%-65% of solvent B over 50 min, 65%-100% of solvent B over 10 min, and finally isocratic at 100% of solvent B for 17 min) to give 2.2 mg of muyocopronol A (3), 5.5 mg of muyocopronol B (4), and 1.6 mg of muyocopronol C (5). Enriched sample obtained from Colletotrichum tropicicola F10154 was separated by the following condition (solvent A:  $H_2O + 0.1\%$  HCOOH, solvent B: MeCN+0.1% HCOOH; flow rate: 30-52 ml/min, gradient

conditions: 80:20 isocratic for  $5 \min$ , 30 ml/min; followed by 80:20 isocratic for  $5 \min$ , 52 ml/min; 20%-50% of solvent B over  $5 \min$ , 50%-100% of solvent B over  $47 \min$ , and finally isocratic at 100% of solvent B for  $10 \min$ ) to give 8.3 mg tropicicolide (**6**).

# **General Analytical Chemistry Procedures**

Specific rotations were measured using JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. NMR spectra were obtained from Bruker DRX-400 NMR spectrometer equipped with Cryoprobe. The NMR spectrometer used a 5-mm BBI (1H, G-COSY, multiplicityedited G-HSQC, and G-HMBC spectra) or BBO (13C spectra) probe heads equipped with z-gradients. Agilent 1260 Infinity Preparative-Scale LC/MS Purification System equipped with Agilent 6130B single quadrupole mass spectrometer detector was used to conduct preparative HPLC analyses. Agilent 5 Prep  $C_{18}$  column (10 mm × 30 mm) was used for HPLC runs. HPLC-MS experiment was performed on an Agilent UHPLC 1290 Infinity coupled with a diode array detector (DAD), and an Agilent 6540 accurate-mass quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF) mass spectrometer equipped with a splitter and an ESI source. The analyses were conducted with Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm×50 mm, 1.7 µm) under standard gradient condition of 98% (0.1% formic acid) to 100% MeCN (0.1% formic acid) over 8.6 min, at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The operating parameters for QTOF were the same as in (Sirota et al., 2018).

# RESULTS

## Characterization and Diversity of Endophytic Fungi

A total of 222 fungal strains were successfully revived and identified via molecular sequencing of the ITS2 region of rDNA gene (Supplementary Table S1). The sequences generated in this study were deposited to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database GenBank with accession numbers OM791857-OM792078. Of the identified fungal strains, 23 had been isolated from the three water catchment areas of Upper Seletar Reservoir Park, Upper Pierce Reservoir, and MacRitchie Reservoir (Habitat 1), 89 strains were from Cluny road along Singapore botanic garden (Habitat 2), 39 strains were isolated from Pulau Ubin and St. John's islands (representing Habitat 3), while 71 strains were isolated from Bukit Timah Nature Reserve and Kent Ridge Park designated as Habitat 4 (Figure 1). With regard to the plant tissue/substrate from which the fungal strains were isolated, majority (65%; 145 strains) of the strains had been isolated from the leaves followed by (19%; 41 strains) the stems. The fungal strains that were isolated from the flowers and fruits were 17 (8%) and 5 (2%), respectively. The remaining fungi were isolated from specialized plant organs such as the frond of palm trees and ferns. Among the identified fungal isolates, 21 strains (an equivalent of 9% of all the studied fungal strains) had <97% sequence similarity in the ITS2 rDNA gene region when compared with the closest relative in the NCBI (Supplementary Table S1). From the 222 identified

fungal strains, 146 fungal strains were selected on the basis of phylogenetic analysis to undergo fermentation for extracts generation.

Among the 222 endophytic fungal strains investigated in this study, 219 strains belong to the phylum Ascomycota, while two strains belonged to the phylum Basidiomycota and only one strain was from Mucoromycota phylum. Class Sordariomycetes accounted for 79% of all the identified ascomycete strains followed by Dothideomycetes which accounted for 14% of all the fungal strains belonging to this phylum. The identified fungal strains were distributed into 20 orders with Glomerellales and Diaporthales accounting for the highest number of strains (Figure 2A). Two fungal isolates belonging to the class Dothideomycetes lack a placement at the level of order and are designated as incertae sedis (Supplementary Table S1). At the genus level, the fungal strains were distributed into 59 genera of which the dominating genera were Colletotrichum (27%), followed by Diaporthe and anamorph Phomopsis constituting 24% of the identified fungal strains. Others include Aspergillus (4%) and Hypoxylon, Mycosphaerella, and Phyllosticta each accounting for 3% of all the fungal strains (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the majority of the identified genera (63%; 37 genera) were represented by a single fungal strain with the bulk of the identified fungal genera (73%; 43 genera) occurring in only one of any of the four studied habitats. Morphological diversity of selected fungal endophytes from each of the four studied habitats is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

# Effects of Chemical Elicitors and Growth Media on Extractable Yields

The growth of fungal endophytes in media supplemented with the two chemical elicitors resulted in markedly increase in the weight of crude extracts. Generally, it was observed that crude extracts were in some cases one to three orders of magnitude higher in cultures grown in the presence of the two chemical elicitors when compared with extracts derived from the same strains grown in the absence of the two chemical elicitors. For example, of the 45 fungal strains that were grown in two liquid media in the presence and absence of the chemical elicitors, nine out of the 10 highest extractable yields were obtained from strains grown in the presence of the chemical elicitors. Furthermore, nine out of 10 lowest yields were obtained from fungal strains grown in the absence of the chemical elicitors. With regard to the fungal strains that were grown in five different media, it was observed that the weight of crude extracts was generally higher for cultures that were grown in solid media in comparison with those derived from liquid growth media (Supplementary Figure S3).

# Antimicrobial and Cytotoxic Activity of Fungal Extracts

Fungal endophytes are renowned for their capacity to synthesize bioactive secondary metabolites. In this study, phylogenetic analysis of 222 fungal strains resulted in 146 fungal strains



FIGURE 2 | Diversity and distribution of identified endophytic fungi: (A) Percentage of fungal strains belonging to each of the 20 identified orders. (B) Relative distribution of fungal endophytes from different genera within the four study habitats.

being selected for fermentation in two liquid media (CF02LB and CF18LB) for the assessment of bioactive metabolites production. Furthermore, in order to assess the effect of chemical epigenetic modifiers and variation of growth media on the biosynthesis of bioactive secondary metabolites, the 146 strains were further divided into two groups. The first group of 45 strains was grown in the same two liquid media (CF02LB and CF18LB) in the presence of two chemical elicitors;  $50 \,\mu$ M of 5-azacytidine and  $100 \,\mu$ M of SAHA. The second group of 101 strains was grown in three additional media (CF07LB, CF25ST, and CF28ST).

For the 45 fungal strains grown in two liquid media in the presence and absence of the two chemical elicitors, a total of eight strains revealed antimicrobial activity against at least one of the four studied microbial pathogens (average % growth inhibition  $\geq$ 50). Significantly, four of these strains (F4434, F4437, F4448, and F6932), an equivalent of 50% of the active strains, revealed antimicrobial activity only when grown in the presence of chemical elicitors (Figure 3). For cytotoxic activity, out of the 45 strains grown in the presence and absence of chemical elicitors, 12 fungal strains exhibited cytotoxic activity against at least one of the three tested cell lines. Importantly, chemical elicitation results revealed that five of these fungal strains (F4437, F4446, F6731, F6932, and F10764) presented cytotoxic activities when grown exclusively in the presence of chemical elicitors (Figure 3). Elicitor-derived extracts from F4437, F6731, and F6932 exhibited cytotoxic activities against all three cell lines evaluated, while extracts from F4446 displayed cytotoxic activity against MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cell lines, and extracts from F10764 exhibited inhibitory activity against MIA PaCa-2 cells only (**Figure 3**). The overall distribution of antimicrobial and cytotoxic hits from 45 fungal strains grown in the presence of two chemical elicitors and in the absence of chemical elicitation is shown in **Supplementary Figure S4**.

For the 101 fungal strains that were grown in five different media, two initial media (CF02LB and CF18LB) and three additional media (CF07LB, CF25ST, and CF28ST), there were a total of 47 strains that exhibited biological activity against at least one of the tested panel of pathogens and cancer cell lines (Figure 4). Notably, 16 of these fungal strains, an equivalent of 34% of all the active strains, exhibited biological activity only when grown in the three additional media. In other words, compared with the use of two initial growth media, the growth of fungal strains in three additional media resulted in 16 additional hit strains (Figure 4). Among the three additional fermentation media, CF25ST had the highest number of active strains (28 strains) followed by CF28ST and CF07LB with 24 and 22 active strains, respectively (Figure 4). Supplementary Figure S5 shows the overall, distribution of antimicrobial and cytotoxic hits from the 101 fungal strains that were grown in five different media.

In a number of instances, there were observable differences in the expression of biological activities by crude extracts derived from the same fungal strains when grown in the



7

	Media type					Media type					
Strain ID	CF02LB	CF18LB	CF07LB	CF25ST	CF28ST	Strain ID	CF02LB	CF18LB	CF07LB	CF25ST	CF28ST
F4430						F6578					
F4655						F6580					
F4873						F6587					
F4930						F6597					
F5838						F6600					
F5899						F6609					
F5906						F6610					
F5910						F6615					
F5912						F6616					
F5914						F6693					
F5920						F9779					
F5931						F9792					
F5935						F10129					
F5998						F10132					
F6003						F10134					
F6059						F10136					
F6066						F10137					
F6124						F10145					
F6125						F10149					
F6360						F10154					
F6361						F10164					
F6555						F10400					
F6561						F11608					
F6567											
					growth inhib 6 growth inhi						
	01 6					LB) and three add	ditional ma				

presence or absence of chemical elicitor or in different growth media. **Figure 5** shows differential expression of cytotoxic activity of extracts generated from three selected fungal strains (F4434, F6561, and F10400) when subjected to varied fermentation regimes.

In summary, antimicrobial and cytotoxic screening results revealed that 63 fungal endophytes, representing 43% of all the fungal strains screened, possessed biological activity against at least one of the tested microbial pathogens or cancer cell lines. Overall, 34 strains had cytotoxic activity, 10 strains demonstrated antimicrobial activity, while 19 strains exhibited both antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity against at least one of the tested panel of pathogens and cancer cells lines, respectively. The active fungal strains were distributed into 11 orders with Diaporthales accounting for the highest number of active strains (33%; 21 strains) followed by Hypocreales and Glomerellales which accounted for 17 and 14% of all the active fungal strains, respectively (Supplementary Figure S6). Chemical elicitation resulted in induced antimicrobial and/or cytotoxic activity in seven fungal strains while growth of fungal strains in three additional media resulted in induced biological activity in 21 fungal strains. Among these are strains F6932 and F5912 whose biological activities were only uncovered as a result of chemical elicitation and variation of fermentation media, respectively. F6932 exhibited antibacterial activity only when grown in CF02LB media in the presence of an elicitor (**Figure 3**), while F5912 exhibited antimicrobial activity only when grown in two (CF25ST and CF28ST) out the five fermentation media (**Figure 4**). The two strains together with strain F10154 that had shown biological activity when grown in four out of the five tested media were progressed for further studies following the identification of active constituents of interest.

### Chemical Dereplication of Active Extracts From Selected Fungal Endophytes

Among the active samples, extracts from 28 fungal strains grown under different conditions and exhibiting promising biological activities were subjected to chemical dereplication. The extracts were analyzed by high-resolution mass spectroscopy for the identification of molecules by matching their accurate mass with the updated Dictionary of Natural Products (DNP) database for fungal natural products.<sup>3</sup> This resulted in the putative identification of numerous known compounds based on their accurate mass. Among the known compounds identified from the three *Aspergillus* strains are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>http://dnp.chemnetbase.com



aspergiterpenoid A, asnovolin G, secalonic acid A and G, and neoxaline. In the case of *Phomopsis/Diaporthe* sp., epicoccamide A, epicoccamide D, antibiotic M 6124, and 6-epicerevisterol were detected. Mycotoxins such as fumonisin B1 and fumonisin A1 in addition to one other known metabolite: glisoprenin E were dereplicated from two *Fusarium* strains (**Supplementary Table S2**). The exact identification of several of these compounds was performed by HR-LCMS/ MS comparison with our in-house authentic standard compounds library or with MS/MS spectra reported in the literature (Tamura et al., 2014; Grigoletto et al., 2020).

### Isolation and Structural Elucidation of Bioactive Compounds From Three Prioritized Strains: F6932, F5912, and F10154

Based on the chemical dereplication studies, we did not find any known molecules that could explain the activities observed in three different fungal cultures, *Lophiotrema* sp. F6932 fermented in CF02LB supplemented with 5-azacytidine, *M. laterale* F5912 and *C. tropicicola* F10154 both grown in CF28ST media. Therefore, these strains were selected for further investigation to identify the molecules that were responsible for the observed antimicrobial activities. Chemical analysis of the extracts from the three aforementioned strains led to the identification of a new compound palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1) and a known compound palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2) from *Lophiotrema* sp. F6932, three novel polyketides, muyocopronols A (3), B (4), and C (5) from *M. laterale* F5912, and a novel 26-membered macrolide designated as tropicicolide (6) from *C. tropicicola* F10154.

Compound 1 (Figure 6) was isolated as a brownish amorphous powder with  $[\alpha]_D$  + 130 (c 0.6, MeOH). HR-ESIMS measurement established the molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{14}O_5$ . As shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S7, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data revealed the presence of six naphthalene proton multiplets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.87–7.60. One multiplet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.20 was assigned to a methine proton of secondary hydroxy group. The assignment of the olefin group at C-3 and C-4 was supported by the observation of vinyl carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  124.9 and 124.6, as well as olefinic protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.89 and 7.02 in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Supplementary Figures S7, S8), respectively. The 1D and 2D-NMR data also revealed the presence of two adjacent aromatic protons, i.e.,  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.84 and 6.71, found in a 1,4-methoxybenzene ring (Figures 6, 7). Based on these data and the observed COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 7), the structure of 1 was established as a new member of the spirobisnaphthalene palmarumycin family and named palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1). The stereochemical determination at C-2 was unfeasible using spectroscopic method and Mosher's ester analysis. Hence, the stereochemistry in 1 remained undetermined.



Compound 2 (Figure 6) was isolated as a brownish amorphous solid with  $[\alpha]_{D}$  + 54 (c 1.2, MeOH) and established as C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClO<sub>6</sub> on the basis of HR-ESI-MS measurement. As shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S13, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data showed two oxy-quaternary carbons, positions C-4a and C-8a at  $\delta$  63.0 and 61.3, respectively. The resonance at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  185.4 and 194.3 indicated two carbonyl carbons at C-4 and C-8 (Supplementary Figure S13), respectively. The presence of a 4-hydroxycyclohexanone moiety is supported by the COSY correlations from H-5 to H2-7 and HMBC correlation from H<sub>2</sub>-7 to carbonyl C-8. The carbonyl carbon atom at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  185.4 was established at C-4 based on the HMBC correlation from H-5 to C-4 (Figure 7). Singlet for vinyl proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.77 (Supplementary Figure S12) was established at C-2, while the signal of a chloro-substituted carbon atom at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  131.4 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (Supplementary Figure S13) was established at C-3 based on the HMBC cross-peaks from H-2 to oxy-quaternary carbon C-1, vinyl carbon C-3, and carbonyl C-4 (Figure 7). In addition, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 2 were consistent with those reported for palmarumycin C8. The cis configuration of the epoxide oxygens and the stereochemistry of the proton at C-5 were assigned in agreement with Krohn et al. (1994). Hence, 2 was identified as the known spirobisnaphthalene, palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub>.

Compound **3** (Figure 6) was obtained as brownish amorphous powders with  $[\alpha]_D + 68$  (c 0.2, MeOH) and a molecular formula of C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>11</sub> as suggested by (–)-HRESIMS data. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and HSQC NMR data revealed the presence of eight methyl, five methylene, 15 methine, and four non-protonated carbons (**Table 3**; **Supplementary Figures S17**, **S18**, **S20**). Following the literature search, the NMR features of **3** were found to be similar to those of bionectriol C (Wang et al., 2014), except for the presence of an additional oxygenated methylened group in **3**, and that the hexopyranose, one methylene, and two methyl moieties in bionectriol C were missing in **3**. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  65.2, 68.0, 70.0, 70.1, 70.4, and 73.0 indicated the presence of a mannitol unit in **3**, which was also supported by COSY correlations from H<sub>2</sub>-1' along the chain to H<sub>2</sub>-5' (**Figure 8**). The mannitol unit was connected to the ester carbonyl C-1 based on HMBC correlation from H<sub>2</sub>-1' to C-1. Further, COSY and HMBC correlations shown in **Figure 8** which established the planar structure of **3**.

The *E*-configurations of the three olefins in **3** were determined by NOESY correlations (**Figure 9**) between  $H_3$ -20 and H-6,  $H_3$ -22, and H-10, as well as  $H_3$ -24 and H-14. The relative configuration of the mannitol in **3** was deemed to be the same to those of the corresponding part of bionectriol C, since the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H couplings for the mannitol unit in **3** and bionectriol C were identical (Wang et al., 2014). The large <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H coupling constant (10.1Hz) between H-2 and H-3 suggested an *erythro* configuration, which was also supported by NOESY correlation between H-3 and  $H_3$ -19 (Kasai et al., 2005). Based on these data, the structure of **3** was established as a new polyketide and named muyocopronol A (**3**).

Compound **4** (**Figure 6**) was isolated as yellowish white powders with  $[\alpha]_D + 33$  (c 0.8, MeOH) and assigned the molecular formula  $C_{37}H_{66}O_{12}$  by (–)-HRESIMS analysis. Comparison of 1D and 2D NMR data of **4** with those of **3** revealed the presence of additional of one methyl, two methine (one of which was oxygenated), and two olefinic methines. COSY correlations between H-4 and H-5, H-5 and H-6, and H-6 and H<sub>3</sub>-24 and between H-6 and H-7 constructed an additional C<sub>5</sub>-substructure in **4**, as shown in **Figure 8** (fragment A). The position of the extra C<sub>5</sub>-fragment was suggested by HMBC correlations from H-4 to C-2 and from H<sub>3</sub>-25 to C-7. The <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H coupling constant of H-4/H-5 indicated a *trans*-configured double bond. The remaining part of the polyketide structural unit was assigned by detailed analysis of COSY and HMBC data (**Figure 8**). The ester moiety in **4** connected C-3' of the mannitol unit and the polyketide portion based on HMBC cross-peaks from H-3' to the ester carbonyl C-1

**TABLE 2** | NMR spectral data of palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1) and palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2).

<b>_</b>		1ª	2 <sup>b</sup>			
Position -	<sup>13</sup> C, type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. ( <i>J</i> = Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C, type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. (J=Hz)		
1	105.5, C		95.6, C			
2	64.5, CH	4.20, d (5.8)	135.4, CH	6.77, s		
3	124.9, CH	5.89, dd (5.8, 9.9)	131.4, C			
4	124.8, CH	7.02, d (9.9)	185.4, C			
4a	121.8, C		63.0, C			
5	151.4, C		61.5, CH	5.12, t (3.0)		
6	120.1, CH	6.84, d (8.9)	23.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.09, m		
7	119.7, CH	6.71, d (8.9)	32.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.51, m, 2.79, m		
8	148.0, C		194.3, C			
8a	116.2, C		61.3, C			
1′	147.8, C		144.6, C			
2′	111.3, CH	7.16, dd (0.7, 7.5)	110.4, CH	7.10, d (7.5)		
3′	128.7, CH	7.51, m	127.7, CH	7.49, t (7.7)		
4′	122.6, CH	7.60, dd (0.6, 8.4)	121.6, CH	7.58, d (8.8)		
4a'	135.5, C		134.2, C			
5′	121.6, CH	7.53, dd (0.6, 8.1)	121.6, CH	7.56, d (8.7)		
6′	128.5, CH	7.44, m	127.4, CH	7.43, t (7.9)		
7′	110.2, CH	6.87, dd (0.6, 7.5)	109.5, CH	6.93, d (7.5)		
8′	149.0, C		145.2, C			
8a'	114.9, C		112.4, C			

 $^{\rm a1}{\rm H}$  (400 MHz) and  $^{\rm 13}{\rm C}$  (100 MHz) in methanol-d4.

<sup>b1</sup>H (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (100 MHz) in chloroform-d.

Assignments based on COSY, HSQC, and HMBC and comparison with the literature compounds. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm. s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublet; t, triplet; and m, multiplet. One proton unless otherwise stated.

(Ju et al., 2007). NOESY correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-25 and H-10, H<sub>3</sub>-27 and H-14, as well as between H<sub>3</sub>-29 and H-18 indicated *E*-configured olefins in **4**. The *erythro* configuration between H-2 and H-3 was deduced from their <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H coupling constant (8.2 Hz). Therefore, the structure of muyocopronol B (**4**) was assigned.

Muyocopronol C (5) was isolated as yellowish white powders with  $[\alpha]_D + 37$  (c 0.2, MeOH) and assigned the molecular formula  $C_{31}H_{54}O_7$  following (–)-HRESIMS data analysis. Comparison of NMR and MS data between 4 and 5 suggested that the latter natural product was missing a mannitol unit. HMBC correlation from H-2 to a carbonyl carbon at  $\delta_C$  182.7, that had not been detected in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, confirmed the presence of a carboxylic acid moiety in 5 (**Supplementary Figures S30, S33**). Analysis of 2D NMR data further supported the polyketide structural part in 5. The same relative configuration previously determined for 4 was also assigned for 5 following analysis of <sup>1</sup>H – <sup>1</sup>H coupling constants and the NOESY spectrum (**Figure 9**).

Compound 6 (Figure 6) was isolated as pale-yellow sticky oil with  $[\alpha]_{D}$  + 1.8 (c 1.2, MeOH) and assigned the molecular formula C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>54</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>P, following analysis of HRESIMS and NMR data. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 6 (Table 4; Supplementary Figure S35) was similar to that reported for eushearilide, suggesting their structural resemblance (Hosoe et al., 2006; Tonoi et al., 2019). Comparison of <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Supplementary Figure S36) and MS data of 6 to those of eushearilide suggested that 6 was a 26-membered macrolide containing four non-conjugated diene and a choline phosphate ester group. The presence of the choline phosphate group was readily indicated by the characteristic <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>1</sup>H resonances at  $\delta_C/\delta_H$  54.7/3.22, 67.6/3.63, and 60.3/4.27. The positions of non-conjugated four double bonds in 6 were assigned based on  $^{13}C$ NMR chemical shift and HMBC data (Supplementary Figures S36, S39). Detailed analysis of 2D NMR data further supported the remaining structural assignment in 6 (Figure 10). However, attempts to address the configuration of the double bonds of 6 proved unsuccessful due to the overlapping <sup>1</sup>H-NMR resonances of the olefins. Moreover, the stereochemical determination at C-3 and C-25 was unfeasible



#### TABLE 3 | NMR spectral data of muyocopronols A (3), B (4), and C (5).

Position	3"			<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>		
	<sup>13</sup> C, type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. ( <i>J</i> in Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C, type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. (J in Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C, type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. (J in Hz)	
1	177.8, C		177.2, C		182.7, C		
2	44.7, CH	2.65, m	47.6, CH	2.55, m	48.4, CH	2.33, m	
3	82.2, CH	4.08, d (10.1)	76.9, CH	4.13, dd (8.2, 8.2)	76.8, CH	4.05, dd (7.5, 7.5)	
4	136.0, C		131.5, CH	5.44, dd (15.2, 8.2)	132.8, CH	5.49, dd (15.3, 7.5)	
5	135.3, CH	5.32, d (8.4)	139.6, CH	5.71, dd (15.2, 8.2)	137.2, CH	5.70, dd (15.3, 7.5)	
6	36.61, CH	2.65, m	41.1, CH	2.33, m	41.1, CH	2.33, m	
7	84.4, CH	3.70, m	84.0, CH	3.67, m	83.9, CH	3.66, m	
8	137.6, C		137.3, C		137.6, C		
9	133.8, CH	5.29, d (9.6)	134.0, CH	5.25, d (9.4)	133.60, CH	5.26, d (8.2)	
10	36.65, CH	2.65, m	36.3, CH	2.64, m	36.65, CH	2.65, m	
11	84.6, CH	3.70, m	84.8, CH	3.67, m	88.4, CH	3.69, d (8.9)	
12	138.8, C		137.6, C		137.7, C	, , , ,	
13	132.6, CH	5.09, d (10.1)	133.9, CH	5.25, d (9.4)	133.64, CH	5.28, d (8.2)	
14	44.7, CH	2.65, m	36.4, CH	2.64, m	36.70, CH	2.65, m	
15	39.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.22, m	84.7, CH	3.67, m	88.6, CH	3.69, d (8.9)	
16	33.4, CH	1.32, m	138.9, C	,	138.8, C	, - ( ,	
17	31.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.33, m	132.8, CH	5.07, d (9.8)	132.4, CH	5.09, d (9.1)	
18	11.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.87, m	39.7, CH	2.64, m	39.7, CH	2.65, m	
19	14.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.98, d (7.0)	39.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.20, m	39.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.20, m	
20	10.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.64, d (1.1)	33.4, CH	1.32, m	33.4, CH	1.33, m	
21	17.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.79, d (6.7)	31.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.21, m	31.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.29, m	
22	11.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.68, d (0.9)	11.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.86, m	11.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.86, m	
23	17.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.79, d (6.7)	14.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.09, d (7.0)	15.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.10, d (7.2)	
24	11.8, CH₃	1.70, d (1.0)	17.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.84, m	17.58, CH₃	0.84, m	
25	67.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.37, m	11.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.64, d (0.9)	11.3, CH₃	1.65, d (1.0)	
	, - 2	3.45, m	, - 0	- , - ()	-,		
26	10.2 CH	0.87, m	17.57, CH₃	0.78, d (4.8)	17 72 04	0.79 d (5.7)	
20 27	19.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.07,111	11.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.69, d (1.0)	17.73, CH₃ 11.4, CH₃	0.78, d (5.7) 1.68, d (1.0)	
28			17.56, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.76, d (4.8)	17.67, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.79, d (5.7)	
29			11.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.67, d (0.9)	11.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.69, d (1.0)	
29 30			67.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.33, m	67.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.37, m	
30			$07.0, 011_2$		07.0, OH <sub>3</sub>		
				3.47, m		3.44, m	
31			19.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.86, m	19.3, CH₃	0.86, m	
1′	68.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	4.20, dd (11.4, 6.2)	64.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.54, m			
		4.47, dd (11.4, 2.7)		3.64, m			
2′	70.4, CH	3.91, m	71.7, CH	3.93, m			
3′	70.1, CH	3.81, m	73.9, CH	5.20, dd (7.9, 1.4)			
4′	70.0, CH	3.81, m	71.0, CH	3.90, m			
5′	73.0, CH	3.70, m	72.0, CH	3.53, m			
6′	65.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.65, m	65.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.64, m			
	-	3.82, m		3.81, m			

<sup>a1</sup>H (400MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (100MHz) in methanol-d<sub>4</sub>.

Assignments based on COSY, HSQC, and HMBC and comparison with the literature compounds. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm. d, doublet; dd, doublet; dt, triplet; and m, multiplet. One proton unless otherwise stated.

using spectroscopic method due to the rotatable nature of the methyl and the choline phosphate moieties. Thus, the planar structure of 6 was assigned and named tropicicolide (6).

## **Chemical Structural Data**

The UV spectra, HRESIMS spectra, 1D and 2D NMR spectra of palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1) and palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2), muyocopronols A (3), B (4), and C (5), and tropicicolide (6) are provided (**Supplementary Figures S7–S41**).

#### Palmarumycin CP<sub>30</sub> (1)

Brownish amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D + 130$  (c 0.6, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (%) 225 (100%), 300 (15%) nm; (+)-HRESIMS: m/z 317.0816  $[M+H-H_2O]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{20}H_{13}O_4$ , 317.0808); and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see **Table 2**.

#### Palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub> (2)

Brownish amorphous solid;  $[α]_D$  + 54 (c 1.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/ H<sub>2</sub>O)  $λ_{max}$  (%) 225 (100%), 300 (15%) nm; (+)-HRESIMS m/z





385.0473  $[M+H]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{20}H_{14}ClO_6,$  385.0479); and  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR data, see Table 2.

#### Muyocopronol A (3)

Brownish amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D$ +68 (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/ H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (%) 200 (100%), 225 (20%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS m/z 617.3915  $[M-H]^-$  (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>57</sub>O<sub>11</sub>, 617.3901); and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see **Table 3**.

#### Muyocopronol B (4)

Yellowish white solid;  $[\alpha]_D$ +33 (c 0.8, MeOH); UV (MeCN/ H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (%) 200 (100%), 225 (20%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS m/z 701.4475  $[M-H]^-$  (calcd for  $C_{37}H_{65}O_{12},$  701.4476); and  $^1\!H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR data, see Table 3.

#### Muyocopronol C (5)

Yellowish white solid;  $[\alpha]_D$ +37 (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/ H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (%) 200 (100%), 225 (20%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS m/z 537.3811 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>53</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 537.3792); and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see **Table 3**.

#### Tropicicolide (6)

Yellow sticky oil;  $[\alpha]_D$ +1.8 (c 1.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (%) 195 (100%), 225 (25%) nm; (+)-HRESIMS m/z 568.3764

 $[M\!+\!H]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{31}H_{55}NO_6P\!\!,$  568.3767); and  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR data, see Table 4.

### Antimicrobial and Cytotoxic Activities of Six Compounds Isolated From the Three Fungal Strains

The newly discovered palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1) and its known analogue, palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2) isolated from strain *Lophiotrema* 

TABLE 4   NMR spectral data of tropicicolide (6).						
Position	<b>6</b> <sup>a</sup>					
Position	<sup>13</sup> C, mult. ( <i>J</i> = Hz), type	<sup>1</sup> H, mult. ( <i>J</i> = Hz)				
1	171.9, C					
2	42.1, d (3.5), CH <sub>2</sub>	2.52, m, 2.81, m				
3	74.1, d (5.9), CH	4.54, m				
4	36.3, d, (5.4), CH <sub>2</sub>	1.65, m				
5	25.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.40, m, 1.48, m				
6	30.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.30, m, 1.39, m				
7	29.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.36, m				
8	29.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.36, m				
9	33.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.99, m				
10	132.0, CH	5.39, m				
11	130.7, CH	5.39, m				
12	33.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.99, m				
13	33.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.99, m				
14	131.9, CH	5.39, m				
15	131.0, CH	5.39, m				
16	33.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.06, m				
17	33.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.06, m				
18	131.9, CH	5.35, m				
19	131.2, CH	5.37, m				
20	33.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.06, m				
21	33.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.06, m				
22	134.5, CH	5.51, m				
23	126.6, CH	5.39, m				
24	39.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.25, m				
25	72.4, CH	4.89, m				
26	19.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.21, d (6.2)				
27	60.3, d (5.1), CH <sub>2</sub>	4.27, m				
28	67.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.63, m				
N-(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	54.7, t (3.7), CH <sub>3</sub>	3.22, s				

<sup>a1</sup>H (400MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (100MHz) in methanol-d<sub>4</sub>.

Assignments based on COSY, HSQC, and HMBC and comparison with literature compound, eushearilide. Chemical shifts (8) in ppm. s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; and m, multiplet. One proton unless otherwise stated.

sp. F6932, three new compounds, muyocopronol A-C (3-5) isolated from M. laterale F5912 and a novel compound, tropicicolide (6) discovered from C. tropicicola F10154 were subjected to antimicrobial and cytotoxic testing against a panel of three microbial pathogens; S. aureus, C. albicans, and A. fumigatus and three cancer cell lines; A549, MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1. Table 5 gives a comparison of the bioactivity of the six isolated compounds and that of the reference standards. Supplementary Figure S42 shows the dose-response curves of the six compounds and their IC<sub>90</sub> values for the bacterial pathogen and IC<sub>50</sub> for the fungal pathogens and cancer cell lines. The inhibitory effects of standard antimicrobial agents, gentamicin and amphotericin B and cytotoxic agent puromycin against the tested microbial pathogens and cancer cell lines, respectively, are shown in Supplementary Figure S43. For the two fungal pathogens, tropicicolide (6) was the most potent compound with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.8µg/ml against A. fumigatus but with a lesser activity of an  $IC_{50}$  value of  $7.1 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$  against the yeast pathogen C. albicans. Muyocopronol B (4) equally revealed promising antifungal activity with  $IC_{50}$  values of 3.4 and  $4.5 \,\mu\text{g}/$ ml against the C. albicans and A. fumigatus, respectively. Palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2) revealed the best inhibitory activity against S. aureus with an IC<sub>90</sub> value of  $18 \mu g/ml$ , while the rest of the compounds had  $IC_{90} > 100 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$  against the bacterial pathogen. In addition, palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2) also possessed the best antiproliferative activities with  $IC_{50}$  values of 1.1 and 2.1  $\mu g/$ ml against MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cell lines, respectively (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

Despite the emerging importance of fungal endophytes as sources of bioactive molecules, only a small fraction of the estimated 1 million fungal endophytes have been described (Strobel and Daisy, 2003). An even smaller number of fungal endophytes have been investigated for their secondary metabolite biosynthetic potential (Rajamanikyam et al., 2017). There is a great prospect that plants from the less studied and distinctive geographies may harbor unique fungal endophytes capable of producing molecules with interesting chemistry and of great therapeutic value. The current study aimed at investigating the



diversity and bioactivity potential of fungal endophytes from the A\*STAR Natural Product Library (NPL), originally isolated from various locations corresponding to four distinct habitats in Singapore. Fungal isolates were identified on the basis of sequence analysis of the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS2) of the rDNA sequence. Sequencing of the ITS region allows for rapid species-level molecular identification of fungi (Raja et al., 2017). As the most widely used fungal barcode marker, ITS is easily amplifiable via PCR and provides the highest prospect for accurate characterization of a wide range of fungal groupings in comparison with other rDNA region or protein genes (Chi et al., 2019). In the current study, ITS86F and ITS4 primer set was used for the amplification of the ITS2 of the rDNA gene. This primer set has been found to outperform a number of other primer pairs in a number of essential qualities in fungal identification such as high fungal specificity for numerous fungal clades, in silico primer efficiency, PCR specificity, and amplification efficiency among other traits, making it a primer pair of choice for fungal diversity studies (Vancov and Keen, 2009; De Beeck et al., 2014).

With the exception of the three fungal isolates, Coprinopsis cinerea F10390 and Fomitopsis feei F11601 (Basidiomycota) and Rhizomucor variabilis F10137 (Mucoromycota), all the identified fungal reads belong to the phylum Ascomycota. Numerous studies have reported that taxonomically, Ascomycetes are the most abundant representative of endophytic fungal communities with occasional isolation of Basidiomycetes and Zygomycetes (Rosa et al., 2011; Sun and Guo, 2012; Hamzah et al., 2018). Within the Ascomycetes, Sordariomycetes, and Dothideomycetes were the most dominant classes with four orders belonging to the former alone accounting for more than 72% of all the identified fungal strains. Sordariomycetes and Dothideomycetes have likewise been cited as among the most dominant classes of fungal endophytes in studies carried from a wide range of natural ecosystems (Rodriguez et al., 2009; Vaz et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2020; Khalmuratova et al., 2021). Moreover, even for studies focusing on the isolation of fungal endophytes from specific host plants, such as Acanthus ilicifolius, Zanthoxylum bungeanum, and Rhizophora mucronata, the two classes have been reported to constitute the highest number of recovered fungal isolates (Li et al., 2016; Hamzah et al., 2018; Chi et al., 2019).

The bulk of the identified fungal genera (73%) was found in only one of any of the four study habitats; an indication of high taxonomic variation of endophytic fungal community between the four studied habitats. The observed variations in fungal community composition may be a function of the ecological differences as well as a reflection of the environmental structuring of Singapore's landscape and vegetation. These aspects have been found to have an influence on the distribution and diversity of the host plants and subsequently fungal endophyte composition (Zimmerman and Vitousek, 2012; Fang et al., 2019). The most abundant genus was Colletotrichum, which accounted for 27% of all the identified fungal reads. Members of Colletotrichum were found in Habitat 2, 3, and 4 but none from Habitat 1. Some of the most commonly occurring members of this genus belong to the Colletotrichum gloeosporioides species complex and include C. asianum, C. fructicola, C. horii, and C. gloeosporioide. Other identified strains from this genus Colletotrichum were, C. magnisporum, C. karstic, C. simmondsii, C. dracaenophilum, C. scovillei, C. tropicicola, and C. siamense. The genus Colletotrichum is widely distributed in nature and comprise of strains with diverse and variable lifestyles, including phytopathogens, endophytes, saprophytes hemibiotroph, and occasionally entomopathogens (Dean et al., 2012; da Silva et al., 2020). The genus comprises of more than 700 species and has been cited as among the most extensively distributed endophytic fungal Genera (Rabha et al., 2016). Furthermore, many members of Colletotrichum genus are known producers of a wide range of secondary metabolites and numerous classes of bioactive molecules such as sterols, pyrones, phenolics, terpenes, and fatty acids with diverse bioactivities have been isolated from members of this genus (Kim and Shim, 2019; Moraga et al., 2019). In the current study, among the active fungal strains, nine strains corresponding to 14% of all the active strains belong to the genus Colletotrichum.

Fungal endophytes are prolific producers of bioactive molecules including structurally uncommon molecules and those with remarkable biological activities (Nisa et al., 2015; Gupta and Shukla, 2020; Ortega et al., 2021). However, several limiting factors such as low yields of targeted compounds and weak expression of many of their biosynthetic gene clusters under standard laboratory growth conditions limits the realization of

•	S. aureus	C. albicans	A. fumigatus	A549	MIA PaCa-2	PANC-1
Compound	IC <sub>90</sub> (µg/ml)			IC₅₀ (µg/ml)		
Palmarumycin CP <sub>30</sub>	>100	>100	35	>100	20.1	31.7
Palmarumycin C <sub>8</sub>	18	58	7	10.7	1.1	2.1
Muyocopronol A	>100	99	26.3	97.4	96.4	97.6
Muyocopronol B	>100	4.5	3.4	44.5	40	77.1
Muyocopronol C	>100	9.2	>100	>100	>100	>100
Tropicicolide	>100	7.1	1.8	>100	>100	>100
Gentamicin	0.15	-		-	-	-
Amphotericin B	-	0.29	0.26	_	-	
Puromycin	-	-	-	0.31	0.18	0.18

TABLE 5 | A comparison of the bioactivity of the six isolated compounds with the reference standard compounds used in the study.

full biosynthetic potential of these microbial factories (González-Menéndez et al., 2018; Motoyama et al., 2021). To overcome such challenges, numerous strategies, such as co-culture, chemical elicitation, variation of culture growth conditions, and genetic engineering of strains of interest among other strategies have been employed (Baral et al., 2018; Kjærbølling et al., 2019; Gakuubi et al., 2021). In the current study, chemical elicitation using 5-azacytidine and SAHA and variation of fermentation media were assessed for their potential in enhancing secondary metabolite biosynthesis and bioactivity of crude fungal extracts. A general trend was observed whereby crude extractable yields were higher for fungal strains that were grown in the presence of the epigenetic modifiers in comparison with those obtained from strains grown without the chemical elicitors. Similar findings have been reported previously; in one study, it was revealed that addition of SAHA to the cultures of an endophytic fungi, Botryosphaeria mamane at the seed and production stage and at the production stage only resulted in an increase in the yield of crude extracts by 50 and 32%, respectively (Triastuti et al., 2019). Furthermore, growth of B. mamane in the presence of SAHA resulted in an induced biosynthesis of eight new metabolites that were not detected in the control cultures (Triastuti et al., 2019). The use of epigenetic modifiers, mainly histone deacetylase and DNA methyltransferases inhibitors, to perturb fungal biosynthetic machinery leading to enhanced bioactivity of the resultant extracts in addition to induced biosynthesis of new compounds, has been observed in a number of other studies (Magotra et al., 2017; Dwibedi et al., 2019; González-Menéndez et al., 2019). In the current study, enhanced antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities were observed in numerous elicitor-derived extracts. For example, crude extracts from strain F6932 when grown in CF02LB media in the presence of 5-azacytidine revealed strong antibacterial activity against S. aureus. Extracts from the same fungal strain grown in the same media in the absence of chemical elicitors and in the presence of SAHA did not show similar antibacterial activity. Furthermore, extracts of the same strain grown in CF18LB in the presence and absence of the two chemical elicitors similarly did not show any antibacterial activity. Since not all elicitor-derived extracts exhibited enhanced biological activities, the observed increase in bioactivity of extracts from certain fungal strains grown in the presence of chemical elicitors can only be attributed to differential expression of gene clusters responsible for biosynthesis of secondary metabolites as a result of chemical elicitation. For fungal strains grown in different fermentation media, generally, the weights of crude extracts were found to be higher for fungal strains grown in the solid media in comparison with those derived from liquid media cultures. Fungal strains grown in solid media have been found to produce crude extracts with masses that are one to two orders of magnitude higher than those derived from the same strains grown in liquid media (VanderMolen et al., 2013). Variation of media type and composition falls within the framework of the one strain many-compounds (OSMAC) approach (Bode et al., 2002). This method entails systematic manipulation of various growth conditions with an aim of identifying the growth regimes that enhances optimum quality, diversity, and novelty of bioactive secondary metabolites (Bills et al., 2008; VanderMolen

et al., 2013). Thus, variation of media type and composition can be employed to enhance the yield of a target bioactive compound (García-Kirchner et al., 2005) or as an approach to maximize the chemical diversity from an individual strain or few selected strains (Elias et al., 2006; VanderMolen et al., 2013). The biological activity of F6932 and F5912, two of the three fungal strains that were progressed to compound isolation, were only uncovered following the use of chemical elicitation and growth of fungal strains in additional media, respectively. This means that neither the bioactivity of the two strains nor the four novel compounds isolated from them would have been identified had this study been limited to the used of two initial liquid media (CF02LB and CF18LB). These finding therefore demonstrated the usefulness of chemical elicitation and media diversification as avenues for enhancing the discovery of novel fungal secondary metabolites.

From the dereplication studies, extracts obtained from Lophiotrema sp. F6932, M. laterale F5912, and C. tropicicola F10154 were selected for further investigation because no known molecules were found that could explain the activity observed in three extracts. Chemical investigation of the extracts led to the identification of a number of new compounds, palmarumycin  $CP_{30}$  (1) and a known compound palmarumycin  $C_8$  (2) from Lophiotrema sp. F6932, three new polyketides, muyocopronols A (3), B (4), and C (5) from M. laterale F5912, and a new compound designated as tropicicolide (6) discovered from C. tropicicola F10154 (Figure 6). Palmarumycins belong to the spirobisnaphthalenes family of secondary metabolites characterized by the presence of a 1,8-dihydroxynaphthalenederived spiroketal unit connected to a second oxidized naphthalene unit (Mou et al., 2015). Palmarumycins which have been shown to possess a broad range of biological activities including antibacterial, antifungal, and antitumor activities are produced mainly by filamentous fungi (Kanehara et al., 2021). These compounds have been isolated from filamentous fungi from a wide range of Genera. For example, nine palmarumycins,  $B_1 - B_9$  were isolated from endophytic fungi *Berkleasmium* sp. with two more new analogues, palmarumycin C<sub>12</sub> and C<sub>13</sub> isolated from the same fungi (Shan et al., 2014; Mou et al., 2015). Palmarumycins CP4 and CP5 were isolated from Phaeoseptum sp. (Kanehara et al., 2021), while palmarumvcin CE<sub>4</sub>, palmarumycin CP<sub>4</sub>, and palmarumycin CP<sub>1</sub> were discovered from and endophytic fungus Anteaglonium sp. (Mafezoli et al., 2018). Muyocopronols A-C (3-5) are closely related to bionectriols, which are fungal secondary metabolite of polyketide origin and assign to a class of glycosylated, polyunsaturated polyols (Freinkman et al., 2009). The first of this type of molecules, designated bionectriol A, was isolated from *Bionectria* sp., associated with the fungus-growing ant Apterostigma dentigerum (Freinkman et al., 2009). Three related compounds (bionectriols B-D) were isolated from Bionectria ochroleuca and all exhibited strong anti-biofilm activity against C. albicans in addition to synergistic antifungal activities when used in combination with amphotericin B (Wang et al., 2014). Significantly, only these two references exist reporting the isolation of bionectriols from fungi and in both cases, these compounds were isolated from members of the Bionectria sp.

which are within the class Sordariomycetes. This makes the discovery of these three new compounds from M. laterale, which belong to a different fungal class, i.e., Dothideomycetes an interesting finding. From C. tropicicola, tropicicolide (6) was discovered. The structure of tropicicolide (6) shares similarity with that of eushearilide. Eushearilide is a 20-membered macrolide with a non-conjugated diene and a choline phosphate ester moiety and was for the first time isolated from cultures of Eupenicillium shearii and exhibited antifungal activity against a wide range of human pathogens including A. fumigatus, Aspergillus niger, and C. albicans (Hosoe et al., 2006). In the current study, with the exception of palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub> which presented an  $IC_{90}$  of 18 µg/ml, the rest of the isolated compounds were inactive against S. aureus (IC<sub>90</sub> > 100  $\mu$ g/ml). The antibacterial activity of palmarumycin C8, however, was very weak compared to that of the standard antibacterial compound gentamicin, which presented an IC<sub>90</sub> valued of 0.15 µg/ml against S. aureus (Table 5). The newly discovered tropicicolide (6) had the most potent activity against A. fumigatus among all the isolated bioactive compounds with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.8µg/ml. However, this activity is weaker than that exhibited by the standard antifungal agent amphotericin B which produced an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.26 and 0.29 µg/ml against A. fumigatus and C. albicans, respectively (Table 5). In summary, none of the six isolated compounds exhibited better antimicrobial activities than the commercial compounds used as standards in the study. Since the discovery of eushearilide some years back from the E. shearii, only total synthesis of the compound and its various stereoisomers and/ or related compounds have been reported (Tonoi et al., 2016, 2019). This, therefore, is the second time that this class of compounds is being reported from nature. Palmarumycin C<sub>8</sub> exhibited the best antiproliferative activities with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.1, 2.1, and 10.7µg/ml against MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, and A549 cells, respectively. However, these compounds were less cytotoxic compared to the standard compound, puromycin whose IC<sub>50</sub> values against the three cell lines was 0.18, 0.18, and 0.31 µg/ml, respectively.

# CONCLUSION

In this study, the diversity, antimicrobial, and cytotoxic activities of fungal endophytes isolated from diverse habitats of Singapore were investigated. Our results reveal that Singapore harbors a diverse community of fungal endophytes including potentially new and undescribed strains that warrant further investigation. We observed the dominant presence of Ascomycetes with members of Sordariomycetes and Dothideomycetes classes accounting for more than 90% of all the fungal strains belonging to this phylum. A total of 63 fungal strains exhibiting antimicrobial and/or cytotoxic activity against at least one of the tested panel of pathogens and cancer cells lines were identified, with member of the order Diaporthales accounting for the highest number of active fungal strains. Three fungal strains were progressed to large-scale fermentation for compound isolation and structural elucidation resulting in the discovery of five novel compounds and one known compound. Significantly, antimicrobial hits from the crude extracts derived from two of the three prioritized fungal strains, *Lophiotrema* sp. F6932 and *M. laterale* F5912, were uncovered following their growth in the presence of 5-azacytidine and three additional media, respectively. Consequently, had our investigation on the discovery of bioactive secondary metabolites from fungal endophytes been limited to the use of two initial liquid media, four of the five newly discovered compounds associated with the two aforementioned strains would not have been discovered. This study therefore demonstrates how diversification of growth media and the use of selected chemical epigenetic modifiers can facilitate the discovery of new bioactive microbial natural products.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data presented in the study are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database GenBank, accession number OM791857-OM792078.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SN and MG conceptualized and planned the study. MG performed the biological studies. MM and Z-XL assisted with troubleshooting. KC, MW, and YK performed the chemical analysis of bioactive extracts, compound isolation, and structure elucidation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This research was funded by the Singapore Institute of Food and Biotechnology Innovation, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the following SIFBI staff: Sharon Crasta and Elaine Chin Jinfeng for guidance on antimicrobial and cytotoxic assays, respectively. Chung Yan Leong and Veronica Ng for extracts dispensing and strains revival among other technical support. The authors are also grateful to the Singapore Institute of Food and Biotechnology Innovation, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore for the funding support.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.898976/ full#supplementary-material

## REFERENCES

- Alvin, A., Miller, K. I., and Neilan, B. A. (2014). Exploring the potential of endophytes from medicinal plants as sources of antimycobacterial compounds. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 483–495. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.12.009
- An, C., Ma, S., Shi, X., Xue, W., Liu, C., and Ding, H. (2020). Isolation, diversity, and antimicrobial activity of fungal endophytes from *Rohdea chinensis* (baker) N.Tanaka (synonym *Tupistra chinensis* baker) of Qinling Mountains, China. *PeerJ* 8:e9342. doi: 10.7717/peerj.9342
- Bachmann, B. O., Van Lanen, S. G., and Baltz, R. H. (2014). Microbial genome mining for accelerated natural products discovery: is a renaissance in the making? J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 41, 175–184. doi: 10.1007/s10295-013-1389-9
- Baral, B., Akhgari, A., and Metsä-Ketelä, M. (2018). Activation of microbial secondary metabolic pathways: avenues and challenges. *Synth. Syst. Biotechnol.* 3, 163–178. doi: 10.1016/j.synbio.2018.09.001
- Bills, G. F., Platas, G., Fillola, A., Jiménez, M. R., Collado, J., Vicente, F., et al. (2008). Enhancement of antibiotic and secondary metabolite detection from filamentous fungi by growth on nutritional arrays. J. Appl. Microbiol. 104, 1644–1658. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03735.x
- Bode, H. B., Bethe, B., Höfs, R., and Zeeck, A. (2002). Big effects from small changes: possible ways to explore nature's chemical diversity. *Chembiochem* 3, 619–627. doi: 10.1002/1439-7633(20020703)3:7<619::AID-CBIC619>3.0. CO;2-9
- Butler, M. S., Yoganathan, K., Buss, A. D., and Ng, S. (2012). Identification of aluminium dioxalate in fungal cultures grown on vermiculite. J. Antibiot. 65, 275–276. doi: 10.1038/ja.2012.15
- Chen, L., Zhang, Q.-Y., Jia, M., Ming, Q.-L., Yue, W., Rahman, K., et al. (2014). Endophytic fungi with antitumor activities: their occurrence and anticancer compounds. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 42, 1–20. doi: 10.3109/1040841X. 2014.959892
- Chi, W. C., Chen, W., He, C. C., Guo, S. Y., Cha, H. J., Tsang, L. M., et al. (2019). A highly diverse fungal community associated with leaves of the mangrove plant *Acanthus ilicifolius* var. *xiamenensis* revealed by isolation and metabarcoding analyses. *PeerJ* 7:e7293. doi: 10.7717/peerj.7293
- Chowdhary, K., Kaushik, N., and Raimundo, M. (2012). Endophytic fungi and their metabolites isolated from Indian medicinal plant. *Phytochem. Rev.* 11, 467–485. doi: 10.1007/s11101-012-9264-2
- Corrêa, R. C. G., Rhoden, S. A., Mota, T. R., Azevedo, J. L., Pamphile, J. A., de Souza, C. G. M., et al. (2014). Endophytic fungi: expanding the arsenal of industrial enzyme producers. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 41, 1467–1478. doi: 10.1007/s10295-014-1496-2
- da Silva, L. L., Moreno, H. L. A., Correia, H. L. N., Santana, M. F., and de Queiroz, M. V. (2020). *Colletotrichum*: species complexes, lifestyle, and peculiarities of some sources of genetic variability. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 104, 1891–1904. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10363-y
- Dean, R., Van Kan, J. A. L., Pretorius, Z. A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P. D., et al. (2012). The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 13, 414–430. doi: 10.1111/ j.1364-3703.2011.00783.x
- De Beeck, M., Lievens, B., Busschaert, P., Declerck, S., Vangronsveld, J., and Colpaert, J. V. (2014). Comparison and validation of some ITS primer pairs useful for fungal metabarcoding studies. *PLoS One* 9:e97629. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0097629
- Deshmukh, S. K., Gupta, M. K., Prakash, V., and Saxena, S. (2018). Endophytic fungi: a source of potential antifungal compounds. J. Fungi 4, 1–42. doi: 10.3390/jof4030077
- Deshmukh, K. S., Verekar, S. A., and Bhave, S. V. (2014). Endophytic fungi: a reservoir of antibacterials. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:715. doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2014.00715
- Dwibedi, V., Kalia, S., and Saxena, S. (2019). Isolation and enhancement of resveratrol production in *Xylaria psidii* by exploring the phenomenon of epigenetics: using DNA methyltransferases and histone deacetylase as epigenetic modifiers. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 46, 4123–4137. doi: 10.1007/s11033-019-04862-z
- Elias, B. C., Said, S., de Albuquerque, S., and Pupo, M. T. (2006). The influence of culture conditions on the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites by *Penicillium verrucosum* Dierck. *Microbiol. Res.* 161, 273–280. doi: 10.1016/j. micres.2005.10.003

- Faeth, S. H., and Fagan, W. F. (2002). Fungal endophytes: common host plant symbionts but uncommon mutualists. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 42, 360–368. doi: 10.1093/icb/42.2.360
- Fang, K., Miao, Y.-F., Chen, L., Zhou, J., Yang, Z.-P., Dong, X.-F., et al. (2019). Tissue-specific and geographical variation in endophytic fungi of *Ageratina adenophora* and fungal associations with the environment. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2919. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02919
- Freinkman, E., Oh, D. C., Scott, J. J., Currie, C. R., and Clardy, J. (2009). Bionectriol A, a polyketide glycoside from the fungus *Bionectria* sp. associated with the fungus-growing ant, *Apterostigma dentigerum*. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 50, 6834–6837. doi: 10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.09.120
- Gakuubi, M. M., Munusamy, M., Liang, Z.-X., and Ng, S. B. (2021). Fungal endophytes: a promising frontier for discovery of novel bioactive compounds. *J. Fungi* 7:786. doi: 10.3390/JOF7100786
- García-Kirchner, O., Segura-Granados, M., and Rodríguez-Pascual, P. (2005). Effect of media composition and growth conditions on production of betaglucosidase by Aspergillus niger C-6. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 121, 347–359. doi: 10.1385/ABAB:121:1-3:0347
- González-Menéndez, V., Crespo, G., De Pedro, N., Diaz, C., Martín, J., Serrano, R., et al. (2018). Fungal endophytes from arid areas of Andalusia: high potential sources for antifungal and antitumoral agents. *Sci. Rep.* 8:9729. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-28192-5
- González-Menéndez, V., Crespo, G., Toro, C., Martín, J., De Pedro, N., Tormo, J. R., et al. (2019). Extending the metabolite diversity of the endophyte *Dimorphosporicola tragani. Meta* 9:197. doi: 10.3390/metabo9100197
- Grigoletto, D. F., Trivella, D. B. B., Tempone, A. G., Rodrigues, A., Correia, A. M. L., and Lira, S. P. (2020). Antifungal compounds with anticancer potential from *Trichoderma* sp. P8BDA1F1, an endophytic fungus from *Begonia venosa*. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 51, 989–997. doi: 10.1007/S42770-020-00270-9
- Gupta, M., and Shukla, K. K. (2020). "Endophytic fungi: a treasure trove of novel bioactive compounds," in *Bioactive Natural Products in Drug Discovery*. eds. J. Singh, V. Meshram, and M. Gupta (Singapore: Springer), 427–449.
- Hamzah, T., Noraida, T., Lee, S. Y., Hidayat, A., and Terhem, R. (2018). Diversity and characterization of endophytic fungi isolated from the tropical mangrove species, *Rhizophora mucronata*, and identification of potential antagonists against the soil-borne fungus, *Fusarium solani. Front. Microbiol.* 9:1707. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01707
- Hosoe, T., Fukushima, K., Takizawa, K., Itabashi, T., Kawahara, N., Vidotto, V., et al. (2006). A new antifungal macrolide, eushearilide, isolated from *Eupenicillium shearii. J. Antibiot.* 59, 597–600. doi: 10.1038/ja.2006.80
- Ju, Y. M., Juang, S. H., Chen, K. J., and Lee, T. H. (2007). TMC-151 a monoacetate, a new polyketide from *Bionectria ochroleuca. Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch Sect. B J. Chem. Sci.* 62, 561–564. doi: 10.1515/ZNB-2007-0412/ MACHINEREADABLECITATION/RIS
- Kanehara, R., Hayasaka, A., Inose, K., Okamura, T., Miura, S., Tanaka, K., et al. (2021). Decaspirones and palmarumycins from *Phaeoseptum* sp. KT4106: chirality reinvestigation of palmarumycins CP4a and CP5. *Tetrahedron* 92:132251. doi: 10.1016/J.TET.2021.132251
- Kasai, Y., Komatsu, K., Shigemori, H., Tsuda, M., Mikami, Y., and Kobayashi, J. (2005). Cladionol A, a polyketide glycoside from marine-derived fungus *Gliocladium* species. J. Nat. Prod. 68, 777–779. doi: 10.1021/NP050046B
- Keller, N. P. (2019). Fungal secondary metabolism: regulation, function and drug discovery. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 167–180. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0121-1
- Khalmuratova, I., Choi, D.-H., Yoon, H.-J., Yoon, T.-M., and Kim, J.-G. (2021). Diversity and plant growth promotion of fungal endophytes in five halophytes from the Buan salt marsh. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 31, 408–418. doi: 10.4014/JMB.2012.12041
- Khan, A. L., Shahzad, R., Al-Harrasi, A., and Lee, I.-J. (2017). "Endophytic microbes: a resource for producing extracellular enzymes." in *Endophytes: Crop Productivity and Protection. Vol. 2.* eds. D. Maheshwari and K. Annapurna (Cham: Springer), 95–110
- Kharwar, R. N., Mishra, A., Gond, S. K., Stierle, A., and Stierle, D. (2011). Anticancer compounds derived from fungal endophytes: their importance and future challenges. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 28, 1208–1228. doi: 10.1039/c1np00008j
- Kim, J. W., and Shim, S. H. (2019). The fungus Collectorichum as a source for bioactive secondary metabolites. Arch. Pharm. Res. 42, 735–753. doi: 10.1007/s12272-019-01142-z
- Kjærbølling, I., Mortensen, U. H., Vesth, T., and Andersen, M. R. (2019). Strategies to establish the link between biosynthetic gene clusters and

secondary metabolites. Fungal Genet. Biol. 130, 107-121. doi: 10.1016/j. fgb.2019.06.001

- Krohn, K., Michel, A., Flörke, U., Aust, H. -J., Draeger, S., and Schulz, B. (1994). Biologically active metabolites from fungi, 5. Palmarumycins C1–C16 from *Coniothyrium* sp.: isolation, structure elucidation, and biological activity. *Liebigs Ann. der Chemie* 1994, 1099–1108. doi: 10.1002/JLAC.199419941108
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Kusari, S., Hertweck, C., and Spiteller, M. (2012). Chemical ecology of endophytic fungi: origins of secondary metabolites. *Chem. Biol.* 19, 792–798. doi: 10.1016/j. chembiol.2012.06.004
- Li, P., Wu, Z., Liu, T., and Wang, Y. (2016). Biodiversity, phylogeny, and antifungal functions of endophytic fungi associated with *Zanthoxylum bungeanum. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17:1541. doi: 10.3390/ijms17091541
- Mafezoli, J., Xu, Y., Hilário, F., Freidhof, B., Espinosa-Artiles, P., dos Santos, L. C., et al. (2018). Modulation of polyketide biosynthetic pathway of the endophytic fungus, *Anteaglonium* sp. FL0768, by copper (II) and anacardic acid. *Phytochem. Lett.* 28, 157–163. doi: 10.1016/j.phytol.2018.10.011
- Magotra, A., Kumar, M., Kushwaha, M., Awasthi, P., Raina, C., Gupta, A. P., et al. (2017). Epigenetic modifier induced enhancement of fumiquinazoline C production in *Aspergillus fumigatus* (GA-L7): an endophytic fungus from *Grewia asiatica* L. *AMB Express* 7:43. doi: 10.1186/s13568-017-0343-z
- Martinez-Klimova, E., Rodríguez-Peña, K., and Sánchez, S. (2017). Endophytes as sources of antibiotics. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 134, 1–17. doi: 10.1016/j. bcp.2016.10.010
- Mishra, R., Kushveer, J. S., Revanthbabu, P., and Sarma, V. V. (2019). "Endophytic fungi and their enzymatic potential." in Advances in Endophytic Fungal Research: Present Status and Future Challenges. ed. B. Singh (Cham: Springer), 283–337
- Moraga, J., Gomes, W., Pinedo, C., Cantoral, J. M., Hanson, J. R., Carbú, M., et al. (2019). The current status on secondary metabolites produced by plant pathogenic *Colletotrichum* species. *Phytochem. Rev.* 18, 215–239. doi: 10.1007/s11101-018-9590-0
- Motoyama, T., Yun, C.-S., and Osada, H. (2021). Biosynthesis and biological function of secondary metabolites of the rice blast fungus *Pyricularia oryzae*. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 48:kuab058. doi: 10.1093/JIMB/KUAB058
- Mou, Y., Xu, D., Mao, Z., Dong, X., Lin, F., Wang, A., et al. (2015). Enhancement of palmarumycin  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{13}$  production by the endophytic fungus *Berkleasmium* sp. Dzf12 in an aqueous-organic solvent system. *Molecules* 20, 20320–20333. doi: 10.3390/molecules201119700
- Ng, S. B., Kanagasundaram, Y., Fan, H., Arumugam, P., Eisenhaber, B., and Eisenhaber, F. (2018). The 160K natural organism library, a unique resource for natural products research. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 570–573. doi: 10.1038/ nbt.4187
- Nisa, H., Kamili, A. N., Nawchoo, I. A., Shafi, S., Shameem, N., and Bandh, S. A. (2015). Fungal endophytes as prolific source of phytochemicals and other bioactive natural products: a review. *Microb. Pathog.* 82, 50–59. doi: 10.1016/j. micpath.2015.04.001
- Ortega, H. E., Torres-Mendoza, D., Caballero, E. Z., and Cubilla-Rios, L. (2021). Structurally uncommon secondary metabolites derived from endophytic fungi. *J. Fungi* 7:570. doi: 10.3390/JOF7070570
- Rabha, A. J., Naglot, A., Sharma, G. D., Gogoi, H. K., Gupta, V. K., Shreemali, D. D., et al. (2016). Morphological and molecular diversity of endophytic *Colletotrichum* gloeosporioides from tea plant, *Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze of Assam, India. J. Genet. Eng. Biotechnol. 14, 181–187. doi: 10.1016/j.jgeb.2015.12.003
- Raja, H. A., Miller, A. N., Pearce, C. J., and Oberlies, N. H. (2017). Fungal identification using molecular tools: a primer for the natural products research community. J. Nat. Prod. 80, 756–770. doi: 10.1021/ACS.JNATPROD.6B01085
- Rajamanikyam, M., Vadlapudi, V., Amanchy, R., and Upadhyayula, S. M. (2017). Endophytic fungi as novel resources of natural therapeutics. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 60:17160542. doi: 10.1590/1678-4324-2017160542
- Rodriguez, R. J., White, J. F., Arnold, A. E., and Redman, R. S. (2009). Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles: Tansley review. *New Phytol.* 182, 314–330. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02773.x
- Rosa, L. H., Mariana, L. A., Betania, B., Johann, S., Alves, T. M. A., Zani, C. L., et al. (2011). "Endophytic fungi of tropical forests: a promising source of bioactive prototype molecules for the treatment of neglected diseases," in *Drug Development—A Case Study Based Insight Into Modern Strategies* ed. C. Rundfeldt (London: IntechOpen).

- Shan, T., Tian, J., Wang, X., Mou, Y., Mao, Z., Lai, D., et al. (2014). Bioactive spirobisnaphthalenes from the endophytic fungus *Berkleasmium* sp. *J. Nat. Prod.* 77, 2151–2160. doi: 10.1021/np400988a
- Singh, R., Kumar, M., Mittal, A., and Mehta, P. K. (2017). Microbial metabolites in nutrition, healthcare and agriculture. 3 Biotech 7, 15–14. doi: 10.1007/ s13205-016-0586-4
- Sinha, S., Nge, C. E., Leong, C. Y., Ng, V., Crasta, S., Alfatah, M., et al. (2019). Genomics-driven discovery of a biosynthetic gene cluster required for the synthesis of BII-Rafflesfungin from the fungus *Phoma* sp. F3723. *BMC Genet*. 20:374. doi: 10.1186/S12864-019-5762-6
- Sirota, F. L., Goh, F., Low, K.-N., Yang, L.-K., Crasta, S. C., Eisenhaber, B., et al. (2018). Isolation and identification of an Anthracimycin analogue from *Nocardiopsis kunsanensis*, a halophile from a saltern, by genomic mining strategy. J. Genomics 6, 63–73. doi: 10.7150/JGEN.24368
- Strobel, G. (2018). The emergence of endophytic microbes and their biological promise. J. Fungi 4:57. doi: 10.3390/jof4020057
- Strobel, G., and Daisy, B. (2003). Bioprospecting for microbial endophytes and their natural products. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67, 491–502. doi: 10.1128/ mmbr.67.4.491-502.2003
- Sun, X., and Guo, L. D. (2012). Endophytic fungal diversity: review of traditional and molecular techniques. *Mycology* 3, 65–76. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2012.656724
- Tamura, M., Mochizuki, N., Nagatomi, Y., Toriba, A., and Hayakawa, K. (2014). Characterization of Fumonisin A-series by high-resolution liquid chromatography-orbitrap mass spectrometry. *Toxins* 6, 2580–2593. doi: 10.3390/ TOXINS6082580
- Toghueo, K. M., and Boyom, F. F. (2019). Endophytes from ethno-pharmacological plants: sources of novel antioxidants—A systematic review. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 22:101430. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101430
- Tonoi, T., Inohana, T., Sato, T., Noda, Y., Ikeda, M., Akutsu, M., et al. (2019). Total synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of 23-demethyleushearilide and extensive antimicrobial evaluation of all synthetic stereoisomers of (16Z,20E)eushearilide and (16E,20E)-eushearilide. *Molecules* 24:3437. doi: 10.3390/ molecules24193437
- Tonoi, T., Kawahara, R., Inohana, T., and Shiina, I. (2016). Enantioselective total synthesis of naturally occurring eushearilide and evaluation of its antifungal activity. J. Antibiot. 69, 697–701. doi: 10.1038/JA.2015.146
- Triastuti, A., Vansteelandt, M., Barakat, F., Trinel, M., Jargeat, P., Fabre, N., et al. (2019). How histone deacetylase inhibitors alter the secondary metabolites of *Botryosphaeria mamane*, an endophytic fungus isolated from *Bixa orellana*. *Chem. Biodivers*. 16:e1800485. doi: 10.1002/cbdv.201800485
- Turenne, C. Y., Sanche, S. E., Hoban, D. J., Karlowsky, J. A., and Kabani, A. M. (1999). Rapid identification of fungi by using the ITS2 genetic region and an automated fluorescent capillary electrophoresis system. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 37, 1846–1851. doi: 10.1128/jcm.37.6.1846-1851.1999
- Uzma, F., Mohan, C. D., Hashem, A., Konappa, N. M., Rangappa, S., Kamath, P. V., et al. (2018). Endophytic fungi-alternative sources of cytotoxic compounds: A review. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9:309. doi: 10.3389/ fphar.2018.00309
- Vancov, T., and Keen, B. (2009). Amplification of soil fungal community DNA using the ITS86F and ITS4 primers. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 296, 91–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01621.x
- VanderMolen, K. M., Raja, H. A., El-Elimat, T., and Oberlies, N. H. (2013). Evaluation of culture media for the production of secondary metabolites in a natural products screening program. AMB Express 3:71. doi: 10.1186/2191-0855-3-71
- Vaz, A. B. M., da Costa, A. G. F. C., Raad, L. V. V., and Góes-Neto, A. (2014). Fungal endophytes associated with three south American Myrtae (*Myrtaceae*) exhibit preferences in the colonization at leaf level. *Fungal Biol.* 118, 277–286. doi: 10.1016/j.funbio.2013.11.010
- Wang, B., You, J., King, J. B., Cai, S., Park, E., Powell, D. R., et al. (2014). Polyketide glycosides from bionectria ochroleuca inhibit *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *J. Nat. Prod.* 77, 2273–2279. doi: 10.1021/np500531j
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal rna genes for phylogenetics. *PCR Protocols* 18, 315–322. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Wu, Z., Su, Q., Cui, Y., He, H., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Temporal and spatial pattern of endophytic fungi diversity of *Camellia sinensis* (cv. Shu Cha Zao). *BMC Microbiol.* 20, 270–211. doi: 10.1186/ S12866-020-01941-1

- Yu, J., Wu, Y., He, Z., Li, M., Zhu, K., and Gao, B. (2018). Diversity and antifungal activity of endophytic fungi associated with *Camellia oleifera*. *Mycobiology* 46, 85–91. doi: 10.1080/12298093.2018.1454008
- Zheng, Y. K., Qiao, X. G., Miao, C. P., Liu, K., Chen, Y. W., Xu, L. H., et al. (2016). Diversity, distribution and biotechnological potential of endophytic fungi. Ann. Microbiol. 66, 529–542. doi: 10.1007/s13213-015-1153-7
- Zimmerman, N. B., and Vitousek, P. M. (2012). Fungal endophyte communities reflect environmental structuring across a Hawaiian landscape. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 13022–13027. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1209872109

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Gakuubi, Ching, Munusamy, Wibowo, Liang, Kanagasundaram and Ng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.