Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jens Andre Hammerl, Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung, Germany

REVIEWED BY Deepak B. Rawool, ICAR National Research Centre on Meat (NRCM), India Kenta Watanabe, Yamaguchi University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE

Yan-Song Sun sunys1964@hotmail.com Jing-Lin Wang wangjlin@bmi.ac.cn Yuan Yuan miniminiyuan@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 22 March 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 10 August 2022

CITATION

Xu J-H, Kang L, Yuan B, Feng Z-H, Li S-Q, Wang J, Wang Y-R, Xin W-W, Gao S, Li J-X, Sun Y-S, Wang J-L and Yuan Y (2022) Development and evaluation of a rapid RPA/CRISPR-based detection of *Francisella tularensis*. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:901520. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.901520

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xu, Kang, Yuan, Feng, Li, Wang, Wang, Xin, Gao, Li, Sun, Wang and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Development and evaluation of a rapid RPA/CRISPR-based detection of *Francisella tularensis*

Jian-Hao Xu^{1,2†}, Lin Kang^{1†}, Bing Yuan^{1†}, Zi-Han Feng³, Shi-Qing Li¹, Jing Wang¹, Ya-Ru Wang^{1,4}, Wen-Wen Xin¹, Shan Gao¹, Jia-Xin Li¹, Yan-Song Sun^{1*}, Jing-Lin Wang^{1,2*} and Yuan Yuan^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences (AMMS), Beijing, China, ²School of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, ³Department of Disease Control and Prevention, The No. 96609 Hospital of Chinese People's Liberation Army, Yinchuan, China, ⁴Faculty of Life Science and Technology, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China

Francisella tularensis is a dangerous pathogen that causes an extremely contagious zoonosis in humans named tularemia. Given its low-dose morbidity, the potential to be fatal, and aerosol spread, it is regarded as a severe threat to public health. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has classified it as a category A potential agent for bioterrorism and a Tier 1 Select Agent. Herein, we combined recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) with CRISPR/Cas12a system to select the F. tularensis target gene (TUL4), creating a two-pronged rapid and ultrasensitive diagnostic method for detecting F. tularensis. The real-time RPA (RT-RPA) assay detected F. tularensis within 10 min at a sensitivity of 5 copies/reaction, F. tularensis genomic DNA of 5 fg, and *F. tularensis* of 2×10^2 CFU/ml; the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay detects F. tularensis within 40 min at a sensitivity of 0.5 copies/reaction, F. tularensis genomic DNA of 1 fg, and F. tularensis of 2 CFU/ml. Furthermore, the evaluation of specificity showed that both assays were highly specific to F. tularensis. More importantly, in a test of prepared simulated blood and sewage samples, the RT-RPA assay results were consistent with RT-PCR assay results, and the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay could detect a minute amount of F. tularensis genomic DNA (2.5 fg). There was no nonspecific detection with blood samples and sewage samples, giving the tests a high practical application value. For example, in on-site and epidemic areas, the RT-RPA was used for rapid screening and the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay was used for more accurate diagnosis.

KEYWORDS

Francisella tularensis, recombinase polymerase amplification, CRISPR/Cas12a, rapid detection, high-sensitive

Introduction

Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular bacterium that can remain in water and soil environments for prolonged periods and causes tularemia in humans and many animals (Maurin and Gyuranecz, 2016; Pilo, 2018). In practice, studies have shown that only F. tularensis subsp. tularensis (Type A, in North America) and F. tularensis subsp. holarctica (Type B, found throughout the northern hemisphere) can cause tularemia (McLendon et al., 2006). Human infection is usually the result of exposure to the pathogen through contact with sick animals, Ixodidae ticks, mosquitoes, or contaminated hydro-telluric environments (Sjöstedt, 2007; Appelt et al., 2020). The ability of F. tularensis to spread through aerosol transmission enables it to spread rapidly in the population (Barnes et al., 2020; Maurin, 2020). Owing to its extreme infectivity and low-dose morbidity, it is regarded as a potential biological weapon, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has classified it as a category A potential agent for bioterrorism and a Tier 1 Select Agent (Dennis et al., 2001; Euler et al., 2012). To promptly obtain appropriate treatment for patients and to avoid the rapid spread of tularemia in the population, accurate, rapid, sensitive, and easy-to-use diagnostic tools that can detect F. tularensis are essential.

The traditional isolation and culture of microorganisms for morphological identification are time-consuming. Moreover, it is laborious to isolate F. tularensis from clinical samples and the bacterium is typically obtained in <10% of patients (Afset et al., 2015; Maurin, 2020). Currently, the detection of F. tularensis is mainly based on serological methods (Yanes et al., 2018; Esmaeili et al., 2019). However, this diagnosis method has limitations in terms of sensitivity and specificity, often giving false positives, and thus cannot be used as a standard diagnostic method (Maurin, 2020). While PCR-based detection methods satisfy the requirements of sensitivity and specificity (Sabour et al., 2020), their use is restricted by the requirement of expensive instruments and well-trained laboratory personnel. The two requirements make applying the method in resource-poor areas and for on-site testing challenging (James and Macdonald, 2015).

Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) is a recently developed thermostatic amplification technology (Lobato and O'Sullivan, 2018). In an isothermal reaction condition (39– 42°C), trace target DNA can be expanded to a detectable level within 10 min. Therefore, RPA is an ideal nucleic acid amplification technology that has an uncomplicated operation and inexpensive instruments (James and Macdonald, 2015; Li et al., 2020). Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats–CRISPR-associated proteins (CRISPR– Cas) is an immune defense system used by bacteria to resist exogenous DNA infection (Makarova and Koonin, 2015).

Immunity is obtained by combining short fragments of exogenous DNA into CRISPR loci, followed by transcription and processing, to produce CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) that guide Cas endonuclease proteins to complementary invading nucleic acids, resulting in target interference (van der Oost et al., 2014). The Class II Cas protein Cas9 has been widely used in gene editing and transcription regulation research (Boutin et al., 2021; Moreb and Lynch, 2021). Recently, Cas13a and Cas12a effectors have also shown promise for new research directions in nucleic acids detection as they have the unique property of trans-cleavage of single-stranded nontarget RNA (Cas13a) or DNA (Cas12a) (Strohkendl et al., 2018; Barnes et al., 2020). Typically, a CRISPR-based sensing platform is combined with pre-amplification processes such as RPA and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) to efficiently improve analytical performance. For example, the Doudna lab combined RPA with the CRISPR/Cas12a platform to develop a technique for rapid and specific detection of human papillomavirus (Chen et al., 2018), and Broughton et al. combined RT-LAMP with the CRISPR/Cas12a platform to effectively detect SARS-CoV-2 (Broughton et al., 2020).

In this study, we developed a real-time RPA (RT-RPA) and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12 assays of *F. tularensis* with the target gene TUL4, respectively (Figure 1). The RT-RPA assay takes 10 min, and the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12 assay takes 40 min. The limits of detection are 5 copies/reaction and 0.5 copies/reaction for RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12, respectively. We used the compact portable Genie-II instrument (OptiGene, UK) in this study. The Genie-II is equipped with a lithium battery and can keep working for 12 h in the field. The RPA/CRISPR-based detection of *F. tularensis* established in this study is rapid, ultrasensitive, and specific, which might promise a broad prospect in early clinical diagnosis, biosafety prevention, and other studies involving *F. tularensis*.

Materials and methods

Materials

The following bacterial strains were available in our lab: *F. tularensis, Brucella melitensis, Brucella abortus, Burkholderia pseudomallei, Burkholderia mallei, Bacillus anthracis, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus thuringiensis, Yersinia pestis, Salmonella typhi, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Vibrio vulnificus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Bacillus cereus,* and *Vibrio cholerae.* RPA primers, RPA probes, crRNA, and fluorescent single-stranded DNA reporter (FlussDNA) were synthesized by Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (China). LbCas12a protein, NEBuffer 3.1, and RNA inhibitor were provided by New England BioLabs, Inc. (USA). TwistAmpTM Exo Kit and TwistAmpTM basic Kit were provided by TwistDx (Cambridge, UK). A positive reference plasmid for



F. tularensis detection (pEASY-T1-TUL4) was constructed by our lab. DNase/RNase-free distilled, deionized water (DDH₂O) was provided by Tiangen Biochemical Co., Ltd. A QIAampTM DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used to extract bacterial strain genomes, followed by the user manual's protocol.

The heat-inactivated bacteria and genomic DNA preparation

The heat-inactivated *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, *B. pseudomallei*, *B. mallei*, *B. anthracis*, *Y. pestis*, and *F. tularensis* were prepared in the BSL-3 lab. Specifically, these bacteria were cultured in BSL-3, which subsequently were serially diluted and plated on Francis agar or Columbia blood agar. After incubation, the number of CFU was determined as CFU/ml. The serially diluted bacteria are inactivated by heat. Subsequently, these heat-inactivated bacteria were taken out of BSL-3 and used for genomic DNA extraction. *S. aureus*, *B. thuringiensis*, *S. typhi*, *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *V. vulnificus*, *S. epidermidis*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *B. cereus*, and *V. cholerae* were cultured in BSL-2 and also inactivated by heat. The QIAampTM DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used to extract genomic DNA from all these bacteria. For *F. tularensis* experiments, *F. tularensis* was cultured on a Francis agar plate at 37°C. Then,

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was used to wash and collect these colonies. After 10-fold serial dilution, 100 μ l of each gradient diluent was coated on three parallel Francis agar. The concentration of the original bacterial solution (CFU/ml) = N × M × 10 (N = mean of colonies counts on three plates; M = dilution multiple). During the RT-RPA assay and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay, the inactivated bacterial solution was diluted to 2 × 10³, 2 × 10², 2 × 10¹, and 2 × 10⁰ CFU/ml, and then QIAampTM DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) were used to extract nucleic acid and 2 μ l of nucleic acid as template DNA for detection.

Design of RPA primers, RPA probes, and CrRNA

The 17 kDa major membrane protein-encoding gene TUL4 of *F. tularensis* was used as the primary target gene (GenBank: M32059.1). The complete sequence of the gene TUL4 was constructed into a positive reference recombinant plasmid (pEASY-T1-TUL4), whose total sequence length was 4,865 bp.

According to the assay design manual of the TwistAmpTM DNA amplification kits, RPA primers tul-F1/R1 and tul-F2/R2 were designed by Primer Premier 6. RPA probes tul-P1 and tul-P2 were designed by the amplified sequence of tul-F1/R1 and

TABLE 1	The involved	oligonucleotides	sequence.
---------	--------------	------------------	-----------

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
tul-F1	GTCATCTTGATCTTATCTTAGCGACTAATCCT
tul-R1	TATATGTCTTACAAGCAGTATCACTCGCCATA
tul-P1	GAAAAACAACTTTTGCCTCCACTTGAGATAAT(FAM-
	dT)A(THF)(BHQ1dT)CAAATCGCAAAAGCTG[C3
	Spacer]
tul-F2	CAGCTACTACTGAGCAAGCTGCTGCTGTATCT
tul-R2	CACTTAGAACCTTCTGGAGCCTGCCATTGTAATC
tul-P2	AATAAAAGCAACTGTATATACAGCATACAA(FAM-
	dT)A(THF)(BHQ1dT)AACCCACAAGGAAGT[C3-Spacer]
crRNA-tul-1	UAAUUUCUACUAAGUGUAGAU
	CCUCCACUUGAGAUAAUUAAUCAA
crRNA-tul-2	UAAUUUCUACUAAGUGUAGAU
	AAUAAACUUGGUCAGGAUAAAAUA
Flu-ssDNA	FAM-CCCCCCCCC-BHQ1

tul-F2/R2, respectively. Details of oligonucleotides are listed in Table 1.

The crRNA spacer sequences were designed downstream of the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence containing 5'-TTTN-3' on the RPA amplified sequence, and the anchor sequence was added upstream of the crRNA spacer sequences (Li et al., 2018). Two crRNAs, namely, crRNA-tul-1 and crRNA-tul-2, were designed by the amplified sequence of tul-F1/R1 and tul-F2/R2, respectively. Subsequently, the fluorescent single-stranded DNA reporter (Flu-ssDNA) modified with fluorophore 6-FAM, and quencher BHQ1 was trans-cleaved by Cas12a and indicated the presence or absence of the target gene TUL4. Details of oligonucleotides are listed in Table 1.

Real-time RPA assay

The RT-RPA assay was conducted with a TwistAmpTM Exo Kit with minor changes to the reaction mix: 29.5 μ l of primer-free rehydration buffer, 2.1 μ l of forward primer (10 μ M), 2.1 μ l of reverse primer (10 μ M), 0.6 μ l of probe (10 μ M), 3 μ l of MgOAc, and 2 μ l of template DNA and DDH₂O to bring the total volume to 50 μ l. The pre-matching reaction system was mixed in an Eppendorf tube and then incubated at 39°C for 10 min in the Genie-II.

RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay

The primers used in the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay were consistent with those in the RT-RPA assay. Template DNA was amplified by RPA to get RPA products, according to TwistAmpTM Basic Kit Quick Guide,

		In the present study		RAA-Cas12a	LAMP-Cas12a	PCR-Cas12a
Target pathogen	F. tularensis	F. tularensis	F. tularensis	Listeria monocytogenes	Escherichia coli 0157:H7	Yersinia pestis
Diagnostic strategy	Real-time RPA	RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a	Real-time PCR	RAA-Cas12a	LAMP-Cas12a	PCR-Cas12a
LoD	5 copies/reaction, 5fg of	0.5 copies/reaction, 1fg of	10 ⁰ CFU, 1 Genomic	0.68 aM of genomic DNA; 26	1.22 CFU/ml of <i>E. coli</i>	10^3 fg per μ l input
	genomic DNA, 2×10^2	genomic DNA, 2×10^{0}	Equivalents	CFU/ml of L. Monocytogenes	0157:H7	
	CFU/ml	CFU/ml				
Assay reaction time	$10 \min (\text{RPA } 39^\circ \text{C} 10 \min)$	40 min (RPA 39°C 30 min,	47 min (50°C for 2 min, 95°C	75 min (RAA 37°C 30 min,	$45 \text{ min} (\text{LAMP } 58.8^{\circ} \text{C} 40 \text{ min},$	115 min (PCR 55 min 94°C
(approximate) and		CRISPR/Cas12a 45°C 10 min)	for 10 min, 45 cycles at 95° C	CRISPR/Cas12a 37°C 45 min)	CRISPR/Cas12a 37°C 5 min)	5 min; 30 cycles of 94° C 30 s,
Components			for 10 s and 60° C for 30 s,			55°C 30 s, 72°C 30 s; 72°C
			45°C for 5 min)			5 min. CRISPR/Cas12a 37°C
						60 min)
Assay results	Qualitative	Qualitative	Quantitative	Quantitative	Qualitative	Qualitative
Bulky instrumentation	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Required						

FABLE 2

using the following reaction mix: 29.5 μ l of primer-free rehydration buffer, 2.4 μ l of forward primer (10 μ M), 2.4 μ l of reverse primer (10 μ M), 3 μ l of MgOAc, and 2 μ l of template DNA and DDH₂O to bring the total volume to 50 μ l. The pre-matching reaction system was mixed in a tube and then incubated at 39°C for 30 min in Genie-II.

Recombinase polymerase amplification products were then immediately added to the CRISPR/Cas12a system, which following previously published methods (Li et al., 2018), with the following optimizations for the reaction mix: 75 nM of LbCas12a, 500 nM of crRNA, 500 nM of FlussDNA, 10 U of RNA inhibitor, 1.5 μ l of NEBuffer 3.1, 5 μ l of RPA products, and DDH₂O supplemented to 20 μ l. This pre-matching reaction system was mixed in a tube and incubated at 45°C for 10 min in the Genie-II.

Sewage samples and blood samples test by RT-RPA, RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a, and RT-PCR assays

Whole *F. tularensis* bacteria are dangerous and must be handled under biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) conditions (Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 8th ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.). Therefore, we used only genomic DNA to prepare test samples.

A real-time PCR (RT-PCR) assay was used as the standard method to detect *F. tularensis* (Versage et al., 2003), which was also based on the TUL4 gene: Tul4F (5'-ATTACAATGGCAGGCTCCAGA-3'), Tul4R (5'-TGCCCA AGTTTT ATCGTTCTTCT-3'), and Tul4P (5'-6-FAM-TTCT AAGTGCCATGATACAAGCTTCCCAATTAC TAAG-BHQ1-3').

Blood samples were prepared with fresh human whole blood provided by volunteers, and the sewage sample was composed of natural water to which sludge was added (Yuyuantan, Yongding River Basin, Beijing). First, researcher A added the gradient dilution of *F. tularensis* genomic DNA (1,250–1.25 fg/µl) to blood and sewage samples, using DDH₂O as a blank control (BC) and randomly numbering all samples. Then, researchers B and C got unknown samples and used QIAampTM DNA Mini Kit to extract nucleic acids from all samples. Finally, researchers B and C then tested genomic DNA extracts from all samples using RT-RPA, RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a, and RT-PCR assays. In addition, instead of nucleic acid extraction, 8 blood samples were centrifuged and the 2 µl of supernatants were used directly for RT-RPA, RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a, and RT-PCR detection.

Results

Optimal RPA primers/probe and sensitivity evaluation of RT-RPA assay

Initially, we diluted the constructed pEASY-T1-TUL4 with DDH₂O, which was stored at 4°C for a short time and at -40° C for a long time. The gradient concentration of pEASY-T1-TUL4 (ranging from 200 to 10 copies/reaction) was set as template DNA to screen tul-F1/R1/P1 and tul-F2/R2/P2 using an RT-RPA assay; at the same time, DDH₂O was set to non-template control (NTC). The fluorescent signal of each group after 10 min of reaction time was taken and normalized to make a heat map (Figure 2A). As shown in the figure, the RT-RPA assay guided by tul-F2/R2/P2 could detect template DNA with a concentration of 10 copies/reaction, consequently selecting it as the optimal RPA primer probe.

After identifying the best RPA primer/probe, the sensitivity of the RT-RPA assay was evaluated. Notably, 2 µl of gradient concentrations of positive plasmid pEASY-T1-TUL4 (100, 10, 5, 2.5, and 1 copies/µl), 2 µl of F. tularensis genomic DNA (10, 5, 2.5, and 1 fg/µl), and 2 µl extracted DNA from 200 µl heatinactivated F. tularensis $(2 \times 10^3, 2 \times 10^2, 2 \times 10^1, \text{ and } 2 \times 10^1)$ 10⁰ CFU/ml) were used to evaluate the sensitivity of the RT-RPA assay, again using DDH₂O as the NTC in the meantime. A reaction time of 10 min was selected to record the fluorescent signal of each group of samples. The fluorescent signal of NTC was used to normalize the signal of each group of samples and generate the corresponding fold change values (FCVs). As shown in the left graph of Figures 2B-D, the fluorescence valuetime curve showed that RT-RPA had strong positive signals at 5-10 min distinguishable from the NTC, indicating high efficiency. The right graph of Figures 2B-D showed that the sensitivity of the RT-RPA assay could reach pEASY-T1-TUL4 of 5 copies/reaction, F. tularensis genomic DNA of 5 fg, and F. tularensis of 2×10^2 CFU/ml. The RT-RPA assay can detect target DNA accurately in 5-10 min and has good sensitivity.

Optimal CrRNA and sensitivity evaluation of RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay

After the completion of the RT-RPA assay, crRNA was designed with the same RPA sequence to construct the CRISPR/Cas12a system, and RPA was used as a preamplification to establish the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay.

Initially, the pEASY-T1-TUL4 was gradiently diluted by DDH_2O (from 5,000 to 0.05 copies/reaction) and stored at 4°C. Due to the dissimilar sequences of each crRNA, the formation efficiency of crRNA-Cas12a-target DNA ternary complexes differs, which may affect the trans-cleavage activity (Creutzburg



et al., 2020). The gradient concentration of pEASY-T1-TUL4 was set as the template DNA to screen both tul-F1/R1_crRNA-tul-1 and tul-F2/R2_crRNA-tul-2 using the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay, and DDH₂O was set as the NTC. The heat map in Figure 3A showed that the sensitivity of CRISPR/Cas12a mediated by crRNA-tul-2 was significantly higher than that of crRNA-tul-1. Consequently, we selected crRNA-tul-2 as the optimal crRNA.

We next evaluated the sensitivity of the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay using the gradient concentration of pEASY-T1-TUL4 (5,000, 50, 5, 0.5, and 0.05 copies/reaction), *F. tularensis* genomic DNA (20, 10, 5, 2, and 1 fg), and *F. tularensis* (2×10^3 , 2×10^2 , 2×10^1 , and 2×10^0 CFU/ml). In the left graph of Figures 3B–D, the fluorescent value–time curve showed that the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay had a clear positive signal within 40 min (RPA 30 min, CRISPR/Cas12a assay 1 0 min). The results showed that the sensitivity of the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay could reach pEASY-T1-TUL4 of 0.5 copies/reaction (Figure 3B), *F. tularensis* genomic DNA of 1 fg (Figure 3C), and *F. tularensis* of 2×10^0 CFU/ml (Figure 3D), indicating that this method was ultrasensitive.

Specificity evaluation of RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays

We verified the specificity of the RT-RPA assay and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in two ways. On the one hand, the conservative sequences of RPA primers, probes, and crRNAs in this study were evaluated by sequence alignment with *F*. *tularensis* subspecies and close subspecies. Furthermore, the specificity of two assays in this study was evaluated with the genomic DNA of various pathogenic bacteria in our laboratory.

First, the complete genome sequences of several *F. tularensis* strains were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and were compared with the sequences of tul-F2/R2 and crRNA-tul-2. As shown in Figure 4A, three strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* SCHU S4 (GCA_000008985.1), FSC 198 (GCA_000009325.1), and TIGB039 (GCA_000248415.2), three strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* FSC200 (GCA_000168775.2), B-8367 (GCA_010232785.1), and LVS (GCA_000833335.1), a strain of *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica* FSC147 (GCA_00018925.1), two strains of



RT-RPA assay presented are from one representative experiment (the right graph of **B-D**).

Francisella philomiragia 18844 (GCA_018135955.1), and GA01-2794 (GCA_000833255.1), and a strain of *Francisella noatunensis* FSC774 (GCA_014844275.1) were compared with tul-F2/R2, tul-P2, and the spacer sequences of crRNA-tul-2. Only the tul-P2 had one base mismatch when compared with *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* strains, indicating that the RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays developed in this study would detect *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*, *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, and *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica*.

Second, we set the *F. tularensis* genomic DNA and non-*F. tularensis* genomic DNA as template DNA and DDH₂O to NTC synchronously. The non-*F. tularensis* genomic DNA was composed of 16 bacterial genomic DNAs, including *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, *B. pseudomallei*, *B. mallei*, *B. anthracis*, *S. aureus*, *B. thuringiensis*, *Y. pestis*, *S. typhi*, *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *V. vulnificus*, *S. epidermidis*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *B. cereus*, and *V. cholerae*. The template DNAs and NTC were tested by RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays. Notably, the concentration of *F. tularensis* genomic DNA (25 fg/µl) was 100 times lower than that of the non-*F. tularensis* genomic DNA (2.5 pg/µl). Results of RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays are shown in Figures 4B,C. In our independent repeated experiments, positive signals were observed only for *F. tularensis* genomic DNA, while non-*F. tularensis* genomic DNA was all consistent with the NTC results. Thus, RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays had excellent specificity.

Sewage samples and blood samples test by RT-RPA, RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a, and RT-PCR assays

After completing the sensitivity and specificity evaluation, we analyzed the clinical adaptation feasibility of the two methods. Since tularaemia is not common, the threat of *F. tularensis* is more likely to be a potential biological warfare agent. In this study, clinical and environmental samples were selected to be simulated samples. The clinical samples picked human blood. Considering that *F. tularensis* will spread in aerosol form and can survive in water and soil environments (Telford and Goethert, 2020; Brunet et al., 2021; Golovliov et al., 2021), we



FIGURE 4

Specificity evaluation of RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays. (A) Sequence alignment of tul-F2/R2, tul-P, and spacers sequence of crRNA-tul-2 with multiple F. tularensis strains and F. philomiragia and F. noatunensis. (B) Specificity evaluation of RT-RPA assay. (C) Specificity evaluation of the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay. Error bars represent mean \pm SEM, where n = 4 replicates, t-test, **** (P < 0.0001), ns (non-significant)



finally decided to use sludge mixed with natural water to prepare sewage samples.

Concomitantly, RT-PCR was used as an auxiliary reference experiment. We analyzed the feasibility of the RT-PCR assay and used it for the quantification of the genomic DNA spiked into the simulated samples. The F. tularensis genomic DNA was gradiently diluted by DDH₂O (1 ng, 100, 10, 1 pg, 100, and 10

fg) and used as template DNA to make the standard curve of the RT-PCR assay, while the DDH₂O was set to NTC synchronously (Figure 5), $R^2 > 0.99$, Y = 3.448 * X + 17.52.

Then, we prepared 14 blood samples and 14 sewage samples to evaluate the practicability of the two methods, running comparative RT-PCR assays at the same time. The RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay effectively detected all of the positive



sewage samples. However, the RT-RPA assay failed to detect the No. 8 positive sample, and the CT values of No. 8 and 12 positive samples were both >37 in RT-PCR. Given the test results of the sewage samples, we reduced the F. tularensis genomic DNA spiked into blood samples. As shown in Figure 6B, there were significant differences among the assays. The RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay effectively detected all positive samples, while the RT-RPA assay and RT-PCR assay failed to detect No. 3, 4, and 13 positive samples, presumably due to sensitivity limitations. In addition, some samples with low nucleic acid input had discrepant RT-RPA results: No. 8 (-) and 12 (+) in sewage samples, No. 3 (-) and 12 (-) in blood samples, and this may be attributable to the low extraction efficiency of small quantities of target DNA spiked in complex samples. No false positives were detected among the 4 negative samples (BC) with these three assays (Figure 6). The results indicated that the RT-RPA assay and RT-PCR assay had a consistent test effect, while the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay had a higher sensitivity than RT-RPA and RT-PCR assays.

In addition, the extraction of sample nucleic acid will prolong the detection time, which is not conducive to rapid detection in the field. Therefore, we prepared the simulated blood samples without genomic DNA extraction. We used the RT-RPA assay, RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay, and RT-PCR to directly detect the simulated blood samples, respectively, and the results are shown in Figure 7. Without genomic DNA extraction, the sensitivity of each detection method was affected.

Compared with the positive control (PC), the results of the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay were not interfered with blood samples, and the detection signals of the RT-RPA assay and RT-PCR (fluorescence value and CT value) were significantly reduced. However, samples No. 3, 5, and 7 showed that the RT-RPA assay could still detect the low concentration target in blood samples.

Discussion

F. tularensis is a dangerous pathogen that can infect humans, more than 190 mammalian species, and arthropods, especially ticks and mosquitoes. In humans, it causes contagious zoonosis tularemia (Hennebique et al., 2019; Zellner and Huntley, 2019). Tularemia has complex epidemiology and ecology that is regionally specific, making it difficult to be diagnosed based on clinical symptoms and delaying patient treatment (Frischknecht et al., 2019; Maurin, 2020). In addition, its high infectivity and morbidity, easy cultivation, absence of a human vaccine, and aerosol propagation make *F. tularensis* a potential biological weapon (Prokšová et al., 2019).

Traditional microbial culture and identification are timeconsuming and are thus not conducive to rapid screening and early clinical diagnosis of *F. tularensis* (Afset et al., 2015). Serological testing has limited sensitivity and specificity and cannot be standardized (Maurin, 2020). RT-PCR can meet general laboratory testing needs; however, due to the need



for a temperature change module, the instrument is expensive and large (James and Macdonald, 2015), which is not suitable for on-site and epidemic area detection. To counter these challenges, we developed RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays for rapid, sensitive, and specific detection of *F. tularensis* (Figure 1). The RT-RPA assay has high detection efficiency, could rapidly detect *F. tularensis* within 10 min, and has a detection sensitivity of 5 copies/reaction, genomic DNA of 5 fg, and *F. tularensis* of 2×10^2 CFU/ml (Figures 2B–D). The ultrasensitive RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay could rapidly detect *F. tularensis* within 40 min, and the sensitivity was pEASY-T1-TUL4 of 0.5 copies/reaction, *F. tularensis* genomic DNA of 1 fg, and *F. tularensis* of 2×10^0 CFU/ml (Figures 3B–D).

TUL4, a 17-kDa lipoprotein, is one of several membrane proteins that induce an *in vitro* response in T cells from *F. tularensis*-primed humans (Sjöstedt et al., 1991). We selected the encoding gene of TUL4 as a target sequence for the RPA primers, probes, and crRNA of RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays and then, through sequence alignment, confirmed that they are highly conservative to *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* and *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* (Figure 4A). The evaluation of specificity showed positive signals only for *F. tularensis* and not for any other bacteria tested, indicating that the RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays are highly specific to *F. tularensis* (Figures 4B,C).

Furthermore, we evaluated the feasibility of the RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays in clinical and environmental diagnosis. The results of a comparative test with RT-PCR in blood samples and sewage samples (Figures 6A,B) demonstrated that RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays have great potential in applied settings. To meet the needs of on-site detection, we tried the nucleic acid-free extraction method for detection. Compared with nucleic acid extraction (PC), the sensitivity of each detection method was affected, but the results of the RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay did not interfere with blood samples. Some additional work will be necessary to fully translate this work into a widely available pointof-care device in the future. An extraction-free protocol for samples needs to minimize the number of steps in the assay.

In summary, we have developed rapid, ultrasensitive, and specific detection methods for *F. tularensis* using RT-RPA and RPA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays. Compared with other equally sensitive test assays already reported (Table 2) (Versage et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Lee and Oh, 2022), the RPA/CRISPR-based detection of *F. tularensis* in this study offers a number of advantages, namely, rapid, ultrasensitive, specific, portative, and mild; more importantly, the dually diagnostic methods for *F. tularensis* can avoid error and missed detection to a greater extent. If it is combined with the nucleic acid-free extraction method, this detection method has a great potential value in clinical rapid diagnosis and biosafety maintenance, especially for on-site diagnosis in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Author contributions

Y-SS, J-LW, and YY: conceptualization, writing—review and editing, project administration, and funding acquisition. J-LW, J-HX, and YY: methodology. LK, Z-HF, and BY: software. J-HX, LK, BY, and S-QL: validation. J-HX, BY, and YY: formal analysis. W-WX, JW, SG, and J-XL: investigation. S-QL and BY: resources. Z-HF and BY: data curation. J-HX and YY: writing original draft preparation. J-HX, BY, and LK: visualization. JW and Z-HF: supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Afset, J., Larssen, K., Bergh, K., Larkeryd, A., Sjodin, A., Johansson, A., et al. (2015). Phylogeographical pattern of *Francisella tularensis* in a nationwide outbreak of tularaemia in Norway, 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 20, 9–14. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES2015.20.19.21125

Appelt, S., Faber, M., Koppen, K., Jacob, D., Grunow, R., and Heuner, K. (2020). *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *holarctica* and Tularemia in Germany. *Microorganisms* 8, 1448. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8091448

Barnes, K. G., Lachenauer, A. E., Nitido, A., Siddiqui, S., Gross, R., Beitzel, B., et al. (2020). Deployable CRISPR-Cas13a diagnostic tools to detect and report Ebola and Lassa virus cases in real-time. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4131. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17994-9

Boutin, J., Rosier, J., Cappellen, D., Prat, F., Toutain, J., Pennamen, P., et al. (2021). CRISPR-Cas9 globin editing can induce megabase-scale copyneutral losses of heterozygosity in hematopoietic cells. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4922. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25190-6

Broughton, J. P., Deng, X., Yu, G., Fasching, C. L., Servellita, V., Singh, J., et al. (2020). CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 870–874. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0513-4

Brunet, C. D., Hennebique, A., Peyroux, J., Pelloux, I., Caspar, Y., and Maurin, M. (2021). Presence of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* DNA in the aquatic environment in France. *Microorganisms* 9, 1398. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9071398

Chen, G., Lyu, Y., Wang, D., Zhu, L., Cao, S., Pan, C., et al. (2021). Obtaining specific sequence tags for yersinia pestis and visually detecting them using the CRISPR-Cas12a system. *Pathogens* 10, 562. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10050562

Chen, J., Ma, E., Harrington, L., Da Costa, M., Tian, X., Palefsky, J., et al. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. *Science* 360, 436–439. doi: 10.1126/science.aar6245

Creutzburg, S. C. A., Wu, W. Y., Mohanraju, P., Swartjes, T., Alkan, F., Gorodkin, J., et al. (2020). Good guide, bad guide: spacer sequence-dependent cleavage efficiency of Cas12a. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 3228–3243. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz1240

Dennis, D., Inglesby, T., Henderson, D., Bartlett, J., Ascher, M., Eitzen, E., et al. (2001). Tularemia as a biological weapon: medical and public health management. *JAMA* 285, 2763–2773. doi: 10.1001/jama.285.21.2763

Esmaeili, S., Bagheri Amiri, F., Mokhayeri, H., Kayedi, M. H., Maurin, M., Rohani, M., et al. (2019). Seroepidemiological study of Q fever, brucellosis and tularemia in butchers and slaughterhouses workers in Lorestan, western of Iran. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 66, 101322. doi: 10.1016/j.cimid.2019.06.003

Euler, M., Wang, Y., Otto, P., Tomaso, H., Escudero, R., Anda, P., et al. (2012). Recombinase polymerase amplification assay for rapid detection of *Francisella tularensis. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50, 2234–2238. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06504-11

Frischknecht, M., Meier, A., Mani, B., Joerg, L., Kim, O. C., Boggian, K., et al. (2019). Tularemia: an experience of 13 cases including a rare myocarditis in a referral center in Eastern Switzerland (Central Europe) and a review of the literature. *Infection* 47, 683–695. doi: 10.1007/s15010-019-01269-7

Golovliov, I., Bäckman, S., Granberg, M., Salomonsson, E., Lundmark, E., Näslund, J., et al. (2021). Long-term survival of virulent tularemia pathogens outside a host in conditions that mimic natural aquatic environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87, e02713–02720. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02713-20

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Hennebique, A., Boisset, S., and Maurin, M. (2019). Tularemia as a waterborne disease: a review. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 8, 1027–1042. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1638734

James, A., and Macdonald, J. (2015). Recombinase polymerase amplification: emergence as a critical molecular technology for rapid, low-resource diagnostics. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 15, 1475–1489. doi: 10.1586/14737159.2015.1090877

Lee, S. Y., and Oh, S. W. (2022). Filtration-based LAMP-CRISPR/Cas12a system for the rapid, sensitive and visualized detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Talanta* 241, 123186. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2021.123186

Li, F., Ye, Q., Chen, M., Zhou, B., Zhang, J., Pang, R., et al. (2021). An ultrasensitive CRISPR/Cas12a based electrochemical biosensor for *Listeria monocytogenes* detection. *Biosens. Bioelectro.* 179, 113073–113081. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2021.113073

Li, J., Macdonald, J., and von Stetten, F. (2020). Correction: Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. *Analyst* 145, 1950–1960. doi: 10.1039/c9an90127b

Li, S. Y., Cheng, Q. X., Wang, J. M., Li, X. Y., Zhang, Z. L., Gao, S., et al. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. *Cell Discov.* 4, 1–4. doi: 10.1038/s41421-018-0 028-z

Lobato, I. M., and O'Sullivan, C. K. (2018). Recombinase polymerase amplification: basics, applications and recent advances. *Trends Analyt. Chem.* 98, 19–35. doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2017.10.015

Makarova, K. S., and Koonin, E. V. (2015). Annotation and classification of CRISPR-Cas systems. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1311, 47-75. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2687-9_4

Maurin, M. (2020). Francisella tularensis, tularemia and serological diagnosis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 10, 512090. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.512090

Maurin, M., and Gyuranecz, M. (2016). Tularaemia: clinical aspects in Europe. Lancet Infect. Dis. 16, 113–124. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00355-2

McLendon, M., Apicella, M., and Allen, L. (2006). *Francisella tularensis:* taxonomy, genetics, and immunopathogenesis of a potential agent of biowarfare. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 60, 167–185. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.60.080805.142126

Moreb, E. A., and Lynch, M. D. (2021). Genome dependent Cas9/gRNA search time underlies sequence dependent gRNA activity. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 5034. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25339-3

Pilo, P. (2018). Phylogenetic lineages of *Francisella tularensis* in animals. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 8, 258. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00258

Prokšová, M., Bavlovič, J., Klimentová, J., Pejchal, J., and Stulík, J. (2019). Tularemia – zoonosis carrying a potential risk of bioterrorism. *Epidemiologie, mikrobiologie, imunologie* 68, 82–89.

Sabour, S., Arzanlou, M., Jeddi, F., Azimi, T., Hosseini-Asl, S., Naghizadeh-Baghi, A., et al. (2020). Evaluating the efficiency of TaqMan real-time PCR and serological methods in the detection of *Brucella* spp. in clinical specimens collected from suspected patients in Ardabil, Iran. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 175, 105982. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2020.105982

Sjöstedt, A. (2007). Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical manifestations. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1105, 1–29. doi: 10.1196/annals.1409.009

Sjöstedt, A., Tärnvik, A., and Sandström, G. (1991). The T-cell-stimulating 17kilodalton protein of *Francisella tularensis* LVS is a lipoprotein. *Infect. Immun.* 59, 3163–3168. doi: 10.1128/iai.59.9.3163-3168.1991

Strohkendl, I., Saifuddin, F. A., Rybarski, J. R., Finkelstein, I. J., and Russell, R. (2018). Kinetic basis for DNA target specificity of CRISPR-Cas12a. *Mol. Cell* 71, 816–824 e813. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.043

Telford, S. R. III, and Goethert, H. K. (2020). Ecology of Francisella tularensis. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 65, 351–372. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-025134

van der Oost, J., Westra, E. R., Jackson, R. N., and Wiedenheft, B. (2014). Unravelling the structural and mechanistic basis of CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12, 479–492. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3279 Versage, J. L., Severin, D. D., Chu, M. C., and Petersen, J. M. (2003). Development of a multitarget real-time TaqMan PCR assay for enhanced detection of *Francisella tularensis* in complex specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41, 5492–5499. doi: 10.1128/JCM.41.12.5492-5499.2003

Yanes, H., Hennebique, A., Pelloux, I., Boisset, S., Bicout, D. J., Caspar, Y., et al. (2018). Evaluation of in-house and commercial serological tests for diagnosis of human tularemia. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 56, e01440–e01417. doi: 10.1128/JCM.014 40-17

Zellner, B., and Huntley, J. F. (2019). Ticks and tularemia: do we know what we don't know? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9, 146. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00146