Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chengming Wang, Auburn University, United States

REVIEWED BY Van Thuan Hoang, Thai Binh University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam Yuqi Kang, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jing Jiang ⊠ jiangjing19702000@jlu.edu.cn

¹These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 22 September 2022 ACCEPTED 23 March 2023 PUBLISHED 14 April 2023

CITATION

Wu Y, Pan Y, Su K, Zhang Y, Jia Z, Yi J, Lv H, Zhang L, Xue M, Cao D and Jiang J (2023) Elder and booster vaccination associates with decreased risk of serious clinical outcomes in comparison of Omicron and Delta variant: A meta-analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1051104. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1051104

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wu, Pan, Su, Zhang, Jia, Yi, Lv, Zhang, Xue, Cao and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Elder and booster vaccination associates with decreased risk of serious clinical outcomes in comparison of Omicron and Delta variant: A meta-analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection

Yanhua Wu^{1,2†}, Yuchen Pan^{1,2†}, Kaisheng Su², Yangyu Zhang^{1,2}, Zhifang Jia^{1,2}, Jiaxin Yi², Haiyong Lv², Lihuan Zhang³, Mingyang Xue⁴, Donghui Cao² and Jing Jiang^{1,2}*

¹Center of Infectious Diseases and Pathogen Biology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ³The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ⁴School of Public Health, Jilin University, Changchun, China

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic brings great pressure to the public health systems. This meta-analysis aimed to compare the clinical outcomes among different virus variants, to clarify their impact on medical resources and to provide evidence for the formulation of epidemic prevention policies.

Methods: A systematic literature search was performed in the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases using the key words "Omicron" and "Delta." The adjusted Risk ratios (RRs), Odds ratios (ORs) and Hazard ratios (HRs) were extracted, and RRs and Rate difference % (RD%) were used to interpret the risk estimates of the outcomes ultimately.

Results: Forty-three studies were included, with 3,812,681 and 14,926,841 individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variant, respectively. The relative risks of hospitalization, death, ICU admission, and mechanical ventilation use after infection with the Omicron variant were all significantly reduced compared those after infection with the Delta variant ($RR_{hospitalization} = 0.45$, 95%CI: 0.40–0.52; $RR_{death} = 0.37$, 95%CI: 0.30–0.45; $RR_{ICU} = 0.35$, 95%CI: 0.29–0.42; $RR_{mechanical ventilation} = 0.33$, 95%CI: 0.25–0.44). The change of both absolute and relative risks for hospitalization was more evident (RR=0.47, 95%CI: 0.42–0.53 ; RD% =10.61, 95%CI: 8.64–12.59) and a significant increase was observed for the absolute differences in death in the elderly (RD%=5.60, 95CI%: 4.65–6.55); the change of the absolute differences in the risk of hospitalization and death were most markedly observed in the patients with booster vaccination ($RD\%_{hospitalization} = 8.60$, 95CI%: 5.95–11.24; $RD\%_{death} = 3.70$, 95CI%: 0.34–7.06).

Conclusion: The ability of the Omicron variant to cause severe clinical events has decreased significantly, as compared with the Delta variant, but vulnerable populations still need to be vigilant. There was no interaction between the vaccination doses and different variants.

KEYWORDS

SARS-CoV-2, Omicron, Delta, clinical outcome, meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) as an acute respiratory infectious disease was confirmed by the World Health Organization (WHO) on February 11, 2020, which has caused a global pandemic and brought a huge burden to the world's public health system (Ahn et al., 2020). A significant feature of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which is the causative agent of COVID-19, an RNA virus, is that it can constantly mutate with human transmission (Ciotti et al., 2022). The Delta variant was first reported in India in October 2020 and caused a new wave of global pandemic (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2021). Compared with the original Alpha variant, the pulmonary infectivity of the Delta variant increased by 51 times, and more likely to caused severe illness (Andrews et al., 2022). Subsequently, on November 25, 2021, WHO confirmed the Omicron variant (B1.1.529; World Health Organization, 2021a), which quickly became the main epidemic variant worldwide with a more dreadful transmission power (Araf et al., 2022). Although the infection rate of the Omicron variant in South Africa, the United States (US), and Europe have increased sharply compared with the Delta variant, but the hospitalization and death rates caused by Omicron variant infection were significantly lower than that of the previous SARS-CoV-2 variants (Lewnard et al., 2022; Nyberg et al., 2022).

Based on the enormous pressure brought by the COVID-19 epidemic to the global public health system, understanding the difference in the clinical outcomes between the current epidemic variant Omicron and Delta is important to formulate more accurate epidemic prevention policies. The multi-level meta-data covering multiple regions worldwide remains limited. Concurrently, the estimation of the absolute risk change is of more significance to public health. Therefore, using data from cohort and registration studies comparing Delta and Omicron variants worldwide, we conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the difference in hospitalization rate and risk of severe clinical events between the Omicron and Delta variants to contribute to the establishment of further public health policies.

2. Methods

This analysis was performed in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021) and registered in PROSPERO.

2.1. Search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted on PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library for all relevant articles published from the emergence of COVID-19 on December 24, 2021, to the December 31, 2022. The search terms used were "Omicron" and "Delta." The reference lists of identified studies and reviews were hand searched for potentially relevant studies not previously identified in the database search.

2.2. Article selection

The duplicates were removed. Then, the studies were screened by title and abstract, later followed by full text reviewed by six investigators. In case of disagreement, a consensus was reached by discussion. Studies were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) cohort or registry study; (2) used pre-matched or post-hoc multivariate adjustment; (3) provided at least one of the following clinical outcomes of Omicron and Delta patients: hospitalization, ICU admission, mechanical ventilation use, and death; and (4) the sample size of the study was >100. The exclusion criteria were (1) systematic review, case report/series, editorial, letter, abstract, and animal study; and (2) with overlapping population.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Data, including name of first author, published time, study region, study design, sample size, age, match or multivariate analysis method and variables, and outcome indicator, were extracted using a standardized data collection form. Two researchers independently assessed the study quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS; Margulis et al., 2014) based on three domains: selection, comparability, and exposure. The highest score is 9 points and studies with scores \geq 7 were considered of high quality.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Stata 12.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, United States) was used to perform the meta-analysis. The adjusted RRs, ORs, and HRs were used to pool the risk estimates of outcomes when available. When the effects with 95% confidence interval (CIs) were not shown directly in a publication, they were calculated by using a two-by-two frequency table. RRs (Omicron vs. Delta) were used to interpret the risk estimates of outcomes ultimately. The weighted natural logarithm of the RRs with their 95% CIs was used to obtain the pooled relative risk estimates. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistics. If the heterogeneity among studies was >50%, the random-effect model was used. Otherwise, a fix-effect model was chosen. Based on the included articles, we performed subgroup meta-analyses according to the age (Younger group: <=16 years old, or 19 years old, or 20 years old; Medium group: 18 years old to 60/65 years old; Elder groups: >60 years old or 65 years old), vaccination status (Unvaccinated or Insufficient vaccinated, Primary vaccinated and Booster), patient source (general population and others). The Begg's test was used to evaluate potential publication bias (significant when p < 0.05). Sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of the results by omitting studies one by one.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the included studies

Of the 5,720 articles identified, 273 were eligible for full-text screening; 36 cohort and 7 registry studies (Adjei et al., 2022; Auvigne et al., 2022; Bager et al., 2022; Beraud et al., 2022; Bonsignore et al., 2022; Bouzid et al., 2022; Butt et al., 2022a,b,c; Català et al., 2022; Chanda et al., 2022; Davies et al., 2022; DeSilva et al., 2022; Fall et al., 2022; Goga et al., 2022; Jassat et al., 2022; Kahn et al., 2022; Krutikov et al., 2022; Lewnard et al., 2022; Mayr et al., 2022; Menni et al., 2022; Nevejan et al., 2022; Nyberg et al., 2022; Pascall et al., 2022; Sacco et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2022; Sievers et al., 2022; Starbinski et al., 2022; Ulloa et al., 2022; Van

Goethem et al., 2022; Vieillard-Baron et al., 2022; Wang L. et al., 2022; Wang X. et al., 2022; Whittaker et al., 2022; Wolter et al., 2022; Wrenn et al., 2022; Esper et al., 2023; Greene et al., 2023; Intawong et al., 2023; Trobajo-Sanmartín et al., 2023) were included finally (Figure 1). Altogether, 3,812,681 and 14,926,841 individuals were infected with the Delta and Omicron variants, respectively. All eligible articles were published in English. The quality scores evaluated by NOS ranged from 7 to 9. The quality of the included studies was high (Supplementary Table S1). Twenty-eight articles were analyzed for clinical outcome of hospitalization; 35 for death; 29 for ICU admission; and 23 for mechanical ventilation (Table 1; Supplementary Tables S2-S5).

3.2. Omicron variant could reduce half of the relative risk of hospitalization compared with Delta variant

The analysis of hospitalization included 14,380,294 and 3,446,840 individuals infected with the Omicron and Delta

variants, respectively. Heterogeneity was observed among these studies ($I^2 = 98.5\%$; p < 0.001); hence, effect size was calculated *via* the random-effects model. The summary RR was 0.45, indicating a statistically significant decreased risk of hospitalization with Omicron variant compared to Delta variant (Figure 2A). Similarly, the same trend was observed in the pooled RD% (4.11, 95%CI: 3.63–4.59; Table 2).

3.3. Omicron variant could reduce the relative risk of severity by two-thirds compared with Delta variant

Altogether, 2,440,093 and 3,473,226 individuals infected with the Delta and Omicron variants, respectively, were included in the analysis of death. The risk of death after the Omicron infection was significantly reduced, as compared with that after the Delta infection (RR=0.37, 95% CI: 0.30–0.45; RD%=3.10, 95% CI: 2.67–3.53; Figure 2B; Table 2).



TABLE 1 Characteristics of eligible studies.

No	Author	Published	Region	Study	Population	Ages	Sample	Match or	Matching factors or adjust	Effect	Reported inc	lex		
		month		design			size	multivariate analysis method	variables	index	Hospitalization	Death	ICU	Mechanical
1	Wang L ^a	2022.02	United States	Retrospective cohort study	General population	All	D: 147,107 O: 147,107	1:1 propensity-score matching	Race, ethnicity and gender stratified cohorts, other demographics, socioeconomic factors, COVID-19- related health conditions, medications, and documented vaccination status.	RR	YES	NO	YES	YES
2	Kahn	2022.03	Sweden	Cohort Study	General population	All	D: 13,711 O: 29,539	Logistic regression model	Age, sex, comorbidities, prior infection, time since last dose and booster dose.	RR	NO	NO	YES ^b	NO
3	Robert Whittaker*	2022.03	Norway	Cohort Study	General children	<18	D: 42,362 O: 82,907	Multivariable log- binomial regression model	Variant wave, age, sex, country of birth, region of residence, and underlying comorbidities	RR	YES	NO	NO	NO
4	Pascall ^a	2022.03	UK	Cohort Study	General population	≥18	D: 1,164 O: 2,694	cumulative generalized additive mixed models with logit links fit using Bayesian inference	lineage, reinfection, sex, number of vaccine doses, number of ISARIC4C identified comorbidities, age and date of positive test	RR	YES	NO	NO	NO
5	Wang X ^a	2022.03	United States	Cohort Study	General population	All	D: 27,001 O: 45,223	Logistic regression model	Vaccination status, prior infection, age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, comorbidities, week of testing, and geographic location	OR	NO	YES	NO	NO
6	Ulloa	2022.04	Canada	Retrospective populationwide matched cohort study	General population	All	D: 9,087 O: 9,087	1:1 Matched. Cox proportional hazards regression model	For match: sex, age in years, vaccination status, time since most recent vaccine dose, region, and onset date ; For regression: sex, age group, and vaccination status	HR	YES ^d	YES	YES ^e	NO
7	Butt	2022.04	Qatar	Cohort Study	General population	< 18	D: 985 O: 985	1:1 propensity-score matching. Logistic regression model	For match: age, sex, nationality, and presence of co-morbidities For regression: /	OR	YES	YES ^f	YES ^f	YES ^t
8	Wrenn	2022.04	United States	Cohort study	General population	All	D: 489 O: 263	Logistic regression model	Viral variant, age, sex, race, ethnicity, obesity, and SARS-CoV-2 vaccination status	OR	YES	YES	NO	YES
9	Menni	2022.04	UK	Prospective Cohort Study	General population, at least 2 doses of vaccine	≥ 16	D: 4,990 O: 4,990	1:1 using Euclidean distance-based algorithm matching. Logistic regression model	For match: age, sex, vaccination doses. For regression: age, sex, and vaccination doses	OR	YES	NO	NO	NO

(Continued)

No	Author	Published	Region	Study	Population	Ages	Sample	Match or	Matching factors or adjust	Effect	Reported index			
		month		design			size	multivariate analysis method	variables	index	Hospitalization	Death	ICU	Mechanical
10	Nyberg	2022.04	UK	Retrospective cohort study	General population	All	D: 448,843 O: 1,067,859	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Sex, index of multiple deprivation, year of age within each age band, and an interaction term between previous infection status and any history of vaccination	HR	YES	YES	NO	NO
11	Shi	2022.04	United States	Registry study	Hospitalized children	5 to 11	D: 482 O: 397	Multivariable generalized estimating equations	Demographic characteristics, underlying medical conditions, and variant periods	RR	NO	YES	YES	YES
12	Krutikov	2022.05	UK	Cohort Study	Residents of Long-Term Care Facilities	≥ 65	D: 400 O: 1,864	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age, sex, past infection, primary vaccination type, and time from booster vaccination, with exploration for evidence of an interaction with omicron period for all adjustment variables	HR	YES	YES	NO	NO
13	Sacco	2022.05	Italy	Cohort Study	Individuals with at most one episode of reinfection	All	D: 6,030 O: 163,468	Negative binomial generalized linear mixed model	Severe SARS-CoV-2 reinfections VOC predominance phase, severity of first SARS-CoV-2 infection, vaccination status, age group, sex, healthcare worker status and nationality.	IRR	YES ^d	YES ^d	NO	NO
14	Vieillard	2022.05	France	Registry study	Hospitalized patients	All	D: 400 O: 229	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age, time from symptoms onset to ICU admission, vaccination status and immunosuppression	RR	NO	YES	NO	YES
15	Fall	2022.05	United States	Retrospective cohort study	Inpatients and Outpatients	All	D: 908 O: 1,119	- Logistic regression model	Age, gender, race and ethnicity, and comorbidities	OR	YES	YES	YES	NO
16	Butt	2022.05	United States	Retrospective cohort study	General population	>20	D:2,619 O:18,906	1:1 matching Cox proportional hazards models	For match: age,sex, race, Charlson Comorbidity Index vaccine type, calendar week of second vaccine dose, and geographic site of second vaccine dose administration. For regression::all variables used for matching	OR	YES	YES	YES	NO
17	Lewnard	2022.06	United States	Registry study	General population	All	D: 23,305 O: 222,688	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age; sex; race/ethnicity census; tract- level median household income; smoking status; body mass index; Charlson comorbidity; prior-year emergency department visits and inpatient admissions ; documented prior SARS-CoV-2 infection; and history of COVID-19 vaccination	HR	YES	YES	YES	YES

(Continued)

10.3389/fmicb.2023.1051104

No	Author	Published	Region	Study	Population	Ages	Sample	Match or	Matching factors or adjust	Effect	Reported inc	lex		
		month		design			size	multivariate analysis method	variables	index	Hospitalization	Death	ICU	Mechanical
18	Bouzid	2022.06	France	Retrospective Cohort Study	Emergency patient	≥16	D: 818 O: 898	Logistic regression model	Age, sex, hypertension, obesity, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, chronic kidney disease, immunosuppression, number of vaccine doses, and center.	AR (Transformed to RR)	YES	YES	YES	YES
19	Davies	2022.06	South Africa	Cohort Study	General population	≥ 20	D: 4,355 O: 5,104	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age, sex, geographic location, comorbidities, vaccination, and prior diagnosed infection	RR	YES ^d	YES	YES ⁸	YES ^g
20	Sievers	2022.06	Germany	Retrospective cohort study	General population	All	D: 24,530 BA.1: 163,468 BA.2: 6,860	Logistic regression model	Age, vaccination status, sex, federal state of notifying health authority and week of notification	OR	YES	YES	YES	NO
21	Auvigne	2022.06	France	Retrospective Cohort Study	General population	≥18	D: 92,182 O: 92,182	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age, sex, vaccination status, presence of comorbidity and region of residence.	HR	NO	YESe	YESe	NO
22	Stålcrantz	2022.06	Norway	Cohort Study	Hospitalized patients	All	D: 666 O: 409	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Sex, age, country of birth, risk factors, regional health authority and vaccination status	HR	NO	YES	YES	NO
23	Van	2022.06	Belgium	Retrospective cohort study	Hospitalized patients	≥18	D: 509 O: 445	Matched weighted	For match: hospital For regression: age, gender, comorbidity, place of infection, educational level, income, population density at postal code level, vaccination status at diagnosis, mean ICU occupancy rate during the patients hospital stay, and two-way interactions of these covariates	RR	NO	YES	YES	YES
24	Skarbinski	2022.06	United States	Retrospective cohort study	General population	All	D: 69,977 O: 48,101	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Sex, age, ethnicity, Charlson comorbidities index score and selection comorbidities, BMI, prior infection, receiving anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibody therapy, vaccination status	HR	YES	YES	NO	YES
25	Mayr	2022.06	United States	Retrospective Cohort Study	Veterans	≥18	D: 22,841 O: 22,841	1:1 Matched. logistic regression model	Gender, age, number of chronic health conditions, vaccination status, week of 2nd vaccination, socioeconomic status, and VA medical center	RR	YES	YES	YES ^e	YES
26	Jassat	2022.07	South Africa	Cohort Study	General population	All	D: 1,306,260 O: 629,617	Logistic regression model	Age, sex, race, presence of a comorbidity, type of health sector, and province of hospitalization	RR/OR	YES	YES ^h	YES ^h	YES ^h

10.3389/fmicb.2023.1051104

No	Author	Published	Region	Study	Population	Ages	Sample	Match or	Matching factors or adjust	Effect	Reported inc	dex		
		month		design			size	multivariate analysis method	variables	index	Hospitalization	Death	ICU	Mechanical
27	Bager	2022.07	Denmark	Retrospective	General	All	D: 150,311	Poisson regression model	Reinfection status, sex, age, region,	RR	YES	NO	NO	NO
				cohort study	population		O: 38,669		comorbidities, and time period.					
28	Goga	2022.07	South Africa	Cohort form	General	≥18	D: 15,195	Logistic regression model	Adjusted for age, gender, province,	OR	YES	NO	YES	YES
				trial	population		O: 26,393		clustering, HIV, hypertension, diabetes, and ward					
29	Butt	2022.07	Qatar	Cohort Study	General	≥18	D: 3,926	1:1 propensity-score	For match: age, gender, nationality,	OR	YES	YES ^f	YESf	YES ^f
					population		O: 3,926	matching. Logistic	vaccination status at time of infection,					
								regression model	and co-morbidities For regression: Vaccination status, age, sex, nationality,					
									comorbidities count					
30	Greene	2022.07	United	Cohort study	General	All	D: 158,799	Poisson regression model	Gender, age group congregate setting	RR	YES	YES	NO	NO
			States		population		O: 488,053		residence, and for community-dwelling residents, neighborhood poverty level					
31	Wolter 2022.07 Sout	2022.07	South Africa	Registry study	General	All	D: 1,273	Logistic regression	Age, sex, presence of co-morbidity,	RR	YES	YES ^g	YES ^g	YES ^g
					population		BA.1: 75,763	models	province and healthcare sector and factors associated with severity (age,					
						BA.2: 20,068	_	presence of co-morbidity, sex, province,						
							BA.4/BA.5:		healthcare sector, number of days					
							1,806		between the dates of specimen collection					
									and hospital admission and SARS-CoV-2 vaccination status)					
32	Bonsignore	2022.08	Germany	Registry study	Hospitalized	≥18	D: 12,370	Logistic GLMMs	Hospitals	OR	NO	YES	YES	YES
					patients		O: 21,222	-						
33	Stepanova	2022.08	United	Retrospective	Hospitalized	≥18	D: 860	Logistic or generalized	Age, Sex, BMI, CCI, ECI	RR	NO	YES	YES	YES
			States	cohort study	patients		O: 1,556	linear regression model						
34	Català	2022.08	Spain	Cohort study	General	>10	D: 997,748	Mantel-Haenszel method	Age and vaccination status	RR	YES	NO	YES	NO
					population		O:11,121,316							
35	Esper	2022.10	United	Registry study	General	All	D: 808	Logistic regression	Age, sex, comorbidity, vaccination status,	RR	YES	YES	YES	YES
			States		population		O:696		and virus lineage					
36	Strasser	2022.10	England	Retrospective	Hospitalized	All	D: 20,770	Matched weighted	Sex, age, race and ethnicity,	RR	YES	YES	YES	YES
				cohort study	patients		O:28,940	logistic regression model	comorbidities, vaccine status, treatments, and prior infection					

(Continued)

No	Author	Published	Region	Study	Population	Ages	Sample	Match or	Matching factors or adjust	Effect	Reported inc	dex		
	mo	month		design			size	multivariate analysis method	variables	index	Hospitalization	Death	ICU	Mechanical
37	Intawong	2022.11	Thailand	Cohort study	General population	≥18	D: 17,047 O:188,043	Cox proportional hazards regression model	Age, gender, calendar day of test, vaccination status and schedules, and time since last vaccine	HR	NO	YES	NO	YES
38	DeSilva	2022.11	United States	Retrospective cohort study	Hospitalized patients	≥18	D:16,078 O:11,071	Multivariable logisticregression, Fine- Gray competing risks models, logistic accelerated failure time models	Age, geographic region, calendar time of index date, and local virus circulation and inverse probability weighted by propensity to be vaccinated or unvaccinated	RR	NO	YES	YES	YES
39	Nevejan	2022.12	Belgium	Retrospective cohort study	Hospitalized patients	≥18	D: 187 O: 1,036	Mixed-model logistic regression analysis	Age at admission, sex, VOC, immune status at admission, vaccination status and time since last vaccination	OR	NO	YES	YES	NO
40	Beraud	2022.12	Bulgaria, Croatia, France, Turkey	Retrospective cohort study	Hospitalized patients	All	D: 955 O: 1,215	Multivariate logistic regression	Gender, Age, Diabetes, HTA, Kidney Failure, O2_home,CardiacFailure, ImmunoSup, previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, Vaccination, PulmDis, SolidCancer 3 M, HematoK, OneComorb.	OR	NO	YES	YES	YES
41	Chanda	2022.12	Zambia	Retrospective cohort study	Hospitalized patients	All	D: 752 O: 901	Multivariate logistic regression	Age, sex, number of comorbid conditions, disease severity at admission, hospitalization month, COVID-19 treatment center	OR	NO	YES	NO	NO
42	Adjei	2022.12	United States	Registry study	Hospitalized patients	All	D: 163,094 Early O:104,395 Later O:20,655	GEE model, log-linked binomial regression	Age, sex, race and ethnicity, number of underlying medical conditions, and presence or absence of a disability	RR	NO	YES	NO	YES
43	Trobajo	2022.12	Navarra, Spain.	Cohort study	General population	All	D: 487 O: 1,867	Logistic regression models	Sex, age, immunocompromised status, other major chronic conditions, and vaccination status	OR	YES	YES	YES	NO

^aThe article is a preprint which has not been peer reviewed.

^bNeed of oxygen supply \geq 5 L/min or admittance to an intensive care unit (ICU).

^cAdmission to an intensive care unit, received oxygen treatment, was ventilated, received extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, had acute respiratory distress syndrome, or had died.

^dHospitalization or death.

^eICU admission or death.

^fMechanical ventilation or ICU admission or death.

^gAdmission to an intensive care unit, mechanical ventilation, or prescription of oral or intravenous steroids.

^hAcute respiratory distress syndrome, receipt of oxygen or invasive mechanical ventilation, treatment in high-care or intensive-care units (ICUs), or death.

frontiersin.org



FIGURE 2

The forest plots of risk of hospitalization, death, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and mechanical ventilation (Omicron vs. Delta). (A) Risk ratio of hospitalization. (B) Risk ratio of death. (C) Risk ratio of ICU admission. (D) Risk ratio of mechanical ventilation.

TABLE 2	Pooled RD (Delta-Omicron) for severe clinical outcome	es
(Delta-C	micron).	

	Number of studies	RD (95% CI) per 100 persons
Hospitalization	28	4.11 (3.63-4.59)
Death	35	3.10 (2.67-3.53)
ICU Admission	29	3.05 (2.59-3.51)
Mechanical ventilation	23	4.93 (4.04-5.83)

Twenty-night studies (2,884,116 Delta-infected and 12,793,577 Omicron-infected individuals) and Twenty-three studies (1,813,826 Delta-infected and 1,584,424 Omicron-infected individuals) were included in the analysis for clinical outcome of ICU admission and mechanical ventilation. Compared with the

Delta variant, the Omicron variant was associated with a reduced risk for ICU admission (RR = 0.35, 95% CI: 0.29-0.42; RD% = 3.05, 95% CI: 2.59-3.51; Figure 2C; Table 2) and mechanical ventilation use (RR = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.25-0.44; RD% = 4.93, 95% CI: 4.04-5.83; Figure 2D; Table 2).

3.4. The reduction in hospitalized of patients with Omicron compared to Delta was more evident in older age groups

The hospitalization rate in the younger age group did not significantly differ between the two variants, but a strong effect was observed in the elderly group (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). The statistically significant upward

TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis by age (Omicron vs. Delta).

Age	Hos	pitalized	Death				
group	Studies	RR (95% CI)	Studies	RR (95% CI)			
Younger	10	0.71 (0.47-1.06)	4	0.43 (0.21-0.86)			
Medium	14	0.49 (0.40-0.60)	15	0.35 (0.29-0.41)			
Elder	12	0.47 (0.42-0.53)	9	0.44 (0.36-0.54)			
Overall	18	0.53 (0.46-0.61)	18	0.39 (0.34-0.44)			

trend in the absolute risk differences was observed in the three age subgroups (RD%: Younger: 0.69, 95%CI: 0.31–1.06; Medium: 2.42, 95%CI: 1.98–2.86; Elder: 10.61, 95%CI: 8.64–12.59; Supplementary Table S7). The reduction in relative risk of death with Omicron infections, as compared with Delta infections, was not age dependent (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 2). However, a significant increase in the absolute risk differences was observed in the elderly (RD%: Younger: 0.24, 95%CI: 0.00–0.49; Medium: 1.39, 95%CI: 1.23–1.56; Elder: 5.60, 95%CI: 4.65–6.55; Supplementary Table S7).

3.5. The risk of hospitalization of patients with Omicron compared to Delta decreased more sharply in booster vaccination group

In the subgroup for hospitalization stratified by vaccination status, the relative risk ratio after Omicron infection was significantly reduced compared with that after the Delta infection, and the trend was declined significantly in the booster vaccination group compared with the other two groups (Figure 3A). Similarly, the absolute difference was also increased significantly after booster (RD%: Unvaccinated or Insufficient vaccinated: 4.36, 95%CI: 3.40-5.31; Primary vaccinated: 3.04, 95%CI: 2.22-3.85; Booster: 8.60, 95%CI: 5.95-11.24; Supplementary Table S7). The same trend of relative risk ratio reduction was seen in both mRNA group adenovirus vaccine and vaccine group (Supplementary Figure 3). The relative risk ratio of death decreased in all the subgroups stratified by vaccination status (Figure 3B). Concurrently, the absolute risk differences of death showed the same trend (RD%: Unvaccinated or Insufficient vaccinated: 1.90, 95%CI: 0.75-3.04; Primary vaccinated: 1.81, 95%CI: 0.81-2.80; Booster: 3.70, 95%CI: 0.34-7.06; Supplementary Table S7). Based on the included studies, the subgroup was performed in people who had received the mRNA vaccine, the relative risk ratio of death also decreased statistically (RR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.46-0.70).

3.6. Analysis of subgroups stratified by patient source

The relative risk ratio declined more markedly in the general population (Supplementary Figure 4), and the absolute risk differences changed more sharply in the others (outpatient and inpatient, Supplementary Table S7).

3.7. Analysis of subgroups stratified by patient area

The relative risk ratio reductions for both analyses of hospitalization and death were greatest in studies based on Asia compared with other regions (Supplementary Table S8).

3.8. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

In the sensitivity analysis of the four outcomes, the pooled RRs were similar before and after the removal of each study, indicating the stability of the current result (Supplementary Figure 5). Begg's test showed no evident indication of publication bias, and the Funnel plots suggested no evidence of publication bias among the studies (Supplementary Figure 6).

4. Discussion

COVID-19 is an infectious disease that is pandemic in the world and brings great pressure to the public health systems in various countries (Baker et al., 2022). Given the continuous variation of new variants, clarifying the epidemiological characteristics of the current epidemic variants for further precise control and concentration of potential high-risk groups is particularly important. In the current study, we conducted a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies on Delta vs. Omicron variant in countries and regions worldwide, focusing on their absolute and relative risks in terms of hospitalization, mortality, ICU admission, and mechanical ventilation among infected people to provide high-level evidence for the formulation of more accurate epidemic prevention policies.

Almost all original studies showed that the risk of serious clinical outcomes caused by the Omicron variant decreased, as compared with the Delta variant, but the specific results reported by the different studies were inconsistent. Our meta-analysis data showed that the risk of serious clinical outcomes for the Omicron variant was down by half to two-thirds compared with the Delta variant. The decline in these risks could be attributed to the individual's altered immune response caused by mutations in the virus itself. The main mutation site of the Omicron variant is in the spike protein of the virus, which causes significant changes in the variant transmissibility and disease severity (Bansal and Kumar, 2022). Compared with the pre-epidemic Delta variant, dozens of mutations make its epidemiological characteristics to have a lot of uncertainty, which needs the support of a wide range of global data (World Health Organization, 2021b). Several original studies have confirmed that the infectivity of the Omicron variant is significantly stronger than that of the Delta variant. Despite the fact that the effectiveness of the Omicron variant is significantly stronger than that of the Delta variant (Meo et al., 2021; World Health Organization, 2021b; Lewnard et al., 2022), after summarizing the data reported in different regions, we found that the relative risk of hospitalization and death caused by Omicron variant decreased significantly in Asia, Africa, Europe and the Americas. The relative risk ratio reductions for both analyses of hospitalization and death were greatest in studies based on Asia



compared with other regions. This result needs to be confirmed with a larger sample size due to the small number of Asian studies included and two articles from the same author (Butt et al., 2022a,c; Intawong et al., 2023). However, regarding age, the main infected population of the Omicron variant has changed from middle-aged and elderly people to young people, as compared with the Delta variant (Meo et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2022). Therefore, although the absolute number of infections has increased significantly, the proportion of potential vulnerable populations that may have severe clinical outcomes has decreased. Moreover, the Omicron variants clinical symptoms are mainly mild, including headache, myalgia, fatigue, and cough (Malahe et al., 2023). Contrarily, the current basic research found that the Omicron variant lacks the functional region of the ACE2 receptor on the surface of human cells found in Delta variant due to genome mutation, which may be an important reason for the mild infection caused by the Omicron variant (Shah and Woo, 2021; Quarleri et al., 2022). The ability of the Omicron variant to cause cell fusion between infected cells is significantly lower than that of the Delta variant, and its replication ability is poor (Kandeel et al., 2022). Similarly, in patients with chronic diseases (with hypertension and diabetes), the risk of severe clinical outcomes caused by the Omicron variant is also significantly lower than that of the Delta variant. In addition to virus variation, reinfection leads to enhancement of individual immunity, and herd immunity is partly reached by a pandemic. Public health responses, including isolation and vaccination, and standardized domiciliary intervention guidance further reduce the patient's hospitalization risk. The application of new drugs and improvement of clinical treatment also contributed to the reduction in severe clinical outcomes.

Although the hospitalization rate and relative risk of serious clinical events of the Omicron variant have decreased compared with the Delta variant, whether the degree of decline is consistent in different populations has important guiding significance for concise public health strategies related to COVID-19 in different populations. Additionally, in different populations, the decline in absolute risk is an important basis for health economic strategies. Age is the most important demographic factor. The younger the patients infected with the Omicron variant, the less obvious the decline in the hospitalization rate, as compared with the Delta variant. This may be related to the fact that COVID-19 vaccination in children has not been implemented on a large scale (Committee on Infectious Diseases, 2022). Contrarily, the decline in both the relative and absolute risks in hospitalization was most significant in the elderly group. In the epidemic of the Alpha and Delta variants, the infection rate and risk of severe clinical events of the elderly is relatively higher. Therefore, at the public health sector level, the government has vigorously promoted the primary and booster vaccinations in the older population (Arbel et al., 2021; Barda et al., 2021; Kiss et al., 2022). On an individual level, the elderly is more aware of their infection risk and more likely to comply with public health guidance and pay attention to personal health management than younger people (Hadjistavropoulos and Asmundson, 2022), contributing to a further reduction in hospitalization risk among elderly in the epidemic of the Omicron variant. In the elderly, the benefits from vaccination and higher health concern seem not to be effective in severe events. Therefore, the reduction of the relative risk of death in the elderly did not different from the other age groups. For risk of infection-related death, systemic inflammation induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection since chronic disease may play a greater role. This trend is consistent with the death risk of people from different sources. Compared with the general population, outpatient, emergency, and hospitalized patients have a significantly reduced risk for death after infected with Delta or Omicron variant. Although the hospitalization and severe clinical events rates of the Omicron variant are significantly lower than those of the Delta

variant, for the elderly and vulnerable individuals with chronic diseases, attention should still be paid to the inflammatory chain reaction caused by various underlying diseases after developing a SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Vaccination is among the major public health strategies to cope with the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide. However, given the continuous mutation of the virus, whether to continue the vaccination of the existing vaccines or booster or develop new vaccines still needs to be fully explored. The protective efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine and live attenuated vaccine against the Omicron variant has been proven to be considerably reduced (Li, 2022). The current mRNA vaccine mainly targets the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, while the main mutation site of the Omicron variant happens to be in the spike protein, supporting the decline in the protective effect of COVID-19 vaccine (Greaney et al., 2021). Concurrently, the variation of the Omicron variant can lead to the escape of antibodies induced by the patients themselves after an infection and the antibodies induced by the application of COVID-19 vaccine, which is more obvious than the Delta variant (Siddle et al., 2022). Therefore, previously infected or vaccinated individuals will still develop reinfections. A previous meta-analysis showed that, during the first 3 months of the Omicron wave, the reinfection rate reached 3.31% (Flacco et al., 2022). However, fortunately, the reinfection rate is still significantly lower in the vaccinated individuals than in those without vaccination (0.32% vs. 0.74%). Although vaccination had lost its effectiveness against the Omicron infection, it still provided significant additional protection against COVID-19-related hospitalization and death. Especially, booster vaccination may produce many neutralizing antibodies in the body, considerably enhancing the vaccines protective effect against the Omicron variant (Kiss et al., 2022). In a recent study on the Omicron variant, the relative risk of death of the population vaccinated with one booster dose is decline 82% than that of the non-vaccinated population, and it dropped by 99% after receiving two booster doses (Kiss et al., 2022). Therefore, more population-based studies investigating whether it is necessary to improve the booster vaccination strategy are warranted to provide more powerful evidence on the efficacy of booster vaccination. From our meta-analysis, regardless of whether the patients are vaccinated or not, the hospitalization and mortality rates of the Omicron variant, compared with the Delta variant, is decreased. Moreover, there was significant difference in the degree of reduction of the relative risk between booster and other vaccination groups, indicating that, in the event of hospitalization and death, intensive vaccination did have an interaction with different variants. The change degree of the absolute risk is the highest in the booster group. Therefore, our research results can be used as evidence to confirm even if the virulence of Omicron variant has decreased significantly compared with Delta variant, booster vaccination of the current vaccine or further vaccination against Omicron variant is necessary to reduce the medical burden of the public health system and improve the possible adverse outcomes after infection.

Our study has several limitations. First, most of the original studies included only reported the relative risk after controlling covariates. To further explore the absolute reduction of medical burden caused by the Omicron variant compared with the Delta variant, we used the original four-grid table to calculate the rate difference under a single factor, which may have a certain bias. Second, we did not find any relevant research conducted in East Asia. Therefore, whether our results would vary in this racial group needs further investigation. Third, as different countries and regions may have different epidemic prevention policies and medical intervention standards for COVID-19, the indicators of hospitalization may be biased. Finally, there has certain bias in treating OR and HR as similar RR. The directionality of this bias has been shown in the subgroup analysis. Thus, we should reasonably select effect indicators when conducting prospective studies related to COVID-19.

In conclusion, although the ability of the Omicron variant to cause hospitalization and adverse events has decreased significantly, as compared with the Delta variant, vulnerable populations need to still be vigilant. Concurrently, vaccination is still an effective means of protection. Continuous and systematic tracking of virus mutations is necessary. How to balance the consumption of public health resources and economic development is still a long-term question.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

JJ, YW, and YP contributed to the study conception and design. YW and YP performed the literature search. YW, YP, JY, HL, LZ, and MX performed data extraction and quality evaluation. YZ, KS, and ZJ analyzed the data. DC, JY, and HL prepared figures and tables. YW, YP, KS, and YZ contributed to the article writing. JJ, YW, and YP made the final decision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the Youth Development Fund from First Hospital of Jilin University (JDYY11202124 and JDYY11202128), the Education Department of Jilin Province (JJKH20211153KJ).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1051104/ full#supplementary-material

10.3389/fmicb.2023.1051104

References

Adjei, S., Hong, K., Molinari, N. M., Bull-Otterson, L., Ajani, U. A., Gundlapalli, A. V., et al. (2022). Mortality risk among patients hospitalized primarily for COVID-19 during the omicron and Delta variant pandemic periods – United States, April 2020-June 2022. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep.* 71, 1182–1189. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7137a4

Ahn, D. G., Shin, H. J., Kim, M. H., Lee, S., Kim, H. S., Myoung, J., et al. (2020). Current status of epidemiology, diagnosis, therapeutics, and vaccines for novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 30, 313–324. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2003.03011

Andrews, N., Stowe, J., Kirsebom, F., Toffa, S., Rickeard, T., Gallagher, E., et al. (2022). Covid-19 vaccine effectiveness against the omicron (B.1.1.529) variant. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 386, 1532–1546. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2119451

Araf, Y., Akter, F., Tang, Y. D., Fatemi, R., Parvez, M. S. A., Zheng, C., et al. (2022). Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: genomics, transmissibility, and responses to current COVID-19 vaccines. J. Med. Virol. 94, 1825–1832. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27588

Arbel, R., Hammerman, A., Sergienko, R., Friger, M., Peretz, A., Netzer, D., et al. (2021). BNT162b2 vaccine booster and mortality due to Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 385, 2413–2420. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2115624

Auvigne, V., Vaux, S., Strat, Y. L., Schaeffer, J., Fournier, L., Tamandjou, C., et al. (2022). Severe hospital events following symptomatic infection with Sars-CoV-2 omicron and Delta variants in France, December 2021-January 2022: a retrospective, population-based, matched cohort study. *EClinicalMedicine* 48:101455. doi: 10.1016/j. eclimm.2022.101455

Bager, P., Wohlfahrt, J., Bhatt, S., Stegger, M., Legarth, R., Møller, C. H., et al. (2022). Risk of hospitalisation associated with infection with SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant versus delta variant in Denmark: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 22, 967–976. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00154-2

Baker, M. A., Sands, K. E., Huang, S. S., Kleinman, K., Septimus, E. J., Varma, N., et al. (2022). The impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on healthcare-associated infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 74, 1748–1754. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab688

Bansal, K., and Kumar, S. (2022). Mutational cascade of SARS-CoV-2 leading to evolution and emergence of omicron variant. *Virus Res.* 315:198765. doi: 10.1016/j. virusres.2022.198765

Barda, N., Dagan, N., Cohen, C., Hernán, M. A., Lipsitch, M., Kohane, I. S., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of a third dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine for preventing severe outcomes in Israel: an observational study. *Lancet* 398, 2093–2100. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02249-2

Beraud, G., Bouetard, L., Civljak, R., Michon, J., Tulek, N., Lejeune, S., et al. (2022). Impact of vaccination on the presence and severity of symptoms in hospitalized patients with an infection of the omicron variant (B.1.1.529) of the SARS-CoV-2 (subvariant BA.1). *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.12.020

Bonsignore, M., Hohenstein, S., Kodde, C., Leiner, J., Schwegmann, K., Bollmann, A., et al. (2022). Burden of hospital-acquired SARS-CoV-2 infections in Germany: occurrence and outcomes of different variants. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 129, 82–88. doi: 10.1016/j. jhin.2022.08.004

Bouzid, D., Visseaux, B., Kassasseya, C., Daoud, A., Fémy, F., Hermand, C., et al. (2022). Comparison of patients infected with Delta versus omicron COVID-19 variants presenting to Paris emergency departments: a retrospective cohort study. Ann. Intern. Med. 175, 831–837. doi: 10.7326/m22-0308

Butt, A. A., Dargham, S. R., Loka, S., Shaik, R. M., Chemaitelly, H., Tang, P., et al. (2022a). Coronavirus disease 2019 disease severity in children infected with the omicron variant. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 75, e361–e367. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac275

Butt, A. A., Dargham, S. R., Tang, P., Chemaitelly, H., Hasan, M. R., Coyle, P. V., et al. (2022b). COVID-19 disease severity in persons infected with the omicron variant compared with the Delta variant in Qatar. *J. Glob. Health* 12:05032. doi: 10.7189/jogh.12.05032

Butt, A. A., Talisa, V. B., Shaikh, O. S., Omer, S. B., and Mayr, F. B. (2022c). Relative vaccine effectiveness of a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 messenger RNA vaccine booster dose against the omicron variant. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 75, 2161–2168. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac328

Català, M., Coma, E., Alonso, S., Andrés, C., Blanco, I., Antón, A., et al. (2022). Transmissibility, hospitalization, and intensive care admissions due to omicron compared to delta variants of SARS-CoV-2 in Catalonia: a cohort study and ecological analysis. *Front. Public Health* 10:961030. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.961030

Chanda, D., Hines, J. Z., Itoh, M., Fwoloshi, S., Minchella, P. A., Zyambo, K. D., et al. (2022). COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against progression to in-hospital mortality in Zambia, 2021–2022. *Infect. Dis.* 9:ofac469. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofac469

Ciotti, M., Ciccozzi, M., Pieri, M., and Bernardini, S. (2022). The COVID-19 pandemic: viral variants and vaccine efficacy. *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.* 59, 66–75. doi: 10.1080/10408363.2021.1979462

Committee on Infectious Diseases (2022). COVID-19 vaccines in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 149:e2021054332. doi: 10.1542/peds.2021-054332

Davies, M. A., Kassanjee, R., Rosseau, P., Morden, E., Johnson, L., Solomon, W., et al. (2022). Outcomes of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in the omicrondriven fourth wave compared with previous waves in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *medRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2022.01.12.22269148 DeSilva, M. B., Mitchell, P. K., Klein, N. P., Dixon, B. E., Tenforde, M. W., Thompson, M. G., et al. (2022). Protection of 2 and 3 mRNA vaccine doses against severe outcomes among adults hospitalized with COVID-19 – VISION network, August 2021 – March 2022. J. Infect. Dis.:jiac458. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiac458

Esper, F. P., Adhikari, T. M., Tu, Z. J., Cheng, Y. W., el-Haddad, K., Farkas, D. H., et al. (2023). Alpha to omicron: disease severity and clinical outcomes of major SARS-CoV-2 variants. *J. Infect. Dis.* 227, 344–352. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiac411

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2021). Threat assessment brief: Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 variants in India and situation in the EU/EEA. Available at: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/threat-assessmentemergence-sars-cov-2-b1617-variants

Fall, A., Eldesouki, R. E., Sachithanandham, J., Morris, C. P., Norton, J. M., Gaston, D. C., et al. (2022). The displacement of the SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta with omicron: an investigation of hospital admissions and upper respiratory viral loads. *EBioMedicine* 79:104008. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104008

Flacco, M. E., Acuti Martellucci, C., Baccolini, V., de Vito, C., Renzi, E., Villari, P., et al. (2022). Risk of reinfection and disease afterSARS-CoV-2 primary infection: metaanalysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* 52:e13845. doi: 10.1111/eci.13845

Goga, A., Bekker, L. G., Garrett, N., Reddy, T., Yende-Zuma, N., Fairall, L., et al. (2022). Breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections during periods of delta and omicron predominance, South Africa. *Lancet* 400, 269–271. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01190-4

Greaney, A. J., Starr, T. N., and Bloom, J. D. (2021). An antibody-escape calculator for mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2021.12.04.471236

Greene, S. K., Levin-Rector, A., Kyaw, N. T. T., Luoma, E., Amin, H., McGibbon, E., et al. (2023). Comparative hospitalization risk for SARS-CoV-2 omicron and Delta variant infections, by variant predominance periods and patient-level sequencing results, New York City, August 2021-January 2022. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 17:e13062. doi: 10.1111/jrv.13062

Hadjistavropoulos, T., and Asmundson, G. J. G. (2022). COVID stress in older adults: considerations during the omicron wave and beyond. *J. Anxiety Disord.* 86:102535. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2022.102535

Intawong, K., Chariyalertsak, S., Chalom, K., Wonghirundecha, T., Kowatcharakul, W., Ayood, P., et al. (2023). Reduction in severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients owing to heterologous third and fourth-dose vaccines during the periods of delta and omicron predominance in Thailand. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 126, 31–38. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2022.11.006

Jassat, W., Abdool Karim, S. S., Mudara, C., Welch, R., Ozougwu, L., Groome, M. J., et al. (2022). Clinical severity of COVID-19 in patients admitted to hospital during the omicron wave in South Africa: a retrospective observational study. *Lancet Glob. Health* 10, e961–e969. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(22)00114-0

Kahn, F., Bonander, C., Moghaddassi, M., Rasmussen, M., Malmqvist, U., Inghammar, M., et al. (2022). Risk of severe COVID-19 from the Delta and omicron variants in relation to vaccination status, sex, age and comorbidities – surveillance results from southern Sweden, July 2021 to January 2022. *Euro Surveill.* 27:2200121. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.Es.2022.27.9.2200121

Kandeel, M., Mohamed, M. E. M., Abd El-Lateef, H. M., Venugopala, K. N., and El-Beltagi, H. S. (2022). Omicron variant genome evolution and phylogenetics. *J. Med. Virol.* 94, 1627–1632. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27515

Kiss, Z., Wittmann, I., Polivka, L., Surján, G., Surján, O., Barcza, Z., et al. (2022). Nationwide effectiveness of first and second SARS-CoV2 booster vaccines during the Delta and omicron pandemic waves in Hungary (HUN-VE 2 study). *Front. Immunol.* 13:905585. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.905585

Krutikov, M., Stirrup, O., Nacer-Laidi, H., Azmi, B., Fuller, C., Tut, G., et al. (2022). Outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 omicron infection in residents of long-term care facilities in England (VIVALDI): a prospective, cohort study. *Lancet Healthy Longev.* 3, e347–e355. doi: 10.1016/s2666-7568(22)00093-9

Lewnard, J. A., Hong, V. X., Patel, M. M., Kahn, R., Lipsitch, M., and Tartof, S. Y. (2022). Clinical outcomes associated with SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) variant and BA.1/BA.1.1 or BA.2 subvariant infection in Southern California. *Nat. Med.* 28, 1933–1943. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01887-z

Li, X. (2022). Omicron: call for updated vaccines. J. Med. Virol. 94, 1261–1263. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27530

Malahe, S. R. K., Hoek, R. A. S., Dalm, V., Broers, A. E. C., den Hoed, C. M., Manintveld, O. C., et al. (2023). Clinical characteristics and outcomes of immunocompromised patients with coronavirus disease 2019 caused by the omicron variant: a prospective, observational study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 76, e172–e178. doi: 10.1093/ cid/ciac571

Margulis, A. V., Pladevall, M., Riera-Guardia, N., Varas-Lorenzo, C., Hazell, L., Berkman, N. D., et al. (2014). Quality assessment of observational studies in a drugsafety systematic review, comparison of two tools: the Newcastle-Ottawa scale and the RTI item bank. *Clin. Epidemiol.* 6, 359–368. doi: 10.2147/clep.S66677

Mayr, F. B., Talisa, V. B., Castro, A. D., Shaikh, O. S., Omer, S. B., and Butt, A. A. (2022). COVID-19 disease severity in US veterans infected during omicron and Delta variant predominant periods. *Nat. Commun.* 13:3647. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-31402-4

Menni, C., Valdes, A. M., Polidori, L., Antonelli, M., Penamakuri, S., Nogal, A., et al. (2022). Symptom prevalence, duration, and risk of hospital admission in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 during periods of omicron and delta variant dominance: a prospective observational study from the ZOE COVID study. *Lancet* 399, 1618–1624. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22)00327-0

Meo, S. A., Meo, A. S., Al-Jassir, F. F., and Klonoff, D. C. (2021). Omicron SARS-CoV-2 new variant: global prevalence and biological and clinical characteristics. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 25, 8012–8018. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202112_27652

Nevejan, L., Ombelet, S., Laenen, L., Keyaerts, E., Demuyser, T., Seyler, L., et al. (2022). Severity of COVID-19 among hospitalized patients: omicron remains a severe threat for immunocompromised hosts. *Viruses* 14:2736. doi: 10.3390/v14122736

Nyberg, T., Ferguson, N. M., Nash, S. G., Webster, H. H., Flaxman, S., Andrews, N., et al. (2022). Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) and delta (B.1.617.2) variants in England: a cohort study. *Lancet* 399, 1303–1312. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22)00462-7

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

Pascall, D. J., Vink, E., Blacow, R., Bulteel, N., Campbell, R., Campbell, A., et al. (2022). Inconsistent directions of change in case severity across successive SARS-CoV-2 variant waves suggests an unpredictable future. doi: 10.1101/2022.03.24.22272915

Quarleri, J., Galvan, V., and Delpino, M. V. (2022). Omicron variant of the SARS-CoV-2: a quest to define the consequences of its high mutational load. *Geroscience* 44, 53–56. doi: 10.1007/s11357-021-00500-4

Sacco, C., Petrone, D., del Manso, M., Mateo-Urdiales, A., Fabiani, M., Bressi, M., et al. (2022). Risk and protective factors for SARS-CoV-2 reinfections, surveillance data, Italy, August 2021 to March 2022. *Eur. Secur.* 27:2200372. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917. Es.2022.27.20.2200372

Shah, M., and Woo, H. G. (2021). Omicron: a heavily mutated SARS-CoV-2 variant exhibits stronger binding to ACE2 and potently escapes approved COVID-19 therapeutic antibodies. *Front. Immunol.* 12:830527. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.830527

Shang, W., Kang, L., Cao, G., Wang, Y., Gao, P., Liu, J., et al. (2022). Percentage of asymptomatic infections among SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant-positive individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Vaccines (Basel)* 10:1049. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10071049

Shi, D. S., Whitaker, M., Marks, K. J., Anglin, O., Milucky, J., Patel, K., et al. (2022). Hospitalizations of children aged 5-11 years with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 – COVID-NET, 14 states, March 2020–February 2022. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep.* 71, 574–581. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7116e1

Siddle, K. J., Krasilnikova, L. A., Moreno, G. K., Schaffner, S. F., Vostok, J., Fitzgerald, N. A., et al. (2022). Transmission from vaccinated individuals in a large SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant outbreak. *Cells* 185, 485–492.e10. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2021.12.027

Sievers, C., Zacher, B., Ullrich, A., Huska, M., Fuchs, S., Buda, S., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 omicron variants BA.1 and BA.2 both show similarly reduced disease severity of COVID-19 compared to Delta, Germany, 2021 to 2022. *Eur. Secur.* 27:2200396. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.Es.2022.27.22.2200396

Skarbinski, J., Wood, M. S., Chervo, T. C., Schapiro, J. M., Elkin, E. P., Valice, E., et al. (2022). Risk of severe clinical outcomes among persons with SARS-CoV-2 infection with differing levels of vaccination during widespread omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta

(B.1.617.2) variant circulation in northern California: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Reg. Health Am. 12:100297. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2022.100297

Stålcrantz, J., Kristoffersen, A. B., Bøås, H., Veneti, L., Seppälä, E., Aasand, N., et al. (2022). Milder disease trajectory among COVID-19 patients hospitalised with the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant compared with the Delta variant in Norway. *Scand. J. Public Health* 50, 676–682. doi: 10.1177/14034948221108548

Stepanova, M., Lam, B., Younossi, E., Felix, S., Ziayee, M., Price, J., et al. (2022). The impact of variants and vaccination on the mortality and resource utilization of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 22:702. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07657-z

Strasser, Z. H., Greifer, N., Hadavand, A., Murphy, S. N., and Estiri, H. (2022). Estimates of SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.2 subvariant severity in New England. *JAMA Netw. Open* 5:e2238354. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.38354

Trobajo-Sanmartín, C., Miqueleiz, A., Guevara, M., Fernández-Huerta, M., Burgui, C., Casado, I., et al. (2023). Comparison of the risk of hospitalization and severe disease among co-circulating severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 variants. *J. Infect. Dis.* 227, 332–338. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiac385

Ulloa, A. C., Buchan, S. A., Daneman, N., and Brown, K. A. (2022). Estimates of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant severity in Ontario, Canada. *JAMA* 327, 1286–1288. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.2274

van Goethem, N., Chung, P. Y. J., Meurisse, M., Vandromme, M., de Mot, L., Brondeel, R., et al. (2022). Clinical severity of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant compared with Delta among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Belgium during autumn and winter season 2021-2022. *Viruses* 14:1297. doi: 10.3390/v14061297

Vieillard-Baron, A., Flicoteaux, R., Salmona, M., Chariot, A., de Maupeou D'Ableiges, B., Darmon, M., et al. (2022). Omicron variant in the critical care units of the Paris metropolitan area: the reality research group. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 206, 349–363. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202202-0411LE

Wang, L., Berger, N. A., Kaelber, D. C., Davis, P. B., Volkow, N. D., and Xu, R. (2022). COVID infection rates, clinical outcomes, and racial/ethnic and gender disparities before and after omicron emerged in the US. *medRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2022.02.21.22271300

Wang, X., Zein, J., Ji, X., and Lin, D.-Y. (2022). Impact of vaccination, prior infection, and therapy on Delta and omicron variants. doi: 10.1101/2022.03.24.22272901

Whittaker, R., Greve-Isdahl, M., Bøås, H., Suren, P., Buanes, E. A., and Veneti, L. (2022). COVID-19 hospitalization among children <18 years by variant wave in Norway. *Pediatrics* 150:e2022057564. doi: 10.1542/peds.2022-057564

Wolter, N., Jassat, W., Walaza, S., Welch, R., Moultrie, H., Groome, M. J., et al. (2022). Clinical severity of SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.4 and BA.5 lineages compared to BA.1 and Delta in South Africa. *Nat. Commun.* 13:5860. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33614-0

World Health Organization (2021a). Update on omicron variant. 460. Available at: https://www.who.int/news/item/28-11-2021-update-on-omicron (Accessed January 10, 2022).

World Health Organization (2021b). Classification of omicron (B.1.1.529): SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/news/ item/26-11-2021-classificationof-omicron-(b.1.1.529)-SARS-CoV-2-variant-of-concern (Accessed December 16, 2021).

Wrenn, J. O., Pakala, S. B., Vestal, G., Shilts, M. H., Brown, H. M., Bowen, S. M., et al. (2022). COVID-19 severity from omicron and Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 16, 832–836. doi: 10.1111/irv.12982