Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Xihui Shen, Northwest A&F University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Masatoshi Miyakoshi, University of Tsukuba, Japan Saswat S. Mohapatra, Berhampur University, India Pengfei Ding, University of Maryland, United States

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Weili Liang ⊠ liangweili@icdc.cn Biao Kan ⊠ kanbiao@icdc.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 30 November 2022 ACCEPTED 16 January 2023 PUBLISHED 01 February 2023

#### CITATION

Han Y, Li J, Gao H, Li X, Duan R, Cheng Q, Kan B and Liang W (2023) Serotype conversion gene *rfbT* is directly regulated by histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) in V. *cholerae* O1. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1111895.

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1111895

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Han, Li, Gao, Li, Duan, Cheng, Kan and Liang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use,

distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Serotype conversion gene *rfbT* is directly regulated by histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) in *V. cholerae* O1

Yu Han, Jing Li, He Gao, Xiaorui Li, Ran Duan, Qian Cheng, Biao Kan\* and Weili Liang\*

State Key Laboratory of Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

Vibrio cholerae serogroup O1 (V. cholerae O1) is closely associated with cholera epidemics and has two main immunologically distinguishable serotypes, Ogawa and Inaba. Isolates serotype as Ogawa if the O-antigen polysaccharide (O-PS) is methylated or as Inaba if the O-PS is not methylated. This methylation is mediated by a methyltransferase encoded by the *rfbT* gene, and the mutation and low expression of rfbT results in serotype switch from Ogawa to Inaba. Previously, we have shown that cAMP receptor protein (CRP) activates rfbT. In this study, we demonstrated that histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) is directly involved in the transcriptional repression of rfbT. This finding is supported by the analyses of rfbTmRNA level, rfbT-lux reporter fusions, electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), and DNase I footprinting assay. The *rfbT* mRNA abundances were significantly increased by deleting hns rather than fis which also preferentially associates with AT-rich sequences. A single-copy chromosomal complement of hns partly restored the down-regulation of rfbT. Analysis of rfbT-lux reporter fusions validated the transcriptional repression of hns. Subsequent EMSA and DNase I footprinting assay confirmed the direct binding of H-NS to rfbT promoter and mapped the exact binding site which was further verified by site-directed mutagenesis and promoter functional analysis. Furthermore, we found that in hns deletion mutant, CRP is no longer required for transcriptionally activating *rfbT*, suggesting that CRP functions as a dedicated transcription factor to relieve H-NS repression at rfbT. Together, this study expanded our understanding of the genetic regulatory mechanism of serotype conversion by global regulators in V. cholerae O1.

#### KEYWORDS

rfbT, H-NS, V. cholerae, transcriptional regulation, serotype shift

### 1. Introduction

Cholera is an acute watery diarrheal disease caused by *Vibrio cholerae*, which is naturally present in the environment and autochthonous to coastal and estuarine ecosystems. People are usually infected by ingesting *V. cholerae*-contaminated water or food. Upon colonization of the host, *V. cholerae* produces cholera toxin (CT), which acts on intestinal epithelial cells, resulting in secretory diarrhea and even death within a few days if without treatment (Chiang and Mekalanos, 2000). Based on the heat-stable somatic O antigen, the species *V. cholerae* is divided into more than 200 serogroups. Among them, only two serogroups, toxigenic O1 and O139, have been demonstrated to cause epidemic and pandemic cholera. O1 serogroups have caused seven pandemics, and O139 emerged in the seventh pandemic (Longini et al., 2002). Serogroup O1 has two biotypes, El Tor and classical. The first six pandemics are believed to be caused by the classical biotype, whereas the seventh pandemic that started in the early 1960s is caused by the El Tor biotype.

Vibrio cholerae O1 antigen consists of at least three types of antigenic factors: A, B, and C. According to the differences of the antigenic factors, each of the two biotypes can be further classified into two major cross-reacting serotypes, Ogawa and Inaba. Ogawa serotype strain expresses A and B antigens as well as a small amount of C antigens, while Inaba only expresses A and C antigens. A third serotype, Hikojima expressing both the B and C antigens, is also reported but is rare and unstable (Chatterjee and Chaudhuri, 2003). Ogawa and Inaba serotypes differ only by a single 2-O-methyl group that is present in the upstream (nonreducing) terminal perosamine unit of the Ogawa O-antigen polysaccharide (O-PS) but is absent in Inaba (Chatterjee and Chaudhuri, 2003). An isolate is serotyped as Ogawa if its O1 serogroup O-PS is methylated and as Inaba, if its O-PS is not methylated (Ito et al., 1993). This methylation is catalyzed by a methyltransferase encoded by the rfbT gene (also known as webT or tsfB; Rijpkema et al., 2004). Therefore, genetic alterations of rfbT resulted from various mutational events, such as specific point mutation, single nucleotide or short fragment insertion/deletion, or transposase insertion can all lead to the serotype shift from Ogawa to Inaba (Sharifnia et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2016). Once the complete *rfbT* is replenished, the Inaba type can be reverted to the Ogawa.

The serotype shifts can occur during subculture *in vitro*, passage *in vivo*, or even during pandemics (Ito et al., 1993; Liang et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2016). Recently, a major serotype switch (ranging from 7 to 100%) from Ogawa to Inaba was discovered after 5 years of the onset of cholera in Haiti in October, 2010 (Alam et al., 2016). Such serotransitions are nonrandom processes and thought to be related to selective pressures of serotype-specific immunity within the host population or environmental stress, the specific intrinsic drivers and regulation mechanism remain to be investigated (Longini et al., 2002; Karlsson et al., 2016). Previously, we demonstrated that a global regulator, cAMP receptor protein (CRP), positively regulates *rfbT* transcription through directly binding to a non-canonical CRP binding site (CBS) in its promoter region (Li et al., 2019).

The histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) is a global regulator of environmentally controlled gene expression. It belongs to a small family of nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs; Winardhi et al., 2015). This family comprises a group of basic, low molecular weight DNA binding proteins that participate in chromatin organization, restraining of DNA supercoiling, and transcription regulation. The factor for inversion stimulation (Fis), leucineresponsive protein (Lrp), heat-labile protein (HU) and integration host factor (IHF) are all members of this family. H-NS consists of a coiled-coil N-terminal domain that mediates the protein oligomerization and a C-terminal DNA-binding domain, which binds to promoters exhibiting AT-rich and highly curved regions as transcriptional inhibitors, affecting a broad spectrum of physiological processes including virulence-related genes at multiple phases of the V. cholerae life cycle (Winardhi et al., 2015; Ayala et al., 2017). Totally 701 genes have been identified to be regulated by H-NS in V. cholerae (Wang et al., 2015).

In this study, we show that H-NS negatively regulates the transcription of the serotype-switching gene rfbT by directly binding to its promoter region, whereas *fis* does not affect its expression. CRP likely activates transcription of rfbT through derepression of H-NS.

### 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Bacterial strains, culture conditions, and plasmids

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in Table 1. The *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor biotype, Ogawa serotype strain C7258 was used as wild-type (WT) precursor (Peru isolate, 1991). The mutant  $\Delta crp$  (WL7258) was generated previously (Li et al., 2019). *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha\lambda pir$  and S17-1 $\lambda pir$  were, respectively, used for cloning and conjugation purposes, ER2566 is used as host for the expression and purification of *hns* cloned into the pTXB1 vector. All strains were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) containing 1% NaCl (170 mM) at 37°C. When necessary, culture media were supplemented with ampicillin (Amp, 100 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (Cm, 10 µg/ml for *E. coli*, 2.5 µg/ml for *V. cholerae*) or polymyxin B (100 units/ml). Isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was used at a concentration of 0.5 mM for induction purposes.

## 2.2. Construction of mutants and complementation plasmids

Mutants  $\Delta hns$ ,  $\Delta fis$  were constructed by homologous recombination mediated by suicide plasmid using C7258 as a precursor, while  $\Delta crp\Delta hns$  and  $\Delta crp\Delta fis$  used  $\Delta crp$  (WL7258) as a precursor. Upstream and downstream chromosomal DNA fragments flanking the *hns* or *fis* were amplified from C7258 genomic DNA using the corresponding primers listed in Table 2. The amplicons were stitched together by overlapping PCR.  $\Delta hns$  or  $\Delta fis$  fragments were cloned into pWM91 and constructed in DH5 $\alpha\lambda pir$ . The resulting pWM91- $\Delta hns$  or pWM91- $\Delta fis$ was introduced into C7258 or  $\Delta crp$  (WL7258) by conjugation from S17-1 $\lambda pir$ . Exconjugants and mutants were selected as described previously (Wu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019).

Chromosomal complementation strain  $\Delta hns::hns$  was constructed using a temperature-sensitive transposable plasmid PGRG25. pGRG25 contains a Tn7 transposon that can carry the target fragment for specific recombination with the chromosome of the host bacterium, directionally inserted into the downstream of the *glms* in the chromosome (McKenzie and Craig, 2006). For this purpose, *hns* promotor region and open reading frame (ORF) was amplified and cloned into pGRG25 to generate pGRG25-*hns* which was mobilized into  $\Delta hns$  by conjugation. The chromosomal insertion of the transposon was induced by 0.1% arabinose in LB broth at 30°C for 16 h, then screened on LB agar by a temperature at 42°C. Grown colonies were tested for Amp sensitivity, and proper insertion of *hns* downstream of *glms* was verified by PCR with primers targeting the *glms* and *hns* sequences. Primer sequences used here are shown in Table 2.

## 2.3. RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription PCR

*Vibrio cholerae* strains were cultured to OD<sub>600</sub> 1.0. Total RNA extraction, removal of chromosomal DNA contamination and cDNA synthesis were performed as previously described (Wu et al., 2015). Equation  $R = 2^{-(\Delta Cq \ r/bT \cdot \Delta Cq \ thyA)}$  was used to calculate the relative expression values (R) of *r/bT*, where Cq is the threshold cycle fraction and *thyA* was used as an internal reference. A control reaction with total

#### TABLE 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strains/plasmids	Characteristics	References/sources
E. coli		
S17-1 <i>\lapir</i>	thr thi tonA leu supE lacY recA:: RP4-2Tc:: Mu (λpirR6K)	Lab stock
DH5αλpir	F-D(lacZYA-argF)U169 recA endA1 supE44 relA1λ::pir	Lab stock
ER2566	fhuA2 lacZ::T7 gene1 [lon] ompT gal sulA11 R(mcr-73:: miniTn10Tet <sup>S</sup> )2 [dcm] R(zgb-210::Tn10Tet <sup>S</sup> ) endA1 $\Delta$ (mcrC-mrr)114::IS10	Lab stock
V. cholerae		
C7258	Wild-type, El Tor biotype	Peru isolate, 1991
$\Delta crp$ (WL7258)	C7258, <i>crp</i> deletion mutant Liang et al. (2007)	
Δhns	C7258, <i>hns</i> deletion mutant This study	
$\Delta crp\Delta hns$	C7258, <i>crp</i> and <i>hns</i> deletion mutant	This study
C7258∆hns::hns	pGRG25 chromosome complementation	This study
Δfis	C7258, fis deletion mutant	This study
$\Delta crp\Delta fis$	C7258, <i>crp</i> and <i>fis</i> deletion mutant	This study
C7258/pBBRlux-rfbT1	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1 in C7258	This study
$\Delta hns$ /pBBR $lux$ -rfbT1	pBBR $lux$ -rf $bT1$ in $\Delta hns$	This study
C7258/pBBRlux-rfbT2	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 2 in C7258	This study
$\Delta hns/pBBRlux-rfbT2$	pBBR $lux$ -p $rfbT2$ in $\Delta hns$	This study
C7258/pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M1	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M1 in C7258	This study
C7258/pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-1	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M3-1 in C7258	This study
C7258/pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-2	pBBR <i>lux-rfb</i> T1-M3-2 in C7258 This study	
$\Delta hns/pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-2$	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M3-2 in $\Delta hns$	This study
Plasmids		
pWM91	Suicide vector containing R6K ori, <i>sacB</i> , $lacZ\alpha$ ; Amp <sup>R</sup>	Lab stock
pWM91-hns	1.6 kb BamHI-Spe I $\Delta hns$ fragment of C7258 in pWM91	This study
pWM91 <i>-fis</i>	902 bp $BamHI$ -SpeI $\Delta fis$ fragment of C7258 in pWM91	This study
pBBR <i>lux</i>	promoterless of <i>lux</i> CDABE, Cm <sup>R</sup> Lab stock	
pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1	554 bp promoter region of <i>rfbT</i> in pBBR <i>lux</i> This study	
pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 2	403 bp promoter region of <i>rfbT</i> without CRP binding site in pBBR <i>lux</i> This study	
pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M1	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1 with mutations in the H-NS binding site 1 This study	
pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M3-1	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1 with mutations in the middle of the H-NS binding site 3 This study	
pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1-M3-2	pBBR <i>lux-rfbT</i> 1 with mutations in the front part of the H-NS binding site 3 This study	
pGRG25	Transposition plasmid, <i>ori</i> T, pSC101 <i>ori ts</i> , Amp <sup>R</sup>	McKenzie and Craig (2006)
pGRG25-hns	hns promotor region and ORF of C7258 clone in pGRG25	This study
pTXB1	Expression vector for construction of in-frame	New England BioLabs
	Fusions with chitin binding domain, Amp <sup>R</sup>	
pTXB1-hns	hns ORF in expression vector pXTB1, Amp <sup>R</sup>	Wang H. et al. (2012)

RNA as a template was performed for each sample to exclude contamination from chromosomal DNA. Primers used were listed in Table 2.

## 2.4. Transcriptional reporter fusion construction and bioluminescence assay

Two different length fragments of rfbT promoter region were, respectively, amplified and cloned into pBBR*lux* upstream of the promoterless *luxCDABE* operon. The resultant fusion plasmids pBBRlux-*rfbT*1 and pBBRlux-*rfbT*2 were constructed in DH5 $\alpha\lambda pir$  and then mobilized into *V. cholerae* strains C7258 and  $\Delta hns$  by conjugation from S17-1 $\lambda pir$ . pBBR*lux-rfbT*1-M1, pBBR*lux-rfbT*1-M3-1, and pBBR*lux-rfbT*1-M3-2 reporter fusions containing the specific mutations in the predicted H-NS binding sites were generated by PCR-based sitedirected mutagenesis with pBBRlux-*rfbT*1 as a template. Overnight cultures of *V. cholerae* strains containing *lux* reporter fusion plasmid were diluted at 1:100 in fresh LB and incubated at 37°C with shaking to grow to exponential phase. 200 µl of the broth was transferred into 96 well microtiter plates (Costar 3,917) every 1 h, and luminescence and OD<sub>600</sub> were measured using a microplate reader (Infinite M200 Pro,

#### TABLE 2 Primers used in this study.

Primer pairs	Oligonucleotide sequences (5'-3')*	Purposes
hns-F1-up- BamHI	GCGGGATCCTTCCACAATTCATTGGCATCAC	$\Delta hns$ deletion strain construction
hns-F1-dn	ATCCAAATTGTGAACAGGAATTTTGCCAGA	
hns-F2-up	TGAACAGGAATTTTGCCAGAAACTAAAATG	
hns-F2-dn-SpeI	GGACTAGTACACCGAAGATTCCGCTAAAC	
fis-F1-up- BamHI	GCGGGATCCGGTGAGGCGGAATACGACAG	$\Delta fis$ deletion strain construction
<i>fis</i> -F1-dn	ACGTCGGTGAAGAATTCGGTCTAGCTCTTC	
fis-F2-up	GAAGAGCTAGACCGAATTCTTCACCGACGT	
fis-F2-dn-SpeI	GGACTAGTAAAGTGGGCGAGTAGGGTTTC	
hns-Tn7-NotI-up	GCGCGGCCGCTCAAGCGACATCATGTCAAC	C7258 $\Delta$ <i>hns::hns</i> complementation strain construction and identification
hns-Tn7-XhoI-dn	GCTCTAGATCAGTATCCGTTCGAGTTAA	
glms-F	CGATTGCGGTAGAAGCGTC	
glms-hnsR	AGACTAAATGAGCCAAATGA	
thyA-qPCR-up	ACATGGGACGCGTGTATGG	qPCR for <i>thyA</i>
thyA-qPCR-dn	ATATGACCACCATCAGGCTTAGC	
rfbT-qPCR-up	TTCTTGAAAGCGAATTTGGATTGC	qPCR for <i>rfbT</i>
<i>rfbT</i> -qPCR-dn	GTGTATATGACGAGCAGCGATTC	
rfbT1-up-SacI	CCCGAGCTCCGCAACAGAGCAAG ATGT	Construction of <i>rfbT-lux</i> reporter plasmids
rfbT2-up-SacI	CCCGAGCTCTTAGAGCGGACGATCGAG	
rfbT-dn-BamHI	CGGGATCCGACTGAATAGCATCAAGC	
<i>rfbT-hns-</i> shift-up	CAAGGATCAGGCAGATATG (5'biotin label)	Probe-hns
<i>rfbT-hns-</i> shift-dn	CTTGCAGATGCAGGTTTGAG (5'biotin label)	
<i>rfbT-crp</i> -shift-up	CGTTACTTGAAGCGACTTGT(5' biotin-labeled)	Probe-N7
<i>rfbT-crp</i> -shift-dn	CAAACATATCTGCCTGATCC (5' biotin-labeled)	
rfbT-up (FAM)	CAAGGATCAGGCAGATATG	DNase I footprinting assay
<i>rfbT-</i> dn	CTTGCAGATGCAGGTTTGAG	
<i>rfbT</i> 1-M1-R	GGGTTCGCTCTGTGTGAGGTTCAAACA	Construction of mutant <i>rfbT-lux</i> reporter plasmids
rfbT1-M1-F	TGTTTGAACCTCACACAGAGCGAACCC	
<i>rfbT</i> 1-M3-1-R	AATGGATTTGCCATGTGTGTGACATTTAGAAG	
<i>rfbT</i> 1-M3-1-F	CTTCTAAATGTCACACACATGGCAAATCCATT	
<i>rfbT</i> 1-M3-2-R	GATTTGCCATTTAGTTCCATTTAGAAG	
<i>rfbT</i> 1-M3-2-F	CTTCTAAATGGAACTAAAATGGCAAATC	

 $\ast {\rm The}$  underlined bases indicate the restriction enzyme sites.

Tecan, Austria). Luminescence activity was calculated as light unit/ OD<sub>600</sub> as previously described (Pan et al., 2018).

## 2.5. Expression and purification of H-NS protein

*E. coli* strain ER2566 containing the recombinant expression plasmid pXTB1-HNS (Wang H. et al., 2012) was cultured to  $OD_{600}$  of 0.5 with shaking at 37°C and then protein expression was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG for 4h at 28°C. The cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in ice-cold Column buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA), and lysed by sonication. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and H-NS-intein fusion protein with

chitin binding domain (CBD) was purified using IMPACT<sup>TM</sup> Kit (New England Biolabs, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The clarified lysate was slowly loaded onto the equilibrated chitin column, and then the chitin column was washed with 20 bed volumes of Column Buffer. Subsequently, the column was quickly washed with 5 bed volumes of the Cleavage Buffer (Column Buffer containing 80 mM DTT), and then incubated at 4°C overnight for full cleavage reaction on-column. Finally, the H-NS was eluted with Column Buffer. H-NS-containing fractions were combined and dialyzed against Column Buffer at 4°C to remove DTT. The purity of the recombinant H-NS was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 1A), and the protein concentration was determined by a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). The protein was stored in 20% glycerol at - 80°C.



### 2.6. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Probe-*hns* was a 256 bp fragment of rfbT promoter region containing all of the predicted H-NS binding sequences, which was amplified with 5' biotin-labeled primers. Competing cold probe was amplified using the same primers without a biotin label. Probe-N7 (Li et al., 2019) was a 140 bp fragment of rfbT promoter region containing the previously determined CRP binding site and used as a nonspecific control probe for H-NS binding (Li et al., 2019). The reaction mixture of 15 ng biotinlabeled probe with increasing amounts of purified H-NS protein in reaction buffer (10 mM Hepes, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2% Tween 20) together with 100 ng BSA and 100 ng CF-DNA in each reaction (20  $\mu\text{l}$ ) was incubated at 28°C for 30 min and then separated on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel. The free and H-NS-bound probes were visualized with the Chemiluminescent Nucleic Acid Detection Module (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) according to the manufacturer's instruction after transferring them onto nylon membranes.

#### 2.7. DNase I footprinting assay

For preparation of fluorescent FAM-labeled probes, the promoter region was PCR amplified with  $2 \times$  HIFI DNA polymerase premix from

the plasmid pBBR*lux-rfbT*1 using primers of *rfbT*-up (FAM)and *rfbT*dn. The FAM-labeled probes were purified by the Wizard<sup>®</sup> SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, United States) and were quantified with NanoDrop 2000C (Thermo, United States).

DNase I footprinting assay was performed as previously described (Wang Y. et al., 2012). For each assay, 250 ng probes were incubated with different amounts of protein in a total volume of 40 µl. After incubation for 30 min at 25°C, 10 µl solution containing about 0.015 unit DNase I (Promega, United States) and 100 nmol freshly prepared CaCl<sub>2</sub> was added and further incubation was performed at 37°C for 1 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 140 µl DNase I stop solution (200 mM unbuffered sodium acetate, 30 mM EDTA, and 0.15% SDS). Samples were first extracted with phenol/chloroform, and then precipitated with ethanol. Pellets were dissolved in 30 µl MiniQ water. The preparation of the DNA ladder, electrophoresis, and data analysis were the same as described before (Wang Y. et al., 2012), except that the GeneScan-LIZ600 size standard (Applied Biosystems) was used.

#### 2.8. Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 9 software was used for statistical analysis and graphical representation of data. Statistical significance was determined by an unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

### 3. Results

# 3.1. Characterization of the promoter region of *rfbT*

Though the gene rfbT has been recognized as the genetic determinant of Ogawa serotype of V. cholerae O1 serogroup for more than 30 years (Stroeher et al., 1992) and various kinds of mutations were revealed in the *rfbT* coding sequence of isolates from different space-time sources (Sharifnia et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2016), its regulation and the molecular structural features except the transcriptional start site, putative -35 and -10 elements of the promoter-regulatory region remain unclear. Previously, we identified a cis-regulatory element, i.e., a non-canonical CBS in the promoter region, through which global regulator CRP exerts an activational effect (Li et al., 2019). Further sequence analysis revealed that the G+Ccontent of promoter-intergenic region of rfbT (41.2%) is quite low compared with the V. cholerae genome in general (47.7% for chromosome I and 46.9% for chromosome II). In other words, the promoter-intergenic region of rfbT is AT rich and probably prone to be regulated by small nucleoid associated proteins such as H-NS and Fis which tend to bind AT rich sequences. Indeed, subsequent Virtual Footprint and PRODORIC analysis using the 10-bp H-NS consensus (Bouffartigues et al., 2007; Figure 2A) revealed 3 potential H-NS elements, site 1 (5'-CCTATTAAAG-3'), site 2 binding (5'-TATCAAACGT-3'), and site 3 (5'-TCAATAAAAT-3') in the *rfbT* promoter-intergenic region (Figure 2B). The three binding sites are, respectively, located at nucleotides -283 to -273, -250 to -240, and -120 to -110 relative to the *rfbT* start codon, and are all downstream of nonclassical CBS (Figure 2B). Of these, 8 of the 10 bp at site 3 is consistent with the consensus, followed by site 1 with 5 bp, and finally site 2 with only 4 bp (Figure 2A). It's worth noting that the site 3 overlaps the predicted -10 promoter element (Figure 2B). These



Hills binding site 1 GCGGAACGATCGAGCAGAGGGCCTTTGCAAGGATCAGGCAGATATGTTTGAA<u>CCTATTAAAG</u> AGCGAACCCACGTTTAAAGGGGGGGGT<u>ATCAAACGT</u>AAACGGATGAACTGCGCAAATGAACAA GTACCTAAGTAAGGTTCTTGAAAGGCCTTACGTGACGGTGATGTTCATGCGGTTCCCG TGCTAAAGGCTTTGGATGAAA TTCCTTCTAAAGGCTTCGGATGATAAAGGGCAGAATCCATTATTG AGCGAAACCTTCAGAGGTCCTTCCAAACCTGCAAGTGAACTGCACAATCCATTATTG AGCGAACCTTCCAGAGGTCCTTCCAAACCTGCCAAGTTGATTCTGTATGTTATTG AGCGAACCTTCCGAACCTGCCAAGTGCAATCGATGTTCTTCTGTATGTTATT AGCGCTAAATGCCCTTTCAAGGTCCCCAACGGTAGTTCGCAGGTGATTCTGTATGTTCT CGCTAAAAGCCTTTCAGGTCCCCAAACCTGCCAAGTTGATTCTGTATGTTATT AGCGCTAATTATTAAAAATTGCAGGTAGTAGTG

#### FIGURE 2

Nucleotide sequence analysis of the *rfbT* promoter region. (A) Conservation analysis of H-NS binding sites. Virtual Footprint was used to predict the binding sites of H-NS at the *rfbT* promoter (https:// www.prodoric.de/vfp/). (B) Characteristics of the *rfbT* promoter region. Underlined sequences show the H-NS binding site predicted by Virtual Footprint. Dashed underlined sequences show the CRP binding sites characterized previously (Li et al., 2019). The black box marks the Shine-Dalgarno sequence (SD) (Ito et al., 1991). The triangle and the bold T represent the proved TSS of the *rfbT*, and the gray shaded sequences represent its -10 and -35 regions (Stroeher et al., 1992). The negative numbers at the bottom of sequences indicate the nucleotide positions relative to the start codon of *rfbT*.

findings strongly indicated the possibility that H-NS regulates serotypeshifting gene *rfbT* expression.

## 3.2. H-NS negatively regulates *rfbT* expression

To determine whether H-NS is involved in the regulation of *rfbT*, we constructed an *hns* deletion mutant using C7258 as a precursor and detected the *rfbT* mRNA level in WT C7258, and  $\Delta hns$  mutant. As shown in Figure 3A, compared to the C7258,  $\Delta hns$  mutant statistically produced more *rfbT* mRNA. To further confirm the result, we constructed an *hns* complementation strain C7258 $\Delta hns$ ::*hns* where a single copy of *hns* gene with its native promoter region was integrated downstream of chromosomal *glms*. As displayed, the *rfbT* mRNA abundance was reduced in C7258 $\Delta hns$ ::*hns* compared to  $\Delta hns$  mutant, though the expression level was not restored to the WT level (Figure 3A). Together, these results showed that H-NS negatively regulates *rfbT* expression.

In our previous study, we demonstrated that CRP could positively regulate *rfbT* transcription, and then we wondered whether H-NS regulates *rfbT* expression in a CRP-dependent manner (Li et al., 2019). For this purpose, we constructed a  $\Delta crp\Delta hns$  double mutant and compared its *rfbT* mRNA expression with those of  $\Delta hns$  and  $\Delta crp$ mutants. We found that, contrary to the  $\Delta crp$  mutant where *rfbT* expression was obviously lower than its WT and  $\Delta hns$ , the *rfbT* mRNA level was significantly increased in  $\Delta crp\Delta hns$  (Figure 3A), and



additionally, the  $\Delta hns$  and  $\Delta crp\Delta hns$  mutants displayed roughly similar rfbT mRNA level. These results proved that H-NS negatively regulates the expression of rfbT in a CRP-independent manner. From another perspective, these results also indicated that CRP is not required for rfbT activation in H-NS negative background.

#### 3.3. Fis does not affect rfbT expression

Like H-NS, Fis belongs to the small family of nucleoid-associated proteins and is widely implicated in the control of gene expression through binding to the A–/AT-tracts-constituted binding site (Cho et al., 2008). To find out whether Fis takes part in the regulation of *rfbT*, we constructed  $\Delta fis$  and  $\Delta crp\Delta fis$  deletion mutants and measured the *rfbT* mRNA levels. As displayed in Figure 3B, the *rfbT* mRNA level in  $\Delta fis$  is similar to the WT, and deletion of *crp* significantly reduced *rfbT* expression regardless of the presence or absence of *fis*, implying that Fis is not involved in the regulation of *rfbT*.

# 3.4. H-NS represses the promoter activities of *rfbT*

To determine whether the H-NS-mediated repression of rfbT occurs at transcription level, we constructed two transcriptional reporter plasmids by fusing the different length fragments of promoter region of rfbT to the promoterless bioluminescence reporter genes *luxCDABE*. The 552-bp promoter fragment in pBBR*lux-rfbT*1 contains both the previously identified CBS and the predicted H-NS binding sites, while the 403-bp promoter region in pBBR*lux-rfbT*2 lacks the CBS. Consistent with the *rfbT* mRNA expression, bioluminescence activities of both pBBR*lux-rfbT*1 and pBBR*lux-rfbT*2 in  $\Delta hns$  were significantly higher than in its WT (Figure 4). These results demonstrated that H-NS negatively regulates *rfbT* at the promoter level. H-NS represses the transcription of *rfbT* probably through binding to the predicted binding sites and therefore the direct interaction still needs to be clarified.



# 3.5. H-NS directly binds to the promoter of *rfbT*

To verify the direct binding of H-NS to the *rfbT* promoter region, we performed EMSA with purified H-NS protein. A 256-bp DNA fragment of *rfbT* promoter region encompassing the three predicted H-NS binding sites were labeled with biotin at 5' end and used as specific probe. The same fragment without biotin label was employed as competing cold probe. The 135 amino acids *V. cholerae* H-NS protein was induced and purified from pTXB1-HNS recombinant plasmid (Wang H. et al., 2012) in *E. coli* host ER2566 and reached a purity of higher than 90% (Figure 1A). As displayed in Figure 1B, inclusion of H-NS (0.66  $\mu$ M) generated two shifted bands with slower mobility. With the increment of H-NS (>1.32  $\mu$ M), the intensity of the higher-shifted band was increased, concomitantly with the decrease of the amount of free probe. Adding the same, but unlabeled 256-bp DNA fragment greatly competed with the labeled probe in a dose-dependent manner. Both of the 10-fold and 50-fold addition of the competing cold probe

completely abolished the shifted-band, and concurrently the labeled probe was released as the free one. As expected, the amount of the released free probe is more in 50-fold cold probe reaction mixture than the 10-fold one. To further validate the specificity of H-NS binding, we adopted Probe-N7 which is a 140-bp DNA fragment of *rfbT* promoter region containing the intact CBS but without the predicted H-NS binding element (Li et al., 2019). No apparent shifted band was observed even when Probe-N7 was incubated with higher amount of H-NS (1.32  $\mu$ M or 1.98  $\mu$ M) under the same reaction condition, indicating that H-NS could not bind to the *rfbT* promoter fragment lack of specific binding site.

To further clarify the real H-NS binding site in the rfbT promoter and dissect the binding sequences, we conducted DNase I footprinting analysis. As shown in Figure 1C, the assay revealed one clearly protected region against DNase I digestion which is composed of TCCTTCTAAATGTCAATAA extending from -132 to -113 relative to the start codon of rfbT, i.e., this region completely overlaps the predicted binding site 3 extending from -120 to -110. Though EMSA displayed two H-NS retarded bands that seems somehow in accordance with the predicted existence of two more conserved binding sites 1 and 3, unexpectedly, the less conserved binding site 1 was not confirmed in the DNase I footprinting assay, which suggested that the more conserved site 3 is intrinsically the real binding site with high-affinity. Of course, we cannot exclude the possibility that the current assay condition did not favor binding to the low-conservation site 1.

To further validate that site 3 is the real functional H-NS binding site and the less conserved site 1 has no function, we set out to introduce mutations at sites 1 and 3 through PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis with pBBRlux-*rfbT*1 as the template (Figure 5A). We introduced 4-bp changes in the site 1 by replacing the ATT and the antepenultimate A with CAC and C, respectively, to generate a new construct pBBRlux*rfbT*1-M1. Considering that site 3 overlaps the predicted –10 promoter element, we introduced two sets of mutations to construct pBBRluxrfbT1-M3-1 and pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-2. In pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-1, the conserved ATA and the antepenultimate A were changed to CAC and C, where TA and A are involved in the -10 motif of *rfbT* promoter. In pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-2, the TC and second A were changed to GA and C. These new constructs were mobilized into V. cholerae WT and  $\Delta hns$ to measure the corresponding bioluminescence activities. As depicted in Figure 5B, pBBRlux-rfbT1-M1 and pBBRlux-rfbT1 had similar bioluminescence activities in the WT background which indicated that the mutation of sites 1 has no effect on the *rfbT* promoter, i.e., site 1 is indeed not a H-NS binding site. However, compared to the pBBRluxrfbT1, pBBR*lux-rfbT*1-M3-2 displayed significantly high bioluminescence activity in WT as same as in the  $\Delta hns$ , implying that the introduced mutation affects H-NS binding and thus relieves its repression. Not surprisingly, pBBRlux-rfbT1-M3-1 almost completely lost the bioluminescence signal due to the mutations that destroyed the -10 motif of rfbT promoter by changing TAAAAT to ACACAT. Altogether, these results further experimentally validated that site 3 partially overlaps the -10 motif of *rfbT* promoter and is the intrinsically functional H-NS binding site.

#### 4. Discussion

*rfbT* (also named *webT* or *tsfB*) is a genetic determinant of Ogawa serotype of *V. cholerae* O1 by encoding an enzyme that methylates the O-PSs-terminal peraminoglycans of surface LPS. Genetic alteration of *rfbT* results in impaired function of the enzyme, causing serotype shift



from Ogawa to Inaba. Various mutational events of rfbT leading to serotype switching have been reported under different circumstance around the world (Longini et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2016). The epidemiological significance of the two serotype variants shift, the influencing factors and regulation mechanism remain largely uninvestigated. Driven by the serotype-specific immunity acquired within the infected host population (Longini et al., 2002) is a commonly accepted speculation.

In our previous study, we reported the global regulator CRP activates the expression of rfbT and further revealed the underlying genetic mechanism (Li et al., 2019). In this study, we reported another global regulator H-NS which is involved in the transcriptional repression of rfbT by directly binding to its AT-rich promoter region (Figures 1, 3–5). Though more than one potential binding sites were predicted, binding site 3 was finally validated to be the functional H-NS binding site by DNase I footprinting assay and site-directed mutagenesis (Figures 1C, 5). This site overlaps the –10 promoter element (Figures 1C, 2B, 5), thus strongly implying that H-NS functions to block rfbT transcription by interfering with RNA polymerase binding and activity. In addition, we showed that though CRP activates the transcription of rfbT (Li et al., 2019), it loses this function in the H-NS minus background (Figures 3A, 4), indicating that CRP may act as an antisilencer by antagonizing H-NS repression in the *rfbT* promoter. A number of virulence regulators, such as ToxT, ToxR, and IHF have been reported to act as antirepressors by displacing H-NS at specific promoters (Stonehouse et al., 2008, 2011; Kazi et al., 2016). In these cases, the H-NS binding sites generally overlap or are adjacent to the binding sites of specific activators, such as at V. cholerae tcpA promoter, the binding site of IHF is located between the two sites of H-NS with 6 bp intervals with the up one and overlapping the down one (Stonehouse et al., 2008). At cholera toxin ctx promoter, competitive binding to the overlapping H-NS/ToxT binding sites was proved in EMSA where ToxT could displace H-NS from the ctx promoter (Stonehouse et al., 2008). However, in our case, the detailed molecular mechanism of CRP antagonizing H-NS repression on rfbT remains investigated considering the CRP-specific CBS and H-NS binding site 3 are separated by 220 bp. We reasoned the alleviation of H-NS repression by CRP at *rfbT* is mechanistically distinct from *tcpA* and *ctx* and will be investigated in the future.

Selective silencing of horizontally acquired genes is a common theme in H-NS transcription regulation (Navarre et al., 2006). Horizontally acquired foreign DNA generally has a lower GC-content than its progenitor genome (Kazi et al., 2016). The G+C content of rfbT(31.7%) is quite low compared with the rest of the rfb region (39.1%) and with *V. cholerae* genome (47% in average). These observations suggest that rfbT is acquired as a foreign DNA and its expression is normally silenced by H-NS. This repression effect could be alleviated by other regulators such as CRP under appropriate environmental conditions. The preference for binding low GC-content DNA is also shared by IHF and Fis. Fis has been proved to be unable to affect the expression of rfbT, while the regulation effect of IHF remains to be determined.

The silencing function of H-NS is dependent on its oligomerization properties. It is believed that an H-NS dimer is the minimal functional binding unit (Badaut et al., 2002). Environmental stimuli such as temperature and osmolality can alter the oligomerization states of H-NS *in vivo* and hence affect its gene-silencing properties (Amit et al., 2003; Stella et al., 2006; Bouffartigues et al., 2007). At a certain osmolality, the ability of H-NS to bind DNA decreased significantly with increasing temperature (Amit et al., 2003; Bouffartigues et al., 2007). In a word, a variety of factors can affect the function of the H-NS. Whether these environmental factors affect the phenotype of Ogawa serotype strain through H-NS remains to be clarified.

In summary, we demonstrated that *V. cholerae* Ogawa serotype specific gene *rfbT* is transcriptionally repressed by the global regulator

### References

Alam, M. T., Ray, S. S., Chun, C. N., Chowdhury, Z. G., Rashid, M. H., Madsen Beau De Rochars, V. E., et al. (2016). Major shift of toxigenic *V. cholerae* O1 from Ogawa to Inaba serotype isolated from clinical and environmental samples in Haiti. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 10:e0005045. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005045

Amit, R., Oppenheim, A. B., and Stavans, J. (2003). Increased bending rigidity of single DNA molecules by H-NS, a temperature and osmolarity sensor. *Biophys. J.* 84, 2467–2473. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(03)75051-6

Ayala, J. C., Silva, A. J., and Benitez, J. A. (2017). H-NS: an overarching regulator of the vibrio cholerae life cycle. Res. Microbiol. 168, 16–25. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2016.07.007

Badaut, C., Williams, R., Arluison, V., Bouffartigues, E., Robert, B., Buc, H., et al. (2002). The degree of oligomerization of the H-NS nucleoid structuring protein is related to specific binding to DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 41657–41666. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M206037200

Bouffartigues, E., Buckle, M., Badaut, C., Travers, A., and Rimsky, S. (2007). H-NS cooperative binding to high-affinity sites in a regulatory element results in transcriptional silencing. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 441–448. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1233

Chatterjee, S. N., and Chaudhuri, K. (2003). Lipopolysaccharides of Vibrio cholerae. I. Physical and chemical characterization. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1639, 65–79. doi: 10.1016/j. bbadis.2003.08.004

H-NS through directly binding to a specific *cis* regulatory element in the promoter region. This work expanded our knowledge of understanding the genetic determinants and complicated regulatory mechanism of *V. cholerae* O1 serotype shift.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

### Author contributions

WL and BK conceived and designed this study. YH, JL, HG, XL, and RD contributed to the experiment. YH and WL contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This study is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2021YFC2300302.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Chiang, S. L., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2000). Construction of a *Vibrio cholerae* vaccine candidate using transposon delivery and FLP recombinase-mediated excision. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 6391–6397. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.11.6391-6397.2000

Cho, B. K., Knight, E. M., Barrett, C. L., and Palsson, B. O. (2008). Genome-wide analysis of Fis binding in *Escherichia coli* indicates a causative role for A–/AT-tracts. *Genome Res.* 18, 900–910. doi: 10.1101/gr.070276.107

Ito, T., Hiramatsu, K., Ohshita, Y., and Yokota, T. (1993). Mutations in the *rfbT* gene are responsible for the Ogawa to Inaba serotype conversion in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 37, 281–288. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.1993.tb03211.x

Ito, T., Ohshita, Y., Hiramatsu, K., and Yokota, T. (1991). Identification and nucleotide sequence determination of the gene responsible for Ogawa serotype specificity of *V. cholerae* O1. *FEBS Lett.* 286, 159–162. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(91)80964-5

Karlsson, S. L., Thomson, N., Mutreja, A., Connor, T., Sur, D., Ali, M., et al. (2016). Retrospective analysis of serotype switching of *vibrio cholerae* O1 in a cholera endemic region shows it is a non-random process. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 10:e0005044. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pntd.0005044

Kazi, M. I., Conrado, A. R., Mey, A. R., Payne, S. M., and Davies, B. W. (2016). ToxR antagonizes H-NS regulation of horizontally acquired genes to drive host colonization. *PLoS Pathog.* 12:e1005570. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005570

Li, J., Lu, S., Kan, B., and Liang, W. (2019). Serotype-shifting gene *rfbT* is a direct transcriptional target of cAMP receptor protein (CRP) in *V. cholerae* O1. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 519, 874–879. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.09.080

Liang, W., Pascual-Montano, A., Silva, A. J., and Benitez, J. A. (2007). The cyclic AMP receptor protein modulates quorum sensing, motility and multiple genes that affect intestinal colonization in Vibrio cholerae. *Microbiology (Reading)* 153, 2964–2975. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2007/006668-0

Liang, W., Wang, L., Liang, P., Zheng, X., Zhou, H., Zhang, J., et al. (2013). Sequence polymorphisms of *rfbT* among the *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strains in the Ogawa and Inaba serotype shifts. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:173. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-173

Longini, I. M., Yunus, M., Zaman, K., Siddique, A. K., Sack, R. B., and Nizam, A. (2002). Epidemic and Endemic Cholera Trends over a 33-year period in Bangladesh. *J. Infect. Dis.* 186, 246–251. doi: 10.1086/341206

McKenzie, G. J., and Craig, N. L. (2006). Fast, easy and efficient: site-specific insertion of transgenes into enterobacterial chromosomes using Tn7 without need for selection of the insertion event. *BMC Microbiol.* 6:39. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-6-39

Navarre, W. W., Porwollik, S., Wang, Y., McClelland, M., Rosen, H., Libby, S. J., et al. (2006). Selective silencing of foreign DNA with low GC content by the H-NS protein in *salmonella*. *Science* 313, 236–238. doi: 10.1126/science.1128794

Pan, J., Zhao, M., Huang, Y., Li, J., Liu, X., Ren, Z., et al. (2018). Integration host factor modulates the expression and function of T6SS2 in *Vibrio fluvialis. Front. Microbiol.* 9:962. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00962

Rijpkema, S. G., Durrani, Z., Ramamurthy, T., and Balakrish Nair, G. (2004). Assessing clonality of *Vibrio cholerae* Inaba isolates by characterization of nonsense mutations in wbeT. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 53, 1105–1107. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0. 45744-0

Sharifnia, A., Bakhshi, B., and Pourshafie, M. R. (2012). *wbeT* sequence typing and IS1004 profiling of *Vibrio cholerae* isolates. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 54, 267–271. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2012.03204.x

Stella, S., Falconi, M., Lammi, M., Gualerzi, C. O., and Pon, C. L. (2006). Environmental control of the in *vivo* oligomerization of nucleoid protein H-NS. *J. Mol. Biol.* 355, 169–174. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.10.034

Stonehouse, E. A., Hulbert, R. R., Nye, M. B., Skorupski, K., and Taylor, R. K. (2011). H-NS binding and repression of the *ctx* promoter in *Vibrio cholerae*. *J. Bacteriol*. 193, 979–988. doi: 10.1128/JB.01343-09

Stonehouse, E., Kovacikova, G., Taylor, R. K., and Skorupski, K. (2008). Integration host factor positively regulates virulence gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae. J. Bacteriol.* 190, 4736–4748. doi: 10.1128/JB.00089-08

Stroeher, U. H., Karageorgos, L. E., Morona, R., and Manning, P. A. (1992). Serotype conversion in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89, 2566–2570. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.7.2566

Wang, H., Ayala, J. C., Benitez, J. A., and Silva, A. J. (2012). Interaction of the histone-like nucleoid structuring protein and the general stress response regulator RpoS at *Vibrio cholerae* promoters that regulate motility and hemagglutinin/protease expression. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 1205–1215. doi: 10.1128/JB.05900-11

Wang, H., Ayala, J. C., Benitez, J. A., and Silva, A. J. (2015). RNA-seq analysis identifies new genes regulated by the histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS) affecting *Vibrio cholerae* virulence, stress response and chemotaxis. *PLoS One* 10:e0118295. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118295

Wang, Y., Cen, X. F., Zhao, G. P., and Wang, J. (2012). Characterization of a new GlnR binding box in the promoter of *amtB* in *Streptomyces coelicolor* inferred a PhoP/GlnR competitive binding mechanism for transcriptional regulation of *amtB*. J. Bacteriol. 194, 5237–5244. doi: 10.1128/JB.00989-12

Winardhi, R. S., Yan, J., and Kenney, L. J. (2015). H-NS regulates gene expression and compacts the nucleoid: insights from single-molecule experiments. *Biophys. J.* 109, 1321–1329. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2015.08.016

Wu, R., Zhao, M., Li, J., Gao, H., Kan, B., and Liang, W. (2015). Direct regulation of the natural competence regulator gene *tfoX* by cyclic AMP (cAMP) and cAMP receptor protein (CRP) in Vibrios. *Sci. Rep.* 5:14921. doi: 10.1038/srep14921