Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Marcos Rogério André, São Paulo State University, Brazil

#### REVIEWED BY

Algimantas Paulauskas, Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania Frederic Beugnet, Boehringer Ingelheim, France Monika Danchenko, University of South Alabama, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Erin Lashnits ⊠ lashnits@wisc.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 03 January 2023 ACCEPTED 14 February 2023 PUBLISHED 06 March 2023

#### CITATION

Moore C, Breitschwerdt EB, Kim L, Li Y, Ferris K, Maggi R and Lashnits E (2023) The association of host and vector characteristics with *Ctenocephalides felis* pathogen and endosymbiont infection. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1137059. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1137059

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Moore, Breitschwerdt, Kim, Li, Ferris, Maggi and Lashnits. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The association of host and vector characteristics with *Ctenocephalides felis* pathogen and endosymbiont infection

Charlotte Moore<sup>1</sup>, Edward B. Breitschwerdt<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Kim<sup>2</sup>, Yiyao Li<sup>2</sup>, Kelli Ferris<sup>3</sup>, Ricardo Maggi<sup>1</sup> and Erin Lashnits<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Intracellular Pathogens Research Laboratory, Comparative Medicine Institute, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States

Surveillance of the fleas and flea-borne pathogens infecting cats is important for both human and animal health. Multiple zoonotic Bartonella and Rickettsia species are known to infect the most common flea infesting cats and dogs worldwide: Ctenocephalides felis, the cat flea. The ability of other flea species to transmit pathogens is relatively unexplored. We aimed to determine cat host and flea factors independently associated with flea Bartonella and Rickettsia infection. We also assessed flea and cat infection by flea-host pair and location. To accomplish these aims, we performed qPCR for the detection of Bartonella, hemotropic Mycoplasma, Rickettsia, and Wolbachia DNA using paired cat and flea samples obtained from free-roaming cats presenting for spay or neuter across four locations in the United States. A logistic regression model was employed to identify the effect of cat (sex, body weight, geographic location, and Bartonella, hemotropic Mycoplasma, and Rickettsia spp., infection) and flea (clade and Rickettsia and Wolbachia infection) factors on C. felis Bartonella clarridgeiae infection. From 189 free roaming cats, we collected 84 fleas: Ctenocephalides felis (78/84), Cediopsylla simplex (4/84), Orchopeas howardi (1/84), and Nosopsyllus fasciatus (1/84). Ctenocephalides felis were phylogenetically assigned to Clades 1, 4, and 6 by cox1 gene amplification. Rickettsia asembonensis (52/84) and B. clarridgeiae (16/84) were the most common pathogenic bacteria detected in fleas. Our model identified host cat sex and weight as independently associated with B. clarridgeiae infection in fleas. Rickettsia asembonensis (52/84), Rickettsia felis (7/84) and Bartonella henselae (7/84) were detected in specific clades: R. felis was detected only in Clades 1 and 6 while B. henselae and R. asembonensis were detected only in Clade 4. Wolbachia spp., also displayed clade specificity with strains other than Wolbachia wCfeT only infecting fleas from Clade 6. There was poor flea and host agreement for Bartonella spp., infection; however, there was agreement in the Bartonella species detected in cats and fleas by geographic location. These findings reinforce the importance of considering reservoir host attributes and vector phylogenetic diversity in epidemiological studies of fleaborne pathogens. Widespread sampling is necessary to identify the factors driving flea-borne pathogen presence and transmission.

#### KEYWORDS

Bartonella, flea, Wolbachia, vector phylogenetics, flea associated Rickettsia, host-vector agreement, cat flea

# 1. Introduction

*Ctenocephalides felis*, the cat flea, is the most common ectoparasite of the domestic dog and cat worldwide (Rust, 2017). Other flea species such as *Cediopsylla simplex*, *Ctenocephalides canis*, *Echidnophaga gallinacea*, *Nosopsyllus fasciatus*, and *Pulex* spp., are occasionally reported as ectoparasites of the domestic cat (Akucewich et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 2016; Abdullah et al., 2019). Little is known about the disease-causing capacity of these other flea species as a primary cause of skin irritation or a vector for pathogen transmission. The cat flea alone has the capacity to vector multiple zoonotic organisms (*Bartonella* spp., *Dipylidium caninum*, *Rickettsia* spp.; Rust, 2017). The factors influencing cat flea *Bartonella* and *Rickettsia* infection are currently unknown but have important implications for risk assessment and disease epidemiology in animals and humans.

The three Bartonella spp., associated with C. felis and their cat hosts are Bartonella henselae, Bartonella clarridgeiae, and Bartonella koehlerae (Chomel et al., 1996; Kordick et al., 1997; Avidor et al., 2004; Taber et al., 2022). Infection with these species is a cause of both animal and human disease with numerous manifestations including endocarditis, nervous system, rheumatological, and vascular diseases (Breitschwerdt, 2017; Álvarez-Fernández et al., 2018; Canneti et al., 2019). Studies analyzing the Bartonella spp., infection status of cats and their fleas generally report poor agreement between cat and flea pairs (Chomel et al., 1996; La Scola et al., 2002; Gutiérrez et al., 2015; Mifsud et al., 2020; Azrizal-Wahid et al., 2021). The role of host factors (e.g., body weight, sex) in association with flea Bartonella spp., infection were not investigated in these studies. One study investigating C. felis phylogenetic diversity and pathogen infection failed to identify associations with specific haplotypes (Azrizal-Wahid et al., 2021). Global sampling and cox1 gene sequencing by Lawrence et al. identified 8 distinct, bioclimatically limited C. felis clades (Lawrence et al., 2019). These include the temperate (Clades 1 and 2), tropical (Clades 3-6), and African (Clades 7 and 8) clades. A limited number of studies have utilized these established clades to shed light on flea Bartonella spp., infection: B. clarridgeiae infection has been reported in Clades 1, 3, 4, and 6, B. henselae infection has been reported in Clades 1, 4, and 6, and B. koehlerae infection has been reported in Clades 1 and 4 (Slapeta and Šlapeta, 2016; Chandra et al., 2017; Manvell et al., 2022).

Rickettsia felis, Rickettsia asembonensis, and Candidatus 'Rickettsia senegalensis' comprise a group known as the Rickettsia felis-like organisms (RFLO) which are known to be vectored by C. felis (Reif and Macaluso, 2009; Legendre and Macaluso, 2017; Maina et al., 2019). Clinical signs of RFLO infection in humans are generally non-specific, and similar to those caused by other Rickettsia spp., such as acute headache, nausea, pyrexia, rash, and muscle, back, and joint pain (Richter et al., 2002; Richards et al., 2010). RFLO are occasionally detected in cats (Mullins et al., 2018; Phoosangwalthong et al., 2018; Maina et al., 2019) however previous studies suggested that the domestic dog or the flea could serve as a reservoir host (Barrs et al., 2010; Hii et al., 2011; Ng-Nguyen et al., 2020). Phylogenetic studies have identified R. asembonensis infection in Clade 4, and R. felis infection in Clades 1 and 6 (Manvell et al., 2022). Clade 3 C. felis were infected with an unconfirmed RFLO (Šlapeta and Šlapeta, 2016). Otherwise, there is limited information on the risk factors for flea infection with RFLO.

Hemotropic *Mycoplasma* species (hMyc) are occasionally, but not always detected in the cat flea and the cat flea has been proposed as a

potential vector for hMyc (Lappin et al., 2006; Barrs et al., 2010; Persichetti et al., 2016; Abdullah et al., 2019). However, in laboratory experiments *C. felis* did not transmit hMyc efficiently (Woods et al., 2006) and other studies have suggested fighting as a mechanism of transmission among cats and potentially to humans (Barker, 2019; Hattori et al., 2020; Tasker, 2022). The manifestation of hMyc infection in animals and humans appears to primarily be pyrexia and hemolytic anemia with the ability to cause severe and potentially life-threatening disease in a subset of cases (Barker, 2019; Hattori et al., 2020; Tasker, 2022).

Another flea-associated genus of interest is Wolbachia, which infect a majority of arthropod and helminth species and manipulate insect reproduction, vector competence, and vector efficiency on a strain specific basis (Werren et al., 2008). Three Wolbachia strains have been isolated from C. felis: wCfeF, wCfeJ, and wCfeT (Driscoll et al., 2020; Khoo et al., 2020). Limited research has investigated the C. felis associated Wolbachia strains and their effect on vector and pathogen success, despite representing a potential opportunity for vector and/ or pathogen control, as has been accomplished in mosquitos (Dorigatti et al., 2018). Investigating coinfection of Wolbachia and pathogenic Bartonella or Rickettsia spp., in C. felis may be important for flea-borne pathogen epidemiology. Microbiome analysis has revealed widespread coinfection of C. felis with Bartonella, Rickettsia, and Wolbachia, but this observation does not eliminate the possibility that a specific Wolbachia strain may impact vector pathogen acquisition, maintenance, and/or transmission in C. felis (Manvell et al., 2022).

Given the existing knowledge gaps surrounding flea-borne pathogen coinfection and associations with flea and reservoir host factors, we aimed to identify the cat (sex, body weight, geographic location, and *Bartonella*, hemotropic *Mycoplasma*, and *Rickettsia* spp., infection) and flea (phylogenetic clade and *Bartonella*, *Rickettsia*, and *Wolbachia* co-infection) factors influencing *Bartonella* and *Rickettsia* presence in fleas collected from free-roaming cats. We tested three specific hypotheses regarding the most common flea *Bartonella* spp., *B. clarridgeiae*: (1) location and flea clade are independently associated with flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection, (2) fleas collected from *B. clarridgeiae* infected cat hosts are more likely to be infected with *B. clarridgeiae*, and (3) flea coinfection with *Rickettsia* and *Wolbachia* spp., is associated with flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Sample collection

With the assistance of veterinarians and staff at partner Trap-Neuter-Release (TNR) programs, fleas and cat tissue were collected at four locations in North Carolina, Virginia, and Wisconsin under North Carolina State University IACUC protocol #19–003 and #21–468, and University of Wisconsin IACUC protocol #V006461. Samples collected from Washington, NC were obtained through collaboration with Paws and Love, Inc. in March 2019. Collections from Raleigh, NC were performed at the North Carolina State University Veterinary Hospital in April through June 2022. Collections in Orange, VA were performed at Paradocs Animal Hospital with the assistance of the Orange County Humane Society TNR program in May 2019. Collections from Madison, WI were performed in collaboration with the Madison Cat Project in March through August 2021. Cats presenting for routine spay and neuter through each local TNR program were selected for participation regardless of sex or apparent flea presence. Cats were excluded if eartip tissue was not obtained.

In order to collect fleas, volunteers were instructed to comb all cats regardless of apparent parasites or flea dirt with special attention paid to the tail base and ventral regions. Each cat was assigned their own flea comb and collection bag into which combings were placed. Fleas and combs were then frozen until the flea species was identified and DNA extracted.

Sample size calculations were based on pilot data on the proportion of cats with fleas able to be collected (estimated 40%) and estimating that approximately 50% of fleas would have PCR amplifiable *Bartonella* spp., DNA or *Rickettsia* spp., DNA. Logistically, using our sampling design with partner TNR organizations, it appeared feasible to collect samples from approximately 40 cats over a 1–6 month period. Therefore, with a total sample of 160 cats and 80 fleas (40 cats and 20 fleas per sampling location), we would have 80% power to detect an odds ratio of 4 or greater between the proportion of fleas with and without pathogen DNA and proposed binary explanatory variables (cat sex, cat infection with *Bartonella* spp., flea pathogen co-infection, flea *Wolbachia* co-infection). This was expected to be a large enough difference to be clinically and practically relevant for this exploratory study.

#### 2.2. Fleas

Upon receiving fleas at the NCSU Intracellular Pathogens Research Laboratory, fleas were identified to the species level with the assistance of Dr. James Flowers, Clinical Professor of Parasitology (North Carolina State University, College of Veterinary Medicine). Fleas were individually washed and crushed according to previously reported protocol (Manvell et al., 2022). DNA was then extracted utilizing the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) following the manufacturer's tissue extraction protocol. DNA concentration and purity were determined spectrophotometrically (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). DNA from 18% (15/84) of fleas used for this study's sample was also used for a previously published manuscript analyzing the *C. felis* microbiome (Manvell et al., 2022).

#### 2.3. Tissues

When presenting for spay and neuter, free-roaming cats regularly have one eartip removed while under anesthesia to allow them to be visually identified as spayed/neutered following release. Instead of discarding the eartip, we collected and froze the tissue which was later dissected utilizing a scalpel and forceps disinfected with 94% ethanol between each sample. DNA extraction from tissues was performed utilizing the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentration and purity were determined spectrophotometrically (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). DNA from eartip tissue from 39% (73/189) of the cats reported in this study, including 32% (17/53) of flea infested cats, were previously published in a manuscript comparing the presence of flea-borne pathogens in eartip and reproductive tissues (Manvell et al., 2021).

#### 2.4. Polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) for Bartonella, Rickettsia, hMyc, and Wolbachia spp., was performed utilizing the primers listed below (Table 1). Following genus level qPCR, positive samples underwent Sanger sequencing. For these sequences, species and strain identity was determined by alignment with NCBI Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). If 23S-5S Rickettsia qPCR returned a sequence that was not readable (e.g., overlapping peaks, inappropriate length) or had an inappropriate melting temperature, R. felis and R. asembonensis specific primers targeting the ompA gene were employed to confirm the infecting species with an expected length of 222 and 183 base pairs, respectively. Primer development was performed in AlignX utilizing R. asembonensis (GenBank MK923742.1) and R. felis (GenBank MG818714.1). Specificity was determined by *in silico* analysis with comparison to 22 *Rickettsia* spp., including Rickettsia rickettsii (DQ002504.1), Rickettsia parkeri (U43801.1), and Rickettsia rhipicephali (U43803.1). Primers were validated with C. felis samples of known infection status including eight R. asembonensis and eight R. felis infected C. felis, and numerous uninfected C. felis.

Flea phylogeny was assessed by conventional PCR amplification of the *cox1* gene. *Ctenocephalides felis* DNA was amplified using the Cff-F and Cff-R primers (Lawrence et al., 2014) while other flea species were amplified using the LCO1490 and HCO2198 primers (Folmer et al., 1994).

Each run included two negative controls: a No Template Control (NTC) consisting of nuclease free water and DNA from cat blood confirmed to be negative for the genus of interest. Each run also included a positive control plasmid. The *Bartonella* spp., intergenic spacer (ITS) qPCR utilized *B. henselae* culture as a positive control. Negative control DNA and positive control plasmids were obtained from the Vector Borne Disease Diagnostics Lab (VBDDL) at North Carolina State University.

### 2.5. Statistical methods

Data handling was performed in R version 4.1.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) using the here (Müller, 2020), janitor (Firke, 2021), reshape2, stats, stringr, and tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019; Wickham, 2021) packages. Phylogenetic analysis was performed in R using the ape (Paradis and Schliep, 2019), bios2mds, Biostrings (Pagès et al., 2021), haplotypes, ips, irr (Gamer et al., 2019), msa (Bodenhofer et al., 2015), pegas (Paradis, 2010; Tsirogiannis and Sandel, 2016, 2017), PhyloMeasures, and seqinr packages. Visualization was performed in R using the cowplot (Wilke, 2020), ggsci (Xiao, 2018), ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), and ggpubr packages. Fisher's exact tests were employed to assess five different associations between categorical variables. Comparisons included: (1) Proportion of fleas with any Bartonella spp., by geographic location (4 locations); (2) proportion of fleas with any Rickettsia spp., by geographic location (4 locations); (3) proportion of fleas with any Wolbachia spp., by geographic location (4 locations); (4) proportion of fleas with R. asembonensis by presence of any Bartonella spp (any Bartonella spp., present/absent); (5) proportion of fleas with any Bartonella spp., by presence of any Wolbachia spp (any Wolbachia spp., present/absent). p values <0.05 were considered significant; due to the

Target organism	Oligonucleotide name	Oligonucleotide sequence (5'-3')	Target gene	Reference
Bartonella spp.	Bart_ssrA_F	GCTATGGTAATAAATGGACAATGAAATAA	ssrA	Tyrrell et al. (2019)
	Bart_ssrA_R3	GACGTGCTTCCGCATAGTTGTC		
Bartonella spp.	BsppITS325s	CCTCAGATGATGATCCCAAGCCTTCTGGCG	ITS	Maggi et al. (2020)
	BsppITS543as	AATTGGTGGGCCTGGGAGGACTTG		
	BsppITS500p	FAM-GTTAGAGCGCGCGCGTTGATAAG-IABkFQ		
Rickettsia spp.	Rick23-5_F2	AGCTCGATTGATTTACTTTGCTG	238-58	Tyrrell et al. (2019)
	Rick23-5_R	CCACCAAGCTAGCAATACAAA		
Rickettsia felis	RifelisOmpA-172 s	AGTCCTTGGTGCTGCAAGAACCGTAACTG	ompA	This study
	RifelisOmpA-330as	ACCACTGAACCTAATGAAATATCACCAGT		
Rickettsia asembonensis	RiasemboOmpA-175 s	GTTGGGAGGAACAACGATAGATGCA	ompA	This study
	RiasemboOmpA-245as	ACCGTAAATAAACCAGGAGCAAAACCA		
<i>Mycoplasma</i> spp.	Myco_Hf_F.1	GACGAAAGTCTGATGGAGCAAT	16S rRNA	Manvell et al. (2021)
	Myco_Hf_R	ACGCCCAATAAATCCGRATAAT		
Wolbachia spp.	AE16S_45F	AGCYTAACACATGCAAGTCGAACG	16S rRNA	Tyrrell et al. (2020)
	AE16S_299R	CCTCTCAGACCAGCTATAGATCA		
Ctenocephalides felis	Cff-F	AGAATTAGGTCAACCAGGA	cox1	Lawrence et al. (2014)
	Cff-R	GAAGGGTCAAAGAATGATGT		
Flea species	LCO1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	cox1	Folmer et al. (1994)
	HCO2198	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA		

TABLE 1 Primers targeting housekeeping genes and pathogens including primer name, sequence, gene target, and reference to conditions.

GADPH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, ITS, intergenic spacer, FAM, 6-fluorescein amidite; IABkFQ, IowaBlack\*FQ.

TABLE 2 Summary of sampling based on geographic location of origin including the dates of sampling, total number of cats, number of flea infested cats, total number of fleas, median number of fleas per infested cat (and range), and summary of non-*Ctenocephalides felis* sampled fleas.

Location	Date	Cats	Flea infested cats (%)	Fleas collected	Median fleas per cat (range)	Non-Ctenocephalides felis fleas (number)
Raleigh, NC	04-2022 to 06-2022	57	25 (44%)	36	1 (1-5)	N/A
Washington, NC	03-2019	63	11 (17%)	15	1 (1-3)	N/A
Orange, VA	12-2020 to 01-2021	41	8 (20%)	15	1.5 (1-4)	N/A
Madison, WI	04-2021 to 08-2021	28	9 (32%)	18	1 (1-10)	Cediopsylla simplex (4) Nosopsyllus fasciatus (1) Orchopeas howardi (1)
Total		189	53 (28%)	84	1 (1–10)	N/A

These flea species include Cediopsylla simplex, Nosopsyllus fasciatus, and Orchopeas howardi. N/A indicates that category is not applicable to a given location. Bolded values represent the totals across locations.

exploratory nature of this analysis and to prevent overly conservative *p* values, correction for multiple comparisons was not performed.

A multivariable logistic regression model was developed to identify the variables associated with *B. clarridgeiae* infection in *Ctenocephalides felis* fleas. Variables considered for inclusion are shown in Table 2, and included geographic location, cat hMyc, cat *Bartonella*, flea clade, and flea *Rickettsia* infection as categorical variables, cat sex and flea *Wolbachia* infection as binary variables, and cat weight as a continuous variable. Variables were first compared individually with the outcome of interest (flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection) *via* univariate logistic regression model and those with a *p*-value less than 0.25 were selected for inclusion in the preliminary model. The number of fleas collected from each flea's host was included to control for the collection of multiple fleas from the same host. Additional models were created which systematically removed and replaced individual variables. These additional models were compared to the preliminary model on the basis of Akaike information criterion (AIC) and a Hosmer-Lemeshow Goodness of Fit test (GOF). When the removal of a variable resulted in a significantly different fit (GOF p<0.05) or reduced AIC that variable was retained in the final model. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval is reported for variables selected for the final model.

Following selection of the final model, additional models were created to test three specific hypotheses: (1) location and flea clade are independently associated with flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection, (2) fleas collected from *B. clarridgeiae* infected cat hosts are more likely to be infected with *B. clarridgeiae*, and (3) flea coinfection with *Rickettsia* 



Ctenocephalides felis clades defined by Lawrence et al. (2019) or belonging to other flea species (Cediopsylla simplex, Orchopeas howardi, and Nosopsylus fasciatus).



and *Wolbachia* spp., is associated with flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection. These models included the selected variables for the final model, the number of fleas per host, and the variables of interest in each hypothesis.

# 3. Results

In total we obtained a tissue sample from 189 cats, 53 of which had one or more fleas collected. The number of fleas and cats sampled at each location is shown in Table 2.

# 3.1. Flea characteristics and PCR results

By far the most common flea species collected was *C. felis* (93%, 78/84); however, other flea species were collected from cats in

Madison, WI including *Cediopsylla simplex* (5%, 4/84), *Orchopeas howardi* (1/84), and *Nosopsyllus fasciatus* (1/84). No cat was infested with more than one flea species. The *C. felis* collected during this study were assigned to Clades 1, 4, and 6, as defined by Lawrence et al. (2019). The proportion of each *C. felis* clade by location are displayed in Figure 1. *Cediopsylla simplex* fleas were assigned to three haplotypes (Accession ID: OP713785, OP713787, OP713885) with no homologous submissions in GenBank (421/427, 98.5%, Accession ID: HM398833.1). The one *N. fasciatus cox*1 sequence (Accession ID: OP713901) was novel with only 86% (358/417) homology with *N. fasciatus* sequences from Belgium (Accession ID: LT158040). The one *O. howardi* flea (Accession ID: OP737457) displayed 99% (423/427) homology to *Orchopeas caedens* (Accession ID: HM398830.1) in the absence of available *O. howardi* sequences for comparison.

The percentage of fleas infected with each bacterial genus is shown in Figure 2. A single *C. felis* contained a *Wolbachia* spp., that was distinct from previously described strains (Accession ID: OP731570). The *O. howardi* (n=2) and *N. fasciatus* (n=1) fleas were infected with *Wolbachia pipientis*, or a strain not able to be differentiated over the amplified region of the 16S gene. Hemotropic *Mycoplasma* spp., DNA was not amplified from fleas of any species.

# 3.2. Cat characteristics and PCR results

Female (51%, 97/189) and male (49%, 92/189) cats were sampled approximately equally. The percentage of cats infected with each bacterial genus is shown in Figure 2. Bartonella spp., were the most common flea-borne pathogen detected in the cats. Five cats (all from Raleigh, NC) were infected with Rickettsia spp. This includes two previously undescribed Rickettsia spp. amplicons with 100% homology over the 283 base pair sequence (Accession ID: OP744991). The closest available GenBank match was R. felis (Accession ID: KJ796446.1) with low query cover. Attempts to amplify a product using R. felis ompA specific primers were unsuccessful. The second unknown Rickettsia spp., was amplified from a single cat (Accession ID: OP744990) and most closely aligned with Rickettsia spp. isolates from Ixodes scapularis in New York (221/226, 98%, Accession ID: MN704870.1) and Amblyomma americanum in New York and North Carolina (221/226, 98%, Accession ID: KJ796407.1; Lee et al., 2014).

# 3.3. Flea-cat PCR agreement

Nineteen percent (10/53) of flea infested cats were infected with *Bartonella* spp. Of these 10 *Bartonella* spp. infected cats with fleas, 6 yielded one or more flea that was infected with a *Bartonella* spp.; however, that flea often had a different *Bartonella* spp. based upon PCR amplification and sequencing than the host cat (3/6, Figures 3, 4B). A majority of *Bartonella* spp. infected fleas were collected from *Bartonella* spp., qPCR negative cats (68%, 17/25, Figure 3).

Nine percent (5/53) of flea infested cats were infected with *Rickettsia* spp. A majority of *Rickettsia* spp. infected fleas were collected from *Rickettsia* spp. qPCR negative cats (68%, 54/80, Figure 4C). All five *Rickettsia* spp. infected cats yielded one or more *Rickettsia* spp. infected flea, but only one of these cats had the same *Rickettsia* spp. in tissue and flea based on PCR amplification and sequencing.

Hemotropic Mycoplasma DNA was amplified from cats, but not from fleas. Wolbachia spp. DNA was amplified only from fleas, but not from cats.



#### FIGURE 3

Chart displaying the number of fleas infected with each Bartonella spp. (y-axis) and their host's infection status (x-axis). Pink indicates host-vector agreement while grey indicated host-vector disagreement regarding pathogen infection status. Number indicates the number of fleas (not cats) in each category

There were 15 cats with more than one flea collected; flea clade, Bartonella spp. Rickettsia spp. and Wolbachia spp. PCR results from each flea is shown in Figure 4. For cats with multiple fleas, infestation by C. felis fleas from multiple clades was common (27%, 4/15, Figure 4A). Infestation of a cat with fleas containing different Bartonella spp. was not detected (Figure 4B). In cats with more than one flea collected, often only a single flea was infected with Bartonella spp. (6/10). In contrast, for Rickettsia and Wolbachia spp., cats with multiple fleas frequently had multiple fleas positive (Figures 4C,D). Twelve cats (80%) had multiple fleas infected with Rickettsia spp., and twelve cats (80%) had multiple fleas infected with Wolbachia spp. Infestation with fleas infected with different Rickettsia spp. (2 cats) or Wolbachia (3 cats) strains on the same cat was also detected.

#### 3.4. Associations between pathogens in fleas and explanatory factors

Infection with Bartonella in fleas, considering all three species together in the analysis, was not significantly associated with geographic location (p = 0.068, Figure 5A). When aggregated by geographic location, the same Bartonella spp. were found in cats and



FIGURE 4

Bar chart displaying the number of fleas (x-axis) from each host (y-axis) by flea species or clade (A) as well as the Bartonella (B), Rickettsia (C), and Wolbachia (D) species or strains identified by qPCR. The cat host Bartonella (C) and Rickettsia (D) are indicated by a small square on the y-axis with uninfected cats left blank. The cat host is kept consistent across figure sections to allow comparison on a specific cat host



fleas, except for *B. alsatica* that was only found in one *C. simplex* (Supplementary Figure S1) and no cats in Madison WI. Furthermore, the relative proportion of *Bartonella* spp. agreed between sample types (flea and cat) at all locations except for Washington, NC, where *B. henselae* prevalence exceeded *B. clarridgeiae* in fleas, but not in cats (Supplementary Figure S1). In contrast, *Rickettsia* spp. infection in fleas, considering all species together, was significantly associated with geographic location (p < 0.0001, Figure 5B). *Wolbachia* infection in fleas was not associated with geographic location (p = 0.43, Figure 5C).

Bartonella clarridgeiae was the only Bartonella spp. detected in more than one C. felis clade as B. henselae and B. koehlerae were only detected in Clade 4 (Figure 6A), however infection with the genus Bartonella was not associated with C. felis clade (p = 0.28). Bartonella alsatica was detected only in a single C. simplex. Rickettsia spp. were strictly clade specific. Rickettsia asembonensis was detected in almost all fleas from Clade 4 (96%, 52/54) and R. felis was detected only in Clades 1 (32%, 6/19) and 6 (20%, 1/5, Figure 6B; p<0.0001). Wolbachia spp. also displayed clade specificity with Wolbachia strain wCfeT being the only strain found in C. felis Clades 1 and 4 (Figure 6C). Despite being represented by the smallest sample size, Clade 6 C. felis had the greatest diversity of Wolbachia strains including strains wCfeF, wCfeJ, wCfeT, and a previously undescribed Wolbachia strain. The association of Rickettsia and Wolbachia with C. felis clade persisted even when fleas from diverse clades were infesting the same cat (Figure 4).

*Bartonella* spp. in fleas was associated with *R. asembonensis* coinfection (p=0.030). Coinfected fleas included all *B. henselae* (n=7) and *B. koehlerae* (n=1), and a majority of *B. clarridgeiae* infected *C. felis* (75%, 12/16, Figure 7). No *R. felis* infected flea (n=7) was coinfected with a *Bartonella* spp. A majority of *Bartonella* spp. infected fleas were also coinfected with *Wolbachia* spp. (68%, 17/25); however, this was not statistically significant compared to the proportion of all fleas infected with *Wolbachia* spp. (71%, 60/84; p=0.79).

A logistic regression model was developed to investigate potential independent associations between explanatory factors and flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection. Univariate associations with each variable of interest were calculated (Table 3), and the final multivariable model showed that cat sex and body weight were independently associated with *C. felis B. clarridgeiae* infection (Table 4). A higher proportion of male cats had fleas with *B. clarridgeiae* than female cats (OR 4.22, 95% CI 1.13–15.7), and for each 1 kg increase in cat host body weight, fleas had 0.41 lower odds of *B. clarridgeiae* infection (95% CI 0.17–0.98). When controlling for cat body weight, sex, and the number of fleas collected per cat, geographic location and flea clade were not associated with *B. clarridgeiae* infection of *C. felis* (Table 5). Similarly, when controlling for cat body weight, sex, and the number of fleas collected per cat, neither cat *B. clarridgeiae* infection nor flea infection status was associated with *B. clarridgeiae* infection of *C. felis* (Table 4).

# 4. Discussion

This study found that *Rickettsia asembonensis* (62%) and *Bartonella clarridgeiae* (19%) were the most common pathogenic bacteria detected in fleas. *R. asembonensis* was detected exclusively in Clade 4 *C. felis* regardless of geographic location yet was only detected in one single cat. *Bartonella clarridgeiae* infection was detected in a similar proportion of *C. felis* (21%) and cat hosts (17%), but *C. felis* infection was only independently associated with cat sex (higher in male cats) and weight (higher in lighter cats), and not with cat infection status, geographic location, or flea clade.

*Bartonella clarridgeiae* was the most common *Bartonella* spp. infecting fleas and cats in this study. Interestingly, only cat host demographic factors (cat sex and body weight), and not flea factors such as flea clade or co-infection, nor cat *Bartonella* infection status were significantly independently associated with flea *B. clarridgeiae* infection. Unlike *B. clarridgeiae*, *B. henselae* and *B. koehlerae* were only



detected in Clade 4 *C. felis.* Based upon previous studies detecting *B. henselae* in Clades 1, 3, and 6, and *B. koehlerae* in Clades 1 and 4, it is likely that these *Bartonella* spp., are not strictly clade specific (Šlapeta and Šlapeta, 2016; Manvell et al., 2022). Our failure to detect *B. henselae* in the Clade 1 and 6 fleas collected for this study may be due to relative rarity of infection in these clades combined with small sample size of Clade 1 (n=17) and Clade 6 (n=7) fleas or restriction of sampling sites to the eastern and midwestern United States. Further sampling of wild-caught fleas, as well as laboratory studies assessing the efficiency of *Bartonella* spp. acquisition



by *C. felis* from diverse genetic backgrounds and coinfection status are warranted.

Despite our finding that cat sex and body weight were independently associated with flea B. clarridgeiae infection, there was poor agreement between individual cat and flea Bartonella spp., infection status. Only three of 10 Bartonella infected cats hosted fleas infected with the same Bartonella spp. In agreement with previous publications, we concluded that fleas collected from a specific cat do not provide insight into the Bartonella infection status of that cat (Chomel et al., 1996; La Scola et al., 2002; Gutiérrez et al., 2015; Mifsud et al., 2020). Furthermore, a higher percentage of Bartonella spp. uninfected (qPCR negative) cats (30%, 43/145) had fleas collected than Bartonella spp. infected cats (23%, 10/44). This finding reinforces that it is not necessary for cats to present with flea infestation to consider the possibility of Bartonella spp. infection. The inability for study personnel to collect fleas from Bartonella spp. infected cats may be indicative of highly efficient grooming by the cat host or the long duration of Bartonella infection in the cat, an important characteristic of their role as a reservoir host (Kordick and Breitschwerdt, 1988; Taber et al., 2022).

Flea Rickettsia spp. infection was significantly associated with flea phylogenetic clade. Rickettsia felis DNA was amplified only in Clades 1 (32%, 6/19) and 6 (20%, 1/5) while R. asembonensis was amplified from almost all C. felis from Clade 4 (96%, 52/54) but no C. felis from Clades 1 or 6. This clade specificity was previously reported in a larger sampling of C. felis from California, Louisiana, and North Carolina which included a portion of the fleas reported in this manuscript (Manvell et al., 2022). The detection of Rickettsia spp. in the tissue of 9% (5/56) of cats from Raleigh, NC was surprising considering that we did not detect Rickettsia spp. in cats from any other location (n = 133 cats). Some studies have failed to detect *Rickettsia* spp. in cats when performing PCR on blood (Hawley et al., 2007; Barrs et al., 2010); however, other studies report comparable infection rates to those found in Raleigh, NC (Mullins et al., 2018; Phoosangwalthong et al., 2018). The diversity of these Rickettsia spp. is of interest as we detected DNA sequences of two C. felis associated Rickettsia spp. (R. asembonensis and R. felis) and an unnamed Rickettsia spp. genetically most similar to Rickettsia spp. reported in ticks (Lee et al., 2014). Investigation of the relative clinical importance of these Rickettsia spp. for cats, humans, and other animals is warranted.

Our data supports the use of fleas as sentinels for population level cat *Bartonella* spp. presence and relative abundance. Within individual geographic locations, we reported complete agreement

#### TABLE 3 Summary of the variables considered for inclusion in the flea B. clarridgeiae model.

Considered variables		All fleas	Bartonella clarridgeiae	Infected fleas
		Total (%)	Total (%)	P-value
Host sex*				
M	lale Intact	26 (33%)	9 (56%)	0.03
Fe	emale Intact	52 (67%)	7 (44%)	Ref
Host weight (kg)*				
Median (Range)		3.20 (1.20-5.70)	2.79 (1.2-4.50)	0.09
Host Bartonella spp.*				
B	artonella clarridgeiae	12 (15%)	4 (25%)	0.20
B	artonella henselae	7 (9%)	2 (12%)	0.46
N	egative	59 (76%)	10 (62%)	Ref
Host hemotropic Mycoplas	ma spp.			
M	lycoplasma haemominutum	5 (6%)	1 (6%)	0.95
M	lycoplasma haemofelis	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	0.99
N	egative	71 (91%)	15 (94%)	Ref
Geographic location				
R	aleigh, NC	36 (46%)	8 (50%)	Ref
W	/ashington, NC	15 (19%)	3 (19%)	0.86
0	range, VA	15 (19%)	4 (25%)	0.73
M	ladison, WI	12 (15%)	1 (6%)	0.31
Number of fleas*				
Median (Range)		2 (1-10)	2 (1-10)	0.19
Flea Bartonella spp.				
B	artonella clarridgeiae	16 (21%)		
B	artonella henselae	7 (9%)		
B	artonella koehlerae	1 (1%)		
N	egative	54 (69%)		
Flea Rickettsia spp.				
R	ickettsia asembonensis	52 (67%)	12 (75%)	0.86
R	ickettsia felis	7 (9%)	0 (0%)	0.99
N	egative	19 (24%)	4 (25%)	Ref
Flea Wolbachia spp.				
И	Volbachia spp.	58 (74%)	11 (69%)	0.57
N	egative	20 (26%)	5 (31%)	Ref
Flea clade		L		- ·
С	lade 1	19 (24%)	2 (12%)	0.28
С	lade 4	54 (69%)	12 (75%)	Ref
С	lade 6	5 (6%)	2 (12%)	0.38

Variables were tested *via* univariate logistic modeling. All variables other than weight were reported as the total number and percentage of *C. felis* fleas in each category. Weight was reported as the median and range of cat host weight. Shaded areas indicate that the variable is synonymous with the variable we were modeling and thus not considered. Selection for inclusion in the preliminary model is indicated by \*.

between fleas and cats for the presence of *B. clarridgeiae*, *B. henselae*, and *B. koehlerae*. *Bartonella clarridgeiae* was the most common *Bartonella* spp. in both cats and fleas from all locations except Washington, NC. Washington, NC displayed the highest proportion of *B. henselae* infection in both cats and fleas and was the only location where *B. henselae* was more common than *B. clarridgeiae* in fleas. Washington, NC was also the only location with documented *B. koehlerae*, which we found in both cats and fleas. Our data supports that flea sampling can provide insight into the *Bartonella* species diversity and relative abundance in a specific geographic area. This information is critical for regional diagnostic considerations and for the prevention of *Bartonella* spp.

TABLE 4 Summary of the model selected to predict *B. clarridgeiae* infection in *Ctenocephalides felis* including only the variables significantly associated with host and flea characteristics and potentially confounding variable (number of fleas per host cat).

		Estimate	P-value	OR (95% CI)		
Bartonella clarridgeiae flea infection model						
Cat sex	Female	Ref	Ref	Ref		
	Male	1.44	0.032*	4.22 (1.13-15.70)		
Cat weight		-0.89	0.046*	0.41 (0.17-0.98)		
Number of fleas		-0.08	0.598	0.92 (0.69–1.24)		
Intercept		1.01	0.456	2.73 (0.19-38.42)		

This summary includes the estimate, *P*-value, and odds ratio (95% confidence interval). \* indicates significant *P*-value as alpha = 0.05.

TABLE 5 Summary of the models created to assess the role of geographic location and flea clade, host *B. clarridgeiae* infection, and flea coinfection in *Ctenocephalides felis B. clarridgeiae* infection when cat sex, weight, and number of fleas are controlled for.

		Estimate	P-value	OR (95% CI)
Geographic location and fle	ea clade model			
Geographic location	Raleigh, NC	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Washington, NC	-0.78	0.36	0.46 (0.09-2.43)
	Orange, VA	-0.52	0.96	0.95 (0.14-6.34)
	Madison, WI	0.21	0.91	1.24 (0.03-60.42)
Flea clade	Clade 4	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Clade 1	-0.62	0.64	0.54 (0.04-7.42)
	Clade 6	1.07	0.33	2.93 (0.33-25.88)
Cat sex	Female	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Male	1.44	0.032*	4.22 (1.13-15.70)
Cat weight		-0.89	0.046*	0.41 (0.17-0.98)
Number of fleas		-0.08	0.598	0.92 (0.69–1.24)
Intercept		1.01	0.456	2.73 (0.19-38.42)
Host Bartonella clarridgeiad	e model			
Cat infection	Negative	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Bartonella clarridgeiae	0.17	0.82	1.19 (0.27-5.15)
Cat sex	Female	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Male	1.41	0.04*	4.11 (1.09–15.54)
Cat weight		-0.86	0.06	0.42 (0.17-1.03)
Number of fleas		-0.08	0.60	0.92 (0.69–1.24)
Intercept		0.90	0.53	2.46 (0.15-39.50)
Flea coinfection model			1	
Flea Rickettsia	Negative	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Rickettsia asembonensis	-0.57	0.47	0.57 (0.12-2.65)
	Rickettsia felis	-17.61	0.99	Null
Flea Wolbachia	Negative	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Wolbachia spp.	-0.49	0.47	0.61 (0.16-2.34)
Cat sex	Female	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Male	1.62	0.02*	5.04 (1.30-19.50)
Cat weight	Cat weight		0.06	0.42 (0.17-1.05)
Number of fleas		-0.10	0.50	0.90 (0.66-1.22)
Intercept		1.81	0.31	6.14 (0.18-204.07)

This summary includes the estimate, *P*-value, and odds ratio (95% confidence interval). \* indicates significant *P*-value as alpha = 0.05. Null indicates no fleas were in that category and therefore an OR could not be calculated.

transmission to aberrant hosts (human, dog, etc) in which clinical manifestations are typically more severe and cryptic, with lower bacteremia hindering diagnosis.

The detection of C. simplex, N. fasciatus, and O. howardi infesting cats indicates the need for further investigation of the regional diversity of fleas infesting cats, the ability of these fleas to transmit pathogens, and the efficacy of flea control products for the control of these flea species. Each of these non-C. felis fleas was collected from a different cat, confirming that infestation of non-C. felis fleas on cats has occurred multiple times in this community. The capacity of these fleas to serve as a vector for disease transmission is essentially unknown. In this small sample size one C. simplex was infected with B. alsatica (20%, 1/5). This finding is of potential relevance to human medicine, as B. alsatica, a rabbit reservoir adapted species, has been reported in cases of endocarditis and host versus graft rejection (Raoult et al., 2006; Jeanclaude et al., 2009; Puges et al., 2019). Bartonella, hemotropic Mycoplasma, and Rickettsia spp. DNA was not amplified from N. fasciatus (n=1) or O. howardi (n=1). This may be due to a lack of vector competence, the presence of these flea species on atypical hosts that are not as efficient in maintaining and transmitting associated pathogens, or the small number of fleas tested in this study.

The most prevalent Wolbachia strain (wCfeT) did not display C. felis clade specificity, with detection in all clades sampled (1, 4, and 6), while other Wolbachia strains (wCfeF, wCfeJ, and an uncharacterized strain) were only detected in Clade 6. We propose that this finding may be related to increased diversification and evolution of Wolbachia within certain C. felis clades. Wolbachia species are a known endosymbiont of most insect species with certain strains causing profound effects in the species they infect (Werren et al., 2008). Genomic comparison of two C. felis associated Wolbachia (wCfeT and wCfeJ) strains indicated differential biotin synthesis, as well as cytoplasmic incompatibilitylike genes with unknown implications for fleas or flea-borne pathogens (Driscoll et al., 2020). Flatau et al. (2018) reported that increased Wolbachia loads lowered reproductive success in Synosternus cleopatrae, a flea species infecting gerbils. This effect was observed only in laboratory fleas and not wild-caught fleas indicating the specificity of these associations which may be due to flea genetic diversity or variations in the flea associated microbiome. Further exploration of C. felis-Wolbachia relationships is necessary to define the evolutionary advantages or disadvantages conveyed by specific Wolbachia strains, as well as the ability of diverse C. felis clades to acquire and maintain various Wolbachia strains and the implications for flea-borne pathogen transmission.

We did not amplify hemotropic *Mycoplasma* spp. from the fleas in this study despite flea collection from hemotropic *Mycoplasma* infected cats, a finding also reported by other studies (Persichetti et al., 2016; Abdullah et al., 2019). It is unknown if this is due to the geographic location sampled, lack of flea pooling, or other methodological differences.

One major limitation of this study was that fleas were not collected from every cat that a tissue sample was obtained from. While the flea collection protocol was standardized, since the aim of the study was not to determine the prevalence of flea infestation in this cat population it is likely that fleas from cats with lower flea burdens or cats with fleas that were difficult to catch were underrepresented in this sample. The length of time cats were housed in traps prior to anesthesia may have also impacted whether fleas were able to be collected, since fleas may not have been found on cats with longer waiting times or more efficient groomers. Because of this uncertainty, we did not attempt to investigate flea presence or flea burden on individual cats as explanatory factors for flea-borne pathogens. Additionally, our small sample of non-C. felis fleas and C. felis from Clades 1 and 6 prevented a thorough investigation of the pathogen occurrence and prevalence of pathogen coinfection in these fleas. Samples were collected at different times from different locations, a potentially confounding factor for geographic location-based conclusions. Furthermore, our small sample of R. felis and B. henselae infected fleas prevented the application of a modeling approach for analysis of potential host and flea factors associated with infection. Our means of pathogen detection (qPCR) has imperfect sensitivity for detection of stealth pathogens (such as Bartonella spp.), likely resulting in under reporting infection in cat tissues and potentially fleas (Maggi et al., 2020; Lashnits et al., 2021). The collection of tissue and not blood samples may restrict comparison to other publications as tissue displays higher Bartonella spp. sensitivity by qPCR (Lashnits et al., 2021). Genusspecific PCR, such as the assays employed herein, are unable to efficiently detect coinfection with more than one species within the genus due to preferential amplification, so we were unable to evaluate coinfection in a single sample (flea or cat), a phenomenon known to occur with flea Wolbachia spp. (Driscoll et al., 2020).

In conclusion, our study documented a significant independent association of flea B. clarridgeiae infection with cat sex and body weight. The cause of this findings is currently unknown and warrants investigation. The lack of agreement between specific cat host and flea pairs was expected on the basis of previous literature; however, geographical correlations of Bartonella spp. presence supports the use of fleas as sentinels to detect the relative proportion of Bartonella spp. in circulation within specific geographic areas. The detection of fleas not traditionally associated with cats (C. simplex, O. howardi, and *N. fasciatus*) raises questions regarding the importance of these flea species as a cause of allergy or as vectors for pathogen transmission. The association of Rickettsia spp. with specific flea clades should encourage future research regarding flea phylogenetic and coinfection associations with flea-borne pathogen prevalence. Finally, the association of Bartonella spp. with R. asembonensis infection and C. felis genetic diversity should inspire future investigation of the effect of coinfection and vector diversity in pathogen acquisition and maintenance by this ubiquitous vector.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number (s) can be found at: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0k6djhb43.

### Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by North Carolina State University IACUC protocol #19–003 and #21–468, and University of Wisconsin IACUC protocol #V006461.

# Author contributions

CM, EB, and EL conceived and designed experiments, and acquired funding. CM and EL performed data curation, formal analysis, software development, data visualization, and original draft writing. CM, LK, YL, KF, RM, and EL performed sample collection and processing. KF, EB, RM, and EL performed project supervision and administration. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

This research was supported by the State of North Carolina and donations to the North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine Bartonella Vector Borne Diseases Research Fund. A portion of this project was completed while CM was supported by the North Carolina State University's Comparative Medicine Institute Summer Interdisciplinary Research Initiative and the NC State Molecular Biotechnology Training Program of the National Institutes of Health under award number 1T32GM133366. Portions of this project were also completed while EL research was supported by the Comparative Medicine and Translational Research Program of the National Institutes of Health under award number T32OD011130 and the University of Wisconsin School of Veterinary Medicine Companion Animal Fund.

### Acknowledgments

This work would not have been possible without the veterinarians, veterinary technicians, and volunteers who assisted in the collection of flea and cat samples while attempting to control the impact of freeroaming cat populations. This includes Shauna Riggs and Joanne

### References

Abdullah, S., Helps, C., Tasker, S., Newbury, H., and Wall, R. (2019). Pathogens in fleas collected from cats and dogs: distribution and prevalence in the United Kingdom. *Parasit. Vectors* 12:10. doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3326-x

Akucewich, L. H., Philman, K., Clark, A., Gillespie, J., Kunkle, G., Nicklin, C. F., et al. (2002). Prevalence of ectoparasites in a population of feral cats from north Central Florida during the summer. *Vet. Parasitol.* 109, 129–139. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4017(02)00205-4

Álvarez-Fernández, A., Breitschwerdt, E. B., and Solano-Gallego, L. (2018). Bartonella infections in cats and dogs including zoonotic aspects. *Parasit. Vectors* 11:624. doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-3152-6

Avidor, B., Graidy, M., Efrat, G., Leibowitz, C., Shapira, G., Schattner, A., et al. (2004). Bartonella koehlerae, a new cat-associated agent of culture-negative human endocarditis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42, 3462–3468. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.8.3462

Azrizal-Wahid, N., Sofian-Azirun, M., and Low, V. L. (2021). Flea-borne pathogens in the cat flea Ctenocephalides felis and their association with mtDNA diversity of the flea host. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 75:101621. doi: 10.1016/j. cimid.2021.101621

Barker, E. N. (2019). Update on feline hemoplasmosis. Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Snim. Pract. 49, 733-743. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.02.009

Barrs, V. R., Beatty, J. A., Wilson, B. J., Evans, N., Gowan, R., Baral, R. M., et al. (2010). Prevalence of *Bartonella* species, rickettsia felis, haemoplasmas and the Ehrlichia group in the blood of cats and fleas in eastern Australia. *Aust. Vet. J.* 88, 160–165. doi: 10.1111/j. 1751-0813.2010.00569.x

Bodenhofer, U., Bonatesta, E., Horejš-Kainrath, C., and Hochreiter, S. (2015). Msa: an R package for multiple sequence alignment. *Bioinformatics* 31, btv494–btv499. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv494

Prasse from Paradocs Animal Hospital and Adam Bauknecht from the Madison Cat Project. We would like to thank James Flowers for his assistance in flea identification.

# Conflict of interest

In conjunction with S. Sontakke and North Carolina State University, EB holds US Patent No. 7,115,384 Media and Methods for Cultivation of Microorganisms, which was issued on October 3rd, 2006, and also co-founder, shareholder, and Chief Scientific Officer for Galaxy Diagnostics, a company that provides advanced diagnostic testing for the detection of *Bartonella* spp. infections.

The handling editor MA declared a past co-authorship with the EB and RM.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1137059/ full#supplementary-material

Breitschwerdt, E. B. (2017). Bartonellosis, one health and all creatures great and small. *Vet. Dermatol.* 28, 96–e21. doi: 10.1111/vde.12413

Canneti, B., García, J. C. G., Cores, F. J., and Trigo, M. (2019). Neurological presentations of *Bartonella henselae* infection. *Neurol. Sci.* 40, 261–268. doi: 10.1007/s10072-018-3618-5

Chandra, S., Forsyth, M., Lawrence, A. L., Emery, D., and Slapeta, J. (2017). Cat fleas (*Ctenocephalides felis*) from cats and dogs in New Zealand: molecular characterization, presence of rickettsia felis and Bartonella clarridgeiae and comparison with Australia. *Vet. Parasitol.* 234, 25–30. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.12.017

Chomel, B. B., Kasten, R. W., Floyd-Hawkins, K., Chi, B., Yamamoto, K., Roberts-Wilson, J., et al. (1996). Experimental transmission of *Bartonella henselae* by the cat flea. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 34, 1952–1956. doi: 10.1128/jcm.34.8.1952-1956.1996

Dorigatti, I., McCormack, C., Nedjati-Gilani, G., and Ferguson, N. M. (2018). Using Wolbachia for dengue control: insights from modelling. *Trends Parasitol.* 34, 102–113. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.002

Driscoll, T. P., Verhoeve, V. I., Brockway, C., Shrewsberry, D. L., Plumer, M., Sevdalis, S. E., et al. (2020). Evolution of Wolbachia mutualism and reproductive parasitism: insight from two novel strains that co-infect cat fleas. *PeerJ* 8:e10646. doi: 10.7717/peerj.10646

Firke, S. (2021). Janitor: Simple tools for examining and cleaning dirty data.

Flatau, R., Segoli, M., Khokhlova, I., and Hawlena, H. (2018). Wolbachia's role in mediating its flea's reproductive success differs according to flea origin. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 94:157. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy157

Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., and Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* 14, 275–140. doi: 10.1071/ZO9660275 Gamer, M., Lemon, J., Fellows, I., and Singh, P. (2019). Irr: Various coefficients of interrater reliability and agreement.

Gutiérrez, R., Nachum-Biala, Y., and Harrus, S. (2015). Relationship between the presence of *Bartonella* species and bacterial loads in cats and cat fleas (*Ctenocephalides felis*) under natural conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 5613–5621. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.01370-15

Hattori, N., Kuroda, M., Katano, H., Takuma, T., Ito, T., Arai, N., et al. (2020). Candidatus mycoplasma haemohominis in human, Japan. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 26, 11–19. doi: 10.3201/eid2601.190983

Hawley, J. R., Shaw, S. E., and Lappin, M. R. (2007). Prevalence of rickettsia felis DNA in the blood of cats and their fleas in the United States. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 9, 258–262. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2006.12.005

Hii, S. F., Kopp, S. R., Abdad, M. Y., Thompson, M. F., O'Leary, C. A., Rees, R. L., et al. (2011). Molecular evidence supports the role of dogs as potential reservoirs for rickettsia felis. *Vect. Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 11, 1007–1012. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2010.0270

Jeanclaude, D., Godmer, P., Leveiller, D., Pouedras, P., Fournier, P. E., Raoult, D., et al. (2009). *Bartonella alsatica* endocarditis in a French patient in close contact with rabbits. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 15, 110–111. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02187.x

Khoo, J. J., Kurtti, T. J., Husin, N. A., Beliavskaia, A., Lim, F. S., Zulkifli, M. M. S., et al. (2020). Isolation and propagation of laboratory strains and a novel flea-derived field strain of Wolbachia in tick cell lines. *Microorganisms* 8:19. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8070988

Kordick, D. L., and Breitschwerdt, E. B. (1988). Persistent infection of pets within a household with three *Bartonella* species. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 4, 325–328. doi: 10.3201/eid0402.980225

Kordick, D. L., Hilyard, E. J., Hadfield, T. L., Wilson, K. H., Steigerwalt, A. G., Brenner, D. O. N. J., et al. (1997). *Bartonella clarridgeiae*, a newly recognized zoonotic pathogen causing inoculation papules, fever, and lymphadenopathy (cat scratch disease). J. Clin. Microbiol. 35, 1813–1818. doi: 10.1128/jcm.35.7.1813-1818.1997

La Scola, B., Davoust, B., Boni, M., and Raoult, D. (2002). Lack of correlation between Bartonella DNA detection within fleas, serological results, and results of blood culture in a *Bartonella*-infected stray cat population. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 8, 345–351. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0691.2002.00434.x

Lappin, M. R., Griffin, B., Brunt, J., Riley, A., Burney, D., Hawley, J., et al. (2006). Prevalence of *Bartonella* species, haemoplasma species, *Ehrlichia* species, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, and *Neorickettsia risticii* DNA in the blood of cats and their fleas in the United States. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 8, 85–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2005.08.003

Lashnits, E., Neupane, P., Bradley, J. M., Richardson, T., Maggi, R. G., and Breitschwerdt, E. B. (2021). Comparison of serological and molecular assays for *Bartonella* species in dogs with hemangiosarcoma. *Pathogens* 10, 1–18. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens10070794

Lawrence, A. L., Brown, G. K., Peters, B., Spielman, D. S., Morin-Adeline, V., and Šlapeta, J. (2014). High phylogenetic diversity of the cat flea (*Ctenocephalides felis*) at two mitochondrial DNA markers. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 28, 330–336. doi: 10.1111/ mve.12051

Lawrence, A. L., Webb, C. E., Clark, N. J., Halajian, A., Mihalca, A. D., Miret, J., et al. (2019). Out-of-Africa, human-mediated dispersal of the common cat flea, *Ctenocephalides felis*: the hitchhiker's guide to world domination. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 49, 321–336. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.01.001

Lee, S., Kakumanu, M. L., Ponnusamy, L., Vaughn, M., Funkhouser, S., Thornton, H., et al. (2014). Prevalence of Rickettsiales in ticks removed from the skin of outdoor workers in North Carolina. *Parasit. Vectors* 7:607. doi: 10.1186/s13071-014-0607-2

Legendre, K. P., and Macaluso, K. R. (2017). *Rickettsia felis*: a review of transmission mechanisms of an emerging pathogen. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 2:8. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed2040064

Maggi, R. G., Richardson, T., Breitschwerdt, E. B., and Miller, J. C. (2020). Development and validation of a droplet digital PCR assay for the detection and quantification of *Bartonella* species within human clinical samples. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 176:106022. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2020.106022

Maina, A. N., Jiang, J., Luce-Fedrow, A. S., John, H. K., Farris, C. M., and Richards, A. L. (2019). Worldwide presence and features of flea-borne rickettsia asembonensis. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 5:8. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2018.00334

Manvell, C., Berman, H., Callahan, B., Breitschwerdt, E., Swain, W., Ferris, K., et al. (2022). Identification of microbial taxa present in *Ctenocephalides felis* (cat flea) reveals widespread co-infection and associations with vector phylogeny. *Parasit. Vectors* 15:398. doi: 10.1186/s13071-022-05487-1

Manvell, C., Ferris, K., Maggi, R., Breitschwerdt, E. B., and Lashnits, E. (2021). Prevalence of vector-borne pathogens in reproductive and non-reproductive tissue samples from free-roaming domestic cats in the South Atlantic United States. *Pathogens* 10:17. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10091221

Mifsud, M., Takacs, N., Gyurkovszky, M., Solymosi, N., and Farkas, R. (2020). Detection of flea-borne pathogens from cats and fleas in a Maltese shelter. *Vect. Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 20, 529–534. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2019.2553

Müller, K. (2020). Here: A simpler way to find your files.

Mullins, K. E., Maina, A. N., Krueger, L., Jiang, J., Cummings, R., Drusys, A., et al. (2018). Rickettsial infections among cats and cat fleas in Riverside County, California. *Am. J. Trop. Med.* 99, 291–296. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.17-0706

Ng-Nguyen, D., Hii, S. F., Hoang, M. T. T., Nguyen, V. A. T., Rees, R., Stenos, J., et al. (2020). Domestic dogs are mammalian reservoirs for the emerging zoonosis flea-borne spotted fever, caused by *Rickettsia felis. Sci. Rep.* 10:4151. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-61122-y

Pagès, H., Aboyoun, P., Gentleman, R., and DebRoy, S. (2021). Efficient manipulation of biological strings Biostrings.

Paradis, E. (2010). Pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integratedmodular approach. *Bioinformatics* 26, 419–420. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

Paradis, E., and Schliep, K. (2019). Ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* 35, 526–528. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ bty633

Persichetti, M. F., Solano-Gallego, L., Serrano, L., Altet, L., Reale, S., Masucci, M., et al. (2016). Detection of vector-borne pathogens in cats and their ectoparasites in southern Italy. *Parasit. Vectors* 9:247. doi: 10.1186/s13071-016-1534-1

Phoosangwalthong, P., Hii, S. F., Kamyingkird, K., Kengradomkij, C., Pinyopanuwat, N., Chimnoi, W., et al. (2018). Cats as potential mammalian reservoirs for rickettsia sp. genotype RF2125 in Bangkok Thailand. *Vet. Parasitol. Reg. Stud. Rep.* 13, 188–192. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2018.07.001

Puges, M., Ménard, A., Berard, X., Geneviève, M., Pinaquy, J. B., Edouard, S., et al. (2019). An unexpected case of *Bartonella alsatica* prosthetic vascular graft infection. *Infect. Drug Res.* 12, 2453–2456. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S206805

Raoult, D., Roblot, F., Rolain, J. M., Besnier, J. M., Loulergue, J., Bastides, F., et al. (2006). First isolation of Bartonella alsatica from a valve of a patient with endocarditis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44, 278–279. doi: 10.1128/JCM.44.1.278-279.2006

Reif, K. E., and Macaluso, K. R. (2009). Ecology of *Rickettsia felis*: a review. J. Med. Entomol. 46, 723–736. doi: 10.1603/033.046.0402

Richards, A. L., Jiang, J., Omulo, S., Dare, R., Abdirahman, K., Ali, A., et al. (2010). Human infection with *Rickettsia felis*, Kenya. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 16, 1081–1086. doi: 10.3201/eid1607.091885

Richter, J., Fournier, P., Petridou, J., and Häussinger, D. (2002). Rickettsia felis infection acquired in Europe and documented by polymerase chain reaction. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 8, 207–208. doi: 10.3201/eid0802.010293

Rust, M. K. (2017). The biology and ecology of cat fleas and advancements in their pest management: a review. *Insects* 8:51. doi: 10.3390/insects8040118

Šlapeta, Š., and Šlapeta, J. (2016). Molecular identity of cat fleas (*Ctenocephalides felis*) from cats in Georgia, United States carrying *Bartonella clarridgeiae*, *Bartonella henselae*, and *Rickettsia* sp. RF2125. *Vet. Parasitol. Reg. Stud. Rep.* 3, 36–40. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2016.06.005

Taber, R., Pankowski, A., Ludwig, A. L., Jensen, M., Magsamen, V., and Lashnits, E. (2022). Bartonellosis in dogs and cats, an update. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Anim. Pract.* 52, 1163–1192. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2022.06.006

Tasker, S. (2022). Hemotropic mycoplasma. Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Anim. Pract. 52, 1319–1340. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2022.06.010

Thomas, J. E., Staubus, L., Goolsby, J. L., and Reichard, M. (2016). Ectoparasites of free-roaming domestic cats in the Central United States. *Vet. Parasitol.* 228, 17–22. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.07.034

Tsirogiannis, C., and Sandel, B. (2016). PhyloMeasures: a package for computing phylogenetic biodiversity measures and their statistical moments. *Ecography* 39, 709–714. doi: 10.1111/ecog.01814

Tsirogiannis, C., and Sandel, B. (2017). PhyloMeasures: Fast and exact algorithms for computing phylogenetic biodiversity measures.

Tyrrell, J. D., Qurollo, B. A., Mowat, F. M., and Kennedy-Stoskopf, S. (2020). Molecular prevalence of selected vector-borne organisms in captive red wolves (*Canis rufus*). *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* 51, 663–667. doi: 10.1638/2019-0162

Tyrrell, J. D., Qurollo, B. A., Tornquist, S. J., Schlaich, K. G., Kelsey, J., Chandrashekar, R., et al. (2019). Molecular identification of vector-borne organisms in *Ehrlichia* seropositive Nicaraguan horses and first report of *Rickettsia felis* infection in the horse. *Acta Trop.* 200:105170. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2019.105170

Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., and Clark, M. E. (2008). Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 741–751. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1969

Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis.

Wickham, H. (2021). Tidyverse: Easily install and load the Tidyverse.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., et al. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* 4:1686. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686

Wilke, C.O. (2020). Cowplot: Streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for ggplot2.

Woods, J. E., Wisnewki, N., and Lappin, M. R. (2006). Attempted transmission of Candidatus *Mycoplasma haemominutum* and *Mycoplasma haemofelis* by feeding cats infected *Ctenocephalides felis*. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 67, 494–497. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.67.3.494

Xiao, N. (2018). Ggsci: Scientific journal and Sci-fi themed color palettes for ggplot2.