Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hector Riveros-Rosas, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Xiangli Tian, Ocean University of China, China Lei Wang, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yujie Sha ⊠ syjlw89@163.com Jian Liu ⊠ liujian@dzu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 28 March 2023 ACCEPTED 29 May 2023 PUBLISHED 14 June 2023

CITATION

Sha Y, Yan Q, Liu J, Yu J, Xu S, He Z, Ren J, Qu J, Zheng S, Wang G and Dong W (2023) Homologous genes shared between probiotics and pathogens affect the adhesion of probiotics and exclusion of pathogens in the gut mucus of shrimp. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1195137. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1195137

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sha, Yan, Liu, Yu, Xu, He, Ren, Qu, Zheng, Wang and Dong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Homologous genes shared between probiotics and pathogens affect the adhesion of probiotics and exclusion of pathogens in the gut mucus of shrimp

Yujie Sha^{1,2}*, Qingyun Yan³, Jian Liu^{1,2}*, Jiafeng Yu^{1,2}, Shicai Xu^{1,2}, Zhili He³, Jing Ren^{1,2}, Jie Qu^{1,2}, Shiying Zheng^{1,2}, Guomin Wang¹ and Weiying Dong¹

¹Shandong Key Laboratory of Biophysics, Institute of Biophysics, Dezhou University, Dezhou, China, ²Shandong Engineering Laboratory of Swine Health Big Data and Intelligent Monitoring, Institute of Biophysics, Dezhou University, Dezhou, China, ³Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai, China

Clarifying mechanisms underlying the selective adhesion of probiotics and competitive exclusion of pathogens in the intestine is a central theme for shrimp health. Under experimental manipulation of probiotic strain (i.e., Lactiplantibacillus plantarum HC-2) adhesion to the shrimp mucus, this study tested the core hypothesis that homologous genes shared between probiotic and pathogen would affect the adhesion of probiotics and exclusion of pathogens by regulating the membrane proteins of probiotics. Results indicated that the reduction of FtsH protease activity, which significantly correlated with the increase of membrane proteins, could increase the adhesion ability of *L. plantarum* HC-2 to the mucus. These membrane proteins mainly involved in transport (glycine betaine/carnitine/ choline ABC transporter choS, ABC transporter, ATP synthase subunit a *atpB*, amino acid permease) and regulation of cellular processes (histidine kinase). The genes encoding the membrane proteins were significantly (p<0.05) up-regulated except those encoding ABC transporters and histidine kinases in L. plantarum HC-2 when co-cultured with Vibrio parahaemolyticus E1, indicating that these genes could help L. plantarum HC-2 to competitively exclude pathogens. Moreover, an arsenal of genes predicted to be involved in carbohydrate metabolism and bacteria-host interactions were identified in L. plantarum HC-2, indicating a clear strain adaption to host's gastrointestinal tract. This study advances our mechanistic understanding of the selective adhesion of probiotics and competitive exclusion of pathogens in the intestine, and has important implications for screening and applying new probiotics for maintaining gut stability and host health.

KEYWORDS

Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, probiotics, mucus, adhesion, competitive exclusion

1. Introduction

Probiotics are defined as active microorganisms that are beneficial to the host, they can confer several benefits such as improving growth, digestion, and immunity (Parker, 1974; Zhang et al., 2018). Probiotics are widely used as alternatives to antibiotics. For example, *Lactobacillus* (e.g., *L. casei, L. gasseri, L. helveticus, L. lactis, L. rhamnosus, L. reuteri*, and *L. acidophilus*) and *Lactiplantibacillus* (*L. plantarum* and *L. pentosus*) have health-promoting effects (Kankainen et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2018; Partrick et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021), and are commonly accepted probiotics strains in the market.

Adhesion to the gut is important to the colonization of probiotics, which is conducive to the proliferation of probiotics but harmful to the pathogens in the intestine (Reid and Burton, 2002; Chen et al., 2007; Yadav et al., 2017). The molecules such as surface proteins, cell wall polysaccharides, peptidoglycans, bacteriocins, secreted proteins, and organic acids derived from probiotics *Lactobacilli* can mediate bacterial adhesion (Teame et al., 2020). The surface protein is one of the major adhesion molecules involved in probiotic mechanisms among different taxonomic groups of probiotics (Sanders et al., 2018). For example, *L. casei* surface layer protein could reduce the adhesion of pathogens to collagen and HT-29 cells, and thus decrease the pathogens-induced apoptosis of HT-29 cells (Meng et al., 2021). *L. acidophilus* surface layer protein also presented antibacterial effects against *Staphylococcus aureus* in combination with nisin (Wang et al., 2020).

Results from our previous studies suggested that the molecules located on the surface of *L. plantarum* HC-2 might participate in the competitive exclusion of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Sha et al., 2016a), and the surface layer proteins of *L. plantarum* HC-2 were subsequently found to play an important role in its adhesion and colonization in the intestine of shrimp to competitively exclude the pathogens and promote beneficial bacteria growth (Du et al., 2019). However, whether other genes or proteins of *L. plantarum* HC-2 are involved in the adhesion and exclusion of pathogens remains unclear. Probiotics and pathogens compete for common cell receptors for binding to the intestine mucosa (Van den Abbeele et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2018). Thus, understanding the surface proteins of probiotics involved in host-probiotic interaction would provide new strategies for disrupting host-pathogen interaction.

Moreover, *L. plantarum* HC-2 could reduce the negative effects of aflatoxin by positively affecting the growth and resistance of *P. vannamei* (Fang et al., 2020). Thus, some other modes of action may exist for *L. plantarum* HC-2 to find a niche in the shrimp intestine. Different bacterial species may have unique biological activities, which may vary from one strain to another strain. So, the unique property is fundamental to understand and apply a specific probiotic strain (Minj et al., 2021). The characteristics of the genome and proteome could reflect the adaptability and function of the bacteria to specific niches, so that the probiotic properties of *L. plantarum* HC-2 can be predicted by analyzing its whole genome and proteome (O'sullivan et al., 2009; De Angelis et al., 2016).

This study mainly aimed to investigate which and how the genes and proteins of *L. plantarum* HC-2 affect the adhesion and exclusion of pathogens. We hypothesized that (i) the homologous genes shared between *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* would affect the adhesion of *L. plantarum* HC-2 and competitive exclusion against pathogens in the mucus of shrimp by regulating the quantity of membrane proteins; (ii) the genome of L. plantarum HC-2 harbored key genes contributing to its adaptability to shrimp intestine niche. So, we first tested whether the shared genes between L. plantarum HC-2 and V. parahaemolyticus participated in adhesion of L. plantarum HC-2 to mucus, and examined the proteins involved in the adhesion of L. plantarum HC-2 to mucus and the response to V. parahaemolyticus exposure. Secondly, we analyzed the other probiotic characteristics of L. plantarum HC-2 based on its genome. We found that ftsH gene could affect the adhesion of L. plantarum HC-2 by regulating several membrane proteins, some of which play important roles in the competitive exclusion of pathogens. Arsenal genes in L. plantarum HC-2 genome are predicted to be involved in carbohydrate metabolism and bacteria-host interactions. These findings advance our mechanistic understanding of the selective adhesion of probiotics and competitive exclusion of pathogens in the intestine of shrimp, and have an important practical significance for the screening of probiotics, the optimization of feed additive formulations and the defense against diseases.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Lactiplantibacillus plantarum HC-2 was isolated from the hindgut of fish (*Acanthogobius hasta*) and stored at -80° C in Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Qingdao Hope Biol-Technology Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China) containing 20% (v/v) glycerol. *V. parahaemolyticus* E1 was stored at -80° C in 2216E broth (Qingdao Hope Biol-Technology Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China) containing 20% (v/v) glycerol. *L. plantarum* HC-2 was cultured at 37°C under anaerobic conditions in MRS broth for 18h, and *V. parahaemolyticus* E1 was cultured aerobically in 2216E broth at 28°C for 18h as seed culture.

2.2. Genome sequencing and annotation

Lactiplantibacillus plantarum HC-2 was collected by centrifugation (12,000 g) at 4°C for 10 min. The DNA was extracted with a bacterial genomic DNA extraction kit (Tiangen, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of DNA was verified by 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified by Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Scientific). The whole genome was sequenced by PacBio Sequel platform and Illumina NovaSeq PE150 at the Beijing Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. Genomes were assembled using SMRT Link (v5.0.1). The low-quality reads (< 500 bp) were filtered, and the long reads (> 6,000 bp) were chosen as seed sequences. The sequences ranged from 500 to 6,000 bp were aligned to the seed sequence by Blasr to improve the accuracy. The obtained sequences were then corrected by the arrow algorithm in the variant Caller module of the SMRT Link software, which was then used as reference sequences to blast with Illumina data by bwa (filtered with base minimum mass value of 20, read depth of 4-1,000). The cyclization of chromosomal sequence depending on the overlap between the head and the tail, and the initial site was then corrected by blast with the DNA database. Finally, the plasmid database was used to blast the chromosome and plasmid sequences. The coding genes, transfer RNA genes, and ribosome RNA genes were predicted by GeneMarkSprogram, tRNAscan-SE, and rRNAmmer, respectively. Gene ontology (GO), Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) were used to predict the function of genes by blasting the whole genome (E-value of $1 \times 10-5$, minimal alignment length percentage of 40%).

2.3. Multiple alignments of conserved genomic sequences with Mauve

A total of 29 genomes of *V. parahaemolyticus* were found at the 100% assembly level as of December 2018 from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) genome database (the identifier for the genome assembly can be found in Supplementary Table S1). The multiple alignments of genomes between *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* strains was performed using progressive Mauve option in software Mauve (Aaron et al., 2004). The consensus sequences existed in *L. plantarum* HC-2 and all *V. parahaemolyticus* strains were used as conserved genomic sequences for subsequent analysis.

2.4. Deletion of the ftsH gene

A double-crossover mutagenesis was performed to construct the in-frame deletion of *ftsH*. In brief, two fragments (about 1 Kb) flanking *ftsH* were amplified independently with primers 464up-F-XhoI and 464up-R, or 464do-F and 464do-R-EcoRI. The obtained fragments were joined together by overlap PCR using primers 464do-F and 464up-R. The resulting fusion fragment was introduced into the temperature sensitive plasmid pG⁺host9 (Okano et al., 2009) through XhoI and EcoRI. The constructed plasmid was amplified in *E. coli* DH5 α and transformed into *L. plantarum* HC-2 by electroporation. The transformants were selected by erythromycin (300 µg/mL) at 30°C.

The transformants were then separated as single clones at 37°C overnight. The integration of the plasmid to the genome was verified by primers Test464-F2 and Test464-R2 product length 1 Kb or 3 Kb. The integrated clones were inoculated in medium without erythromycin for 7–10 generations and spread as single clones on non-selective plates. The erythromycin resistance of these clones was confirmed through inoculation on plate with or without erythromycin (300 µg/mL). Clones loosing erythromycin resistance were checked by primers Test464-F2 and Test464-R2 and the resulting length 1 Kb indicated $\Delta ftsH$. The specific primers used in present study were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

2.5. Adhesion ability of strains to shrimp mucus

The preparation of shrimp mucus and adherence experiments were performed as previously study (Sha et al., 2016b). In briefly, the experimental shrimp were obtained after being fed for 4 h from Ruizi Seafood Development Co.Ltd. (Qingdao, China). Crude mucus was obtained from the intestines of shrimp by gentle scraping and was suspended in cold HEPES-Hanks's Buffer (H-H Buffer). Then, the solution was centrifuged to remove non-soluble material and was diluted with H-H Buffer to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. $100 \,\mu$ L

prepared shrimp mucus was added into the wells of a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated overnight at 4°C. Then, the wells were washed three times with sterile PBS to remove the non-immobilized mucus. Subsequently, the $\Delta ftsH$ mutant, $\Delta ftsH$ -C and wild type bacteria were added to the immobilized mucus and incubated at 37°C for 1 h, respectively. Wells were washed five times with sterile PBS and treated with 0.05% trypsin (100 µL) for 10 min at room temperature to liberate the bacteria. The liberated bacteria were then serially diluted (10³ ~ 10⁵) and plated on MRS plates, which incubated at 37°C under anaerobic conditions for 24 h. The number of colony-forming units (CFUs) was counted, and the adhesion ability of each type strain was calculated by normalizing the liberated bacteria CFUs to total CFUs before adhesion.

2.6. Sample preparation for proteomic analysis, liquid chromatography, and mass spectrometry

Cells from L. plantarum HC-2 wild type and mutant were collected at the stationary phase for differential proteome analysis. The bacterial pellets were collected by centrifugation (12,000 g) at 4°C for 10 min, and then transferred to 1.5 mL centrifuge tube with SDT lysis buffer (4% SDS, 10mM DL-DTT, 100mM TEAB) before ultrasonication for 5 min on ice. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C for collecting the supernatant, which was then reduced with 10 mM DTT for 1 h at 56°C. Sufficient iodoacetamide was added to alkylate for 1h at room temperature in the dark. Subsequently, four volumes pre-cold acetone were added and mixed completely, and the mixture were incubated at -20° C overnight. The protein precipitation was washed with 1 mL pre-cold acetone and then dissolved in dissolution buffer (8 M Urea, 100 mM TEAB, pH=8.5). Protein concentration of the lysate was determined by Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). For each sample, 20 µg proteins were loaded on the 12% SDS-PAGE. After a concentration with 80 V for 20 min, the protein was separated by 120 V for 90 min, and then visualized using coomassie brilliant blue R-250. The trypsin treatment and desalted were performed as previous study (Zhang et al., 2016).

Peptides were analyzed using an EASY-nLCTM 1,200 nano UHPLC system connected to a Q ExactiveTM HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher) with ion source of Nanospray FlexTM (ESI). In data dependent mode, the mass spectrometer automatically switched between MS1 and MS2 spectra. MS1 spectra had a full scan mass-to-charge (*m*/*z*) range from 350 to 1,500 using a maximum injection time of 20 ms and an automatic gain control (AGC) target value of 3×10^6 . Top 40 abundant precursors were isolated, fragmented by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD) using 27% normalized collision energy (NCE) and analyzed at a resolution of 15,000 with a scan range from 200 to 2,000 m/z with an AGC target value of 1×10^5 . The raw data of MS detection was acquired by set the intensity threshold of 2.2×10^4 and the dynamic exclusion parameter of 20 s.

2.7. Protein identification and quantification

Peptide/protein identification and quantification were performed with Proteome Discover 2.2 (PD2.2, Thermo) by searching the raw data against database consisting of 22,110 sequences obtained from the zip file named Match_result-X101SC21061420_Z01_J001_ B1_43 in ProteomeXchange Consortium with the dataset identifier PXD036035. The search parameters were as follows: mass tolerance for precursor ion and product ion was 10 ppm and 0.02 Da, respectively; fixed modification with carbamidomethyl; dynamic modification with methionine oxidation; N-Terminal modification with acetylation; allowance of 2 missed cleavage; identified peptides spectrum matches with a credibility of more than 99% and at least one unique peptide; false discovery rate set as 0.01. The mutant strains with fold changes \geq 1.5 or \leq 0.67, and *p* value \leq 0.05 (*t*-test) were considered as significantly differentially expressed proteins.

2.8. Bioinformatics analysis of protein

GO and InterPro (IPR) functional analysis were performed by the interproscan software against the non-redundant database (including Pfam, PRINTS, ProDom, SMART, ProSite, PANTHER). The protein family and pathway were analyzed by COG and KEGG. Subcellular localization for each protein was predicted according to GO annotation by UniProt.¹ The protein–protein interactions were predicted using the STRING-DB software.²

2.9. Expression of adhesion-related genes in *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2 cocultured with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1

Establishment of the mono-and co-culture system for *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* E1 were performed as described previously (Sha et al., 2016a). In briefly, equal volumes of MRS and 2216E broth were mixed, and 150 mL of mixed medium was inoculated with 150 μ L of the *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* E1 seed cultures and incubated at 28°C for 36 h. Monocultures of both strains were prepared as a control. Samples were collected to monitor the adhesion-related gene expression at *t* = 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 36 h, respectively. After the samples were withdrawn, an equal volume of the mixed medium was added to ensure that the volume of the cultures remained at 150 mL.

The methods of RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) were performed according to previous study (Sha et al., 2016b). In briefly, RNA isolation was performed from bacteria using an RNA fast extraction kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Fastagen, China). 2μ g of RNA was used for reverse transcription using a TransScript® One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., China). qPCR was performed using TransStar Top Green qPCR Supermix according to the manufacturer's protocol (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., China) with three replicates of each sample under the following two steps: denaturation at 94°C for 30 s and then 40 cycles of 94°C for 5 s and 60°C for30 s. The specificity of PCR products were confirmed by the dissociation curve analysis at the end of qPCR. Microsoft Excel was

2.10. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 20), and student's *t*-test was used to analyze differences between two compared groups with a significant level at $p \le 0.05$. PCA analysis was performed with the R package vegan. The graphic works were performed with origin 8.0 or R software.

2.11. Data availability

The raw sequencing data and assembly sequence of *L. plantarum* HC-2 genome can be found at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (BioProject: PRJNA868485; Sequence Read Archive (SRA): SRR21010423). The mass spectrometry proteomics data of *L. plantarum* HC-2 have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium *via* the PRIDE (PRoteomics IDEntification Database) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD036035.

3. Results

3.1. General genome features of a probiotic *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2

The complete genome of *L. pentousus* HC-2 consists of 3,364,894 nucleotides (one chromosome and two plasmids) with an average GC content of 45.41%. *In silico* analyses revealed the presence of 3,259 open reading frames (ORFs), resulting in a coding percentage of 84.12%. One or more protein families (PFAM) are attributed to 66.68% of these ORFs; 72.72% of them have similarities (> 97%) to at least one COG; 1.17% of the ORFs remain unknown and 79.78% of them show similarities (> 97%) to defined genes.

All of these circles are fairly symmetrical in the genome by observing the predicted origin of replication through GC-skew analysis and the ORF orientation shift (Supplementary Figure S1, circles 2 to 5 and 8). The five rRNA loci are located in the GC-content spikes (average GC content of 52.66%) (Supplementary Figure S1, circle 6) and all rRNA loci are in the same direction as the DNA replication (Supplementary Figure S1, circle 7). Sixty-four tRNAs are identified in the genome, representing all 20 amino acids, with redundant tRNAs for all amino acids except tryptophan and cysteine.

3.2. Conservation of genome architecture between *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2 and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

Nearly 20,000 sequences were obtained which were homologous between *L. plantarum* HC-2 and at least one of *V. parahaemolyticus*

used to analyze the data and the expression changes were determined with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. *MA1* and *pvuA* were selected as the reference genes for *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* E1, respectively. Specific primers used in present study were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

¹ http://www.uniprot.org/

² http://string.embl.de/

strains. In order to ensure that the sequences were conserved in both *L. plantarum* HC-2 and *V. parahaemolyticus* strains, the presence of homologous sequences in all studies strains was selected as the filter. Seventeen sequences were filtered out which were homologous between *L. plantarum* HC-2 and all *V. parahaemolyticus* strains, and then were assigned to 11 genes based on their location in *L. plantarum* HC-2 genome (Table 1). These genes mainly attribute to the essential cellular metabolic processes such as transcription, translation, glycolysis, and protein stability (Table 1).

3.3. The role of *ftsH* gene and related proteins in the adhesion of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2 to the mucus of shrimp

In order to elucidate the role of *ftsH* in the adhesion of *L. plantarum* HC-2 to shrimp mucus, a mutant strain was constructed by double-crossover mutagenesis. PCR results confirmed the absence of *ftsH* in *L. plantarum* HC-2 genome (data not shown). One mutant

was screened out from nearly 5,000 mutants. The $\Delta ftsH$ mutant exhibited a significantly (p < 0.05) higher adhesion rate than the wild type (more than 2 times) (Figure 1A). When complemented with the *ftsH*, the adhesion rate nearly restored the level of the wild type (Figure 1A). The results provide evidence that a strong connection between the adhesion ability and FtsH role does exist in *L. plantarum*.

Because of the regulatory protease activity of FtsH (Bove et al., 2012), we sought to ascertain whether inactivation of *ftsH* could alter the surface proteins of *L. plantarum*. A total of 1,369 and 1,376 proteins were detected in the wild type and mutant, respectively (Figure 1B). In addition, we identified that 26 proteins were significantly down-expressed and 54 proteins were significantly up-expressed (Figure 1C). Although the deletion of *ftsH* inhibited the expression of several genes, the expression of more proteins was up-regulated. The up-regulated proteins mainly belonged to the following categories: (i) translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis, (ii) general function, (iii) amino acid transport and metabolism, iv) carbohydrate transport and metabolism, and (v) replication, recombination and repair (Figure 2). The down-regulated proteins were also involved in mostly of the functions indicated above,

TABLE 1 Eleven genes of Lactiplantibacillus	plantarum HC-2 with homology to all investigated Vibrio p	arahaemolyticus genomes.
There is a contraction of the co	plantarann me E man nonlotogy to all investigated vibrio p	ananaemoty deus genomes.

Gene ID	Locus	Putative gene	Description	Homologous region coverage	Organism	E value	Per. Ident.	Accession NO. (NCBI)
HC2_ GM001536	1,596,833:1598839	parE	DNA topoisomerase IV subunit B	82.71%	L. plantarum	3e-38	100%	WP_003640557.1
HC2_ GM001644	1,714,477:1715376	glyQ	GlycinetRNA ligase subunit alpha	100%	L. plantarum	0	99.64%	WP_076638239.1
HC2_ GM000845	893,611:894147	rplF	50S ribosomal protein L6	54.56%	L. plantarum	2e-63	100%	WP_114668113.1
HC2_ GM000571	603,940:606303	secA	Protein export cytoplasm protein SecA ATPaseRNA helicase	52.96%	L. plantarum	1e-141	100%	KZU90851.1
HC2_ GM001758	1,832,001:1833266	clpX	ATP-dependent protease	33.81%	L. plantarum	1e-95	100%	KLD58150.1
HC2_ GM000995	1,050,186:1051763	prfC	Peptide chain release factor 3	48.42%	L. plantarum	4e-112	100%	SPX97467.1
HC2_ GM001692	1,763,037:1765613	infB	Translation initiation factor IF-2	56.30%	L. plantarum	0	100%	AVV99227.1
HC2_ GM000464	486,732:488984	ftsH	ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH	45.23%	L. plantarum	0	100%	WP_064775395.1
HC2_ GM000625	664,914:666242	eno	Phosphopyruvate hydratase	90.29%	L. plantarum	0	100%	WP_134992604.1
HC2_ GM000828	883,533:885629	fusA	MULTISPECIES: elongation factor G	100%	Lactobacillus	0	100%	WP_003641250.1
HC2_ GM000826	882,491:882904	rpsL	30S ribosomal protein S12	30.43%	L. plantarum	1e-20	100%	WP_080453582.1

Eleven genes are all located on the chromosome.



but the numbers were less than the up-regulated proteins. Global proteomic profiles of the wild type and mutant strains were compared revealing a distinct separation between these two types of strains, suggesting that *ftsH* deletion induced the expression changes of proteins which may contribute to the increased adhesion ability of *L. plantarum* HC-2 (Figure 1D). Among the differentially expressed proteins, six proteins were considered to be related to the adhesion of *L. plantarum* HC-2 due to their higher expression in the membrane of mutant strains (Table 2) and were selected as adhesion-related biomakers.

3.4. The responses of potential adhesion-related biomarkers to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1

As shown in Figure 3, the expression of genes coding A0A241RSP9 was significantly up-regulated at the presence of *V. parahaemolyticus*

E1 for 3h. The expression of genes coding G0M1M7, I9L3P8, A0A2S9W1Y1, and A0A241RSP9 were significantly up-regulated when co-cultured with *V. parahaemolyticus* E1 for 6h. However, the expression of gene coding I9L3P8 was 8-fold higher than that of the control group. At 12h, three membrane protein-coding genes (I9L3P8, G0M1M7, A0A2S9W1Y1) were up-regulated, and the expression of I9L3P8 and A0A2S9W1Y1 were 4-fold higher than that of the control group. Thus, the presence of pathogenic bacteria can induce the expression of *L. plantarum* HC-2 surface-associated proteins.

3.5. Adaption mechanisms of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2 to the host intestinal environment and interaction with the host

Lactiplantibacillus plantarum HC-2 has been shown to be able to ferment *in vitro* a variety of carbohydrates (Sha, 2016). Among them,



Methyl- α -D-Mannopyranoside, N-acetylglucosamine, amygdalin, Arbutin, Esculin ferric citrate, salicin, D-lactose, D-melibiose and D-melezitose could be utilized by *L. plantarum* HC-2 as the only carbon source, but the related genes were not found in the genome of *L. plantarum* HC-2, which need to be further investigated. In addition, *in silico* analysis of the annotated genome sequence of *L. plantarum* HC-2 also predicted its capacity to ferment mannitol, inositol and glycogen.

Seven percent of the identified genes in the *L. plantarum* HC-2 genome were involved in carbohydrate metabolism. The analysis of BlastKOALA predicted that *L. plantarum* HC-2 possessed three complete carbohydrate metabolism pathways involving EMP, pentose phosphate pathway and galactose metabolism (Figure 4), these pathways formed the central core of carbohydrate metabolism of *L. plantarum* HC-2. In addition, 15 carbohydrate utilization pathways were predicted in the genome of *L. plantarum* HC-2. As such, the wide repertoire of enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism are found in its genome, which are also corroborated by the abundant number of genes for the phosphoenolpyruvate- (PEP) dependent sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS) (67 genes) and the presence of specific genes or gene clusters involved in carbohydrate utilization by *L. plantarum* HC-2 (Figure 5).

The adhesion genes of probiotic *L. plantarum* HC-2 were also analyzed, combined with annotation and published studies (Van Tassell and Miller, 2011; Abriouel et al., 2017). A total of 34 adhesion genes were predicted in the genome of *L. plantarum* HC-2 (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Probiotics are widely used as a kind of alternatives to antibiotics (Parker, 1974; Zhang et al., 2018). Adhesion of probiotics to the gut

mucus is the first step to exert different effects against the activity of pathogens (e.g., competition, exclusion, displacement, and repression) (Reid and Burton, 2002; Chen et al., 2007; Yadav et al., 2017). This study clarified the genes and proteins of *L. plantarum* HC-2 involved in adhesion to shrimp intestine and competitive exclusion against pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus*. The results generally supported our core hypothesis that the shared *ftsH* gene could influence the adhesion of *L. plantarum* HC-2 to the shrimp mucus by regulating membrane proteins. Also, the genome of *L. plantarum* HC-2 harbors many genes relating to carbohydrate utilization and probiotic-host interactions.

Probiotic bacteria adhering to the gut may compete the colonized niches with pathogens, and thus prevent pathogen infestation (Servin, 2004; Fukuda, 2017). The major driving forces for enhancing bacterial adhesion are protein-protein and protein-carbohydrate interactions. The bacteria mainly share molecular mechanisms of initial adhesion to the host, and the presence of common adhesion proteins on the surface of bacteria could affect the adhesion-related molecules (Westerlund and Korhonen, 1993; Pizarro-Cerdá and Cossart, 2006; Kline et al., 2009; Iniguez-Palomares et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2018). L. plantarum HC-2 and V. parahaemolyticus can be adhered to the intestine of Litopenaeus vannamei (Sha et al., 2016a), thus the adhesion-related proteins encoded by homologous genes of these strains may compete for adhesion niches in the intestine as they may play a common functional role (Edgar and Batzoglou, 2006). In this study, homologous sequences obtained among L. plantarum HC-2 and all V. parahaemolyticus genomes were finally assigned to 11 genes which can attribute to the essential cellular metabolic processes. Several of them show multiple functions in different subcellular localization, like proteins related to transcription and translation which not only attribute to the essential cellular metabolic processes in cells but also act as adhesins when emerged on the cell surface of bacteria, such as genes numbered HC2_GM000845 (rplF),

Protein ID (Uniprot)	Description	GO_Term	GO_Class	Gene	E_value	Up vs. Down
G0M1M7	Cell division inhibitor MinD				1e-138	up
I8R6Y9	Oligopeptide ABC transporter, substrate binding protein			oppA1	7e-28	down
I9L3P8	Glycine betaine/carnitine/ choline ABC transporter, substrate binding and permease protein	Transporter activity	MF	choS	7e-39	up
І9АКСЗ	ABC transporter, ATP- binding and permease protein	Transport, transmembrane transport, catalytic activity, membrane	BP, BP, MF, CC		6e-59	up
A0A2S9W1Y1	ATP synthase subunit a	Single-organism transport, ribose phosphate metabolic process, hydrogen ion transmembrane transporter activity, ATP synthesis coupled proton transport, nucleotide metabolic process, single-organism process, nucleobase- containing compound metabolic process, transporter activity, transporter activity, transporter activity, transmembrane transporter activity, nucleoside monophosphate metabolic process, nucleoside phosphate metabolic process, transmembrane transport	BP, BP, MF, BP, BP, BP, BP, MF, BP, MF, BP, BP, BP	atpB	3e-20	up
F6IZF7	Histidine kinase	Regulation of cellular process, phosphotransferase activity, alcohol group as acceptor, transferase activity, phosphorelay sensor kinase activity, cellular response to stimulus, transferase activity, transferring phosphorus- containing groups, signal transduction, single- organism process, catalytic activity	BP, MF, MF, MF, BP, MF, BP, BP, MF		2e-26	up
F6ISB0	Glycine betaine/carnitine/ choline ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein	Transport, membrane	BF, CC		1e-106	down
A0A241RSP9	Amino acid permease	Membrane, transport, transmembrane transport	CC, BP, BP		7e-87	up

TABLE 2 Differentially expressed proteins predicted to be located on the cell membrane.

HC2_GM000995 (*prfC*), and HC2_GM000828 (*fusA*). Moreover, the gene numbered HC2_GM000464 was annotated as *ftsH* and was found that there was only one copy in this genome. The *ftsH* gene was

firstly found in *Escherichia coli* and then proved in almost all bacteria to perform cellular roles for FtsH (Schumann, 1999). FtsH is a ubiquitous membrane-integrated protein, an ATP-and Zn²⁺-dependent metalloprotease, which belongs to ATPase associated with different cellular activities (AAA) protein family and in responsible for regulating the protein stability of specific and critical regulators to control cellular processes. Given the critical role of FtsH in cellular processes, the *ftsH* gene was selected as the target gene for mining adhesion-related biomarkers among 11 genes. In this study, the double-crossover mutagenesis was performed and obtained nearly 5,000 mutants one *ftsH* mutant. The number of mutants showed desired genotype only 0.02% due to the fact that the second homologous crossover is random. Thus, it is difficult to obtain the



FIGURE 3

The expression of genes encoding membrane proteins in *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* HC-2 when co-cultured with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1 at different time points. "-" means no change. "abundance" means the expression fold-changes of the target gene relative to the reference gene.

desired genotype at the absence of selection pressure, and a suitable method should be developed to get target mutagenesis of *L. plantarum*.

FtsH protease is very important for controlling the membrane protein, heat shock response, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, viral infection, and mediating colicin activity in E. coli (Schumann, 1999), and it could also regulate the cytoplasmic substrates in Caulobacter crescentus and Bacillus subtilis (Langklotz et al., 2012). However, the FtsH is dispensable for normal growth of bacteria except that survival upon stress conditions like heat shock or osmotic stress (Beier et al., 1997; Deuerling et al., 1997; Fischer et al., 2002; Lithgow et al., 2004; Fiocco et al., 2009; Langklotz et al., 2012). In L. plantarum, the FtsH mutant reduced the capacity to form biofilms and changed the physico-chemical surface properties, which possibly linked to the alterations of surface charge and/or architecture (Bove et al., 2012). Given that in present study, the bacteria were washed three times with phosphate buffer solution before being used to test their adhesion to mucus, and there was basically no difference in charge on the surface of cells. However, we found that the FtsH mutant increased the adhesion ability to the mucus (Garrett et al., 2008). This is consistent with previous studies, which identified that the down-expression of FtsH could promote biofilm formation in L. plantarum and E. coli (Langklotz et al., 2012; Gu et al., 2021). Therefore, the absence of ftsH in L. plantarum HC-2 might mainly alter the membrane architecture depending on the regulatory protease activity of FtsH (Garrett et al., 2008; Langklotz et al., 2012; Gu et al., 2021).

The obtained mutant strains increased the adhesion ability to mucus of shrimp, and comparative proteomic analysis was further performed to create a molecular map of the adhesion regulation processes. 1355 proteins were present in both groups, while 13 and 20 proteins were uniquely identified in wild type and mutant strains, respectively (Figure 1B). There was basically no change in the number of total proteins expressed, but the number of uniquely expressed proteins exceeded 1% in each group. A total of 80 differential expressed proteins were found, including 54





up-regulated proteins. Many increased functional activities in the mutant strains reveal that the improvement of *L. plantarum* HC-2 adhesion requires the mobilization of its more basic biological and

cellular processes. In addition, the proteomic results showed that the expression of FtsH was still existed in the mutant strains with 10.56% down-regulation (data not shown) and showed no

Gene ID	Locus	Description	Species	Query cover	E value	Per. Ident.	Accession NO. (NCBI)
HC2_GM000186	203,881:204351	Malate/L-lactate/L-2-	L. plantarum	99%	0	100%	AGE39687.1
		hydroxyisocapronate dehydrogenase	ZJ316				
HC2_GM000189	205,446:206159	Phosphate acetyltransferase	Lactobacillaceae	99%	0	100%	WP_003641060.1
HC2_GM000284	301,660:302523	Elongation factor Tu	Bacteria	99%	0	100%	WP_003640798.1
HC2_GM000304	323,033:323596	Cell surface protein	Lactobacillaceae	99%	3.00E-67	100%	WP_003641618.1
HC2_GM000365	379,701:380246	Membrane protein	L. plantarum	99%	8.00E-97	100%	GFH83498.1
HC2_GM000560	591,941:592225	Phosphoglycerate mutase	L. plantarum JDM1	99%	1.00E-163	100%	ACT63123.1
HC2_GM000561	592,281:593897	Molecular chaperone DnaK	L. plantarum	98%	0	99.67%	WP_160230933.1
HC2_GM000624	664,107:664832	Co-chaperone GroES	Lactobacillaceae	98%	2.00E-50	98.94%	WP_003640985.1
HC2_GM000639	687,863:688840	F0F1 ATP synthase subunit beta	Lactobacillaceae	99%	0	100%	WP_003641443.1
HC2_GM000799	847,568:847768	Integral membrane protein	L. plantarum ST-III	98%	1.00E-52	98.82%	ADN98013.1
HC2_GM001027	1,088,208:1089281	Integral membrane protein	L. plantarum	99%	0	100%	WP_022638051.1
HC2_GM001143	1,220,958:1221632	Membrane-associated phospholipid phosphatase	L. plantarum	99%	2.00E-140	100%	KRN36053.1
HC2_GM001291	1,359,172:1359654	Class II fructose-1,6- bisphosphate aldolase	Lactobacillaceae	99%	0	100%	WP_003641904.1
HC2_GM001415	1,475,138:1475353	Integral membrane protein	L. plantarum JDM1	98%	3.00E-15	96.97%	ACT62313.1
HC2_GM001425	1,481,769:1482131	Integral membrane protein	L. plantarum JDM1	98%	7.00E-47	100%	ACT62322.1
HC2_GM001679	1,748,831:1750699	Triose-phosphate isomerase	Bacteria	99%	0	99.60%	WP_003643975.1
HC2_GM001680	1,750,743:1751351	Transcription elongation factor GreA	L. plantarum	99%	3.00E-100	100%	WP_063490463.1
HC2_GM001723	1,794,085:1794876	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	L. plantarum	99%	0	99.24%	WP_063487840.1
HC2_GM001724	1,794,895:1796553	ABC transporter permease subunit	L. plantarum	99%	0	99.83%	WP_087615045.1
HC2_GM001760	1,835,087:1836274	Chaperonin GroEL	L. plantarum	99%	0	100%	WP_063852686.1
HC2_GM001785	1,864,019:1865083	Glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase	Lactobacillaceae	99%	4.00E-173	100%	WP_003641817.1
HC2_GM001984	2,066,638:2068041	Transcription elongation factor GreA	Lactobacillaceae	99%	5.00E-97	99.38%	WP_003640314.1
HC2_GM002255	2,322,810:2323448	Orotate phosphoribosyltransferase	Lactobacillaceae	99%	4.00E-151	100%	WP_003642611.1
HC2_GM002331	2,399,389:2400078	Heat shock protein GrpE	L. plantarum	99%	3.00E-110	100%	ALV14711.1
HC2_GM002342	2,412,729:2415665	Cell surface protein	L. plantarum	99%	0	100%	AOB19372.1
HC2_GM002471	2,550,506:2551741	LPXTG cell wall anchor domain- containing protein	Lactobacillaceae	99%	0	100%	WP_003644794.1
HC2_GM002542	2,624,348:2624752	Membrane protein	L. plantarum	99%	1.00E-75	100%	WP_003643370.1
HC2_GM002619	2,710,817:2713045	BspA family leucine-rich repeat surface protein	Lactobacillaceae	99%	0	99.87%	WP_022638455.1
HC2_GM003060	3,160,616:3161197	Cell surface protein	L. plantarum	99%	4.00E-88	98.96%	WP_134902426.1
HC2_GM003061	3,161,278:3161628	LPXTG cell wall anchor domain- containing protein	Lactobacillaceae	99%	4.00E-71	100%	WP_003642858.1

TABLE 3 Predicted genes encoding proteins with roles in adhesion or interaction with the host in the genome of Lactiplantibacillus plantarum HC-2.

(Continued)

Gene ID	Locus	Description	Species	Query cover	E value	Per. Ident.	Accession NO. (NCBI)
HC2_GM003068	3,164,675:3165250	BspA family leucine-rich repeat surface protein	L. plantarum	99%	2.00E-136	100%	WP_003642866.1
HC2_GM003127	3,229,611:3231089	Cell surface protein	Lactobacillaceae	96%	0	100%	WP_033098925.1
HC2_GM003156	16,097:17626	Conjugal transfer protein	L. plantarum	99%	8.00E-70	100%	WP_180819087.1

TABLE 3 (Continued)

HC2_GM003156 is located on plasmid 1; the other genes are located on the chromosome.

significant difference from the wild type strain, suggesting that the mutants were partially repaired. This speculation could be supported by the elevated abundance of proteins for reducing damage such as proteins involved in enzyme metabolism and DNA repair pathways (Figure 2). *L. acidophilus* also responded to oxidative stress in the similarly way (Calderini et al., 2017). However, further studies are needed to clarify how the missing *ftsH* gene is repaired. A 10.56% decrease in FtsH expression already altered the adhesion ability of this group, so the results in this study can be used for subsequent analysis to test our hypotheses.

Surface proteins play an important role in the adhesion of bacteria to mucus in two ways. The first one is that surface proteins are bound to the membrane by hydrophobic transmembrane domain(s) and lipoprotein, and the second is that surface proteins are attached to the cell surface by noncovalent or recognizing some cell-wall-binding domains (e.g., LPXTG, CWBD1, and GW proteins) (Deepika and Charalampopoulos, 2010). We found that the differentially expressed proteins were predicted to be located in the cytoplasm and membrane rather than the cell wall, suggesting that FtsH mainly affects the adhesion of *L. plantarum* by regulating the expression of the membrane proteins.

Cell division inhibitor MinD (protein ID: G0M1M7) participates in the division of cell, and the overexpression of MinD could result in filamentation of cell by enhancing MinC-mediated inhibition of cell division (Nguyen et al., 2013). However, the minC gene was identified as antimicrobial activities other than being involved in cell division in L. acidophilus (Nguyen, 2012; Nguyen et al., 2013). Thus, the members of cell division inhibitors may play different roles in different strains. In this study, the increased MinD in membrane may result in filamentation of cell which may participate in the adhesion as an anchoring site for other adhesion molecules. The protein I9L3P8 encoded by choS was reported to have a compatible solutes glycine betaine/ carnitine/choline transport system (Rossi et al., 2016). Moreover, choline was found to be a direct precursor of phosphatidylcholine, which was a major lipid membrane component in the Rhizobiaceae family (Dupont et al., 2004). The choS was also involved in tolerance to stress from the external environment (Rossi et al., 2016), so the higher expression of gene encoding for I9L3P8 may be a response to protect itself against pathogens and enhance adhesion to the host. The A0A2S9W1Y1 is annotated as ATP synthase subunit a, which is a membrane-bound stator subunit of the ATP synthase and is essential for proton translocation (Ishmukhametov et al., 2017). These three proteins were significantly up-regulated in L. plantarum HC-2 when it cocultured with V. parahaemolyticus E1 for 6h and 12h while down-regulated at other time points. Biological membranes are a mix of membrane proteins and their lipid partners. One potential consequence of membrane crowding is that this conformational change could cause a change in free energy that is associated with the area available to the rest of the proteins, resulting in depletion forces (Phillips et al., 2009). These changes play a critical role in sensory and signaling pathways. Therefore, during this experiment, it was observed that the expression of genes can at times be up or down. The similar gene-expression patterns were also found in Pseudomonas.syringae pv. actinidiae during the first five days after infection of kiwifruit (McAtee et al., 2018), in which the expression of several genes from the plasmid-borne putative aromatic pathway showed a fluctuating pattern over the infection time course. In addition, compared with V. parahaemolyticus E1 after incubation alone, aphA in V. parahaemolyticus E1 was also observed to be up-regulated at 2 h and 6 h but down-regulated at 4 h and 8 h when V. parahaemolyticus E1 was cocultured with the same number of its own cells at 28°C (Sha et al., 2016a). The probiotics and pathogens share similar nutritional substrates, especially the amino acids. Thus, the gene encoding amino acid permeases (A0A241RSP9) was induced at earlier time. As above mentioned, four proteins (G0M1M7, I9L3P8, A0A2S9W1Y1, A0A241RSP9) may be involved in the competitive exclusion against pathogens in the mucus of shrimp by regulating their quantity of proteins on the surface of L. plantarum HC-2.

The application of strains is benefit from their adaption to the host intestinal environment and interaction with the host. As a kind of facultatively heterofermentative LAB, L. plantarum HC-2 genome possesses genes for both the phosphoketolase and Embden-Meyerhof pathways (EMP). Thus, it mainly ferments carbohydrate via EMP by using glucose. However, in the absence of six-carbon sugars, it can also ferment five-carbon carbohydrates such as arabinose or ribose via the phosphoketolase pathway (Bustos et al., 2005; Abriouel et al., 2017). As animals have limited evolved abilities to digest complex polysaccharides (Cantarel et al., 2012), the enzymes produced by intestinal microorganisms are needed to metabolize carbohydrate (e.g., xylan, β-glucan, cellulose, and chitosan). The genes encoding carbohydrate-modifying enzymes could be used to predict the possible adaption and enrichment of bacteria in the intestine (Abriouel et al., 2017). In present study, many enzymes involved in degrading of xylan and β-glucan are found in L. plantarum HC-2 genome. As the accessory enzymes for the degradation of xylan, acetyl xylan esterase (four genes, Table 3), glycerol-3-phosphate cytidylyltransferase, eukaryotic-like serine/ threonine-protein kinase, xylan endo-1,3-beta-xylosidase activity and xylanase were predicted in L. plantarum HC-2 genome

sequence, which can remove the terminal acetate residues from xylan polymers and act in the xylan catabolic pathway (Kim et al., 2020). Furthermore, 12 genes coding for enzymes involved in the degradation of beta-glucan were also predicted in L. plantarum HC-2 (Supplementary Table S3). Xylan occurs in nearly all plants and some marine algae, and could act as immune modulators to enhance animal immunity (Yang et al., 2019). However, as a kind of soluble non-starch polysaccharides (SNPs), the presence of xylan in diet could also interfere with feed utilization and negatively affect animal performance (Sinha et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2019). Betaglucan often used as a feed additive in aquaculture, which can enhance fish and shrimp innate immune responses (Chang et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2018), but it is also a kind of SNPs. Therefore, the presence of these enzymes is predicted to contribute to the degradation of xylan and beta-glucan in the intestine. Interestingly, the L. plantarum HC-2 genome has 22 genes responsible for the cellulose synthesis, including cellulose synthase (Supplementary Table S3). The cellulose synthase (17 genes) could accumulate cellulose on the cell wall surface as an extracellular matrix for adhesion of cell and formation of biofilm for protecting the bacteria (Abriouel et al., 2017). Over 7% (229 of 3,259 genes) of the identified genes in L. plantarum HC-2 are involved in carbohydrate metabolism, which is similar to the genome of L. pentosus MP-10 (Abriouel et al., 2017). The abundance of carbohydrate metabolism genes is important for L. plantarum HC-2 to adapt the intestinal environment, which may enhance its possibilities of survival and persistence and competitiveness to pathogens within the intestine of host.

Probiotic lactobacilli can express adhesion-related proteins to mimic the colonization process of pathogens, resulting in several probiotic effects. The identification and characterization of these proteins, often strain-specific, involved in interaction with the host are necessary to evaluate *a priori* the probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus* sp. Candidates (Abriouel et al., 2017). In this study, the possible interaction of *L. plantarum* HC-2 with the host was bioinformatically predicted from the genome sequence. Several adhesion-related proteins were predicted, such as cell surface proteins, LPXTG cell wall anchor domain-containing proteins and elongation factors. However, the role of these proteins in *L. plantarum* HC-2 has not yet been determined, requiring further mutation or proteomic studies for this purpose.

In summary, this study identified and clarified the underlying mechanisms of genes and proteins of *L. plantarum* HC-2 involved in adhesion to intestine and competitive exclusion against pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus*. The findings advance our mechanistic understanding of the selective adhesion of probiotics and competitive exclusion of pathogens. Also, the repertoire of genes identified in the *L. plantarum* HC-2 genome have an important implications for its applications in other animals as a potential probiotic.

4.1. Renamed strain HC-2 statement

The strain HC-2 was previously identified as *Lactobacillus pentosus* (now NCBI changed the name of *Lactobacillus pentosus* to *Lactiplantibacillus pentosus*) based on the analysis of partial 16S rRNA sequence which was more similar to *L. pentosus* JCM 1558, and

therefore named as *L. pentosus* HC-2. However, now the complete genome of strain HC-2 was obtained, and the phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the genomes of strain HC-2, 5 strains from *L. plantarum* and 5 strains from *L. pentosus* (Supplementary Figure S2). The result showed that HC-2 clustered well with *L. plantarum* strains, so the strain HC-2 was renamed as *L. plantarum* HC-2 from now on. This result was also confirmed by calculating ANI (Average Nucleotide Identity)³ of strain HC-2 with *L. plantarum* or *L. pentosus* and estimating DNA–DNA relatedness using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) 3.0 provided by the DSMZ⁴ (Supplementary Table S4).

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, SRR21010423. http://www.proteomexchange.org/, PXD036035. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA868485.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Science and Technology Ethics Committee of Dezhou University (Dezhou University, Dezhou, China).

Author contributions

YS: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, resources, supervision, visualization, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing. QY: formal analysis, writing—original draft, and visualization. JL: methodology and funding acquisition. JY: conceptualization and writing—review and editing. SX: writing—review and editing. ZH: writing—review and editing. JR: conceptualization and writing review and editing. JQ: conceptualization and writing—review and editing. SZ: writing—review and editing. GW: data curation and methodology. WD: data curation and methodology. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31802309), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (CN) (ZR2017BC027), Research Foundation for Advanced Talents of Dezhou University (2019xgrc35).

³ www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/ani

⁴ http://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Aaron, C. E., Darling, B. M., Blattner, F. R., and Perna, N. T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res.* 14, 1394–1403. doi: 10.1101/

Abriouel, H., Perez Montoro, B., Casimiro-Soriguer, C. S., Perez Pulido, A. J., Knapp, C. W., Caballero Gomez, N., et al. (2017). Insight into potential probiotic markers predicted in *Lactobacillus pentosus* MP-10 genome sequence. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:891. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00891

Beier, D., Spohn, G., Rappuoli, R., and Scarlato, V. (1997). Identification and characterization of an operon of *Helicobacter pylori* that is involved in motility and stress adaptation. *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 4676–4683. doi: 10.1128/jb.179.15.4676-4683.1997

Bove, P., Capozzi, V., Garofalo, C., Rieu, A., Spano, G., and Fiocco, D. (2012). Inactivation of the ftsH gene of *Lactobacillus plantarum* wcfs1: effects on growth, stress tolerance, cell surface properties and biofilm formation. *Microbiol. Res.* 167, 187–193. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2011.07.001

Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–254. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3

Bustos, G., Moldes, A. B., Cruz, J. M., and Domínguez, J. M. (2005). Influence of the metabolism pathway on lactic acid production from hemicellulosic trimming vine shoots hydrolyzates using *Lactobacillus pentosus*. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 21, 793–798. doi: 10.1021/bp049603v

Calderini, E., Celebioglu, H. U., Villarroel, J., Jacobsen, S., Svensson, B., and Pessione, E. (2017). Comparative proteomics of oxidative stress response of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM reveals effects on DNA repair and cysteine *de novo* synthesis. *Proteomics* 17:178. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201600178

Cantarel, B. L., Lombard, V., and Henrissat, B. (2012). Complex carbohydrate utilization by the healthy human microbiome. *PLoS One* 7:e28742. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0028742

Chang, C.-F., Su, M.-S., Chen, H.-Y., and Liao, I.-C. (2003). Dietary β -1, 3-glucan effectively improves immunity and survival of *Penaeus monodon* challenged with white spot syndrome virus. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 15, 297–310. doi: 10.1016/S1050-4648(02)00167-5

Chen, X., Xu, J., Shuai, J., Chen, J., Zhang, Z., and Fang, W. (2007). The s-layer proteins of *Lactobacillus crispatus* strain zj001 is responsible for competitive exclusion against *Escherichia coli* 0157: H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium. Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 115, 307–312. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.11.007

De Angelis, M., Calasso, M., Cavallo, N., Di Cagno, R., and Gobbetti, M. (2016). Functional proteomics within the genus Lactobacillus. *Proteomics* 16, 946–962. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201500117

Deepika, G., and Charalampopoulos, D. (2010). Surface and adhesion properties of lactobacilli. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 70, 127–152. doi: 10.1016/s0065-2164(10)70004-6

Deuerling, E., Mogk, A., Richter, C., Purucker, M., and Schumann, W. (1997). The ftsH gene of *Bacillus subtilis* is involved in major cellular processes such as sporulation, stress adaptation and secretion. *Mol. Microbiol.* 23, 921–933. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.2721636.x

Du, Y., Zhou, S., Liu, M., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Fang, H., et al. (2019). Understanding the roles of surface proteins in regulation of *Lactobacillus pentosus* HC-2 to immune response and bacterial diversity in midgut of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 86, 1194–1206. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.073

Dupont, L., Garcia, I., Poggi, M. C., Alloing, G., Mandon, K., and Le Rudulier, D. (2004). The Sinorhizobium meliloti abc transporter cho is highly specific for choline and expressed in bacteroids from Medicago sativa nodules. J. Bacteriol. 186, 5988–5996. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.18.5988-5996.2004

Edgar, R. C., and Batzoglou, S. (2006). Multiple sequence alignment. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 16, 368-373. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2006.04.004

Fang, H., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Liu, M., and Wang, L. (2020). Effects of *Lactobacillus pentosus* HC-2 on the growth performance, intestinal morphology, immune-related

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1195137/ full#supplementary-material

genes and intestinal microbiota of *Penaeus vannamei* affected by aflatoxin B1. *Aquaculture* 525:735289. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735289

Fiocco, D., Collins, M., Muscariello, L., Hols, P., Kleerebezem, M., Msadek, T., et al. (2009). The *Lactobacillus plantarum* ftsH gene is a novel member of the CtsR stress response regulon. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 1688–1694. doi: 10.1128/JB.01551-08

Fischer, B., Rummel, G., Aldridge, P., and Jenal, U. (2002). The FtsH protease is involved in development, stress response and heat shock control in *Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol.* 44, 461–478. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02887.x

Fukuda, K. (2017). Is it feasible to control pathogen infection by competitive binding of probiotics to the host? *Virulence* 8, 1502–1505. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2017.1382798

Garrett, T. R., Bhakoo, M., and Zhang, Z. (2008). Bacterial adhesion and biofilms on surfaces. *Prog. Nat. Sci.* 18, 1049–1056. doi: 10.1016/j.pnsc.2008.04.001

Gu, Y., Tian, J., Zhang, Y., Wu, R., Li, L., Zhang, B., et al. (2021). Dissecting signal molecule AI-2 mediated biofilm formation and environmental tolerance in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 131, 153–160. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2020.09.015

Iniguez-Palomares, C., Jimenez-Flores, R., Vazquez-Moreno, L., Ramos-Clamont-Montfort, G., and Acedo-Felix, E. (2011). Protein-carbohydrate interactions between Lactobacillus salivarius and pig mucins. *J. Anim. Sci.* 89, 3125–3131. doi: 10.2527/jas.2010-2996

Ishmukhametov, R. R., DeLeon-Rangel, J., Zhu, S., and Vik, B. S. (2017). Analysis of an N-terminal deletion in subunit a of the *Escherichia coli* ATP synthase. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 49, 171–181. doi: 10.1007/s10863-017-9694-z

Kankainen, M., Paulin, L., Tynkkynen, S., von Ossowski, I., Reunanen, J., Partanen, P., et al. (2009). Comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG reveals pili containing a human-mucus binding protein. *P. Natl. A. Sci.* 106, 17193–17198. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908876106

Kim, M.-J., Jang, M.-U., Nam, G.-H., Shin, H., Song, J.-R., and Kim, T.-J. (2020). Functional expression and characterization of acetyl xylan esterases ce family 7 from *Lactobacillus antri* and *Bacillus halodurans. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 30, 155–162. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2001.01004

Kline, K. A., Fälker, S., Dahlberg, S., Normark, S., and Henriques-Normark, B. (2009). Bacterial adhesins in host-microbe interactions. *Cell Host Microbe* 5, 580–592. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009.05.011

Langklotz, S., Baumann, U., and Narberhaus, F. (2012). Structure and function of the bacterial AAA protease FtsH. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1823, 40–48. doi: 10.1016/j. bbamcr.2011.08.015

Lithgow, J. K., Ingham, E., and Foster, S. J. (2004). Role of the hprT-ftsH locus in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microbiology* 150, 373–381. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.26674-0

McAtee, P., Brian, L., Curran, B., Linden, O., Nieuwenhuizen, J., and Chen, X. (2018). Re-programming of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. Actinidiae gene expression during early stages of infection of kiwifruit. *BMC Genomics* 19:822. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-5197-5

Meng, J., Wang, Y.-Y., and Hao, Y.-P. (2021). Protective function of surface layer protein from *Lactobacillus casei* fb05 against intestinal pathogens *in vitro*. *Biochem*. *Biophys. Res. Commun.* 546, 15–20. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.01.101

Minj, J., Chandra, P., Paul, C., and Sharma, R. K. (2021). Bio-functional properties of probiotic Lactobacillus: current applications and research perspectives. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 61, 2207–2224. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2020.1774496

Nguyen, T. (2012). Cell division inhibitor minC from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* VTCC-B-871 and detection of antimicrobial activities in functional study. *Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmaco.* 6, 3293–3298. doi: 10.5897/ajpp12.1476

Nguyen, T. H., Doan, V. T., Ha, L. D., and Nguyen, H. N. (2013). Molecular cloning, expression of mind gene from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* VTCC-B-871 and analyses to identify *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* pn04 from Vietnam hottuynia cordata thunb. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 53, 385–390. doi: 10.1007/s12088-013-0384-1

Okano, K., Zhang, Q., Shinkawa, S., Yoshida, S., Tanaka, T., Fukuda, H., et al. (2009). Efficient production of optically pure D-lactic acid from raw corn starch by using a genetically modified L-lactate dehydrogenase gene-deficient and α -amylase-secreting Lactobacillus plantarum strain. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 462–467. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01514-08

O'sullivan, O., O'Callaghan, J., Sangrador-Vegas, A., McAuliffe, O., Slattery, L., Kaleta, P., et al. (2009). Comparative genomics of lactic acid bacteria reveals a nichespecific gene set. *BMC Microbiol.* 9, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-50

Parker, R. (1974). Probiotics, the other half of the antibiotic story. *Anim. Nutr. Health* 29, 4–8.

Partrick, K. A., Rosenhauer, A. M., Auger, J., Arnold, A. R., Ronczkowski, N. M., Jackson, L. M., et al. (2021). Ingestion of probiotic (*Lactobacillus helveticus* and *Bifidobacterium longum*) alters intestinal microbial structure and behavioral expression following social defeat stress. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83284-z

Phillips, R., Ursell, T., Wiggins, P., and Sens, P. (2009). Emerging roles for lipids in shaping membrane-protein function. *Nature* 459, 379–385. doi: 10.1038/nature08147

Pizarro-Cerdá, J., and Cossart, P. (2006). Bacterial adhesion and entry into host cells. *Cells* 124, 715–727. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.012

Reid, G., and Burton, J. (2002). Use of Lactobacillus to prevent infection by pathogenic bacteria. *Microb. Infect.* 4, 319–324. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(02)01544-7

Rossi, F., Zotta, T., Iacumin, L., and Reale, A. (2016). Theoretical insight into the heat shock response (HSR) regulation in Lactobacillus casei and *L. rhamnosus. J. Theor. Biol.* 402, 21–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.04.029

Sanders, M. E., Benson, A., Lebeer, S., Merenstein, D. J., and Klaenhammer, T. R. (2018). Shared mechanisms among probiotic taxa: implications for general probiotic claims. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 49, 207–216. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2017.09.007

Schumann, W. (1999). FtsH – a single-chain charonin? FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 23, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/S0168-6445(98)00024-2

Servin, A. L. (2004). Antagonistic activities of lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria against microbial pathogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 405–440. doi: 10.1016/j.femsre.2004.01.003

Sha, Y. (2016) Studies on probiotic mechannism of lactic acid bacteria in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. [dissertation/doctoral thesis]. Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Acadamy of sciences.

Sha, Y., Wang, B., Liu, M., Jiang, K., and Wang, L. (2016a). Interaction between *Lactobacillus pentosus* HC-2 and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1 in *Litopenaeus vannamei* in vivo and in vitro. *Aquaculture* 465, 117–123. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.09.007

Sha, Y., Wang, L., Liu, M., Jiang, K., Xin, F., and Wang, B. (2016b). Effects of lactic acid bacteria and the corresponding supernatant on the survival, growth performance, immune response and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 452, 28–36. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.10.014

Singh, K. S., Kumar, S., Mohanty, A. K., Grover, S., and Kaushik, J. K. (2018). Mechanistic insights into the host-microbe interaction and pathogen exclusion mediated by the mucus-binding protein of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Sci. Rep.* 8:14198. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-32417-y Sinha, A. K., Kumar, V., Makkar, H. P., De Boeck, G., and Becker, K. (2011). Nonstarch polysaccharides and their role in fish nutrition–a review. *Food Chem.* 127, 1409–1426. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.02.042

Teame, T., Wang, A., Xie, M., Zhang, Z., Yang, Y., Ding, Q., et al. (2020). Paraprobiotics and postbiotics of probiotic lactobacilli, their positive effects on the host and action mechanisms: a review. *Front. Nutr.* 7:570344. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2020.570344

Van den Abbeele, P., Marzorati, M., Derde, M., De Weirdt, R., Joan, V., Possemiers, S., et al. (2016). Arabinoxylans, inulin and Lactobacillus reuteri 1063 repress the adherentinvasive *Escherichia coli* from mucus in a mucosa-comprising gut model. *NPJ Biofilms Microbi*. 2:16016. doi: 10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.16

Van Tassell, M. L., and Miller, M. J. (2011). Lactobacillus adhesion to mucus. *Nutrients* 3, 613–636. doi: 10.3390/nu3050613

Wang, H., Niu, Y., Pan, J., Li, Q., and Lu, R. (2020). Antibacterial effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* surface-layer protein in combination with nisin against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *LWT* 124:109208. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109208

Wang, J., Zhang, J., Liu, W., Zhang, H., and Sun, Z. (2021). Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic profiling of *Lactobacillus casei* Zhang in the human gut. *NPJ Biofilms Microbi*. 7:55. doi: 10.1038/s41522-021-00227-2

Westerlund, B., and Korhonen, T. (1993). Bacterial proteins binding to the mammalian extracellular matrix. *Mol. Microbiol.* 9, 687–694. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993. tb01729.x

Yadav, A. K., Tyagi, A., Kumar, A., Panwar, S., Grover, S., Saklani, A. C., et al. (2017). Adhesion of lactobacilli and their anti-infectivity potential. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 57, 2042–2056. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2014.918533

Yamamoto, F. Y., Yin, F., Rossi, W. Jr., Hume, M., and Gatlin, D. M. III (2018). β -1, 3 glucan derived from Euglena gracilis and AlgamuneTM enhances innate immune responses of red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus* L.). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 77, 273–279. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.003

Yang, P., Hu, H., Li, Y., Ai, Q., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Effect of dietary xylan on immune response, tight junction protein expression and bacterial community in the intestine of juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.). *Aquaculture* 512:734361. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734361

Yao, W., Li, X., Chowdhury, M. K., Wang, J., and Leng, X. (2019). Dietary protease, carbohydrase and micro-encapsulated organic acid salts individually or in combination improved growth, feed utilization and intestinal histology of pacific white shrimp. *Aquaculture* 503, 88–95. doi: 10.1016/j. aquaculture.2018.12.064

Zhang, H., Liu, T., Zhang, Z., Payne, S. H., Zhang, B., McDermott, J. E., et al. (2016). Integrated proteogenomic characterization of human high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Cells* 166, 755–765. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.069

Zhang, Z., Lv, J., Pan, L., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Roles and applications of probiotic Lactobacillus strains. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 8135–8143. doi: 10.1007/ s00253-018-9217-9