Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Robert Czajkowski, University of Gdansk, Poland

REVIEWED BY Chunlei Shi, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China Sandra Sevilla-Navarro, Centro de Calidad Avícola y Alimentación Animal de la Comunidad Valenciana, Spain Bukola Adenike Onarinde, University of Lincoln, United Kinadom

*CORRESPONDENCE Fan Pan ⊠ fan.pan@siat.ac.cn Xiaohong Wang ⊠ wxh@mail.hzau.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 17 July 2023 ACCEPTED 07 November 2023 PUBLISHED 22 November 2023

CITATION

Islam MS, Nime I, Pan F and Wang X (2023) Isolation and characterization of phage ISTP3 for bio-control application against drugresistant *Salmonella*. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1260181. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1260181

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Islam, Nime, Pan and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Isolation and characterization of phage ISTP3 for bio-control application against drug-resistant *Salmonella*

Md. Sharifull Islam^{1,2†}, Ishatur Nime^{1†}, Fan Pan^{2*} and Xiaohong Wang^{1*}

¹Key Laboratory of Environment Correlative Dietology, College of Food Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ²Center for Cancer Immunology, Institute of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, China

Salmonella including drug-resistant strains are major foodborne pathogens causing serious illness and pose a great threat to the prevention and control for food safety. Phages can naturally defect the bacterium, is considered as a new and promising biological antimicrobial agent in the post-antibiotic era. A poultry facility in Wuhan, China provided wastewater samples from which a collection of 29 phages were isolated and purified. A broad host spectrum phage ISTP3, which capable of infecting all tested Salmonella, including drug-resistant Salmonella enterica, were examined. Additionally, the effectiveness of this phage ISTP3 in reducing drug-resistant S. enterica was assessed in diverse food samples. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and whole genome sequencing demonstrated that ISTP3 was found to belong to family Ackermannviridae. The one-step growth experiment and assays of stability demonstrated that ISTP3 exhibited short periods of inactivity before replicating, produced a significant number of viral progeny during infection, and remained high stable under varying pH and temperature conditions. We evaluated the efficacy of phage ISTP3 against drug-resistant Salmonella on chicken breast and lettuce samples at different temperatures. When applying phage ISTP3 in food matrices, the drug resistant Salmonella count significantly reduced at 4°C and 25°C at an MOI of 100 or 1,000 within a timescale of 12 h. Overall, the results, such as broad host ranges, strictly lytic lifestyles, absence of lysogenic related genes, toxin genes, or virulence genes in the genome, demonstrate that the application of phage ISTP3 as a biocontrol agent has promising potential for preventing and controlling drug-resistant S. typhimurium in the context of food safety, processing, and production.

KEYWORDS

phage, drug-resistant; salmonella, characterization, biological control, foods

1 Introduction

Salmonella bacteria, which are members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, have a rod-shaped morphology with a diameter ranging from 0.2 to $1.5 \,\mu$ m, and they are facultative anaerobes. They can be classified as gram-negative bacilli (Ali et al., 2018). *Salmonella* genus can be classified into two main species, *S. enterica* and *S. bongori*, which are distinguished by variations in their 16S rRNA sequence. *S. enterica* encompasses a total of 2,600 distinct serovars and a many of

these are responsible to cause diseases for humans and animals (Yang et al., 2019). In the world Salmonella is described as the most common foodborne pathogen, mainly infect to humans through contaminated food matrices (Jiang et al., 2021). Infected animals and their faeces act as reservoirs for the contamination of Salmonella and this contamination can then spread through products like eggs, meat, milk, and other agricultural goods that have been fertilized or grown in feces contaminated with Salmonella (Finn et al., 2013). It is estimated that globally approximately 500,000 deaths are caused by 33 million Salmonella infections (Zhou et al., 2023). While Salmonella infection is highly suspected in age groups <20 years and >70 years, the highest incidence is observed in infants, with 130 cases per 100,000 individuals (Zaki and Karande, 2011). Approximately 25 to 33% of children diagnosed with typhoid fever are below the age of 5, with a subset of 6 to 21% being younger than 2 years old (Owais et al., 2010). A group of scientist from USA reported that the chance of hospitalization due to 47-80% for infected people aged ≥65 years because of non-typhoidal Salmonella infection (Chen et al., 2012).

Freshly prepared vegetables provide an enjoyable way to incorporate essential dietary fiber, vitamins, and minerals which are vital components of a healthy diet. Hence, these globally renowned food items pose a significant risk to public health if consumed raw or subjected to insufficient heat treatment, as their fresh form may potentially contain harmful bacterial contaminants (Pem and Jeewon, 2015; Moye et al., 2018). In the past 15 years during the years of 1990 to 2005, there were 768 outbreaks associated with fresh produce, leading to 35,060 reported cases of illness (Sharma, 2013). Lettuce, a highly popular leafy vegetable worldwide, is typically consumed raw and has been frequently associated with outbreaks of foodborne diseases such as salmonellosis. The prevalence of Salmonella in lettuce was found to be 0.064 in developing countries and 0.028 in developed countries, respectively (De Oliveira Elias et al., 2019). In China, the prevalence of Salmonella in raw vegetables was found to be 3.4% (14 out of 406 samples). Among the different vegetables tested, coriander exhibited the highest contamination rate at 7.8% (90 out of the samples), followed by lettuce at 6.0% (83 out of the samples) (Yang et al., 2020). Furthermore, Salmonella is commonly present in the intestinal tract of warm-blooded animals, particularly in chickens. This facilitates the easy transfer of Salmonella to meat during processes such as slaughter, handling, processing, and distribution. Chicken meat has been identified as a significant source of Salmonella infections in humans, contributing to global outbreaks, including those involving drug-resistant strains (Mori et al., 2018; Duc et al., 2019; Wessels et al., 2021). In 2019, an extensive investigation was carried out on 3,508 samples collected from poultry breeding farms across nine provinces in China. The study identified 126 strains of Salmonella, indicating a positivity rate of 3.59% for the samples (Song et al., 2020). During the period from April to November 2011, a study conducted by Alali et al. (2012) reported a *Salmonella* prevalence of 31.5% (n = 698) in chicken samples collected from Russia. In Malaysia the prevalence of Salmonella was found 39.4% (n = 156) in the year of 2017 (Thung et al., 2017). Salmonella was responsible for over 50% of the reported cases of foodborne illnesses in the European Union (Ehuwa et al., 2021). The economic impact of foodborne Salmonella in the United States has been substantial. According to the USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS), the total cost for foodborne Salmonella infections in the United States was estimated at \$4.1 billion annually (Ford et al., 2023).

The estimated annual cost for *Salmonella* control program is increasing in some countries (Niemi et al., 2019). Various

industrialized systems have been developed for addressing bacterial contamination, including Salmonella, in food. However, these methods still have specific disadvantages, such as potential harm or toxicity to food, inconsistency in quality, and high practical application costs (Muncke et al., 2020; Lebelo et al., 2021; Wessels et al., 2021). One instance is the use of non-thermal methods like washing food in chlorinated water, which fails to completely eliminate enteric bacterial pathogens. This is primarily due to challenges such as biofilm formation, inaccessible attachment sites, the strength of attachment, or internalization of the pathogen (Gil Prieto et al., 2009). However, the use of chemicals has also led to the emergence of drug-resistant bacteria, posing a significant public health risk (Racine and Gualtieri, 2019). Drug-resistant poses a major threat to global human health, as it makes the treatment of pathogen infections challenging due to their high prevalence rate, associated mortality, and treatment costs. The potential transmission link to humans from antibiotic-resistant Salmonella at the farm level can occur through direct contact or consumption of contaminated meat (Dolejska et al., 2012). Globally, antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella strains have become increasingly prevalent over the past decade (Pelyuntha et al., 2021). Therefore, it is imperative to search for control agents that enable the production of food with minimal or no harm to human consumption, without compromising the organoleptic and nutritional quality of the food.

Bacteriophages, also known as phages, are naturally existing viruses that vary in size from 20 to 200 nm and act as predators of bacteria (D'accolti and Soffritti, 2021). Phages, which are present everywhere in the environment, pose no harm to humans and animals. Phages have gained recognition as promising antimicrobial agents that can assist in controlling specific bacterial pathogens in food processing or production, potentially saving millions of lives and revolutionizing the field of medicine since their discovery (Hyla et al., 2022). On the contrary, phages are considered one of the most crucial, cost-effective, and straightforward approaches to combat microbes in both planktonic and biofilm environments (Totten and Patel, 2022). Consequently, lytic phages are increasingly being employed as alternative tools to eliminate pathogenic bacteria in food products and combat drug-resistant bacteria. Due to their ability to offer a safe, natural, and effective solution against pathogenic bacterial contamination in fresh produce items and chicken meat, various national and international regulatory authorities are progressively granting approval for the utilization of phages (García et al., 2019). The application of targeted phage treatment in the food industry can prevent product degradation and the transmission of bacterial diseases. As a result, this will ultimately enhance safety in the production, processing, and handling of animal and plant-based food, creating safe environments. From a food safety standpoint, phages have been suggested as a novel, efficient, stable, and safe antibacterial method, considered one of the least harmful approaches (Lee et al., 2022). In this study, we isolated, screened, and fully characterized phage ISTP3, which demonstrated sustained activity in reducing drug-resistant S. enterica specifically in food applications, particularly for lettuce and chicken meat.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Bacteria strains and growth conditions

Drug-resistant *S. typhimurium* SA32 was used as a host for isolation of phage. For the host range activity, we employed a collection

of 43 distinct bacterial strains, including 31 strains of *Salmonella* and 12 strains of bacteria that were not of the *Salmonella* type (Table 1). All bacterial strains were properly preserved at -80° C with a 20% (v/v) glycerol solution. Prior to the experiment, the strains were cultured on Luria-Bertani agar (LA) agar plates at 37°C using the streak plate method. A single colony was selected and inoculated into Luria-Bertani broth (LB) broth medium at 37°C overnight to validate the purification of the bacteria.

2.2 Isolation, propagation, and purification of phage

According to established protocols (Islam et al., 2021), a collection of 29 putative distinct phages were collected from wastewater samples taken from a chicken farm located in Wuhan, China. The enrichment process for phage isolation was altered based on a previous study conducted by Guo et al. (2021). A mixture was created by combining $5\,mL$ of a sample filtered through a $0.22\,\mu m$ filter with 10 mL of LB and 2.5 mL of the host bacterial strain. The mixture was left to incubate overnight at a temperature of 37°C. After the incubation period, the culture was collected and subjected to centrifugation at 11,200×g for 10 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatants were then filtered using a 0.22 µm pore size filter. The phage lysate, which is the liquid obtained after removing the sediment, was collected and utilized in spot tests to identify the presence of phages. To purify the phage plaques, a single plaque was chosen and cultured in 1 mL of LB medium along with the host bacteria. The propagation process was repeated at least four times to ensure the purification of the phage as a single entity. The purified phages were stored at a temperature of 4°C until they were needed for various experiments.

2.3 Spot test

The phages capability for lysis diverse strains was identified using spot test. In brief, $100 \,\mu$ L of target exponential growth phase cultured bacteria with 4 mL 0.7% agar was poured on LA plates then mixed and wait for 15 min at room temperature to solidify. Subsequently, 5 μ L of phage lysates were spotted onto the lawns formed by different bacterial strains. The Petri dishes were then incubated at 37°C for 24h. Following incubation, the formation of clear zones on the bacterial lawn was determined as an indication of phage sensitivity.

2.4 Efficiency of plating

To assess the host range, we performed an Efficiency of Plating (EOP) assay with modifications based on previous studies (Islam et al., 2019b). The phage was serially diluted and triplicates were tested on the designated bacterial host. The bacterial strains were cultivated to the exponential phase at 37° C. After incubation, $100 \,\mu$ L of the bacterial culture was applied in double-layer plate assays along with $100 \,\mu$ L of the diluted phage lysate. Dilution factors ranging from 10^{6} to 10^{9} were applied in this study. Subsequently, the plates were incubated at 37° C for 12 h, and the number of plaque-forming units (PFUs) on the plate was enumerated. The relative EOP was then calculated by dividing the average PFUs on the test bacteria by the average PFUs on the host bacteria. The EOP assessment was categorized as follows: high

efficiency (EOP 0.5 to 1.0), moderate efficiency (EOP 0.1 to 0.49), low efficiency (0.001 to 0.9), and inefficient (0 to 0.009).

2.5 Transmission electron microscopy

Freshly prepared phage suspension (10^{10} PFU/mL) was re-suspended in SM buffer after ultracentrifugation at $50,000 \times \text{g}$ for 2 h at 4°C. Negative staining method (2% phosphotungstic acid) was used for preparation of phages to observe their morphology under transmission electron microscopy. The TEM images were analyzed using the software Digital Micrograph Demo 3.9.1.¹

2.6 Absorption rate

Assays for phage adsorption were conducted following the methodology previously described by Fister et al. (2016), with slight modifications. In brief, a fresh phage solution and a host bacterial solution were combined in a sterile tube at an optimal MOI value (MOI 0.01), followed by incubation at 37° C for 20 min on a shaker. The mixture was subsequently centrifuged at $12,000 \times \text{g}$ for 5 min, and the resulting supernatants were immediately filtered using 0.22 µm filters (Millipore, Ireland). The filtered supernatants, which contained unabsorbed phages, were then quantified using a double-layered agar plate method. The adsorption percentage was calculated by comparing the phage titer in the supernatant with control samples with no bacteria.

2.7 One-step growth curves of phage ISTP3

The methods used for the one-step growth tests were based on Park et al.'s study (Park et al., 2012) with minor modifications. Briefly, a phage suspension containing 4 log PFU/mL of 500 µL was combined with a host bacterial culture containing 6 log CFU/mL of 500 µL. The mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 10 min to allow phage adsorption to the host, followed by centrifugation at 8000×g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded to remove free phage, and the phage attached to the bacterial pellet was gently washed three times with LB. The pellet was subsequently resuspended in 5 mL of LB and cultured at 37°C for 180 min in a shaker at 150 rpm. Two sets of samples were prepared, each with a different duration. In the second set, 1% chloroform was added to the samples before titration to effectively lyse the host bacteria and precisely determine the initial infectious phage count for detecting the eclipse time. The one-step curve was determined by evaluating the phage titers using the doublelayered agar plate method for each set of samples.

2.8 Thermal and pH stability of phage

To assess the thermal and pH stability of the phage, a method similar to previous studies (Zhang et al., 2023) was employed with some minor modifications. In order to evaluate the thermal stability,

¹ http://www.gatan.com/products/tem-analysis/gatan-microscopy-suitesoftware

TABLE 1 Host range of phage ISTP3, ISTP6, ISTP8, ISTP14, ISTP18, ISTP20, and ISTP26 against different Salmonella serovars and other bacterial strains determined by spot testing.

S. enterica serovar TyphimuriumImage: serovar Typhimurium<	Bacterial strains	Drug- resistant	ISTP3	ISTP6	ISTP8	ISTP14	ISTP18	ISTP20	ISTP26
TyphimuriumImage: second s	S. enterica serovar								
ATCC 14028 / ++++ ++ ++ +++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ATCC 13311 / +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ +++++++++ +	Typhimurium								
ATCC 13311/+++++++++++++++UK-1/++++++++++++ST8/+++++++++++++++++++SGSC 4903/+++++++++++++++++++++SL 1344/++++++++++++++++++++++++++++LT2/++++++++++++++++++++++++++++S. enterica serovar Enteritidis	ATCC 14028	1	++++	+++	+	+	++	++	+++
UK-1/+++++++++++ST8/+++++++++++++++++++SGSC 4903/++++++++++++++++++SL 1344/+++++++++++++++++++LT2/+++++++++++++++++++S. enterica serovar Enteritidis/++++++++++++++++S. enterica serovar Enteritidis/++++++++++++SJTUF 10978/++++++++++++++++SJTUF 10984/++++++++++++++++SGSC 4901/++++++++++++++++Scenterica serovar Dublin/++++++++++++++3710/++++	ATCC 13311	1	++++	++++	+	+++	+	+++	+
ST8 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ SGSC 4903 / ++++ ++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ +++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	UK-1	1	++++	+	+	+	+++	+	+
SGSC 4903 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ SL 1344 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ ++++ ++++ ++++ LT2 / ++++ +++ +++ ++++ ++++ +++ +++ S. enterica serovar Enteritidis // ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ + S. enterica serovar Enteritidis // ++++ ++ +++ +++ ++ ++ + S. enterica serovar Enteritidis // ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ + + + + + + + + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +++	ST8	1	++++	++	+++	+	++	+	+++
SL 1344 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ LT2 / ++++ + <t< td=""><td>SGSC 4903</td><td>1</td><td>++++</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>+</td><td>+++</td><td>+</td><td>+</td></t<>	SGSC 4903	1	++++	+	++	+	+++	+	+
LT2/++++++++++++S. enterica serovar Enteritidis </td <td>SL 1344</td> <td>/</td> <td>++++</td> <td>++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>+</td> <td>++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>+++</td>	SL 1344	/	++++	++	+++	+	++	+++	+++
S. enterica serovar Enteritidis Image: Constraint of the series of t	LT2	/	++++	+	++	+	+++	+	+
ATCC 13076 / ++++ + + + + + + SJTUF 10978 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ SJTUF 10984 / ++++ + + + +++ <td< td=""><td>S. enterica serovar Enteritidis</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	S. enterica serovar Enteritidis								
SJTUF 10978 / ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ SJTUF 10984 / ++++ + + + +++ +++ + + +++ + <td< td=""><td>ATCC 13076</td><td>1</td><td>++++</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td></td<>	ATCC 13076	1	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+
SJTUF 10984 / ++++ +	SJTUF 10978	/	++++	+	+++	+	++	++	+++
LK5-3820 / ++++ ++ ++ +++ <th< td=""><td>SJTUF 10984</td><td>1</td><td>++++</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>+</td></th<>	SJTUF 10984	1	++++	+	+	+	+	++	+
SGSC 4901 / ++++ + ++ ++	LK5-3820	1	++++	+	+	+	++	++	+++
S. enterica serovar Dublin	SGSC 4901	1	++++	++	+	+	+	++	+
3710 / ++++	S. enterica serovar Dublin								
	3,710	1	++++	_	_	_	_	_	+
3,723 / ++++	3,723	1	++++		_	+	+	_	_
S. enterica serovar Newport	S. enterica serovar Newport								
E20002725 / +++ - + - +	E20002725	1	+++	_	+	_	+	_	_
S. enterica serovar Paratyphi B	S. enterica serovar Paratyphi B								
CMCC 50094 / ++++ - + - + - +	CMCC 50094	1	++++	++	_	+	+	_	+
S. enterica Serovar Pullorum	S. enterica Serovar Pullorum								
CVCC 519 / ++++ - - - - +	CVCC 519	1	++++	_	_	_	_	_	+
S. enterica subsp. enterica	S. enterica subsp. enterica								
serovar Javiana	serovar Javiana								
CVM 35943 / ++++ - + - + - +	CVM 35943	1	++++	_	+	_	+	_	+
S. enterica subsp. enterica servorar Anatum	<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i> serovar Anatum								
ATCC 9270 / ++++ - + - +	ATCC 9270	/	++++	_	+	_	+	_	_
S. enterica subsp. enterica servorar Kentucky	S. enterica subsp. enterica serovar Kentucky								
CVM 29188 / ++++ + -	CVM 29188	1	++++	_	_	_	_	+	_
S. enterica subsp. arizonae	S. enterica subsp. arizonae								
CDC346-86 // ++++ + + -	CDC346-86	1	++++	+	_	_	_	+	_
Drug-resistance Salmonella	Drug-resistance Salmonella								
S. enterica serovar Typhimurium	<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium								
SA32 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	SA32 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CF	IL/CIP/SUL/SXT	++++	++	+	+	+	+	+
SA33 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT ++++ + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	SA33 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT		++++	+	++	_	+	++	+
SA34 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT ++++ + + + + + ++	SA34 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CF	IL/CIP/SUL/SXT	++++	+	+	+	+	+	++
SA35 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +++	SA35 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CF	IL/CIP/SUL/SXT	++++	++	++	+	+	++	+++
SA36 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CHL/CIP/SUL/SXT ++++ + ++ +++ ++ ++ ++	SA36 AMP/STR/GEN/KAN/CF	IL/CIP/SUL/SXT	++++	+	++	+++	++	++	+
S. enterica serovar Enteritidis +	S. enterica serovar Enteritidis	-		+			<u> </u>		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Bacterial strains	Drug- resistant	ISTP3	ISTP6	ISTP8	ISTP14	ISTP18	ISTP20	ISTP26
SA29 LVX/AMP/SXT		++++	+	+	+	_	+	+
SA30 CIP/LVX/AMP/SXT		++++	+	+	+	+	+++	+
S. enterica serovar Indiana								
SA27 CIP/LVX/AMP/SXT		++	+	+	+	++	++	+
SA28 CIP/LVX/AMP/SXT		++	+	+	+	+	+	+
S. enterica serovar Typhi								
SA31	CIP	++++	++	+	+	+	++	+
Other Gram-positive bacteria								
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ATCC 19115		-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC SD5221		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Gram-negative bacteria								
Escherichia coli BL21		_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Escherichia coli DH5α		-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Escherichia coli T10		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Escherichia coli C83715		_	_	-	_	-	-	-
Shigella flexneri CMCC 51572		-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Vibrio parahaemolyticus ATCC 17802		-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Vibrio parahaemolyticus ATCC 33846		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 7853		_	_	-	-	_	_	-

++++, complete clearing; +++, clearing throughout but with faintly hazy background; ++, substantial turbidity throughout the cleared zone; +, a few in individual plaques; -, no clearing. AMP, Ampicillin; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; LVX, Levofloxacin; STR, Streptomycin; GEN, Gentamicin; KAN, Kanamycin; CHL, Chloramphenicol; SUL, sulfonamide; and SXT, Sulfamethoxazole.

the LB medium was heated beforehand, and various temperatures ranging from 30° C to 80° C were maintained for 1 h. Subsequently, the phage lysate (at a concentration of 10^{8} PFU/mL) was mixed into the preheated medium and incubated for 30 and 60 min, respectively. To determine the pH stability of phage ISTP3, the phage lysate (10^{8} PFU/mL) was mixed with LB medium adjusted to different pH levels ranging from 2 to 13, followed by incubation at 37° C for 1 h. At the end of the incubation period, the number of PFU/mL recovered from the test samples was recorded.

2.9 Phage sequencing, genome annotation, and comparison

The DNA of phage ISTP3 was isolated and purified based on a previous study conducted by Islam et al. (2020a). Sequencing of ISTP3 was performed using the HiSeq platform from Illumina. The resulting reads were assembled using MicrobeTrakr plus (v0.9.1) software. To predict the open reading frames (ORFs) of ISTP3, three website tools,

namely RAST, GeneMarkS, and ORF finder, were applied. Gene annotation was carried out using BLASTP, Pfam, and CD searching against the NCBI nonredundant database and the conserved domain database (CDD). To identify antimicrobial resistant genes (ARGs) within the phage genome, the ResFinder 3.1 database from CGE² was used. Additionally, the VFDB database³ was used to identify any potential virulence factors present in the phage genome. The sequences of various phages were compared for whole genome similarities using BLASTN analysis at NCBI. A comparative circular genome map of the phage genome was created using the BRIG comparison tool. The terminase large subunit and the main capsid protein sequence of ISTP3 were compared with the corresponding sequences of related phages using MEGA7. The resulting tree was then enhanced using ITOL.

² https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/KmerFinder/

³ https://www.mgc.ac.cn/VFs/main.htm

2.10 Biological control of drug resistant *S. enterica* in foods

Iceberg lettuce (Lactuca sativa) and raw chicken breast were purchased from a grocery store located at Huzuan Agriculture University in Wuhan, China. The plastic packaging of the lettuce heads was thoroughly rinsed with 70% ethanol before being removed. Outer leaves of the lettuce were discarded, and using a sterile stainlesssteel scalpel, inner leaves were cut. Subsequently, 2 cm × 2 cm pieces of lettuce were cut on a sterile wooden tray. The outer surface of chicken breast samples was pull out by the sterilized knife, then cut meat into 2 cm³ cubes. Lettuce and chicken samples were confirming any bacteria absence before going for biocontrol test procedure inoculating on LA agar (Guo et al., 2021). Then all the samples were added by 10 µL of drug-resistant S. typhimurium SA32 the final concentration 4 log₁₀ CFU/sample and keep at room temperature in the laminar air flow hood for 10 min for bacteria to attach on the surface of food. Then, 10 µL of the phage aliquots were added using a micropipette at a final concentration of 6 log (MOI 100) and 7 log (MOI 1000) PFU/ sample. The control groups consisted of only bacteria and PBS administration. All samples were incubated for 12 h at either 4°C or 25°C. After the incubation period, bacterial samples were processed for enumeration at 1, 3, 6, and 12 h. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

2.11 Statistical analysis

The food model assays were conducted in triplicates, with two samples per treatment analyzed in each replicate. The mean values of the three replicates were reported, along with error bars indicating the standard deviation of the mean. Both bacterial and phage data were logarithmically transformed. To assess the effectiveness of phage treatment in reducing the number of viable drug-resistant *Salmonella* in the tested foods, the data from the phage treated samples were compared to the control samples treated with PBS. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 for Windows (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, United States), utilizing a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's test with a 95% confidence interval. Statistical significance was determined at a significance level of p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Phage isolation and characterization

A total of 29 phages were obtained from wastewater samples taken from a Chinese chicken farm, using drug-resistant *S. typhimurium* SA32 as the host bacterium. All the phages were subsequently tested to determine their efficacy in lysing the different *Salmonella* strains including drug-resistant strains. The results of host range showed that, among those phages, 7 out of 29 is about 24% of the isolates were able to lyse 100% of the *Salmonella* strains tested in this study (Table 2), while the rest were capable to lyse <80% tested strains. Among of them, one phage which showed high lytic activity against different *Salmonella* were selected and named as ISTP3 (Table 1). Spot tests results showed that phage ISTP3 had the highest host range compared to others 6 phages, this specific phage has demonstrated the ability to lyse every tested *Salmonella* strain in the study, encompassing a comprehensive representation. Nevertheless, none of the isolated phages exhibited the ability to cause the lysis of *E. coli* or any other tested gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Transmission electron microscope revealed that ISTP3 possessed an icosahedral head with 77 ± 3 nm in diameter, and accompanied by a contractile tail with a 112 ± 4 nm long (Figure 1B). The morphology suggested that ISTP3 belonged to family *Myoviridae*. Host range of the phage was studied using diverse *Salmonella* strains collected by our research group.

3.2 Characteristics of phage ISTP3

The characteristics of phage ISTP3 are shown in Figure 2. The results of adsorption rate of this phage was show in Figure 2A. About 52% of the phage ISTP3 was adsorbed with host bacteria after 5 min, and accelerated to 97% after 15 min. The one-step growth curve analysis of phage ISTP3 revealed a latent period of around 30 min, an eclipse period of approximately 20 min, and an average burst size of 137 ± 7 PFU (plaque-forming units) per cell (Figure 2B). Using the phage ISTP3 as a biocontrol agent in different food processing environment, the stability and feasibility required confirming during diverse stress conditions including pH and temperature. Stability of pH challenging of the ISTP3 exhibited that it is extremely stable between pH 4 to 12. Nonetheless, the phage titers exhibited a slight decline when the pH was less than 4. However, a reduction was observed at pH 13, with levels dropping to less than 1 log10 PFU/mL (Figure 2C). Interestingly, there was no significant reduce of titer of phage ISTP3 when this phage was kept at 30°C to 60°C for 1 h. The optimum temperature activity of phage was designate between 30°C and 50°C. The phage titers were lost by about 40 and 70% during incubated at 70°C and 80°C, respectively (Figure 2D). The capability of phage ISTP3 for survival in the extensive range of pH and temperatures conditions supports its ability for using in different processing environments and applications as a biocontrol agent for Salmonella.

3.3 Relative replication efficiency of phage ISTP3

According to Mirzaei et al., single dilution spot tests can yield misleading positive outcomes due to factors such as lysis from outside, presence of bacteriocins in the phage lysate, or the presence of prophages (Islam et al., 2020b). We conducted the EOP test to verify the host range of phage ISTP3 (Table 3). This particular phage demonstrated a significant ability, ranging from 0.5 to less than 1.0, to infect most strains of *S. typhimurium*. However, its effectiveness, as measured by the efficiency of plating (EOP) values, was moderate, ranging from 0.2 to less than 0.5, against certain *S. typhimurium* strains and all *S. enteritidis* strains. The phage demonstrates equal effectiveness against both drugresistant and non-resistant *Salmonella* strains. The EOP of the ISTP3 phage was examined on seven *Salmonella* strains that are resistant to drugs in our collection. We observed distinct plaques for all seven strains, with relative EOP values varying between 0.2

Phages	% of positive spot test against drug- resistant <i>Salmonella</i> serovars, <i>Salmonella</i> serovars Typhimurium and <i>Salmonella</i> serovars Enteritidis						
	Drug- resistant Salmonella (N = 10)	S. typhimurium (N = 7)	S. enteritidis (N = 5)				
ISTP1	50	57.1	40				
ISTP2	40	71.4	60				
ISTP3	100	100	100				
ISTP4	60	42.8	40				
ISTP5	70	71.4	60				
ISTP6	100	100	100				
ISTP7	50	71.4	60				
ISTP8	100	100	100				
ISTP9	30	85.7	60				
ISTP10	60	42.8	80				
ISTP11	70	71.4	40				
ISTP12	50	57.4	40				
ISTP13	40	85.7	40				
ISTP14	100	100	100				
ISTP15	60	85.7	20				
ISTP16	50	71.4	20				
ISTP17	70	71.4	20				
ISTP18	100	100	100				
ISTP19	50	71.4	40				
ISTP20	100	100	100				
ISTP21	80	57.4	40				
ISTP22	40	100	40				
ISTP23	30	71.4	60				
ISTP24	60	100	40				
ISTP25	70	71.4	60				
ISTP26	100	100	100				
ISTP27	50	57.1	60				
ISTP28	70	71.4	40				
ISTP29	50	57.1	60				

TABLE 2	Sensitivity of different Salmonella serovars and other bacterial
strains to	lyse by selected phages determined by spot testing.

and 1. The findings indicate that the phage ISTP3 can cause the lysis of various zoonotic *Salmonella* strains.

3.4 Genome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis

The entire genetic material of phage ISTP3 consists of a 156,121 bp long double-stranded DNA, with a G+C content of 44.78% (Figure 3). The genome sequence of ISTP3 exhibited significant similarity to *Salmonella* phage bering, with a coverage of 90% and an identification

rate of 97.28% (Supplementary Table S1). In the ISTP3 genome, a total of 204 open reading frames (ORFs) were found, as indicated in Supplementary Table S2. Out of these, 91 ORFs were determined to be responsible for encoding functional proteins, while the remaining 113 ORFs were found to encode proteins with unidentified functions. Within the group of 91 functional genes, 60 of them were associated with nucleic acid metabolism and DNA packaging, 14 were related to structural proteins other than tails, 4 were cleavage-related genes, and 13 were associated with tail-related proteins, as shown in Figure 3. In the gene annotation of phage ISTP3, we have identified a protein that possesses an Ig-like domain and functions as a tail-related tail fiber protein. This protein has been reported to have associations with phages and has the ability to bind to the human intestinal mucosa, aiding the body in its defense against infections. However, the precise role of the Ig-like protein in the interaction between the phage and the human immune system remains uncertain. The ISTP3 phage does not contain any known virulence or antibiotic resistance genes, indicating that it is a safe phage. Consequently, ISTP3 can be employed in various applications for the control of drug-resistant Salmonella in a safe manner.

Given that the structure and fundamental features of the large terminal enzyme subunit tend to be conserved in tail phages, it is expected that phages of the same genus will have clusters of their terminal large subunits. Due to the relative conservation, the major capsid proteins are also very suitable, and there is almost no evidence of horizontal exchange in the head gene region of the phage. The main capsid protein and the terminase large subunit of the phage ISTP3 were compared by BLASTP, and 100 sequences with higher homology were downloaded, and the MEGA7 software was used to select the branches with higher reliability for phylogenetic analysis. ISTP3 forms a same cluster with the main capsid protein and the terminase large subunit of *Salmonella* phage S117, GG32 and *E. coli* phage 4HA1 (Figure 4). According to International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) classification these four phages belonged to *Ackermannviridae*, which are very closely relationship in cluster.

3.5 Evaluating the efficacy of phage ISTP3 to reduce the drug resistant *S. typhimurium* in foods

It has been found that the phage ISTP3 could lyse the drugresistant *Salmonella* during the evaluation of host spectrum, so foods have been contaminated with drug-resistant *S. typhimurium* in the application experiments. The experimental findings regarding chicken breast are represented in Figures 5A,B. When compared to the control group, a notable decrease of 1.6 log CFU/cm² and 1.8 log CFU/cm² in viable drug-resistant *S. typhimurium* was observed after 12 h at 4°C, with MOIs of 100 and 1,000, respectively (Figure 5A). At a temperature of 25°C, the population of viable *S. typhimurium* decreased by 2.1 log CFU/cm² and 2.4 log CFU/cm² when exposed to MOIs of 100 and 1,000 respectively, following a 12 h incubation period (as shown in Figure 5B).

The antibacterial effectiveness of the ISTP3 phage in reducing drug-resistant *S. typhimurium* was investigated through artificial contamination of bacteria on the surface of lettuce. Phage ISTP3 demonstrated its effectiveness in reducing bacterial counts on lettuce samples when incubated at 4°C for 12 h. At MOIs of 100 and 1,000,





viable cell counts decreased by at least 1.1 log CFU/cm² and 1.5 log CFU/cm² respectively, as shown in Figure 5C. The application of a MOI of 100 and 1,000 resulted in a reduction of viable bacteria at 25° C

after 12h. Specifically, there was a decrease of $1.4 \log 10 \text{ CFU/cm}^2$ and $1.7 \log \text{CFU/cm}^2$, respectively, at the end of the 12h incubation period (as shown in Figure 5D).

TABLE 3	Efficiency	of plating	(EOP)	by p	phage	ISTP3	against	different
Salmone	lla serovar	s including	g drug	-res	istant	Salmo	nella.	

Bacterial strains	EOP by ISTP3	Drug- resistance Salmonella	EOP by ISTP3
<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium		<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium	
ATCC 14028	0.9	SA32	Host
ATCC 13311	0.8	SA33	0.6
UK-1	0.8	SA34	0.9
ST8	0.4	SA35	0.7
SGSC 4903	0.6	SA36	0.7
SL 1344	0.3	<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Enteritidis	
LT2	0.4	SA29	0.4
<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Enteritidis		SA30	0.3
ATCC 13076	0.4		
SJTUF 10978	0.35		
SJTUF 10984	0.3		
LK5-3820	0.45		
SGSC 4901	0.4		

High efficiency, EOP 0.5 to 1.0; moderate efficiency, EOP 0.2 to <0.5; low efficiency, 0.001 to <0.2; and inefficient, <0.001.

4 Discussion

Salmonella poses a significant challenge in terms of outbreaks of zoonotic pathogens within the food industry, impacting safety measures. While phage usage offers the key advantage of specificity towards its target bacteria, allowing non-target bacterial populations to remain undisturbed, phages are still capable of lysing the majority of strains belonging to a particular bacterial species (Almeida et al., 2009). Phage has been intended as a promising green technology for biocontrol of different foodborne pathogenic bacteria. We isolated 29 phages capable of lysing various strains of Salmonella, and during screening for effectiveness against different Salmonella serovars, phage ISTP3 demonstrated a broad host range activity by successfully lysing all tested Salmonella serovars and achieving complete clearing. Furthermore, bacteriophages exhibiting a broad host range, capable of lysing various serovars of pathogenic bacteria, including diverse strains, prove highly effective in the context of food and food processing environments. In our study, we assessed the host range of phage ISTP3 against various Salmonella serovars, including drugresistant strains. The results demonstrated that phage ISTP3 was able to lyse all 31 tested Salmonella strains, including 10 drug-resistant strains. These findings provide evidence of the potential of phage therapy in controlling drug-resistant zoonotic Salmonella, offering a promising solution for combating antibiotic-resistant strains. The significance of having a broad host range, which enables the phage to interact and replicate efficiently with various bacterial hosts, has been well established. This criterion is considered crucial in determining the suitability of a phage as a leading candidate in terms of its dynamics with host bacteria (Li et al., 2021). The categorical differentiation between various stages of the broad host range is not clearly explained. Recent studies by Dekel-Bird et al. (2015), Kauffman et al. (2018) focusing on newly isolated marine broad-host-range phage, as well as the examination of extreme environments through community-wide single-cell metagenomics by Munson-McGee et al. (2018), have provided evidence of the widespread presence of broad host range phages in natural environments, as supported by Brum et al. (2016), Paez-Espino et al. (2016). Host range analysis is a crucial factor when choosing lytic phages for potential utilization in the biocontrol purposes. Additionally, the EOP results indicate that phage ISTP3 demonstrated the capability to lyse not only its host but also all the tested *Salmonella* strains. In our research, we demonstrated that the tailed phage ISTP3 displayed a broad range of hosts, which may be attributed to the abundance or superior quality of *Salmonella* strains, including those resistant to drugs, coexisting with phage ISTP3 in various environments.

In this study, we selected the most virulent phage with the broadest host range, which is capable of lysing diverse zoonotic Salmonella strains within our collection, for potential biocontrol applications. Upon TEM examination, it was determined that phage ISTP3 belongs to the Caudovirales order and Ackermannviridae family. Other studies (Hooton et al., 2011; Yele et al., 2012; Sylwia et al., 2014; Novacek et al., 2016; Sunderland et al., 2017; Islam et al., 2019a) have suggested that phages within this family possess the potential to be used as biocontrol candidates against Salmonella. The process of phage adsorption, which involves the attachment of phage particles to host cells, is influenced by multiple factors such as the characteristics of the receptor, its position within the cell wall, ease of access, arrangement in space, as well as the abundance and concentration at different sites. Phage ISTP3 exhibits a shorter latent period and a larger burst during its one-step growth curve. These characteristics make it more advantageous for biocontrol purposes as it can effectively and quickly propagate, leading to the rapid elimination of the targeted bacterial population (Duc et al., 2020). The capacity of phages to serve as biocontrol agents across diverse environmental conditions is enhanced by their stability. In this study, the Salmonella phage ISTP3, isolated for evaluation, underwent incubation at various pH levels and temperatures to assess its ability to maintain infectivity. Phage ISTP3 demonstrated tolerated within a pH range of 4 to 12 for a duration of 60 min, as its viral titers remained largely unaffected. Previous research indicates that many phages have an optimal pH range of 6 to 8, although certain phages like T2 phage experience a 50% decline in infectivity between pH levels of 5 and 9 (Jończyk et al., 2011). On the other hand, the present study revealed that phage ISTP3 maintained its titer without any notable decrease when exposed to temperatures ranging from 30°C to 60°C for a period of 60 min.

Further genomic sequencing and bioinformatics analysis of phage ISTP3 confirmed that it is a member of the *Ackermannviridae* family, classified as a double-stranded DNA virus. However, upon examination, it was observed that the ISTP3 genome lacks any recognized lysogenic genes, which are vital criteria for a lytic phage to effectively target and eliminate pathogens. Additionally, no ORFs were detected that were linked to pathogenicity or the production of toxins, rendering the phage unsuitable for therapeutic purposes. These findings substantiate the potential of the ISTP3 phage for applications in bio-control and ensuring safety (Alves et al., 2020).

In the realm of managing *Enterobacteriaceae*, particularly *S. enterica*, which stands out as the most frequently encountered zoonotic microorganism, phages are commonly employed as a means



FIGURE 3

Genome map of phage ISTP3. ORFs set down in the clockwise or the counterclockwise direction. Structural proteins of phage, nucleic acid metabolism, cell lysis, and tail relative protein marked in yellow, green, red, and blue, respectively.





of control. Several studies have documented the identification and usage of lytic phages to combat prevalent foodborne pathogens, such as E. coli O157:H7 (Hudson et al., 2013), Salmonella (Guenther et al., 2012), Listeria monocytogenes (Bigot et al., 2011), Campylobacter (Loc Carrillo et al., 2005), Enterobacter sakazaki (Zuber et al., 2008), and Staphylococcus aureus (García et al., 2009). To our knowledge, there are very few studies to evaluate efficacy of phage to control drugresistant Salmonella in foods. In this study we used phage ISTP3 for efficiently reducing the drug resistant S. typhimurium SA32 in food matrices at 4°C or 25°C. When applied to chicken breast samples, phage ISTP3 exhibited a reduction of Salmonella by over 1.5 log at 4°C and over 2 log at 25°C within a 12h incubation period. Diverse studies have provided evidence of employing individual phages, such as LPST153, ZCSE2, ST-W77, BSP101, SI1, and S144, specifically to mitigate Salmonella contamination in food items (Fong et al., 2017; Bai et al., 2019; Gambino et al., 2020; Mohamed et al., 2020; Phothaworn et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2020a). Typically, increasing the MOI (multiplicity of infection) on food samples leads to a greater decrease in pathogens. Theoretically, using high phage titers would enhance the chances of phages interacting with the target bacteria. Numerous studies have shown the effectiveness of applying phages to meat under low-temperature conditions (Carter et al., 2012; Hudson et al., 2013). The successful inactivation of Salmonella at low temperatures and with high MOI values may be attributed to the mechanism known as lysis from without (LO), as proposed in previous research (David Tomat et al., 2018).

Additionally, the application of ISTP3 phage treatment has demonstrated a significant reduction in drug-resistant *S. enterica* on lettuce. After 12h of incubation at both 4°C and 25°C, viable cell counts decreased by approximately 1 to 1.8 log. The findings of the current study align with previous research evaluating the effectiveness of ECP-100 phage on fresh-cut lettuce. In a study conducted by Li et al. (2021), it was observed that the application of phage ECP-100 resulted in a reduction of bacterial populations by approximately 1.82 log CFU/cm². Complete eradication of bacteria through phage application may not be achieved. However, the significant reduction in viable bacterial counts following phage therapy suggests that it may lower the bacterial load below the infectious dose for individuals.

5 Conclusion

In this study, the phage ISTP3 was isolated and it is fully active at 60°C for 1 h which is defined as thermostable. This particular phage exhibited a wide host range and demonstrated the capacity to effectively lyse drug-resistant strains of *S. enterica*. ISTP3 did not contain any virulent genes, lysogenic, or drug-resistance which are make it safe for application on food matrices. Additionally, the phage ISTP3 achieved reductions of drug-resistant *S. enterica* on chicken breast and lettuce, making it an efficient biocontrol agent for food and food processing chain which may offer one of the safe and environmentally friendly approach. The phage treatment is optimizing

novel strategies for the control of drug-resistant *Salmonella* contamination on chicken and fresh produce and it could reduce the economic loss and burden of health associated with diverse outbreaks.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

MSI: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. IN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. FP: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Key R&D Program of Hubei Province

References

Alali, W. Q., Gaydashov, R., Petrova, E., Panin, A., Tugarinov, O., Kulikovskii, A., et al. (2012). Prevalence of salmonella on retail chicken meat in Russian Federation. *J. Food Prot.* 75, 1469–1473. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-080

Ali, S., Hassan, A., Hassan, G., Eun, C.-H., Bae, J., Lee, C. H., et al. (2018). Disposable all-printed electronic biosensor for instantaneous detection and classification of pathogens. *Sci. Rep.* 8:5920. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24208-2

Almeida, A., Cunha, A., Gomes, N. C. M., Alves, E., Costa, L., et al. (2009). Phage therapy and photodynamic therapy: low environmental impact approaches to inactivate microorganisms in fish farming plants. *Mar. Drugs* 7, 268–313. doi: 10.3390/md7030268

Alves, D., Cerqueira, M. A., Pastrana, L. M., and Sillankorva, S. (2020). Entrapment of a phage cocktail and cinnamaldehyde on sodium alginate emulsion-based films to fight food contamination by Escherichia coli and Salmonella Enteritidis. *Food Res. Int.* 128:108791. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108791

Bai, J., Jeon, B., and Ryu, S. (2019). Effective inhibition of Salmonella Typhimurium in fresh produce by a phage cocktail targeting multiple host receptors. *Food Microbiol.* 77, 52–60. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2018.08.011

Bigot, B., Lee, W. J., Mcintyre, L., Wilson, T., Hudson, J. A., Billington, C., et al. (2011). Control of Listeria monocytogenes growth in a ready-to-eat poultry product using a bacteriophage. *Food Microbiol.* 28, 1448–1452. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2011.07.001

Brum, J. R., Ignacio-Espinoza, J. C., Kim, E. H., Trubl, G., Jones, R. M., Roux, S., et al. (2016). Illuminating structural proteins in viral dark matter with metaproteomics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 2436–2441. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1525139113

Carter, C. D., Parks, A., Abuladze, T., Li, M., Woolston, J., Magnone, J., et al. (2012). Bacteriophage cocktail significantly reduces Escherichia coli O157: H7 contamination of lettuce and beef, but does not protect against recontamination. *Bacteriophage* 2, 178–185. doi: 10.4161/bact.22825

Chen, P. L., Li, C. Y., Hsieh, T. H., Chang, C. M., Lee, H. C., Lee, N. Y., et al. (2012). Epidemiology, disease spectrum and economic burden of non-typhoidal salmonella infections in Taiwan, 2006–2008. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 140, 2256–2263. doi: 10.1017/ S0950268812000088 (2022BBA0057-02), the National Key R&D Program of China (2019YFC1606001), National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFC2400500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 32170925), Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (KQTD20210811090115019), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (JCYJ2022081800807016), the startup fund of SIAT and CAS.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1260181/ full#supplementary-material

D'accolti, M., and Soffritti, I. (2021). Bacteriophages as a potential 360-degree pathogen control strategy. *Microorganisms* 9:261. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9020261

David Tomat, C. C., Aquili, V., Balagué, C., and Quiberoni, A. (2018). Evaluation of a novel cocktail of six lytic bacteriophages against Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in broth, milk and meat. *Food Microbiol.* 76, 434–442. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2018.07.006

De Oliveira Elias, S., Noronha, T. B., and Tondo, E. C. (2019). Salmonella spp. and Escherichia coli O157:H7 prevalence and levels on lettuce: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Food Microbiol.* 84:103217. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2019.05.001

Dekel-Bird, N. P., Sabehi, G., Mosevitzky, B., and Lindell, D. (2015). Host-dependent differences in abundance, composition and host range of cyanophages from the Red Sea. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 1286–1299. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12569

Dolejska, M., Villa, L., Hasman, H., Hansen, L., and Carattoli, A. (2012). Characterization of Inc N plasmids carrying Bla CTX-M-1 and qnr genes in Escherichia coli and salmonella from animals, the environment and humans. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68, 333–339. doi: 10.1093/jac/dks387

Duc, V. M., Nakamoto, Y., Fujiwara, A., Toyofuku, H., Obi, T., and Chuma, T. (2019). Prevalence of salmonella in broiler chickens in Kagoshima, Japan in 2009 to 2012 and the relationship between serovars changing and antimicrobial resistance. *BMC Vet. Res.* 15:108. doi: 10.1186/s12917-019-1836-6

Duc, H. M., Son, H. M., Yi, H. P. S., Sato, J., Ngan, P. H., Masuda, Y., et al. (2020). Isolation, characterization and application of a polyvalent phage capable of controlling salmonella and Escherichia coli O157:H7 in different food matrices. *Food Res. Int.* 131:108977. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2020.108977

Ehuwa, O., Jaiswal, A. K., and Jaiswal, S. (2021). Salmonella, food safety and food handling practices. *Foods* 10:907. doi: 10.3390/foods10050907

Finn, S., Condell, O., Mcclure, P., Amézquita, A., and Fanning, S. (2013). Mechanisms of survival, responses and sources of salmonella in low-moisture environments. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:331. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00331

Fister, S., Fuchs, S., Stessl, B., Schoder, D., Wagner, M., and Rossmanith, P. (2016). Screening and characterisation of bacteriophage P100 insensitive Listeria monocytogenes isolates in Austrian dairy plants. Food Control 59, 108-117. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.05.026

Fong, K., Labossiere, B., Switt, A. I. M., Delaquis, P., Goodridge, L., Levesque, R. C., et al. (2017). Characterization of four novel bacteriophages isolated from British Columbia for control of non-typhoidal salmonella in vitro and on sprouting alfalfa seeds. Front. Microbiol. 8:2193. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02193

Ford, L., Buuck, S., Eisenstein, T., Cote, A., Mccormic, Z. D., Kremer-Caldwell, S., et al. (2023). Salmonella outbreaks associated with not ready-to-eat breaded, stuffed chicken products – United States, 1998–2022. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep.* 72, 484–487. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7218a2

Gambino, M., Nørgaard Sørensen, A., Ahern, S., Smyrlis, G., and Gencay, Y. E. (2020). Phage S144, a new polyvalent phage infecting salmonella spp. and Cronobacter sakazakii. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:5196. doi: 10.3390/ijms21155196

García, R., Latz, S., Romero, J., Higuera, G., García, K., et al. (2019). Bacteriophage production models: an overview. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1187. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01187

García, P., Madera, C., Martínez, B., Rodríguez, A., and Evaristo Suárez, J. (2009). Prevalence of bacteriophages infecting Staphylococcus aureus in dairy samples and their potential as biocontrol agents. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92, 3019–3026. doi: 10.3168/jds.2008-1744

Gil Prieto, R., Alejandre, C. G., Meca, A. A., Barrera, V. H., and De Miguel, A. G. (2009). Epidemiology of hospital-treated salmonella infection; data from a national cohort over a 10-year period. *J. Infect.* 58, 175–181. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2009.01.002

Guenther, S., Herzig, O., Fieseler, L., Klumpp, J., and Loessner, M. J. (2012). Biocontrol of Salmonella Typhimurium in RTE foods with the virulent bacteriophage FO1-E2. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 154, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.12.023

Guo, Y., Li, J., Islam, M. S., Yan, T., Zhou, Y., Liang, L., et al. (2021). Application of a novel phage vB_SalS-LPSTLL for the biological control of salmonella in foods. *Food Res. Int.* 147:110492. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110492

Hooton, S. P., Timms, A. R., Rowsell, J., Wilson, R., and Connerton, I. F. (2011). Salmonella Typhimurium-specific bacteriophage Φ SH19 and the origins of species specificity in the Vi01-like phage family. *Virol. J.* 8, 1–14. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-498

Hudson, J. A., Billington, C., Cornelius, A. J., Wilson, T., On, S. L., et al. (2013). Use of a bacteriophage to inactivate Escherichia coli O157:H7 on beef. *Food Microbiol.* 36, 14–21. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2013.03.006

Hyla, K., Dusza, I., and Skaradzińska, A. (2022). Recent advances in the application of bacteriophages against common foodborne pathogens. *Antibiotics* 11:1536. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11111536

Islam, M. S., Hu, Y., Mizan, M. F. R., Yan, T., Nime, I., Zhou, Y., et al. (2020a). Characterization of salmonella phage LPST153 that effectively targets Most prevalent salmonella Serovars. *Microorganisms* 8:1089. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8071089

Islam, M. S., Raz, A., Liu, Y., Elbassiony, K. R. A., Dong, X., Zhou, P., et al. (2019a). Complete genome sequence of Aeromonas phage ZPAH7 with halo zones, isolated in China. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 8:e01678. doi: 10.1128/MRA.01678-18

Islam, M. S., Yang, X., Euler, C. W., Han, X., Liu, J., Hossen, M. I., et al. (2021). Application of a novel phage ZPAH7 for controlling multidrug-resistant Aeromonas hydrophila on lettuce and reducing biofilms. *Food Control* 122:107785. doi: 10.1016/j. foodcont.2020.107785

Islam, M. S., Zhou, Y., Liang, L., Nime, I., Liu, K., Yan, T., et al. (2019b). Application of a phage cocktail for control of salmonella in foods and reducing biofilms. *Viruses* 11:841. doi: 10.3390/v11090841

Islam, M. S., Zhou, Y., Liang, L., Nime, I., Yan, T., Willias, S. P., et al. (2020b). Application of a broad range lytic phage LPST94 for biological control of salmonella in foods. *Microorganisms* 8:247. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8020247

Jiang, Z., Anwar, T. M., Peng, X., Biswas, S., Elbediwi, M., Li, Y., et al. (2021). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of salmonella recovered from pig-borne food products in Henan. *China. Food Control* 121:107535. doi: 10.1016/j. foodcont.2020.107535

Jończyk, E., Kłak, M., Międzybrodzki, R., and Górski, A. (2011). The influence of external factors on bacteriophages – review. *Folia Microbiol.* 56, 191–200. doi: 10.1007/s12223-011-0039-8

Kauffman, K. M., Hussain, F. A., Yang, J., Arevalo, P., Brown, J. M., Chang, W. K., et al. (2018). A major lineage of non-tailed dsDNA viruses as unrecognized killers of marine bacteria. *Nature* 554, 118–122. doi: 10.1038/nature25474

Lebelo, K., Malebo, N., Mochane, M. J., and Masinde, M. (2021). Chemical contamination pathways and the food safety implications along the various stages of food production: a review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:5795. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph18115795

Lee, C., Kim, H., and Ryu, S. (2022). Bacteriophage and endolysin engineering for biocontrol of food pathogens/pathogens in the food: recent advances and future trends. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 63, 8919–8938. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2022.2059442

Li, J., Li, Y., Ding, Y., Huang, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2021). Characterization of a novel Siphoviridae salmonella bacteriophage T156 and its microencapsulation application in food matrix. *Food Res. Int.* 140:110004. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2020.110004

Loc Carrillo, C., Atterbury, R. J., El-Shibiny, A., Connerton, P. L., Dillon, E., et al. (2005). Bacteriophage therapy to reduce Campylobacter jejuni colonization of broiler

chickens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 6554–6563. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.71.11.6554-6563.2005

Mohamed, A., Taha, O., and El-Sherif, H. M. (2020). Bacteriophage ZCSE2 is a potent antimicrobial against Salmonella enterica Serovars: ultrastructure. *Genomics Efficacy. Viruses* 12:424. doi: 10.3390/v12040424

Mori, T., Okamura, N., Kishino, K., Wada, S., Zou, B., Nanba, T., et al. (2018). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of salmonella serotypes isolated from poultry meat in Japan. *Food Saf.* 6, 126–129. doi: 10.14252/foodsafetyfscj.2017019

Moye, Z. D., Woolston, J., and Sulakvelidze, A. (2018). Bacteriophage applications for food production and processing. *Viruses* 10:205. doi: 10.3390/v10040205

Muncke, J., Andersson, A.-M., Backhaus, T., Boucher, J. M., Carney Almroth, B., Castillo Castillo, A., et al. (2020). Impacts of food contact chemicals on human health: a consensus statement. *Environ. Health* 19:25. doi: 10.1186/s12940-020-0572-5

Munson-Mcgee, J. H., Peng, S., Dewerff, S., and Stepanauskas, R. (2018). A virus or more in (nearly) every cell: ubiquitous networks of virus-host interactions in extreme environments. *SME J.* 12, 1706–1714. doi: 10.1038/s41396-018-0071-7

Niemi, J. K., Heinola, K., Simola, M., and Tuominen, P. (2019). Salmonella control programme of pig feeds is financially beneficial in Finland. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 6:200. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00200

Novacek, J. M. Š., Benešík, M., Pantůček, R., Doškař, J., and Plevka, P. (2016). Structure and genome release of Twort-like Myoviridae phage with a double-layered baseplate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 9351–9356. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605883113

Owais, A., Sultana, S., Zaman, U., Rizvi, A., and Zaidi, A. K. (2010). Incidence of typhoid bacteremia in infants and young children in southern coastal Pakistan. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 29, 1035–1039. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181e39f8b

Paez-Espino, D., Eloe-Fadrosh, E. A., Pavlopoulos, G. A., Thomas, A. D., Huntemann, M., Mikhailova, N., et al. (2016). Uncovering Earth's virome. *Nature* 536, 425–430. doi: 10.1038/nature19094

Park, M., Lee, J. H., Shin, H., Kim, M., Choi, J., Kang, D. H., et al. (2012). Characterization and comparative genomic analysis of a novel bacteriophage, SFP10, simultaneously inhibiting both and O157:H7. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 58–69. doi: 10.1128/AEM.06231-11

Pelyuntha, W., Ngasaman, R., Yingkajorn, M., Chukiatsiri, K., Benjakul, S., and Vongkamjan, K. (2021). Isolation and characterization of potential salmonella Phages targeting multidrug-resistant and major Serovars of salmonella derived from broiler production chain in Thailand. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:662461. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.662461

Pem, D., and Jeewon, R. (2015). Fruit and vegetable intake: benefits and Progress of nutrition education interventions- narrative review article. *Iran. J. Public Health* 44, 1309–1321.

Phothaworn, P., Supokaivanich, R., Lim, J., Klumpp, J., Imam, M., Kutter, E., et al. (2020). Development of a broad-spectrum salmonella phage cocktail containing Viunalike and Jerseylike viruses isolated from Thailand. *Food Microbiol.* 92:103586. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2020.103586

Racine, E., and Gualtieri, M. (2019). From Worms to drug candidate: the story of Odilorhabdins, a new class of antimicrobial agents. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2893. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02893

Sharma, M. (2013). Lytic bacteriophages: potential interventions against enteric bacterial pathogens on produce. *Bacteriophage* 3:e25518. doi: 10.4161/bact.25518

Song, Y., Wang, F., Liu, Y., Song, Y., Zhang, L., Zhang, F., et al. (2020). Occurrence and characterization of salmonella isolated from chicken breeder flocks in nine Chinese provinces. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 7:479. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00479

Sunderland, K., Yang, M., and Mao, C. (2017). Phage-enabled nanomedicine: from probes to therapeutics in precision medicine. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 56, 1964–1992. doi: 10.1002/anie.201606181

Sylwia, P., Magdalena, K., Romuald, G., Lidia, M., and Anna, M. (2014). Bacteriophages as an alternative strategy for fighting biofilm development. *Pol. J. Microbiol.* 63, 137–145. doi: 10.33073/pjm-2014-019

Thung, T. Y., Radu, S., Mahyudin, N. A., Rukayadi, Y., Zakaria, Z., Mazlan, N., et al. (2017). Prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of salmonella Serovars from retail beef in Selangor. *Malaysia. Front. Microbiol.* 8:2697. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2017.02697

Totten, K. M. C., and Patel, R. (2022). Phage activity against planktonic and biofilm Staphylococcus aureus Periprosthetic joint infection isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 66:e0187921. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01879-21

Wessels, K., Rip, D., and Gouws, P. (2021). Salmonella in chicken meat: consumption, outbreaks, characteristics, current control methods and the potential of bacteriophage use. *Foods* 10:1742. doi: 10.3390/foods10081742

Yang, X., Wu, Q., Huang, J., Wu, S., Zhang, J., Chen, L., et al. (2020). Prevalence and characterization of salmonella isolated from raw vegetables in China. *Food Control* 109:106915. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106915

Yang, X., Wu, Q., Zhang, J., Huang, J., Chen, L., Wu, S., et al. (2019). Prevalence, bacterial load, and antimicrobial resistance of salmonella serovars isolated from retail meat and meat products in China. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2121. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02121

Yele, A. B., Thawal, N. D., Sahu, P. K., and Chopade, B. A. (2012). Novel lytic bacteriophage AB7-IBB1 of Acinetobacter baumannii: isolation, characterization

and its effect on biofilm. Arch. Virol. 157, 1441-1450. doi: 10.1007/s00705-012-1320-0

Zaki, S. A., and Karande, S. (2011). Multidrug-resistant typhoid fever: a review. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 5, 324–337. doi: 10.3855/jidc.1405

Zhang, Y., Zou, G., Islam, M. S., Liu, K., Xue, S., Song, Z., et al. (2023). Combine thermal processing with polyvalent phage LPEK22 to prevent the Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica contamination in food. *Food Res. Int.* 165:112454. doi: 10.1016/j. foodres.2022.112454

Zhou, K., Sun, L., Zhang, X., Xu, X., Mi, K., Ma, W., et al. (2023). Salmonella antimicrobials inherited and the non-inherited resistance: mechanisms and alternative therapeutic strategies. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1176317. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1176317

Zuber, S., Boissin-Delaporte, C., Michot, L., Iversen, C., Diep, B., Brüssow, H., et al. (2008). Decreasing Enterobacter sakazakii (Cronobacter spp.) food contamination level with bacteriophages: prospects and problems. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 1, 532–543. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2008.00058.x