Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Dwayne R. Roach, San Diego State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Louie Wurch, James Madison University, United States Zhigang Qiu, Tianjin Institute of Environmental and Operational Medicine, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jesse Cahill ⊠ jcahill@sandia.gov

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 02 August 2023 ACCEPTED 15 September 2023 PUBLISHED 18 October 2023

#### CITATION

Humphrey B, Mackenzie M, Lobitz M, Schambach JY, Lasley G, Kolker S, Ricken B, Bennett H, Williams KP, Smallwood CR and Cahill J (2023) Biotic countermeasures that rescue Nannochloropsis gaditana from a Bacillus safensis infection. Front. Microbiol. 14:1271836. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271836

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Humphrey, Mackenzie, Lobitz, Schambach, Lasley, Kolker, Ricken, Bennett, Williams, Smallwood and Cahill. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Biotic countermeasures that rescue Nannochloropsis gaditana from a Bacillus safensis infection

Brittany Humphrey<sup>1†</sup>, Morgan Mackenzie<sup>1†</sup>, Mia Lobitz<sup>1</sup>, Jenna Y. Schambach<sup>1</sup>, Greyson Lasley<sup>1</sup>, Stephanie Kolker<sup>1</sup>, Bryce Ricken<sup>1</sup>, Haley Bennett<sup>1</sup>, Kelly P. Williams<sup>2</sup>, Chuck R. Smallwood<sup>1</sup> and Jesse Cahill<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Department of Molecular and Microbiology, Albuquerque, NM, United States, <sup>2</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Department of Systems Biology, Livermore, CA, United States

The natural assemblage of a symbiotic bacterial microbiome (bacteriome) with microalgae in marine ecosystems is now being investigated as a means to increase algal productivity for industry. When algae are grown in open pond settings, biological contamination causes an estimated 30% loss of the algal crop. Therefore, new crop protection strategies that do not disrupt the native algal bacteriome are needed to produce reliable, high-yield algal biomass. Bacteriophages offer an unexplored solution to treat bacterial pathogenicity in algal cultures because they can eliminate a single species without affecting the bacteriome. To address this, we identified a highly virulent pathogen of the microalga Nannochloropsis gaditana, the bacterium Bacillus safensis, and demonstrated rescue of the microalgae from the pathogen using phage. 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing showed that phage treatment did not alter the composition of the bacteriome. It is widely suspected that the algal bacteriome could play a protective role against bacterial pathogens. To test this, we compared the susceptibility of a bacteriome-attenuated N. gaditana culture challenged with B. safensis to a N. gaditana culture carrying a growth-promoting bacteriome. We showed that the loss of the bacteriome increased the susceptibility of N. gaditana to the pathogen. Transplanting the microalgal bacteriome to the bacteriomeattenuated culture reconstituted the protective effect of the bacteriome. Finally, the success of phage treatment was dependent on the presence of beneficial bacteriome. This study introduces two synergistic countermeasures against bacterial pathogenicity in algal cultures and a tractable model for studying interactions between microalgae, phages, pathogens, and the algae microbiome.

KEYWORDS

phage therapy, crop protection, microalgae, microbiome, transplant

## Introduction

Microalgal bacterial communities (hereafter referred to as bacteriomes) coexist within the algal phycosphere in most ecosystems (Sapp et al., 2007; Seymour et al., 2017). Attempts to cultivate a bacteria-free or axenic microalgal monoculture have been shown to be unsuccessful and negatively impact growth (Droop and Elson, 1966; Jones et al., 1973) or the stability of cultures (Godwin et al., 2018). The bacteriome is selected and sustained on photosynthetic exudates produced by microalgae, and in return, bacterial community members exchange beneficial byproducts for the microalgae (Kazamia et al., 2012; Barry et al., 2016). For

example, the bacteriome can stimulate the growth of specific microalgae by producing growth phytohormones or supplying limiting nutrients, such as a B-vitamin or fixed nitrogen (Mönnich et al., 2020; Mars Brisbin et al., 2022). Conversely, phycosphere bacteria manipulate microalgae by secreting algicidal molecules that selectively kill certain species or by competing for essential nutrients. However, the stability, specificity, and currencies of chemical exchange between microalgae and the established bacteriome require further characterization (Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020).

Marine microalgae are a diverse group of photosynthetic microorganisms capable of producing high-value renewable products from minimal inputs such as seawater, micronutrients, light, and CO<sub>2</sub> (Sheehan et al., 1998; Dismukes et al., 2008; Schenk et al., 2008; Davis et al., 2011; Gimpel et al., 2013). Algal biotechnology relies heavily on bioprospecting for strains with high biomass and lipid productivities and/or genetic manipulation to maintain and/or enhance these traits in industrial settings. Despite advancements with these strategies, production target goals have still not been met in large part due to inconsistencies in production pipelines (Krishnan et al., 2021; Wiatrowski and Davis, 2021). For example, raceway pond systems are cost-effective for large-scale microalgal production; however, these open systems are prone to pathogens and pests. As much as 30% of crops are lost to biological contamination (McBride et al., 2014). Despite their longstanding pervasiveness, the ways in which bacterial pathogens cause algal pond collapse are not well understood (Brussaard, 2004; Cheng et al., 2004; Pei et al., 2007). Current methods to control bacterial infections in large-scale microalgal systems are limited to nonspecific treatment strategies. Many of them, like antibiotics, are toxic to the environment and lead to drug resistance. Consequently, the use of antibiotics to treat pond crashes will alter or destroy the bacteriome, resulting in reduced microalgal growth (Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020) and will likely leave cultures more susceptible to other harmful pathogens or pests (Fisher et al., 2019).

Nannochloropsis spp. are eukaryotic marine microalgae that have emerged as a leading microalgal platform for the production of high-value petroleum replacement products and nutraceuticals such as omega-3 fatty acids (Liu et al., 2017; Zanella and Vianello, 2020). Previous research showed that the bacteriome of Nannochloropsis spp. promotes algal growth (Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020) and is protective against rotifer infection (Fisher et al., 2019). A recent study identified a common soil bacterium, Bacillus pumilus, that inhibits the growth of Nannochloropsis spp. (Fulbright et al., 2016). Bacillus spp. are not known to be part of the native N. gaditana microbiome (Schambach et al., 2020). However, Bacillus spp. show lytic activity against multiple microalgae (Sheehan et al., 1998; McBride et al., 2014; Mars Brisbin et al., 2022). The lack of countermeasures available for addressing bacterial pathogens in microalgae motivated us to explore the use of phages in microalgal systems. Unlike antibiotics, phages are capable of species-specific targeting (de Jonge et al., 2019); thus, phage treatment would be expected to precisely reduce or eliminate the targeted pathogen without affecting the bacteriome, leaving its growth-promoting function intact. As a proof-of-concept, we tested whether N. gaditana can be rescued from a Bacillus infection (Fulbright et al., 2016) in cultures

seeded with phage Leo2 (Badran et al., 2018) while monitoring the dynamics of the bacteriome during phage rescue. We then tested if the bacteriome itself is protective against *Bacillus* infection and whether the phage and algal bacteriome work together to ward off *Bacillus* pathogenicity to microalgae.

### Materials and methods

# Microalgae, bacteria, and phages used in this study

*N. gaditana* CCMP526 (*N. gaditana* hereafter) was obtained from Dr. Matthew Posewitz at the Colorado School of Mines in Golden, Colorado. *Bacillus safensis* was originally identified as *Bacillus pumilus* by 16S rRNA sequencing (Badran et al., 2018). Herein, whole-genome sequencing showed that this isolate was *B. safensis*. *B. safensis* and *Bacillus* phage Leo2 were kindly provided by Badran et al. (2018).

#### Algal cultivation

Ν. gaditana stock cultures maintained were photoautotrophically in a Percival incubator (Percival Scientific, United States) at 25°C, under 215  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> warm white LED light at 4000K color with a 12-h diurnal cycle. Stock cultures were continuously mixed on an orbital shaker at 150 rpm. Initial cultures were seeded into 4-ml F2N•NO3 media from F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> plates. The F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> media used for subculturing (supplementation of marine broth described below) was F2N•NO3 and consisted of the following components: 34.12 g/L Crystal Sea Oceanic Sea Salt mix, 10 mM Tris HCl, 724 µM (100 mg/L) NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 mM (2.16 g/L) NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.0045 µM (0.76 mg/L) MnSO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.00015 µM (0.0365 mg/L), Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.0004 µM (0.115 mg/L) ZnSO2•7 H2O, 0.00025 µM (0.07 mg/L) CoSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.0002 µM (0.034 mg/L) CuCl<sub>2</sub> •2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.0585 µM  $(0.023 \text{ g/L}), \text{ Fe}(\text{NH}_4)_2(\text{SO}_4)_2 \bullet 6\text{H}_2\text{O}, 0.0585 \,\mu\text{M} (0.022 \,\text{g/L})$ Na2EDTA•H2O, 1.899  $\mu M$  (675  $\mu g/L)$  Cobalamin (B12), 0.51  $\mu M$  $(125 \,\mu g/L)$  Biotin,  $8.15 \,\mu M$   $(2.75 \,mg/L)$  Thiamine HCl. Vitamin stocks were prepared in 50-mM HEPES buffer. F2N•NO3 was filter-sterilized with a 0.2-micron Nalgene Rapid-Flow Sterile Vacuum Filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). Stock cultures were routinely passaged in F2N•NO3 liquid media during mid-log phase growth.

# Preparation of *N. gaditana* for exposure to bacteria and phages

*N. gaditana* was cultivated in 50-ml F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> until mid-log phase (~10<sup>7</sup> cells/mL) in 250-ml baffled flasks before experiments. For the subsequent experiments, 50 ml of subcultures were centrifuged at 7,000 × g for 10 min and resuspended in 20 ml of marine broth-supplemented media to achieve a cell density of

 $\sim 5 \times 10^6$  cells/mL in 125-ml baffled flasks. The marine brothsupplemented media consisted of 1:4 v:v Difco Marine Broth 2216 (BD Diagnostics) and F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> (MB/F2N•NO<sub>3</sub>).

# Preparation of *Bacillus* for exposure to microalgal cultures

A single colony of *Bacillus safensis* was inoculated in 4 ml of Luria Broth (LB) and grown overnight at 30°C on an orbital shaker at 200 rpm. The next day, *B. safensis* was subcultured 1:100 in 50-ml LB media in a baffled flask and incubated at 30°C with aeration to an OD of 1.3 ( $\sim 8 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml). The subculture was transferred to a 50-ml conical tube and centrifuged at 8,000 × *g* for 7 min at room temperature. The pellet was washed twice in 1:4 v/v MB/F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> before diluting to the desired multiplicity of infection (MOI = cell ratio of *Bacillus:Nannochloropsis*). In total, 100 µl of diluted cells or 100 µl of 1:4 v/v MB/F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> media were introduced into 20 ml of cultures seeded with 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> *N. gaditana* cells.

## Phage stock maintenance and preparation of Leo2 for exposure to microalgal cultures

Leo2 lysates were produced by mixing  $\sim 1 \times 10^6$  plaqueforming units (PFU) with 100 µl of B. safensis culture, inoculated into molten LB agar (0.6% agar, supplemented with 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), and plated onto LB agar plates. After overnight incubation, 5 ml of SM buffer (Teknova, United States) was added to each plate and agitated by a rotary shaker at room temperature for  $\sim$ 1 h. The SM/top agar mixture was scraped into 50-ml conical tubes, vortexed for 10-15 s, and then centrifuged for 30 min at 5,000  $\times$  g, at 4°C. The supernatant was filter sterilized and then titered as described below. To prepare Leo2 for phage treatment experiments, 5-10 ml was dialyzed twice in 2 L of 34 g/L Crystal Sea (Marine Enterprises International) using Spectra pre-wetted RC membranes (Repligen part # 132544). After dialysis, the Leo2 suspension was filter sterilized, and 65 µl of phage or sterile crystal sea (control) was added to cultures at a final concentration of  $\sim 5 \times 10^7$  PFU/ml.

#### Bacteriome-attenuated N. gaditana culture

The bacteriome-intact and bacteriome-attenuated cultures were propagated from a parent culture of *N. gaditana* that was passaged once on an antibiotic plate containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 30 µg/ml chloramphenicol, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 100 µg/ml tetracycline (Schambach et al., 2020). Two daughter cultures were propagated separately after this treatment, 1 year prior to the start of the current study. During that time, one culture (denoted  $Ng^{MB+}$ ) spontaneously recruited a new microbiome. For each experiment, we confirmed that  $Ng^{MB-}$  was bacteriomeattenuated by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. We also confirmed that  $Ng^{MB-}$  did not produce bacterial colonies when 10 µl of samples were spread on MB and LB plates, even after >1-week incubation.

## *N. gaditana* bacteriome transplant using fluorescence-activated cell sorting

The BD FACSAria Fusion cell sorting flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) was used to sort bacteriome members from the microalgae cells using a 70-µm nozzle at 70 psi. In addition, the 1.0 neutral density filter was inserted into the beam path to allow simultaneous visualization of the whole microalgae and bacteria populations. Subpopulations of the microalgae and bacterial cells were defined by their relative size and microalgal chlorophyll fluorescence in the PE-Cy5 (Ex: 561 nm, Em: 710/50) channel. N. gaditana cultures grown to  $\sim 5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml were then sorted into two tubes with a flow rate of  $\sim$ 7,000 cells/min until 5 ml of culture was sorted. Microalgae cells with chlorophyll and aggregate bacterial cells without chlorophyll were collected into two separate tubes. After sorting, separate microalgae and bacterial cells were gently centrifuged (5,000  $\times$  g) for 5 min, washed, and resuspended in F2N•NO<sub>3</sub> twice before final resuspension (below). The transplanted bacteriome cultures were then checked for the correct size and chlorophyll content before mixing with pelleted microbiome-attenuated microalgae from a 5-ml culture to match a similar ratio to the parent culture. The transplanted bacteriomemicroalgae mixture was resuspended in 5 ml of F2N•NO3 media and grown at 25°C under 215  $\mu mol~m^{-2}~s^{-1}$  warm white LED light at 4000 K color with a 12-h diurnal cycle. Subsequently, 10 µl of the cell-sorted bacterial fraction were plated on F<sub>2</sub>N•NO<sub>3</sub> and then grown for 21 days under the conditions described above. No microalgal growth was observed in this medium indicating successful sorting of microalgae from bacteria.

# Sampling and measuring *N. gaditana* cell density

Samples were collected on the days indicated for each experiment. 240  $\mu$ l aliquots were taken from each flask, with half the volume used to titer bacteria and the remainder used to titer phages (described below). An additional 50  $\mu$ l of culture was taken from each flask for daily cell counts, and diluted as needed using media. On the indicated days, 667  $\mu$ l of culture was taken from each flask, and replicates were pooled for DNA extraction and 16S sequencing.

Daily cell counting employed the BD FACSAria Fusion cell sorter using a 70  $\mu$ m nozzle and a 1.0 neutral density filter. Samples were run for 15 s each, total events were collected, and the microalgae population was gated using the chlorophyll autofluorescence signal detected by the PE-Cy5 channel. To convert between total events and approximate cell density in cells/ml, semi-daily flow rates were measured using water and calculated in ml using the following equation: Cytometer flow rate = (W<sub>i</sub> – W<sub>f</sub>) – 0.0287, where W<sub>i</sub> is the initial weight of 1 ml of water, W<sub>f</sub> is the final weight of the water after 5 min of uptake by the cell sorter, and 0.0287 is correcting for the initial uptake of sample inherent to

the system. On average, the flow rate was 0.27  $\mu$ L/s. The microalgal cell density could then be calculated using the following equation: Cell density (cells/ml) = (GE)/(CFR \* t), where GE is the number of gated events, CFR is the cytometer flow rate in ml/s, and t is the time in seconds the samples were run.

#### Titering phages and Bacillus

Phage-containing samples were centrifuged for 2 min at 18,000  $\times$  g to separate phages from live cells. Bacterial lawns were formed by mixing 100 µl of B. safensis with 4 ml of 0.8-1.0% molten top agar and immediately plating on an LB plate. Phage titering was performed using the standard double-layer agar method. Typically, 100 µl of phage suspensions was serially diluted in 900 µl of SM buffer, and 10 µl of the dilutions was spotted on the lawn in triplicate. After overnight incubation at 30°C, single plaques from the dilution containing the highest number of countable plaques were enumerated. The titer of B. safensis in microalgae cultures was determined by viable plate counts on LB. We found that after one day of incubation, LB was selective for B. safensis, i.e., the plating conditions did not support the growth of the microalgal bacteriome members. 100 µl of samples was withdrawn from microalgae cultures and diluted in 900 µl LB in serial increments, and 10  $\mu$ l droplets from each dilution was spotted on LB plates in triplicates. After overnight incubation at 30°C, single colonies from the dilution containing the highest number of countable colonies were enumerated.

#### Microalgal bacteriome 16S sequencing

#### DNA extraction and quantification

Microalgal microbiome samples collected for 16S sequencing were processed using the MP Biomedical FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (SKU 116560200-CF). Extracted gDNA was stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until processing and outsourcing for 16S sequencing. Samples collected for 16S rRNA gene sequencing were quantitated with either a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Life Sciences) using the High Sensitivity (HS) DNA assay (Catalog Number Q33231) or a NanoDrop One Fluorospectrometer (ThermoFisher) to quantify the concentration of genomic DNA.

#### Sequencing

Sequencing was conducted by Zymo Research Corporation and their ZymoBIOMIC 16S sequencing service. The ZymoBIOMIC 16S rRNA gene primers (forward CCTACGGGGNGGCWGCAG, reverse GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) were used to amplify the V3–V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene. The targeted library preparation, sequencing, and bioinformatics analysis were performed as described previously (Whitehead et al., 2022). We have reported the normalized relative abundance of each distinct operational taxonomic unit (OTU) with and without chloroplast sequences, as indicated in the results.

#### B. safensis whole-genome sequencing

*B. safensis* gDNA was purified using the MP Biomedical FastDNA Spin Kit (described above) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and 500 ng of sample was sequenced by Azenta Life Sciences (MA, United States) using the Illumina MiSeq platform ( $2 \times 250$  bp). After demultiplexing, paired-end read files (nominally for 250 bp at each end) had 667,491 entries for read 1 and 848,505 entries for read 2. Their assembly was performed using SPAdes v3.9.0 in default mode. Scaffolds below 500 bp were rejected, and a large gap was noted among the remaining scaffolds for coverage values; the 13 scaffolds with coverage of 53 or higher were retained, while the remaining 92 had coverage less than 2, were shorter than 1,300 bp, and were rejected. The final 13-scaffold assembly totaled 3.677 kbp with an N50 of 864564.

### Results

# Bacillus safensis: a highly virulent pathogen of *N. gaditana*

To test whether phages can be used to eliminate pathogens from microalgal bacteriomes, we chose N. gaditana as a model system based on a recent study showing that B. pumilus inhibits the growth of Nannochloropsis spp. (Fulbright et al., 2016). We could not obtain the B. pumilus isolate used in that report and therefore chose to work with a different Bacillus isolate. We began by characterizing its virulence to N. gaditana. Surprisingly, every multiplicity of infection (MOI = cell ratio of Bacillus to N. gaditana) tested showed reduced algal cell count over time (Figure 1A) (MOI: 0.001, 0.005, 0h.01) or caused a sharp decrease, or "crash," in the N. gaditana cell count over time (MOI: 0.05, 0.1, 1). Figure 1B shows that when Bacillus-infected cultures were seeded at the reported multiplicities, the titer of *Bacillus* in cultures reached  $\sim 1 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml by day 1. Notably, the titer abruptly declined  $\sim$ 1–3 log on day 2, with reductions proportionate to the starting inoculum, i.e., the lowest MOI showed the greatest decrease (Figure 1B). After this period, the titer of Bacillus in each culture remained relatively stable until the end of the experiment.

To understand why our Bacillus isolate showed pathogenicity at  $\sim$ 4 log lower doses than previously reported, we performed wholegenome sequencing and assembly to investigate strain or specieslevel differences. The genome was compared to all 65,703 species representative genomes from GTDB release 207 (Parks et al., 2022) using our script Speciate (Mageeney et al., 2023). Average nucleotide identity (ANI) values above 90% were obtained for only six of the species representatives, all from the genus Bacillus. The highest ANI (98.91%, with an alignment fraction of 95.84%) was found for B. safensis, well within the cutoff of 95% ANI that GTDB had set for each of these candidate species. The next highest ANI (93.16% for B. australimaris) was well below this cutoff, and the remaining candidates (including *B. pumilis* and *B. pumilis\_N*) had ANI values under 92%. These data unambiguously indicated that this isolate was Bacillus safensis. B. safensis has not been reported to be pathogenic to microalgae but is closely related to B. pumilus (Branquinho et al., 2014).



# Phage rescue of *N. gaditana* cultures infected with *Bacillus safensis*

The above findings identified a range of starting concentrations of B. safensis that were pathogenic to N. gaditana. Three multiplicities (MOI 0.1, 1, and 10) were selected to test whether cultures could be rescued by phage Leo2 (Badran et al., 2018). Each N. gaditana culture that was inoculated with both B. safensis and Leo2 showed comparable growth to the untreated control microalgae, whereas the Bacillus-infected cultures lacking phage began to crash within the first 2 days (Figure 2A). We also monitored the Leo2 titer in the supernatant throughout the experiment and found that it was proportionate to the starting inoculum of B. safensis (Figures 2B, C). As with B. safensis, the titer of Leo2 in cultures was highest on day 1 (Figure 2C); however, it was relatively stable for 6 days, after which there were 1-4 log decreases in the titer by day 10. As observed in Figure 1, the titer of B. safensis in N. gaditana cultures lacking phage increased to  $\sim 1 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml on day 1 and decreased afterward (Figure 2B). Cultures treated with Leo2 showed a 3log reduction in the B. safensis titer on day 1. Taken together, these data show that phage can rescue N. gaditana cultures from a B. safensis infection.

Given the importance of the bacteriome for microalgae growth (Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020), we next investigated whether the phage rescue altered the balance of the bacteriome relative to controls lacking phage or B. safensis. We extracted DNA from each group of cultures at days 0, 3, 6, 10, and 13 and used 16S rRNA sequencing to monitor the relative abundance of members over time. 18 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of the bacteriome were identified; 14 were resolved to the genus level, 3 were identified at the family level (Phycisphaeraceae, Rhodobiaceae, and Rhodobacteraceae), and 1 OTU from Gammaproteobacteria was not identified beyond its class. By OTU counts, the most abundant genera, or "core" members, were Thalassospira, Marinobacter, Oceanicaulis, Robiginitalea, Nitratireductor, Hoeflea, and Sulfitobacter. The less abundant genera were Limnobacter and Methylophaga. B. safensisinfected cultures showed a reduced OTU ratio for Thalassospira and *Nitratireductor* and an increased ratio for *Oceanicaulis* relative to the *N. gaditana* control (Figure 2D, Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1).

The OTU ratios for the lowest MOI culture (0.1) treated with phage were similar to the *N. gaditana* control until day 13, when a higher ratio of *Thalassospira* was observed relative to the *Bacillus*treated, phage-free culture. However, the residual DNA from Leo2's host present in the phage lysates contributes to the apparently increased *Bacillus* ratio since it is also detected in the *Bacillus*-free Leo2-seeded control (Figure 2D, Ng +Leo2 set). Since *N. gaditana* is reported to have one chloroplast per cell (Hamidi et al., 2014), we used chloroplast 16S sequences to gauge the relative abundance of the bacteriome vs. the microalgae (Supplementary Figure 1), which shows high similarity between phage-rescued and control cultures.

# The role of the algal bacteriome for pathogen resilience

The 16S rRNA sequencing data showed a steep reduction in B. safensis OTUs over time relative to the bacteriome members, even in the absence of Leo2 (Figure 2D, Supplementary Table 1), i.e., by day 3, B. safensis was only the sixth most abundant OTU for the phage-free cultures inoculated at MOI 0.1 and MOI 1. This suggested that the bacteriome itself may be acting antagonistically to the B. safensis infection. To address this, we compared the susceptibility of N. gaditana cultures with an attenuated bacteriome to the control (bacteriome-intact) culture. The attenuation was done by passaging N. gaditana once on antibiotics (see Methods section) one year prior to these experiments. Additionally, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to move the bacteriome from the intact culture and restore it to the attenuated culture. We have denoted the three cultures as  $Ng^{MB+}$ (microbiome intact), Ng<sup>MB-</sup> (attenuated), and Ng<sup>MBTP</sup> (transplant) (Supplementary Figure 2). The antibiotic treatment produced a near-axenic culture and removed most of the bacteriome, whereas the transplant procedure successfully restored the bacteriome (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 2). The



three cultures were challenged with *B. safensis* at an MOI of 0.0001, selected based on data from Figure 1. Both the transplant and the control culture survived the low-MOI infection (Figure 3A), and as expected, the bacteriome-attenuated culture crashed in the presence of *B. safensis*. Notably, the titer of *B. safensis* in these cultures over the duration of the experiment was dramatically different, depending on the presence of a bacteriome (Figure 3B). The transplant and control cultures showed a 4–5 log reduction in the *B. safensis* titer after day 1, whereas the titer of *B. safensis* in the  $Ng^{MB-}$  culture was persistently high, at 1 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml or greater.

# Phage rescue depends on the presence of the algal bacteriome

Given the importance of algal bacteriomes shown here and elsewhere (Kazamia et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2022), it was necessary to determine if phage treatment would be as effective in the bacteriome-attenuated culture. To test this, we infected  $Ng^{MB+}$  and  $Ng^{MB-}$  cultures with *B. safensis* at an MOI of 0.001 with and without phage. As expected,  $Ng^{MB+}$  and  $Ng^{MB-}$  crashed after exposure to *B. safensis*, whereas the uninfected (control) cultures exhibited normal growth (Figure 4A). Surprisingly, phage supplementation rescued the  $Ng^{MB+}$  culture but failed to rescue  $Ng^{MB-}$ . The titer of *B. safensis* for  $Ng^{MB-}$  was comparable to phage-untreated cultures that were infected with *B. safensis*. In contrast, the  $Ng^{MB+}$  showed a 4-5 log reduction by day 5 (Figure 4B). Despite the differences in *B. safensis* titer and microalgae growth, the titer of free phage in  $Ng^{MB+}$  and  $Ng^{MB-}$  cultures was comparable (Figure 4C). As observed before, the OTU profile for  $Ng^{MB+}$  (control) cultures was comparable to that of phagerescued  $Ng^{MB+}$  cultures (Figure 4D, Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Table 3). *B. safensis* colonies that were isolated from  $Ng^{MB-}$  cultures were resistant to Leo2 infection (data not shown).

### Discussion

# A mixotrophic model for bacterial pathogenicity

Many outdoor pond production systems assume photoautotrophic growth but are exposed to weather and ecological factors that turn ponds into mixotrophic systems with fluctuating nutrient inputs. We surmise that mixotrophic conditions are more common than previously thought and provide opportunities for invading microbes, contributing to



microbiome from *Ng*<sup>MB+</sup> was transplanted into *Ng*<sup>MB-</sup> using FACS. (**A**) Growth profile obtained from *N. gaditana* cell counts using flow cytometry. (**B**) Profile of *B. safensis* titer over time. *B. safensis* titers were obtained by serial dilution of samples from each co-culture flask. The values plotted represent the average and standard deviation, represented by error bars, of three independent cultures. (**C**) Relative OTU (operational taxonomic unit) abundance for bacterial 16S rRNA sequences was sampled on the indicated days. Three distinct *N. gaditana* (Ng) cultures were infected with Bs at the indicated MOI. Asterisks within this panel indicate when the eight core bacteriome members listed in the figure key do not solely compose the entirety of the OTU data for a sample on a particular day.

biomass production inconsistencies. There is a recent movement to enhance the feasibility of algal production systems using wastewater (Chinnasamy et al., 2010), or water that contains waste/byproduct carbon sources (Schambach et al., 2020), for microalgae like Nannochloropsis that can simultaneously utilize inorganic (CO<sub>2</sub>) and organic carbon substrates (mixotrophy) (Bhatnagar et al., 2011; Villanova et al., 2022). However, the increased availability of organic carbon during mixotrophic growth would be expected to provide an opportunity for pathogenic bacteria invasion. A recent study identified an algae pathogen derived from a poorly performing industrial bioreactor (Fulbright et al., 2016). One of the isolates, B. pumilus, exhibited pathogenicity when co-cultured with Nannochloropsis sp. in organic carbon-supplemented media but not artificial seawater (Fulbright et al., 2016). Likewise, in our study, we did not observe pathogenicity in photoautotrophic conditions (data not shown). These findings suggest that the availability of organic carbon at the time of infection may determine the level of pathogenicity for a given agent. In other words, microalgae cultures grown photoautotrophically might not be susceptible to pathogen infection until dissolved organic carbon reaches a sufficient concentration, which likely occurs during prolonged growth (Mitra et al., 2015). This question is currently under investigation by our lab. For the current study, the use of mixotrophic conditions functions to remove a possible confounding factor of varying carbon availability at the time of pathogen infection.

#### Biotic solutions for biotic problems

As discussed above, outdoor pond cultivation exposes microalgae to highly destructive pathogens. In this study, we discovered that *B. safensis* is a highly virulent pathogen of *N. gaditana* capable of inhibiting cultures at a much lower dose than *B. pumilus* (Fulbright et al., 2016). The difference in virulence might suggest that the two species carry different modes of pathogenicity. It is not known how either species inhibits algal cultures. If *B. safensis* employs a similar pathogenic factor, then a simple explanation for the difference in virulence could stem from differences in the potency or concentration of the factor.

Our data show that neither *B. safensis* infection, phage addition to *B. safensis*-free cultures (control), nor phage rescue (treatment of *B. safensis*-infected cultures) result in extreme shifts in the balance of the bacteriome over time. This indicates that phage



Leo2 can rescue pathogen-infected cultures without disrupting the algal bacteriome. Furthermore, the causative agent of *B. safensis* pathogenicity likely acts on *N. gaditana* itself rather than inhibiting *N. gaditana* by altering the bacteriome. The latter point is reinforced by data showing that the causative agent of *B. pumilus*-mediated inhibition of *N. gaditana* is the secretion of an active molecule (Fulbright et al., 2016).

In other studies, *Bacillus* spp. showed lytic activity against multiple microalgae, including *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus* (Sheehan et al., 1998; Mars Brisbin et al., 2022), and a *B. cereus* toxin caused the lysis of freshwater microalgae (McBride et al., 2014). Together, these data demonstrate the inhibition of more than one type of alga by multiple species of *Bacillus*, which are ubiquitous soil bacteria. Future studies should investigate the factor responsible for *B. safensis* pathogenicity to *N. gaditana* cultures and whether it is a general feature of *Bacillus* species. It will be important to know if the pathogenicity extends to other microalgae. If follow-on studies show that *Bacillus* species may be a common cause of microalgae crop loss, this will have far-reaching impacts for open pond cultivators since we are not aware of efforts to insulate ponds from soil microbiome contamination.

The bacteriome drives the efficient growth of microalgae (Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020), precluding their use to treat pathogen-infected cultures. This problem motivated us to explore

the use of phages in microalgae systems. Herein, we showed that phage Leo2 rescued *N. gaditana* cultures from *B. safensis* infection and that the bacteriome was not altered by phage treatment.

# A new countermeasure: phage treatment in microalgae systems

Phages have been previously used to treat bacterial infections of a coral photosymbiont alga (Cohen et al., 2013) and diatoms (Nair et al., 2013). However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first application of phage to a microalgal production system. Although this study proves the concept at laboratory scales, future studies should examine the feasibility of using phage for commercial crop protection. Future studies should: (1) determine the frequency of crashes caused by bacterial pathogens; (2) identify the causative pathogen(s); (3) develop a cocktail of phages for each pathogen; and (4) compare "phage treatments would need to be complemented by a point-of-need diagnostics, informing the selection of phages required for treatment. Conversely, pond stability might be improved with a prophylactic cocktail of phages

directed against the most common pathogens. The feasibility of this would depend on the number of pathogens and whether protection can be achieved with minimal doses of phage.

#### The native countermeasure: bacteriome's protective role

While the growth-promoting effect of the algal bacteriome is widely known (Kazamia et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020; Schambach et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2022), the question of whether the bacteriome protects microalgae from bacterial pathogenesis is underexplored. Notably, bacterial communities isolated from crashed rotifer cultures temporarily protected N. salina from rotifer grazing (Fisher et al., 2019). We showed here that N. gaditana carrying a bacteriome (Ng<sup>MB+</sup>) survived a low-dose B. safensis infection, whereas cultures lacking an intact bacteriome  $(Ng^{MB-})$ crashed after the same treatment (Figure 3). The protective effect was underscored when we re-introduced the microbiome to the Ng<sup>MB-</sup>culture, restoring the ability of the culture to survive the pathogen infection. Bacteriome-carrying cultures showed a steep reduction in the B. safensis titer starting on day 2 (Figure 3B). A simple explanation for the reduced titer of the pathogen is that cultures with an intact bacteriome compete with B. safensis for resources (Verschuere et al., 2000).

It would be interesting to test if the bacteriome-imposed growth promotion itself contributes to protection. In principle, strictly protective or strictly growth-promoting members could be distinguished by reintroducing isolates into an axenic algae background and measuring *N. gaditana's* growth rate with and without a pathogen. However, we found it challenging to maintain a near-axenic ( $Ng^{MB-}$ ) culture, which tended to spontaneously recruit bacteria, including algal pathogens. This point emphasizes the need to improve our understanding and use of protective microbiomes as a standard tool for algal cultivation.

In addition to a lab-scale proof-of-concept for new crop protection tools, the work presented here introduces a simple model for studying host-microbiome relationships. The complexity, dynamics, and variability of natural microbiomes have led researchers to turn to simplified models to better understand homeostasis and disease-related interactions (Wymore Brand et al., 2015). The data presented here suggest that *N. gaditana* (and likely other microalgal systems) may provide an opportunity for a deeper study of phage and pathogen interactions within a system in which the health of the host depends on the integrity and syntrophic interaction of a simple and stable microbiome.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

### Author contributions

BH: Investigation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing review and editing. MM: Investigation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing—original draft. ML: Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization. JS: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing—review and editing. GL: Investigation, Formal analysis. SK: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing review and editing. HB: Investigation, Formal analysis. KW: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing—review and editing. BR: Resources, Investigation. CS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. JC: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at Sandia National Laboratories. Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the Cahill and Smallwood Laboratory members and the Sandia National Laboratories' Molecular and Microbiology Department for their valuable input during this study. We thank Todd Lorenz for providing the *Bacillus safensis* strain and the phage Leo2. We thank Amanda Barry for providing *N. gaditana* cultures, technical guidance, and encouragement. We thank Damian Carrieri, Ryan W. Davis, and Julie Wilder for providing technical reviews of this manuscript.

## **Conflict of interest**

JC and CS employer has filed a patent application on biotic countermeasures for algae crop protection.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023. 1271836/full#supplementary-material

#### References

Badran, S., Morales, N., Schick, P., Jacoby, B., Villella, W., and Lorenz, T. (2018). Complete genome sequence of the *Bacillus pumilus* phage Leo2. *Genome Announce*. 6, e00066–e00018. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00066-18

Barry, A., Wolfe, A., English, C., Ruddick, C., and Lambert, D. (2016). National algal biofuels technology *Review*. 2016, 707. doi: 10.2172/1259407

Bhatnagar, A., Chinnasamy, S., Singh, M., and Das, K. (2011). Renewable biomass production by mixotrophic algae in the presence of various carbon sources and wastewaters. *Appl. Energy.* 88, 3425–3431. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2010. 12.064

Branquinho, R., Sousa, C., Lopes, J., Pintado, M. E., Peixe, L. V., and Osório, H. (2014). Differentiation of *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus safensis* using MALDI-TOF-MS. *PloS one* 9, e110127. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110127

Brussaard, C. P. (2004). Viral control of phytoplankton populations—a review 1. J. Eukaryotic Microbiol. 51, 125–138. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.2004.tb00537.x

Cheng, S.-H., Aoki, S., Maeda, M., and Hino, A. (2004). Competition between the rotifer *Brachionus rotundiformis* and the ciliate *Euplotes vannus* fed on two different algae. *Aquaculture* 241, 331–343. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.08.006

Chinnasamy, S., Bhatnagar, A., Hunt, R. W., and Das, K. (2010). Microalgae cultivation in a wastewater dominated by carpet mill effluents for biofuel applications. *Bioresour. Technol.* 101, 3097–3105. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.026

Cohen, Y., Joseph Pollock, F., Rosenberg, E., and Bourne, D. G. (2013). Phage therapy treatment of the coral pathogen V ibrio coralliilyticus. *Microbiologyopen* 2, 64–74. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.52

Davis, R., Aden, A., and Pienkos, P. T. (2011). Techno-economic analysis of autotrophic microalgae for fuel production. *Appl. Energy.* 88, 3524–3531. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.04.018

de Jonge, P. A., Nobrega, F. L., Brouns, S. J., and Dutilh, B. E. (2019). Molecular and evolutionary determinants of bacteriophage host range. *Trends Microbiol.* 27, 51–63. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.08.006

Dismukes, G. C., Carrieri, D., Bennette, N., Ananyev, G. M., and Posewitz, M. C. (2008). Aquatic phototrophs: efficient alternatives to land-based crops for biofuels. *Curr. Opinion Biotechnol.* 19, 235–240. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2008.05.007

Droop, M., and Elson, K. (1966). Are pelagic diatoms free from bacteria? *Nature* 211, 1096–1097. doi: 10.1038/2111096a0

Fisher, C. L., Ward, C. S., Lane, P. D., Kimbrel, J. A., Sale, K. L., Stuart, R. K., et al. (2019). Bacterial communities protect the alga *Microchloropsis salina* from grazing by the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*. *Algal Res*. 40, 101500. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2019.101500

Fulbright, S. P., Chisholm, S., and Reardon, K. F. (2016). Growth inhibition of Nannochloropsis species by *Bacillus pumilus*. *Algal Res.* 20, 70–76. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2016.09.016

Gimpel, J. A., Specht, E. A., Georgianna, D. R., and Mayfield, S. P. (2013). Advances in microalgae engineering and synthetic biology applications for biofuel production. *Curr. Opinion Chem. Biol.* 17, 489–495. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.03.038

Godwin, C. M., Lashaway, A. R., Hietala, D. C., Savage, P. E., and Cardinale, B. J. (2018). Biodiversity improves the ecological design of sustainable biofuel systems. *GCB Bioenergy* 10, 752–765. doi: 10.1111/gcbb. 12524

Hamidi, N., Yanuhar, U., and Wardana, I. (2014). Potential and properties of marine microalgae Nannochloropsis oculata as biomass fuel feedstock. *Int. J. Energy Environ. Eng.* 5, 279–290. doi: 10.1007/s40095-014-0138-9

Jones, A., Rhodes, M. E., and Evans, S. C. (1973). The use of antibiotics to obtain axenic cultures of algae. Br. Phycologic. J. 8, 185–196. doi: 10.1080/00071617300650211

Kazamia, E., Czesnick, H., Nguyen, T. T. V., Croft, M. T., Sherwood, E., Sasso, S., et al. (2012). Mutualistic interactions between vitamin B12-dependent algae and heterotrophic bacteria exhibit regulation. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 1466–1476. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02733.x

Krishnan, A., Likhogrud, M., Cano, M., Edmundson, S., Melanson, J. B., Huesemann, M., et al. (2021). Picochlorum celeri as a model system for robust outdoor algal growth in seawater. *Scientific Rep.* 11, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91106-5

Lin, H., Li, Y., and Hill, R. T. (2022). Microalgal and bacterial auxin biosynthesis: implications for algal biotechnology. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 73, 300–307. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2021.09.006

Liu, B., Eltanahy, E. E., Liu, H., Chua, E. T., Thomas-Hall, S. R., Wass, T. J., et al. (2020). Growth-promoting bacteria double eicosapentaenoic acid yield in microalgae. *Bioresour. Technol.* 316, 123916. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123916

Liu, J., Song, Y., and Qiu, W. (2017). Oleaginous microalgae Nannochloropsis as a new model for biofuel production: review and analysis. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 72, 154–162. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.120

Mageeney, C., Trubl, G., and Williams, K. (2023). Improved mobilome delineation in fragmented genomes. *Computat. Meth. Microbiome Anal.* 2, 16648714. doi: 10.3389/fbinf.2022.866850

Mars Brisbin, M., Mitarai, S., Saito, M. A., and Alexander, H. (2022). Microbiomes of bloom-forming phaeocystis algae are stable and consistently recruited, with both symbiotic and opportunistic modes. *ISME J.* 16, 2255–2264. doi: 10.1038/s41396-022-01263-2

McBride, R. C., Lopez, S., Meenach, C., Burnett, M., Lee, P. A., Nohilly, F., et al. (2014). Contamination management in low cost open algae ponds for biofuels production. *Industr. Biotechnol.* 10, 221–227. doi: 10.1089/ind.2013.0036

Mitra, M., Patidar, S. K., and Mishra, S. (2015). Integrated process of two stage cultivation of *Nannochloropsis* sp. for nutraceutically valuable eicosapentaenoic acid along with biodiesel. *Bioresour. Technol.* 193, 363–369. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.06.033

Mönnich, J., Tebben, J., Bergemann, J., Case, R., Wohlrab, S., and Harder, T. (2020). Niche-based assembly of bacterial consortia on the diatom *Thalassiosira* rotula is stable and reproducible. *ISME J.* 14, 1614–1625. doi: 10.1038/s41396-020-0631-5

Nair, S., Li, C., Mou, S., Zhang, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2013). A novel phage indirectly regulates diatom growth by infecting a diatom-associated biofilm-forming bacterium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 88, e02138–e02121. doi: 10.1128/aem.02138-21

Parks, D. H., Chuvochina, M., Rinke, C., Mussig, A. J., Chaumeil, P.-A., and Hugenholtz, P. (2022). GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, D785–D94. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab776

Pei, H.-y., Hu, W.-R., Mu, R.-M., and Li, X.-C. (2007). Alga-lysing bioreactor and dominant bacteria strain. *J. Environ. Sci.* 19, 546–552. doi: 10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60091-6

Sapp, M., Schwaderer, A. S., Wiltshire, K. H., Hoppe, H.-G., Gerdts, G., and Wichels, A. (2007). Species-specific bacterial communities in the phycosphere of microalgae? *Microb. Ecol.* 53, 683–699. doi: 10.1007/s00248-006-9162-5

Schambach, J. Y., Finck, A. M., Kitin, P., Hunt, C. G., Hanschen, E. R., Vogler, B., et al. (2020). Growth, total lipid, and omega-3 fatty acid production by *Nannochloropsis* spp. cultivated with raw plant substrate. *Algal Res.* 51, 102041. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2020.102041

Schenk, P., Thomas-Hall, S. R., Stephens, E., and Marx, U. C. (2008). Second generation biofuels: High-efficiency microalgae for biodiesel production. *BioEnergy Res.* 1, 20–43. doi: 10.1007/s12155-008-9008-8

Seymour, J. R., Amin, S. A., Raina, J.-B., and Stocker, R. (2017). Zooming in on the phycosphere: the ecological interface for phytoplankton–bacteria relationships. *Nature Microbiol.* 2, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.65

Sheehan, J., Dunahay, T., Benemann, J., and Roessler, P. (1998). A Look Back at the US Department of Energy's Aquatic Species Program—Biodiesel From Algae (NREL/TP-580-24190). Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), US DOE.

Verschuere, L., Rombaut, G., Sorgeloos, P., and Verstraete, W. (2000). Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 64, 655–671. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.64.4.655-671.2000

Villanova, V., Galasso, C., Vitale, G. A., Della Sala, G., Engelbrektsson, J., Strömberg, N., et al. (2022). Mixotrophy in a local strain of *Nannochloropsis granulata* for renewable high-value biomass production on the West Coast of Sweden. *Marine Drugs* 20, 424. doi: 10.3390/md20070424

Whitehead, K., Eppinger, J., Srinivasan, V., Ijaz, M. K., Nims, R. W., and McKinney, J. (2022). Potential for microbial cross contamination of laundry from public washing machines. *Microbiol. Res.* 13, 995–1006. doi: 10.3390/microbiolres130 40072

Wiatrowski, M., and Davis, R. (2021). Algal biomass conversion to fuels via combined algae processing (CAP): 2020 State of Technology and Future Research National Renewable Energy Lab. (NREL), Golden, CO (United States).

Wymore Brand, M., Wannemuehler, M. J., Phillips, G. J., Proctor, A., Overstreet, A.-M., Jergens, A. E., et al. (2015). The altered Schaedler flora: continued applications of a defined murine microbial community. *ILAR J.* 56, 169–178. doi: 10.1093/ilar/ilv012

Zanella, L., and Vianello, F. (2020). Microalgae of the genus Nannochloropsis: Chemical composition and functional implications for human nutrition. J. Funct. Foods 68, 103919. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020. 103919