Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mauro Cataldi, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

REVIEWED BY Hannelouise Kissow, University of Copenhagen, Denmark Kaifeng Guo, Fudan University, China Yiting Xu, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Genhua Huang ⊠ 715708446@qq.com

RECEIVED 21 November 2023 ACCEPTED 14 May 2024 PUBLISHED 03 June 2024

CITATION

Wei M, Tu W and Huang G (2024) Regulating bile acids signaling for NAFLD: molecular insights and novel therapeutic interventions. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1341938. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1341938

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wei, Tu and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Regulating bile acids signaling for NAFLD: molecular insights and novel therapeutic interventions

Meilin Wei¹, Wei Tu¹ and Genhua Huang²*

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) emerges as the most predominant cause of liver disease, tightly linked to metabolic dysfunction. Bile acids (BAs), initially synthesized from cholesterol in the liver, undergo further metabolism by gut bacteria. Increasingly acknowledged as critical modulators of metabolic processes, BAs have been implicated as important signaling molecules. In this review, we will focus on the mechanism of BAs signaling involved in glucose homeostasis, lipid metabolism, energy expenditure, and immune regulation and summarize their roles in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Furthermore, gut microbiota dysbiosis plays a key role in the development of NAFLD, and the interactions between BAs and intestinal microbiota is elucidated. In addition, we also discuss potential therapeutic strategies for NAFLD, including drugs targeting BA receptors, modulation of intestinal microbiota, and metabolic surgery.

KEYWORDS

bile acids, gut microbiota, NAFLD, TGR5, FXR, gut liver axis

1 Introduction

As the global incidence of obesity and its associated metabolic syndrome escalates, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has emerged as a primary contributor to chronic liver conditions and progressive liver fibrosis. Its global prevalence is estimated to be around 25% (Powell et al., 2021). NAFLD encompasses a continuum of pathological changes, ranging from simple steatosis (non-alcoholic fatty liver, NAFL) to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), then progressing toward fibrosis and ultimately leading to hepatocellular carcinoma and liver failure. Approximately 5–25% of individuals with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis progress to severe liver fibrosis (Castera et al., 2019).

The development of NAFLD is inherently intricate and governed by numerous factors. Originally, the pathogenesis of NAFLD was described by the "two-hit" hypothesis: the "first hit" being lipid accumulation in the liver, which predisposes the organ to further damage, and the "second hit" comprising factors like lipotoxicity, mitochondrial damage, oxidative stress, and hepatic inflammation, which facilitate the progression from NAFL to liver fibrosis. More recently, the understanding has shifted to the "multiple-hit" hypothesis. This theory includes various detrimental factors, including insulin resistance, inflammatory mediators, dietary factors, gut microbiota imbalances, and genetic and epigenetic variations (Kumar et al., 2021). However, the exact pathogenesis of NAFLD is largely unknown, and no FDA-approved drug to treat NAFLD is currently available.

Recent studies have increasingly focused on the role of bile acids (BAs) in the pathogenesis of NAFLD due to their origin from hepatic cholesterol (Arab et al., 2017). Dysregulation in BA metabolism in NAFLD patients heightens the risk of liver damage. Research has demonstrated marked disparities in both the serum levels and composition of BAs between high-fat mouse models and NAFLD patients, and those observed in a normal control group (Jiao et al., 2018). Furthermore, elevated levels of circulating BAs have been detected in diet-induced NASH mice, correlating closely with the severity of liver fibrosis (Suga et al., 2019). In patients with NASH, enhanced BA synthesis compared to healthy individuals has been noted, and serum BA levels are predictive of liver fibrosis severity (Shlomai et al., 2013; Mouzaki et al., 2016). In addition to assisting the absorption of dietary lipids and vitamins, BAs are crucial signaling molecules that regulate lipid and glucose metabolism and modulate inflammation in various tissues. The imbalance of gut microbiota is intricately linked to NAFLD progression (Henao-Mejia et al., 2012; Aron-Wisnewsky et al., 2020). BAs, as one class of metabolites of intestinal microbiota, are frequently associated with metabolic diseases including obesity, diabetes and NAFLD. It has been demonstrated that administration of beneficial bacteria could improve NAFLD (Kolodziejczyk et al., 2019). On the other hand, metabolites derived from microbiota can also reduce NAFLD severity by mediating the beneficial effects of intestinal bacteria.

In this review, we synthesize and analyze the mechanisms through which BA signaling influences NAFLD pathogenesis, focusing particularly on the interactions between BAs and gut microbiota, as well as identifying potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of NAFLD.

2 BA synthesis and regulation

2.1 BA synthesis

BAs are synthesized predominantly from cholesterol in the liver through two primary pathways: the classical pathway and the alternative pathway, involving at least 17 enzymes (Figure 1). The classical pathway, initiated by the rate-limiting enzyme cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) and further regulated by sterol-12ahydroxylase (CYP8B1), generates the majority of the BA pool. In contrast, the alternative pathway is chiefly controlled by sterol 27α -hydroxylase (CYP27A1) and sterol 7α -hydroxylase (CYP7B1), producing chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) and cholic acid (CA) in humans, and predominantly beta-muricholic acid (β -MCA) in rodents (Wahlström et al., 2016). CYP8B1 plays a crucial role in synthesizing CA, thus determining the CA to CDCA ratio (Bertaggia et al., 2017). Within hepatocytes, BAs are conjugated primarily with glycine in humans and almost exclusively with taurine in mice, forming conjugated bile acids that are expelled into the bile through the bile salt export pump (BSEP) and multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (Falany et al., 1994). Released into the duodenum, primary bile acids are transformed into secondary bile acids like deoxycholic acid (DCA) and lithocholic acid (LCA) by intestinal bacteria via deconjugation and dehydroxylation. Subsequently, over 95% of the BAs are reabsorbed into the portal vein by the apical sodium dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT) at the terminal ileum and returned to the liver, constituting the enterohepatic circulation, while the remainder is excreted in the feces (de Aguiar Vallim et al., 2013). BAs re-enter the liver from the bloodstream via the Na⁺-taurocholate cotransport polypeptide (NTCP). BA homeostasis is a critical physiological process involving the synthesis, metabolism, and recycling of bile acids, which are essential for lipid digestion and nutrient absorption. The regulation of BA levels is finely tuned by feedback mechanisms primarily mediated by BA receptors, which inhibits bile acid synthesis in the liver when intrahepatic or intestinal bile acid levels are high. Disturbances in bile acid homeostasis are associated with liver diseases such as cholestasis and NAFLD, as well as systemic disorders including obesity and diabetes (Wahlström et al., 2016). For instance, ASBT inhibitor blocks the reabsorption of BAs in the terminal ileum, thereby increasing BA excretion in feces and improving NAFLD induced by high fat diet (Rao et al., 2016).

2.2 BA receptors

The primary BA receptors are the farnesoid X receptor (FXR), or NR1H4, and the G protein-coupled bile acid receptor (TGR5). FXR is predominantly expressed the liver, intestines, white adipose tissue, adrenal glands, kidneys, and immune cells (Lefebvre et al., 2009). The binding affinity of BAs to FXR follows the order: CDCA > DCA > CA > LCA. Conversely, T α -MCA, T β -MCA, and possibly UDCA act as antagonists (Sayin et al., 2013). TGR5 is expressed in brown adipose tissue (BAT), enteroendocrine L cells, white adipose tissue (WAT), gallbladder, skeletal muscle, islet α and β cells, immune cells, astrocytes and neurons, and is activated by BAs with varying efficacies (LCA > DCA > CDCA > CA) (Guo et al., 2016). Additional receptors involved in BA signaling include the vitamin D receptor (VDR), liver X receptor (LXR), pregnane X receptor (PXR), and sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 2 (SIPR2) (Supplementary Table S1) (Schaap et al., 2014). BAs can exert a wide range of regulatory effects through these receptors, including glucose and lipid metabolism, BA homeostasis and energy expenditure, immune and inflammatory responses, and improving insulin sensitivity (Thomas et al., 2008).

2.3 BA synthesis regulation

By regulating FXR receptors in the liver and intestine, BAs can achieve self-regulation and control the transport of BAs, maintaining BA balance (Mencarelli and Fiorucci, 2010). FXR knockout mice show increased CYP7A1 expression and hepatic BA synthesis, suggesting that FXR primarily mediates the inhibitory effects of BA synthesis via CYP7A1 (Sinal et al., 2000). This suppression primarily occurs through the interaction of the small heterodimer partner (SHP) with liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1), curtailing CYP7A1 gene expression (Goodwin et al., 2000), a mechanism critical for averting excessive BA production and resultant liver damage. Furthermore, intestinal FXR activation by BAs at the ileum's terminal segment prompts fibroblast growth factor 15 (FGF15), analogous to human FGF19, to modulate bile acid synthesis in the liver. Upon FGF15/FGF19 is secreted, it binds to the hepatic fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4)/beta-klotho heterodimer complex, triggering JNK1/2 and ERK1/2 signaling cascades, leading to the inhibition of CYP7A1 expression (Potthoff et al., 2012). Experimental



export pump; KLB: β -Klotho.

data from tissue-specific FXR knockout mice indicate intestinal FXR exerts a stronger inhibitory impact on CYP7A1 compared to hepatic FXR, which more significantly influences CYP8B1 expression and thus reduces cholic acid production (Kim et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2012). The gut-liver axis, delineating the complex interactions between gut microbiota and the liver, is closely linked to NAFLD (Song and Zhang, 2022). Microbial metabolites and other intestinal signaling molecules could reach the liver via portal and systematic circulation, regulating BAs synthesis and transport. Of note, intestinal FXR-FGF15/19 plays a critical role in the crosstalk of gut microbiota and BAs. FGF15/19 has displayed substantial protection against hepatic steatosis caused by high-fat diets (Sciarrillo et al., 2021). Continued clinical investigations are imperative to explore the therapeutic potentials of FGF19-based chimeric molecules for NAFLD therapy.

3 BA signaling in metabolism

BAs play a critical role in various metabolic processes via their receptors, mainly FXR and TGR (Figure 2). Dysregulated BAs signaling contributes to the initiation and progression of NAFLD.

3.1 BA signaling in glucose metabolism

Insulin resistance is a primary characteristic of NAFLD, integral to both its development and progression. BAs are released into the digestive tract after a meal. Therefore, as postprandial messengers, BAs regulate glucose metabolism by activating various receptors. Studies using whole-body FXR knockout mice have shown a reduction in insulin sensitivity, whereas administration of the FXR agonist GW4064 significantly improves insulin resistance and glucose regulation in ob/ob mice (Cariou et al., 2006). Additionally, hepatic gluconeogenesis, vital for maintaining glucose levels, is attenuated by FXR activation, which reduces the expression of critical enzymes such as glucose 6-phosphatase (G6Pase) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) (Ma et al., 2006). Moreover, BAs enhance insulin synthesis and secretion by stimulating the release of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) via TGR5 activation. This mechanism not only protects pancreatic β-cells from apoptosis but also encourages their proliferation (Zheng et al., 2021). Furthermore, recent research indicates that TGR5, expressed in pancreatic α and β -cells, promotes insulin secretion and stabilizes glucose levels (Kumar et al., 2012, 2016). Activation of LXR increases the expression of the GLUT4 in adipose and muscular tissues,



contribute to host metabolism in multiple organs via their FXR and TGR5 receptors, including enhancing insulin secretion in pancreatic islet cells, promoting energy expenditure in brown adipose tissue and muscle tissue, inducing incretin release in enteroendocrine L cells, and regulating inflammation response in immune cells. BAs, bile acids; ASBT, apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter; BAT, brown adipose tissue; CYP7A1, Cholesterol 7-alpha hydroxylase; CYP8B1, sterol 12 α -hydroxylase; CYP27A1, cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase; CYP8B1, sterol-12 α -hydroxylase; DIO2, Type II iodothyronine deionidinase; FGF15/19, fibroblast growth factor 15/19; FGFR4, fibroblast growth factor receptor 4; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; G6Pase, glucose 6-phosphatase; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GLP-2, glucagon-like peptide-2; NTCP, sodium dependent taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide; PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; OST α/β , organic solute transporter subunit α and β ; PYY, peptide YY; TGR5, G protein-coupled bile acid receptor.

thereby improving glucose uptake (Baranowski et al., 2014). In addition, BAs interact with FXR in ileal enterocytes to trigger FGF15/19 signaling, enhancing glucose control (Kliewer and Mangelsdorf, 2015).

3.2 BA signaling in lipid metabolism

NAFLD is marked by significant lipid accumulation in the liver and BAs exert a profound effect on lipid metabolism mainly via FXR signaling. Notably, FXR-deficient (FXR^{-/-}) mice exhibit marked elevations in hepatic and plasma cholesterol and triglycerides. Conversely, FXR agonists reduce these lipid parameters in db/db mice, yet show no efficacy in FXR deficient mice (Cariou et al., 2006). In NAFLD patients, FXR expression is reduced, which correlates with an increase in sterol regulatory element binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c) (Yang et al., 2010). BAs act on hepatic FXR and induce SHP expression, thereby inhibiting SREBP-1c and reducing fatty acid synthesis (Watanabe et al., 2004). FXR further inhibits the expression of the apolipoprotein (apo) B gene, decreasing very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) secretion (Hirokane et al., 2004). Additionally, FXR boosts lipoprotein lipase activity by upregulating the expression of apolipoprotein C-II (apo-CII), a stimulator of lipoprotein lipase, while decreasing apolipoprotein C-III (apo-CIII) expression, a lipoprotein lipase inhibitor (Chavez-Talavera et al., 2017). The hydrophobicity and conjugation state of the BAs are critical for regulating intestinal cholesterol and lipid absorption. Thus, CA absence in CYP8B1 knock-out mice prevents hepatic steatosis induced by a high-fat diet (Bertaggia et al., 2017).

3.3 BA signaling in energy expenditure

Currently, obesity is believed to be the result of a disruption in energy metabolism, where energy intake exceeds energy expenditure. Thus, enhancing energy expenditure presents a good approach for NAFLD therapy. Activation of TGR5 by BAs elevates brown fat tissue energy expenditure, improve insulin resistance, and prevent obesity (Zietak and Kozak, 2016). It also results in elevated cAMP levels, which in turn activates type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase (D2). This activation enhances the conversion of thyroxine (T4) into the more active form, triiodothyronine (T3). Subsequently, T3 boosts uncoupling protein 1 (UCP-1) expression, further enhancing energy expenditure. Therefore, TGR5 signaling mediated by BAs plays a pivotal role in maintaining energy homeostasis (Watanabe et al., 2006). In contrast, TGR5-deficient mice exhibit heightened obesity susceptibility under a high-fat diet compared to control group (Maruyama et al., 2006). A clinical trial involving 12 healthy women who received chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) treatment for 2 days revealed significant increases in brown fat energy expenditure. *In vitro*-cultured human brown fat cells exposed to CDCA also showed an increase in UCP1 expression levels (Broeders et al., 2015).

Therefore, regulating bile acids to enhance the TGR5 signaling pathway and increase brown fat energy expenditure could become an important target for NAFLD. However, despite its positive impact on metabolic health, therapeutic targeting of TGR5 signaling is hindered by the potential for TGR5-mediated gallstone formation and gallbladder filling. Therefore, TGR5-selective agonists, such as INT-777, RDX8940, show promising effects in improving energy metabolism, reducing inflammation and stimulating energy expenditure (Pellicciari et al., 2009; Finn et al., 2019).

3.4 BA signaling in inflammation

Recently, the association between bile acids and immune regulation is an emerging and increasingly studied field in biomedical research. Activation of the innate immune system is pivotal in initiating hepatic inflammation, while persistent low-grade inflammation is crucial to the development of NAFLD and liver fibrosis. Recent studies highlight the significance of BA signaling in regulating hepatic inflammation. TGR5 and FXR are localized in various immune cell types, such as monocytes and macrophages, as well as dendritic cells (Fiorucci et al., 2021). Research has shown that activation of TGR5 decreases cytokine production in monocytes and macrophages and exerts potent antiinflammatory effects (Perino and Schoonjans, 2015). Moreover, TGR5 activation protects against inflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) by suppressing the production of proinflammatory cytokines mediated by NF-KB pathway (Wang et al., 2011). On the contrary, TGR5 deficiency promotes NLRP3 inflammasome and M1 macrophage polarization (Ma et al., 2021) and TGR5(-/-) mice show increased liver inflammation (Wang et al., 2011). M1 macrophages have the capability to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α. In addition, FXR agonist can also orchestrate the immunological activities of macrophages and monocytes to improve NAFLD (McMahan et al., 2013). FXR activation decreased the mRNA levels of inflammatory genes (IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF- α) induced by LPS treatment in vitro (Xiong et al., 2017). In vivo, activation of the intestinal FXR signaling inhibits inflammation and helps maintain the integrity of the intestinal barrier in inflammatory bowel disease (Gadaleta et al., 2011). BAs homeostasis also plays an important role in inflammation regulation. Overexpression of CYP7A1 has been shown to protect the liver from inflammatory infiltration and alleviate hepatic fibrosis in FXR dependent manner. Recently, 3-oxoLCA and isoallo-LCA, which are derived from LCA, have been identified as $T_{\rm H17}$ and Treg cell regulators to control their differentiation, suggesting BAs regulate host immune response (Hang et al., 2019). Therefore, regulating BA signaling has emerged as a promising strategy in treating NAFLD by attenuating hepatic inflammation. Recent therapeutic advances involve the use of FXR agonists, which have shown efficacy in reducing hepatic steatosis and inflammation (Zhang et al., 2009).

4 Gut microbiota and BAs

The gut microbiota is regarded as a metabolic "organ" that produces numerous metabolites to regulate host metabolism. The interaction between gut microbiota and BAs is a significant area of research because it underscores a complex, bidirectional relationship where not only does the gut microbiota influence BAs profiles, but BAs also affect the composition and function of the gut microbiota.

4.1 Microbial regulation of BAs

Microbial metabolism of BAs by gut microbiota not only increase the diversity of BAs but also promote the hydrophobicity of the BA pool. The BA pool and composition in germ-free (GF) mice exhibit significant differences compared to those in conventionally raised mice (Sayin et al., 2013), emphasizing the vital role of gut microbiota in bile acid regulation. Bile acid deconjugation is the first step of metabolism by bacteria with bile salt hydrolase (BSH) activity, which is present in strains of lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, Bacteroides, and Clostridium. Deconjugated primary BAs are further metabolized through the 7-dehydroxylation into secondary bile acid. The potent endogenous agonists of TGR5 are LCA and DCA, which are metabolically derived from CDCA and CA, respectively. This transformation is primarily governed by intestinal bacteria, including Clostridium (such as C. scindens and C. sordellii), Bacteroides (such as B. fragilis), and Eubacterium (such as E. lentum). At lower concentrations, these metabolites positively influence glucose and lipid metabolism. However, when present at higher concentrations, they exert negative effects on host health. For example, fecal DCA levels are significantly elevated in patients with NAFLD compared to those in the healthy control group (Kasai et al., 2022), thereby promoting obesity-associated hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by causing DNA damage (Yoshimoto et al., 2013). Therefore, maintaining the homeostasis of intestinal microflora and its metabolites is essential. For example, GF mice has increased bile acid pool and T β MCA level, which serves as an antagonist to intestinal FXR signaling, thus inducing FGF15/19 secretion to inhibit hepatic BA synthesis. Some probiotics can regulate the metabolism and synthesis of BAs, thereby influencing the body's metabolism and the progression of diseases. Recent research demonstrated that lactobacillus rhamnosus GG increased ileum FGF15 and subsequently reduced BA synthesis, which attenuated liver inflammation and prevents liver fibrosis (Liu et al., 2020). Levels of Parabacteroides distasonis are reportedly lower in individuals with NAFLD, and this bacterium can mitigate obesity and metabolic dysfunctions by modulating the production of UDCA and LCA (Wang et al., 2019).

4.2 BAs regulating gut microbiota

Gut microbiota plays a crucial role in maintaining BA homeostasis through via bioconversion of BA and enhancement of FGF15/FGF19 signaling. On the other hand, BAs, serving as the detergent molecules

in intestine, significantly shape the composition of the intestinal microflora. BAs exert antimicrobial properties, affecting the growth and survival of different strains. Zheng et al. showed that mice fed with BAs under a normal diet condition exhibited obese phenotype and similar gut microbial composition in HFD mice (Zheng et al., 2017). A recent study showed that obeticholic acid (OCA), an analog of CDCA, inhibited endogenous BA synthesis and led an increased proportion of Firmicutes in the small intestine (Friedman et al., 2018). Gut microbiota modulates NAFLD in part via regulating intestinal FXR signaling. In obese mice induced by HFD, glycine-β-muricholic acid (Gly-MCA) administration reduced the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes, which improved insulin resistance and ameliorated obesity related metabolic dysfunction (Zhang et al., 2016). Although serum BAs concentrations are elevated in individuals with NAFLD, the proportion of the FXR antagonistic DCA has increased, whereas the levels of the FXR agonistic CDCA have decreased (Jiao et al., 2018). Therefore, FXR signaling can modulate the gut microbial composition and regulate hepatic metabolism.

5 Targeting BA metabolism for NAFLD therapy

Targeting BA metabolism has become a promising therapeutic strategy for NAFLD due to the significant impact of BA on host metabolism, energy expenditure, inflammation, and the composition of the gut microbiota. Significant efforts have been made to explore approaches that modify BAs signaling. These approaches include agonist for BA receptor, regulating gut microbiota and metabolic surgery (Figure 3).

5.1 Pharmacotherapy for NAFLD by targeting BA

Traditional pharmacotherapies for NAFLD, including PPAR agonists, insulin sensitizers, and antioxidants, have been extensively researched; however, their effectiveness varies among individuals and is generally limited. It is well-established that activation of BA receptors exhibits metabolic benefits in NAFLD. Emerging evidence strongly suggests that BA receptors are promising therapeutic targets. Currently, a wide range of FXR agonists are under investigation in clinical trials for NAFLD. OCA, a highly potent FXR agonist and a semi-synthetic derivative of CDCA, has been approved by the FDA for treating patients with PBC who are unresponsive to UDCA (Trauner et al., 2019). Meanwhile, OCA has significantly enhanced the histological features associated with NASH. However, LDL cholesterol was increased in the early stage of OCA treatment (Younossi et al., 2019). In addition to common side effects such as pruritus, long term OCA administration may increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. Several other FXR agonists, including EDP305, tropifexor and cilofexor have already undergone phase II clinical trials, demonstrating the potential to emerge as novel treatments for NAFLD (Panzitt et al., 2022). Fexaramine, a non-absorbable FXR agonist that remains in the intestine, has shown potential in reducing diet-induced obesity and improving insulin sensitivity (Fang et al., 2015). The TGR5-specific agonist INT-777, derived from CA, alleviated liver fat accumulation and improved insulin sensitivity in obese mice (Thomas et al., 2009).

Recently, a novel TGR5 agonist RDX8940, has been reported to improve hepatic steatosis in western diet-fed mice and enhance the secretion of gastrointestinal hormones such as GLP-1, GLP-2, and peptide YY (Finn et al., 2019). BA receptor dual agonists target multiple receptors involved in BA signaling, which play crucial roles in metabolic regulation, inflammation control, and liver protection. Research indicates that INT-767, an agonist for both FXR and TGR5, can improve metabolic control, reduce liver fibrosis, and decrease inflammation in animal models of liver disease (Comeglio et al., 2018; Jadhav et al., 2018; Roth et al., 2018). This makes it a promising candidate for the treatment of NAFLD and NASH. Sevelamer, as a BA sequestrant, has been shown to alleviate hepatic inflammation, lipid deposition, and fibrosis by targeting LPS signaling and inducing BAs excretion (Tsuji et al., 2020). Drugs that inhibit ASBT reduce the reabsorption of ileal BAs, enhancing their synthesis from cholesterol in liver and excretion in feces through suppression of FGF15/19. ASBT inhibitors, such as IMB17-15, volixibat and elobixibat, currently being investigated for their potential to treat NAFLD and NASH (Ge et al., 2019; Jansen, 2021). Additional clinical trials and long-term follow-ups are necessary to fully understand their impact on host health.

5.2 Regulating gut microbiota for NAFLD

There are several strategies to regulate the intestinal microbiota and further improve NAFLD, including the diet and exercise, the administration of probiotics, prebiotics, and fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). For example, high fat diet caused increased DCA levels, accompanied by alteration of gut microbiota (Lin et al., 2019). In contrast, calorie restriction significantly altered microbiota and decreased the levels of non-12 α -hydroxylated BAs (Li et al., 2022). Exercise could also regulate intestinal microbiota and induce metabolic improvements by modifying circulating BAs (Aoi et al., 2023). The molecular mechanism underlying metabolic improvement by exercise may involve FXR-FGF15 signaling (Qiu et al., 2021). Increasing evidence supports that various probiotic bacteria have promising effects on NAFLD, including the genera such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus, along with others like Saccharomyces boulardii and Streptococcus thermophilus. Zhao et al. showed that administration of Lactobacillus plantarum alleviated the severity of NAFLD in HFD induced mice (Zhao et al., 2020). Lactobacillus gasseri ameliorated hyperlipidemia and modulates BAs metabolism. Mechanically, probiotics reduced the inflammatory factors and increased the gut barrier. In addition, Parabacteroides distasonis has been reported to alleviate hepatic steatosis via increasing alternative BA synthesis pathway (Kuang et al., 2023). Prebiotics are a group of nutrients in the diet that are resistant to digestion but can be fermented by the intestinal microflora, including fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) and inulin. The fermentation of prebiotics by gut microbiota produces short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and affects BAs, though this is not well-documented. SCFAs may directly regulate BA synthesis and alter the microbial composition in the gut, which in turn can modify the profile of BAs. Currently, FMT is increasingly supported by evidence as a viable therapy option for NAFLD. In contrast to probiotics, FMT offers a broad spectrum of healthy bacteria that help to reshape the gut microbial dysbiosis. It is well established that FMT from lean donors enhances insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome



(Vrieze et al., 2012). Xue et al. performed a randomized clinical trial and discovered that FMT attenuated fatty liver disease by facilitating intestinal microflora reconstruction (Xue et al., 2022). The long-term effects and potential side effects of FMT for NAFLD require further investigation. Potential risks include changes in the recipient's gut microbial diversity that could lead to unforeseen health issues, including gastrointestinal infections, or exacerbation of other inflammatory conditions. Moreover, the durability of the treatment's effectiveness remains uncertain.

5.3 Metabolic surgery as a therapeutic option for NAFLD

Metabolic surgery commonly includes vertical sleeve gastrectomy (VSG) and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB). Numerous clinical studies and animal experiments have provided evidence that the histopathology of NAFLD improves significantly after metabolic surgery, with this improvement being closely linked to weight loss (Aguilar-Olivos et al., 2016; Axelrod et al., 2023). However, changes in BAs and the gut microbiota also play a pivotal role in ameliorating NAFLD (Pérez-Rubio et al., 2023). Both human and animal studies have shown that following RYGB surgery, there is a significant increase in both fasting and postprandial BA levels, a marked rise in the ratio of 12α -OH/non- 12α -OH BAs, and a reversal in the primary to secondary BA ratio. Additionally, levels of related factors such as FGF19 and GLP-1 are also significantly elevated (Ahmad et al., 2013; Bhutta et al., 2015; Dutia et al., 2016; Lalloyer et al., 2023). These

alterations in BA levels do not manifest immediately post-surgery but exert a prolonged influence. Some studies suggest that the mechanisms underlying RYGB's alleviation of type 2 diabetes may be linked to the increase in FGF19, upregulation of CYP7A1 gene expression, and an overall rise in bile acids (Gerhard et al., 2013). Research shows that cholesterol is converted into bile acids and excreted, with the alternative BA synthesis pathway enhanced due to significant increases in CYP27A1 and CYP7B1 expression levels. This could be a mechanism through which RYGB improves NAFLD by regulating hepatic and systemic cholesterol as well as BA metabolism (Lalloyer et al., 2023). Interestingly, bile diversion surgery in diet-induced obese mice has shown that diverting bile to the ileum induces physiological changes similar to those seen with RYGB, including significant weight loss, improved glucose tolerance, and sustained improvement in liver fat deposition, with a notable increase in bile acids, especially conjugated T-β-MCA (Flynn et al., 2015). Moreover, the gut-brain axis might be involved in the metabolic surgery. BAs in serum and brain are gradually elevated after metabolic surgery, which attenuates cocaine-induced elevations in accumbal dopamine via TGR5 pathway (Reddy et al., 2018). Neuron-specific TGR5 activation exhibits anorexigenic actions via Rho-ROCK-action pathway, thus decreasing neuropeptide Y secretion (Perino et al., 2021). In vivo, deletion of TGR5 significantly increases food intake. Dysregulation of dopamine signaling can lead to overeating, especially of high-fat or high-sugar foods, contributing to the development of NAFLD. The specific mechanisms by which the gut-brain axis mediates the metabolic surgery effects require further investigation. While metabolic surgery can provide significant health benefits for NAFLD, it is essential to consider the potential disadvantages, including postoperative complications, nutritional deficiencies, and irreversible alterations to the digestive system (Kheirvari et al., 2020).

6 Conclusions and perspectives

Dysregulated BA signaling has been implicated in the development and progression of NAFLD. Therefore, understanding how BAs influence host metabolism is crucial for developing strategies to manipulate BA levels effectively in treating the disease. Although the regulation of the BA signaling holds promising therapeutic potential for NAFLD, there are currently no BA analogs or drugs targeting BA signaling available for NAFLD treatment. The principal challenge lies in developing a tissuespecific drug or non-absorbed drug that regulates BA signaling, thereby improving the metabolic dysfunction in NAFLD without causing significant adverse effects. Therefore, there is an urgent need for further research to explore the relevant molecular mechanisms of BAs in the progression of NAFLD and to develop novel drugs that can be applicated early in the treatment of NALFD. In addition, reshaping the gut microbiota to gently modulate BA signaling is also an important strategy for NAFLD treatment. Notably, FMT has emerged as a safe and efficient therapeutic approach for NAFLD. Furthermore, combination therapy, integrating multiple bile acid-targeted therapies, may enhance treatment efficacy and patient outcomes. However, there are several limitations in the current approaches to targeting BAs for NAFLD treatment. First, the intricate role of BAs in liver metabolism and systemic health remains inadequately understood, potentially leading to unintended off-target effects and systemic toxicity. Second, there are significant concerns regarding the long-term safety of modifying BA pathways, particularly because high doses of BAs may act as pro-carcinogenic agents. Third, the necessity for more phase 2 and 3 clinical trials to evaluate their long-term efficacy and safety is critical. Taken together, dysregulated BA signaling has been demonstrated to be involved in the development and progression of NAFLD. Thus, understanding the mechanism by which how BAs impact the host metabolism can help us in deciding how to manipulate the levels of BAs for treat the disease.

Author contributions

MW: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

References

Aguilar-Olivos, N. E., Almeda-Valdes, P., Aguilar-Salinas, C. A., Uribe, M., and Méndez-Sánchez, N. (2016). The role of bariatric surgery in the management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and metabolic syndrome. *Metabolism* 65, 1196–1207. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2015.09.004

Ahmad, N. N., Pfalzer, A., and Kaplan, L. M. (2013). Roux-en-Y gastric bypass normalizes the blunted postprandial bile acid excursion associated with obesity. *Int. J. Obes.* 37, 1553–1559. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2013.38

Aoi, W., Inoue, R., Mizushima, K., Honda, A., Björnholm, M., Takagi, T., et al. (2023). Exercise-acclimated microbiota improves skeletal muscle metabolism via circulating bile acid deconjugation. *iScience* 26:106251. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2023.106251

Arab, J. P., Karpen, S. J., Dawson, P. A., Arrese, M., and Trauner, M. (2017). Bile acids and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: molecular insights and therapeutic perspectives. *Hepatology* 65, 350–362. doi: 10.1002/hep.28709

Aron-Wisnewsky, J., Vigliotti, C., Witjes, J., Le, P., Holleboom, A. G., Verheij, J., et al. (2020). Gut microbiota and human NAFLD: disentangling microbial signatures from

WT: Writing – review & editing. GH: Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Science and Technology Planning Project of Jiangxi Health Commission (Grant/Award Number: 202210663), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (Grant/Award Number: 20232BAB206044), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant/Award Number: 82360169).

Acknowledgments

All graphics were created with BioRender software (BioRender.com).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1341938/ full#supplementary-material

metabolic disorders. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 17, 279–297. doi: 10.1038/ s41575-020-0269-9

Axelrod, C. L., Langohr, I., Dantas, W. S., Heintz, E. C., Vandanmagsar, B., Yang, S., et al. (2023). Weight-independent effects of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on remission of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 31, 2960–2971. doi: 10.1002/oby.23876

Baranowski, M., Zabielski, P., Błachnio-Zabielska, A. U., Harasim, E., Chabowski, A., and Górski, J. (2014). Insulin-sensitizing effect of LXR agonist T0901317 in high-fat fed rats is associated with restored muscle GLUT4 expression and insulin-stimulated AS160 phosphorylation. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 33, 1047–1057. doi: 10.1159/000358675

Bertaggia, E., Jensen, K. K., Castro-Perez, J., Xu, Y., Di Paolo, G., Chan, R. B., et al. (2017). Cyp8b1 ablation prevents western diet-induced weight gain and hepatic steatosis due to impaired fat absorption. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 313, E121–E133. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00409.2016

Bhutta, H. Y., Rajpal, N., White, W., Freudenberg, J. M., Liu, Y., Way, J., et al. (2015). Effect of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on bile acid metabolism in normal and obese diabetic rats. *PLoS One* 10:e0122273. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122273

Broeders, E. P., Nascimento, E. B., Havekes, B., Brans, B., Roumans, K. H., Tailleux, A., et al. (2015). The bile acid Chenodeoxycholic acid increases human Brown adipose tissue activity. *Cell Metab.* 22, 418–426. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.002

Cariou, B., van Harmelen, K., Duran-Sandoval, D., van Dijk, T. H., Grefhorst, A., Abdelkarim, M., et al. (2006). The farnesoid X receptor modulates adiposity and peripheral insulin sensitivity in mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 11039–11049. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M510258200

Castera, L., Friedrich-Rust, M., and Loomba, R. (2019). Noninvasive assessment of liver disease in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 156, 1264–81.e4. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.12.036

Chavez-Talavera, O., Tailleux, A., Lefebvre, P., and Staels, B. (2017). Bile acid control of metabolism and inflammation in obesity, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 152, 1679–1694.e3. doi: 10.1053/j. gastro.2017.01.055

Comeglio, P., Cellai, I., Mello, T., Filippi, S., Maneschi, E., Corcetto, F., et al. (2018). INT-767 prevents NASH and promotes visceral fat brown adipogenesis and mitochondrial function. *J. Endocrinol.* 238, 107–127. doi: 10.1530/JOE-17-0557

de Aguiar Vallim, T. Q., Tarling, E. J., and Edwards, P. A. (2013). Pleiotropic roles of bile acids in metabolism. *Cell Metab.* 17, 657–669. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.03.013

Dutia, R., Embrey, M., O'Brien, S., Haeusler, R. A., Agénor, K. K., Homel, P., et al. (2016). Temporal changes in bile acid levels and 12 α -hydroxylation after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery in type 2 diabetes. *Int. J. Obes.* 40:554. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2015.250

Falany, C. N., Johnson, M. R., Barnes, S., and Diasio, R. B. (1994). Glycine and taurine conjugation of bile acids by a single enzyme. Molecular cloning and expression of human liver bile acid CoA: amino acid N-acyltransferase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 19375–19379. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)32178-6

Fang, S., Suh, J. M., Reilly, S. M., Yu, E., Osborn, O., Lackey, D., et al. (2015). Intestinal FXR agonism promotes adipose tissue browning and reduces obesity and insulin resistance. *Nat. Med.* 21, 159–165. doi: 10.1038/nm.3760

Finn, P. D., Rodriguez, D., Kohler, J., Jiang, Z., Wan, S., Blanco, E., et al. (2019). Intestinal TGR5 agonism improves hepatic steatosis and insulin sensitivity in Western diet-fed mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 316, G412–G424. doi: 10.1152/ ajpgi.00300.2018

Fiorucci, S., Distrutti, E., Carino, A., Zampella, A., and Biagioli, M. (2021). Bile acids and their receptors in metabolic disorders. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 82:101094. doi: 10.1016/j. plipres.2021.101094

Flynn, C. R., Albaugh, V. L., Cai, S., Cheung-Flynn, J., Williams, P. E., Brucker, R. M., et al. (2015). Bile diversion to the distal small intestine has comparable metabolic benefits to bariatric surgery. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7715. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8715

Friedman, E. S., Li, Y., Shen, T. D., Jiang, J., Chau, L., Adorini, L., et al. (2018). FXRdependent modulation of the human small intestinal microbiome by the bile acid derivative Obeticholic acid. *Gastroenterology* 155, 1741–52.e5. doi: 10.1053/j. gastro.2018.08.022

Gadaleta, R. M., van Erpecum, K. J., Oldenburg, B., Willemsen, E. C., Renooij, W., Murzilli, S., et al. (2011). Farnesoid X receptor activation inhibits inflammation and preserves the intestinal barrier in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 60, 463–472. doi: 10.1136/gut.2010.212159

Ge, M.-x., Niu, W.-x., Ren, J.-f., Cai, S.-y., Yu, D.-k., Liu, H.-t., et al. (2019). A novel ASBT inhibitor, IMB17-15, repressed nonalcoholic fatty liver disease development in high-fat diet-fed Syrian golden hamsters. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 40, 895–907. doi: 10.1038/s41401-018-0195-3

Gerhard, G. S., Styer, A. M., Wood, G. C., Roesch, S. L., Petrick, A. T., Gabrielsen, J., et al. (2013). A role for fibroblast growth factor 19 and bile acids in diabetes remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Diabetes Care* 36, 1859–1864. doi: 10.2337/dc12-2255

Goodwin, B., Jones, S. A., Price, R. R., Watson, M. A., McKee, D. D., Moore, L. B., et al. (2000). A regulatory cascade of the nuclear receptors FXR, SHP-1, and LRH-1 represses bile acid biosynthesis. *Mol. Cell* 6, 517–526. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00051-4

Guo, C., Xie, S., Chi, Z., Zhang, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., et al. (2016). Bile acids control inflammation and metabolic disorder through inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome. *Immunity* 45, 802–816. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.008

Hang, S., Paik, D., Yao, L., Kim, E., Trinath, J., Lu, J., et al. (2019). Bile acid metabolites control T(H)17 and T(reg) cell differentiation. *Nature* 576, 143–148. doi: 10.1038/ s41586-019-1785-z

Henao-Mejia, J., Elinav, E., Jin, C., Hao, L., Mehal, W. Z., Strowig, T., et al. (2012). Inflammasome-mediated dysbiosis regulates progression of NAFLD and obesity. *Nature* 482, 179–185. doi: 10.1038/nature10809

Hirokane, H., Nakahara, M., Tachibana, S., Shimizu, M., and Sato, R. (2004). Bile acid reduces the secretion of very low density lipoprotein by repressing microsomal triglyceride transfer protein gene expression mediated by hepatocyte nuclear factor-4. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 45685–45692. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M404255200

Jadhav, K., Xu, Y., Xu, Y., Li, Y., Xu, J., Zhu, Y., et al. (2018). Reversal of metabolic disorders by pharmacological activation of bile acid receptors TGR5 and FXR. *Mol. Metab.* 9, 131–140. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2018.01.005

Jansen, P. L. M. (2021). Targeting the ileal bile salt transporter in the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol. Int.* 15, 283–286. doi: 10.1007/s12072-021-10134-5

Jiao, N., Baker, S. S., Chapa-Rodriguez, A., Liu, W., Nugent, C. A., Tsompana, M., et al. (2018). Suppressed hepatic bile acid signalling despite elevated production of primary and secondary bile acids in NAFLD. *Gut* 67, 1881–1891. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314307

Kasai, Y., Kessoku, T., Tanaka, K., Yamamoto, A., Takahashi, K., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2022). Association of Serum and Fecal Bile Acid Patterns with Liver Fibrosis in biopsyproven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: An observational study. *Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol.* 13:e00503. doi: 10.14309/ctg.00000000000503

Kheirvari, M., Nikroo, N. D., Jaafarinejad, H., Farsimadan, M., Eshghjoo, S., Hosseini, S., et al. (2020). The advantages and disadvantages of sleeve gastrectomy; clinical laboratory to bedside review. *Heliyon* 6:e03496. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03496

Kim, I., Ahn, S.-H., Inagaki, T., Choi, M., Ito, S., Guo, G. L., et al. (2007). Differential regulation of bile acid homeostasis by the farnesoid X receptor in liver and intestine. *J. Lipid Res.* 48, 2664–2672. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M700330-JLR200

Kliewer, S. A., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (2015). Bile acids as hormones: the FXR-FGF15/19 pathway. *Dig. Dis.* 33, 327–331. doi: 10.1159/000371670

Kolodziejczyk, A. A., Zheng, D., Shibolet, O., and Elinav, E. (2019). The role of the microbiome in NAFLD and NASH. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 11:e9302. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201809302

Kong, B., Wang, L., Chiang, J. Y., Zhang, Y., Klaassen, C. D., and Guo, G. L. (2012). Mechanism of tissue-specific farnesoid X receptor in suppressing the expression of genes in bile-acid synthesis in mice. *Hepatology* 56, 1034–1043. doi: 10.1002/hep.25740

Kuang, J., Wang, J., Li, Y., Li, M., Zhao, M., Ge, K., et al. (2023). Hyodeoxycholic acid alleviates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease through modulating the gut-liver axis. *Cell Metab.* 35, 1752–66.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2023.07.011

Kumar, D. P., Asgharpour, A., Mirshahi, F., Park, S. H., Liu, S., Imai, Y., et al. (2016). Activation of transmembrane bile acid receptor TGR5 modulates pancreatic islet α cells to promote glucose homeostasis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 6626–6640. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M115.699504

Kumar, S., Duan, Q., Wu, R., Harris, E. N., and Su, Q. (2021). Pathophysiological communication between hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells in liver injury from NAFLD to liver fibrosis. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 176:113869. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2021.113869

Kumar, D. P., Rajagopal, S., Mahavadi, S., Mirshahi, F., Grider, J. R., Murthy, K. S., et al. (2012). Activation of transmembrane bile acid receptor TGR5 stimulates insulin secretion in pancreatic β cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 427, 600–605. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.09.104

Lalloyer, F., Mogilenko, D. A., Verrijken, A., Haas, J. T., Lamazière, A., Kouach, M., et al. (2023). Roux-en-Y gastric bypass induces hepatic transcriptomic signatures and plasma metabolite changes indicative of improved cholesterol homeostasis. *J. Hepatol.* 79, 898–909. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2023.05.012

Lefebvre, P., Cariou, B., Lien, F., Kuipers, F., and Staels, B. (2009). Role of bile acids and bile acid receptors in metabolic regulation. *Physiol. Rev.* 89, 147–191. doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00010.2008

Li, M., Wang, S., Li, Y., Zhao, M., Kuang, J., Liang, D., et al. (2022). Gut microbiota-bile acid crosstalk contributes to the rebound weight gain after calorie restriction in mice. *Nat. Commun.* 13:2060. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29589-7

Lin, H., An, Y., Tang, H., and Wang, Y. (2019). Alterations of bile acids and gut microbiota in obesity induced by high fat diet in rat model. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 67, 3624–3632. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b00249

Liu, Y., Chen, K., Li, F., Gu, Z., Liu, Q., He, L., et al. (2020). Probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG prevents liver fibrosis through inhibiting hepatic bile acid synthesis and enhancing bile acid excretion in mice. *Hepatology* 71, 2050–2066. doi: 10.1002/hep.30975

Ma, K., Saha, P. K., Chan, L., and Moore, D. D. (2006). Farnesoid X receptor is essential for normal glucose homeostasis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 116, 1102–1109. doi: 10.1172/JCI25604

Ma, K., Tang, D., Yu, C., and Zhao, L. (2021). Progress in research on the roles of TGR5 receptor in liver diseases. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 56, 717–726. doi: 10.1080/00365521.2021.1903547

Maruyama, T., Tanaka, K., Suzuki, J., Miyoshi, H., Harada, N., Nakamura, T., et al. (2006). Targeted disruption of G protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 (Gpbar1/M-bar) in mice. *J. Endocrinol.* 191, 197–205. doi: 10.1677/joe.1.06546

McMahan, R. H., Wang, X. X., Cheng, L. L., Krisko, T., Smith, M., El Kasmi, K., et al. (2013). Bile acid receptor activation modulates hepatic monocyte activity and improves nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 11761–11770. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M112.446575

Mencarelli, A., and Fiorucci, S. (2010). FXR an emerging therapeutic target for the treatment of atherosclerosis. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 14, 79–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00997.x

Mouzaki, M., Wang, A. Y., Bandsma, R., Comelli, E. M., Arendt, B. M., Zhang, L., et al. (2016). Bile acids and dysbiosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLoS One* 11:e0151829. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151829

Panzitt, K., Zollner, G., Marschall, H.-U., and Wagner, M. (2022). Recent advances on FXR-targeting therapeutics. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 552:111678. doi: 10.1016/j. mce.2022.111678

Pellicciari, R., Gioiello, A., Macchiarulo, A., Thomas, C., Rosatelli, E., Natalini, B., et al. (2009). Discovery of 6alpha-ethyl-23(S)-methylcholic acid (S-EMCA, INT-777) as a potent and selective agonist for the TGR5 receptor, a novel target for diabesity. *J. Med. Chem.* 52, 7958–7961. doi: 10.1021/jm901390p

Pérez-Rubio, Á., Soluyanova, P., Moro, E., Quintás, G., Rienda, I., Periañez, M. D., et al. (2023). Gut microbiota and plasma bile acids associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease resolution in bariatric surgery patients. *Nutrients* 15:3187. doi: 10.3390/nu15143187

Perino, A., and Schoonjans, K. (2015). TGR5 and immunometabolism: insights from physiology and pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 36, 847–857. doi: 10.1016/j. tips.2015.08.002

Perino, A., Velázquez-Villegas, L. A., Bresciani, N., Sun, Y., Huang, Q., Fénelon, V. S., et al. (2021). Central anorexigenic actions of bile acids are mediated by TGR5. *Nat. Metab.* 3, 595–603. doi: 10.1038/s42255-021-00398-4

Potthoff, M. J., Kliewer, S. A., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (2012). Endocrine fibroblast growth factors 15/19 and 21: from feast to famine. *Genes Dev.* 26, 312–324. doi: 10.1101/gad.184788.111

Powell, E. E., Wong, V. W., and Rinella, M. (2021). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet* 397, 2212–2224. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

Qiu, Y., Yu, J., Li, Y., Yang, F., Yu, H., Xue, M., et al. (2021). Depletion of gut microbiota induces skeletal muscle atrophy by FXR-FGF15/19 signalling. *Ann. Med.* 53, 508–522. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2021.1900593

Rao, A., Kosters, A., Mells, J. E., Zhang, W., Setchell, K. D., Amanso, A. M., et al. (2016). Inhibition of ileal bile acid uptake protects against nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in high-fat diet-fed mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 8:357ra122. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf4823

Reddy, I. A., Smith, N. K., Erreger, K., Ghose, D., Saunders, C., Foster, D. J., et al. (2018). Bile diversion, a bariatric surgery, and bile acid signaling reduce central cocaine reward. *PLoS Biol.* 16:e2006682. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2006682

Roth, J. D., Feigh, M., Veidal, S. S., Fensholdt, L. K., Rigbolt, K. T., Hansen, H. H., et al. (2018). INT-767 improves histopathological features in a diet-induced Ob/Ob mouse model of biopsy-confirmed non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 24, 195–210. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i2.195

Sayin, S. I., Wahlstrom, A., Felin, J., Jantti, S., Marschall, H. U., Bamberg, K., et al. (2013). Gut microbiota regulates bile acid metabolism by reducing the levels of taurobeta-muricholic acid, a naturally occurring FXR antagonist. *Cell Metab.* 17, 225–235. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.01.003

Schaap, F. G., Trauner, M., and Jansen, P. L. (2014). Bile acid receptors as targets for drug development. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 11, 55–67. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.151

Sciarrillo, C. M., Keirns, B. H., Koemel, N. A., Anderson, K. L., and Emerson, S. R. (2021). Fibroblast growth factor 19: potential modulation of hepatic metabolism for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int.* 41, 894–904. doi: 10.1111/liv.14802

Shlomai, A., Halfon, P., Goldiner, I., Zelber-Sagi, S., Halpern, Z., Oren, R., et al. (2013). Serum bile acid levels as a predictor for the severity of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Viral Hepat.* 20, 95–102. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2893.2012.01628.x

Sinal, C. J., Tohkin, M., Miyata, M., Ward, J. M., Lambert, G., and Gonzalez, F. J. (2000). Targeted disruption of the nuclear receptor FXR/BAR impairs bile acid and lipid homeostasis. *Cell* 102, 731–744. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00062-3

Song, Q., and Zhang, X. (2022). The role of gut–liver axis in gut microbiome dysbiosis associated NAFLD and NAFLD-HCC. *Biomedicines* 10:524. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10030524

Suga, T., Yamaguchi, H., Ogura, J., Shoji, S., Maekawa, M., and Mano, N. (2019). Altered bile acid composition and disposition in a mouse model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 379:114664. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2019.114664

Thomas, C., Gioiello, A., Noriega, L., Strehle, A., Oury, J., Rizzo, G., et al. (2009). TGR5-mediated bile acid sensing controls glucose homeostasis. *Cell Metab.* 10, 167–177. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2009.08.001

Thomas, C., Pellicciari, R., Pruzanski, M., Auwerx, J., and Schoonjans, K. (2008). Targeting bile-acid signalling for metabolic diseases. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7, 678–693. doi: 10.1038/nrd2619

Trauner, M., Nevens, F., Shiffman, M. L., Drenth, J. P., Bowlus, C. L., Vargas, V., et al. (2019). Long-term efficacy and safety of obeticholic acid for patients with primary

biliary cholangitis: 3-year results of an international open-label extension study. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 4, 445–453. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30094-9

Tsuji, Y., Kaji, K., Kitade, M., Kaya, D., Kitagawa, K., Ozutsumi, T., et al. (2020). Bile acid Sequestrant, Sevelamer ameliorates hepatic fibrosis with reduced overload of endogenous lipopolysaccharide in experimental nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Microorganisms* 8:925. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8060925

Vrieze, A., Van Nood, E., Holleman, F., Salojärvi, J., Kootte, R. S., Bartelsman, J. F., et al. (2012). Transfer of intestinal microbiota from lean donors increases insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 143, 913–6.e7. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.06.031

Wahlström, A., Sayin, S. I., Marschall, H.-U., and Bäckhed, F. (2016). Intestinal crosstalk between bile acids and microbiota and its impact on host metabolism. *Cell Metab.* 24, 41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.005

Wang, Y. D., Chen, W. D., Yu, D., Forman, B. M., and Huang, W. (2011). The G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor, Gpbar1 (TGR5), negatively regulates hepatic inflammatory response through antagonizing nuclear factor κ light-chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) in mice. *Hepatology* 54, 1421–1432. doi: 10.1002/hep.24525

Wang, K., Liao, M., Zhou, N., Bao, L., Ma, K., Zheng, Z., et al. (2019). *Parabacteroides distasonis* alleviates obesity and metabolic dysfunctions via production of succinate and secondary bile acids. *Cell Rep.* 26, 222–35.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.028

Watanabe, M., Houten, S. M., Mataki, C., Christoffolete, M. A., Kim, B. W., Sato, H., et al. (2006). Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activation. *Nature* 439, 484–489. doi: 10.1038/nature04330

Watanabe, M., Houten, S. M., Wang, L., Moschetta, A., Mangelsdorf, D. J., Heyman, R. A., et al. (2004). Bile acids lower triglyceride levels via a pathway involving FXR, SHP, and SREBP-1c. *J. Clin. Invest.* 113, 1408–1418. doi: 10.1172/JCI21025

Xiong, X., Ren, Y., Cui, Y., Li, R., Wang, C., and Zhang, Y. (2017). Obeticholic acid protects mice against lipopolysaccharide-induced liver injury and inflammation. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 96, 1292–1298. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.11.083

Xue, L., Deng, Z., Luo, W., He, X., and Chen, Y. (2022). Effect of fecal microbiota transplantation on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized clinical trial. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 12:759306. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.759306

Yang, Z.-X., Shen, W., and Sun, H. (2010). Effects of nuclear receptor FXR on the regulation of liver lipid metabolism in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol. Int.* 4, 741–748. doi: 10.1007/s12072-010-9202-6

Yoshimoto, S., Loo, T. M., Atarashi, K., Kanda, H., Sato, S., Oyadomari, S., et al. (2013). Obesity-induced gut microbial metabolite promotes liver cancer through senescence secretome. *Nature* 499, 97–101. doi: 10.1038/nature12347

Younossi, Z. M., Ratziu, V., Loomba, R., Rinella, M., Anstee, Q. M., Goodman, Z., et al. (2019). Obeticholic acid for the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: interim analysis from a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 394, 2184–2196. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33041-7

Zhang, S., Wang, J., Liu, Q., and Harnish, D. C. (2009). Farnesoid X receptor agonist WAY-362450 attenuates liver inflammation and fibrosis in murine model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *J. Hepatol.* 51, 380–388. doi: 10.1016/j. jhep.2009.03.025

Zhang, L., Xie, C., Nichols, R. G., Chan, S. H., Jiang, C., Hao, R., et al. (2016). Farnesoid X receptor signaling shapes the gut microbiota and controls hepatic lipid metabolism. *mSystems* 1:e00070-16. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00070-16

Zhao, Z., Chen, L., Zhao, Y., Wang, C., Duan, C., Yang, G., et al. (2020). Lactobacillus plantarum NA136 ameliorates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease by modulating gut microbiota, improving intestinal barrier integrity, and attenuating inflammation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 104, 5273–5282. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10633-9

Zheng, X., Chen, T., Jiang, R., Zhao, A., Wu, Q., Kuang, J., et al. (2021). Hyocholic acid species improve glucose homeostasis through a distinct TGR5 and FXR signaling mechanism. *Cell Metab.* 33, 791–803.e7. e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.11.017

Zheng, X., Huang, F., Zhao, A., Lei, S., Zhang, Y., Xie, G., et al. (2017). Bile acid is a significant host factor shaping the gut microbiome of diet-induced obese mice. *BMC Biol.* 15:120. doi: 10.1186/s12915-017-0462-7

Zietak, M., and Kozak, L. P. (2016). Bile acids induce uncoupling protein 1-dependent thermogenesis and stimulate energy expenditure at thermoneutrality in mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 310, E346–E354. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00485.2015

Glossary

ASBT	Apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter
BA	Bile acid
BAs	Bile acids
BAT	Brown adipose tissue
BSH	Bile salt hydrolase
BSEP	Bile salt export pump
WAT	White adipose tissue
CA	Cholic acid
CDCA	Chenodeoxycholic acid
ChREBP	Carbohydrate response element binding proteins
СҮР7А1	Cholesterol 7-alpha hydroxylase
СҮР8В1	Sterol 12α-hydroxylase
CYP27A1	Sterol-27α-hydroxylase
СҮР7В1	Oxysterol 7α-hydroxylase
DCA	Deoxycholic acid
DIO2 ERK1/2	Type II iodothyronine deionidinase Extracellular regulated protein kinases
FGF15/19	Fibroblast growth factor 15/19
FGFR4	Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4
FXR	Farnesoid X receptor
FOS	Fructo-oligosaccharides
FMT	Fecal microbiota transplantation
GLP-1	Glucagon-like peptide-1
GLP-2	Glucagon-like peptide-2
Gly-MCA	Glycine-β-muricholic acid
GLUT4	Glucose transporter 4
G6Pase	Glucose 6-phosphatase
HFD	High-fat diet
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HDCA	Hyodeoxycholic acid
НСА	Hyocholic acid
LCA	Lithocholic acid
LXR	Liver X receptor
LRH-1	Liver receptor homolog-1
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
MCAs/α/β-MCA	Muricholic acids/α/β-muricholic acid
MRP2	Multidrug resistance-associated protein 2
NAFLD	Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
NAFL	Non-alcoholic fatty liver
NASH	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis
NTCP	Sodium dependent taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide
ΟSTα/β	Organic solute transporter subunit α and β
OCA	Obeticholic acid
РҮҮ	Peptide YY
PXR	Pregnane X receptor

Glossary (Continued)

alobaly (continued)	
PEPCK	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
RYGB	Roux-en-Y gastric bypass
SHP	Small heterodimer partner
SREBP-1c	Steroid response element binding protein-1c
SCFAs	Short-chain fatty acids
SIPR2	Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 2
TGR5	G protein-coupled bile acid receptor
UCP1	Uncoupling protein1
UDCA	Ursodeoxycholic acid
VDR	Vitamin D receptor