Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Santi M. Mandal, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India

REVIEWED BY

Yabing Li, Michigan State University, United States Alidehou Jerrold Agbankpe, Institut Pasteur de Guinée, Guinea Phénix Constant Essè Assogba, Research Unit in Applied Microbiology and Pharmacology of Natural Substances, Benin Bashar Gulumbe, Federal University, Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria

*CORRESPONDENCE Noah O. Okumu ⊠ N.Okumu@cgiar.org

RECEIVED 03 December 2024 ACCEPTED 20 March 2025 PUBLISHED 28 April 2025

CITATION

Okumu NO, Muloi DM, Moodley A, Watson J, Kiarie A, Ochieng L, Wasonga JO, Mutisya C, Alumasa L, Ngeranwa JJN, Cumming O and Cook EAJ (2025) Antimicrobial resistance in community-acquired enteric pathogens among children aged \leq 10-years in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1539160. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1539160

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Okumu, Muloi, Moodley, Watson, Kiarie, Ochieng, Wasonga, Mutisya, Alumasa, Ngeranwa, Cumming and Cook. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Antimicrobial resistance in community-acquired enteric pathogens among children aged ≤ 10-years in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Noah O. Okumu^{1,2}*, Dishon M. Muloi^{1,3}, Arshnee Moodley^{1,4}, Julie Watson^{1,5}, Alice Kiarie¹, Linnet Ochieng¹, Joseph O. Wasonga¹, Christine Mutisya¹, Lorren Alumasa¹, Joseph J. N. Ngeranwa², Oliver Cumming⁵ and Elizabeth A. J. Cook¹

¹Health Program, International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya, ²Department of Biochemistry, Biotechnology and Microbiology, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya, ³Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ⁴Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg C, Denmark, ⁵Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Introduction: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global health priority. This systematic review summarizes the prevalence of AMR in enteric pathogens originating from the community, specifically among \leq 10-year-old children in low-and middle-income countries (LMICs). In addition, it presents the proportions of pooled resistance in *Campylobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli, Shigella* spp., and *Salmonella* spp. (CESS) to clinically relevant antibiotics.

Methods: Six online repositories, namely PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, CABI, and EMBASE were searched for articles published between January 2005 and September 2024. Random-effects meta-analysis models were constructed to estimate the pooled AMR proportions for CESS pathogens, and a subgroup analysis by region was also carried out.

Results: A total of 64 publications from 23 LMICs met our inclusion criteria. The pooled estimates of *E. coli* AMR for clinically important antibiotics were as follows: sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SXT) 71% [95%CI: 57–82%]; ampicillin (AMP) 56% [95%CI: 44–67%]; ciprofloxacin (CIP) 10% [95%CI: 5–20%]; and ceftriaxone (CRO) 8% [95%CI: 2–31%]. The proportions of AMR detected in *Shigella* spp. were AMP 76% [95%CI: 60–87%]; nalidixic acid (NA) 9% [95%CI: 2–31%]; CIP 3% [95%CI: 0–15%]; and CRO 2% [95%CI: 0–19%]. The proportions of *Salmonella* spp. AMR were AMP 55% [95%CI: 35–73%] and SXT 25% [95%CI: 15–38%]. The proportions of *Campylobacter* spp. AMR were erythromycin (ERY) 33% [95%CI: 12–64%] and CIP 27% [95%CI: 8–61%]. There was high variability in the regional subgroup analysis, with high interstudy and regional heterogeneity $l^2 \ge 75\%$.

Conclusion: Our results shed light on drug-resistant enteric bacterial pathogens in young children, providing evidence that CESS pathogens are becoming increasingly resistant to clinically important antimicrobials. Regional differences

in resistance patterns between these community isolates highlight the need for strong national and regional surveillance to detect regional variations and inform treatment and appropriate antibiotic stewardship programs. The limitations of our findings include high regional variability, significant interstudy heterogeneity, and underrepresentation of certain LMICs.

Systematic review registration: https://inplasy.com/inplasy-2024-2-0051/, registration number: INPLASY202420051.

KEYWORDS

antimicrobial resistance, low-and middle-income countries, enteric bacteria, community, children

1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a significant threat to global health, contributing to an estimated 1.27 million deaths worldwide in 2019, with the highest mortality rates reported in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia (Barber and Sutherland, 2017; Murray et al., 2022). AMR aggravates health complications and increases the risk of mortality and economic burden undermining childhood survival in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (Huynh et al., 2015; Sulis et al., 2022). LMICs are often characterized by limited access to healthcare, inadequate sanitation and hygiene, and a high burden of infectious diseases, such as pneumonia, diarrhea, and malaria (Barber and Sutherland, 2017; Hendriksen et al., 2019), driving both prophylactic and therapeutic antimicrobial use (Omulo et al., 2015).

A key factor in addressing the challenge of AMR is surveillance (World Health Organization, 2017a). Community AMR surveillance involves monitoring the prevalence and patterns of AMR in community to facilitate early detection of resistant strains and allow timely interventions to prevent further spread (Kasolo et al., 2013). However, comprehensive population-based AMR surveillance data are lacking in both LMICs and high-income countries (HICs), thus casting doubt on the accuracy of global estimates of AMR burden (Gandra et al., 2020). LMICs that have developed national action plans for AMR often rely on fragmented and non-representative surveillance data from larger urban hospitals, with obvious gaps in human population- or community-based AMR data; thus, their usefulness to healthcare policymakers is limited (Otto et al., 2022; Iskandar et al., 2021). High-quality AMR surveillance data help monitor treatment guidelines by assessing the effectiveness of current recommendations and making necessary adjustments. Through surveillance, high-risk areas or vulnerable populations such as children can be identified in order to guide the implementation of infection control to prevent the spread of resistant strains.

Variability has been reported in the capability to conduct AMR surveillance in low-resource settings due to challenges such as weak laboratory infrastructure, limited resources, overreliance on donor funding, and a lack of qualified staff and training (Iskandar et al., 2021). The Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (GLASS) has endeavored to support evidence-based and standardized surveillance worldwide (Gandra et al., 2020). Following this GLASS initiative, national AMR surveillance systems have been established in some South and Southeast Asian countries, including Bangladesh, Cambodia, India, Laos, Nepal, Pakistan, Thailand, and Vietnam (Gandra et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2017b; Aggarwal et al., 2012; World Health Organization, 2016). Although GLASS initiatives support standardized global surveillance, reports on community circulation of bacteria with AMR remain limited, particularly in Africa, where only 23 of 54 countries have implemented national AMR systems (Okolie et al., 2022).

Previous reviews have focused on the burden associated with AMR in healthcare-acquired infections (HAIs), reporting a higher burden in LMICs than in HICs (Ayobami et al., 2022; Allegranzi et al., 2011). However, there is a paucity of comprehensive data on the prevalence and distribution of AMR in community-acquired enteric bacteria, especially in vulnerable ≤10-year-old children in resourcelimited settings. Enteric pathogens, namely E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella, and Campylobacter, were investigated in this review since they are among the predominant bacterial causes of diarrhea in children (CDC, 2022; Guarino et al., 2018). Diarrhea remains the second leading cause of infant mortality, preceded by pneumonia (Liu et al., 2012). In LMICs, inappropriate use of antimicrobials to manage diarrhea and other childhood diseases in community settings contributes to AMR (Farthing et al., 2013). Antimicrobial use not only alters the gut microbiome but also selects for bacteria with AMR, turning innocuous gut commensals into reservoirs of AMR determinants that can be transmitted to pathogens or across epidemiological compartments through prolonged fecal shedding (World Health Organization, 2005b). Due to its abundance in the gut, ease of isolation, widespread distribution, and significant genetic diversity, E. coli has been used as an indicator of overall AMR patterns within community, providing insights into the prevalence and distribution of resistance.

Thus, this review addresses critical gaps in the literature by estimating pooled proportions of AMR in clinically important, community-acquired enteric bacteria in \leq 10-year-old children across LMICs. By analyzing resistance patterns across geographical regions, we aim to identify the gaps in AMR surveillance and highlight areas where action is required to mitigate the burden of AMR in resource-limited settings.

2 Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Figure 1) and in compliance with the PRISMA checklist (Supplementary Table 1) (Shamseer et al., 2015). The study protocol was registered on INPLASY



(INPLASY202420051) to ensure full compliance with best practices in research transparency and protocol registration.

2.1 Search strategy and selection criteria

As defined by the World Bank, various combinations of search terms related to child descriptors, AMR, and LMICs were used to search numerous databases, including PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, CABI, and EMBASE (World Bank, 2019). The initial database searches were conducted between July 14 and August 5, 2020, and revised searches were performed between September 20 and September 22, 2024. The complete search strategy is provided in Supplementary File 1. Articles were screened for eligibility using the following inclusion criteria: (1) original articles

published or accepted in a peer-reviewed journal between January 2005 and September 2024; (2) participants (\leq 10-year-old children) residing in an LMIC; (3) cross-sectional or longitudinal design with baseline data; and (4) having measured AMR in bacterial isolates obtained from children in non-clinical or outpatient settings or from samples collected within 48 h of hospital admission, to minimize the inclusion of healthcare-acquired infections. The year 2005 was purposefully selected because it was the year the World Health Organization began emphasizing AMR as a critical public health challenge (World Health Organization, 2005b). Studies published since 2005 are more likely to reflect contemporary diagnostic, treatment, and surveillance practices, making them more relevant to our research objectives. Including data up to September 2024 ensured that the review captures the most up-to-date evidence, reflecting the current status of AMR in community-acquired enteric pathogens,

particularly in LMICs. Case reports and review papers were excluded. Non-English articles were translated using Google Translate, and key sections (Methods and Results) were cross-checked for accuracy. The identified articles were shared among four co-authors (NO, LO, AK, and LA) who independently screened the titles and abstracts and reviewed the full texts of potentially relevant articles. Disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through consensus.

2.2 Data extraction

Data were extracted by the same four independent reviewers (NO, LO, AK, and LA) using a predefined and standardized data extraction form. The extracted information included (1) year of publication, (2) first author's name, (3) study location (country/region), (4) study setting (hospital, community, or both), (5) year of sample collection, (6) participant demographics (age and sex) and health status, (7) sample size, (8) sample type, (9) bacterial species isolated, (10) number of isolates, (11) study design, (12) antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) method, and (13) prevalence of AMR (i.e., the number of resistant isolates). The quality of the articles included in the review was assessed using the Newcastle Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) adapted for cross-sectional study design (Feeley, 2020). This assessment was conducted using three parameters: the selection of the sample, the comparability of the tests, and the level of exposure. The selection parameter was based on the representativeness of the sample, the definition of the community, and the sample size. The comparability parameter was based on the bacterial isolation and identification method and the AST method. The exposure parameter was based on the number of AMR isolates. A study with an overall score of \geq 7 out of 10 was considered to be of good quality and was included in the analysis to obtain a revised pooled estimate.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The primary outcome of our analysis was the proportion of bacterial isolates that showed resistance to an antimicrobial. We examined the prevalence of AMR in different enteric bacterial species, such as *E. coli*, *Shigella* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Enterococcus* spp. However, the meta-analysis focused on the four most reported enteric bacterial pathogens: *Campylobacter* spp., *E. coli*, *Shigella* spp., and *Salmonella* spp. (hereafter referred to as CESS). The isolate-drug combinations included drugs commonly reported in the articles and indicated for first- or second-line empiric treatment. These drugs included ciprofloxacin (CIP), gentamicin (CN), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SXT), ceftriaxone (CRO), ampicillin (AMP), amoxicillin/clavulanate (AMC), nalidixic acid (NA), azithromycin (AZM), erythromycin (ERY), and tetracycline (TET).

All data analyses were performed using R (version 4.2.2). Meta-analyses of the proportions of the tested bacteria resistant to each antimicrobial were conducted using the "metaprop" function of the "dmetar" and "meta" packages. Meta-analyses were stratified by isolate–drug combination and geographical region ($n \ge 2$ studies). Due to the high levels of heterogeneity ($I^2 > 75\%$) between studies, a random-effects model was used to pool effect sizes (i.e., pooled proportion of pathogens resistant to a particular

antimicrobial). Random-effects meta-analysis is a statistical approach that accounts for variability between studies and provides a more generalized effect estimate across different populations. AMR proportions were pooled using a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with logit-transformed proportions, using the following equation:

$$\hat{p}_{\text{pool}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (w_i \cdot \hat{p}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i}$$
(1)

where:

 \hat{p}_{pool} represents the pooled proportion estimate, which is the combined estimate of the proportion of the resistance of *E. coli* to an antibiotic (e.g., AMP) across all included studies;

k refers to the number of studies included in the meta-analysis, each study contributing an estimate of the proportion of resistance;

 \hat{p}_i is the estimated proportion of the resistance of *E. coli* to AMP in study *i*. It is calculated by dividing the number of resistant isolates by the total number of isolates in study *i*; and.

 w_i represents the weight assigned to study *i*. In GLMM, weights are derived from the estimated variances of the proportion estimates, considering both within-study and between-study variability.

The GLMM incorporates both fixed and random effects to model the variability in proportion estimates across studies. It allows for the estimation of study-specific effects (fixed effects) and the overall pooled effect (random effects). Using Equation 1, a weighted sum of the individual study proportion estimates is calculated, where each estimate is weighted by its corresponding weight w_i . The weights are derived from the GLMM framework, which accounts for the heterogeneity between studies. The denominator is the sum of weights, ensuring that \hat{p}_{pool} is appropriately scaled.

Variability between studies was assessed through heterogeneity tests using the I^2 statistic, which was calculated using the "dmetar" package (Smellie, 2006). An I^2 index greater than 50% indicated moderate to substantial heterogeneity (Higgins and Thompson, 2002). Influence analysis was conducted to identify the impact of outliers on the overall effect estimate, following the approach outlined by Viechtbauer et al. (2015). Outliers have extremely small and large effects that significantly differ from the overall effect based on 95% CI. To find and remove outlier studies and to measure the pooled effect size without them, the "*find.outliers*" function was used. Furthermore, Egger's regression test for funnel plot asymmetry was performed to measure publication bias using the following equation:

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_k}{SE_{\hat{\theta}_k}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{1}{SE_{\hat{\theta}_k}}$$
(2)

where the response, y, is the observed effect size $\hat{\theta}_k$ divided by the standard error, $SE_{\hat{\theta}_k}$, which gives *z*-scores. In this test (Equation 2), the intercept (β_0) rather than the regression weight (β_1) is used to evaluate the funnel plot asymmetry. If the size of $\hat{\beta}_0$ is significantly different from zero, then the test shows funnel plot asymmetry, i.e., presence of publication bias (Harrer et al., 2021).



3 Results

Electronic searches yielded 19,464 publications, which was reduced to 12,433 after the removal of duplicates (Figure 1). After screening and exclusion, 105 publications met our inclusion criteria. Out of these 105 publications, 64 provided data on the four most commonly reported enteric bacteria (E. coli, Shigella, Salmonella, and Campylobacter) and were included in the metaanalyses (Figure 1). Each individual bacterial species reported in these 64 articles was treated as a unique study. Therefore, this resulted in a total of 93 studies (representing 8,082 isolates). Among these 93 studies, 44 focused on *E. coli* (n = 5,941 isolates), 23 on Shigella (n = 836 isolates), 18 on Salmonella (n = 690 isolates), and 8 on *Campylobacter* (n = 615 isolates). Sub-Saharan Africa had the highest number of eligible studies (n = 37), followed by Asia (n = 31), North Africa/Middle East (n = 14), Central and South America (n = 10), and North America (n = 1). Ethiopia had the highest number of eligible studies (n = 18), followed by Kenya (n = 11) and Iran (n = 10) (Figure 2). A summary of the characteristics of the included studies is presented in Table 1.

The 64 selected publications included observational studies comprising 50 cross-sectional, 11 case–control, and 3 cohort studies. The antimicrobials tested against these bacteria were clinically relevant and included AMP, AMC, TET, SXT, CIP, NA, CN, CRO, and chloramphenicol (C). Only two publications examined all four bacterial species (Bodhidatta et al., 2010; Webale et al., 2020), while seven publications examined three of the four species (Moyo et al., 2011; Mekonnen et al., 2017; Ansari et al., 2012; HaiLing et al., 2017; Sang et al., 2012; Mulatu et al., 2014; Beatty et al., 2009). In addition, nine publications examined two bacterial species each (Jafari et al., 2009; Mandomando et al., 2007; Tosisa et al., 2009; Ameya et al., 2018;

Farahani et al., 2018; Abebe et al., 2018), while the remaining 46 publications examined only one pathogen (Singh et al., 2019; Anvikar et al., 2008; Mahdavi Broujerdi et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2015; Nakhjavani et al., 2013; Saleem et al., 2020; Snehaa et al., 2020; Araque and Labrador, 2018; Al-Saadi et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2016; Seidman et al., 2009; Islam et al., 2019; Amin et al., 2018; Adugna et al., 2015; GebreSilasie et al., 2018; Mahmoudi-aznaveh et al., 2017; Younas et al., 2016; Stoesser et al., 2014; Vilchez et al., 2014; Giani et al., 2018; Monira et al., 2017; Naderi et al., 2016; Dias et al., 2016; Gonzales et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2011; El Metwally et al., 2007; Souza et al., 2009; Hetzer et al., 2019; Dyar et al., 2012; Isendahl et al., 2012; Najibi et al., 2012; Sahoo et al., 2012; Amaya et al., 2011; Prabhurajeshwar et al., 2016; Nyanga et al., 2017; Dhital et al., 2017; Sousa et al., 2013; Beyene and Tasew, 2014; Nunes et al., 2012; Parajuli et al., 2017; Rahouma et al., 2011; Bhattarai et al., 2020; Lengerh et al., 2013; Zaidi et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2019; Ferjani et al., 2018). A comprehensive of meta-analysis results overview is presented in Supplementary Table 2.

3.1 Escherichia coli

Our meta-analysis identified high levels of *E. coli* resistance to key antibiotics, with notable regional variations. Resistance to AMP was the highest overall, with a pooled proportion of 71%, reaching 89% in North Africa/Middle East. Substantial resistance to SXT (56%) and TET (51%) was observed globally, while resistance to CIP (10%) and CRO (8%) remained low but showed regional hotspots, particularly in North Africa/Middle East and Asia.

There were 32 studies with a total of 4,425 *E. coli* isolates that reported resistance to AMP. The overall pooled proportion of AMP resistance was 71%, which increased to 75% after the removal of 11 outlier studies (Figure 3).

TABLE 1 Characteristics of 64 articles included in the meta-analysis of community-acquired AMR in under-10-year-old children in low- and middle-income countries.

Author	Country	Geographical region	Study setting	Study period	Study design	Age	Gender	*Health status	Sample size	Sample type	Bacterial species	No. of isolates	AST interpretive criteria
Saleem et al. (2020)	Pakistan	Asia	Community		Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	58 m;46f	Healthy	104	Stool	E. coli	62	CLSI
Snehaa et al. (2020)	India	Asia	Hospital	Jun 2014-Jun 2015	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	200	Stool	E. coli	19	CLSI
Webale et al. (2020)	Kenya	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital		Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	193 m; 181F	Clinical	374	Stool	E. coli, Campylobacter, Salmonella, Shigella	136	CLSI
Araque and Labrador (2018)	Venezuela	Central/South America	Community	Jan-Jul 2015	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	42 m; 36f	Healthy	78	Stool	E. coli	78	CLSI
Al-saadi et al. (2018)	Iraq	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Jul 2016–Feb 2017	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	289 m;211f	Clinical	500	Stool	E. coli	11	CLSI
Singh et al. (2019)	India	Asia	Hosp/ Community	Jul 2013-Jul 2015	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	75 m;45f	Clinical/healthy	120	Stool	E. coli	120	CLSI
Zheng et al. (2016)	China	Asia	Hospital	Jul 2009–Dec 2014	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	2,318	Stool	E. coli	177	CLSI
Jafari et al. (2009)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	May 2003–May 2005	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	613 m;507f	Clinical	1,120	Stool	E. coli, Shigella	35	CLSI
Seidman et al. (2009)	India	Asia	Community	Nov 2005-Jan 2006	Cross-sectional	0-10 yrs	54 m;66f	Healthy	120	Stool	E. coli	119	CLSI
Mandomando et al. (2007)	Mozambique	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Sep 2000-Sep 2001	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	294 m;235f	Clinical	529	Stool	E. coli, Salmonella	94	CLSI
Islam et al. (2019)	Bangladesh	Asia		Mar -Oct 2017	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	100	Stool	E. coli	82	CLSI
Amin et al. (2018)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Mar 2015 -Feb 2016	Cross-sectional	0-10 yrs			255	Stool	E. coli	32	CLSI
Adugna et al. (2015)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Dec 2011 – Feb 2012	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	239 m;183F	Clinical	422	Stool	E. coli	204	CLSI
Moyo et al. (2011)	Tanzania	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Dec 2005 -Feb 2006	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	172 m;108f	Clinical	280	Stool	E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella	64	CLSI
Gebresilasie et al. (2018)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Aug -Dec 2015	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	253	Stool	E. coli	64	CLSI
Mekonnen et al. (2017)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Dec 2014–Mar 2015	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	105 m;91f	Clinical	196	Stool/rectal swabs	E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella	25	CLSI

(Continued)

10.3389/fmicb.2025.1539160

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author	Country	Geographical region	Study setting	Study period	Study design	Age	Gender	*Health status	Sample size	Sample type	Bacterial species	No. of isolates	AST interpretive criteria
Mahmoudi- aznaveh et al. (2017)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Nov 2012 – Oct 2013	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	547	Stool	E. coli	30	CLSI
Younas et al. (2016)	Pakistan	Asia	Community	2010-2012	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	225		E. coli	46	CLSI
Stoesser et al. (2014)	Lao people's Democratic Republic	Asia	Community	March–June 2011	Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs		Healthy	397	Stool	E. coli	78	CLSI
Vilchez et al. (2014)	Nicaragua	Central/South America	Hosp/ Community	Jan 2010 – Jan 2011	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	720	Stool	E. coli	58	CLSI
Ansari et al. (2012)	Nepal	Asia	Hospital	Apr 2011 -Sept 2011	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	525	Stool	E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella	12	CLSI
Giani et al. (2018)	Bolivia	Central/South America	Community	Sept-Oct 2016	Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs	158;179f	Healthy	337	Stool	E. coli	171	EUCAST
Monira et al. (2017)	Bangladesh	Asia	Community	Feb 2011 – Jul 2012	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Healthy	15	Stool	E. coli	63	CLSI
Naderi et al. (2016)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital		Case/control	0–5 yrs	364 m;236f	Clinical/healthy	600	Stool	E. coli	136	CLSI
Dias et al. (2016)	Brazil	Central/South America	Hosp/ Community	Mar 2013-Sept 2014	Case/control	0–5 yrs	221 m;179f	Clinical/healthy	400	Rectal swabs	E. coli	38	CLSI
Bodhidatta et al. (2010)	Thailand	Asia	Hospital	Oct 2001-Oct 2002	Case/control	0–5 yrs	233 m;239f	Clinical/healthy	472	Stool	E. coli, Campylobacter, Salmonella, Shigella	44	CLSI
Gonzales et al. (2013)	Bolivia	Central/South America	Hospital	Jan 2007-Dec 2010	Case/control	0–5 yrs		Clinical	3,943	Stool	E. coli	881	CLSI
HaiLing et al. (2017)	China	Asia	Hosp/ Community	2014	Case/control	0–5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	680	Stool	E. coli, Campylobacter, Salmonella	201	CLSI
Garcia et al. (2011)	Brazil	Central/South America	Hosp/ Community	May 2007-Dec 2008	Case/control	0–5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	141	Stool	E. coli	136	CLSI
El Metwally et al. (2007)	Egypt	North Africa/ Middle East	Hosp/ Community	Mar-Dec 2005	Case/control	0–5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	200	Stool	E. coli	25	CLSI
Souza et al. (2009)	Brazil	Central/South America	Community	Aug 2007-Oct 2007	Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs		Healthy	114	Stool	E. coli	52	CLSI

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author	Country	Geographical region	Study setting	Study period	Study design	Age	Gender	*Health status	Sample size	Sample type	Bacterial species	No. of isolates	AST interpretive criteria
Hetzer et al.	Thailand	Asia	Hosp/	Sept 2010-Dec	Prospective	0–5 yrs		Healthy	142	Meconium/stool	E. coli	47	EUCAST
(2019)			Community	2012									
Singh et al. (2019)	India	Asia	Hosp/ Community		Case/control	0–5 yrs	53 m;27f	Clinical/healthy	80	Stool	E. coli	55	CLSI
Anvikar et al. (2008)	India	Asia	Community	May 2004-Apr 2005	cohort	0-10 yrs		Clinical	580	Stool	E. coli	64	CLSI
Ferjani et al. (2018)	Tunisia	North Africa/ Middle East	Community	2012-2013	Cross-sectional	0-10 yrs		Clinical	105		E. coli	98	CLSI
Mahdavi Broujerdi et al. (2018)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Sept 2015–Jun 2016	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	208	Stool	E. coli	54	CLSI
Huang et al. (2015)	China	Asia	Hospital	2009	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	1,634	Stool	E. coli	58	CLSI
Nakhjavani et al. (2013)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	2009-2010	Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs		Clinical	612	Stool	E. coli	412	CLSI
Sang et al. (2012)	Kenya	Sub-Saharan Africa	Community	Oct 2007 – Sept 2008	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs	349 m;302f	Clinical	651		E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella	73	CLSI
Dyar et al. (2012)	Vietnam	Asia		Mar -Jun 2007	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	818	Stool	E. coli	738	CLSI
Isendahl et al. (2012)	Guinea- Bissau	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Jun -Sep 2010	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	447	Rectal swabs	E. coli	83	EUCAST
Najibi et al. (2012)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Apr-May 2009	Cross-sectional	0–5 yrs		Clinical	309	Stool	E. coli	29	CLSI
Sahoo et al. (2012)	India	Asia	Community		Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs		Healthy	1,251	Stool	E. coli	696	CLSI
Amaya et al. (2011)	Nicaragua	Central/South America		Mar 2005 – Sept 2006	Case/control	0–5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	381	Stool	E. coli	241	CLSI
Tosisa et al. (2020)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Jan – Jul 2014	Cross-sectional	5-60 months	125 m;114f	Clinical	239	Stool	Shigella, Salmonella	3	CLSI
Assefa and Girma (2019)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Community	Apr-Jul 2016	Cross-sectional	3-60 months	179 m;243f	Clinical	422		Shigella, Salmonella	18	CLSI
Prabhurajeshwar et al. (2016)	India	Asia	Hospital	Jul 2013-Mar 2014	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical	334	Stool	Shigella	32	CLSI
Qu et al. (2016)	China	Asia	Hospital	Apr 2010-Dec 2014	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs	1,616 m;908f	Clinical	2,524	Stool	Shigella, Salmonella	37	CLSI

Okumu et al.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author	Country	Geographical region	Study setting	Study period	Study design	Age	Gender	*Health status	Sample size	Sample type	Bacterial species	No. of isolates	AST interpretive criteria
Mandomando et al. (2009)	Mozambique	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Jul 2001-Jul 2003	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical		Rectal swab	Shigella, Salmonella	109	CLSI
Ameya et al. (2018)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Community	Mar-May 2017	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical	167	Rectal swabs	Shigella, Salmonella	8	CLSI
Nyanga et al. (2017)	Kenya	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital		Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical	Males = 184	Stool	Shigella	14	CLSI
Dhital et al. (2017)	Nepal	Asia	Hospital	Jan-Dec 2014	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical	717	Stool	Shigella	15	CLSI
Mulatu et al. (2014)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Jun -Oct 2011	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs	81 m;77f	Clinical	158	Stool/rectal swabs	Shigella, Campylobacter, Salmonella	11	CLSI
Sousa et al. (2013)	Brazil	Central/South America	Hospital	Mar 2004-Mar 2005	Prospective	1-48 months	83 m;74f	Clinical	157	Stool	Shigella	17	CLSI
Farahani et al. (2018)	Iran	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	2012-2016	Cross-sectional	1–10 yrs		Clinical	5,300	Stool	Shigella, Salmonella	185	CLSI
Abebe et al. (2018)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Jun – Sept 2017	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs	101 m;103f	Clinical	204	Stool	Shigella, Salmonella	17	CLSI
Beyene and Tasew (2014)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Mar-Nov 2012	Cross-sectional	0–10 yrs		Clinical	260	Stool/rectal swabs	Shigella	6	CLSI
Beatty et al. (2009)	Kenya	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Oct 2001-Oct 2003	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs		Clinical	2,550	Stool	Shigella, Campylobacter, Salmonella	116	CLSI
Nunes et al. (2012)	Brazil	Central/South America	Hospital	Jan 2004 – Aug 2007	Case/control	<5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	250	Stool	Shigella	26	CLSI
Parajuli et al. (2017)	Nepal	Asia	Hospital	Jul-16	Case/control	6-30 months	1 m;1f	Clinical	2	Stool	Shigella	2	CLSI
Rahouma et al. (2011)	Libya	North Africa/ Middle East	Hospital	Feb – Oct 2008	Cross-sectional	5 years		Clinical	239	Stool	Salmonella	19	CLSI
Bhattarai et al. (2020)	Nepal	Asia	Hospital	Nov 2017 -Apr 2018	Cross-sectional	<5 yrs	207 m;96f	Clinical	303	Stool	Campylobacter	172	EUCAST
Lengerh et al. (2013)	Ethiopia	Sub-Saharan Africa	Hospital	Oct 2011-Mar 2012	Cross-sectional	1 mo-5 yrs	144 m;141f	Clinical	285	Stool	Campylobacter	44	CLSI
Zaidi et al. (2012)	Mexico	North America	Hosp/ Community	2003-2006	Cross-sectional	≤5 yrs		Clinical/healthy	2042	Stool	Campylobacter	105	CLSI

AST, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST, European Committee of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.

 $* Health \ status \ (clinical): \ sick \ children \ either \ in \ the \ community \ or \ visiting \ hospital \ after \ acquiring \ a \ community \ infection.$



Regional subgroup analyses revealed a pooled AMP resistance proportion of 89% for North Africa/Middle East, 81% for sub-Saharan Africa, 71% for Asia, and 52% for Central and South America (Figure 4A). There were 33 studies with a total of 5,198 E. coli isolates studied for resistance to SXT. The pooled SXT resistance proportion was 56%, which decreased to 54% after the removal of 14 outlier studies. Regional subgroup analyses revealed a pooled SXT resistance proportion of 73% for sub-Saharan Africa, 57% for North Africa/ Middle East, 50% for Asia, and 48% for Central and South America (Figure 4B). Although CIP resistance was lower overall (10%), it was notably higher in North Africa/Middle East (20%) than in other regions, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions in these areas (Figure 4C). There were 18 studies with a total of 1,865 E. coli isolates studied for resistance to CRO. The pooled CRO resistance proportion was 8%, which decreased to 4% after the removal of three outlier studies. The pooled CRO resistance proportion was 18% in Asia, 13% in North Africa/Middle East, 5% in sub-Saharan Africa, and 1% in Central and South America (Figure 4D). A total of 21 studies investigated 2,080 E. coli isolates studied for resistance to AMC, reporting a pooled resistance proportion of 20%, which decreased to 17% after 10 outliers were removed. Regional subgroup analysis revealed moderate resistance to AMC, with the highest prevalence in North Africa/Middle East (51%) and the lowest in Asia (7%). Detailed statistics are provided in Supplementary Figure 1A. CN resistance was investigated by 33 studies covering 3,111 E. coli isolates. The pooled CN resistance proportion was 12%, which decreased to 10% after the removal of 13 outliers. Subgroup analysis showed a CN resistance of 22% among Asian isolates, while CN resistance of *E. coli* isolates from sub-Saharan Africa, North Africa/ Middle East, and Central and South America was 18, 8, and 2%, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1B). There were 28 studies with a total of 4,658 *E. coli* isolates investigated for TET resistance. The pooled TET resistance proportion was 51%, which increased to 54% after the removal of nine outliers. Regional subgroup analysis revealed that *E. coli* showed a higher TET resistance in Asia (56%) than in North Africa/ Middle East (51%), sub-Saharan Africa (49%), and Central and South America (39%) (Supplementary Figure 1C).

3.2 Shigella

Meta-analysis of *Shigella* isolates revealed large regional differences in resistance to the tested antibiotics. Resistance to AMP was highest overall with a pooled estimate of 76%, with the highest proportion in North Africa/Middle East (85%) followed by sub-Saharan Africa (79%). Resistance to CIP, CRO, and NA was low overall (<10%), but higher in specific regions, such as Asia for CIP (28%) and NA (53%).

There were 20 studies with a total of 525 *Shigella* isolates that reported resistance to CIP. The overall pooled proportion of CIP resistance was 3% (Figure 3), which decreased to 2% after the removal of three outlier studies (Figure 3). Regional subgroup AMR analysis showed a CIP resistance of 28% in Asia and 3% in sub-Saharan Africa (Figure 5A). There were 16 studies that analyzed 635 *Shigella* isolates for resistance to NA. The overall pooled NA resistance proportion

was 9%, which decreased to 5% when three outliers were removed (Supplementary Table 2). Regional subgroup analysis showed higher resistance estimates for Asian isolates (53%) than for those from

North Africa/Middle East (11%) and sub-Saharan Africa (6%) (Figure 5B). There were 20 studies that analyzed 771 isolates for AMP resistance. The pooled estimate proportion for AMP resistance was

А

Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% CI	GLMM, Random, 95% CI
study_region = Sub-Saharan	Africa			
Webale, M. et al., 2020	80	136	0.59 [0.50; 0.67]	
Mandomando I. M. at al., 2007	68	94	0.72 [0.62; 0.81]	
Ayrikim A. et al., 2015	177		0.87 [0.81; 0.91]	T .=
Sabrina J M. et al 2011	62	64	0.97 [0.89; 1.00]	
Gebresilasie et al., 2018	54	64		
Mekonnen et al., 2017	13	25	0.52 [0.31; 0.72]	
Willie K. et al 2013	63	73	0.86 [0.76; 0.93]	
Total (95% CI)		660	0.81 [0.63; 0.91]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.8045; C	$hi^2 = 57.5$, df = 6	$(P < 0.01); I^2 = 90\%$	
study_region = Asia				
Singh T. et al., 2019	30	120	0.25 [0.18; 0.34]	
Zheng S. et al., 2016	165	177	0.93 [0.88; 0.96]	-
				_
Seidman J. C. et al., 2008	46		0.39 [0.30; 0.48]	
Mohammad A. I. et al., 2019	82		1.00 [0.96; 1.00]	_=
Younas et al., 2016	42		0.91 [0.79; 0.98]	
Ansari S. 2012	5	12	0.42 [0.15; 0.72]	
Monira et al., 2017	42	63	0.67 [0.54; 0.78]	
Bodhidatta L. et al 2010	24	44	0.55 [0.39; 0.70]	
HaiLing et al 2017	129	201	0.64 [0.57; 0.71]	
Hetzer et al	36	47	0.77 [0.62; 0.88]	
Singh T. et al2019	21	55	0.38 [0.25; 0.52]	
Anvikar A. et al 2008	39	64	0.61 [0.48; 0.73]	
	50	58	0.86 [0.75; 0.94]	
Yong H. et al 2015				
Oliver J. et al 2012	533	738	0.72 [0.69; 0.75]	
Total (95% CI)	2	1826	0.71 [0.52; 0.85]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.9312; C	hi ² = 205.	82, df =	= 13 (P < 0.01); I ² = 94%	
study_region = North Africa/	Middle E	ast		
Jafari F. et al., 2009	16	35	0.46 [0.29; 0.63]	—— <mark>—</mark> ——
Amin M. et al 2018	32	32	1.00 [0.89; 1.00]	
Hala A.R. et al 2007	19	25	0.76 [0.55; 0.91]	
Farrokh A. et al 2012	16		0.04 [0.02; 0.06]	
Najibi S. 2012	29	29	1.00 [0.88; 1.00]	•
	29			
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 15.5624; ($Chi^2 = 92$	533	0.89 [0.03; 1.00] = 4 (P < 0.01): ² = 96%	
			(1 4 6.6 1), 1 = 6676	
study_region = Central/Sout			0.04 10.44, 0.071	_
Vilchez S. 2014	14		0.24 [0.14; 0.37]	_ _
Dias R. at al 2016	17	38	0.45 [0.29; 0.62]	
Gonzales L. et al 2013	826		0.94 [0.92; 0.95]	-
Patricia G. et al 2011	42	136	0.31 [0.23; 0.39]	- <mark></mark>
Souza T. B. et al 2009	14	52	0.27 [0.16; 0.41]	— <mark>—</mark>
Amaya E. et al 2011	169		0.70 [0.64; 0.76]	
Total (95% CI)		1406	0.52 [0.20; 0.82]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.8516$; C	hi ² = 345.		$= 5 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 99\%$	
Total (95% CI)		140F	0 71 [0 57: 0 92]	
Total (95% CI)		4425	0.71 [0.57; 0.82]	
Prediction interval Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.8448; C	2 070	05 16	[0.07; 0.99]	

Study or				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% CI	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl
study_region = Sub-Saharan Af	irica			
Webale, M. et al., 2020	89	136	0.65 [0.57; 0.73]	- <mark></mark>
Mandomando I. M. at al., 2007	54	94	0.57 [0.47; 0.68]	
Ayrikim A. et al., 2015	155	204	0.76 [0.70; 0.82]	- <mark></mark> -
Sabrina J M. et al 2011	58	64	0.91 [0.81; 0.96]	— <mark>—</mark>
Gebresilasie et al., 2018	40	64	0.62 [0.50; 0.74]	
Mekonnen et al., 2017	4	25	0.16 [0.05; 0.36]	— <mark>—</mark>
Willie K. et al 2013	64	73		— <mark>—</mark> —
Joakim I. et al 2012	78	83	0.94 [0.86; 0.98]	
Total (95% CI)		743	0.73 [0.49: 0.89]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.4744$; Chi ²	= 72.06, d	lf = 7 (F	$P < 0.01$; $I^2 = 90\%$	
study_region = Asia				
Zheng S. et al., 2016	101	177		
Seidman J. C. et al., 2008	44	119		_
Mohammad A. I. et al., 2019	27	82		— <mark>—</mark> —
Stoesser N. et al., 2015	58	78		
Ansari S. 2012	4	12		
Monira et al., 2017	26	63	0.41 [0.29; 0.54]	— <mark>—</mark> —
Bodhidatta L. et al 2010	18	44	0.41 [0.26; 0.57]	—— <mark>—</mark> —————————————————————————————————
HaiLing et al 2017	99	201	0.49 [0.42; 0.56]	- <mark></mark>
Hetzer et al	29	47		— <u></u>
Anvikar A. et al 2008	46	64	0.72 [0.59; 0.82]	
Yong H. et al 2015	39	58		÷ •
Oliver J. et al 2012	559	738	0.76 [0.72; 0.79]	
Sahoo C. et al 2012	79	696	0.11 [0.09; 0.14]	-
Total (95% CI)		2379	0.50 [0.37; 0.63]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.7537; Chi ²	= 532.84,	df = 12	2 (P < 0.01); I ² = 98%	
study_region = North Africa/Mi				_
Jafari F. et al., 2009	19	35		
Amin M. et al 2018	32	32		
Mahmoudi-aznaveh A. et al., 2016		30	0.60 [0.41; 0.77]	
Naderi G. et al 2016	72	136	0.53 [0.44; 0.62]	
Hala A.R. et al 2007	14	25	0.56 [0.35; 0.76]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Farrokh A. et al 2012	9	412	0.02 [0.01; 0.04]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.9056; Chi ²	= 120.91,	670 df = 5	0.57 [0.08; 0.95] (P < 0.01); ² = 96%	
study_region = Central/South A	merica			
Vilchez S. 2014	26	58	0.45 [0.32; 0.58]	
Dias R. at al 2016	10	38		
Gonzales L. et al 2013	659		0.75 [0.72; 0.78]	
Patricia G. et al 2011	42	136		
Souza T. B. et al 2009	16	52		<mark>_</mark>
Amaya E. et al 2011	177		0.73 [0.67; 0.79]	
Total (95% CI)		1406	-	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.7401$; Chi ²	= 154.52,			
Total (95% CI)		5198		
Prediction interval			[0.08; 0.95]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.7345; Chi ² Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =				0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

76%, which remained the same after three outliers were removed. Regional subgroup analysis showed a pooled AMP resistance proportion of 85% for North Africa/Middle East, 79% for sub-Saharan Africa, and 74% for Asia (Figure 5C). Of the 367 isolates from 11 studies that analyzed CRO resistance, a pooled resistance proportion of 2% was observed, which decreased to 1% after one outlier was removed. Of the 238 isolates investigated from eight studies in sub-Saharan Africa, the pooled proportion for CRO resistance was 4% (Figure 5D). Three studies analyzed 39 isolates showing AZM resistance, resulting in an overall resistance proportion of 29%. No outlier was removed since none was detected as shown in Supplementary Table 2.

o(
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% CI	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl
study_region = Sub-Saharan A	frica			
Webale, M. et al., 2020	41	136	0.30 [0.23; 0.39]	
Mandomando I. M. at al., 2007	1	94	0.01 [0.00; 0.06]	-
Ayrikim A. et al., 2015	14	204		=
Sabrina J M. et al 2011	0	64		F
Gebresilasie et al., 2018	3	64		
Mekonnen et al., 2017	1	25		
Willie K. et al 2013	1	73		-
Joakim I. et al 2012	68	83		<mark>_</mark> _
Total (95% CI)		743	0.05 [0.01; 0.30]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.0332; Chi ²	= 151.03,	df = 7		
study_region = Central/South A	merica			
Araque, M. et al., 2018	4	78	0.05 [0.01; 0.13]	
Giani T. et al., 2018	109	171	0.64 [0.56; 0.71]	
Gonzales L. et al 2013	7	881	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	
Amaya E. et al 2011	3	241	0.01 [0.00; 0.04]	
Total (95% CI)		1371	0.05 [0.00; 0.64]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4.6791; Chi ²	= 240.02,	df = 3	$(P < 0.01); I^2 = 99\%$	
study_region = North Africa/Mi	ddle East	t		_
Al-saadi, Z H et al., 2018	8	11		
Jafari F. et al., 2009	0	35		—
Amin M. et al 2018	6	32		
Mahmoudi-aznaveh A. et al., 2016		30		
Naderi G. et al 2016	43	136		
Hala A.R. et al 2007	0	25		
Sanaz M. et al 2018	7	54		· • ·
Najibi S. 2012	29	29	1.00 [0.88; 1.00]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 10.6460; Chi	² = 17.68,	352 df = 7	0.20 [0.01; 0.82] (P = 0.01); I ² = 60%	
study_region = Asia				
Singh T. et al., 2019	12	120	0.10 [0.05; 0.17]	÷-
Zheng S. et al., 2016	31	177		T
Seidman J. C. et al., 2008	15	119		
Mohammad A. I. et al., 2019	30	82		— <u>—</u>
Younas et al., 2016	18	46	0.39 0.25; 0.55]	
Ansari S. 2012	1	12	0.08 [0.00; 0.38] -	
Monira et al., 2017	16	63	0.25 [0.15; 0.38]	
Bodhidatta L. et al 2010	0	44	0.00 [0.00; 0.08]	-
HaiLing et al 2017	9	201	0.04 [0.02; 0.08]	<mark>#</mark>
Hetzer et al	9	47		÷ •
Singh T. et al2019	8	55		
Anvikar A. et al 2008	35	64		
Yong H. et al 2015	16	58		
Oliver J. et al 2012	2	738		
Sahoo C. et al 2012	60	696		
Total (95% CI)		2522	0.12 [0.06; 0.24]	-
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 2.0691$; Chi ²	= 187.75,			
Total (95% CI)		4988		•
Prediction interval			[0.00; 0.91]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4.5724; Chi ² Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² :				0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

3.3 Salmonella

Meta-analysis of resistance shown by *Salmonella* revealed moderate levels of resistance to the antibiotics and some regional differences. Resistance was highest for AMP (55%) and SXT (25%), with sub-Saharan

Africa showing higher resistance than Asia in both cases. C resistance was also more prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa than in Asia. CIP resistance was minimal overall (1%), with no resistance observed in sub-Saharan Africa.

There were 16 studies that analyzed 642 *Salmonella* isolates for AMP resistance. The overall pooled proportion of AMP resistance was

D

Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl	
study_region = Sub-Saha	aran Africa	a			
Webale, M. et al., 2020	18	136	0.13 [0.08; 0.20]		
Gebresilasie et al., 2018	2	64	0.03 [0.00; 0.11]	<u> </u>	
Mekonnen et al., 2017	0	25	0.00 [0.00; 0.14]		
Total (95% CI)		225	0.05 [0.00; 0.59]		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.8164$	4; Chi ² = 4.	16, df =	= 2 (P = 0.12); I ² = 52%		
study_region = North Afr	ica/Middle	e East			
Al-saadi, Z H et al., 2018	11	11	1.00 [0.72; 1.00]		
Jafari F. et al., 2009	0	35	0.00 [0.00; 0.10]		
Amin M. et al 2018	26	32	0.81 [0.64; 0.93]		
Hala A.R. et al 2007	0	25	0.00 [0.00; 0.14]		
Sanaz M. et al 2018	23	54	0.43 [0.29; 0.57]	— <mark>—</mark>	
Farrokh A. et al 2012	7	412	0.02 [0.01; 0.03]	3	
Total (95% CI)		569	0.13 [0.00; 0.97]		_
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 21.66$	11; Chi ² = 1	100.5, d	$df = 5 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 95\%$		
study_region = Asia					
Singh T. et al., 2019	2	120	0.02 [0.00; 0.06]	F	
Seidman J. C. et al., 2008	5	119	0.04 [0.01; 0.10]	-	
Stoesser N. et al., 2015	74	78	0.95 [0.87; 0.99]	-	
Monira et al., 2017	18	63	0.29 [0.18; 0.41]		
HaiLing et al 2017	52	201	0.26 [0.20; 0.33]		
Singh T. et al2019	1	55	0.02 [0.00; 0.10]	₽	
Yong H. et al 2015	22	58	0.38 [0.26; 0.52]	—— <mark>—</mark> ———	
Total (95% CI)		694	0.18 [0.02; 0.65]		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 5.2843$	9; Chi ² = 11	10.99, 0	$df = 6 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 95\%$		
study_region = Central/S	outh Ame		_		
Patricia G. et al 2011	0	136	0.00 [0.00; 0.03]		
Amaya E. et al 2011	2	241	0.01 [0.00; 0.03]	1	
Total (95% CI)	2	377	0.01 [0.00; 0.98]		_
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0; Chi	$^{2} = 0, df = 1$	1 (P = 1	1.00); $I^2 = 0\%$		
Total (95% CI)		1865	0.08 [0.02; 0.31]		
Prediction interval	2		[0.00; 0.99]		_
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 9.738				1 1 1 1	I
Test for subgroup differences	s: Chi [∠] = 11	.77, df	= 3 (P < 0.01) 0	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	1

55% (Figure 3), which increased to 58% after the removal of one outlier study (Figure 3). Regional subgroup analysis showed that sub-Saharan Africa had a higher pooled AMP resistance proportion than Asia (66% vs. 46%) (Figure 6A). Of the 533 isolates from 15 studies that analyzed resistance to SXT, a pooled resistance proportion of 25% was reported, which increased to 26% after the removal of two outlier studies. Regional subgroup analysis showed that sub-Saharan Africa had higher SXT resistance than Asia (33% vs. 20%) (Figure 6B). Of the 457 isolates from 15 studies that analyzed C resistance, a pooled resistance proportion of 23% was reported, which decreased to 21% after one outlier was removed (Supplementary Figure 2A). Regional subgroup analysis showed that sub-Saharan Africa had higher C resistance than Asia (28% vs. 15%). The pooled proportion of CIP resistance was 1% from 15 studies that analyzed 461 isolates. When six outliers were removed, 100% CIP susceptibility was found, as shown in Supplementary Table 2. Regional subgroup analysis showed 2% resistance in Asian isolates and 100% susceptibility among sub-Saharan African isolates (Supplementary Figure 2B).

3.4 Campylobacter

Meta-analysis of resistance shown by Campylobacter to the antibiotics highlighted notable regional differences, with higher ERY resistance observed in sub-Saharan Africa (44%) than in Asia (37%). CIP resistance was more prevalent in Asia (57%) than in sub-Saharan Africa (9%), while AMP resistance was similar across regions. CN resistance was higher in sub-Saharan Africa (33%) than in Asia (13%).

Of the 489 isolates from seven studies that analyzed ERY resistance, a pooled resistance proportion of 33% was reported, which increased to 35% after the removal of two outlier studies. Studies from sub-Saharan Africa reported higher ERY resistance than those from Asia (44% vs. 37%) (Figure 7A). Eight studies covering 615 isolates that analyzed resistance to CIP reported a pooled resistance estimate of 27%, which decreased to 21% after the removal of one outlier study. Subgroup analysis showed higher resistance in Asia (57%) than in sub-Saharan Africa (9%) (Figures 3, 7B). There were five studies with 368 *Campylobacter* isolates that analyzed AMP resistance, which reported a pooled AMP resistance among Asian isolates was 58%, while that among sub-Saharan African isolates was 51% (Supplementary Figure 3A). The

pooled proportion of CN resistance across the regions was 13% out of the 489 isolates investigated across seven studies, without outlier removal, with sub-Saharan Africa showing higher resistance than Asia (33% vs. 13%) (Supplementary Figure 3B).

In summary, the analysis revealed moderate ($I^2 > 50\%$) to high level ($I^2 > 75\%$) of heterogeneity between the results, with no evidence of small study effects or publication bias overall (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 4).

4 Discussion

This meta-analysis illustrates the level of pooled AMR in key bacterial enteric pathogens, which may lead to potential treatment failures of certain antimicrobials (Murray et al., 2022).

А

Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl
study_region = Sub-Saharar	1 Africa			
Tosisa W. et al 2020	0	3	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]	
Assefa et al 2019	0	18	0.00 [0.00; 0.19]	
Webale M. et al 2020	4	45	0.09 [0.02; 0.21]	
Gemechu A. et al 2018	0	8	0.00 [0.00; 0.37]	
Peter L. et al 2017	2	14	0.14 [0.02; 0.43]	
Mulatu G. et al 2014	0	11	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]	
Willie K. et al 2013	1	15	0.07 [0.00; 0.32]	
Sabrina J. et al 2011	0	15	0.00 [0.00; 0.22]	
Abebe et al 2018	3	17	0.18 [0.04; 0.43]	
Mekonnen et al 2017	1	11	0.09 [0.00; 0.41]	÷ <mark>• • •</mark>
Beyene G et al 2014	0	6	0.00 [0.00; 0.46]	
Beatty E. et al 2009	0	116	0.00 [0.00; 0.03]	
Total (95% CI)		279	0.03 [0.01; 0.11]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.4269; C	chi ² = 1.42	2, df = 1	1 (P = 1.00); $I^2 = 0\%$	
study_region = Asia				
Prabhurajeshwar C. et al 2016	29	32	0.91 [0.75; 0.98]	—— <mark>—</mark> —
Qu Mei et al 2016	1	37	0.03 [0.00; 0.14]	-
Subhash D. et al 2017	10	15	0.67 [0.38; 0.88]	t_
Ansari S. 2012	2	24	0.08 [0.01; 0.27]	
Parajuli N. P. et al 2017	2	2	1.00 [0.16; 1.00]	<mark></mark> -
Bodhidatta L. et al 2010	0	22	0.00 [0.00; 0.15]	
Total (95% CI)		132	0.28 [0.01; 0.93]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 9.9448; C	chi ² = 38.5	9, df =	5 (P < 0.01); $I^2 = 87\%$	
study_region = North Africa	/Middle E			
Jafari F. et al 2009	0	88	0.00 [0.00; 0.04]	
study_region = Central/Sout	h Ameri	ca	_	
Nunes et al 2012	0	26	0.00 [0.00; 0.13]	
Total (95% CI)		525	0.03 [0.00; 0.15]	
Prediction interval	2		[0.00; 0.95]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 9.2145; C	chi ² = 60.9 hi ² = 2.69	1, df =	19 (P < 0.01); $I^2 = 69\%$	

В

Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl
study_region = Sub-Sa	haran A			
Tosisa W. et al 2020	0	3	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]	
Webale M. et al 2020	4	45	0.09 [0.02; 0.21]	- -
Peter L. et al 2017	2	14		
Mulatu G. et al 2014	0	11	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]	
Willie K. et al 2013	1	15	0.07 [0.00; 0.32]	-
Abebe et al 2018	0	17		
Mekonnen et al 2017	2	11	0.18 [0.02; 0.52]	
Beyene G et al 2014	1	6	0.17 [0.00; 0.64]	
Beatty E. et al 2009	3	116	0.03 [0.01; 0.07]	
Total (95% CI)		238	0.06 [0.03; 0.12]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.19$	935; Chi ²	= 6.94	, df = 8 (P = 0.54); $I^2 = 0\%$	
study_region = Asia				
Qu Mei et al 2016	36	37		
Subhash D. et al 2017	12	15		
Ansari S. 2012	13	24		
Bodhidatta L. et al 2010	0	22	0.00 [0.00; 0.15]	
Total (95% CI)		98	0.53 [0.00; 1.00]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 10.5$	5043; Chi	² = 10.	74, df = 3 (P = 0.01); $I^{2} = 72\%$	6
study_region = North A				_
Jafari F. et al 2009	2	88		-
Farahani et al 2018	69	185		
Total (95% CI)	2	273	0.11 [0.00; 1.00]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.64	499; Chi ²	= 19.6	5, df = 1 (P < 0.01); l ² = 95%	
study_region = Centra				-
Nunes et al 2012	0	26	0.00 [0.00; 0.13]	
Total (95% CI)		635	0.09 [0.02; 0.31]	
Prediction interval			[0.00; 0.96] 7, df = 15 (P < 0.01); l ² = 84%	

4.1 Escherichia coli

Our analysis of the 64 studies from LMICs showed that enteric *E. coli* were resistant to critically important antimicrobials at rates ranging from 8 to 71%. The pooled CRO resistance of 8% shows that these isolates could be Extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producers. CRO is a third-generation cephalosporin (3GC)-resistant pathogens would render treatment with AMP or AMC ineffective (Abayneh et al., 2018). CRO is classified as Highest Priority Critically Important Antimicrobial (HPCIA) by WHO, and the resistance of *E. coli* to this 3GC poses a significant human health challenge (World Health Organization, 2019). Although it is not clear why *E. coli* shows higher CRO resistance in Asia than in other regions, the use of CRO as an alternative therapy in the treatment of diarrhea where other

antibiotics fail has been reported in some developing Asian countries (Gu et al., 2015). This increase in resistance may be associated with the global increase in antibiotic consumption, a 65% rise between 2000 and 2015 (Klein et al., 2018). Notably, four out of six countries with the highest antibiotic consumption rates were LMICs (namely Tunisia, Algeria, Romania, and Turkey). Furthermore, overall antibiotic consumption has increased by 114% in LMICs, led by India, Pakistan, and China. The higher consumption of broad-spectrum penicillins, cephalosporins, quinolones, and macrolides by LMICs than by HICs could contribute to the increased development of resistance, including against antibiotics like CRO (Klein et al., 2018; Wieters et al., 2024). Higher CRO resistance, as reported in clinical *E. coli*, could therefore be associated with increased usage in clinical settings (Gelaw et al., 2022). ESBL-encoding genes are mostly plasmid borne and circulate in bacterial clones by horizontal transfer. ESBL-E not only circulates



among community-onset and hospital infections but has also been reported in more than 60% of healthy children and adults with minimal antimicrobial exposure (Bartoloni et al., 2009). Therefore, fecal *E. coli* is a useful indicator of the spread of community-acquired AMR genes (Woerther et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Hijazi et al., 2016; Rolain, 2013; World Health Organization, 2020; United Nations Environment Programme, 2023).

Resistance to AMP among *E. coli* isolates ranged from 89% in North Africa/Middle East, 81% in sub-Saharan Africa, 71% in Asia, to 62% in Central and South America. This high level of AMP resistance is consistent with reports from various studies conducted in South Africa and Peru investigating AMP resistance in diarrheagenic *E. coli* (DEC) isolates from affected children (Omolajaiye et al., 2020; Ochoa et al., 2009). The lower AMP resistance observed in Central and South America could be due to prioritizing nutritional therapy and considering antibiotic use only in the treatment of persistent diarrhea (Dias et al., 2016). However, the 93% AMP resistance observed among Bolivian *E. coli* isolates was associated with inappropriate antimicrobial use (Gonzales et al., 2013). The AMP resistance in this study (like AMC) is concerning since this is the only WHO-recommended pediatric oral antibiotic for outpatient treatment of bacterial infections without access to hospital-based care. However, AMC is not the best option for the treatment of diarrhea as it is largely an oral formulation quickly absorbed in the gut (Okomo et al., 2019). These results on the resistance of *E. coli* to AMP are

D



consistent with those reported in the review of commensal *E. coli* isolates collected from healthy community participants of 0–77 years of age in LMICs, indicating that AMR pathogens in healthy people may be acting as AMR reservoirs (Nji et al., 2021). Regional differences in AMP resistance shown by high between-region heterogeneity ($I^2 > 75\%$) were statistically significant (p < 0.01), which is reflective of high variability in sample sizes, number of isolates, and resistance prevalence across the included studies.

Resistance patterns across regions showed reduced sensitivity to SXT (56% resistance proportion), which is one of the recommended treatment choices for ESBL-E (Figure 4B). This finding was consistent with the SXT resistance proportions of *E. coli* reported in a West African review of patients attending a hospital outpatient department (Bernabé et al., 2017). Since SXT is largely used in the treatment of diarrhea, the SXT resistance reported poses a significant health concern in humans (Guarino et al., 2018). The higher SXT resistance reported in Africa than in other regions could be associated with its prophylactic use to reduce morbidity and mortality among HIV-positive patients (Morpeth et al., 2008; Said et al., 2022). TET resistance (54%) is of particular interest since TET is contraindicated in children (Hetzer et al., 2019); therefore, TET resistance in humans may be due to zoonotic transfer from animals and/or co-selection or cross resistance, e.g., through efflux pumps (Nji et al., 2021).

4.2 Shigella

Shigellosis, which is typically self-limiting, sometimes requires antimicrobial therapy to avoid complications, reduce dysenteric discharge, and stop prolonged fecal shedding. CIP, a fluoroquinolone like NA, is a high-priority critically important antibiotic recommended as the first-line treatment of shigellosis, especially in adult patients (WHO, 2005a). In our study, a pooled CIP resistance proportion of 3% out of 525 isolates was identified. Regional subgroup analysis revealed that *Shigella* isolates from Asia were more resistant to CIP (28% out of 132 isolates) than those from other regions, which ranged from 0 to 3%. The study by The et al. (2016) found that all CIP-resistant *Shigella* they isolated belonged to one clade, with Asia being the likely primary source. This review confirms that Asian *Shigella* is more likely to be CIP resistant. This may be due to specific genetic characteristics associated with effluxpump-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance observed in *S. flexneri*

(Azmi et al., 2014). This also reflects the global trend in Shigella resistance with the emergence of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) Shigella sonnei reported in clinical samples in Europe, where CIP resistance is documented at 42.3%, suggesting global spread (Lefèvre et al., 2023). Other fluoroquinolone-resistant Shigella depict a similar trend as shown by NA-resistant Shigella from Asia in this study (Figure 5B). This observed quinolone resistance may not be directly associated with use since quinolones are not prescribed to children but widely used in veterinary medicine. Thus, fluoroquinolone resistance reported in this review could be spreading to children from older people or foods of animal origin (Hijazi et al., 2016; González and Araque, 2013; Clermont et al., 2000). Due to the emerging CIP resistance, WHO recommends amidinopenicillins (pivmecillinam) or CRO as second-line therapies for CIP-resistant shigellosis (WHO, 2005a; Azmi et al., 2014). CRO efficacy in Shigella infection treatment is shown by the low pooled resistance proportion in this review (2% out of 367 isolates). However, inappropriate use (dose, frequency, or duration) in more than 60% of patients that ever get CRO in Africa could further lead to the emergence and spread of resistance (Bishaw et al., 2021). Due to AMP resistance across regions, as also depicted in this study (76% out of 771 isolates), WHO highlighted AMP as one of the antimicrobials that is inappropriate for shigellosis treatment (WHO, 2005a; Williams and Berkley, 2018).

4.3 Salmonella

Salmonella is an archetypal zoonotic Enterobacterale implicated in self-limiting diarrheal disease in humans, with children aged ≤5 years particularly affected (Park et al., 2021). SXT, C, and AMP are the most used antibiotics in the empiric treatment of salmonellosis. The resistance proportion of Salmonella to SXT, C, and AMP was mostly higher in sub-Saharan African studies (33, 28, and 66%) than in Asian studies (20, 15, and 46%), respectively. The huge increase in antimicrobial consumption in Africa and Asia could be the reason for this reported resistance (Murray et al., 2022; Browne et al., 2021). This could result in the use of other antimicrobials that are not specifically indicated for salmonellosis treatment, such as CIP and CRO. Park et al. (2021) reported the spread of CIP- and CRO-resistant Salmonella typhimurium ST313 and Enteritidis ST11 in several countries in Africa using genotypic methods. They attributed the resistance to the growing use of these antimicrobials to treat febrile illnesses in Africa. However, our study showed low resistance to CIP (1% out of 461

1	Δ	
r	٦	

Subgroup	Events	Total	GLMM, Random, 95% CI	GLMM, Random, 95% Cl
study_region = Sub-Sahara	an Africa			
Tosisa W. et al., 2020	3	3	1.00 [0.29; 1.00]	
Webale, M. et al., 2020	7	9	0.78 [0.40; 0.97]	
Mandomando I. et al., 2009	10	40	0.25 [0.13; 0.41]	— <mark>—</mark>
Mandomando I. et al., 2007	8	13	0.62 [0.32; 0.86]	
Gemechu A. et al., 2018	21	21	1.00 [0.84; 1.00]	
Mulatu G. et al., 2014	0	4	0.00 [0.00; 0.60]	
Willie K. et al., 2013	13	23	0.57 [0.34; 0.77]	
Sabrina J. M. et al., 2011	3	7	0.43 [0.10; 0.82]	
Abebe et al., 2018	2	2	1.00 [0.16; 1.00]	
Mekonnen et al., 2017	5	7	0.71 [0.29; 0.96]	
Beatty E. et al., 2009	57	105	0.54 [0.44; 0.64]	
Total (95% CI)		234	0.66 [0.38; 0.86]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 2.0004;$	Chi ² = 14.	.59, df =	= 10 (P = 0.15); I ² = 31%	
study_region = Asia				_
Qu M. et al., 2016	51	109		
Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010	11	38		— —
HaiLing et al., 2017	38	75	0.51 [0.39; 0.62]	— —
Ansari S. 2012	7	10	0.70 [0.35; 0.93]	
Total (95% CI)		232	0.46 [0.34; 0.59]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0255;	Chi ² = 7.0	6, df =	3 (P = 0.07); $I^2 = 57\%$	
study_region = North Africa	a/Middle	East		
Farahani et al., 2018	16	176	0.09 [0.05; 0.14]	-
Total (95% CI) Prediction interval		642	0.55 [0.35; 0.73] [0.06; 0.96]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.7870$; Test for subgroup differences: 0	Chi ⁻ = 86.	57, df =	= 15 (P < 0.01); I ² = 83% ¹ = 2 (P < 0.01) 0	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1



isolates) and CRO (0%), which may be because the review focused on studies reporting lab-based phenotypic AMR profiles of the isolates as opposed to complete AMR genotypes.

4.4 Campylobacter

In this meta-analysis, eight studies analyzed the AMR of *Campylobacter* from 615 isolates. ERY and CN resistance proportions were higher in sub-Saharan African isolates than in Asian isolates, whereas AMP and CIP resistance proportions were higher in Asian than in sub-Saharan African isolates. In studies that isolated mixed CESS isolates, *Campylobacter* spp. was the most predominant etiology of resistance to commonly prescribed antimicrobials (Mulatu et al., 2014). Hlashwayo et al. (2021) investigated the resistance of *Campylobacter* isolated from all age groups in Africa and reported that its resistance to ERY was 43% (vs. 33% in this study), TET was 43% (vs. 43%), CIP was 16% (vs. 27%), NA was 36% (vs. 35%), and CN was 35% (vs. 13%). These resistance patterns are consistent with ours, and inappropriate use of antimicrobials in LMICs could partly explain this phenomenon. TET resistance observed in our study may have

included zoonotic transfer from animals and/or co-selection or crossresistance through efflux pumps and mobile genetic elements, such as transposons (Nji et al., 2021). *Campylobacter* is commonly isolated from poultry, and the use of CIP and other quinolones in poultry farming is widely practiced (Coulidiaty et al., 2021). Therefore, CIP resistance in these community *Campylobacter* isolates from children could be due to zoonotic transfer.

The highest AMR proportion among these CESS infections was observed against AMP, with a pooled resistance proportion higher than 50%. This suggests that antimicrobials recommended for empiric treatment in children are losing their efficacy. AMP and CIP resistance proportions are far higher than those of clinical isolates reported in HICs that practice judicious antimicrobial use (AMP 8.2%, CIP 2.1%) but consistent with AMR clinical isolates reported in Ghana (Asare et al., 2022).

This systematic review demonstrates that CRO is largely effective in treating enteric bacterial infections in children. However, the emerging CRO resistance observed in the community isolates of *E. coli* and *Shigella* could explain the level of non-efficacy of AMP and AMC across CESS. This could as well be associated with inappropriate CRO use in hospital settings in Africa (Clermont et al., 2000). These

Subgroup	Events To	tal Gl	LMM, Random, 95% Cl	GLMN	I, Rand	om, 95	% CI
study_region = Asia						_	_
Bhattarai V et al., 2010		72	0.74 [0.67; 0.80]	_			-
HaiLing et al., 2017		38	0.11 [0.03; 0.25]				
Total (95% CI)		10	0.37 [0.00; 1.00]		_		
Heterogeneity: Tau = 2.5	275; Chi ⁻ = 3	32.62, 0	df = 1 ($P < 0.01$); $I^2 = 97\%$				
study_region = Sub-Sa	-	-			_		
Webale, M. et al., 2020	3	6	0.50 [0.12; 0.88]	-	-		
Lengerh A. et al., 2013		44	0.23 [0.11; 0.38]			_	
Mulatu G. et al., 2014		20	0.55 [0.32; 0.77]				-
Beatty E. et al., 2009		04	0.55 [0.45; 0.65]				
Total (95% CI)	1	74	0.44 [0.21; 0.70] df = 3 (P < 0.01); l ² = 76%				
Heterogeneity: 1 au = 0.2	919; Chi = 1	2.42, (ar = 3 (P < 0.01); T = 76%				
study_region = North a		05	0.00 10.00 0.401	_			
Zaidi B. 2012	6 1	05	0.06 [0.02; 0.12]				
Total (95% CI)	4	89	0.33 [0.12; 0.64]				
Prediction interval	2		[0.01; 0.95]				_
							1
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.6 Test for subgroup differen	775; Chi ⁻ = 1 ces: Chi ² = 22	08.67, 2.74, c	, df = 6 (P < 0.01); I ⁺ = 94% df = 2 (P < 0.01)	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8
Test for subgroup differen Study or	ces: Chi ² = 2	2.74, c	∃f = 2 (Ρ < 0.01)	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup	ces: Chi ² = 2	2.74, c	, df = 6 (P < 0.01); ⁺ = 94% df = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI	0.2	0.4 M, Ran		
Test for subgroup differen Study or	ces: Chi ² = 2; Events To	2.74, c	ff = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia	ces: Chi ² = 2; Events To 60	2.74, c	ff = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010	ces: Chi ² = 2; Events To 60	2.74, c otal C 172	Hf = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI 0.35 [0.28; 0.43]	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% CI)	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events T 60 48 34	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336	ff = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI 0.35 [0.28; 0.43] 0.38 [0.30; 0.47] 0.89 [0.75; 0.97] 0.57 [0.06; 0.97]	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events T 60 48 34	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336	ff = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI 0.35 [0.28; 0.43] 0.38 [0.30; 0.47] 0.89 [0.75; 0.97] 0.57 [0.06; 0.97]	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sat	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events To 60 48 34 71; Chi ² = 25 haran Africa	2.74, c otal G 172 126 38 336 5.2, df	df = 2 (P < 0.01) GLMM, Random, 95% CI 0.35 [0.28; 0.43] 0.38 [0.30; 0.47] 0.89 [0.75; 0.97] 0.57 [0.06; 0.97] = 2 (P < 0.01); I ² = 92%	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sa Webale, M. et al., 2020	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events To 60 48 34 71; Chi ² = 25 haran Africa 0	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df a 6	$GLMM, Random, 95\% CI$ $0.35 [0.28; 0.43]$ $0.38 [0.30; 0.47]$ $0.89 [0.75; 0.97]$ $0.57 [0.06; 0.97]$ $= 2 (P < 0.01); I^2 = 92\%$ $0.00 [0.00; 0.46]$	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sat Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events To 60 48 34 71; Chi ² = 25 haran Africa 0 7	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44	$ \begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ I^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sat Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events To 60 48 34 71; Chi ² = 25 haran Africa 0 7 2	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20	$ \begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ ^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sat Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009	ces: Chi ² = 2 Events To 60 48 34 71; Chi ² = 25 haran Africa 0 7 2 6	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104	$ \begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ I^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sa Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% Cl)	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events T 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ \hline 0.35 & [0.28; 0.43] \\ 0.38 & [0.30; 0.47] \\ 0.89 & [0.75; 0.97] \\ 0.57 & [0.06; 0.97] \\ = 2 & (P < 0.01); I^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ \hline 0.35 & [0.28; 0.43] \\ 0.38 & [0.30; 0.47] \\ 0.89 & [0.75; 0.97] \\ 0.57 & [0.06; 0.97] \\ = 2 & (P < 0.01); I^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08 study_region = North a	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$ merica	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174 67, df	$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ \hline 0.35 & [0.28; 0.43] \\ 0.38 & [0.30; 0.47] \\ 0.89 & [0.75; 0.97] \\ 0.57 & [0.06; 0.97] \\ e & 2 & (P < 0.01); \ l^2 & = 92\% \end{aligned}$	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$ merica	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ \hline 0.35 & [0.28; 0.43] \\ 0.38 & [0.30; 0.47] \\ 0.89 & [0.75; 0.97] \\ 0.57 & [0.06; 0.97] \\ = 2 & (P < 0.01); I^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08 study_region = North al Zaidi B. 2012 Total (95% CI)	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$ merica 65	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174 67, df	$ \begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ l^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08 study_region = North al Zaidi B. 2012 Total (95% CI) Prediction interval	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$ merica 65	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174 67, df 105 615	$ \begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ l^2 = 92\% \end{aligned} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & 0.00 \ [0.00; \ 0.46] \\ & 0.16 \ [0.07; \ 0.30] \\ & 0.16 \ [0.02; \ 0.12] \\ & 0.09 \ [0.03; \ 0.21] \\ & = 3 \ (P = 0.30); \ l^2 = 18\% \end{aligned} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & 0.62 \ [0.52; \ 0.71] \\ & 0.27 \ [0.08; \ 0.61] \\ & [0.01; \ 0.96] \end{aligned} $	0.2			
Test for subgroup differen Study or Subgroup study_region = Asia Bhattarai V et al., 2010 Bodhidatta L. et al., 2010 HaiLing et al., 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.44 study_region = Sub-Sai Webale, M. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2020 Lengerh A. et al., 2013 Mulatu G. et al., 2014 Beatty E. et al., 2009 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08 study_region = North al Zaidi B. 2012 Total (95% CI)	ces: $Chi^2 = 23$ Events To 60 48 34 71; $Chi^2 = 25$ haran Africa 0 7 2 6 53; $Chi^2 = 3.0$ merica 65 100; $Chi^2 = 92$	2.74, c otal C 172 126 38 336 5.2, df 6 44 20 104 174 67, df 105 615 2.12, d	$\begin{aligned} & \text{GLMM, Random, 95\% CI} \\ & 0.35 \ [0.28; \ 0.43] \\ & 0.38 \ [0.30; \ 0.47] \\ & 0.89 \ [0.75; \ 0.97] \\ & 0.57 \ [0.06; \ 0.97] \\ & = 2 \ (P < 0.01); \ ^2 = 92\% \end{aligned}$	0.2	M, Ran		

resistance patterns are comparable with those reported in hospital settings (Dias et al., 2016; Ochoa et al., 2009). The evident misuse of CRO in African hospitals and CRO and CIP prescriptions for febrile illnesses in LMICs could further increase resistance (Wieters et al., 2024; Bishaw et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021). SXT resistance presented

in our study is consistent with those reported in clinical cases of DEC and other enteric bacteria (Bernabé et al., 2017). Although quinolones are not prescribed for pediatric acute gastroenteritis cases, CIP and NA resistance may have resulted due to transmission from older relatives or via foods of animal origin due to common CIP usage in veterinary practice; the same holds for C and TET resistance, where co-selection is a possible phenomenon (Ananchaipattana et al., 2014). The evidence from this review underpins the need to conduct interventions not only in hospital settings but also in community. The current policies with regard to outpatient management of diarrhea in children should focus on appropriate antimicrobial stewardship and alternative interventions such as water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) programs that could be effective in curbing the emergence and spread of AMR in LMICs (Simiyu et al., 2020; Awiti et al., 2019; Simiyu et al., 2023; Fuhrmeister et al., 2023). However, these approaches should be context specific since they are likely to be influenced by socioeconomic status in LMICs.

Previously published reviews have focused on HAI infections (Ayobami et al., 2022; Allegranzi et al., 2011; Le Doare et al., 2015). They have reported the highest prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumonia* resistance to AMP (93.8%) and CN (68.8%), with overall Enterobacteriaceae resistance to AMP at 79.6%. Our findings of *E. coli* AMP resistance across regions (52–89%) are consistent with these reports, highlighting the importance of community surveillance in predicting bacteria with AMR and mitigating the future spread of resistance to clinical settings.

The regional disparities in pooled AMR prevalence estimates observed in this study can be attributed to several factors, including differences in healthcare access, antibiotic usage policies, and regulatory frameworks (Iskandar et al., 2021). Regions with limited healthcare infrastructure, such as parts of sub-Saharan Africa, often experience higher AMR due to over-the-counter access to antibiotics, poor adherence to treatment regimens, and limited diagnostic capacity (Omulo et al., 2015; Omulo et al., 2017). The high resistance proportions observed in North Africa/Middle East and Asia may be associated with increased antibiotic use over the past decade. These findings highlight the need for region-specific interventions, including improving healthcare infrastructure, strengthening antibiotic policies, and raising public awareness to mitigate AMR effectively.

Our study assessed pooled AMR prevalence in communityacquired enteric bacteria, namely *E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella*, and *Campylobacter*. While our focus was on pooled AMR prevalence from community-acquired infections, understanding colonization prevalence, especially for *E. coli*, is crucial. The ubiquitous presence of *E. coli* in the gastrointestinal tract underscores the importance of colonization prevalence data. Unlike *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *Campylobacter*, high colonization prevalence of *E. coli* may not directly correlate with AMR prevalence. However, it can significantly determine the risk of AMR development and transmission.

4.5 Limitations

We observed a high variance in resistance proportions across the individual study estimates. Heterogeneity between studies and between regions was in most cases $I^2 \ge 75\%$. This high heterogeneity is likely attributable to differences in study design, setting, sampling design, and bacterial isolation and identification methods, as well as variations in AST methods. Since studies conducted after 2005 were included, it is highly likely that some studies used outdated Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and European Committee of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoints. This could introduce bias as we were unable to reinterpret or adjust resistance data based on the latest breakpoints due to the reporting of results as the percentage or the number of resistant isolates rather than disk inhibition zones or minimum inhibitory concentration values. We included only studies that used laboratory-based phenotypic AST methods whose data are interpretable using CLSI or EUCAST, thus losing out on more robust methods that reported AMR genotypes. This might have limited the robustness of the findings by overlooking the genetic mechanisms of resistance. Furthermore, the 64 studies included in this systematic review and meta-analysis came from 23 countries (against a total of 82 LMICs) and thus may not be representative of all WHO geographical regions. Regions with limited research infrastructure or fewer published studies may be underrepresented, leading to gaps in data coverage and potential underestimation of resistance in these areas.

Studies published before 2005 were excluded to ensure alignment with WHO's intensified efforts to address AMR as a global public health threat that started around this period. Excluding studies with older data or different methodologies helps maintain consistency and relevance in the reported findings as older studies may not reflect current testing standards or resistance patterns. However, this exclusion may limit historical context and trends, potentially underestimating the evolution of resistance over time.

To address these constraints future research should focus on harmonizing AST methodologies, incorporating genotypic data for a more comprehensive understanding of resistance mechanisms, and ensuring broader geographical representation by including studies from underrepresented regions. Expanding databases to include data reported in various formats could also help mitigate publication bias and enhance the generalizability of findings.

5 Conclusion

The present systematic review provides evidence of the prevalence of resistant enteric bacteria in children. It provides evidence that these CESS pathogens are increasingly becoming insensitive to clinically important antimicrobials. Regional differences in resistance patterns among these community isolates underpin the need to strengthen local and regional AMR surveillance systems to understand these reported differences. This would then inform clinical practice and the development of appropriate stewardship measures. Since the research and development pipeline of novel antimicrobials is lacking, there is an urgent need for the management of antimicrobials to prolong their use and explore alternative therapies such as vaccine development and use that reduce febrile illnesses, thus negating the need for antibiotic prescription.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

NO: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DM: Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. AM: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JW: Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Methodology. AK: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LO: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. IOV: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JOW: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. CM: Writing – review & editing. LA: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Investigation. JN: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. OC: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. EC: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) and the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) of the UK Government (INV-008449); and the CGIAR One Health Initiative "Protecting Human Health Through a One Health Approach," which was supported by contributors of the CGIAR Trust Fund (https://www.cgiar.org/funders/).

References

Abayneh, M., Tesfaw, G., and Abdissa, A. (2018). Isolation of extended-Spectrum β -lactamase-(ESBL-) producing Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from patients with community-onset urinary tract infections in Jimma University specialized hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.* 2018:6159. doi: 10.1155/2018/4846159

Abebe, W., Earsido, A., Taye, S., Assefa, M., Eyasu, A., and Godebo, G. (2018). Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Shigella and salmonella among children aged below five years with Diarrhoea attending Nigist Eleni Mohammed memorial hospital, South Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr.* 18:241. doi: 10.1186/s12887-018-1221-9

Adugna, A., Kibret, M., Abera, B., Nibret, E., and Adal, M. (2015). Antibiogram of *E. coli* serotypes isolated from children aged under five with acute diarrhea in Bahir Dar town. *Afr. Health Sci.* 15, 656–664. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v15i2.45

Aggarwal, A., Mehta, S., Gupta, D., Sheikh, S., Pallagatti, S., Singh, R., et al. (2012). Clinical and immunological erythematosus patients characteristics in systemic lupus Maryam. J. Dent. Educ. 76, 1532–1539. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR

Allegranzi, B., Nejad, S., Combescure, C., Graafmans, W., Attar, H., Donaldson, L., et al. (2011). Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 377, 228–241. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61458-4

Al-Saadi, Z. H., Tarish, A. H., and Saeed, E. A. (2018). Phenotypic detection and antibiotics resistance pattern of local serotype of *E. coli* O157:H7 from children with acute diarrhea in hilla city/Iraq. *J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* 10, 604–609.

Amaya, E., Reyes, D., Vilchez, S., Paniagua, M., Mollby, R., Nord, C. E., et al. (2011). Antibiotic resistance patterns of intestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates from Nicaraguan children. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 60, 216–222. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0. 020842-0

Ameya, G., Tsalla, T., Getu, F., and Getu, E. (2018). Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, and associated factors of salmonella and Shigella infections among under five children in Arba Minch, South Ethiopia. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 17:1. doi: 10.1186/s12941-018-0253-1

Amin, M., Sirous, M., Javaherizadeh, H., Motamedifar, M., Saki, M., Veisi, H., et al. (2018). Antibiotic resistance pattern and molecular characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* isolates in children from Southwest Iran. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 11, 1097–1104. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S167271

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025.1539160/ full#supplementary-material

Ananchaipattana, C., Hosotani, Y., Kawasaki, S., Bari, M., Yamaguchi, K., and Inatsu, Y. (2014). Serotyping, RAPD grouping and antibiotic susceptibility testing of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from retail foods in Thailand. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 20, 905–913. doi: 10.3136/fstr.20.905

Ansari, S., Sherchand, J., Parajuli, K., Mishra, S., Dahal, R., Shrestha, S., et al. (2012). Bacterial etiology of acute diarrhea in children under five years of age. *J Nepal Health Res Counc* 10, 218–223

Anvikar, A. R., Dolla, C., Dutta, S., Rao, V. G., Gadge, V. S., Shukla, G. P., et al. (2008). Role of *Escherichia coli* in acute diarrhoea in tribal preschool children of Central India. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* 22, 40–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2007.00892.x

Araque, M., and Labrador, I. (2018). Prevalence of fecal carriage of CTX-M-15 betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in healthy children from a rural andean village in Venezuela. *Osong, Public Health Res. Perspect.* 9, 9–15. doi: 10.24171/j.phrp.2018.9.1.03

Asare, K., Amoah, S., Coomson, C., Banson, C., Yaro, D., Mbata, J., et al. (2022). Antibiotic-resistant pathogenic bacterial isolates from patients attending the outpatient department of university of Cape Coast hospital, Ghana: a retrospective study between 2013–2015. *PLoS Global Public Health* 2, -e0000417. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0000417

Assefa, A., and Girma, M. (2019). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* isolates among children aged below five years with diarrhea attending robe general hospital and Goba referral hospital, south East Ethiopia. *Trop. Dis. Travel Med. Vaccines* 5:19. doi: 10.1186/s40794-019-0096-6

Awiti, J., Mumma, O., Cumming, O., Simiyu, S., Czerniewska, A., Aseyo, R., et al. (2019). Infant food hygiene and childcare practices in context: findings from an urban informal settlement in Kenya. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 102, 220–222. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0279

Ayobami, O., Brinkwirth, S., Eckmanns, T., Markwart, R., Ayobami, O., Brinkwirth, S., et al. (2022). Antibiotic resistance in hospital-acquired ESKAPE- E infections in lowand lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 11, 443–451. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2030196

Azmi, I., Khajanchi, B., Akter, F., Hasan, T., Shahnaij, M., Akter, M., et al. (2014). Fluoroquinolone resistance mechanisms of *Shigella flexneri* isolated in Bangladesh. *PLoS One* 9:e102533. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102533 Barber, S., and Sutherland, N. (2017). O'Neill review into antibiotic resistance. *House of Commons Library* 1, 1-41.

Bartoloni, A., Pallecchi, L., Rodríguez, H., Fernandez, C., Mantella, A., Bartalesi, F., et al. (2009). Antibiotic resistance in a very remote Amazonas community. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 33, 125–129. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.07.029

Beatty, M., Ochieng, J., Chege, W., Kumar, L., Okoth, G., Shapiro, R., et al. (2009). Sporadic paediatric diarrhoeal illness in urban and rural sites in Nyanza Province, Kenya. *East Afr. Med. J.* 86, 387–398. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v86i8.54159

Bernabé, K., Langendorf, C., Ford, N., Ronat, J., and Murphy, R. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance in West Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 50, 629–639. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.07.002

Beyene, G., and Tasew, H. (2014). Prevalence of intestinal parasite, Shigella and salmonella species among diarrheal children in Jimma health center, Jimma Southwest Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 13:10. doi: 10.1186/1476-0711-13-10

Bhattarai, V., Sharma, S., Rijal, K. R., and Banjara, M. R. (2020). Co-infection with campylobacter and rotavirus in less than 5 year old children with acute gastroenteritis in Nepal during 2017-2018. *BMC Pediatr.* 20:20. doi: 10.1186/s12887-020-1966-9

Bishaw, B., Tegegne, G., and Berha, A. (2021). Appropriate use of ceftriaxone in subsaharan Africa: a systematic review. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 14, 3477–3484. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S329996

Bodhidatta, L., McDaniel, P., Sornsakrin, S., Srijan, A., Serichantalergs, O., and Mason, C. (2010). Case-control study of diarrheal disease etiology in a remote rural area in Western Thailand. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 83, 1106–1109. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0367

Browne, A., Chipeta, M., Haines-Woodhouse, G., Kumaran, E., Hamadani, B., Zaraa, S., et al. (2021). Global antibiotic consumption and usage in humans, 2000–18: a spatial modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 5, e893–e904. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00280-1

CDC. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Escherichia coli*. CDC; (2022). Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/index.html (accessed May 19, 2023)

Clermont, O., Bonacorsi, S., and Bingen, E. (2000). Rapid and simple determination of the *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 4555–4558. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.10.4555-4558.2000

Coulidiaty, A., Sanou, A., Houngbedji, C., Djibougou, D., Dicko, A., Kobo, G., et al. (2021). Prevalence and sensitivity to antibiotics of campylobacter spp. in chicken, farmers and soil in Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. Pan African medical journal one. *Health* **4**, 1–12. doi: 10.11604/pamj-oh.2021.4.8.28089

Dhital, S., Sherchand, J. B., Pokharel, B. M., Parajuli, K., Mishra, S. K., Sharma, S., et al. (2017). Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Shigella spp. isolated from children under 5 years of age attending tertiary care hospitals, Nepal along with first finding of ESBL-production. *BMC. Res. Notes* 10:192. doi: 10.1186/s13104-017-2512-1

Dias, R., dos Santos, B., dos Santos, L., Vieira, M., Yamatogi, R., Mondelli, A., et al. (2016). Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* pathotypes investigation revealed atypical enteropathogenic E-coli as putative emerging diarrheal agents in children living in Botucatu, Sao Paulo state, Brazil. *Apmis* 124, 299–308. doi: 10.1111/apm.12501

Dyar, O. J., Hoa, N. Q., Trung, N. V., Phuc, H. D., Larsson, M., Chuc, N. T. K., et al. (2012). High prevalence of antibiotic resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* among children in rural Vietnam. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 12:12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-92

El Metwally, H. A. R., Ibrahim, H. A. H., El-Athamna, M. N., and Amer, M. A. (2007). Multiplex PCR for detection of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in Egyptian children. *J. Med. Sci.* 7, 255–262. doi: 10.3923/jms.2007.255.262

Farahani, N. N., Jazi, F. M., Nikmanesh, B., Asadolahi, P., Kalani, B. S., and Amirmozafari, N. (2018). Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of salmonella and Shigella species isolated from pediatric diarrhea in Tehran. Archives of pediatric. *Infect. Dis.* 6, In Press:328. doi: 10.5812/pedinfect.57328

Farthing, M., Salam, M., Lindberg, G., Dite, P., Khalif, I., Salazar-Lindo, E., et al. (2013). Acute diarrhea in adults and children: a global perspective. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 47, 12–20. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31826df662

Feeley, T. (2020). Assessing study quality in meta-analysis. *Hum. Commun. Res.* 46, 334–342. doi: 10.1093/hcr/hqaa001

Ferjani, S., Saidani, M., Maamar, E., Harbaoui, S., Hamzaoui, Z., Hosni, H., et al. (2018). *Escherichia coli* colonizing healthy children in Tunisia: high prevalence of extraintestinal pathovar and occurrence of non-extended-spectrum-beta-lactamaseproducing ST131 clone. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 52, 878–885. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.07.015

Fuhrmeister, E., Harvey, A., Nadimpalli, M., Gallandat, K., Ambelu, A., Arnold, B., et al. (2023). Evaluating the relationship between community water and sanitation access and the global burden of antibiotic resistance: an ecological study. *Lancet Microbe* 4, e591–e600. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(23)00137-4

Gandra, S., Alvarez-Uria, G., Turner, P., Joshi, J., Limmathurotsakul, D., and van Doorn, H. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in low-and middle-income countries: Progress and challenges in eight south Asian and southeast Asian countries. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 33, 1–29. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00048-19

Garcia, P. G., Silva, V. L., and Diniz, C. G. (2011). Occurrence and antimicrobial drug susceptibility patterns of commensal and Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in fecal microbiota from children with and without acute diarrhea. J. Microbiol. 49, 46–52. doi: 10.1007/s12275-011-0172-8

GebreSilasie, Y. M., Tullu, K. D., and Yeshanew, A. G. (2018). Resistance pattern and maternal knowledge, attitude and practices of suspected Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* among children under 5 years of age in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: cross sectional study. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 7:7. doi: 10.1186/s13756-018-0402-5

Gelaw, L. Y., Bitew, A. A., Gashey, E. M., and Ademe, M. N. (2022). Ceftriaxone resistance among patients at GAMBY teaching general hospital. *Sci. Rep.* 12:12000. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-16132-3

Giani, T., Sennati, S., Antonelli, A., Di Pilato, V., di Maggio, T., Mantella, A., et al. (2018). High prevalence of carriage of mcr-1-positive enteric bacteria among healthy children from rural communities in the Chaco region, Bolivia, September to October 2016. *Euro surveillance* 23:115. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.45.1800115

Gonzales, L., Joffre, E., Rivera, R., Sjoling, A., Svennerholm, A., and Iniguez, V. (2013). Prevalence, seasonality and severity of disease caused by pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in children with diarrhoea in Bolivia. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 62, 1697–1706. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.060798-0

González, F., and Araque, M. (2013). Association of transferable quinolone resistance determinant qnrB19 with extended-spectrum β -lactamases in salmonella give and salmonella Heidelberg in Venezuela. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2013:8185. doi: 10.1155/2013/628185

Gu, B., Zhou, M., Ke, X., Pan, S., Cao, Y., Huang, Y., et al. (2015). Comparison of resistance to third-generation cephalosporins in Shigella between Europe-America and Asia-Africa from 1998 to 2012. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 143, 2687–2699. doi: 10.1017/S0950268814003446

Guarino, A., Bruzzese, E., and Giannattasio, A. (2018). Antibiotic treatment of acute gastroenteritis in children. *F1000 Faculty Rev* 7:193. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12328.1

HaiLing, C., Ling, Z., YanLing, G., JieHao, C., XiangShi, W., Zheng, H., et al. (2017). A hospital-based case-control study of diarrhea in children in Shanghai. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 36, 1057–1063. doi: 10.1097/INF.000000000001562

Harrer, M., Cuijpers, P., Furukawa, T. A., and Ebert, D. D. (2021). Doing meta-analysis with R: a hands-on guide Boca Raton. FL and London: Chapman & Hall/CRC Press.

Hendriksen, R., Lukjancenko, O., Munk, P., Hjelmso, M., Verani, J., Ng'eno, E., et al. (2019). Pathogen surveillance in the informal settlement, Kibera, Kenya, using a metagenomics approach. *PLoS One* 14, e0222531–e0222515. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0222531

Hetzer, B., Orth-Holler, D., Wurzner, R., Kreidl, P., Lackner, M., Muller, T., et al. (2019). Enhanced acquisition of antibiotic-resistant intestinal *E. coli* during the first year of life assessed in a prospective cohort study. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 8, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0522-6

Higgins, J., and Thompson, S. (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat. Med.* 21, 1539–1558. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186

Hijazi, S., Fawzi, M., Ali, F., and Abd El Galil, K. (2016). Prevalence and characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases producing Enterobacteriaceae in healthy children and associated risk factors. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 15, 3–9. doi: 10.1186/s12941-016-0121-9

Hlashwayo, D., Sigaúque, B., Noormahomed, E., Afonso, S., Mandomando, I., and Bila, C. (2021). A systematic review and meta-analysis reveal that campylobacter spp. and antibiotic resistance are widespread in humans in sub-Saharan Africa. *PLoS One* 16:e0245951. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245951

Huang, Y., Shan, X. F., Deng, H. J., Huang, Y. J., Mu, X. P., Huang, A. L., et al. (2015). Epidemiology, antimicrobial resistance and beta-lactamase genotypic features of Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from children with diarrhea in southern China. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* 68, 239–243. doi: 10.7883/yoken.JJID.2014.120

Huynh, B.-T., Padget, M., Garin, B., Herindrainy, P., Kermorvant-Duchemin, E., Watier, L., et al. (2015). Burden of bacterial resistance among neonatal infections in low income countries: how convincing is the epidemiological evidence? *BMC Infect. Dis.* 15, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-0843-x

Isendahl, J., Turlej-Rogacka, A., Manjuba, C., Rodrigues, A., Giske, C. G., and Naucler, P. (2012). Fecal carriage of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* in children in Guinea-Bissau: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. *PLoS One* 7:e51981. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051981

Iskandar, K., Molinier, L., Hallit, S., Sartelli, M., Hardcastle, T., Haque, M., et al. (2021). Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in low- and middle-income countries: a scattered picture. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 10, 63–19. doi: 10.1186/s13756-021-00931-w

Islam, M. A., Amin, M. B., Roy, S., Asaduzzaman, M., Islam, M. R., Navab-Daneshmand, T., et al. (2019). Fecal colonization with multidrug-resistant E-coli among healthy infants in rural Bangladesh. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00640

Jafari, F., Garcia-Gil, L. J., Salmanzadeh-Ahrabi, S., Shokrzadeh, L., Aslani, M. M., Pourhoseingholi, M. A., et al. (2009). Diagnosis and prevalence of enteropathogenic bacteria in children less than 5 years of age with acute diarrhea in Tehran children's hospitals. J. Infect. 58, 21–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2008.10.013 Kasolo, F., Yahaya, A., Ndihokubwayo, J., Impouma, B., Oxenford, C., and Cognat, S. (2013). Guide for establishing laboratory-based surveillance for antimicrobial resistance. Brazzaville, Republic of Congo: World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa, 1–25.

Klein, E. Y., Van Boeckel, T. P., Martinez, E. M., Pant, S., Gandra, S., Levin, S. A., et al. (2018). Global increase and geographic convergence in antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2015. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115, E3463–E3470. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1717295115

Le Doare, K., Bielicki, J., Heath, P. T., and Sharland, M. (2015). Systematic review of antibiotic resistance rates among gram-negative bacteria in children with sepsis in resource-limited countries. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 4, 11–20. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piu014

Lefèvre, S., Njamkepo, E., Feldman, S., Ruckly, C., Carle, I., Lejay-Collin, M., et al. (2023). Rapid emergence of extensively drug-resistant *Shigella sonnei* in France. *Nat. Commun.* 14:462. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36222-8

Lengerh, A., Moges, F., Unakal, C., and Anagaw, B. (2013). Prevalence, associated risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of campylobacter species among under five diarrheic children at Gondar University hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr.* 13:13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-82

Liu, L., Johnson, H., Cousens, S., Perin, J., Scott, S., Lawn, J., et al. (2012). Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. *Lancet* 379, 2151–2161. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60560-1

Mahdavi Broujerdi, S., Roayaei Ardakani, M., Rezatofighi, S. E., Borujerdi, S. M., Ardakani, M. R., and Rezatofighi, S. E. (2018). Characterization of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* strains associated with diarrhea in children, Khouzestan, Iran. J. Infect Dev. Count. 12, 649–656. doi: 10.3855/jidc.9538

Mahmoudi-aznaveh, A., Bakhshi, B., and Najar-peerayeh, S. (2017). The trend of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* towards atypical multidrug resistant genotypes. *J. Chemother.* 29, 1–7. doi: 10.1080/1120009X.2016.1154683

Mandomando, I., Jaintilal, D., Pons, M. J., Valles, X., Espasa, M., Mensa, L., et al. (2009). Antimicrobial susceptibility and mechanisms of resistance in Shigella and salmonella isolates from children under five years of age with diarrhea in rural Mozambique. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 2450–2454. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01282-08

Mandomando, I., Sigauque, B., Valles, X., Espasa, M., Sanz, S., Sacarlal, J., et al. (2007). Epidemiology and clinical presentation of shigellosis in children less than five years of age in rural Mozambique. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 26, 1059–1061. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31812e55a2

Mekonnen, M., Geda, B., Teklemariam, Z., Weldegebreal, F., and Balakrishnan, S. (2017). Prevalence of childhood diarrhea and associated risk factors in Dire Dawa, eastern Ethiopia. *J. Public Health* 26, 29–37. doi: 10.1007/s10389-017-0843-y

Monira, S., Shabnam, S. A., Ali, S. I., Sadique, A., Johura, F. T., Rahman, K. Z., et al. (2017). Multi-drug resistant pathogenic bacteria in the gut of young children in Bangladesh. *Gut Pathogens* 9:19. doi: 10.1186/s13099-017-0170-4

Morpeth, S., Thielman, N., Ramadhani, H., Hamilton, J., Ostermann, J., Kisenge, P., et al. (2008). Effect of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis on antimicrobial resistance of fecal *Escherichia coli* in HIV-infected patients in Tanzania. *JAIDS* 47, 585–591. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e31816856db

Moyo, S., Gro, N., Matee, M., Kitundu, J., Myrmel, H., Mylvaganam, H., et al. (2011). Age specific aetiological agents of diarrhoea in hospitalized children aged less than five years in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. *BMC Pediatr.* 11, 1–6. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-11-19

Mulatu, G., Beyene, G., and Zeynudin, A. (2014). Prevalence of Shigella, salmonella and campylobacter species and their susceptibility patters among under five children with diarrhea in Hawassa town, South Ethiopia. *Ethiop. J. Health Sci.* 24, 101–108. doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v24i2.1

Murray, C., Ikuta, K., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Aguilar, G., Gray, A., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 399, 629–655. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Naderi, G., Haghi, F., Zeighami, H., Hemati, F., and Masoumian, N. (2016). Distribution of pathogenicity island (PAI) markers and phylogenetic groups in diarrheagenic and commensal *Escherichia coli* from young children. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Bed Bench* 9, 316–324

Najibi, S., Bakhshi, B., Fallahzad, S., Pourshafie, M. R., Katouli, M., Sattari, M., et al. (2012). Distribution of class 1 integrons among enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli. Can. J. Microbiol.* 58, 637–643. doi: 10.1139/w2012-035

Nakhjavani, F. A., Emaneini, M., Hosseini, H., Iman-Eini, H., Aligholi, M., Jabalameli, F., et al. (2013). Molecular analysis of typical and atypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) isolated from children with diarrhoea. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 62, 191–195. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.046516-0

Nji, E., Kazibwe, J., Hambridge, T., Joko, C., Larbi, A., Damptey, L., et al. (2021). High prevalence of antibiotic resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* from healthy human sources in community settings. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 3372–3311. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82693-4

Nunes, M. R., Magalhaes, P. P., Penna, F. J., Nunes, J. M., and Mendes, E. N. (2012). Diarrhea associated with Shigella in children and susceptibility to antimicrobials. *J. Pediatr.* 88, 125–128. doi: 10.2223/JPED.2131

Nyanga, P., Onyuka, J., Webale, M., Were, T., and Budambula, V. (2017). *Escherichia coli* pathotypes and Shigella sero-groups in diarrheic children in Nairobi city, Kenya. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench* 10, 220–228

Ochoa, T., Ruiz, J., Molina, M., Del Valle, L., Vargas, M., Gil, A., et al. (2009). High frequency of antimicrobial drug resistance of Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in infants in Peru. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 81, 296–301. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2009.81.296

Okolie, O., Igwe, U., Ismail, S., Ighodalo, U., and Adukwu, E. (2022). Systematic review of surveillance systems for AMR in Africa. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 78, 31–51. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkac342

Okomo, U., Akpalu, E., Doare, K., Roca, A., Cousens, S., Jarde, A., et al. (2019). Articles Aetiology of invasive bacterial infection and antimicrobial resistance in neonates in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis in line with the STROBE-NI reporting guidelines. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 19, 1219–1234. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30414-1

Omolajaiye, S., Afolabi, K., and Iweriebor, B. (2020). Pathotyping and antibiotic resistance profiling of *Escherichia coli* isolates from children with acute diarrhea in amatole district municipality of eastern cape, South Africa. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2020, 1–10. doi: 10.1155/2020/4250165

Omulo, S., Thumbi, S., Lockwood, S., Verani, J., Bigogo, G., Masyongo, G., et al. (2017). Evidence of superficial knowledge regarding antibiotics and their use: results of two cross-sectional surveys in an urban informal settlement in Kenya. *PLoS One* 12:e0185827. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185827

Omulo, S., Thumbi, S., Njenga, M., and Call, D. (2015). A review of 40 years of enteric antimicrobial resistance research in eastern Africa: what can be done better? *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 4, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s13756-014-0041-4

Otto, S., Haworth-Brockman, M., Miazga-Rodriguez, M., Wierzbowski, A., and Saxinger, L. (2022). Integrated surveillance of antimicrobial resistance and antimicrobial use: evaluation of the status in Canada (2014-2019). *Can. Vet. J.* 113, 11–22. doi: 10.17269/s41997-021-00600-w

Parajuli, N. P., Joshi, G., Pardhe, B. D., Shakya, J., Bhetwal, A., Shakya, S., et al. (2017). Shigellosis caused by CTX-M type ESBL producing *Shigella flexneri* in two siblings of rural Nepal: first case report from the country. *Case Rep. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 1–6. doi: 10.1155/2017/1862320

Park, S., Pham, D., Pak, G., Panzner, U., Maria Cruz Espinoza, L., Von Kalckreuth, V., et al. (2021). The genomic epidemiology of multi-drug resistant invasive non-typhoidal salmonella in selected sub-Saharan African countries. *BMJ Glob. Health* 6:e005659. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005659

Prabhurajeshwar, C., Desai, P., and Chandrakanth, R. K. (2016). Molecular evaluation of high fluoroquinolone resistant genes in endemic cases of shigellosis, northeast part of Karnataka, India. *Ann. Global Health* 82, 832–839. doi: 10.1016/j.aogh.2016.09.009

Qu, M., Lv, B., Zhang, X., Yan, H. Q., Huang, Y., Qian, H. K., et al. (2016). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens isolated from childhood diarrhea in Beijing, China (2010-2014). *Gut Pathogens* 8:31. doi: 10.1186/s13099-016-0116-2

Rahouma, A., Klena, J. D., Krema, Z., Abobker, A. A., Treesh, K., Franka, E., et al. (2011). Enteric pathogens associated with childhood diarrhea in Tripoli-Libya. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 84, 886–891. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2011.11-0116

Rolain, J. (2013). Food and human gut as reservoirs of transferable antibiotic resistance encoding genes. *Front. Microbiol.* 4, 1–10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00173

Sahoo, K. C., Tamhankar, A. J., Sahoo, S., Sahu, P. S., Klintz, S. R., and Lundborg, C. S. (2012). Geographical variation in antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from stool, cow-dung and drinking water. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 9, 746–759. doi: 10.3390/ijerph9030746

Said, M., Msanga, D., Mtemisika, C., Silago, V., Mirambo, M., and Mshana, S. (2022). Extended Spectrum β -lactamase producing lactose fermenting bacteria colonizing children with human immunodeficiency virus, sickle cell disease and diabetes mellitus in Mwanza City, Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 7:144. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed7080144

Saleem, A. F., Allana, A., Hale, L., Diaz, A., Salinas, R., Salinas, C., et al. (2020). The gut of healthy infants in the community as a reservoir of ESBL and carbapenemase-producing bacteria. *Antibiotics* 9:9. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9060286

Sang, W., Oundo, V., and Schnabel, D. (2012). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens isolated from childhood diarrhoea in four provinces of Kenya. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* 6, 572–578. doi: 10.3855/jidc.2196

Seidman, J. C., Anitha, P., Kanungo, R., Bourgeois, A. L., and Coles, C. L. (2009). Risk factors for antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* in children in a rural area. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 137, 879–888. doi: 10.1017/S0950268808001519

Shamseer, L., Moher, D., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., et al. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 350, 1–25. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647

Simiyu, S., Aseyo, E., Anderson, J., Cumming, O., Baker, K., Dreibelbis, R., et al. (2023). A mixed methods process evaluation of a food hygiene intervention in low-income informal Neighbourhoods of Kisumu, Kenya. *Matern. Child Health J.* 27, 824–836. doi: 10.1007/s10995-022-03548-6

Simiyu, S., Czerniewska, A., Aseyo, E., Baker, K., Cumming, O., Mumma, J., et al. (2020). Designing a food hygiene intervention in low-income, peri-urban context of Kisumu, Kenya: application of the trials of improved practices methodology. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 102, 1116–1123. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0629

Singh, T., Singh, P. K., Dar, S. A., Haque, S., Akhter, N., and Das, S. (2019). Changing paradigm of antibiotic resistance amongst *Escherichia coli* isolates in Indian pediatric population. *PLoS One* 14, -e0213850. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213850

Singh, T., Singh, P. K., Das, S., Wani, S., Jawed, A., and Dar, S. A. (2019). Transcriptome analysis of beta-lactamase genes in diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli. Sci. Rep.* 9:3626. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40279-1

Smellie, W. (2006). Testing pitfalls and summary of guidance in lipid management. Br. Med. J. 333, 83–86. doi: 10.1136/bmj.333.7558.83

Snehaa, K., Singh, T., Dar, S. A., Haque, S., Ramachandran, V. G., Saha, R., et al. (2020). Typical and atypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in children with acute diarrhoea: changing trend in East Delhi. *Biom. J.* 44, 471–478. doi: 10.1016/j.bj.2020.03.011

Sousa, M. A. B., Mendes, E. N., Collares, G. B., Peret, L. A., Penna, F. J., Magalhaes, P. P., et al. (2013). Shigella in Brazilian children with acute diarrhoea: prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes. *Memorias Do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 108, 30–35. doi: 10.1590/S0074-02762013000100005

Souza, T. B., Morais, M. B., Tahan, S., Melli, L., Rodrigues, M. S. C., and Scaletsky, I. C. A. (2009). High prevalence of antimicrobial drug-resistant diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in asymptomatic children living in an urban slum. *J. Infect.* 59, 247–251. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2009.08.007

Stoesser, N., Xayaheuang, S., Vongsouvath, M., Phommasone, K., Elliott, I., Elias, C. D., et al. (2014). Colonization with Enterobacteriaceae producing ESBLs in children attending pre-school childcare facilities in the Lao People's Democratic Republic. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 70, 1893–1897. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkv021

Sulis, G., Sayood, S., and Gandra, S. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance in low- and middle-income countries: current status and future directions. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* 20, 147–160. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2021.1951705

The, H., Rabaa, M., Thanh, D., De Lappe, N., Cormican, M., Valcanis, M., et al. (2016). South Asia as a reservoir for the global spread of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Shigella sonnei*: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS Med.* 13:e1002055. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002055

Tosisa, W., Mihret, A., Ararsa, A., Eguale, T., and Abebe, T. (2020). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of salmonella and Shigella species isolated from diarrheic children in ambo town. *BMC Pediatr.* 20:91. doi: 10.1186/s12887-020-1970-0

United Nations Environment Programme. Bracing for superbugs: Strengthening environmental action in the one health response to antimicrobial resistance (2023). Available online at: https://www.unep.org/resources/superbugs/environmental-action (accessed February 20, 2023)

Viechtbauer, W., López-López, J., Sánchez-Meca, J., and Marín-Martínez, F. (2015). A comparison of procedures to test for moderators in mixed-effects meta-regression models. *Psychol. Methods* 20, 360–374. doi: 10.1037/met0000023

Vilchez, S., Becker-Dreps, S., Amaya, E., Perez, C., Paniagua, M., Reyes, D., et al. (2014). Characterization of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from Nicaraguan children in hospital, primary care and community settings. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 63, 729–734. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.066779-0

Webale, M., Wanjala, C., Guyah, B., Shaviya, N., Munyekenye, G., Nyanga, P., et al. (2020). Epidemiological patterns and antimicrobial resistance of bacterial diarrhea among children in Nairobi City, Kenya. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench* 13, 238–246

WHO. World Health Organization: guidelines for the control of shigellosis, including epidemics due to *Shigella dysenteriae* type 1 (2005a). Available online at: https://iris.who. int/handle/10665/20291 (accessed February 6, 2024)

Wieters, I., Johnstone, S., Makiala-Mandanda, S., Poda, A., Akoua-Koffi, C., Abu Sin, M., et al. (2024). Reported antibiotic use among patients in the multicenter ANDEMIA infectious diseases surveillance study in sub-saharan Africa. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 13:9. doi: 10.1186/s13756-024-01365-w

Williams, P., and Berkley, J. (2018). Guidelines for the treatment of dysentery (shigellosis): a systematic review of the evidence. *J Paediatr* 38, S50–S65. doi: 10.1080/20469047.2017.1409454

Woerther, P.-L., Burdet, C., Chachaty, E., and Andremont, A. (2013). Trends in human fecal carriage of extended-spectrum β -lactamases in the community: toward the globalization of CTX-M. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 26, 744–758. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00023-13

World Bank. World Bank, New country classifications by income level: 2019-2020 (2019). Available online at: https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/opendata/new-country-classifications-income-level-2019-2020 (accessed August 2, 2020)

World Health Organization. Antimicrobial resistance: a threat to global health security. Rational use of medicines by prescribers and patients: report by the secretariat (A58/14) Fifty-eighth World Health Assembly (2005b). Available online at: https://apps. who.int/gb/archive/pdf_files/WHA58/A58_14-en.pdf (accessed February 22, 2023)

World Health Organization. World health organization: joint external evaluation of IHR Core capacities of Pakistan (2016). Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/254614/WHO-WHE-CPI-2017.9-eng.pdf (accessed March 24, 2022)

World Health Organization. World Health Organization: global action plan on antimicrobial resistance (2017a). Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/193736/9789241509763_eng.pdf (accessed April 27, 2022)

World Health Organization. World Health Organization: joint external evaluation of IHR Core capacities of the People's Republic of Bangladesh (2017b). Available online at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/254275/WHO-HSE-GCR-2016.23-eng. pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed May 19, 2023)

World Health Organization. World health organization list of critically important antimicrobials (2019). Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/hand le/10665/312266/9789241515528-eng.pdf (accessed October 2, 2023)

World Health Organization. World Health Organization: GLASS methodology for surveillance of national antimicrobial consumption (2020). Available online at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/336215/9789240012639-eng.pdf (accessed February 22, 2023)

Younas, M., Siddiqui, F., Noreen, Z., Bokhari, S. S., Gomez-Duarte, O. G., Wren, B. W., et al. (2016). Characterization of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* of clinical origin from the pediatric population in Pakistan. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 110, 414–420. doi: 10.1093/trstmh/trw047

Zaidi, M. B., McDermott, P. F., Campos, F. D., Chim, R., Leon, M., Vazquez, G., et al. (2012). Antimicrobial-resistant campylobacter in the food chain in Mexico. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 9, 841–847. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2012.1127

Zhang, H., Zhou, Y., Guo, S., and Chang, W. (2015). High prevalence and risk factors of fecal carriage of CTX-M type extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae from healthy rural residents of Taian, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1–5. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00239

Zheng, S. F., Yu, F., Chen, X., Cui, D. W., Cheng, Y. Z., Xie, G. L., et al. (2016). Enteropathogens in children less than 5 years of age with acute diarrhea: a 5-year surveillance study in the southeast coast of China. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 16:434. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-1760-3