Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Krzysztof Skowron, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland

#### REVIEWED BY

Thiago Pavoni Gomes Chagas, Fluminense Federal University, Brazil Kavitha Dhandapani, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Pan Hu ⊠ hupan84@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 15 January 2025 ACCEPTED 11 April 2025 PUBLISHED 28 April 2025

#### CITATION

Zhang B, Sun W, Wang X, Ren H, Wang Y, Hu S, Li C, Wang Y, Hou J, Hu X, Shi R, Li Y, Lu S, Lu Q, Liu Z and Hu P (2025) Exploration of the biodiversity and mining novel target genes of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef through comparative genomics analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1560974. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1560974

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhang, Sun, Wang, Ren, Wang, Hu, Li, Wang, Hou, Hu, Shi, Li, Lu, Lu, Liu and Hu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Exploration of the biodiversity and mining novel target genes of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef through comparative genomics analysis

Bo Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Wenjie Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Xiaoxu Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Honglin Ren<sup>1†</sup>, Yang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Shaohui Hu<sup>1</sup>, Chengwei Li<sup>1</sup>, Yuzhu Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jiaqi Hou<sup>1</sup>, Xueyu Hu<sup>1</sup>, Ruoran Shi<sup>1</sup>, Yansong Li<sup>1</sup>, Shiying Lu<sup>1</sup>, Qiang Lu<sup>1</sup>, Zengshan Liu<sup>1</sup> and Pan Hu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Severe Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Key Laboratory for Zoonosis Research of the Ministry of Education, Institute of Zoonosis, and College of Veterinary Medicine, Jilin University, Changchun, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Special Animal and Plant Sciences of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changchun, China

L. monocytogenes is a significant foodborne pathogen. This study aims to explore the biodiversity and evolutionary characteristics of L. monocytogenes isolated from beef through pan-genome analysis, and to provide important reference value for its specific molecular detection. This study conducted an in-depth analysis of the virulence genes, antimicrobial resistance genes, and environmental resistance genes of 344 L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef. Pan-genomic analysis revealed that L. monocytogenes from beef have open genomes, providing a solid genetic basis for adaptation to different environments. MLST analysis revealed that the most prevalent types of L. monocytogenes isolated from beef were ST9 and CC9. A total of 50 virulence genes were detected in these strains, with 26 virulence genes such as inIA, inIB, plcA, plcB, and prfA, present in all L. monocytogenes strains. The four most prevalent antibiotic resistance genes in L. monocytogenes were norB, lin, mprF, and FosX, indicating high resistance to fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, peptides, and phosphonic acid antibiotics. A total of 416 potential target genes were identified through pan-genomic screening, which were then further filtered using a hub gene selection method to mining novel target genes. Ultimately, 10 highly connected hub genes were selected: *bglF\_2*, *tilS*, *group\_2105*, group\_2431, oleD, ndk, flgG, purB, pbpB, and fni. These genes play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of L. monocytogenes. The PCR results demonstrated the excellent specificity of the bglF\_2 gene for L. monocytogenes. Moreover, in the artificial contamination experiment, the bglF\_2 gene was able to effectively detect L. monocytogenes in beef samples. Therefore, the bglF\_2 gene holds potential as a specific molecular target for the detection of L. monocytogenes strains in beef samples.

#### KEYWORDS

*Listeria monocytogenes*, comparative genomics, pan-genomics, genetic evaluations, target genes

#### Introduction

*L. monocytogenes* is a foodborne pathogen belonging to Grampositive bacteria. This highly adaptable bacterium can thrive under adverse conditions, including low temperatures, high salinity, and extreme pH levels. It is commonly associated with food contamination, particularly in meat products (Zhang et al., 2021; Montero et al., 2015). It can cause listeriosis in humans, particularly in populations with compromised immune function, such as neonates, the elderly, pregnant women, and individuals with weakened immune systems. The onset of the disease may be accompanied by various symptoms, including mild diarrhea, meningitis, and septicemia (Matle et al., 2020; Colomba et al., 2020). Listeriosis is typically caused by the consumption of contaminated food, making food safety particularly important for the prevention and control of the disease.

The detection of L. monocytogenes in food typically involves biochemical and molecular methods (Gupta and Adhikari, 2022; Law et al., 2015). Biochemical methods are conventional and accurate, but require a 7-day bacterial incubation period followed by morphological, biochemical, and serological confirmation. This approach is laborintensive and time-consuming, making it unsuitable for rapid detection (Sloan et al., 2017). Molecular methods, on the other hand, can shorten the detection time to a few hours, allowing for precise and rapid identification of the bacteria (Buszewski et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2020). Molecular techniques developed for L. monocytogenes detection include PCR, quantitative PCR (qPCR), and multiplex PCR (Liu, 2013). The *hly* gene is an important virulence factor in the infection process of L. monocytogenes. It encodes a toxin called listeriolysin O (LLO), which facilitates the escape and infection of the host by L. monocytogenes. As a result, the hly gene has been widely used in PCR-based detection for identifying *L. monocytogenes* (Le et al., 2011). However, it is important to note that not all L. monocytogenes strains carry the hly gene (Dapph and Salem, 2022; Ata et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021), indicating the need to mining more specific genes for the detection of L. monocytogenes.

In recent years, with the widespread application of nextgeneration sequencing and third-generation sequencing technologies, a large number of L. monocytogenes genomes have been sequenced and shared (Lakicevic et al., 2023; Fagerlund et al., 2022; Fox et al., 2016). This study aims to explore the biodiversity and evolutionary characteristics of L. monocytogenes isolated from beef through pan-genome analysis, and to provide important reference value for its specific molecular detection. Therefore, we conducted a comparative genomics study on all L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef in the NCBI database. A pan-genomic analysis and Multilocus Sequence Type (MLST) phenotypic analysis were performed for each L. monocytogenes strain. Pan-genomic analysis enables the identification of potential target genes in the strains. Functional analysis of the potential target genes in L. monocytogenes was conducted using Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotations. Furthermore, a proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network was constructed for potential target genes of L. monocytogenes, and eight different hub gene analysis methods were utilized to screen novel target genes from the potential target genes. Finally, the virulence genes, antimicrobial resistance genes, CRISPR-Cas system, plasmids, and environmental resistance genes of each L. monocytogenes strain were investigated to explore their biodiversity and genetic determinants.

## Materials and methods

#### Data availability and processing

A total of 356 genomic sequences were retrieved and downloaded from the NCBI Genomic Database (last accessed on July 13, 2024), including 344 *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef, as well as genomic sequences from 5 other *Listeria* species and 7 *non-Listeria* bacterial species. The comparative genomic analysis of *L. monocytogenes* with 5 other *Listeria* species effectively distinguishes *L. monocytogenes* from other *Listeria* species. Similarly, the comparative genomic analysis with 7 *non-Listeria* bacterial species allows for effective differentiation of *L. monocytogenes* from *non-Listeria* bacteria. The concrete information of *L. monocytogenes* in research is summarized in Supplementary Tables S1, S2, including GenBank accession numbers, strain names, genome size, GC content, number of contigs and N50.

#### Pan-genomics analysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef and non-targeted strains

The analysis of pan-genomic comparison of L. monocytogenes and non-target strains can be used to screen potential target genes. The potential target genes refer to those genes that are unique to L. monocytogenes strains and are absent in non-target strains (Li et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). Our study found that the commonly used molecular detection target gene, hly, is not present in all strains of L. monocytogenes. Therefore, it is essential to identify potential target genes that are present in all strains of L. monocytogenes as new detection targets (Dapph and Salem, 2022; Ata et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021). Perform pan-genomic analysis on 344 target strains of L. monocytogenes and 12 non-target reference strains. In brief, all analyzed genome sequences were annotated using Prokka v1.14.6 (Seemann, 2014), and the output results of Prokka were used for pan-genomic analysis with Roary v3.11.2 (Page et al., 2015). A core genome was determined for each isolate using a 99% cutoff, with a BLASTP identity cutoff of 85% (Pang et al., 2019). Genes that matched with all L. monocytogenes strains genome sequences were considered highly conserved and used for subsequent comparisons with other Listeria species and non-Listeria bacterial genomes.

Pan-genome clusters were defined as core-genes: present in all isolates; soft-core genes: present in at least 95% of isolates; shell-genes (accessory genes): present between 15 and 95% of isolates; and cloud-genes (unique genes): present in less than 15% of isolates (Mafuna et al., 2022).

The potential target genes were screened according to the following criteria: 100% presence in *L. monocytogenes* strains and no presence in non-target bacterial strains. Then, these potential target genes were used screened against the nucleotide collection (nr/nt) databases using the online BLAST program to ensure specificity (Zhang et al., 2024).

#### MLST and phylogenetic analysis

Perform MLST analysis on the genome of *L. monocytogenes* to predict sequence types (STs), clonal complexes (CCs), and lineages.

10.3389/fmicb.2025.1560974

This analysis involved retrieving seven housekeeping genes from each *L. monocytogenes* genome using the MLST database: *abcZ* (ABC transporter), *bglA* (beta-glucosidase), *cat* (catalase), *dapE* (succinyldiaminopimelate desuccinylase), *dat* (D-alanine aminotransferase), *ldh* (lactate dehydrogenase), and *lhkA* (histidine kinase). These genes were employed to determine the STs, CCs, and lineages of *L. monocytogenes* (Wu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021).

To investigate the phylogenetic relationships among 344 strains of *L. monocytogenes* isolated from beef, MEGA software was utilized to construct a phylogenetic tree based on all core single-copy genes of *L. monocytogenes* (Li et al., 2021). Additionally, the ST and CC typing of each strain were annotated on the tree.

## Functional characteristics of potential target genes

In order to investigate the functional characteristics of genes present exclusively in *L. monocytogenes* strains and absent in non-target bacterial strains (potential target genes), annotation analysis was performed using GO enrichment analysis and KEGG enrichment analysis (Adnan et al., 2022), and the results were integrated.

## PPI network analysis and screening of novel target genes

In this study, the STRING database was used to establish PPI networks, and these networks were visualized using Cytoscape v3.10.2 (Lu et al., 2022). Cytoscape is a general-purpose modeling environment for integrating biomolecular interaction networks and states. The CytoHubba function in Cytoscape was used to identify hub genes (novel target genes) from the PPI network. CytoHubba ranks genes in the PPI network using eight different algorithms, including Degree, Betweenness, BottleNeck, Closeness, Edge Percolated Component (EPC), Maximum Neighborhood Component (MNC), Maximum Clique Centrality (MCC), and Stress. The top 10 genes with the highest scores in each algorithm were selected as hub genes (Zhang et al., 2024).

#### Prediction of virulence factors and antimicrobial resistance genes of *Listeria monocytogenes*

Identifying the virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes of *L. monocytogenes* is crucial for understanding its genetic determinants. Therefore, we used the Virulence Factors of Pathogenic Bacteria (VFDB) database and the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance

Database (CARD) to predict the virulence and resistance genes of *L. monocytogenes* strains (Zhu et al., 2023), and integrated the results for presentation using a heatmap.

# Prediction of CRISPR-Cas system types and plasmids of *Listeria monocytogenes*

The presence of the CRISPR-Cas system and plasmids can facilitate the evolution of *L. monocytogenes*, contributing to our understanding of its genetics. Therefore, we used CRISPRCasFinder and the PLSDB plasmid database to predict the CRISPR-Cas system and plasmids in *L. monocytogenes* strains (Di et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016), and integrated the results for presentation using a heatmap.

# Prediction of *Listeria* genomic Islands and stress survival Islands of *Listeria* monocytogenes

The presence of *Listeria* genomic Islands (LGIs) and survival Islands (SSIs) can enhance the resistance of *L. monocytogenes* to harsh environments, contributing to our understanding of its adaptability. Therefore, we used the BIGSdb database to predict the LGIs and SSIs in *L. monocytogenes* strains (Mafuna et al., 2021), and integrated the results for presentation using a heatmap.

## Design and validation of specific primers for *Listeria monocytogenes*

Primers for the *bglF\_2* gene sequence were designed using Primer Premier 5 software (Table 1) (He et al., 2022). The primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. PCR experiments on some of the analyzed strains to detect primer specificity. Total reaction volume was 25  $\mu$ L, including 12.5  $\mu$ L of 2 × Es Taq MasterMix (CWBIO, Beijing, China), 1  $\mu$ L each of for ward and reverse primers (10  $\mu$ M), 8.5  $\mu$ L of sterile water, and 2  $\mu$ L of the purified bacterial genomic DNA as a template. An equal volume of sterile distilled water was used instead of the template as a negative control. PCR thermal cycling involved an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were evaluated by 2% agarose electrophoresis.

#### Artificial contamination experiments

To ensure the specificity and efficacy of the *bglF\_2* gene, the primers designed for this gene were validated through artificial contamination

TABLE 1 Specific target gene and primers used for the detection of L. monocytogenes isolated from beef.

Gene	Sequence length/bp	Primer	Sequence (5′/3′)	Encoded protein	Product size/bp
bglF_2	1,853	bglF_2F	TCGGAAATGACGTGCCTAAAGTGTT	PTS system beta-glucoside-specific	464
		bglF_2R	ATCGGAATAACAGAGTAAGC	EIIBCA component	

experiments to assess their effectiveness in detecting *L. monocytogenes* in beef samples. In brief, the *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e strain was cultured overnight, and bacterial concentration was determined using the plate count method. Beef samples (10 g) obtained from a slaughterhouse in Changchun, China were minced and exposed to ultraviolet light for 30 min to ensure sterility. The samples were then added to 88 mL of Milli-Q water and incubated for 30 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 20 min, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter to obtain the simulated detection solution. Finally, 2 mL of the cultured EGD-e was added to the simulated detection solution, and the mixture was thoroughly mixed to complete the artificial contamination. After that, the mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 4 h,6 h, 8 h,12 h, and 24 h. Genomic DNA was extracted at the indicated time points from sample, and then analyzed by PCR (Li et al., 2021).

#### Results

#### Genome statistics and general features

In the NCBI database, we downloaded the whole-genome sequences of all *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef, totaling 344 strains, and compiled the corresponding information for these strains (Supplementary Tables S1, S2). They possess an average genome size of 3.061 (2.9–3.6) Mbp, an average GC content of 37.986 (37.5–38)%, the number of contigs  $\leq$  312, and an average N50 of 387.121 Kbp.

#### Pan-genomic analysis results

According to the pan-genome analysis, the distribution of pan-genes in 344 L. monocytogenes strains is as follows: 2001 core genes (15.58%), 187 soft core genes (1.46%), 1,374 shell genes (10.70%), and 9,278 cloud genes (72.26%) (Figure 1A). As the number of strains increases, the number of pan-genes gradually increases, while the number of core genes tends to stabilize, indicating that L. monocytogenes possesses an open genome, which is closely related to their adaptability and virulence expression in beef environments under different conditions. To identify potential target genes, we also performed pan-genome analysis on 344 L. monocytogenes strains and 12 non-target strains. The results of the pan-genome analysis are as follows: the number of core genes is 0, soft core genes are 2,098, shell genes are 1,439, cloud genes are 41,690, and the total number of pan-genes is 45,227. Due to the presence of non-target strains, no common core genes were identified. However, potential target genes can be screened by comparing genes present in the non-target strains. Based on the aforementioned screening method, a total of 416 potential target genes were identified in L. monocytogenes strains (Supplementary Table S3). These potential target genes were present in 100% of the L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, but absent in non-target strains. These potential target genes may serve as novel target genes for L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, but further screening is required to validate these potential target genes.

#### MLST and phylogenetic analysis

By uploading the whole-genome sequences of 344 *L. monocytogenes* strains to the database for comparison, these

strains were classified into 52 distinct ST types and 34 different CC types. However, 18 strains did not successfully match any corresponding types in the database. The most common ST types were ST9 (28.20%) and ST5 (8.43%), while the most common CC type was CC9 (30.23%). The strains were divided into two evolutionary lineages: lineage I (30.23%) and lineage II (64.24%) (Figure 1B; Supplementary Table S4).

To elucidate the evolutionary patterns of *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef, we performed a phylogenetic analysis of *L. monocytogenes* using the conserved amino acid sequences of all single-copy genes (Figure 1B). Phylogenetic tree analysis revealed that the *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef share a common ancestor and belong to the main root of the *Listeria* genus. Most *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from the same region clustered into the same branch, suggesting a distinct regional pattern in the evolution of *L. monocytogenes*. However, some strains, although isolated from different regions, were grouped into the same branch, indicating a certain level of evolutionary similarity and strong adaptability of *L. monocytogenes* to different environments.

# Enrichment analysis of the functional characteristics of potential target genes using the GO and KEGG databases

To investigate the functional characteristics of the 416 potential target genes from L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, we performed functional annotation and classification of these genes using the GO and KEGG databases. The detailed information of the potential target genes is presented in Supplementary Table S3. The pathway database of KEGG is the most widely used public database for metabolic pathways, which classifies biological metabolic pathways into six categories: Metabolism, Genetic Information Processing, Environmental Information Processing, Cellular Processes, Organismal Systems, and Human Diseases. The potential target genes were annotated according to six pathway categories in the KEGG database. Among these, the most enriched pathways in the Metabolism category were metabolism of cofactors and vitamins (n = 49, 11.78%), carbohydrate metabolism (n = 43, 15.87%), and amino acid metabolism (n = 24, 5.78%). In the Genetic Information Processing category, replication and repair (n = 9, 2.16%) was the most enriched pathways. In the Environmental Information Processing category, membrane transport (n = 18, 4.33%) and signal transduction (n = 5, 1.20%) were the most enriched pathways. In the Cellular Processes category, cellular community—eukaryotes (n = 2, 0.48%) and cell motility (n = 2, 0.48%) were the most enriched pathways. In the Organismal Systems category, endocrine system (n = 2, 0.48%) was the most enriched pathways. In the Human Diseases category, drug resistance: antimicrobial (n = 5, 1.2%) was the most enriched pathways (Figure 2A). We integrated and ranked the KEGG enrichment analysis results of all potential target genes, and generated bubble maps to show the top 20 functional features in KEGG enrichment analysis based on the significance of gene number and *p*-value (Figure 2C).

The GO database categorizes gene functions into three main categories, namely Biological Processes (BP), Cellular Components (CC), and Molecular Functions (MF). In the BP category, the most enriched biological processes were primary metabolic process (n = 84, 20.19%), cellular metabolic process



The pan-genome composition and phylogenetic evolution tree of *L. monocytogenes* isolated from beef. (A) The proportion of each component in the pan-genome. (B) The phylogenetic tree of *L. monocytogenes* isolated from beef, with the inner ring color representing different STs and the outer ring color representing different CCs.



(n = 68, 16.35%), biosynthetic process (n = 60, 14.42%), and macromolecule metabolic process (n = 43, 10.34%). Within the CC category, the most abundant cellular components were intracellular anatomical structure (n = 54, 12.98%), cell periphery (n = 34, 8.17%). In the MF category, the most enriched molecular functions were heterocyclic compound binding (n = 42, 10.09%), and anion binding (n = 37, 8.89%) (Figure 2B). We integrated and ranked all the GO enrichment analysis results of potential target genes, and generated a bubble chart to display the top 20

functional features in GO enrichment analysis based on the number of genes and the significance of *p*-values (Figure 2D).

Enrichment analysis of the functional characteristics of the 416 potential target genes using the GO and KEGG databases revealed that these genes are primarily associated with metabolic processes, compound binding, protein localization, and transmembrane transport in *L. monocytogenes*. Specifically, these genes are involved in cellular metabolic processes, carbohydrate metabolism, and biosynthesis of organic matter. For example, carbohydrate metabolism

is critical for energy acquisition in *L. monocytogenes* because it involves converting sugars into a form of energy that cells can use directly. These potential target genes are closely related to the basic biological processes of *L. monocytogenes* and the pathogenesis of infection. They not only support the basic metabolic activities of the bacteria, but also involve the invasion and pathogenic effects of the bacteria on the host, including how the bacteria survive in the host cell, replicate and evade the innate immune response of the host. However, there were still some genes with unclear functional information, which warrants further investigation in future studies. In conclusion, the functional characteristics of these genes provide deeper insights into the biological properties of *L. monocytogenes* and offer potential molecular targets for the development of new control strategies.

# PPI network analysis of potential target genes and identification of novel target genes

To further explore the relationship between potential target genes of L. monocytogenes PPI analysis was carried out using the STRING database. The PPI network of potential target genes comprised 416 genes, and visualization of the PPI network was performed using Cytoscape v3.10.1 software. Cytoscape is a general-purpose modeling environment for integrating biomolecular interaction networks and states. Potential target genes in the PPI network were screened using eight different algorithms in the CytoHubba plugin of Cytoscape software. The top 10 genes with the highest scores were selected as hub genes, and their rankings are shown in Table 2. Finally, the top 10 hub genes with the highest scores obtained from the Degree algorithm were identified as novel target genes. A PPI network was constructed based on their scores. These 10 hub genes include bglF\_2, tilS, group\_2105, group\_2431, oleD, ndk, flgG, purB, pbpB, and fni (Figure 3). Detailed information on these 10 hub genes, including their functional roles, gene lengths, and other characteristics, is presented in Table 3. These 10 hub genes play a crucial role in maintaining the basic life activities and infection processes of *L. monocytogenes* strains and may serve as novel target genes for *L. monocytogenes*, particularly the top-scoring gene, *bglF\_2*.

## Prediction results of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes

To investigate the virulence relationships and pathogenic mechanisms among L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, we predicted the virulence factor encoding genes in the whole genome of L. monocytogenes strains. Based on VFDB prediction and annotation, virulence factors of L. monocytogenes were classified into 12 categories including Adherence, Bile resistance, Enzyme, Immune modulator, Intracellular survival, Invasion, Iron uptake, Nucleationpromoting factor, Peptidoglycan modification, Regulation, Surface protein anchoring, and Toxin. The VFDB prediction revealed that 26 virulence genes were present in all L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, including dltA, inlJ, lap, bsh, plcB, plcA, stp, inlC, inlK, lntA, oppA, prsA2, inlA, inlB, lpeA, hbp2, pdgA, agrA, agrC, cheY, lisK, lisR, prfA, virR, virS, and lspA (Figure 4). During the process of invading and infecting the host, the genes inlA, inlB, plcA, plcB, prfA, hly, and actA play critical roles. In this study, the inlA, inlB, plcA, plcB, and prfA genes were present in 100% of the L. monocytogenes strains, while the hly and actA genes were not present in all strains, but their presence probability exceeded 97%. The prediction of virulence genes indicates that L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef contain a large number of virulence genes, suggesting that these strains possess high virulence and pathogenicity.

With the widespread use of antibiotics, bacterial resistance has become a major concern. The changes in antimicrobial resistance genes during bacterial evolution help us gain a deeper understanding of the trends in bacterial antimicrobial resistance. Using the CARD database, a total of 9 antimicrobial resistance genes were predicted in 344 *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef, belonging to 9 drug categories and exhibiting 5 resistance mechanisms. The identified antimicrobial resistance genes include *norB*, *lin*, *mprF*, *FosX*, *dfrG*, *APH*(*3'*)-*IIIa*, *ANT*(*6*)-*Ia*, *lsaE*, and *catA8* (Figure 5). The most common 4 antimicrobial resistance genes in *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef are *norB*, *lin*, *mprF*, and *FosX*, indicating high

Catelogy	Rank methods in cytoHubba								
	Betweenness	BottleNeck	Closeness	Degree	EPC	МСС	MNC	Stress	
Gene symbol top 10	group_2105	group_2105	tilS	bglF_2	bglF_2	gatY_3	bglF_2	tilS	
	tilS	ndk	group_2105	tilS	tilS	gatY_2	tilS	group_2105	
	bglF_2	flgG	bglF_2	group_2105	oleD	frwD	group_2431	bglF_2	
	flgG	bglF_2	oleD	group_2431	group_2431	mngA_3	gatY_3	ndk	
	ndk	group_5668	ndk	oleD	purB	bglF_2	gatY_2	flgG	
	oleD	tilS	group_2431	ndk	ndk	yhaP	сроА	oleD	
	group_2431	oleD	prkC	flgG	group_2105	mshD_2	purB	group_2431	
	group_5795	fmt	pyrE	purB	pyrE	group_10780	group_11281	cysG	
	prkC	hemH	fmt	pbpB	gatY_3	pgcA_1	group_5806	pphA	
	cysG	cysG	purB	fni	pgcA_1	pgcA_2	group_45051	group_5795	

TABLE 2 Top 10 hub genes ranked by scoring in eight different algorithms.

bglF\_2 gene highlighted in bold are the most promising candidate to serve as novel target genes.



resistance to fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, peptides and phosphonic acid antibiotics.

# Prediction results of CRISPR-Cas system types and plasmids

The CRISPR-Cas system is an adaptive immune system in bacteria that defends against the invasion of foreign genetic material, such as phages and plasmids. It serves as a defense mechanism found in most bacteria to eliminate foreign plasmid or phage DNA. An analysis of the CRISPR-Cas system in 344 *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef identified 4 types of CRISPR-Cas systems, each exhibiting distinct Cas genes. The CRISPR-Cas system types detected in *L. monocytogenes* included CAS-TypeIA (2/344), CAS-TypeIB (105/344), CAS-TypeIIA (30/344), and CAS-TypeIIIA (23/344). In total, 39.24% (135/344) of the *L. monocytogenes* strains contained at least one CRISPR-Cas system, with CAS-TypeIB (30.52%) and CAS-TypeIIA (8.72%) being the most prevalent (Figure 5). CAS-TypeIA was detected only in ST451 and ST2.

Mobile Genetic Elements (MGEs) refer to a class of genetic elements capable of spreading or transferring within a genome, such

as plasmids, which can facilitate the evolution of microorganisms. Therefore, the prediction of plasmids can provide further insights into the evolution of *L. monocytogenes*. We employed PLSDB databases for the detection of plasmids, and the results only documented plasmids with an identity score of 1. A total of 23 plasmids were predicted in *L. monocytogenes* strains, with the most prevalent plasmids being pMF4545 (10/344) and pCFSAN100570 (10/344), followed by pLM33 (8/344) and pLIS18 (8/344). The plasmids pMF4545 and pCFSAN100570 were exclusively found in ST9 (Figure 5).

#### Prediction results of LGIs and SSIs

We predicted the presence of genomic LGIs in *L. monocytogenes* strains and found that LGI-2 was present in all strains. LGI-1 was less common, detected in only 3 *L. monocytogenes* strains, while LGI-3 was found in 155 strains (Figure 5).

The SSIs associated with resistance genes in *Listeria* consist of 2 islands: SSI-1 and SSI-2. SSI-1 consists of the genes *lmo0444*, *lmo0445*, *pva*, *gadD*, and *gadT*, while SSI-2 is composed of the genes *lin0464* and *lin0465*. SSI-1 is associated with the tolerance of *Listeria* to

Gene	Name of target ganes	Sequence length/bp	Presence profile		Encoded protein	Source
			In target	In non-target		
bglF_2	lmo2772	1,853	344 (100%)	0	PTS system beta-glucoside-specific EIIBCA component	This study
tilS	lmo0219	1,945	344 (100%)	0	tRNA(Ile)-lysidine synthase	This study
group_2105	lmo1266	955	344 (100%)	0	O-succinylbenzoic acidCoA ligase	This study
group_2431	lmo0078	1,350	344 (100%)	0	Endonuclease MutS2	This study
oleD	lmo1477	1,027	344 (100%)	0	Putative N-acetyl-LL-diaminopimelate aminotransferase	This study
ndk	ndk	443	344 (100%)	0	5-dehydro-2-deoxygluconokinase	This study
flgG	lmo0682	779	344 (100%)	0	Glutathione biosynthesis bifunctional protein GshAB	This study
purB	purB	1,292	344 (100%)	0	Siroheme synthase	This study
pbpB	pbpB	2,216	344 (100%)	0	Tryptophan synthase alpha chain	This study
fni	lmo1383	1,073	344 (100%)	0	Histidinol-phosphate aminotransferase	This study

TABLE 3 Detailed information of top 10 hub genes ranked by scoring in Degree algorithm.

environmental factors such as high NaCl concentrations, high bile salt concentrations, and low pH. In contrast, SSI-2 contributes to the survival of strains under alkaline and oxidative stress, enabling them to persist in food processing environments. Initially, the two genes of SSI-2 were thought to be unique to *L. innocua*, however, studies have found that these genes are also present in *L. monocytogenes* of the ST121. Prediction of SSIs revealed that 330 strains (95.93%) of *L. monocytogenes* harbor SSI-1, while 14 strains (4.07%) of *L. monocytogenes* harbor SSI-2 (Figure 5). It is noteworthy that all 14 *L. monocytogenes* strains harboring SSI-2 were identified as ST121. Among these 14 *L. monocytogenes* strains, 12 were isolated from the Netherlands, 1 from Spain, and 1 from Australia.

## Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* using specific primers by PCR

To validate the specificity of the *bglF\_2* gene for *L. monocytogenes*, primers targeting the *bglF\_2* gene were designed, and its specificity was evaluated through PCR. PCR results showed that in the primer system designed for the *bglF\_2* gene, a distinct band was observed at 464 bp only in *L. monocytogenes*, while no bands were detected in other *non-L. monocytogenes* strains (Figure 6A). The results indicate that the *bglF\_2* gene exhibits good specificity for *L. monocytogenes*, and primers designed for the *bglF\_2* gene can effectively detect *L. monocytogenes*.

## The results of the artificial contamination experiment

To ensure the primers designed for the *bglF\_2* gene can effectively detect *L. monocytogenes* in beef samples, their specificity and efficacy were validated through artificial contamination experiments. *L. monocytogenes* at a concentration of  $5.2 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL was added to the simulated detection solution and subjected to 24 h of enrichment culture. DNA was extracted from the samples at 4 h, 6 h,

8 h, 12 h, and 24 h, and PCR validation was performed using primers designed for the  $bglF_2$  gene. The results showed distinct bands at 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h, indicating that the primers designed for the  $bglF_2$  gene can effectively detect *L. monocytogenes* in beef samples (Figure 6B). The  $bglF_2$  gene demonstrated excellent specificity and holds promise as a specific molecular target for detecting *L. monocytogenes* strains in beef samples.

#### Discussion

Food safety is a critical issue in the field of public health, and *L. monocytogenes*, as a significant foodborne pathogen, often poses serious food safety risks (Chowdhury and Anand, 2023). Therefore, we conducted a comparative genomic analysis of *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from beef to explore their phenotypic and genetic evaluations, revealing their biodiversity and evolutionary traits. We also identified potential target genes and mining novel targets. The aim was to gain a deeper understanding of *L. monocytogenes* strains and provide important reference value for strain-specific molecular detection, thereby reducing food safety issues in public health.

In this study, we conducted a pan-genome comparative analysis of 344 L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef to investigate strain phenotypes and genetic evaluations, revealing their biodiversity and evolutionary features. To assess the genomic diversity of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, we performed core/ pan-genome analysis. The pan-genome represents all the genes present in the strains, while the core genome represents the essential portion necessary for the presence and shared phenotypic features of specific strains, which are critical for maintaining basic survival and infection capability (Lee et al., 2019). Therefore, we conducted an in-depth study of the core genome to identify potential target genes. A total of 2,001 core genes, accounting for 15.58%, were identified among all L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef in the NCBI database. These genes constitute the fundamental components essential for the survival and development of L. monocytogenes strains. This suggests that despite evolving in different regions and







Verification of primer specificity for the *bgli*-2 gene of *L. monocytogenes* and artificial contamination experiments. (A) Lane M: DL DNA 2000 marker, lane NC: negative control, and lanes 1–6: represent 6 different strains, including lane 1: *Listeria monocytogenes*, lane 2: *Listeria innocua*, lane 3: *Listeria ivanovii*, lane 4: *Listeria welshimeri*, lane 5: *Escherichia coli*, lane 6: *Salmonella enterica*. (B) Lane M: DL DNA 2000 marker, lane 1: positive control, lane NC: negative control, lane 2: DNA extracted from samples at 4 h, lane 3: DNA extracted from samples at 6 h, lane 4: DNA extracted from samples at 8 h, lane 5: DNA extracted from samples at 24 h.

environments, L. monocytogenes strains still share a significant number of common phenotypic features. In addition to the core genes, the pan-genome also contains many accessory genes, which provide the strains with unique traits (Nwaiwu, 2022). As L. monocytogenes strains are found in various environments, they have evolved specific accessory genes to adapt to these distinct environments and counteract environmental pressures. Through pangenomic analysis, we identified the core genes of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, and subsequently used these core genes to determine potential target genes. The selection criterion for potential target genes was that they were present exclusively in L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, and absent in non-target strains. This suggests that the potential target genes are essential and unique to L. monocytogenes, playing a crucial role in its basic survival and pathogenic invasion. Therefore, studying the potential target genes of L. monocytogenes can enhance our understanding of its phenotypic and genetic evaluations, and these potential target genes could also serve as specific molecular detection targets for L. monocytogenes.

To investigate the biodiversity and evolutionary characteristics of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, we performed MLST and phylogenetic analyses. MLST analysis is a crucial tool in the classification and epidemiological study of L. monocytogenes, providing important insights into the genetic diversity and transmission routes of L. monocytogenes strains (Stessl et al., 2014). Our MLST analysis of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef revealed that ST9 and CC9 were the most prevalent, followed by ST5 and CC5. Similar findings were reported by Henri et al. (2016) who conducted MLST analysis on L. monocytogenes isolated from food, with ST9 and ST121 being the most common. Manqele et al. (2024) performed MLST analysis of L. monocytogenes in beef and beef products and identified ST9, ST204, ST1, and ST5. Our results are consistent with the findings reported in the above-mentioned literature. This indicates that L. monocytogenes strains in beef predominantly belong to ST9 and CC9 types. By constructing a phylogenetic tree, we found that although the L. monocytogenes strains studied were isolated from different regions and environments, some

of the strains were still grouped into the same branch. This suggests that while *L. monocytogenes* strains have evolved unique traits to adapt to the specific environmental pressures they encounter, they still exhibit a certain degree of genetic similarity in their evolutionary characteristics.

We obtained the core genes of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef through pan-genomic analysis, and then identified 416 potential target genes by screening these core genes. To explore the functional roles of these potential target genes, we conducted GO and KEGG enrichment analyses (Zhang et al., 2024). The functional annotation results indicated that the potential target genes are mainly associated with the metabolic processes, compound binding, protein localization, and transmembrane transport of L. monocytogenes, which are closely related to the bacterium's basic life activities and its ability to invade and infect hosts. The potential target genes are promising candidates for specific targeting of L. monocytogenes (Zhang et al., 2024). However, due to the large number of potential target genes, further screening was performed. A PPI network was constructed, and the hub genes were identified using the Cytohubba function in Cytoscape software. Hub genes are the most critical genes in the PPI network and are used to discover novel target genes (Zhang et al., 2024). Ultimately, 10 hub genes were selected from the potential target genes (bglF\_2, tilS, group\_2105, group\_2431, oleD, ndk, flgG, purB, pbpB, and fni). These hub genes play a crucial role in the basic life activities and infection invasiveness of L. monocytogenes. Among them, the bglF\_2 gene scored the highest and exhibited stronger connections with other proteins, indicating its potential as a specific molecular target for L. monocytogenes detection.

L. monocytogenes is a recognized pathogenic strain of Listeria (Disson et al., 2021). To further understand the genetic determinants behind the virulence of L. monocytogenes, we predicted the virulence genes of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef. During invasion of the host by L. monocytogenes, the bacterium first utilizes the inlA and inlB genes to bind with the E-Cadherin and Met receptors of the host's eukaryotic cell membrane, respectively, thereby inducing bacterial uptake through receptor-mediated endocytosis. After internalization, the bacterium is encapsulated within a vacuole, and releases the *hly*, *plcA*, and *plcB* genes to mediate vacuole escape. Subsequently, the actA gene is utilized to induce actin polymerization and generate sufficient force for the bacterium to spread from one cell to another (Osek and Wieczorek, 2022). Our predictive results revealed that 50 virulence genes are present in L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, with 26 of these virulence genes being consistently present in all strains. These include key virulence genes involved in the infection and invasion process of L. monocytogenes, such as inlA, inlB, plcA, plcB, and prfA genes. Interestingly, hly and actA genes were not present in all strains, although their occurrence exceeded 97%. This discrepancy could be attributed to prediction errors in the database or genetic variations occurring in individual strains under specific environmental conditions. The presence of a large number of virulence genes contributes to the strong pathogenicity of L. monocytogenes, making it a virulent strain. The genetic determinants behind L. monocytogenes virulence are governed by its virulence genes. These results suggest that although some virulence genes may undergo genetic variation during the evolutionary process, critical virulence genes essential for the infection and invasion of the host remain conserved, playing a crucial role in *L. monocytogenes* pathogenicity.

To further investigate the genetic determinants behind the antibiotic and environmental resistance of L. monocytogenes, we predicted the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes, CRISPR-Cas systems, plasmids, SSIs, and LGIs in L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef. Antibiotic resistance has long been a concerning issue (Asghar et al., 2024). Our predictions revealed that the most common antibiotic resistance genes in L. monocytogenes are norB, lin, mprF, and FosX, indicating high resistance to fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, peptides, and phosphonic acid antibiotics. The recommended antibiotics for treating L. monocytogenes infections are ampicillin and gentamicin. The CRISPR-Cas system is an important adaptive immune mechanism in bacteria, used to defend against foreign genetic elements such as phages and plasmids (Liu et al., 2024). Our predictions revealed the presence of a significant number of CAS-TypeIB and CAS-TypeIIA in L. monocytogenes strains, suggesting that L. monocytogenes evolves an environmentspecific CRISPR-Cas system during its evolutionary process. Predicting the presence of plasmids in L. monocytogenes helps analyze the variations in MGEs and provides further insights into the evolution of the strains. Our prediction of plasmids revealed that, despite L. monocytogenes being exposed to different external environments, there remains a certain degree of similarity in bacterial genome evolution. The prediction of SSI and LGI in L. monocytogenes provides valuable insights into the changes in the environmental resistance of the bacterium (Hein et al., 2011). We found that LGI-2 was present in all strains, whereas LGI-3 was only present in 155 strains, suggesting that the presence of LGI-2 plays a crucial role in the resistance to environmental stress during the evolution of L. monocytogenes. SSI prediction revealed that SSI-1 was present in the majority of L. monocytogenes strains, whereas SSI-2 was found only in the ST121 strains, indicating that the presence of SSI-1 helps L. monocytogenes survive in harsh environments. Therefore, LGI-2 and SSI-1 are a genetic determinant for environmental resistance in the evolution of L. monocytogenes.

To validate the specificity of the bglF\_2 gene, primers were designed for the bglF\_2 gene, and its specificity was confirmed through PCR. The results indicate that the bglF\_2 gene exhibits excellent specificity for L. monocytogenes. The rationale for selecting genes different from those used in previous studies for detecting *L. monocytogenes* is that the *bglF\_2* gene yielded the best results in the analysis of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef (Zhang et al., 2024). Meanwhile, the bglF\_2 gene, as a component of the PTS system, is typically strain-specific, which helps to avoid cross-reactivity with other Listeria species and non-Listeria bacteria. Therefore, the bglF\_2 gene exhibits better specificity in these strains, making it a more suitable choice for detecting L. monocytogenes in beef. Subsequently, an artificial contamination experiment was conducted to verify whether the bglF\_2 gene could successfully detect L. monocytogenes in beef samples. The results showed that the bglF\_2 gene effectively detected L. monocytogenes at five different time points, indicating that *bglF\_2* not only efficiently detects *L. monocytogenes* in beef samples but also performs excellently. Therefore, the *bglF\_2* gene is expected to be a specific molecular target for detecting L. monocytogenes in beef samples.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we conducted a comparative genomics study to explore the phenotypic and genetic evaluations of L. monocytogenes strains isolated from beef, revealing the biodiversity and evolutionary traits of these strains. A large number of virulence genes were identified in the L. monocytogenes strains, which form the basis for the high pathogenicity of L. monocytogenes. Although the strains analyzed are from different environments and have evolved unique genes to cope with various environmental pressures, they all isolated from beef, and thus share many common features in their evolutionary process. These common features allowed us to identify potential target genes, which were further explored to discover novel targets. Specificity tests and artificial contamination experiments confirmed that the bglF\_2 gene holds promise as a specific molecular target for detecting L. monocytogenes strains in beef samples. This study further enhances our understanding of the pathogenicity and adaptability of L. monocytogenes, while providing significant reference value for the development of specific molecular detection targets for this pathogen.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

#### Author contributions

BZ: Data curation, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WS: Data curation, Writing – original draft. XW: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HR: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YaW: Validation, Writing – original draft. SH: Validation, Writing – original draft. CL: Validation, Writing – original draft. YuW: Validation, Writing – original draft. JH: Validation, Writing – original draft. XH: Validation, Writing – original draft. RS: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. QL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. PH: Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

#### References

Adnan, M., Siddiqui, A. J., Noumi, E., Hannachi, S., Ashraf, S. A., Awadelkareem, A. M., et al. (2022). Integrating network pharmacology approaches to decipher the multi-target pharmacological mechanism of microbial biosurfactants as novel green antimicrobials against Listeriosis. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 12:5. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics12010005

Asghar, A., Khalid, A., Baqar, Z., Hussain, N., Saleem, M. Z., Sairash, et al. (2024). An insights into emerging trends to control the threats of antimicrobial resistance (AMR): an address to public health risks. *Arch. Microbiol.* 206:72. doi: 10.1007/s00203-023-03800-9

Ata, N. S., Abuelnaga, A. S. M., Atta, N. S., Hedia, R. M., Elgabry, E. A., Ibrahim, E. S., et al. (2017). Existence and virulence designation of *Listeria Monocytogenes* in retail chilled pork byproducts in Cairo porcine markets with trials of using Lactobacillus probiotic as anti-Listerial meat Perservative. *IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol.* 11, 19–23. doi: 10.9790/2402-1105041923

Buszewski, B., Rogowska, A., Pomastowski, P., Złoch, M., and Railean-Plugaru, V. (2017). Identification of microorganisms by modern analytical techniques. *J. AOAC Int.* 100, 1607–1623. doi: 10.5740/jaoacint.17-0207

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2023YFD1801000) and Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province (YDZJ202401332ZYTS).

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the reviewers who participated in the review, as well as other members in the team of Pan Hu.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **Generative AI statement**

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025.1560974/ full#supplementary-material

Chowdhury, B., and Anand, S. (2023). Environmental persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* and its implications in dairy processing plants. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 22, 4573–4599. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.13234

Colomba, C., Rubino, R., Anastasia, A., Palermo, G., Lo, P. D., Abbott, M., et al. (2020). Postpartum listeria meningitis. *IDCases* 21:e00896. doi: 10.1016/j.idcr.2020.e00896

Dapph, A., and Salem, R. L. (2022). Molecular detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Milk and some Milk products. *Int. J. Vet. Sci.* 11, 514–519. doi: 10.47278/journal.ijvs/2021.128

Di, H., Ye, L., Yan, H., Meng, H., Yamasak, S., and Shi, L. (2014). Comparative analysis of CRISPR loci in different *Listeria monocytogenes* lineages. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 454, 399–403. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.10.018

Disson, O., Moura, A., and Lecuit, M. (2021). Making sense of the biodiversity and virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Trends Microbiol*. 29, 811–822. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2021.01.008

Fagerlund, A., Idland, L., Heir, E., Møretrø, T., Aspholm, M., Lindbäck, T., et al. (2022). Whole-genome sequencing analysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* from rural, urban, and

farm environments in Norway: genetic diversity, persistence, and relation to clinical and food isolates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 88:e0213621. doi: 10.1128/aem.02136-21

Feng, Y., Yao, H., Chen, S., Sun, X., Yin, Y., and Jiao, X. (2020). Rapid detection of Hypervirulent Serovar 4h *Listeria monocytogenes* by multiplex PCR. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:1309. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01309

Fox, E. M., Allnutt, T., Bradbury, M. I., Fanning, S., and Chandry, P. S. (2016). Comparative genomics of the *Listeria monocytogenes* ST204 subgroup. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:2057. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02057

Gupta, P., and Adhikari, A. (2022). Novel approaches to environmental monitoring and control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food production facilities. *Food Secur.* 11:1760. doi: 10.3390/foods11121760

He, P., Wang, H., Yan, Y., Zhu, G., and Chen, Z. (2022). Development and application of a multiplex fluorescent PCR for *Shigella* detection and species identification. *J. Fluoresc.* 32, 707–713. doi: 10.1007/s10895-021-02876-0

Hein, I., Klinger, S., Dooms, M., Flekna, G., Stessl, B., Leclercq, A., et al. (2011). Stress survival islet 1 (SSI-1) survey in *Listeria monocytogenes* reveals an insert common to *listeria innocua* in sequence type 121 *L. monocytogenes* strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2169–2173. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02159-10

Henri, C., Félix, B., Guillier, L., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Michelon, D., Mariet, J. F., et al. (2016). Population genetic structure of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains as determined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and multilocus sequence typing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 5720–5728. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00583-16

Lakicevic, B., Jankovic, V., Pietzka, A., and Ruppitsch, W. (2023). Wholegenome sequencing as the gold standard approach for control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the food chain. *J. Food Prot.* 86:100003. doi: 10.1016/j.jfp.2022.10.002

Law, J. W., Ab, M. N. S., Chan, K. G., and Lee, L. H. (2015). An insight into the isolation, enumeration, and molecular detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1227. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01227

Le, M. A., Abachin, E., Beretti, J. L., Berche, P., and Kayal, S. (2011). Diagnosis of *Listeria monocytogenes* meningoencephalitis by real-time PCR for the hly gene. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49, 3917–3923. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01072-11

Lee, B. H., Cole, S., Badel-Berchoux, S., Guillier, L., Felix, B., Krezdorn, N., et al. (2019). Biofilm formation of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains under food processing environments and Pan-genome-wide association study. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2698. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02698

Li, F., Ye, Q., Chen, M., Zhang, J., Xue, L., Wang, J., et al. (2021). Multiplex PCR for the identification of pathogenic *Listeria* in Flammulina velutipes plant based on novel specific targets revealed by Pan-genome analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:634255. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.634255

Liu, D. (2013). Molecular approaches to the identification of pathogenic and nonpathogenic listeriae. *Microbiol. Insights* 6, 59–69. doi: 10.4137/MBI.S10880

Liu, S., Liu, H., Wang, X., and Shi, L. (2024). The immune system of prokaryotes: potential applications and implications for gene editing. *Biotechnol. J.* 19:e2300352. doi: 10.1002/biot.202300352

Lu, Q., Zhu, X., Long, Q., Yi, X., Yang, A., Long, X., et al. (2022). Comparative genomics reveal the utilization ability of variable carbohydrates as key genetic features of *Listeria* pathogens in their pathogenic lifestyles. *Pathogens* 11:1430. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11121430

Mafuna, T., Matle, I., Magwedere, K., Pierneef, R. E., and Reva, O. N. (2021). Whole genome-based characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates recovered from the food chain in South Africa. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:669287. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.669287

Mafuna, T., Matle, I., Magwedere, K., Pierneef, R. E., and Reva, O. N. (2022). Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species recovered from meat and food processing facilities. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10:e0118922. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01189-22

Manqele, A., Adesiyun, A., Mafuna, T., Pierneef, R., Moerane, R., and Gcebe, N. (2024). Virulence potential and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates obtained from beef and beef-based products deciphered using whole-genome sequencing. *Microorganisms* 12:1166. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms12061166

Matle, I., Mbatha, K. R., and Madoroba, E. (2020). A review of *Listeria monocytogenes* from meat and meat products: epidemiology, virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance and diagnosis. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* 87, e1–e20. doi: 10.4102/ojvr.v87i1.1869

Montero, D., Bodero, M., Riveros, G., Lapierre, L., Gaggero, A., Vidal, R. M., et al. (2015). Molecular epidemiology and genetic diversity of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from a wide variety of ready-to-eat foods and their relationship to clinical strains from listeriosis outbreaks in Chile. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:384. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00384

Nwaiwu, O. (2022). Comparative genome analysis of the first *Listeria monocytogenes* core genome multi-locus sequence types CT2050 AND CT2051 strains with their close relatives. *AIMS Microbiol.* 8, 61–72. doi: 10.3934/microbiol.2022006

Osek, J., and Wieczorek, K. (2022). *Listeria monocytogenes*-how this pathogen uses its virulence mechanisms to infect the hosts. *Pathogens* 11:1491. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11121491

Page, A. J., Cummins, C. A., Hunt, M., Wong, V. K., Reuter, S., Holden, M. T., et al. (2015). Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3691–3693. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421

Pang, R., Xie, T., Wu, Q., Li, Y., Lei, T., Zhang, J., et al. (2019). Comparative genomic analysis reveals the potential risk of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolated from ready-to-eat foods in China. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:186. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00186

Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153

Sloan, A., Wang, G., and Cheng, K. (2017). Traditional approaches versus mass spectrometry in bacterial identification and typing. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 473, 180–185. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.08.035

Stessl, B., Rückerl, I., and Wagner, M. (2014). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of *Listeria monocytogenes. Methods Mol. Biol.* 1157, 73–83. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-0703-8\_6

Wang, Y., Ji, Q., Li, S., and Liu, M. (2021). Prevalence and genetic diversity of *Listeria* monocytogenes isolated from retail pork in Wuhan, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:620482. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.620482

Wu, S., Wu, Q., Zhang, J., Chen, M., and Guo, W. (2016). Analysis of multilocus sequence typing and virulence characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from Chinese retail ready-to-eat food. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:168. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00168

Zhang, J., Cao, G., Xu, X., Allard, M., Li, P., and Brown, E. (2016). Evolution and diversity of *Listeria monocytogenes* from clinical and food samples in Shanghai, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1138. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01138

Zhang, B., Ren, H., Wang, X., Han, C., Jin, Y., Hu, X., et al. (2024). Comparative genomics analysis to explore the biodiversity and mining novel target genes of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains from different regions. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1424868. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1424868

Zhang, H., Wang, J., Chang, Z., Liu, X., Chen, W., Yu, Y., et al. (2021). *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination characteristics in two ready-to-eat meat plants from 2019 to 2020 in Shanghai. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:729114. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.729114

Zhu, L., Ji, X., Wu, Y., Xu, W., Wang, F., and Huang, X. (2023). Molecular characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from imported food in China from 14 countries/regions, 2003-2018. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1287564. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1287564