Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Guido Werner, Robert Koch Institute (RKI), Germany

REVIEWED BY Charity Wiafe Akenten, Kumasi Centre for Collaborative Research in Tropical Medicine (KCCR), Ghana Samuel Ogundare, Co-Care Health Group, Canada

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yan Liu ⊠ pro\_liuyan2023@163.com Guolian Bao ⊠ baoguolian2023@163.com Xiangru Wang ⊠ wangxr228@mail.hzau.edu.cn

RECEIVED 12 February 2025 ACCEPTED 20 March 2025 PUBLISHED 02 April 2025

#### CITATION

Hu Z, Huang Y, Cui X, Shi T, Ji Q, Wang X, Bao G and Liu Y (2025) Genomic epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance determinants in Chinese swine farm *Escherichia coli* isolates. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1575426. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1575426

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Hu, Huang, Cui, Shi, Ji, Wang, Bao and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Genomic epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance determinants in Chinese swine farm *Escherichia coli* isolates

Zizhe Hu<sup>1</sup>, Yee Huang<sup>1</sup>, Xuemei Cui<sup>1</sup>, Tuanyuan Shi<sup>1</sup>, Quanan Ji<sup>1</sup>, Xiangru Wang<sup>2</sup>\*, Guolian Bao<sup>1</sup>\* and Yan Liu<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Bacterial Diseases of Livestock and Poultry, Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, <sup>2</sup>National Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

**Introduction:** The extensive use of antimicrobials in pig farming has led to a significant emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) among microorganisms. Given China's prominent position as the leading global swine producer, AMR in pig farming has become a focal point of debates. However, limited research has comprehensively assessed the overall status of AMR in Chinese pig farms.

**Methods:** Samples were collected from 31 provinces in China. *E. coli* was identified and isolated using McConkey's selective medium and confirmed through PCR amplification. The MIC value was determined by BD Phoenix<sup>™</sup> M50 Automated Identification and Susceptibility device. *E. coli* J53 (sodium azide-resistant) was used as the recipient in conjugation transfer experiment. The phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of the isolates were comprehensively analyzed using whole-genome sequencing.

**Results:** 227 slurry samples were collected from 52 pig farms located across 31 provinces in seven geographical regions of China. 142 non-duplicate strains of *E. coli* were isolated, and their resistance phenotypes to 28 antimicrobials were systematically evaluated. ST10 and ST641 isolates were widespread sequence types with numerous antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) and virulence factor genes, including  $bl_{NDM-1}$ , *mcr-1.1*, and  $bl_{OXA-10}$ , among others. Multiple ARGs were co-located on a single plasmid, and an analysis of the genetic context revealed insertion sequences adjacent to ARGs containing various mobile genetic elements. Conjugation experiments provided additional evidence for the horizontal dissemination of these ARGs.

**Discussion:** The release of *E. coli* into the environment via farm slurry comprises a significant emerging contaminant and a potential hazard to public health. Consequently, there is an urgent need to establish universally recognized farm effluent standards for monitoring the dissemination of resistant bacteria and ARGs in China's pig farms.

#### KEYWORDS

pig farms, *Escherichia coli*, antimicrobial resistance, epidemiology, whole genome sequencing, horizontal transfer of ARGs

# **1** Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the most pressing global concerns and ranks among the top 10 threats to global health, as identified by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2020). A comprehensive study indicated that the estimated total number of deaths attributed to AMR in 2019 was approximately 4.95 million individuals, with drug-resistant Escherichia coli being responsible for the highest mortality rate (Murray et al., 2022). Further, the widespread utilization of antimicrobial agents in humans and domestic animals has led to the proliferation of antimicrobialresistant bacteria (AMB) and the spread of antimicrobial-resistance genes (ARGs) (Mencia-Ares et al., 2020; Walsh et al., 2023). Moreover, abundant evidence suggests that livestock act as reservoirs for ARGs and AMB, particularly the microorganisms present in feces (Timmermans et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). Pigs are administered the highest antibiotic amounts per kilogram of animal product among cattle, pigs, and chickens (Van Boeckel et al., 2015). China is responsible for rearing approximately half of the global pig population, and pork serves as the primary protein source in Chinese cuisine. Therefore, AMR in pig farms in China has triggered a heated discussion. Moreover, after the African swine fever epidemic, the pig-farming landscape of China has increasingly shifted toward large-scale commercial operations from the previous predominance of small-scale farms. Farmers are willing to allocate additional resources to prevent disease (Xu et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2023), and this phenomenon has led to a substantial surge in the use of antimicrobials, thereby raising concerns regarding bacterial resistance.

Microbes play an important role in ARG dissemination. The release of AMB into the environment via animal or human excrement is a wellestablished phenomenon (Reinthaler et al., 2003). The presence of AMB or ARGs as emerging environmental contaminants has been documented (Zhang et al., 2020). E. coli serves as a widely accepted biomarker or sentinel microbe for AMR surveillance (Brisola et al., 2019; Hutinel et al., 2019). It possesses a robust capacity to swiftly acquire ARGs and disseminate them to neighboring susceptible bacteria (Nolivos et al., 2019). The extensive survival of E. coli in diverse aquatic environments further facilitates the horizontal transfer of ARGs (Blaustein et al., 2013; Li and Zhang, 2023). Therefore, the resistance level in E. coli can serve as an indirect indicator of the overall antimicrobial resistance within their ecological niche. Although E. coli is a commensal bacterium in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and mammals, the pathogenic potential of certain serotype strains cannot be ignored, particularly in neonatal animals and infants (Denamur et al., 2020). Once these strains acquire multidrug resistance, they pose a significant threat to both livestock and human life.

Numerous studies have reported the emergence of resistant E. coli strains isolated from pig farms, exhibiting resistance to carbapenems, tigecycline, and polymyxins (Peng et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). This poses a serious potential public health risk to humans. Studies published to date have extensively elucidated the prevalence of AMR in Chinese livestock through the application of metagenomic, microbiome, and retrospective analysis techniques. However, these investigations have primarily focused on specific regions and reflect local prevalence. The comprehensive status of E. coli AMR in pig farms across China remains to be clarified from various perspectives. Furthermore, inherent algorithmic limitations often lead to prediction errors. Geographic drug resistance monitoring exhibits higher accuracy than data prediction, and the introduction of this approach can lead to a 50% reduction in uncertainty (Zhao C. et al., 2021). Moreover, whole genome Sequencing (WGS) has proven to be effective in AMR surveillance (Gomi et al., 2024). Hence, in this study, we conducted a national surveillance by collecting slurry samples from pig farms in seven geographical regions of China and focused on ARGs harbored by commensal *E. coli*. Our investigation covered 31 provincial municipalities and autonomous regions and provided insight into the AMR profile of *E. coli* in farm slurries. The findings of this study provide valuable insights for the formulation of policies implemented to manage antimicrobial drugs in livestock.

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Sample collection and E. coli isolation

### 2.1.1 Sampling

Two hundred and twenty-seven fecal slurry samples were collected from 52 pig farms distributed across seven geographic districts in China, encompassing 31 provinces, between January and October, 2019. Fecal slurry samples were collected from each pigsty, based on different herds, ensuring a minimum of five samples per farm. Barn slurry samples were collected using sterile absorbent cotton swabs. Three duplicate swabs were collected and combined to form a single sample. Sterilized tubes with a 50 mL capacity were used for sample collection. The geographical locations of the farms are illustrated in Figure 1, and detailed information is provided in Table 1. The farms were located in Northwestern China (four farms, n = 36), Southwestern China (11 farms, n = 43), South China (three farms, n = 36), Eastern China (12 farms, n = 47), and Northeast China (four farms, n = 15). All samples were promptly stored in dry ice boxes and transported via express delivery to the laboratory within 48 h.

# 2.1.2 *Escherichia coli* isolation, identification, and analysis of conservation

According to previous methodologies (Hu et al., 2021), the E. coli isolation protocol can be summarized as follows: Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (OXOID, USA) was used to preculture slurry samples; then, one loop of cultured liquid was streaked onto MacConkey agar (Haibo, China), plates were incubated in a 37°C incubator for 24 h, and single pink clones were selected for incubation on eosin-methylene blue agar (Haibo, China). Single clones with a greenish metallic sheen were selected for inoculation into LB broth, and bacterial PCR was conducted for E. coli identification. Reference primers (F: 5'-GAAGCTTGCTTCTTTGCT-3', R: 5'-GAGCCCGGGGATTT CACAT-3') were used to amplify the E. coli 16S rRNA sequence (Sabat et al., 2000). The purified E. coli was spin-coated onto LB agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h, followed by flushing the bacterial lawn with sterilized 15% skim milk and transferring it into penicillin glass bottles. A gradient freeze dryer (Christ, Germany) was used to freeze-dry the strains, and then, the glass bottles were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

# 2.2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST)

The BD Phoenix<sup>™</sup> M50 Automated Identification and Susceptibility device (United States) was employed to assess the resistance of isolated strains to a panel of 28 antimicrobial agents, including amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, cefazolin, cefuroxime, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefepime, aztreonam, amoxicillin/clavulanate, ampicillin/sulbactam, piperacillin/tazobactam,



colistin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, norfloxacin, moxifloxacin, minocycline, tetracycline, and tigecycline. According to manufacturer's instructions, the following procedure was adopted: initially, E. coli isolates were cultured on LB agar, and subsequently, bacterial colonies were used to prepare 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspensions, which were then inoculated into AST broth (BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> AST broth, United States) with a drop of indicator (BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> AST indicator solution, United States) within a 15 min timeframe. Subsequently, the broth was poured into AST panels (BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> AST panel NMIC-413, United States), which were then loaded into the Phoenix<sup>™</sup> M50 system for minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) value determinations and computerized analysis. Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used as the quality control strain. The results were interpreted based on the resistance breakpoints specified by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute M100 29th edition and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Breakpoints v 9.0.

# 2.3 Conjugation transfer experiment

The wild-type resistant strain was employed as the donor, and *E. coli* J53 (sodium azide-resistant) was the recipient. Both the donor

and recipient bacteria were initially cultured to reach the logarithmic growth phase before being combined in a 1:1 volume ratio and incubated for 10 min. Then, 80  $\mu$ L of mixed bacteria was applied onto a sterile nitrocellulose membrane, while two separate nitrocellulose membranes were treated with 40  $\mu$ L each of donor and recipient bacteria. Additionally, an 80  $\mu$ L aliquot of sterile medium was dispensed onto a nitrocellulose film, as a blank control. The processed nitrocellulose membranes were placed on agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 12 h. After incubation, membranes were washed with PBS. Müller Hiton agar with sodium azide (100 mg/L), meropenem (10 mg/L), and fosfomycin (200 mg/L) plus 25 mg/L glucose-6-phosphate was used to screen the transconjugants and further verified by PCR amplification of *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub> and *fosA3* genes (NDM-1F: ATGGAATTGCCCAATATTAT, NDM-1R: TCAGCGCAG CTTGTC; fosA3F: CGCAAAAAATGCGCT, fosA3R: AACGCTCAGAAGCTC).

# 2.4 Whole-genome sequencing and bioinformatic analysis

### 2.4.1 Whole-genome sequencing and annotation

In total, 142 non-duplicate isolates were isolated and subjected to whole-genome sequencing using an Illumina platform (Biomarker

#### TABLE 1 Number of samples collected and *Escherichia coli* isolation.

Source	Province	Number of samples	Totalª	Number of isolates	Total⁵
Northeastern China	Heilongjiang	5	15	3	13
Northeastern China	Jilin	5		5	
Northeastern China	Liaoning	5		5	
North China	Inner Mongolia	5	36	1	17
North China	Hebei	10		6	
North China	Tianjin	6		1	
North China	Beijing	5		4	
North China	Shanxi	10		5	
Eastern China	Shandong	5	47	5	30
Eastern China	Shanghai	10		8	
Eastern China	Jiangsu	10		7	
Eastern China	Jiangxi	7		5	
Eastern China	Anhui	5		1	
Eastern China	Zhejiang	5		2	
Eastern China	Fujian	5		2	
Central China	Henan	15	35	9	22
Central China	Hubei	15		11	
Central China	Hunan	5		2	
South China	Guangdong	5	15	4	10
South China	Guangxi	5		4	
South China	Hainan	5		2	
Southwestern China	Tibet	10	43	9	22
Southwestern China	Yunnan	5		4	
Southwestern China	Chongqing	8		2	
Southwestern China	Guizhou	10		4	
Southwestern China	Sichuan	10		3	
Northwestern China	Qinghai	5	36	2	28
Northwestern China	Gansu	16		14	
Northwestern China	Ningxia	5		5	
Northwestern China	Shaanxi	5		5	
Northwestern China	Xinjiang	5		2	
Total <sup>c</sup>	-		227		142

<sup>a</sup>Total number of samples collected from each geographic district. <sup>b</sup>Total number of *E. coli* isolates from each geographical district. <sup>c</sup>Total number of samples and isolates.

Technologies, Beijing, China). The bacterial genome was disrupted using the Bioruptor Pico System and purified with clean DNA beads (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The TrueLib DNA Library Rapid Prep Kit (ExCell, Suzhou, China) was used to construct the libraries. For genome assembly, the filtered reads were assembled using Spades v3.6.2. The coding genes were predicted using Prodigal v2.6.3, and the GenBlastA v1.0.4 program was used to scan the whole genome after masking the predicted functional genes. Putative candidates were then analyzed by searching for non-mature mutations and frameshift mutations using GeneWise v2.2.0. Repetitive sequences were predicted using RepeatMasker. For functional annotation, the predicted proteins were subjected to a BLAST search (*e*-value: 1e-5) based on Nr, Swiss-Prot, TrEMBL, KEGG, eggNOG, and Blast2go databases, which were used for GO annotation. Furthermore, pathogenicity and drug resistance were investigated using a BLAST search against the Comprehensive Antibiotic Research Database (CARD). The virulence factor gene (VFG) BLAST thresholds were as follows: nucleotide identity, 90%; length coverage, 90%.

To determine the structure and location of the resistance genes, we used ONT sequencing to obtain complete genome sequences from the two isolates that were positive for  $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{OXA-10}}$ , and *mcr-1*. For genome assembly, filtered reads were assembled using Canu v1.5 software, and circlator v1.5.5 was used to cyclize the assembled genome. The GenBlastA v1.0.4 program was used to scan the whole genome after masking the predicted functional genes. Putative candidates were then analyzed by searching for non-mature and

frameshift mutations using GeneWise v2.2.0. For functional annotation, the predicted proteins were subjected to a BLAST search (*e*-value: 1.0e-5) against Nr, Swiss-Prot, TrEMBL, KEGG, eggNOG, and Blast2go databases, which were used for GO annotation.

### 2.4.2 Bioinformatic analysis

Center of Genome Epidemiology (CGE) MLST 2.0 version 2.0.9 was used to identify sequence types (STs) and acquire MLST allele sequences. The associated allele sequences were then used to generate a FASTA file. Subsequently, evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGA11, and evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method. iTOL v6 was used to visualize and modify the tree. The SerotypeFinder tool was used to predict the O and H serotypes of *E. coli* using a threshold selection of 95% and a minimum length requirement of 60%. The Clermon Typing 23.06 tool was employed to predict the phylogroups of strains. PlasmidFinder 2.1 was used to predict isolated plasmid replicons.

### 2.5 Data analysis and visualization

RAW Graphs 2.0 was used to portray the Sankey diagram. The Blast Ring image Generator v0.95 was used to visualize the plasmid blast maps in this study. Grape tree software was used to depict minimal spanning tree. Snap gene 3.3.1 was used to display the genetic structure of the ARGs.

## **3** Results

### 3.1 Resistance phenotype

The AMR profiles of the 142 E. coli strains are shown in Figure 2. Resistance rates were 33.10% (47/142), 15.49% (22/142), and 7.75% (11/142) for gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin, respectively. An analysis of cephalosporins, ranging from the first to the fourth generation, revealed that cefazolin was associated with the highest resistance rate at 28.87% (41/142), followed by cefuroxime and ceftriaxone, both at 24.65% (35/142). The rate of resistance to cefepime was 12.68% (18/142), whereas the rates for cefoxitin and ceftazidime were lower, at 7.75% (11/142) and 3.52% (5/142), respectively. The rates of resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin-sulbactam, piperacillin-tazobactam, and aztreonam were 16.90% (24/142), 20.42% (29/142), 2.82% (4/142), and 16.20% (23/142), respectively. Furthermore, 5.63% (8/142) of the isolates displayed resistance to fosfomycin, whereas two of these isolates also demonstrated resistance to carbapenems. Notable resistance to nitrofurantoin was observed in 12.68% (18/142) of the isolates. The rates of resistance to fluoroquinolones were 30.28% (43/142), 21.13% (30/142), 23.94% (34/142), and 80.99% (115/142), for ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, norfloxacin, and moxifloxacin, respectively. Tetracycline, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and chloramphenicol were associated with high resistance rates, specifically 96.48% (137/142), 78.17% (111/142), and 80.99% (115/142), respectively. Furthermore, 10 isolates were resistant to colistin (7.04%, 10/142), three of which also exhibited resistance to carbapenems. Resistance to the carbapenems ertapenem, imipenem, and meropenem was identified in 2.82% (4/142), 2.82% (4/142), and 2.11% (3/142) of isolates, respectively. Moreover, 34.51% (49/142) and 36.62% (52/142) of isolates were resistant to minocycline and tigecycline, respectively. The specific resistance rates and MIC values are shown in Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S1.

# 3.2 Multilocus sequence typing, phylogroups, and serotype analysis

In total, 138 isolates were assigned to 63 distinct STs. The remaining four strains were of unknown STs. The allelic profiles of the seven housekeeping genes are presented in Supplementary Table S2. Based on these gene sequences, we constructed a phylogenetic tree as illustrated in Figure 2. Among these isolates, 50% were classified into 10 STs, namely ST10, ST48, ST58, ST641, ST542, ST101, ST156, ST746, ST877, and ST617. The minimum spanning tree is depicted in Figure 3A, revealing that the most prevalent clone was ST10 (19/142, 13.38%), followed by ST48 (9/142, 6.34%), ST58 (9/142, 6.34%), and ST641 (8/142, 5.63%). The distribution of strains belonging to different STs across the seven geographical regions of China is illustrated in Figure 3B. ST10 and ST641 exhibited ubiquitous distribution and were detected in all six regions, except southern China. Additionally, among the 142 strains, six phylogroups (A, B1, C, D, E, and F) were identified and a detailed BLAST result is presented in Supplementary Table S3. Phylogroup A was the most prevalent (80/142, 56.34%), followed by B1 (53/142, 37.32%). Furthermore, the predicted results of E. coli serotypes are presented in Supplementary Table S4. A total of 114 isolates were identified, encompassing 63 distinct O serogroups, while the remaining 28 isolates exhibited no correspondence. Among these, O101, O9a, and O8 were dominant O serogroups. In addition, 138 strains were assigned to 30 H serogroups; the dominant serogroups were H32, H15, H10, and H45, whereas the remaining four isolates did not exhibit any matches. However, the combined O and H serogroup isolates were diverse. The relationships among the prevalent STs, phylogroups, O serogroups, and H serogroups are illustrated in Figure 4. All ST10, ST48, ST542, ST746, and ST617 isolates belonged to phylogroup A, and ST58, ST101, ST156, and ST877 isolates belonged to phylogroup B1.

# 3.3 Plasmid replicon types, ARGs, and VFG analysis

The analysis of 135 isolates revealed the presence of 31 plasmid replicon types across multiple categories, while the remaining seven isolates did not exhibit any identifiable replicon types. Among them, IncFIB (n = 108), IncX1 (n = 78), IncFII (n = 44), and IncFIA (n = 40) were the most prevalent, accounting for more than 50% of these (Supplementary Figure S2). The detailed BLAST information is presented in Supplementary Table S5. The CARD database annotation yielded 156 disparate ARGs, and a comprehensive overview of all identified ARGs is provided in Supplementary Table S6. The average number of ARGs per isolate was 61.05, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 60.00 to 62.10. Notably, most of these consisted of antibiotic efflux resistance genes. The presence of carbapenem-resistance genes ( $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{OXA-10}}$ , and  $bla_{\text{OXA-181}}$ ), ESBL resistance genes ( $bla_{\text{TEM}}$ , and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ ), polymyxin-resistance gene (mcr-1), plasmid-mediated quinolone-resistance genes (qnrS1, oqxA, oqxB, and qnrB4), and



#### FIGURE 2

Phylogenetic relatedness, serotype, sequence type, phylogroup, origin, and antimicrobial-resistant phenotype of 142 *Escherichia coli* strains. The phylogenetic tree, constructed using neighbor-joining analysis of 142 *E. coli* housekeeping genes allele sequences, features phylogroups depicted by six distinct color squares. Isolate sources are shown by seven different color squares, while 28 antimicrobial resistance phenotypes are represented with solid graphics for resistance and hollow graphics for susceptibility.



aminoglycoside-resistance genes (*aadA2*, *aadA*, *aph*(6)-*Id*, *aph* (3")-*Ib*, and *aac*(3)-*IIa*) are of significant concern (Supplementary Table S7). The VFDB database annotation predicted a total of 173 distinct VFGs and detailed results are shown in Supplementary Table S8. The average number of VFGs per isolate was 48.56, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 46.40 to 50.72 (Supplementary Table S6).

# 3.4 Plasmid homology BLAST search and ARGs obtained

We focused on two isolates (Ec-hen24 and Ec-hen115) that displayed concurrent resistance to carbapenems, colistin, fosfomycin, and other antimicrobials. These two complete genomes were obtained using ONT sequencing. Six plasmids were assembled in Ec-hen24, including pEc-hen24-1 (GenBank accession no. CP155686), pEc-hen24-2 (GenBank accession no. CP155687), pEc-hen24-3 (GenBank accession no. CP155688), pEc-hen24-4 (GenBank accession no. CP155689), pEc-hen24-5 (GenBank accession no. CP155690), and pEc-hen24-6 (GenBank accession no. CP155691). pEc-hen24-1 harbored mcr-1.1, tetA, qnrS1, cmlA1, bla<sub>OXA-10</sub>, aadA1, dfrA14, floR, aadA2, and sul3 ARGs. Notably, it harbored 14 copies of aph(3')-I. It showed homology with plasmids in E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, and Klebsiella pneumonia isolated from diarrheal outpatients, broiler farms, and poultry in China, as illustrated in Figure 5A. The pEc-hen24-4 carried *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>, *bla*<sub>TEM-1</sub>, *fosA3*, and *rmtB*. It exhibited homology with plasmids in K. pneumonia, E. coli, and Salmonella enterica isolated from humans, pork, and chicken in China, as depicted in Figure 5B. The Ec-hen115 genome also contained six plasmids: pEc-hen115-1 (GenBank accession no. CP155743), pEc-hen115-2 (GenBank accession no. CP155744), pEc-hen115-3 (GenBank accession no. CP155745), pEc-hen115-4 (GenBank accession no. CP155746), pEc-hen115-5 (GenBank accession no. CP155747), and pEc-hen115-6 (GenBank accession no. CP155748). Among them, pEc-hen115-6 harbored the mcr-1.1 gene, and it showed homology with plasmids found in E. coli, K. pneumoniae, and S. typhimurium isolated from Chinese hospitals (Supplementary Figure S3A). Further, pEc-hen115-3 carried bla<sub>NDM-1</sub>, aph(3')-VI, bla<sub>TEM-1B</sub>, rmtB, and fosA3 and was homologous to plasmids hosted by E. coli and Citrobacter werkmanii, as depicted in Supplementary Figure S3B. The other plasmids, pEc-hen115-2 and pEc-hen115-4, harbored several ARGs, including oqxA, oqxB, qnrS, *dfrA12*, *aadA2*, *cmlA1*, *aadA1*, *qacF*, *sul3*, *mef(B)*, *tet(M)*, and *tet(A)*. Detailed information is provided in Supplementary Figure S4.

# 3.5 Genetic context and horizontal transfer of ARGs

Based on genome annotation results, we conducted a genetic environment analysis of ARGs on the plasmids, as depicted in Figure 6. The plasmid pEc-hen24-1 harbored the highest number of ARGs among the 12 assembled plasmids. Notably, it concomitantly harbored *mcr-1.1*, *bla*<sub>OXA-10</sub>, *qnrS*, *aadA1*, *sul3*, *tetA*, *floR*, *aph*(3')-*I*, and other ARGs. Additionally, in close proximity to ARGs, several mobile



genetic elements, including tnpA, intl1, and IS26, were identified, contributing to the formation of the "Intl1-arr-cmlA-bla<sub>OXA-10</sub>-aadA1*dfrA*-IS26" structure. Significantly, the *aph(3')-I* gene was identified in close proximity to IS26, forming an "*aph(3')-I-IS26*" arrangement with 14 repeated copies. The plasmid pEc-hen24-4 harbored *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>, *ble*, fosA3, bla<sub>TEM-1</sub>, and rmtB. The bla<sub>NDM-1</sub> and ble genes were flanked by IS26 and *trpF* (*trpF-bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>-*ble*-IS26); *fosA3* was flanked by two IS26 and a hypothetical protein open reading frame (ORF), namely "IS26-ORF-fosA3-IS26." bla<sub>TEM-1</sub> was connected to rmtB, and they were located between transposon Tn3 resolvase and a sodium/hydrogen exchanger. The pEc-hen115-3 plasmid exhibited a comparable genetic context structure (trpF-bla<sub>NDM-1</sub>-ble-ISAba125"). In addition, it also carried aphA6 flanked by ISAba125 and insB (ISAba125-aphA6-insB). Plasmid pEc-hen115-6 harbored only a single ARG, mcr-1.1, flanked by a hypothetical protein ORF and PAP2; no mobile genetic elements were connected directly. Conjugation experiments conducted with Ec-hen24 and Ec-hen115 demonstrated that they could transfer a resistant phenotype to E. coli J53 recipients. Transconjugants exhibited simultaneous resistance to meropenem, ertapenem, imipenem, fosfomycin, colistin, and gentamycin, as indicated by the MIC values presented in Supplementary Table S9.

# 4 Discussion

The antimicrobials tested in this study are commonly employed for anti-infection treatment. Our findings showed that these isolates are multidrug resistant, including to carbapenems, cephalosporins, tigecycline, colistin, fosfomycin, and other hospital-used antimicrobial agents. The results implied that the emergence of AMB can occur in pig farms even in the absence of medical antimicrobials usage. Remarkably, the utilization of pig manure as an organic fertilizer is widely prevalent in China (Zhang et al., 2023). The discharge of farm slurry containing multidrug-resistant E. coli into the environment creates the prerequisites for its transmission within human and animal communities (Shi et al., 2021). In addition, considerable attention has been paid to the emergence of resistance to carbapenem, colistin, and tigecycline (Boutzoukas et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2024). In this work, we identified three isolates (Ec-hb28, Ec-hen24, and Ec-hen115) that exhibited simultaneous resistance to these three agents (Figure 2). Ec-hen24 and Ec-hen115 showed resistance to fosfomycin, which is an effective drug for the treatment of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) infections (Kaase et al., 2014; Sojo-Dorado et al., 2022). The use of medical pharmaceuticals is strictly regulated in pig farming operations; nevertheless, the emergence of AMB raises significant concerns about their origins and development. Animal husbandry is widely acknowledged as a reservoir for antibiotic-resistant bacteria, facilitating the transmission of both AMB and ARG to humans (He et al., 2020; Tong et al., 2022). Conversely, AMB found on farms may also potentially originate from human sources, such as hospitals or urban sewage (Wang et al., 2023; Zou et al., 2023). The AST results underscore the critical necessity to implement comprehensive



#### FIGURE 5

The comparison of pEc-hen24-1 and pEc-hen24-4 with their respective homologous counterparts. (A) The rings, from inside to outside, represent GC content, GC skew, p23045-NDM (accession no. OR497833), pHNAH212836K (accession no. CP104628), pEC6622-1 (accession no. CP096588), pHNLDF400 (accession no. KY019258), pHNSHP45-2 (accession no. KU341381), pHNYJC8 (accession no. KY019259), pMCR-A3-2 (accession no. CP059836), pNDM-TJ33 (accession no. MN915010), pNDM33-1 (accession no. MN915011), pSH16G1394 (accession no. MK477614), pEc-hen24-1 (accession no. CP155686) and resistance genes, respectively. (B) The rings, from inside to outside, represent GC content, GC skew, p397Kp (accession no. LN897474.2), pCTX-M-55-005237 (accession no. CP026576.2), pCTX-M-55-020023 (accession no. CP025949.1), pHNAH33 (accession no. MG197499.1), pLSH-G(accession no. KX503323.1), pHNHNC02 (accession no. MG197497.1), pHNMPC32 (accession no. MG197499.1), pLSH-KPN148-2 (accession no. CP040124.1), pTEM-CBG (accession no. CP046117.1), pYUW043 (accession no. MK778454.1), pEC-hen24-4 (accession no. CP155689) and resistance genes.



The genetic structures of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) on plasmids. The presence of ARGs is represented by red arrows, mobile genetic elements are indicated by blue arrows, functional protein genes are denoted by pink arrows, and hypothetical protein genes are represented by gray arrows.

antibiotic stewardship strategies in both livestock farming sectors and human healthcare to mitigate their mutual impacts.

The dominant STs resembled those identified in a previous investigation conducted on pig farms in Australia (Laird et al., 2022), implying that ST10 and ST641 could be inherently prevalent in swine farming environments. Additionally, ST10 ESBL-producing E. coli has been identified in river water samples collected from Nepal, indicating the existence of a globally recognized high-risk ST10 clone with a broader host range (Khanal et al., 2024). ST10 E. coli has also been documented in various settings, including hospital effluents, rivers, and community wastewater (Davidova-Gerzova et al., 2023). Therefore, ST10 clone may serve as a conduit for the dissemination of AMR from farms to the natural environment. Moreover, studies have reported that the ST641 E. coli harbors many significant ARGs, including *tetX4*, *mcr-1.1*, *bla*<sub>NDM-4</sub>, and *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> (Diaconu et al., 2020; Zakaria et al., 2021). Our findings demonstrate the wide distribution of ST10 and ST641 clones across pig farms in China, underscoring the imperative for enhanced vigilance in detecting E. coli clones ST10 and ST641 on pig farms.

In this study, O101, O9a, and O8 were predominant serotypes, with no O157 isolates detected. These three serotypes of E. coli can cause pathogenic infections in animals and humans, as reported in previous studies (Beutin and Strauch, 2007; Denamur et al., 2020; He et al., 2021). Numerous studies have focused on O157 E. coli, whereas non-O157 E. coli has received comparatively less attention, despite its potential risks to both humans and animals (Withenshaw et al., 2022). In fact, we should pay more attention to commensal bacteria. More accurate AMR epidemiological data can be obtained by monitoring the resistance phenotypes of commensal bacteria, because pathogenic bacteria are often influenced by the use of short-term therapeutic antimicrobials. Phylogroups A and B1 of E. coli are commensal bacteria that normally inhabit the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and animals respectively (Tenaillon et al., 2010). They accounted for more than 90% in this work. Both phylogroups have been reported to carry ESBL genes, which have been isolated from either humans or hospitals (Jacquier et al., 2023; Chaisaeng et al., 2024). This finding further suggests a possible bidirectional influence between E. coli from the human gut and those found in pig farms. Additionally, the dominant populations of H serogroups primarily comprised H32, H15, and H10. However, a diverse range of O:H serotype combinations was observed. It is possible that the limited number of samples collected might not have been sufficient to adequately represent the predominant O:H serotype. The Africa swine fever virus pandemic has necessitated dedicated efforts to collect farm samples, which imposes a limitation on the scope of this study.

The presence of ARGs and VFGs indicated the substantial abundance of antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in pig farms, thereby posing a risk of infection for both farmers and farmed animals. Plasmids play an important role in the horizontal transfer of these genes. Previous studies have demonstrated that plasmids with IncFIB, IncX1, IncFII, and IncFIA replicons carry various ARGs (Li R. C. et al., 2021), particularly *mcr-1*(Li et al., 2016). In this study, whole-genome sequencing of Ec-hen24 and Ec-hen115 revealed the presence of  $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{OXA-10}}$ , *mcr-1.1*, and *fosA* on plasmids. These findings underscore the potential of replicon-type plasmids to preferentially harbor specific antibiotic-resistance determinants. Furthermore, these ARGs are co-localized on a single plasmid, thereby facilitating their horizontal dissemination under favorable circumstances.

There is a paucity of research on E. coli that is co-resistant to both carbapenem and fosfomycin in pig farms. Fosfomycin is a therapeutic agent that is effective against urinary tract infections caused by multidrug-resistant E. coli (Sojo-Dorado et al., 2022). Our findings provide insights into the resistance exhibited by E. coli present in a pig farm slurry. Carbapenem resistance genes have been identified in pig farms, leading a conclude that animal husbandry serves as a significant reservoir for CRE (Shi et al., 2021). However, the application of these drugs in pig farming is either limited or prohibited in China. The origin of these resistant strains needs to be discussed. Studies have confirmed that pharmaceutical factory sewage, hospital effluents, and suburban wastewater serve as significant reservoirs of ARGs, including CRE (Azuma et al., 2022; Khanal et al., 2024). The bla<sub>NDM</sub>-positive bacteria demonstrated persistent colonization in farms once contamination occurred through various routes (Zhai et al., 2020). Although disinfection measures can effectively eliminate viable microorganisms from objects, the persistence of microbial genomes and plasmids remains a significant concern. This persistence provides susceptible microorganisms with opportunities to acquire resistance elements, potentially leading to the transformation into antibioticresistant bacteria.

The analysis of genetic contexts of ARGs revealed direct associations between mobile genetic elements and ARGs. Furthermore, conjugation experiments provided additional evidence of the horizontal dissemination of ARGs. The co-occurrence of IS26 is frequently observed with  $bla_{NDM-1}$ , aph(3')-I, fosA3, and other ARGs. Previous research has shown the significant role of IS26 in facilitating the transmission of *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub> among poultry-derived *E. coli* (Zhao Q. Y. et al., 2021). Our findings further corroborate its occurrence in pig-derived E. coli. In addition, the "IS26-ORF-fosA3-IS26" structure formed a compound transposon, which typically consists of two insertion sequences arranged in either direct or inverted orientation, flanking a diverse array of passenger genes (Ross et al., 2021). Significant attention has been devoted to plasmid-mediated resistance gene transfer (Li and Zhang, 2023). However, the crucial roles of transposons as key facilitators of gene exchange between chromosomal elements and as important mechanisms for genetic recombination are often underestimated. The release of these ARGs and transposons into the environment through animal feces comprises a significant emerging contaminant, thereby introducing potential hazards to public health. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no universally recognized standard for monitoring emerging pollution caused by ARGs or transposons. Currently, numerous scientific methods are available for the removal of ARGs and transposons from wastewater (Li S. et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2023); however, their practical application and widespread adoption remain suboptimal.

Certain limitations were encountered in this study due to the African swine fever epidemic, as several farms declined to provide samples for biosafety considerations, resulting in a restricted sample size. Moreover, the absence of sample backup and metagenomic sequencing analysis precluded an accurate estimation of the total abundance of antibiotic ARGs present in pig farm slurry. However, we believe that ARGs harbored by live microbes may exert a more significant impact. Unfortunately, it was not feasible to collect samples from all farms workers to investigate the direct correlation between *E. coli* in the pig farm environment and the intestinal microbiota of workers. Nonetheless, our study comprehensively examined the

prevalence of *E. coli* resistance in the pig farm slurry in China and provides valuable insights for future veterinary antibiotic control measures and addressing emerging pollutants in pig farms. These findings underscore the urgent need for robust antibiotic stewardship programs and surveillance systems to mitigate bidirectional AMR transmission between animal husbandry and human populations in China.

## Data availability statement

All assembly whole genome sequences including plasmid sequences have been submitted to National Center for Biotechnology Information under BioProject: PRJNA1108026. Data will be made available on request.

### Author contributions

ZH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software. YH: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Methodology. XC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis. TS: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Validation. QJ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, XW: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Resources. GB: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Validation. YL: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Validation.

### Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant Number [2024M752884], China Agriculture Research System of MOF and

## References

Azuma, T., Uchiyama, T., Zhang, D., Usui, M., and Hayashi, T. (2022). Distribution and characteristics of carbapenem-resistant and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* in hospital effluents, sewage treatment plants, and river water in an urban area of Japan. *Sci. Total Environ.* 839:156232. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156232

Beutin, L., and Strauch, E. (2007). Identification of sequence diversity in the *Escherichia coli fliC* genes encoding Flagellar types H8 and H40 and its use in typing of Shiga toxin-Producing *E. coli* O8, O22, O111, O174, and O179 strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 45, 333–339. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01627-06

Blaustein, R. A., Pachepsky, Y., Hill, R. L., Shelton, D. R., and Whelan, G. (2013). *Escherichia coli* survival in waters: temperature dependence. *Water Res.* 47, 569–578. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.10.027

Boutzoukas, A. E., Komarow, L., Chen, L., Hanson, B., Kanj, S. S., Liu, Z., et al. (2023). International epidemiology of Carbapenemase-producing *Escherichia coli. Clin. Infect. Dis.* 77, 499–509. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciad288

Brisola, M. C., Crecencio, R. B., Bitner, D. S., Frigo, A., Rampazzo, L., Stefani, L. M., et al. (2019). *Escherichia coli* used as a biomarker of antimicrobial resistance in pig farms of southern Brazil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 647, 362–368. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.438

Chaisaeng, S., Chopjitt, P., Kasemsiri, P., Putthanachote, N., Boueroy, P., Takeuchi, D., et al. (2024). High prevalence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* phylogroup B2 clinical isolates in northeastern Thailand. *BMC Microbiol.* 24:425. doi: 10.1186/s12866-024-03582-0

MARA [CARS-43-C-2], Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technology Cooperation Program [2023SNJF049].

## Acknowledgments

We extend our sincere gratitude to the 52 pig farms that generously provided invaluable research samples. Additionally, we would like to express our heartfelt appreciation to Huazhong Agricultural University for providing the recipient strain J53.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025.1575426/ full#supplementary-material

Davidova-Gerzova, L., Lausova, J., Sukkar, I., Nesporova, K., Nechutna, L., Vlkova, K., et al. (2023). Hospital and community wastewater as a source of multidrug-resistant ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:4081. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1184081

Denamur, E., Clermont, O., Bonacorsi, S., and Gordon, D. (2020). The population genetics of pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 37–54. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0416-x

Diaconu, E. L., Carfora, V., Alba, P., Di Matteo, P., Stravino, F., Buccella, C., et al. (2020). Novel IncFII plasmid harbouring *bla*<sub>NDM-4</sub> in a carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* of pig origin, Italy. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 75, 3475–3479. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkaa374

Gao, Y. X., Li, X., Fan, X. Y., Zhao, J. R., and Zhang, Z. X. (2022). Wastewater treatment plants as reservoirs and sources for antibiotic resistance genes: a review on occurrence, transmission and removal. *J. Water Process Eng.* 46:102539. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102539

Gomi, R., Matsumura, Y., Yamamoto, M., Tanaka, M., Komakech, A. J., Matsuda, T., et al. (2024). Genomic surveillance of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* in fecal sludge and sewage in Uganda. *Water Res.* 248:120830. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2023.120830

He, Y., Yuan, Q., Mathieu, J., Stadler, L., Senehi, N., Sun, R., et al. (2020). Antibiotic resistance genes from livestock waste: occurrence, dissemination, and treatment. *npj Clean Water* 3:4. doi: 10.1038/s41545-020-0051-0

He, W.-Y., Zhang, X.-X., Gao, G.-L., Gao, M.-Y., Zhong, F.-G., Lv, L.-C., et al. (2021). Clonal spread of *Escherichia coli* 0101: H9-ST10 and 0101: H9-ST167 strains carrying fosA3 and Bla (CTX-M-14) among diarrheal calves in a Chinese farm, with Australian Chroicocephalus as the possible origin of *E. coli* 0101: H9-ST10. *Zool. Res.* 42, 461–468. doi: 10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2021.153

Hu, Z., Peng, Z., Zhang, X., Li, Z., Jia, C., Li, X., et al. (2021). Prevalence and molecular characterization of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* in pig farms, slaughterhouses, and terminal Markets in Henan Province of China. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 18, 733–743. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2021.0011

Hutinel, M., Huijbers, P. M. C., Fick, J., Åhrénk, C., Larsson, D. G. J., and Flach, C. F. (2019). Population-level surveillance of antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* through sewage analysis. *Eur. Secur.* 24, 6–16. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.37.1800497

Jacquier, H., Assao, B., Chau, F., Guindo, O., Condamine, B., Magnan, M., et al. (2023). Faecal carriage of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in a remote region of Niger. *J. Infect.* 87, 199–209. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2023.06.015

Kaase, M., Szabados, F., Anders, A., and Gatermann, S. G. (2014). Fosfomycin susceptibility in carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* from Germany. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52, 1893–1897. doi: 10.1128/JCM.03484-13

Khanal, S. K. C. S., Joshi, T. P., Han, Z., Wang, C., Maharjan, J., et al. (2024). Extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing bacteria and their resistance determinants in different wastewaters and rivers in Nepal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 473:134660. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134660

Laird, T. J., Jordan, D., Lee, Z. Z., O'Dea, M., Stegger, M., Truswell, A., et al. (2022). Diversity detected in commensals at host and farm level reveals implications for national antimicrobial resistance surveillance programmes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 77, 400–408. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkab403

Li, R. C., Li, Y., Peng, K., Yin, Y., Liu, Y., He, T., et al. (2021). Comprehensive genomic investigation of Tigecycline resistance gene *tet*(*X4*)-bearing strains expanding among different settings. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 9, e01633–21. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01633-21

Li, R., Xie, M., Lv, J., Wai-Chi Chan, E., and Chen, S. (2016). Complete genetic analysis of plasmids carrying *mcr-1* and other resistance genes in an *Escherichia coli* isolate of animal origin. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 72, 696–699. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw509

Li, L.-G., and Zhang, T. (2023). Plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance gene transfer under environmental stresses: insights from laboratory-based studies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 887:163870. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163870

Li, S., Zhang, C., Li, F., Hua, T., Zhou, Q., and Ho, S. H. (2021). Technologies towards antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) removal from aquatic environment: a critical review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 411:125148. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125148

Luo, Q., Lu, P., Chen, Y., Shen, P., Zheng, B., Ji, J., et al. (2024). ESKAPE in China: epidemiology and characteristics of antibiotic resistance. *Emerg. Microb. Infect.* 13:7915. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2024.2317915

Mencia-Ares, O., Cabrera-Rubio, R., Cobo-Diaz, J. F., Alvarez-Ordonez, A., Gomez-Garcia, M., Puente, H., et al. (2020). Antimicrobial use and production system shape the fecal, environmental, and slurry resistomes of pig farms. *Microbiome* 8:164. doi: 10.1186/s40168-020-00941-7

Murray, C. J. L., Ikuta, K. S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Robles Aguilar, G., Gray, A., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 399, 629–655. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Nolivos, S., Cayron, J., Dedieu, A., Page, A., Delolme, F., and Lesterlin, C. (2019). Role of AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump in drug-resistance acquisition by plasmid transfer. *Science* 364, 778–782. doi: 10.1126/science.aav6390

Peng, Z., Li, X., Hu, Z., Li, Z., Lv, Y., Lei, M., et al. (2019). Characteristics of Carbapenemresistant and Colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* co-producing NDM-1 and MCR-1 from pig farms in China. *Microorganisms* 7:482. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms7110482

Reinthaler, F. F., Posch, J., Feierl, G., Wust, G., Haas, D., Ruckenbauer, G., et al. (2003). Antibiotic resistance of *E. coli* in sewage and sludge. *Water Res.* 37, 1685–1690. doi: 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00569-9

Ross, K., Varani, A. M., Snesrud, E., Huang, H., Alvarenga, D. O., Zhang, J., et al. (2021). TnCentral: a prokaryotic transposable element database and web portal for transposon analysis. *MBio* 12:e0206021. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02060-21

Sabat, G., Rose, P., Hickey, W. J., and Harkin, J. M. (2000). Selective and sensitive method for PCR amplification of *Escherichia coli* 16S rRNA genes in soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 844–849. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.2.844-849.2000

Shen, Y. B., Hu, F. P., Wang, Y. Q., Yin, D. D., Yang, L., Chen, Y. Q., et al. (2022). Transmission of Carbapenem resistance between human and animal NDM-positive *Escherichia coli* strains. *Engineering* 15, 24–33. doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2021.07.030

Shi, X., Li, Y., Yang, Y., Shen, Z., Cai, C., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). High prevalence and persistence of carbapenem and colistin resistance in livestock farm environments in China. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 406:124298. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124298

Sojo-Dorado, J., López-Hernández, I., Rosso-Fernandez, C., Morales, I. M., Palacios-Baena, Z. R., Hernández-Torres, A., et al. (2022). Effectiveness of Fosfomycin for the treatment of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* Bacteremic urinary tract infections. *JAMA Netw. Open 5*:e2137277. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.37277

Sun, C., Cui, M., Zhang, S., Wang, H., Song, L., Zhang, C., et al. (2019). Plasmidmediated tigecycline-resistant gene *tet*(X4) in *Escherichia coli* from food-producing animals, China, 2008-2018. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 8, 1524–1527. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1678367 Tenaillon, O., Skurnik, D., Picard, B., and Denamur, E. (2010). The population genetics of commensal *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 207–217. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2298

Timmermans, M., Wattiau, P., Denis, O., and Boland, C. (2021). Colistin resistance genes *mcr-1* to *mcr-5*, including a case of triple occurrence (*mcr-1*, -3 and -5), in *Escherichia coli* isolates from faeces of healthy pigs, cattle and poultry in Belgium, 2012-2016. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 57:106350. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2021.106350

Tong, C., Xiao, D., Xie, L., Yang, J., Zhao, R., Hao, J., et al. (2022). Swine manure facilitates the spread of antibiotic resistome including tigecycline-resistant tet(X) variants to farm workers and receiving environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 808:152157. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152157

Van Boeckel, T. P., Brower, C., Gilbert, M., Grenfell, B. T., Levin, S. A., Robinson, T. P., et al. (2015). Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 5649–5654. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1503141112

Walsh, T. R., Gales, A. C., Laxminarayan, R., and Dodd, P. C. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance: addressing a global threat to humanity. *PLoS Med.* 20:e1004264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004264

Wang, D., Berglund, B., Li, Q., Shangguan, X., Li, J., Liu, F., et al. (2023). Transmission of clones of carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* between a hospital and an urban wastewater treatment plant. *Environ. Pollut.* 336:122455. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122455

Wang, J., Lu, M. J., Wang, Z. Y., Jiang, Y., Wu, H., Pan, Z. M., et al. (2022). Tigecyclineresistant *Escherichia coli* ST761 carrying tet(X4) in a pig farm, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:967313. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.967313

WHO (2020). 10 global health issues to track in 2021. Available online at: https://www.who. int/news-room/spotlight/10-global-health-issues-to-track-in-202 (Accessed December 24, 2020).

Withenshaw, S. M., Smith, R. P., Davies, R., Smith, A. E. O., Gray, E., and Rodgers, J. (2022). A systematized review and qualitative synthesis of potential risk factors associated with the occurrence of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in the primary production of cattle. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 21, 2363–2390. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12929

Xu, G., Sarkar, A., Qian, L., Shuxia, Z., Rahman, M. A., and Yongfeng, T. (2022). The impact of the epidemic experience on the recovery of production of pig farmers after the outbreak-evidence from the impact of African swine fever (ASF) in Chinese pig farming. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 199:105568. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2022.105568

Yan, Z., Wang, M., Li, X., and Jiang, H. (2023). Impact of African swine fever epidemic on the cost intensity of pork production in China. *Agriculture* 13:497. doi: 10.3390/agriculture13020497

Yuan, J., Wang, X., Shi, D., Ge, Q., Song, X., Hu, W., et al. (2021). Extensive antimicrobial resistance and plasmid-carrying resistance genes in mcr-1-positive *E. coli* sampled in swine, in Guangxi, South China. *BMC Vet. Res.* 17:86. doi: 10.1186/s12917-021-02758-4

Zakaria, A. S., Edward, E. A., and Mohamed, N. M. (2021). Genomic insights into a Colistin-resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strain of O23:H4-ST641 lineage harboring *mcr-1.1* on a conjugative IncH12 plasmid from Egypt. *Microorganisms* 9:799. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9040799

Zhai, R., Fu, B., Shi, X., Sun, C., Liu, Z., Wang, S., et al. (2020). Contaminated in-house environment contributes to the persistence and transmission of NDM-producing bacteria in a Chinese poultry farm. *Environ. Int.* 139:105715. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105715

Zhang, S., Abbas, M., Rehman, M. U., Huang, Y., Zhou, R., Gong, S., et al. (2020). Dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) via integrons in *Escherichia coli*: a risk to human health. *Environ. Pollut.* 266:115260. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115260

Zhang, Q., Chu, Y., Yin, Y., Ying, H., Zhang, F., and Cui, Z. (2023). Comprehensive assessment of the utilization of manure in China's croplands based on national farmer survey data. *Scientific Data* 10:223. doi: 10.1038/s41597-023-02154-7

Zhang, R. M., Liu, X., Wang, S. L., Fang, L. X., Sun, J., Liu, Y. H., et al. (2021). Distribution patterns of antibiotic resistance genes and their bacterial hosts in pig farm wastewater treatment systems and soil fertilized with pig manure. *Sci. Total Environ.* 758:143654. doi: 10.1016/i.scitoteny.2020.143654

Zhao, C., Wang, Y., Tiseo, K., Pires, J., Criscuolo, N. G., and Van Boeckel, T. P. (2021). Geographically targeted surveillance of livestock could help prioritize intervention against antimicrobial resistance in China. *Nat. Food* 2, 596–602. doi: 10.1038/s43016-021-00320-x

Zhao, Q. Y., Zhu, J. H., Cai, R. M., Zheng, X. R., Zhang, L. J., Chang, M. X., et al. (2021). IS26 IS responsible for the evolution and transmission of *bla*<sub>NDM</sub>-harboring plasmids in *Escherichia coli* of poultry origin in China. *Msystems* 6:e0064621. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00646-21

Zhong, R., Li, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhou, J., and Wang, T. (2023). Removal of antibiotic resistance genes and pathogenicity in effluent from municipal wastewater treatment plant by plasma oxidation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 454:140274. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2022.140274

Zou, H., Han, J., Zhao, L., Wang, D., Guan, Y., Wu, T., et al. (2023). The shared NDMpositive strains in the hospital and connecting aquatic environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 860:160404. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160404