Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY M. Y. Sreenivasa, University of Mysore, India

REVIEWED BY Zipeng Jiang, Zhejiang University, China Wuyundalai Bao, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sibao Yang 🖾 yangsibao@jlu.edu.cn Yonghong Zhang 🖾 yonghong@jlu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 27 February 2025 ACCEPTED 21 April 2025 PUBLISHED 09 May 2025

#### CITATION

Zhao P, Li Y, Yang Y, Xiao Q, Zhang Z, Hong X, Ni H, Xia Z, Zhan K, Yang S and Zhang Y (2025) Probiotic efficacy and mechanism of a pigeon derived *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* strain in promoting growth and intestinal development of pigeons. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1584380. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1584380

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhao, Li, Yang, Xiao, Zhang, Hong, Ni, Xia, Zhan, Yang and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Probiotic efficacy and mechanism of a pigeon derived *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* strain in promoting growth and intestinal development of pigeons

Puze Zhao<sup>1†</sup>, Yumei Li<sup>1†</sup>, Yuwei Yang<sup>1</sup>, Qingxing Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Ziyi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqing Hong<sup>1</sup>, Hongyu Ni<sup>1</sup>, Zhuxuan Xia<sup>1</sup>, Kun Zhan<sup>1</sup>, Sibao Yang<sup>2\*</sup> and Yonghong Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science, Jilin University, Changchun, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

**Background:** With the gradual rise of antibiotic-free farming practices, the exploration of novel, green, and low-pollution alternatives to antibiotics has become one of the key research focus in the field of agricultural science. In the development of antibiotic alternatives, probiotics, particularly host-associated probiotics, have been found to play a significant role in enhancing the production performance of livestock and poultry. However, research on and application of probiotics specifically for meat pigeons remain relatively underdeveloped.

**Objective:** To assess and investigate the probiotic efficacy and mechanisms during homologous lactic acid bacteria (LAB) transplant to host-pigeons, LAB strains with good probiotic properties were isolated from the intestinal contents of 28-day-old Mimas pigeons. And then measured the production indexes, intestinal flora, and intestinal transcriptomics of the hosts after instillation of LAB strains.

**Methods:** A total of 360 at 1-day-old pigeons were randomly divided into four groups and gavaged 0.4 mL *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* S10 with concentration of 0, 10<sup>8</sup>, 10<sup>9</sup>, and 10<sup>10</sup> CFU/mL, designated as the control group (CG), the low concentration group (LG), the medium concentration group (MG), and the high concentration group (HG), respectively.

**Results:** The findings revealed that an optimal concentration of  $10^{9}$  CFU/mL *L.* salivarius S10, a dominant strain isolated and screened, enhanced the growth performance and intestinal development of young pigeons. 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis demonstrated a significant increase in the abundance of *Lactobacillus, Pantoea\_A* and *Enterococcus\_H* and a significant reduction in the abundance of *Clostridium\_T* in the pigeon ileum (p < 0.05) under selected concentration treatment. Transcriptomic profiling of the ileum revealed 1828 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between CG and MG. Notably, DEGs involved in the MAPK signaling pathway, such as *RAF1, PDGFRB*, and *ELK4*, were significantly correlated with differential ileal bacteria, suggesting that modulation of intestinal flora can influence the expression of genes related to cell proliferation and differentiation in the ileum, which is potentially important in promoting the growth and development of pigeons.

**Conclusion:** *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* S10 possesses the potential to be used as a probiotic for pigeons, which can influence the expression of gut development-

related DEGs by regulating the intestinal flora, and further improve the growth performance of pigeons. This research provides a scientific foundation for developing pigeon-specific probiotics and promotes healthy farming practices for meat pigeons. Furthermore, it opens new avenues for improving the economic efficiency of pigeon farming.

#### KEYWORDS

pigeon, Ligilactobacillus salivarius, production performance, intestinal flora, intestinal transcriptomics

## **1** Introduction

The pigeon industry, as an emerging specialized economic poultry industry, is moving toward modernization and intensification, and the comprehensive benefits behind it are gradually improving. Farmers must pay special attention to the nutritional content and breeding management of pigeons, in order to improve the efficiency of meat or egg production, while ensuring the healthy development of pigeons (Ji et al., 2022; Wen J. et al., 2022), e.g., avoiding the death of animals or the impairment of poultry product quality due to the invasion of the gastrointestinal tract by some pathogenic bacteria. Reasonable addition of the necessary feed additives, can play a multiplier effect. Previous work has demonstrated that the microbial communities colonizing the gastrointestinal tract of poultry play an important role in metabolism, growth and maintenance of health, which provides new ideas for the development of natural growth promoters (Elokil et al., 2022). Probiotics, as a green, safe, harmless to the human body, and effective control of pathogenic bacteria, emerged as a natural feed additive (Michalak et al., 2021).

Probiotics are defined as active bacteria that colonize an animal's gut and provide health benefits to the host (Salminen et al., 2021). As a new substitute for antibiotics, probiotics can effectively avoid drug residues, increased drug resistance and harm to human health (Ayalew et al., 2022). The microbial preparations that are more commonly used in animal production broadly include, Lactobacilli, Bifidobacterium, Bacillus, Enterococcus, Pediococcus and yeast (Seal et al., 2018). There exists 6 families and 38 genera belonging to the taxonomy of Firmicutes phylum, Bacilli class, and Lactobacillales order in the group of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that are the main members of probiotics (Agriopoulou et al., 2020). The most representative Lactobacilli is the largest genus in this group, comprising of 261 species (at March 2020), and is widely used in poultry production by different adding methods (individual cultures or cultures combined with other additives) (Zheng et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021), which plays a vital role in host's body growth. For example, L. salivarius can secrete protease, lipase and amylase, which can be used to improve the body weight and reduce the feed-to-gain ratio in broiler pullets (Yang et al., 2023). Similarly, supplementation of Lactiplantibacillus plantarum in broiler diets increased average daily weight gain and apparent ileal digestibility of crude protein, and significantly increased jejunal villus height and decreased jejunal crypt depth (Vimon et al., 2023). Along these lines, LAB supplementation could be used as a potential means of promoting growth and development in oviparous animals, and the premise for achieving this may be inextricably linked to the development of the intestine. However, different LAB strains in poultry exhibit distinct metabolic properties and physiological functions, the optimal concentration for administering probiotics is strain dependent. Therefore, optimizing the dose of LAB is crucial to balance the benefits of colonization with potential risks, as higher doses do not necessarily guarantee better performance. It was found that the addition of Lactobacillus casei at 77 mg/kg in the feed significantly increased the body weight and body weight gain of broilers compared to the for-treatment group, whereas higher doses of L. casei (457 mg/kg) were not effective in the growth of broilers (Huang et al., 2004). Additionally, different poultry species have unique physiological characteristics, which result in different responses to LAB. This necessitates targeted research for each species. Pigeons, being late fledglings, rely on parental crop milk for nutrition after birth and cannot eat independently (Wen J. S. et al., 2022). This unique characteristic has led to a scarcity of research on LAB in pigeons. Further research is needed to explore the optimal application of LAB in pigeons and other poultry species, which could provide valuable insights for improving poultry health and production efficiency.

It is important to note that not all LAB qualify as probiotics. Before this can be done, strains need to be fully characterized, including isolation, identification and evaluation of their probiotic properties, such as resistance to digestive enzymes, bile or acids, antagonism against pathogens (Fontana et al., 2013). Although ample studies have been conducted the excellent effect of most commercial milk or food-derived probiotics, due to the host-specificity of probiotics (Duar et al., 2017a), there are still some limitations in the application of this type of probiotics in poultry (Jensen et al., 2011). The ideal and compatible probiotics should come from the same ecological source, so that the probiotics can better adapt to the gastrointestinal conditions of animals (Argyri et al., 2013). Pigeons have a relatively short intestine and their intestinal digestive enzymes are different from those of other poultry (Dong et al., 2012). The pigeon's diet is often based on high fiber foods such as cereals, and this diet also allows for specific bacterial groups (Lactobacillus and Limosilactobacillus) to dominate the pigeon gut microbiota to aid in the fermentation of cellulose (Xu et al., 2024), whereas other fowls may have a different dominant microbiome. Consequently, pigeonderived probiotics exhibit distinct advantages in terms of adaptability, colonization capacity, and functional efficacy. In contrast, non-hostspecific probiotic strains may demonstrate reduced effectiveness in pigeons due to these physiological and ecological differences. Additionally, our previous study demonstrated that fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) of ileal flora from Shiqi pigeons into newborn Mimas pigeons significantly enhanced the growth performance of the pigeons (Ren et al., 2024). Lactobacilli were identified as the predominant flora in the intestinal tract of the pigeons, suggesting that LAB have growth-promoting potential for Mimas pigeons. These findings highlight the importance of developing pigeon-specific

10.3389/fmicb.2025.1584380

probiotics to optimize their health and productivity. Based on this, the objective of the present study was to isolate and screen high-quality pigeon-derived LAB from pigeons that have received FMT intestinal contents, evaluate and verify its effects on pigeon production performance, intestinal development and intestinal flora, as well as pay attention to the relationship between the growth development, the intestinal microbiome, and the intestinal transcriptome for revealing the possible mechanisms of LAB supplementation to promote pigeon growth. The findings of this study not only provide excellent strain resources for the future development of pigeons' special micro-ecological preparations, but also provide precious theoretical basis for the academic community.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Animal care

The present experiment was reviewed and approved by the College of Animal Science of Jilin University Ethics Committee (SY202306051).

### 2.2 Isolation of lactic acid bacteria strains

0.1 g ileal contents of three 28-day-old Mimas pigeons that have received FMT from Chengcheng Agricultural Development Co., Ltd. (Liaoyuan, Jilin, China) were collected under sterile conditions as samples and inoculated into 50 mL sterilized MRS broth medium at 37°C for 48 h. Next, 100 µL medium was taken in 900 µL sterile PBS and vortexed evenly, which was regarded as a dilution of 10<sup>-1</sup>. And so on, diluted to 10<sup>-5</sup>. Afterwards, 100 µL of each of the last three diluents was evenly spread on the sterilized MRS agar medium containing 2% CaCO3 and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The colonies with the characteristics of LAB (milky white, smooth, and with calciumdissolving circle) were selected from the medium with moderate density. Then, they were transferred to tubes containing 10 mL MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h and purified by 3 zone streak plate cultivation on nutrient agar at 37°C for 48 h. Gram-positive and catalase-negative pure colonies can be initially identified as LAB and stored in MRS broth containing 50% sterile glycerol at -80°C for further analysis.

### 2.3 Identification of lactic acid bacteria strains

The strains were cultured overnight in MRS Broth at  $37^{\circ}$ C, and 3 mL of each bacterial solution were centrifuged at  $13400 \times g$  for 1 min to enrich the bacteria. The genomic DNA of the stains was extracted by DNA extraction kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China.), and used as template for PCR amplification to obtain large amounts of 16S rRNA gene. Primers used in amplification were universal primers (synthesized by Comate Bioscience Co., Ltd., Jilin, China.) as follows:

27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3')

The PCR reactions were performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, renaturation at 55°C for 15 s, and extension at 72°C for 90 s; final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. For sequencing, qualified PCR products were recovered and sent to Comate Bioscience Co., Ltd., Jilin, China. The obtained nucleotide sequences were subjected to BLAST alignment on the NCBI,<sup>1</sup> and the phylogenetic analysis was drawn using the neighbor-joining algorithm by MEGA 11.0 software.<sup>2</sup>

### 2.4 Antimicrobial activity against pathogens

The ultra-low temperature preserved *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Salmonella* ATCC 14028 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 were accessed in LB broth medium to restore their activity, and the concentration of the bacterial solution was adjusted to  $10^7$  CFU/mL. At the same time, the isolated strains were inoculated into MRS broth mediums and incubated for 24 h, and the concentration of the bacterial solution was adjusted to  $10^8$  CFU/mL. The bacteriostatic effect was determined using Oxford cup method. Each pathogen was taken and added into LB medium at 1%, shaken well and then inverted the plate, after the agar solidified, an Oxford cup (7.8 mm) was placed horizontally on the plate. Add 200 µL of bacterial suspension into the Oxford cup, incubate at 37°C for 12 h, and measure the diameter of the inhibition circle with vernier calipers. Three parallels were made for each sample and the average value was taken as the result.

## 2.5 Growth curve and acid production capacity

Growth curve procedure involves taking the bacterial solution to be tested and inoculating it into sterile MRS broth medium with a 1% inoculum. The mixture is then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Using uninoculated sterile MRS broth medium as a blank control, samples are taken every 2 h to determine the OD<sub>600</sub> values. For the acid production curve, the bacterial solution to be tested is inoculated into sterile MRS broth medium with a 1% inoculum. The inoculated at 37°C for 24 h. Samples are collected every 2 h, and their acid production capacity is measured using a pH meter.

## 2.6 Tolerance to gastrointestinal tract conditions

The acid resistance test was conducted using the methods of Wang et al. (2023) with minor modifications. The MRS broth medium was adjusted to pH levels of 3, 4, and 5 using 1 mol/L HCl and NaOH, autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min, and prepared for use. The bacterial solution to be tested was inoculated into these MRS broth media with different pH levels at a 2% inoculum. MRS broth medium without pH adjustment (pH = 6) served as the control. All samples were incubated in a shaking bed at 37°C for 4 h, and OD<sub>600</sub> values were measured under different pH conditions. The test was repeated three times to calculate the survival rate of the isolated strains, assessing their tolerance to acidic environments.

<sup>1541</sup>R (5'-AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC-3')

<sup>1</sup> https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

<sup>2</sup> https://www.megasoftware.net/

The bile salt tolerance test followed the methods outlined by Sirisopapong et al. (2023) with slight modifications. MRS broth media with bile salt concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3% were prepared, autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min, and prepared for use. The bacterial solution to be tested was inoculated into these MRS broth media with different bile salt concentrations at a 2% inoculum volume. MRS broth medium without bile salt served as the control. All samples were incubated at 37°C for 4 h, and  $OD_{600}$  values were measured under different bile salt concentrations. The test was repeated three times to determine the tolerance of the isolated strains to bile salts by calculating their survival rate.

For the digestive enzyme resistance test, the bacterial solution was centrifuged at  $7155 \times \text{g}$  for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were washed with PBS buffer three times. The cells were then resuspended in MRS broth medium containing trypsin (1 mg/mL) at pH = 7 to simulate the environment of the strain passing through the small intestine. OD<sub>600</sub> values were measured at 0 h and 4 h. The test was repeated three times to calculate the survival rate of the isolated strains, assessing their resistance to digestive enzymes.

### 2.7 Experimental animals

Meat pigeon breeding adopted a "2 + 2" production mode, as two young pigeons were raised by a pair of healthy Mimas parents per cage. A total of 360 at 1-day-old Mimas young pigeons that broke their shells at the same time were randomly divided into four treatment groups, with 3 replicates of 30 each. The gavage solution was prepared from 90 mL sterile MRS medium or L. salivarius S10-containing MRS medium, and 30 mL cryoprotectant solution (50% sterile glycerol). The four treatments were: the control group (CG, gavaged with sterile solution), the low concentration group (LG, gavaged with bacterial concentration of 108 CFU/mL solution), the medium concentration group (MG, gavaged with bacterial concentration of 109 CFU/mL solution) and the high concentration group (HG, gavaged with bacterial concentration of 1010 CFU/mL solution). Concentrations were chosen based on previous studies that successfully used similar concentrations of supplemental probiotics in poultry experiments (Wang et al., 2020). Young pigeons in each group were gavaged with 0.4 mL solution by a 1 mL sterile syringe at 10:00 A.M. daily after they were fed by parental pigeons from day 1 to 7. According to the nutritional requirements recommended by NRC (Dale, 1994), the four groups of pigeons were fed with the same basic diet including pellet diet and raw grain according. The nutrient values of the basal diets were completely referenced to the experiments of Ren et al. (2024). During the feeding trial period, pigeons were free to drink water, feed grain and health care sand. All suckling pigeons were vaccinated against Newcastle disease on day 7 and pigeon pox on day 14. Additionally, the pigeon lofts were disinfected regularly to ensure hygiene and sufficient light. The feeding experiment was conducted over a period of 28 days.

## 2.8 Measurement of production indexes and sample collection

The body weight of each group was weighed on the 1st, 7th, 14th, 21st, and 28th days of the experiment, and the body size of each group was measured at the end of the experiment. Subsequently, refer to

AVMA (Leary et al., 2020), when the live pigeons were rendered unconscious by electrocution, they were immediately slaughtered and exsanguinated. The dressed weight (the weight of poultry after bloodletting, removal of feathers, foot cuticle, toe shell and beak shell), half-eviscerated weight (the weight of dressed weight minus its trachea, esophagus, crop, intestine, spleen, pancreas, gall bladder, reproductive organs, stomach contents and keratin) and eviscerated weight (the weight of half-eviscerated weight minus the weight of heart, liver, muscle stomach, glandular stomach, lung and abdominal fat) of the pigeons were recorded. Additionally, the breast muscle on both sides were removed and weighed. On day 28, samples were randomly selected from the CG and MG. Three pigeons' ileal intestinal segments per group were collected for the analysis of intestinal morphology, and also stored in liquid nitrogen for further RNA-seq analysis. Six pigeons' ileal contents per group were immediately collected for gut bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis.

## 2.9 Intestine morphological analyses and observation

Each pigeon was collected about 1 cm of ileal intestinal segment at the same site, which was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 48 h. After that, it was subjected to water flushing, gradient alcohol dehydration, xylene transparent and paraffin embedding, and sections of 5  $\mu$ m thickness were stained with routine hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining, and finally sealed with neutral resin. The villus height and crypt depth of each specimen were measured under an optical microscope (14.0 ×) using the Slide Viewer image analysis system (3DHISTECH Ltd., Budapest, Hungary) for five intact and well oriented villi (i.e., 15 villi in each group), and the values of villus height/crypt depth (VH/CD) were calculated.

## 2.10 DNA preparation and ileal microbiota analysis

Microbial genomic DNA was extracted from the collected ileal contents by a DNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. The quality of DNA was assessed by the Nanodrop NC2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. The V3V4 hypervariable region of bacterial 16S rRNA amplified by PCRusingforwardprimer338F(5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA-3') and reverse primer 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'). Meanwhile, the barcode sequence unique to each sample was attached into the primers for multiplexing. The PCR amplicons were purified by Vazyme VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads (Vazyme, Nanjing, China), and Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used for quantitative analysis. The amplicons were sequenced by the Illumina NovaSeq platform (2 × 250 bp paired-end run) and NovaSeq 6,000 SP Reagent Kit at Personal Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China.

The QIIME2 software package (version: 2019.4) was employed for analyses of the obtained sequencing data. Raw sequence data were demultiplexed using the demux plugin followed by primer cutting with cutadapt plugin. The imported paired sequences were quality filtered, denoised, and merged through the DADA2 plugin to generate the amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs), and then the chimeric sequences and singleton ASVs were removed. Subsequently, the Greengenes database<sup>3</sup> was used to classify and annotate non-singleton ASVs. The taxa plugin was invoked to visualize the composition distribution of each group at the phylum and genus level. The diversity plugin was invoked for a variety of analyses, including alpha diversity analysis (Chao1, Observed species, Shannon and Simpson), sparse curves drawing, and beta diversity analysis based on the Weighted UniFrac algorithm. Among them, beta diversity analysis was presented by principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) and tested for significance using the analysis of similarities (ADONIS) method. The unique and shared ASVs between two groups were calculated by the VennDiagram R package. Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) and Wilcoxon test were used to screen significantly enriched taxonomic units from all taxonomic units from phylum to genus (LDA > 2, p < 0.05).

### 2.11 Library construction and bioinformatics analyses of RNA-seq data

Total RNA was extracted from ileum samples using the TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) method following manufacturer's instructions. The quality of RNA was measured using the Nanodrop NC2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), agarose gel electrophoresis, and the Bioanalyzer 2,100 system (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Sequencing libraries were generated using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). In brief, the mRNA was first enriched by oligo-attached magnetic beads and segmented in an Illumina proprietary fragmentation buffer. Subsequently, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized using random oligonucleotides and SuperScript II, and the second-strand cDNA was synthesized using DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. After that, the processes including cDNA purification, addition of sequencing connector and PCR amplification were performed successively to complete the cDNA library construction. Finally, Agilent Bioanalyzer 5,400 system was used to evaluate the quality of the library, and the eligible library was sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6,000 platform of Novogene Co., Ltd., Beijing, China.

Fastp software was used to filter the sequenced raw reads to remove the sequencing data contained a number of adaptors and low-quality reads, and obtain high-quality clean reads for further analysis. Then, clean reads were mapped to referential pigeon genome using STAR software and the comparison rates were calculated. Next, by DESeq2 software, counts of each sample gene were normalized and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. Meanwhile, |log2FoldChange| > 1 and p < 0.05 were used as the screening criteria for the differentially expressed genes (DEGs). DAVID<sup>4</sup> was used to conduct gene ontology (GO) functional enrichment analysis and KOBAS<sup>5</sup> was used to conduct kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis for the selected significant DEGs. "p < 0.05" was set as the threshold for significant enrichment in GO and KEGG analyses. All visualizations of the transcriptome were plotted by SRplot.<sup>6</sup>

#### 2.12 Quantitative real-time PCR validation

Quantitative Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to verify the mRNA expression level of the random selections of DEGs in ileum, and the information on the primers used in this study was shown in Table 1. First of all, the cDNA for qRT-PCR validation was reverse transcribed from total RNA by All-In-One 5 × RT MasterMix (Applied Biological Materials, Richmond, BC, Canada.), and the entire reaction was performed in the CFX Duet system (Bio-Rad, Shanghai, China.). The total volume of the qRT-PCR reaction was 20  $\mu$ L, consisting of 10  $\mu$ L Blastaq 2 × qpcr Master Mix (Applied Biological Materials, Richmond, BC, Canada), 7 µL RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 µL cDNA, and 0.5 µL of each primer. Each cDNA was repeated three times, with the columba livia  $\beta$ -actin gene as the reference. The cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and then  $60^\circ C$  for 1 min. Finally, the formula  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  was used to calculate the relative mRNA expression of the validated genes, and the results were compared with fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) values from the transcriptomic data to confirm the accuracy of RNA-seq.

#### 2.13 Statistics and analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 26.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). One-way ANOVA was performed on production performance data, and Duncan method was used to make multiple comparisons. Student's t-test was performed on intestinal morphological data and gene expression data. "p < 0.05" was used as the criterion to determine the significant difference. The results were expressed as "mean ± standard deviation (SD)" and visualized using GraphPad Prism 8 software (San Diego, CA, USA). All Spearman's correlation analyses were examined by Genescloud.<sup>7</sup> Significant differences at p < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 were indicated as \*, \*\* and \*\*\*, respectively.

## **3** Results

## 3.1 Isolation and molecular biological identification of lactic acid bacteria strains

After enrichment culture of pigeon gut contents, serial dilutions were spread on plates. After 48 h, the colony density on the plate with a dilution factor of  $10^{-4}$  was moderate (Figure 1A). A total of 20 strains were isolated and purified from all colonies, and named serially from S1 to S20. The isolated strains were round, milky white, smooth surface, and showed clear calcium-dissolving circle around the colonies on MRS medium supplemented with 2% CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Figure 1B). Gram staining revealed positively stained, rod-shaped, no spores, rounded at both ends, forming short chains in pairs or several forming long chains (Figure 1C). Bacteria shown in Figures 1B,C are S10. The

<sup>3</sup> Release 13.8, https://www.genescloud.cn/home.

<sup>4</sup> https://david.ncifcrf.gov/

<sup>5</sup> http://bioinfo.org/kobas

<sup>6</sup> https://www.bioinformatics.com.cn

<sup>7</sup> https://www.genescloud.cn/login

Gene <sup>1</sup>	Accession number	Primer nucleotide sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')^2$	Product length (bp)	Reference <sup>3</sup>
PCK1	XM_005499615	F: GGTGATGATATTGCCTGGAT R: GTGCTACATTGGTGAAGATG	160 bp	Current study
TMPPE	XM_065055142	F: CCTTCTTATCTTCTTCCTCTTC R: GCTGTTCTGCTGTTGTTG	108 bp	Current study
TSPAN1	XM_005501009	F: GCAAGTGTCTCCTGATTATG R: GTGAAGTCTGTGTGTAGTTAGTC	232 bp	Current study
PDGFRB	XM_065029783	F: CTGGTCTGCTGGAGGTAA R: GTGAGGTTGCTGATGAAGA	193 bp	Current study
RAF1	XM_065074431	F: ACTGTATCTCACCTACTATCG R: AGCATCTGTATTCCAATCCA	278 bp	Current study
ELK4	XM_065038630	F: GAAGGAGAAGTCGCAGTC R: TTGACGCTGGAAGAGTTG	108 bp	Current study
β-actin	AB618546	F: GCGTGACATCAAGGAGAA R: GATACCAACAGATTCCATACC	196 bp	Current study

#### TABLE 1 Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR.

<sup>1</sup>*PCK1*, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1; *TMPPE*, transmembrane protein with metallophosphoesterase domain; *TSPAN1*, tetraspanin 1; *PDGFRB*, platelet derived growth factor receptor beta; *RAF1*, Raf-1 proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase; *ELK4*, ETS transcription factor ELK4. <sup>2</sup>\u0080F, forward primer; R, reverse primer. <sup>3</sup>Current study represents the sequence was designed independently by Primer Premier 6.0 (PREMIER Biosoft, San Francisco, CA, USA).

morphological results of all isolated strains are shown in Supplementary Figures 1, 2. The target fragments obtained by agarose gel electrophoresis were all bands of about 1,500 bp, which was consistent with the length of the 16S rRNA gene (Figure 1D). The results of species identification showed that strains S7 and S13 were closely related to *L. salivarius* SNK-6 (CP101530.1), and all other strains were closely related to *L. salivarius* 8,919 (MT538863.1) (Figure 1E).

## 3.2 Inhibition of pathogenic bacteria by isolated strains

As shown in the Table 2, the twenty isolated strains had different degrees of inhibitory effect on E. coli, S. aureus and Salmonella. Among them, S10 had the strongest inhibitory ability against Salmonella, and the diameter of the inhibition zone reached 18.76 mm. S7 had the strongest inhibitory ability against E. coli, and the diameter of the inhibition zone reached 16.04 mm. S19 had the strongest inhibitory ability against S. aureus, and the diameter of the inhibition zone reached 15.71 mm. S5 and S11 were strong antibacterial effects on two of the three pathogenic bacteria, which also showed excellent bacteriostatic performance. Overall, most of the strains showed better inhibitory effects against Salmonella than against E. coli and S. aureus. S5, S7, S10, S11 and S19 showed outstanding performance and should be selected for further screening (The image of bacteriostatic activity is shown in Supplementary Figure 3).

## 3.3 *In vitro* characterization of isolated strains

The five isolated strains were in the growth retardation period from 0 to 4 h, and the pH values did not change much. At about 4 h, the strains entered the logarithmic growth period, and the pH values decreased rapidly, but the proliferation rate of S5 was not as fast as the other four strains. At about 16 h, the strains entered the stabilization period, the OD<sub>600</sub> values gradually stabilized, and the pH values decreased slowly. After 24 h of incubation, the pH values of all five strains decreased from 5.8 to about 3.8, which showed a strong acid production capacity (Figures 2A,B). Interestingly, not only did these strains demonstrate robust acid production, but their survival rates under varying environmental conditions also varied significantly. The results showed that the survival rate of strains showed a decreasing trend with either decreasing pH or increasing bile salt concentration. The results showed that the survival rate of strains showed a decreasing trend with either decreasing pH or increasing bile salt concentration (Table 3). While at pH = 3, All isolated strains were able to survive for 4 h, but S11 showed a higher survival rate of 25.29%, followed by S10 with 19.64%. However, the situation changed in different concentrations of bile salt environments. S10 showed better survival than the other strains, including S11, on the whole. In addition to acidic and bile salt conditions, the digestive enzyme resistance characteristics of the isolated strains were examined. The results showed that all five strains showed good resistance to trypsin after 4 h of incubation in a simulated small intestine environment, with survival rates higher than 90% (Table 3). This transition in focus from their growth and acid production characteristics to their survival under stressful conditions highlights the versatility and adaptability of these strains.

## 3.4 The effect of *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* S10 on on growth performance of pigeons

Combining the results of the above tests, *L. salivarius* S10, which has good tolerance to acid, bile salts and digestive enzymes, and has the strongest inhibitory effect on *Salmonella*, was selected as a candidate strain and configured as a bacterial solution to be instilled into the newborn pigeons. To evaluate the impact of this bacterial solution on the growth of the newborn pigeons, various groups were



established, including a control group (CG) and groups receiving low (LG), medium (MG), and high (HG) concentrations of *L. salivarius* S10. Initially, at 1 day of age, there was no significant difference in body weight among all groups (p > 0.05). This suggests that the initial conditions for all pigeons were comparable.

However, the body weight of MG was slightly higher than that of CG, LG and HG at 7, 14 and 21 days of age, but the difference was not

significant (p > 0.05). At the age of 28 days, compared with CG, the body weight of MG and HG was significantly increased by 40 and 25.5 g, respectively (p < 0.01). Meanwhile, the weight of pigeons in MG was also significantly higher than that in LG (p < 0.01) (Table 4). In terms of keel length, MG was significantly increased compared with CG and LG (p < 0.01), but there was no significant difference compared with HG (p > 0.05) (Table 5). Compared with CG and LG,

Isolated	Pathogenic bacteria					
strains	E. coli	S. aureus	Salmonella			
S1	$13.86\pm0.70$	13.71 ± 0.96	$16.44 \pm 1.13$			
S2	13.82 ± 0.73	12.77 ± 0.30	$15.87 \pm 0.47$			
S3	$14.04\pm0.52$	$14.09\pm0.36$	$15.46 \pm 1.92$			
S4	$14.17\pm0.67$	$13.17 \pm 1.85$	$13.52 \pm 1.24$			
S5	$15.99\pm0.67$	$14.36\pm0.76$	$17.89\pm0.95$			
S6	$14.29\pm0.21$	$11.62\pm0.30$	$14.19\pm0.26$			
S7	$16.04\pm0.75$	$13.97\pm0.48$	$18.51\pm0.52$			
S8	$13.47\pm0.27$	$14.59\pm0.68$	$17.92 \pm 1.14$			
S9	$13.85\pm0.81$	$11.89\pm0.86$	$14.77\pm0.99$			
S10	$15.60\pm0.54$	$14.76\pm0.78$	$18.76\pm0.55$			
S11	$15.47\pm2.61$	$13.06 \pm 1.02$	$15.40\pm0.33$			
S12	$13.17\pm0.69$	$12.89\pm0.08$	$15.75\pm0.45$			
S13	$14.42\pm0.61$	13.91 ± 1.37	$16.77\pm0.13$			
S14	$14.67\pm0.36$	$14.75\pm0.20$	$16.84\pm0.79$			
S15	13.33 ± 1.05	12.11 ± 0.18	$18.20 \pm 1.31$			
S16	$11.84\pm0.62$	$14.22 \pm 0.32$	$16.92\pm0.66$			
S17	12.67 ± 0.73	$12.08\pm0.60$	$16.48\pm0.36$			
S18	13.28 ± 0.69	$13.14 \pm 0.26$	$15.76\pm0.71$			
S19	14.21 ± 0.23	15.71 ± 0.17	$17.51 \pm 0.83$			
S20	$13.50 \pm 0.13$	$13.16\pm0.10$	$14.44\pm0.63$			

TABLE 2 The antibacterial activity of isolated strains against different pathogenic bacteria  $(mm)^{1}\!.$ 

<sup>1</sup>The antibacterial activity is expressed by the diameter of the inhibition zone. < 10 mm indicates weak antibacterial effect; 10–15 mm indicates moderate antibacterial effect; > 15 mm indicates strong antibacterial effect. The data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

the dressed weight, half-eviscerated weight and eviscerated weight of pigeons in MG were significantly increased (p < 0.05). The breast muscle weight in MG and HG was significantly higher than that in CG (p < 0.05). In each index, MG showed a higher advantage (Table 6).

# 3.5 *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* S10 alters intestinal morphology and gut microbiota in pigeons

In Figure 3, the ileum morphology of pigeons in CG and MG is shown under the microscope. After measurement, it was found that the villus height and the VH/CD of MG were significantly higher than those in CG (p < 0.01), but the crypt depth had no significant difference (p > 0.05) (Table 7). These findings suggest that the medium concentration of *L. salivarius* S10 may positively influence the intestinal morphology of newborn pigeons.

To further investigate the potential impact of *L. salivarius* S10 on the gut microbiota of these pigeons, we conducted alpha and beta diversity analysis. The collected ileal contents were submitted for gut microbiota analysis by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and the sequencing depths results are depicted in Supplementary Table 1. The high-quality sequences in each sample (There were 12 samples in total and 6 samples in each group) were clustered into ASVs with 100% agreement, and the Venn diagram showed that total 1,476 and 1,298 ASVs were obtained from CG and MG, respectively, with 672 shared ASVs between two groups (Figure 4A). The rarefaction curve was constructed through counting the Chao1 index values of the samples. The curve tends to be flat, indicating that the sequencing depth of each sample is sufficient, and the sequencing results can be used to reflect the diversity contained in the sample (Figure 4B). No significant difference was found in a series of alpha diversity indices between CG and MG (Figure 4C). However, PCoA based on Weighted UniFrac distances algorithm revealed that the microbial communities in the ileal contents of the two groups were significantly different (p = 0.009) (Figure 4D–E).

# 3.6 Associations of differential ileal bacteria with production performance and intestinal development

To investigate the effects of L. salivarius S10 supplementation on intestinal flora, the relative abundance of two groups of samples at phyla and genus levels was analyzed. At the phylum level, Firmicutes (96.33 and 98.36%) was the dominant taxonomic unit in CG and MG, followed by Proteobacteria (3.16 and 0.91%), Actinobacteria (0.44 and 0.66%) and Bacteroidota (0.02 and 0.01%) (Figure 5A). At the genus level, the five dominant genera with the highest relative abundance in CG were Lactobacillus (66.35%), Limosilactobacillus (18.86%), Clostridium\_T (8.06%), Escherichia (3.08%), and Ligilactobacillus (0.89%). In MG, the five dominant genera with the highest relative abundance were Lactobacillus (84.81%), Limosilactobacillus (11.75%), Ligilactobacillus (0.90%), Escherichia (0.82%), and Aeriscardovia (0.47%) (Figure 5B). Analysis with the LEfSe algorithm revealed that a total of 18 biomarkers with statistical differences (p < 0.05) were found after Wilcoxon test. Among these, 15 biomarkers were enriched in CG, which was characterized by *Clostridium*\_T (LDA = 4.62), Dwaynesavagella (LDA = 3.69), Dubosiella (LDA = 3.42) et al. In contrast, 3 biomarkers were enriched in MG, such as Pantoea\_A (LDA = 3.94), *Enterococcus\_H* (LDA = 3.81). The highest LDA scores was given to Lactobacillus, which was considered as the most critical species in MG (LDA = 4.97) (Figure 5C). The relative abundance of Lactobacillus was significantly positively correlated (p < 0.05) with eviscerated weight and breast muscle weight, and highly significantly positively correlated (p < 0.01) with weight of 28 d and VH/CD. The relative abundance of *Pantoea\_A* was highly significantly positively correlated (p < 0.01) with weight of 28 d and dressed weight. The relative abundance of Enterococcus\_H was significantly positively correlated (p < 0.05) with eviscerated weight and crypt depth. In contrast, biomarkers in CG showed largely negative regulatory relationships with production performance and intestinal development. The relative abundance of Clostridium\_T showed a highly significant negative correlation with breast muscle weight (p < 0.01). The relative abundance of *Dwaynesavagella* showed a significant negative correlation (p < 0.05) with breast muscle weight and crypt depth. The relative abundance of Dubosiella was significantly negatively correlated with weight of 28 d and crypt depth (p < 0.05), and was highly significantly negatively correlated with dressed weight, half-eviscerated weight, eviscerated weight, and breast muscle weight (p < 0.01). The relative abundance of *Faecalibaculum* was significantly negatively correlated with villus height (p < 0.05). The relative abundance of Weissella\_A was significantly negatively correlated with



TABLE 3 The tolerance of isolated strains to acid	I, bile salts and digestive enzymes (%) <sup>1</sup> .
---	--

Isolated	pH value		Bile salts			Trypsin	
strains	pH = 5	pH = 4	pH = 3	0.05%	0.10%	0.20%	1 mg/mL
\$5	42.43 ± 1.44	$19.14 \pm 1.00$	$15.65\pm0.75$	$25.50\pm0.70$	$10.28 \pm 1.02$	$6.20\pm0.32$	95.09 ± 1.20
S7	$42.09\pm0.52$	$23.43 \pm 0.84$	$15.15\pm0.41$	$23.87\pm0.67$	$11.81\pm0.42$	$6.35\pm0.14$	94.32 ± 1.22
S10	52.25 ± 1.17	$28.29 \pm 0.47$	$19.64 \pm 1.81$	$31.21\pm0.75$	$15.02\pm0.11$	$6.80\pm0.26$	$92.03\pm0.96$
S11	$50.54 \pm 0.58$	$37.84 \pm 0.46$	$25.29 \pm 1.97$	$32.62\pm0.76$	$11.05\pm0.61$	$5.07 \pm 0.20$	$90.07\pm0.51$
S19	$54.24 \pm 1.20$	22.09 ± 0.63	$17.87\pm0.98$	$23.30\pm0.18$	$12.44\pm0.59$	$6.48\pm0.30$	93.37 ± 0.61

<sup>1</sup>The data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

TABLE 4 Different concentrations of L. salivarius S10 affect the body weight of pigeons at various time	e-points (g) <sup>1</sup> .
---	-----------------------------

Weight	CG	LG	MG	HG	<i>p</i> value
1 d	15.6 ± 1.6	15.9 ± 2.1	$15.6 \pm 1.6$	$15.7 \pm 1.6$	0.988
7 d	150.4 ± 23.0	$152.0 \pm 18.1$	$160.2 \pm 27.0$	159.1 ± 26.1	0.761
14 d	351.0 ± 40.0	357.0 ± 38.4	383.4 ± 17.0	383.0 ± 38.4	0.112
21 d	539.8 ± 28.6	530.2 ± 22.3	550.0 ± 22.1	$548.4\pm34.8$	0.407
28 d	$535.7 \pm 16.0^{\circ}$	$551.3 \pm 18.5^{\text{BC}}$	575.7 ± 12.8 <sup>A</sup>	$561.2 \pm 22.8^{AB}$	< 0.001

<sup>1</sup>The data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 90). <sup>AB</sup> Values within a row with no common superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.01).

Items	CG	LG	MG	HG	<i>p</i> value
Chest depth	69.19 ± 3.47	68.66 ± 3.61	72.36 ± 3.37	71.86 ± 2.77	0.054
Chest width	64.57 ± 5.04	63.56 ± 3.09	66.61 ± 3.15	68.02 ± 3.19	0.067
Keel length	$68.78 \pm 3.44^{\text{B}}$	67.97 ± 2.55 <sup>B</sup>	73.22 ± 2.43 <sup>A</sup>	$70.55 \pm 2.90^{AB}$	0.002
Shank circumference	29.00 ± 1.20	28.67 ± 1.42	28.75 ± 2.20	27.46 ± 1.87	0.245
Shank length	39.19 ± 2.65	38.67 ± 1.28	$40.25 \pm 1.74$	38.90 ± 1.41	0.301

<sup>1</sup>The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 90). <sup>AB</sup> Values within a row with no common superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.01).

TABLE 6 Different concentrations of L. salivarius S10 affect the 28-day slaughter performance of pigeons (g)<sup>1</sup>.

ltems	CG	LG	MG	HG	<i>p</i> value
Dressed weight	403.6 ± 13.5 <sup>Bb</sup>	$407.5\pm22.1^{\scriptscriptstyle ABb}$	$432.9\pm10.8^{\rm Aa}$	$421.1\pm28.0^{\scriptscriptstyle ABab}$	0.014
Half-eviscerated weight	335.5 ± 15.1 <sup>Bb</sup>	$345.9\pm26.4^{\scriptscriptstyle ABb}$	$370.4 \pm 11.5^{Aa}$	358.2 ± 36.1 <sup>ABab</sup>	0.027
Eviscerated weight	298.1 ± 15.2 <sup>Bb</sup>	307.6 ± 32.1 <sup>ABb</sup>	333.2 ± 10.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$320.2\pm33.8^{\rm ABab}$	0.031
Breast muscle weight	66.5 ± 7.9 <sup>Bb</sup>	$72.4 \pm 7.7^{ABab}$	$79.0 \pm 4.3^{Aa}$	$75.2 \pm 7.9^{ABa}$	0.007

<sup>1</sup>The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 90). <sup>ab</sup>Values within a row with no common superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.05). <sup>AB</sup>Values within a row with no common superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.01).



TABLE 7 Effect of *L. salivarius* S10 on the ileal morphology of pigeons<sup>1</sup>.

ltems	CG	MG	p value
Villus height (µm)	$407.6 \pm 34.5^{\text{B}}$	$482.8 \pm 24.6^{\text{A}}$	< 0.001
Crypt depth (µm)	$107.1 \pm 14.0$	111.6 ± 13.8	0.382
VH/CD	$3.8 \pm 0.5^{\text{B}}$	$4.4 \pm 0.5^{\text{A}}$	0.006

<sup>1</sup>The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 15). <sup>AB</sup>Values within a row with no common superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.01).

keel length, weight of 28 d, breast muscle weight, crypt depth, and VH/CD (p < 0.05) (Figures 5D,E).

# 3.7 Ileum transcriptome changes in pigeons after *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* S10 link MAPK pathway and gut microbiota

In order to get a comprehensive picture of the transcription of ileal genes in pigeons after gavage of L. salivarius S10, RNA-seq was performed in this study. All the statistical results after quality control of transcriptome sequencing data show that this data can be used for bioinformatics analysis, detailed subsequent as in Supplementary Table 2. PCA results indicated differences in intestinal transcription between CG and MG (Figure 6A). Therefore, we next calculated the DEGs between the two groups, and volcano plot was drawn. The results showed that when Fold change > 2 and p < 0.05were set as the threshold for screening significant DEGs, a total of 1828 DEGs were noted in the ileum tissues of pigeons in CG and MG. Compared with CG, 860 genes were up-regulated and 968 genes were down-regulated in MG (Figure 6B). Meanwhile, the up-regulated and down-regulated genes were clearly distinguished among the groups, and the correlation among the samples in each group performs well (Figure 6C).

GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were conducted on 1828 DEGs to explore their functions. The GO analysis revealed 12 biological processes terms related to processes like MAPK cascade regulation, cell proliferation, and phosphorylation; 6 molecular functions terms associated with poly(A) RNA polymerase and calcium-dependent cysteine-type endopeptidase activities; and 3 cell components terms encompassing cell cortex, exocyst, and extracellular region (Figure 6D). These DEGs were mainly enriched in biological pathways such as Metabolic pathways, Peroxisome, MAPK signaling pathway, Regulation of actin cytoskeleton, Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction (Figure 6E).

Notably, the MAPK signaling pathway, which was significantly enriched, plays a key role in animal growth and development, and gene expression of the MAPK signaling pathway may be affected by intestinal microbiota. Therefore, in the present study, the ileal differential bacteria were subjected to a Spearman's correlation analysis with the genes involved in this pathway. For instance, the expression of PDGFRB showed a significant positive correlation with the relative abundance of *Enterococcus\_H* and a significant negative correlation with the relative abundance of *Dubosiella* (p < 0.05). The expression of RAF1 exhibited a significant positive correlation with the relative abundances of Lactobacillus and Pantoea\_A, while demonstrating a notable negative correlation with the relative abundance of *Dwaynesavagella* (p < 0.05). The expression level of HSPA2 was significantly negatively correlated with the relative abundance of Dwaynesavagella, Faecalibaculum, and Weissella\_A (p < 0.05). All sixteen differentially expressed genes found to correlate with ileal differential bacteria were in Figure 6F. Finally, we randomly selected PDGFRB, RAF1, and ELK4 from within the MAPK pathway, and PCK1, TMPPE, and TSPAN1 from outside this pathway, for further validation using qRT-PCR. The results from qRT-PCR showed a high degree of agreement with the data from the transcriptome analysis, reinforcing the robustness and credibility of our RNA-Seq data (Figures 6G-J).

## 4 Discussion

LAB, ubiquitous in diverse ecological habitats ranging from fermented or spoiled food to environmental matrices and animal guts, play a pivotal role in maintaining intestinal flora balance and are prime probiotic candidates (Duar et al., 2017b; Zhang et al., 2018).



FIGURE 4

The diversity of the ileal microbiota. (A) Venn diagram. (B) Rarefaction curve. (C) Alpha diversity analysis. (D) PCoA based on Weighted UniFrac algorithm. (E) Sample distances based on Weighted UniFrac algorithm. Sample sizes for each group are as follows: CG (n = 6), MG (n = 6).



negative correlation, respectively. \*Indicates a difference at p < 0.05, \*\*Indicates a difference at p < 0.01. (E) Heatmap of the Spearman's correlation analysis between differential ileal bacteria and ileal morphological indexes of pigeons. The red and blue color represents a positive and negative correlation, respectively. \*Indicates a difference at p < 0.05, \*\*indicates a difference at p < 0.01. Sample sizes for each group are as follows: CG (n = 6), MG (n = 6).

As gram-positive, facultative anaerobes, LAB thrive in weak acid environments and require vitamins, amino acids, and peptides for growth (Mokoena, 2017). In this study, we isolated 20 strains of *L. salivarius* from the intestines of healthy pigeons using MRS medium. These strains exhibited probiotic potential, as previously reported in chickens (Jiang et al., 2023), cows (Lin et al., 2020), piglets (Sun et al., 2020), and bees (Fanciotti et al., 2018). Among these strains, S5, S7, S10, S11, and S19 demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against crucial livestock pathogens, including *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella*. Their rapid proliferation and lactic acid production further underscore their probiotic efficacy, evidenced by a reduction in pH in bacterial solutions.



#### FIGURE 6

Ileal transcriptomics. (A) PCOA diagram between two groups of samples. (B) Volcano plot of DEGs between two groups. (C) Cluster heatmap. (D) Dot plot of the GO enrichment analysis. (E) Histogram of KEGG enrichment analysis. (F) Heatmap of the Spearman's correlation analysis between differential ileal bacteria and DEGs in the MAPK signaling pathway. The red and blue color represents a positive and negative correlation, respectively. \*Indicates a difference at p < 0.05, \*\*Indicates a difference at p < 0.01. (G) FPKM values of DEGs randomly selected from outside the MAPK signaling pathway. \*Indicates a difference at p < 0.05, \*\*indicates a difference at p < 0.001, same below. (H) Relative mRNA expression levels of DEGs randomly selected from the MAPK signaling pathway. (J) Relative mRNA expression levels of DEGs randomly selected from the MAPK signaling pathway. (J) Relative mRNA expression levels of DEGs randomly selected from the MAPK signaling pathway. (J) Relative mRNA expression levels of DEGs randomly selected from the MAPK signaling pathway. Sample sizes for each group are as follows: CG (n = 3), MG (n = 3).

10.3389/fmicb.2025.1584380

Adapting to the gastrointestinal environment is crucial for LAB with robust bacteriostatic ability or fertility to exert their biological effects (Luo et al., 2022). Therefore, we evaluated the adaptability of these strains through in vitro tests by altering medium conditions. All strains exhibited resistance, aligning with findings on L. salivarius CML352 (Xu et al., 2022) and L. salivarius SMXD51 (Messaoudi et al., 2011). Notably, Despite its slight disadvantage in terms of acid and enzyme resistance, S10 is undoubtedly the most suitable strain for pigeon preparation due to its superior overall antimicrobial capacity and its resistance to artificially simulated gastrointestinal fluids compared to other strains. This strain's adaptability suggests a potential for practical application, particularly in the context of hostspecific microbiota development. The host-specific microbiota development benefits both the host and the species (Yuan et al., 2022). By fostering a beneficial microbial environment tailored to the host, we can observe positive outcomes in growth and overall health. For instance, feeding chicks T-Pbx, a probiotic blend derived from turkey ileum, improved weight gain (Ward et al., 2019). Similarly, direct dosing of newborn pigeons with L. salivarius S10 maximized benefits, enhancing the performance of 28-day-old pigeons by improving keel length, weight, and breast muscle weight. This underscores the potential of L. salivarius S10 as a probiotic for pigeons, reinforcing the idea that strain selection based on adaptability can lead to significant improvements in host health and performance.

LAB's glucose metabolism produces organic acids, facilitating mineral absorption and nutrient utilization (Scholz-Ahrens et al., 2007). During evolution, pigeons have adapted their intestinal structure to facilitate flight, with the large intestine degenerating to primarily absorb water, while the small intestine handles most digestion and nutrient absorption (Chen et al., 2015). The ileum, as the terminal site for absorbing carbohydrates, proteins, and fats, hosts a complex microbiota closely linked to pigeon health and development. Additionally, it serves as a key site for evaluating whether screened probiotic strains can survive and colonize the post-digestive segment. Given these characteristics, future experiments will focus on ileal development and microbiota structure. In our study, increased villus height indicated enhanced digestion and absorption, positively impacting growth (Zhu et al., 2022). Additionally, we observed changes in the intestinal microbial communities of the pigeons. Specifically, there was an increase in Firmicutes, represented by Lactobacillus, and a decrease in Proteobacteria. Similarly, probiotics have been shown to alter gut microbiota in mice (Park et al., 2013). In pigeons, the abundance of Lactobacillus, Pantoea\_A, and Enterococcus\_H rose, while Limosilactobacillus, Clostridium\_T, and Escherichia decreased. The abundance of Ligilactobacillus remained stable, possibly due to interference competition (Ghoul and Mitri, 2016). These findings highlight the ability of L. salivarius S10 to modulate the gut microbiota of pigeons favorably, contributing to their overall health and growth performance.

In exploring the intricate relationships between gut microbiota and growth performance in pigeons, we conducted a detailed analysis of microbial community shifts following probiotic administration. Spearman's correlation analysis linked *Lactobacillus* abundance to positive growth traits, while *Dubosiella* and *Faecalibaculum* were negatively correlated with intestinal health indicators (Gu et al., 2023; Ran et al., 2024). In addition to *Lactobacillus*, a common probiotic, strains with probiotic potential also exist in *Enterococcus\_H*. Studies have shown that the addition of *Enterococcus faecium* improves the intestinal microecology of broilers and increases their average weight gain, and also helps to lower cholesterol levels in hypercholesterolemic mice (Zhu et al., 2019; Suvorov et al., 2023). *Pantoea ananatis* from *Pantoea\_A* has been used to produce L-cystine feed additives (Bampidis et al., 2020). The lipopolysaccharide IP-PA1 extracted from *Pantoea agglomerans* is able to maintain homeostasis in the body *via* macrophage activation and has immune functions such as healing, analgesia, and protection against infections, allergies, and cancer (Nakata et al., 2011). On the contrary, *Clostridium perfringens*, which was significantly enriched in *Clostridium\_T* in CG, caused necrotizing enteritis and biliary hepatitis in poultry (Van Immerseel et al., 2004).

These correlations provide insights into how specific microbial species may influence the overall health and development of the host. Notably, early niche pre-emption led to Lactobacillus dominance in the intestines of 28-day-old pigeons, reducing microbiota diversity-a potential sign of dysbiosis. This observation underscores gut microbial colonization in suckling pigeons during development to 28 days of age and the need for careful modulation to maintain a balanced microbial ecosystem. However, our findings indicate that L. salivarius S10 regulated the gut flora to a growth-favorable state, potentially beneficial for short-lived animals like pigeons. This may be attributed to the fact that L. salivarius can regulate the composition of the gut microbiota through the production of lactic acid, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, bacteriocins, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), and others (Yang et al., 2024). These active metabolites work by fostering an environment conducive to Lactobacillus dominance while mitigating potential negative impacts of reduced diversity. It is evident that L. salivarius S10 emerges as a promising probiotic for enhancing the growth and health of pigeons. However, due to the relatively small number of pigeons in this study, the findings may not be broadly generalizable, and a larger sample size is needed to accurately validate the results. Meanwhile, future research should determine whether long-term supplementation with L. salivarius S10 can maintain the long-term balance and stability of the intestinal microbiota. Additionally, a comprehensive safety assessment of L. salivarius S10 is necessary to identify any potential risks and ensure its suitability for commercial use.

To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying these observations, we conducted RNA-seq analysis of the ileum, which revealed 1828 differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Among these DEGs, several were enriched in the MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signaling pathway, a critical pathway for growth and development. This enrichment provides a biological context for understanding how gut microbiota may influence host growth. Notably, RAF1, a core member of the MAPK pathway, was found to be correlated with differential bacteria. RAF1 is a key kinase that initiates the MAPK cascade in response to extracellular stimuli, ultimately regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival. Our findings suggest that specific gut bacteria may interact with RAF1 or its upstream regulators, thereby modulating the MAPK pathway and influencing host growth traits. In addition to RAF1, PDGFRB also emerged as a key player in gut development. Studies have shown that PDGF signaling is involved in the proliferation and differentiation of intestinal epithelial cells (Sukhotnik et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019), and upregulation of this gene also contributes to the observed changes in intestinal morphology and nutrient absorption. And with further research, there have been reports demonstrating the role of *L. salivarius* metabolites in regulating host signaling pathways. SCFAs such as butyric acid can promote the proliferation and repair of intestinal epithelial cells through activation of ERK and p38 MAPK pathways (Mann et al., 2024). Succinic acid promotes the activity of intestinal stem cells by activating the SUCNR1-mitochondrial axis, thereby enhancing the renewal and absorption of intestinal epithelial cells (Luo et al., 2025). Therefore, we hypothesized that the intestinal tract's ability to digest and absorb nutrients was enhanced, which in turn improved the production performance of suckling pigeons.

This study highlights the potential of L. salivarius S10 as a probiotic for pigeons, demonstrating its ability to enhance growth performance and regulate gut flora. The RNA-seq analysis provides valuable insights into the mechanisms underlying these effects, specifically suggesting that intestinal microbiota regulate MAPK pathway genes, thereby influencing intestinal development and nutrient utilization. These findings further elaborate on the complex interplay between gut microbiota and host signaling pathways, with profound implications for the growth and health of pigeons. Our results not only deepen our understanding of the microbial-host interactions that govern avian physiology but also open up new avenues for the development of probiotics and other microbial-based interventions tailored to enhance pigeon farming productivity. By harnessing the power of the gut microbiome through L. salivarius S10 supplementation, we can potentially optimize growth rates, improve feed conversion efficiency, and bolster disease resistance in pigeons, ultimately contributing to more sustainable and profitable poultry operations. Thus, the ongoing exploration of the gut microbiota's regulatory role in host signaling pathways, particularly as facilitated by probiotics like L. salivarius S10, holds immense promise for revolutionizing the future of pigeon agriculture. Future studies could explore these genes at the cellular level to further elucidate their roles in intestinal health and growth promotion, thereby building upon the foundation laid by this research and advancing our understanding of probiotics in pigeons.

## **5** Conclusion

In this study, we successfully isolated a strain of L. salivarius S10, known for its exceptional probiotic properties, from the ileal contents of 28-day-old Mimas pigeons. Our findings demonstrated that the administration of this strain to young pigeons led to a notable enhancement in their overall production performance. Specifically, under the experimental conditions employed, the optimal dosage of L. salivarius S10 was determined to be 109 CFU/mL. This concentration not only resulted in improvements in the intestinal histomorphology of the pigeons but also induced significant alterations in their ileal intestinal flora and transcriptome profiles when compared to the control group (CG). These results underscore the potential of L. salivarius S10 as an effective probiotic for pigeons, highlighting its ability to positively impact gut health and overall growth performance. Future studies should focus on evaluating the long-term effects of L. salivarius S10 supplementation on intestinal microbiota stability, exploring its potential effects, and assessing its safety profile in larger-scale trials to ensure its suitability for commercial application in pigeon farming.

## Data availability statement

The data supporting this article are available in the supplementary information. The sequences of the 20 isolated strains have been deposited in the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) under accession numbers PP813760-PP813779. High-throughput sequencing datasets have also been deposited in the NCBI database under accession numbers PRJNA1112620 and PRJNA1114431.

### **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by the College of Animal Science of Jilin University Ethics Committee (SY202306051). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

PZ: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. YL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. YY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. QX: Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft. ZZ: Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft. XH: Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft. HN: Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. ZX: Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft. KZ: Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft. SY: Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. YZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province of China (20240601056RC, 20230508027RC).

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank YL, YZ, and YY for critical reading of the manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

### References

Agriopoulou, S., Stamatelopoulou, E., Sachadyn-Król, M., and Varzakas, T. (2020). Lactic acid Bacteria as antibacterial agents to extend the shelf life of fresh and minimally processed fruits and vegetables: quality and safety aspects. *Microorganisms* 8:952. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8060952

Argyri, A. A., Zoumpopoulou, G., Karatzas, K.-A. G., Tsakalidou, E., Nychas, G.-J. E., Panagou, E. Z., et al. (2013). Selection of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria from fermented olives by *in vitro* tests. *Food Microbiol.* 33, 282–291. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.10.005

Ayalew, H., Zhang, H., Wang, J., Wu, S., Qiu, K., Qi, G., et al. (2022). Potential feed additives as antibiotic alternatives in broiler production. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:916473. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.916473

Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Dusemund, B., Kos Durjava, M., et al. (2020). Safety and efficacy of l-cystine produced using *Pantoea ananatis* strain NITE BP-02525 for all animal species. *EFSA J.* 18:e06020. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6020

Chen, M. X., Li, X. G., Yang, J. X., Gao, C. Q., Wang, B., Wang, X. Q., et al. (2015). Growth of embryo and gene expression of nutrient transporters in the small intestine of the domestic pigeon (*Columba livia*). *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 16, 511–523. doi: 10.1631/jzus.B1400340

Dale, N. (1994). National research council nutrient requirements of poultry-ninth revised edition. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 3:101. doi: 10.1093/japr/3.1.101

Deng, Z., Hou, K., Zhao, J., and Wang, H. (2021). The probiotic properties of lactic acid Bacteria and their applications in animal husbandry. *Curr. Microbiol.* 79:22. doi: 10.1007/s00284-021-02722-3

Dong, X. Y., Wang, Y. M., Dai, L., Azzam, M. M., Wang, C., and Zou, X. T. (2012). Posthatch development of intestinal morphology and digestive enzyme activities in domestic pigeons (*Columba livia*). *Poult. Sci.* 91, 1886–1892. doi: 10.3382/ps.2011-02091

Duar, R. M., Frese, S. A., Lin, X. B., Fernando, S. C., Burkey, T. E., Tasseva, G., et al. (2017a). Experimental evaluation of host adaptation of *Lactobacillus reuteri* to different vertebrate species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83, e00132–e00117. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00132-17

Duar, R. M., Lin, X. B., Zheng, J., Martino, M. E., Grenier, T., Pérez-Muñoz, M. E., et al. (2017b). Lifestyles in transition: evolution and natural history of the genus *Lactobacillus. FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 41, S27–S48. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fux030

Elokil, A. A., Chen, W., Mahrose, K., Elattrouny, M. M., Abouelezz, K. F. M., Ahmad, H. I., et al. (2022). Early life microbiota transplantation from highly feedefficient broiler improved weight gain by reshaping the gut microbiota in laying chicken. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1022783. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1022783

Fanciotti, M. N., Tejerina, M., Benítez-Ahrendts, M. R., and Audisio, M. C. (2018). Honey yield of different commercial apiaries treated with *Lactobacillus salivarius* A3iob, a new bee-probiotic strain. *Benef. Microbes* 9, 291–298. doi: 10.3920/ bm2017.0089

Fontana, L., Bermudez-Brito, M., Plaza-Diaz, J., Muñoz-Quezada, S., and Gil, A. (2013). Sources, isolation, characterisation and evaluation of probiotics. *Br. J. Nutr.* 109, S35–S50. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512004011

Ghoul, M., and Mitri, S. (2016). The ecology and evolution of microbial competition. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 833–845. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.06.011

Gu, K., Wu, A., Yu, B., Zhang, T., Lai, X., Chen, J., et al. (2023). Iron overload induces colitis by modulating ferroptosis and interfering gut microbiota in mice. *Sci. Total Environ.* 905:167043. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167043

Huang, M. K., Choi, Y. J., Houde, R., Lee, J. W., Lee, B., and Zhao, X. (2004). Effects of lactobacilli and an acidophilic fungus on the production performance and immune responses in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 83, 788–795. doi: 10.1093/ps/83.5.788

Jensen, H., Grimmer, S., Naterstad, K., and Axelsson, L. (2011). *In vitro* testing of commercial and potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 153, 216–222. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.020

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025.1584380/ full#supplementary-material

Ji, F., Zhang, S., An, Y., Wang, Z., Shao, Y., Du, S., et al. (2022). Influence of dietary phosphorus concentrations on the performance of rearing pigeons (*Columba livia*), and bone properties of squabs. *Poult. Sci.* 101:101744. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101744

Jiang, Y. H., Yang, R. S., Lin, Y. C., Xin, W. G., Zhou, H. Y., Wang, F., et al. (2023). Assessment of the safety and probiotic characteristics of *Lactobacillus salivarius* CGMCC20700 based on whole-genome sequencing and phenotypic analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1120263. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1120263

Leary, S, Underwood, W, Anthony, R, Cartner, S, Grandin, T, Greenacre, C, et al. (2020). AVMA guidelines for the euthanasia of animals: 2020 edition. AVMA. Available at: https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2020-02/Guidelines-on-Euthanasia-2020.pdf

Lin, W. C., Ptak, C. P., Chang, C. Y., Ian, M. K., Chia, M. Y., Chen, T. H., et al. (2020). Autochthonous lactic acid Bacteria isolated from dairy cow feces exhibiting promising probiotic properties and *in vitro* antibacterial activity against foodborne pathogens in cattle. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 7:239. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00239

Luo, Y., De Souza, C., Ramachandran, M., Wang, S., Yi, H., Ma, Z., et al. (2022). Precise oral delivery systems for probiotics: a review. *J. Control. Release* 352, 371–384. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2022.10.030

Luo, D., Zou, M., Rao, X., Wei, M., Zhang, L., Hua, Y., et al. (2025). *Lactobacillus salivarius* metabolite succinate enhances chicken intestinal stem cell activities via the SUCNR1-mitochondria axis. *Poult. Sci.* 104:104754. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2024.104754

Mann, E. R., Lam, Y. K., and Uhlig, H. H. (2024). Short-chain fatty acids: linking diet, the microbiome and immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 24, 577–595. doi: 10.1038/s41577-024-01014-8

Messaoudi, S., Kergourlay, G., Rossero, A., Ferchichi, M., Prévost, H., Drider, D., et al. (2011). Identification of lactobacilli residing in chicken ceca with antagonism against Campylobacter. *Int. Microbiol.* 14, 103–110. doi: 10.2436/20.1501.01.140

Michalak, M., Wojnarowski, K., Cholewińska, P., Szeligowska, N., Bawej, M., and Pacoń, J. (2021). Selected alternative feed additives used to manipulate the rumen microbiome. *Animals* 11:1542. doi: 10.3390/ani11061542

Mokoena, M. P. (2017). Lactic acid Bacteria and their Bacteriocins: classification, biosynthesis and applications against Uropathogens: a Mini-review. *Molecules* 22:1255. doi: 10.3390/molecules22081255

Nakata, K., Inagawa, H., and Soma, G. (2011). Lipopolysaccharide IP-PA1 from Pantoea agglomerans prevents suppression of macrophage function in stress-induced diseases. *Anticancer Res.* 31, 2437–2440

Park, D. Y., Ahn, Y. T., Park, S. H., Huh, C. S., Yoo, S. R., Yu, R., et al. (2013). Supplementation of *Lactobacillus curvatus* HY7601 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* KY1032 in diet-induced obese mice is associated with gut microbial changes and reduction in obesity. *PLoS One* 8:e59470. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059470

Ran, X., Li, X., Xie, X., Lei, J., Yang, F., and Chen, D. (2024). Effects of probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* from yak on the intestinal microflora and metabolomics of mice with Salmonella infection. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 16, 1036–1051. doi: 10.1007/s12602-023-10102-5

Ren, J., Li, Y., Ni, H., Zhang, Y., Zhao, P., Xiao, Q., et al. (2024). Gut microbiota derived from fecal microbiota transplantation enhances body weight of Mimas squabs. *Anim. Biosci.* 37, 1428–1439. doi: 10.5713/ab.23.0475

Salminen, S., Collado, M. C., Endo, A., Hill, C., Lebeer, S., Quigley, E. M. M., et al. (2021). The international scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of postbiotics. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 18, 649–667. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00440-6

Scholz-Ahrens, K. E., Ade, P., Marten, B., Weber, P., Timm, W., Açil, Y., et al. (2007). Prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics affect mineral absorption, bone mineral content, and bone structure. *J. Nutr.* 137, 838S–846S. doi: 10.1093/jn/137.3.838S

Seal, B. S., Drider, D., Oakley, B. B., Brüssow, H., Bikard, D., Rich, J. O., et al. (2018). Microbial-derived products as potential new antimicrobials. *Vet. Res.* 49:66. doi: 10.1186/s13567-018-0563-5 Sirisopapong, M., Shimosato, T., Okrathok, S., and Khempaka, S. (2023). Assessment of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the chicken digestive tract for potential use as poultry probiotics. *Anim. Biosci.* 36, 1209–1220. doi: 10.5713/ab.22.0455

Sukhotnik, I., Mogilner, J. G., Pollak, Y., Blumenfeld, S., Bejar, J., and Coran, A. G. (2012). PDGF- $\alpha$  stimulates intestinal epithelial cell turnover after massive small bowel resection in a rat. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 302, G1274–G1281. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00532.2011

Sun, Z., Li, H., Li, Y., and Qiao, J. (2020). *Lactobacillus salivarius*, a potential probiotic to improve the health of LPS-challenged piglet intestine by alleviating inflammation as well as oxidative stress in a dose-dependent manner during weaning transition. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 7:547425. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.547425

Suvorov, A., Zhao, S., Leontieva, G., Alekhina, G., Yang, J., Tsapieva, A., et al. (2023). Evaluation of the efficacy of *Enterococcus faecium* L3 as a feed probiotic additive in chicken. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 15, 1169–1179. doi: 10.1007/s12602-022-09970-0

Van Immerseel, F., De Buck, J., Pasmans, F., Huyghebaert, G., Haesebrouck, F., and Ducatelle, R. (2004). *Clostridium perfringens* in poultry: an emerging threat for animal and public health. *Avian Pathol.* 33, 537–549. doi: 10.1080/03079450400013162

Vimon, S., Angkanaporn, K., and Nuengjamnong, C. (2023). Microencapsulation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* MB001 and its probiotic effect on growth performance, cecal microbiome and gut integrity of broiler chickens in a tropical climate. *Anim. Biosci.* 36, 1252–1262. doi: 10.5713/ab.22.0426

Wang, J., Ishfaq, M., Guo, Y., Chen, C., and Li, J. (2020). Assessment of probiotic properties of *Lactobacillus salivarius* isolated from chickens as feed additives. *Front Vet Sci* 7:415. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00415

Wang, H., Jing, R., Trexler, C., Li, Y., Tang, H., Pan, Z., et al. (2019). Deletion of IP 3 R1 by Pdgfrb-Cre in mice results in intestinal pseudo-obstruction and lethality. *J. Gastroenterol.* 54, 407–418. doi: 10.1007/s00535-018-1522-7

Wang, L., Lin, Z., Ali, M., Zhu, X., Zhang, Y., Li, S., et al. (2023). Effects of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tibetan chickens on the growth performance and gut microbiota of broiler. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1171074. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1171074

Ward, T. L., Weber, B. P., Mendoza, K. M., Danzeisen, J. L., Llop, K., Lang, K., et al. (2019). Antibiotics and host-tailored probiotics similarly modulate effects on the developing avian microbiome, mycobiome, and host gene expression. *mBio* 10, 02171-02119. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02171-19

Wen, J. S., Xu, Q. Q., Zhao, W. Y., Hu, C. H., Zou, X. T., and Dong, X. Y. (2022). Effects of early weaning on intestinal morphology, digestive enzyme activity, antioxidant status,

and cytokine status in domestic pigeon squabs (*Columba livia*). *Poult. Sci.* 101:101613. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2021.101613

Wen, J., Zhao, W., Li, J., Hu, C., Zou, X., and Dong, X. (2022). Dietary supplementation of chitosan oligosaccharide-*Clostridium butyricum* Synbiotic relieved early-weaned stress by improving intestinal health on pigeon squabs (*Columba livia*). *Front. Immunol.* 13:926162. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.926162

Xu, X., Wang, Z., Jian, Y., Zhang, L., Zhou, C., Liu, L., et al. (2024). Establishment and maturation of gut microbiota in white king pigeon squabs: role of pigeon milk. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1481529. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1481529

Xu, C., Wei, F., Yang, X., Feng, Y., Liu, D., and Hu, Y. (2022). *Lactobacillus salivarius* CML352 isolated from Chinese local breed chicken modulates the gut microbiota and improves intestinal health and egg quality in late-phase laying hens. *Microorganisms* 10:726. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10040726

Yang, Y., Song, X., Wang, G., Xia, Y., Xiong, Z., and Ai, L. (2024). Understanding *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* from probiotic properties to omics technology: a review. *Food Secur.* 13:895. doi: 10.3390/foods13060895

Yang, J., Wang, J., Liu, Z., Chen, J., Jiang, J., Zhao, M., et al. (2023). Ligilactobacillus salivarius improve body growth and anti-oxidation capacity of broiler chickens via regulation of the microbiota-gut-brain axis. *BMC Microbiol.* 23:395. doi: 10.1186/s12866-023-03135-x

Yuan, L., Zhang, X., Luo, B., Li, X., Tian, F., Yan, W., et al. (2022). Ethnic specificity of species and strain composition of Lactobacillus populations from mother-infant pairs, uncovered by multilocus sequence typing. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:814284. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.814284

Zhang, Z., Lv, J., Pan, L., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Roles and applications of probiotic Lactobacillus strains. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 8135–8143. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-9217-9

Zheng, J., Wittouck, S., Salvetti, E., Franz, C. M. A. P., Harris, H. M. B., Mattarelli, P., et al. (2020). A taxonomic note on the genus *Lactobacillus*: description of 23 novel genera, emended description of the genus *Lactobacillus* Beijerinck 1901, and union of Lactobacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 2782–2858. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004107

Zhu, Y., Li, T., Din, A. U., Hassan, A., Wang, Y., and Wang, G. (2019). Beneficial effects of *Enterococcus faecalis* in hypercholesterolemic mice on cholesterol transportation and gut microbiota. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 3181–3191. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09681-7

Zhu, C., Yao, J., Zhu, M., Zhu, C., Yuan, L., Li, Z., et al. (2022). A meta-analysis of Lactobacillus-based probiotics for growth performance and intestinal morphology in piglets. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:1045965. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.1045965