Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Runying Zeng, Third Institute of Oceanography, China

REVIEWED BY Bin Wei, Zhejiang University of Technology, China Tiago Ferreira Leao, Vale Technological Institute (ITV), Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Qilin Wu Wuqilin@zmuzh.edu.cn Zhizeng Gao gaozhizeng@mail.sysu.edu.cn Yan Yan San yanyan35@mail.sysu.edu.cn

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 13 March 2025 ACCEPTED 10 June 2025 PUBLISHED 03 July 2025

CITATION

Yuan S, Zhuang S, Han Y, Wen Y, Chen S, Liu L, Lin Q, Yan Y, Gao Z and Wu Q (2025) Deletion of a polyketide synthase *phoE* induces monoterpenes production in ascidian-derived fungus *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722. *Eront Microbiol* 16:1593027

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1593027

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Yuan, Zhuang, Han, Wen, Chen, Liu, Lin, Yan, Gao and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Deletion of a polyketide synthase phoE induces monoterpenes production in ascidian-derived fungus *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722

Siwen Yuan^{1†}, Shitao Zhuang^{2†}, Yanhong Han², Yuhang Wen¹, Senhua Chen³, Lan Liu^{2,3}, Qifeng Lin^{3,4}, Yan Yan²*, Zhizeng Gao^{3*} and Qilin Wu^{1*}

¹School of Bioengineering, Zunyi Medical University, Zhuhai, China, ²Guangdong Provincial Engineering Research Center, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China, ³School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, China, ⁴Shenzhen Lions King Hi-Tech Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China

Marine-derived fungi represent an underexplored source of monoterpenes, with only sporadic reports over the past two decades, making their discovery particularly challenging. Whole-genome sequencing of Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722, an ascidian-derived fungus isolated from Styela plicata, identified 130 biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs), underscoring its vast biosynthetic potential. However, previous studies demonstrated that Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722 predominantly produces xanthone phomoxanthone A under standard laboratory conditions, suggesting that many BGCs remain cryptic. Notably, 16 of these BGCs encode terpene synthase genes, indicating the potential for monoterpene biosynthesis. To activate these silent BGCs and discover monoterpenes, we deleted the polyketide synthase (PKS) gene phoE, responsible for phomoxanthone A biosynthesis, generating the mutant strain Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722∆phoE, from which three new monoterpenes, diaporterpenes D-F (1-3), three known monoterpenes (4-6), and two new polypropionate derivatives, diaporpolypropionate A (7) and diaporpolypropionate B (8), were isolated. Compounds 1, 4, 7, and 8 were evaluated for their cytotoxicity and anti-inflammatory effects in human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells. Compound 1 exhibited cytotoxic activity with an IC₅₀ value of 89.33 μ M. Compounds 4 and 7 demonstrated anti-inflammatory activity, as measured by an ELISA assay assessing the inhibition of IL-6 secretion, with EC₅₀ values of 41.85 μ M and 70.80 μ M, respectively. These results underscore genome mining as a powerful tool in natural product discovery and the exploration of novel chemical space from marine fungal resources.

KEYWORDS

genome mining, monoterpenes, polypropionate derivatives, phomoxanthone A, antiinflammatory activity

01

1 Introduction

Fungal-derived natural products have played a crucial role in the discovery and development of a diverse array of bioactive compounds (Berdy, 2012; Keller, 2015). However, isolating many of these natural products remains challenging, as numerous biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) remain cryptic under typical laboratory conditions. Therefore, activating these silent BGCs to enable the production of diverse secondary metabolites has become a critical challenge in natural product research (Brakhage, 2013). Studies have shown that one-strain-many-compounds (OSMAC) (Scherlach and Hertweck, 2006; Scherlach et al., 2010), co-culturing with different microorganisms (Brakhage, 2013; Schroeckh et al., 2009), epigenetic approaches (Zheng et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2017), heterologous expression (Biggins et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2022a), and metabolic shunting activation can effectively activate silent BGCs (Wei et al., 2021; Ning et al., 2024), leading to the production of diverse new compounds.

In marine fungal natural products, monoterpenes are particularly rare but represent an important class of natural products. To date, total 15 new monoterpenes have been isolated from marine-derived fungi, including penicipenes A and B (Cheng et al., 2019), nectriapyrones C and D (Gong et al., 2015), 2-(2-hydroxy-4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl) propanoic acid (Liu et al., 2017), eutypellol B (Liu et al., 2017), pestalotiolactones C and D (Li et al., 2019), 1-O-(α -D-mannopyranosyl) geraniol (Yun et al., 2015), (1*S*,2*S*,3*S*,4*R*)-3-chloro-4-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol (Huang et al., 2006), (7*S*) and(7*R*)-1hydroxy-3-*p*-menthen-9-oic acids (Song et al., 2018), and diaporterpenes A-C (Zhai et al., 2022). Discovering new monoterpenes from marine-derived fungi remains a challenging task.

Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722, an ascidian-derived fungus isolated from Styela plicata, produces bioactive natural products, including polyketides and monoterpenes. Notably, it primarily biosynthesizes substantial quantities of phomoxanthone A (Supplementary Figure S1). In contrast, the production of monoterpenes, diaporterpenes A-C, is remarkably low under typical laboratory conditions (Supplementary Figure S2) (Zhai et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022). Whole-genome sequencing and BGCs analysis identified 130 BGCs, highlighting its extensive biosynthetic potential. Among these, 16 BGCs encode terpene synthase genes, suggesting the capability for monoterpene biosynthesis (Figure 1). To further explore monoterpene production in this strain, we generated the mutant strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722△*phoE* by deleting the PKS gene *phoE*, which is essential for phomoxanthone A biosynthesis. As a result, the mutant strain lost the ability to produce phomoxanthone A, thereby redirecting metabolic flux toward alternative biosynthetic pathways. Cultivation of this mutant in rice medium enabled comprehensive chemical profiling, leading to the discovery of three new monoterpenes, diaporterpenes D-F (1-3), three known monoterpenes (4-6), and two new polypropionate derivatives, diaporpolypropionate A (7) and diaporpolypropionate B (8) (Figure 2). Herein, we report the details of the isolation, structural elucidation, and bioactivity of compounds 1-8.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 General materials

Chemicals were purchased from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sigma-Aldrich, or J&K Scientific Ltd., unless otherwise stated. Column chromatography (CC) was performed using silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, China). Optical rotations were recorded on an MCP-200 polarimeter (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer coupled with an EQUINOX 55 infrared microscope (Bruker, Fällanden, Switzerland). UV spectra were obtained using a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector (Waters, Boston, United States). High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) data were acquired on an LTQ-Orbitrap LC-MS spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Switzerland) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. Semi-preparative and analytical HPLC were performed on a Waters 1525 system equipped with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector (Waters, Boston, United States), using a Welch Ultimate® XB-C18 column $(10 \text{ mm} \times 250 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}, \text{Welch Materials, Inc., Shanghai, China})$ and a COSMOSIL 5C18-AR-II column (4.6 mm \times 250 mm, 5 $\mu m,$ Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), respectively.

2.2 Strains and media

The strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 was isolated from the ascidian *Styela plicata* collected from the Bay of Da'ao, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, in April 2016. Fungal isolation followed a standard protocol (Raja et al., 2017), and fungal identification was confirmed by DNA amplification and sequencing of the ITS region. The sequence has been deposited in GenBank (accession no. OK623372), and BLAST analysis showed it to be 100% identical to *Diaporthe* sp. NFIF-2-6 (MW202988.1). Detailed information about the fungus was previously described (Yuan et al., 2022b, Zhai et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022).

The mutant strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 \triangle phoE, in which the phoE gene encoding phomoxanthone A PKS has been deleted, was successfully generated in our previous study (Supplementary Figure S3) (Yuan et al., 2022b). The mutant strain and wild-type strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 were first grown in 200 mL of PDB medium at 30°C for 3 days to establish seed cultures, which were then transferred to rice medium containing 50 g of rice and 3% sea salt.

2.3 Genome sequencing and annotation

Genomic DNA of *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 was sequenced on the Illumina $HiSeq^{TM}$ platform with 2 × 150 bp paired-end reads. Raw reads were quality-filtered using Trimmomatic and evaluated by FastQC. High-quality reads were assembled *de novo* using SPAdes, followed by gap filling (GapFiller) and sequence correction (PrInSeS-G). Gene prediction was performed using





Prokka, and functional annotation was conducted *via* BLAST+ against NR, SwissProt, TrEMBL, PFAM, and KEGG databases (Koren et al., 2017; Bankevich et al., 2012; Boetzer and Pirovano, 2012). BGCs were predicted using antiSMASH versions 7.0 and 8.0 (Blin et al., 2025; Blin et al., 2023).

2.4 Extraction and isolation

The mutant strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 \triangle phoE was fermented in rice medium for 40 days. The mycelium was then sonicated in methanol and the extract was concentrated under reduced pressure at 45°C, yielding a total extract of 120 g. After dissolving the total extract in 100 mL of H₂O, it was extracted three times with an equal volume of EtOAc, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the EtOAc extract (50 g),

which was then subjected to silica gel column chromatography with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether mixtures at 20, 40, 60, and 100% EtOAc. The 40% EtOAc fraction was further separated by reverse-phase silica gel chromatography with a gradient of 40, 60, 80, and 90% MeOH/H₂O, yielding fractions Fr.1-Fr.4. Fr.1 was purified by reverse-phase HPLC using 20% MeCN/H₂O and then further separated on a Sephadex LH-20 column (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 1:1), followed by HPLC with 25% MeCN/H₂O to isolate **1** (3.6 mg) and a pair of chiral isomers. This chiral mixture was separated using a chiral column (Ultimate Amy-SR, MeCN/H₂O = 15/85, flow rate = 3 mL/min), yielding **2** (2.0 mg) and **3** (1.6 mg).

Fr.2 was first separated on a Sephadex LH-20 column (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 1:1) and then subjected to reverse-phase HPLC with 30% MeCN/H₂O to yield Fr.2.1 and Fr.2.2. Fr.2.1 was further purified using reverse-phase HPLC with 30% MeCN/H₂O, yielding 7 (2.8 mg) and **8** (2.6 mg). Fr.2.2 was separated by silica gel

(petroleum ether/EtOAc 3:1) and then purified by reverse-phase HPLC with 35% MeCN/H₂O, yielding 4 (3.8 mg), 5 (1.8 mg), and 6 (1.5 mg).

Diaporterpenes D (1): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 7.06 (H-4, dd, J = 15.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (H-3, d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (H-5, d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (H-7, s, 2H), 1.93 (H-10, s, 3H), 1.03 (H-8, 9, s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 172.46 (C-1), 148.08 (C-5), 140.35 (C-3), 127.81 (C-2), 126.76 (C-4), 72.09 (C-7), 39.82 (C-6), 24.26 (C-8, 9), 21.11 (C-10). HR-ESIMS m/z 207.0989 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₃Na, 207.0992).

Diaporterpenes E (2): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 7.15 (H-5, d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (H-4, dd, J = 15.4, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (H-3, d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (H-10, s, 3H), 1.33 (H-8, 9, s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 181.27 (C-1), 172.94 (C-7), 150.45 (C-3), 140.91 (C-5), 126.81 (C-6), 123.83 (C-4), 46.59 (C-2), 25.76 (C-8, 9), 12.94 (C-10). HR-ESIMS *m*/*z* 221.0783 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄Na, 221.0784).

Diaporterpenes F (3): colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 7.06 (H-4, dd, J = 15.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (H-5, d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (H-3, d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (H-10, s, 3H), 1.30 (H-8, 9, s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 181.12 (C-1), 173.87 (C-7), 144.32 (C-3), 137.56 (C-5), 126.78 (C-4, 6), 45.97 (C-2), 25.74 (C-8, 9), 21.28 (C-10). HR-ESIMS *m/z* 221.0782 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄Na, 221.0784).

Diaporpolypropionate A (7) : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 3.47$ (*c* 0.44, MeOH); IR (neat) ν_{max} 3406, 2513 1699, 1456 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 6.27 (H-6, dd, *J* = 15.1, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (H-5, d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (H-7, dd, *J* = 15.1, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (H-9, p, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (H-2, p, *J* = 6.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (H-3, dd, *J* = 13.6, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (H-8, m, 1H), 2.09 (H-3, dd, *J* = 13.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.74 (H-11, s, 3H), 1.10 (H-13, H-10, overlap, m, 6H), 1.03 (H-12, dd, *J* = 6.8, 2.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 182.35 (C-1), 136.23 (C-7), 134.73 (C-4), 128.26 (C-5), 127.67 (C-6), 72.41 (C-9), 45.94 (C-8), 45.16 (C-3), 39.40 (C-2), 20.78 (C-10 or 13), 17.23 (C-13 or 10), 16.82 (C-12), 16.33 (C-11). HR-ESIMS *m*/*z* 225.1499 [M-H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁O₃, 225.1496).

Diaporpolypropionate B (8) : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 1.86$ (*c* 0.17, MeOH); IR (neat) ν_{max} 3385, 2503, 1647 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 6.07 (H-5, d, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (H-6, dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (H-3, d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (H-9, m, 1H), 3.39 (H-2, m, 1H), 2.28 (H-7, m, 1H), 1.90 (H-7, m, 1H), 1.78 (H-11, s, 3H), 1.51 (H-8, m, 1H), 1.22 (H-10, d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 3H), 1.13 (H-13, d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (H-12, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 181.29 (C-1), 137.07 (C-5), 135.56 (C-4), 131.37 (C-3), 128.40 (C-6), 71.39 (C-9), 41.62 (C-2, C-8), 37.32 (C-7), 20.32(C-13), 19.05 (C-10), 14.65 (C-12), 12.87 (C-11). HR-ESIMS *m/z* 225.1497 [M-H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁O₃, 225.1496).

2.5 Cytotoxicity assay

Ham's F-12K complete medium was purchased from China Procera Life Technology Co., Ltd. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States) and BioChannel (BC-SE-FBS07), respectively. The F-12K basal culture medium (iCell-0007) and Calcein / PI Live/Dead Assay Kit (C2015S) were obtained from iCell and Beyotime. A549 cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 7,500 cells per well and exposed to the test compounds at concentrations ranging from 1.5625 to 400 μ M. Cell viability was assessed *via* Calcein/Pl staining, with live cells fluorescing green, and monitored in real time using IncuCyte imaging over 48 h. The number of viable (green-stained) cells was quantified.

2.6 Anti-inflammatory assay

Human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells, sourced from Shanghai SIBCB (iCell) Life Sciences & Technology Co., Ltd., China, were cultured in F12K medium (Gibco), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin (Gibco). The cultures were maintained under standard conditions of 37°C with 5% CO₂.

The anti-inflammatory effects of the compounds were evaluated using an ELISA assay. Briefly, serial dilutions of each compound, at concentrations below their respective IC₅₀ values (ranging from 80 to 160 µM with 8 serial dilutions), were applied to A549 cells for 1 h, followed by the addition of 1 ng/mL rh IL-1 β to stimulate IL-6 secretion. After 48 h of incubation at 37°C with 5% CO₂, supernatants were collected, centrifuged, and IL-6 levels were measured. For the ELISA assay, 50 µL of capture antibody (diluted 1:250 in coating buffer) was added to a 96-well plate and incubated overnight at 4°C. The following day, the plate was washed three times with wash buffer and blocked with 300 μ L of blocking buffer per well, incubating for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, standards and samples were added and incubated for 2 h. Detection antibody and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (both diluted 1:250) were then applied and incubated for 1 h in the dark. Color development was achieved using TMB substrate, and after 10 min, the reaction was stopped with H₂SO₄. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm, and the EC₅₀ values for each compound were calculated based on the inhibition of IL-6 secretion.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Genome and BGC analysis

Illumina sequencing of Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722 yielded approximately 12.2 million high-quality paired-end reads. De novo assembly produced 1,220 contigs with a total genome size of 56.97 Mb, an N50 of 120,907 bp, and a GC content of 53.0%. A total of 17,189 protein-coding genes were predicted, along with 112 tRNA and 39 rRNA genes. Functional annotation assigned 94.21% of genes to at least one database, including NR, SwissProt, TrEMBL, PFAM, GO, KEGG, COG, and KOG. Genome mining of Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722 using antiSMASH identified 130 putative BGCs, covering diverse classes including PKS, nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), terpenes, RiPPlike clusters, and hybrid BGCs. Among them, 16 clusters were predicted to be involved in terpene biosynthesis, accounting for approximately 12.3% of the total BGCs. Some terpene clusters displayed homology to known metabolites, including PR-toxin (50%), Culmorin/(+)-Juniperol/15-acetyldeoxynivalenol (100%), and Squalestatin S1 (40%). The remaining terpene clusters showed

10.3389/fmicb.2025.1593027

no close similarity to known references, indicating potential for novel terpenoid biosynthesis.

3.2 Fungal fermentation and structure elucidation of new compounds

The mutant strain, *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722 \triangle phoE, was cultured on a solid rice medium at room temperature for 40 days. The metabolites were extracted first with MeOH, followed by continuous extraction with EtOAc to obtain crude metabolites. The crude extract was then subjected to repeated silica gel chromatography and semipreparative HPLC, which led to the isolation of three new monoterpenes, diaporterpenes D-F (1-3), three known monoterpenes (4-6), along with two new polypropionate derivatives, diaporpolypropionate A (7) and diaporpolypropionate B (8).

Diaporterpene D (1) is a colorless oil. HR-ESIMS analysis gave an m/z 207.0989 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₃Na, 207.0992), confirming its molecular formula as C10H16O3, with an unsaturation degree of 3. The structure was elucidated based on ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HSQC spectra, which revealed the presence of one carbonyl carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.5), two double bonds [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.06 (H-4, dd, J = 15.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 126.8; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.38 (H-3, d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), δ_C 140.4; δ_H 5.88 (H-5, d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 148.1, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 127.8], two quaternary carbons ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.8, 127.8), one methylene group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31 (H-7, s, 2H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.1], and three methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93 (H-10, s, 3H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.1; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03 (H-8,9, s, 6H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.3] (Table 1). Combining the ¹H-¹H COSY and ¹H NMR data indicated the presence of a 1,3-diene fragment in compound 1. In the HMBC spectrum, H-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93) showed correlations with C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.5), C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 127.8), and C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 140.4), confirming the connection between the carboxyl group (C-1) and the methyl group (H-10) via C-2. H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31) correlated with C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.3), C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.3), C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.8), and C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 148.1) (Figure 3), indicating that

TABLE 1 $\,^{1}\text{H}$ (600 MHz) and ^{13}C (150 MHz) NMR data of 1-3 in methanol- $d_{4}.$

No	1		2		3	
	δ_{C_1} type	δ _{<i>H</i>,} (J in Hz)	δ _{C,} type	δ _{<i>H</i>,} (J in Hz)	δ _{C,} type	δ _{<i>H</i>,} (J in Hz)
1	172.5, C		181.3, C		181.1, C	
2	127.8, C		46.6, C		46.0, C	
3	140.4, CH	6.38, d (10.9)	150.5, CH	6.29, d (15.4)	144.3, CH	6.03, d (15.5)
4	126.8, CH	7.06, dd (15.6, 11.0)	123.8, CH	6.44, dd (15.4, 11.1)	126.8, CH	7.06, dd (15.5, 11.1)
5	148.1, CH	5.88, d (15.6)	140.9, CH	7.15, d (11.1)	137.6, CH	6.29, d (11.1)
6	39.8, C		126.8, C		129.1, C	
7	72.1, CH ₂	3.31, s	172.9, C		173.9, C	
8	24.3, CH ₃	1.03, s	25.8, CH ₃	1.33, s	25.7, CH ₃	1.30, s
9	24.3, CH ₃	1.03, s	25.8, CH ₃	1.33, s	25.7, CH ₃	1.30, s
10	21.1, CH ₃	1.93, s	12.9, CH ₃	1.91, s	21.3, CH ₃	1.93, s

the *gem*-dimethyl fragment (CH₃-8, CH₃–9, C-6) is linked to C-5, and H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31) is attached to C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.8). NOE correlations between H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.38) and H-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93) indicated that the double bond between C-2 and C-3 adopts a *Z* configuration. Based on these analyses, compound **1** was identified as (2*Z*,4*E*)-7-hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylhepta-2,4-dienoic acid and named as diaporterpene D (**1**).

Diaporterpene E (2) is a colorless oil. It was separated using chiral chromatography (Ultimate Amy-SR column, water-Acetone = 85/15, flow rate = 3 mL/min, Supplementary Figure S4). HR-ESIMS revealed a quasi-molecular ion peak at m/z 221.0783 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{10}H_{14}O_4Na$, 221.0784), confirming its molecular formula as C₁₀H₁₄O₄, with an unsaturation degree of 4. The structure was elucidated using ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HSQC spectra, revealing two carbonyl groups ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 181.3, 172.9), two double bonds [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.44 (H-4, dd, J = 15.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.8; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.29 (H-3, d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 150.5; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.15 (H-5, d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), δ_C 140.9, δ_C 126.8], two quaternary carbons ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 46.6, 126.8), and three methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.91 (H-10, s, 3H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 12.9; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.33 (H-8,9, s, 6H), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 25.8] (Table 1). The ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum and ¹H NMR data indicated the presence of a 1,3-diene fragment. A comparison of the NMR data for compounds 1 and 2 reveals that 2 contains an additional carboxyl group and lacks the methylene alcohol fragment. This indicates that in compound 2, the methylene alcohol group has been oxidized to a carboxylic acid. In the HMBC spectrum, the correlation of CH₃-8/9 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.33) with C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 181.3), C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 46.6), and C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 150.5) further confirms the oxidation of the methylene alcohol group to a carboxylic acid. Additionally, CH₃-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.91) showed correlations with C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.9), C-6 (δ _C 126.8), and C-5 (δ _C 140.9), establishing that the methyl group at H-10 is connected to the carboxyl group at C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.9) and the olefinic carbon at C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 126.8). In the NOE spectrum, the correlation between H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.44) and H-10 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.91)$ suggests that the double bond in compound 2 adopts an E configuration. Thus, compound 2 is identified as (2Z, 4E)-2,6,6-trimethylhepta-2,4-dienedioic acid named as diaporterpene E (2).

Diaporterpene F(3) is a colorless oil and an isomer of 2, which was successfully isolated via chiral chromatography on an Ultimate Amy-SR column (water-acetonitrile = 85/15, flow rate = 3 mL/min, Supplementary Figure S4). The molecular formula was determined as $C_{10}H_{14}O_4$ based on the positive HR-ESIMS ions at m/z 221.0782 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄Na, 221.0784), suggesting 4 degrees of unsaturation. The structure contains two carbonyl groups (δ_{C} 181.2, 173.9), two double bonds [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.06 (H-4, dd, J = 15.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 126.8, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.03 (H-3, d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 144.3; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.29 (H-5, d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 137.6, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 129.1], two quaternary carbons ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 46.0, 129.1), and three methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93 (H-10, s, 3H) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.3, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.30 (H-8, 9, s, 6H) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 25.7] (Table 1). In the HMBC spectrum, CH₃-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.93) showed correlations with C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 173.9), C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 129.1), and C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 137.6), confirming that the methyl group at H-10 is connected to the carboxyl group at C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 173.9) and the olefinic carbon at C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 129.1) (Figure 3). The chemical shift of C-10 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.1) in 1 matches the chemical shift of C-10 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.3) in 3, while the C-10 chemical shift in 2 is 12.9. This suggests that the terminal double bond in 3 has the same Z configuration as in 1. Therefore, 3 is identified as (2E,4E)-2,6,6-trimethylhepta-2,4-dienedioic acid and named as diaporterpene F (3).



Diaporpolypropionate A (7) is a colorless oil. HR-ESIMS spectrum revealed a quasi-molecular ion peak at m/z 225.1499 $[M-H]^-$ (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁O₃, 225.1496), confirming its molecular formula as $C_{13}H_{22}O_3$, with a degree of unsaturation of 3. The ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HSQC spectra revealed one carbonyl carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 182.4), two conjugated double bonds [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.82 (H-5, d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H) / $\delta_{\rm C}$ 128.3, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.27 (H-6, dd, J = 15.1, 10.7 Hz, 1H) / $\delta_{\rm C}$ 127.7, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.51 (H-7, dd, J = 15.1, 8.3 Hz, 1H) / $\delta_{\rm C}$ 136.2, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 134.7], one quaternary carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 134.7), three methine groups $[\delta_{\rm H} 3.55 ({\rm H}-9, {\rm p}, J = 6.5 {\rm Hz}, 1{\rm H}) / \delta_{\rm C} 72.4, \delta_{\rm H} 2.18 ({\rm H}-8, {\rm m}, 1{\rm H}) / \delta_{\rm C}$ 45.9, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.61 (H-2, p, J = 6.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.4], one methylene group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.41 (H-3a, dd, J = 13.6, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (H-3b, dd, J = 13.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.2], and four methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.74 (H-11, s, 3H) / $\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.3, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10 (H-13, H-10, overlap, m, 6H)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 20.8, 17.2, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03 (H-12, dd, J = 6.8, 2.5 Hz, 3H)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.8] (Table 2). Based on these data, further corroborated by the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum, which reveals the connections H-5/H-6, H-6/H-7, H-12/H-8, H-8/H-9, and H-9/H-13, compound 7 contains both a 1,3diene fragment and a 2-butanol fragment. In the HMBC spectrum, H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03) correlated with C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.9), C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 136.2), and C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.4), confirming the connection of the 1,3-diene and 2butanol fragments through the C-7-C-8 bond. Additionally, H-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10) correlated with C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 182.4), C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.4), and C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.2), while H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.41, 2.09) correlated with C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 182.4), C-2 (δ_C 39.4), C-11 (δ_C 16.3), and C-4 (δ_C 134.7), establishing the presence of a 2-methylpropanoic acid moiety and confirming the connection of the terminal methyl (C-3) to the diene fragment. NOE correlations between H-6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.27) and H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03), as well as between H-6 and H-11 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.74), suggest that the double bond in 7 adopts an *E* configuration. However, the NOE data alone cannot determine the relative configurations of the three chiral centers in 7, indicating that there are eight possible stereoisomers. Therefore, further studies are necessary to definitively determine the stereochemistry of 7. Overall, the planar structure of 7 was confirmed as (4E,6E)-9-hydroxy-2,4,8-trimethyldeca-4,6-dienoic acid and named diaporpolypropionate A (7).

Diaporpolypropionate B (8) is a colorless oil. The HR-ESIMS analysis revealed a quasi-molecular ion peak at m/z 225.1497 [M-H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁O₃, 225.1496), confirming its molecular

TABLE 2 $\,^{1}\text{H}$ (600 MHz) and ^{13}C (150 MHz) NMR data of 7 and 8 in methanol- $d_{4}.$

No		7	8		
	$\delta_{C_{i}}$ type	$\delta_{H_{1}}$ (J in Hz)	δ _{C,} type	δ _{H,} (J in Hz)	
1	182.4, C		181.3, C		
2	39.4, CH	2.61, p (6.5, 5.9)	41.6, CH	3.39, m	
3	45.2, CH ₂	2.41, dd (13.6, 7.3), 2.09, dd (13.6, 7.5)	131.4, CH	5.39, d (9.3)	
4	134.7, C		135.6, C		
5	128.3, CH	5.82, d (10.7)	137.1, CH	6.07, d (15.3)	
6	127.7, C	6.27, dd (15.1, 10.7)	128.4, CH	5.63, dd (15.3, 7.5)	
7	136.3, C	5.51, dd (15.1, 8.3)	37.3, CH ₂	2.28, m; 1.90, m	
8	45.9, CH	2.18, m	41.6, CH	1.51, m	
9	72.4, CH	3.55, p (6.5)	71.4, CH	3.66, m	
10	17.2 or 20.8, CH ₃	1.10, overlap	19.1, CH ₃	1.22, d (8.7)	
11	16.3, CH ₃	1.74, s	12.9, CH ₃	1.78, s	
12	16.8, CH ₃	1.03, dd (6.8, 2.5)	14.7, CH ₃	0.88, d (7.0)	
13	17.2 or 20.8, CH ₃	1.10, overlap	20.3, CH ₃	1.13, d (6.4)	

formula as C₁₃H₂₂O₃ with an unsaturation degree of 3. Analysis of the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HSQC spectra revealed the structure to contain one carbonyl carbon (δ_C 181.3), two double bonds [δ_H 6.07 (H-5, d, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 1H) δ_C 137.1, δ_H 5.63 (H-6, dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H) δ_C 128.4, δ_H 5.39 (H-3, dd, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H) δ_C 131.4, 135.6], one quaternary carbon (δ_C 135.6), three methine groups [δ_H 3.66 (H-9, m, 1H) δ_C 71.4, δ_H 1.51 (H-8, m, 1H) δ_C 41.6, δ_H 3.39 (H-2, m, 1H) δ_C 41.6], one methylene [δ_H 2.28 (H-7a, m, 1H), 1.90 (H-7b, m, 1H) δ_C 37.3], and four methyl groups [δ_H 1.78 (H-11, s, 3H) δ_C 12.9, δ_H 1.13 (H-13, d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H) δ_C 20.3, δ_H 1.22 (H-10, d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 3H) δ_C 19.1, δ_H 0.88 (H-12, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz,

3H) δ_C 14.7] (Table 2). Comparison of the NMR data reveals that compounds 8 and 7 are highly similar; however, the coupling constants and splitting patterns of the vinyl protons in 8 differ from those in 7. Additionally, in the HMBC spectrum of 7, the methylene (H-3) was correlated with the carbonyl carbon (C-1), whereas in 8, the methylene (H-7) did not correlate with the carbonyl carbon (C-1), but the vinyl proton at H-3 did. These differences indicate that while both compounds share the same carbon chain backbone, the conjugated double bond in 8 begins at position 3. The NOE spectrum showed that H-6 (δ_H 5.63) was correlated with H-12 (δ_H 0.88) and H-1 (δ_H 1.78), confirming that the double bond in 8 adopts the *E* configuration. Like 7, the stereochemical confirmation of 8 requires further investigation. Therefore, compound 8 is identified as (3*E*,5*E*)-9-hydroxy-2,4,8-trimethyldeca-3,5-dienoic acid and named diaporpolypropionate B (8).

The known compounds were identified as 4-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)benzoic acid (4) (Yang et al., 2023), (*E*)-2,2,6trimethyl-3,5-heptadienoic acid (5) (Bullivant and Pattenden, 1976), (4*S*)-*p*-Menth-1-ene-4,7-diol (6) (Miyazawa and Kumagae, 2001), by comparing their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature. LC-HRMS analysis comparing the production levels of compounds 1–3, 7, and 8 in the wild-type and *phoE* mutant strains revealed that the levels of these compounds were significantly higher in the mutant strain than in the wild type (Supplementary Figure S5), and the NMR, IR, and HR-ESI-MS spectra of the new compounds can be found in Supplementary Figures S6–S42.

3.3 Biosynthetic pathway of isolated new compounds

The biosynthesis of diaporterpenes D-F (1-3) is proposed to initiate from geranyl pyrophosphate (GPP), formed *via* condensation of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP). Subsequent dephosphorylation and enzymatic oxidations, likely mediated by cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, alcohol dehydrogenases, and carboxylases, introduce hydroxyl and carboxyl groups. Isomerization of double bonds and regioselective oxidations ultimately give rise to the structural diversity observed in compounds 1–3. Further bioinformatic analysis was performed to investigate the BGCs responsible for the production of compounds 1–3. Unfortunately, the specific BGCs could not be definitively identified at this stage. In future studies, we plan to employ heterologous expression and gene knockout strategies to confirm and characterize the corresponding BGCs.

The biosynthesis of compounds 7 and 8 proceeds *via* a polyketide pathway utilizing acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA as the starter and extender units, respectively. Successive condensations catalyzed by PKS modules, particularly ketosynthase (KS), drive chain elongation. Methyl branches are introduced by S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependent methyltransferases. After chain assembly, selective modifications occur: ketoreductase (KR) reduces β -keto groups to β -hydroxy intermediates, while dehydratase (DH) and enoylreductase (ER) generate specific double bond patterns. Variation in double bond positions yields the two structural isomers, 7 and 8. Finally, thioesterase (TE) catalyzes chain release, affording the final polypropionate products (Figure 4).

3.4 Cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory activities

We evaluated the cytotoxicity and anti-inflammatory effects of compounds 1, 4, 7, and 8 in human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells. The remaining compounds were not tested due to insufficient sample quantities. The anti-proliferative activity was determined by calculating the IC_{50} values after 48 h of treatment. As illustrated in Figure 5, diaporterpene D (1) demonstrated the most potent cytotoxicity, with an IC_{50} of 89.33 μ M. In contrast,







the other compounds exhibited weaker cytotoxic effects, with IC₅₀ values above 150 μ M. These findings suggest that diaporterpene D (1) effectively inhibit the proliferation of A549 cells in a dose-dependent manner, while the remaining compounds displayed limited cytotoxicity under the same conditions.

Following the determination of IC_{50} values, the antiinflammatory potential of each compound was further assessed by quantifying IL-6 secretion in recombinant human interleukin-1 β (rh IL-1 β) induced A549 cells. The EC₅₀ values from the doseresponse curves demonstrated that **4** and diaporpolypropionate A (7) exerted strong inhibitory effects on IL-6 secretion, with EC₅₀ values of 41.85 μ M and 70.80 μ M, respectively (Figure 6). Combined with cytotoxicity data, these findings indicate that both **4** and diaporpolypropionate A (7) are potent inhibitors of IL-6 secretion, showing significant anti-inflammatory activity while maintaining relatively low cytotoxicity. The dual properties of these compounds—potent anti-inflammatory effects combined with minimal cytotoxicity—highlight their potential as promising lead candidates for further research and drug development targeting inflammatory diseases.

4 Discussion

The method, known as the metabolic shunting strategy, involves inhibiting the biosynthesis of dominant metabolites to activate otherwise silent BGCs, and has been successfully applied in the discovery of novel secondary metabolites (Wei et al., 2021; Ning et al., 2024). For instance, the endophytic fungus Penicillium dangeardii predominantly produces rubratoxins at high yield; however, deletion of the key gene involved in rubratoxin biosynthesis resulted in the isolation of 23 new compounds from the mutant strain (Wei et al., 2021). Similarly, Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722 primarily produces the xanthone phomoxanthone A at a high yield of approximately 3 g/L. Given this substantial production, it is likely that acetyl-CoA, the primary building block for polyketide biosynthesis, is largely consumed in the biosynthesis of phomoxanthone A, thereby limiting the availability of precursors for other secondary metabolic pathways. Inhibiting the production of such dominant metabolites in the wild-type strain can release key metabolic precursors (e.g., acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA), allowing them to be competitively utilized by alternative BGCs and consequently inducing the expression of cryptic gene clusters involved in the biosynthesis of novel natural products.

Given the limited knowledge regarding the biosynthetic mechanisms of monoterpenes in the *Diaporthe* genus, substantial opportunities remain for further investigation. Future investigations combining genome mining with the functional characterization of putative terpene synthase genes will be crucial for elucidating the enzymatic machinery underlying monoterpene biosynthesis (Scherlach and Hertweck, 2021). In addition, the application of transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses under various culture conditions may help uncover silent or conditionally expressed biosynthetic pathways. Functional validation through gene disruption, heterologous expression, and *in vitro* enzyme assays will further enable the reconstruction of complete biosynthetic routes (Hafner et al., 2021). These combined

approaches are expected to expand our understanding of the structural diversity and biological functions of *Diaporthe*-derived monoterpenes and facilitate the discovery of novel bioactive compounds with potential pharmaceutical relevance.

5 Conclusion

Diaporthe sp. SYSU-MS4722 is known to produce monoterpenes but predominantly produces phomoxanthone A under standard laboratory conditions according to our previous study. To explore a broader range of monoterpenes, the mutant strain *Diaporthe* sp. SYSU-MS4722△phoE, which lacks the ability to produce phomoxanthone A, was cultivated in rice medium. This led to the identification of three new monoterpenes, diaporterpenes D-F (1-3), along with three known monoterpenes (4-6) and two novel polypropionate derivatives, diaporpolypropionate A (7) and diaporpolypropionate B (8). Compounds 1, 4, 7, and 8 were evaluated for their cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory activities in human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells. Compound 1 showed cytotoxicity with an IC₅₀ value of 89.33 μ M. Compounds 4 and 7 exhibited anti-inflammatory effects, as determined by an ELISA assay measuring IL-6 inhibition, with EC₅₀ values of 41.85 and 70.80 µM, respectively.

Data availability statement

The data presented in this study are available via the ProteomeXchange Consortium at www.proteomexchange.org with the dataset identifier PXD065442.

Ethics statement

The manuscript presents research on animals that do not require ethical approval for their study.

Author contributions

SY: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis, Data curation, Methodology. SZ: Formal Analysis, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. YH: Methodology, Data curation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Resources. SC: Formal Analysis, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. QL: Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis, Conceptualization, Software. YY: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. ZG: Supervision, Project administration, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Resources, Software, Formal Analysis. QW: Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Software, YW: Data curation, Validation, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. LL: Methodology, Resources, Investigation, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Projects (No. Qian Ke He Jichu-[2024] Qingnian 308), Zhuhai Campus Technology Innovation Team of Zunyi Medical University (No. ZHTD2024-3), National Natural Science Foundation of China (82170029), Zunyi Medical University Zhuhai Campus Key Construction Discipline (No. ZHGY2024-1), and the future 'science and technology elite' project of Zunyi Medical University (ZYSE-2024-01).

Conflict of interest

QL was employed by the Shenzhen Lions King Hi-Tech Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025. 1593027/full#supplementary-material

References

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

Berdy, J. (2012). Thoughts and facts about antibiotics: Where we are now and where we are heading. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* 65, 385–395. doi: 10.1038/ja.2012.27

Biggins, J. B., Liu, X., Feng, Z., and Brady, S. F. (2011). Metabolites from the induced expression of cryptic single operons found in the genome of *Burkholderia* pseudomallei. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 1638–1641. doi: 10.1021/ja1087369

Blin, K., Shaw, S., Augustijn, H. E., Reitz, Z. L., Biermann, F., Alanjary, M., et al. (2023). antiSMASH 7.0: New and improved predictions for detection, regulation, chemical structures and visualisation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, W46–W50. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad344

Blin, K., Shaw, S., Vader, L., Szenei, J., Reitz, Z. L., Augustijn, H. E., et al. (2025). antiSMASH 8.0: Extended gene cluster detection capabilities and analyses of chemistry, enzymology, and regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaf334 [Epub ahead of print].

Boetzer, M., and Pirovano, W. (2012). Toward almost closed genomes with GapFiller. *Genome Biol.* 13:R56. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r56

Brakhage, A. A. (2013). Regulation of fungal secondary metabolism. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 21–32. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2916

Bullivant, M. J., and Pattenden, G. (1976). A photochemical di-π-methane rearrangement leading to methyl chrysanthemate. *Pyrethrum Post.* 13, 110–112. doi: 10.1039/P19760000256

Chen, S., Guo, H., Jiang, M., Wu, Q., Li, J., Shen, H., et al. (2022). Monoand dimeric xanthones with anti-glioma and anti-Inflammatory activities from the ascidian-derived fungus *Diaporthe sp.* SYSU-MS4722. *Mar. Drugs* 20:51. doi: 10.3390/ md20010051

Cheng, Z., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Bai, S., Liu, L., Luo, Z., et al. (2019). Two new meroterpenoids and two new monoterpenoids from the deep sea-derived fungus *Penicillium sp.* YPGA11. *Fitoterapia* 133, 120–124. doi: 10.1016/j.fitote.2018. 12.022

Fan, A., Mi, W., Liu, Z., Zeng, G., Zhang, P., Hu, Y., et al. (2017). Deletion of a histone acetyltransferase leads to the pleiotropic activation of natural products in *Metarhizium robertsii*. Org. Lett. 19, 1686–1689. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b0 0476

Gong, T., Zhen, X., Li, B. J., Yang, J. L., and Zhu, P. (2015). Two new monoterpenoid alpha-pyrones from a fungus *Nectria sp.* HLS206 associated with the marine sponge *Gelliodes carnosa. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 17, 633–637. doi: 10.1080/10286020.2015. 1040778

Hafner, J., Payne, J., MohammadiPeyhani, H., Hatzimanikatis, V., and Smolke, C. (2021). A computational workflow for the expansion of heterologous biosynthetic pathways to natural product derivatives. *Nat. Commun.* 12:1760. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22022-5

Huang, H. R., Xia, X. K., She, Z. G., Lin, Y. C., Vrijmoed, L. L., and Gareth Jones, E. B. (2006). A new chloro-monoterpene from the mangrove endophytic fungus *Tryblidiopycnis sp.* (4275). *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 8, 609–612. doi: 10.1080/10286020500208493

Keller, N. P. (2015). Translating biosynthetic gene clusters into fungal armor and weaponry. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 11, 671–677. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1897

Koren, S., Walenz, B. P., Berlin, K., Miller, J. R., Bergman, N. H., and Phillippy, A. M. (2017). Canu: Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res.* 27, 722–736. doi: 10.1101/gr.2150 87.116

Li, X. D., Li, X. M., Yin, X. L., Li, X., and Wang, B. G. (2019). Antimicrobial sesquiterpenoid derivatives and monoterpenoids from the deep-sea sedimentderived fungus *Aspergillus versicolor* SD-330. *Mar. Drugs* 17:563. doi: 10.3390/md171 00563

Liu, H. X., Zhang, L., Chen, Y. C., Sun, Z. H., Pan, Q. L., Li, H. H., et al. (2017). Monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes from the marine sediment-derived fungus *Eutypella scoparia* FS46. *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 19, 145–151. doi: 10.1080/10286020.2016. 1189906

Miyazawa, M., and Kumagae, S. (2001). Biotransformation of (R)- and (S)-terpinen-4-ol by the larvae of common cutworm (*Spodoptera litura*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 49, 4312–4314. doi: 10.1021/jf010273t

Ning, Y., Gu, Q., Zheng, T., Xu, Y., Li, S., Zhu, Y., et al. (2024). Genome mining leads to diverse sesquiterpenes with anti-inflammatory activity from an arctic-derived fungus. *J. Nat. Prod.* 87, 1426–1440. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.4c00237

Raja, H. A., Miller, A. N., Pearce, C. J., and Oberlies, N. H. (2017). Fungal identification using molecular tools: A primer for the natural products research community. *J. Nat. Prod.* 80, 756–770. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b 01085 Scherlach, K., and Hertweck, C. (2006). Discovery of aspoquinolones A-D, prenylated quinoline-2-one alkaloids from *Aspergillus nidulans*, motivated by genome mining. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 4, 3517–3520. doi: 10.1039/b607 011f

Scherlach, K., and Hertweck, C. (2021). Mining and unearthing hidden biosynthetic potential. *Nat. Commun.* 12:3864. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24133-5

Scherlach, K., Schuemann, J., Dahse, H. M., and Hertweck, C. (2010). Aspernidine A and B, prenylated isoindolinone alkaloids from the model fungus *Aspergillus nidulans*. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* 63, 375–377. doi: 10.1038/ja.2010.46

Schroeckh, V., Scherlach, K., Nutzmann, H. W., Shelest, E., Schmidt-Heck, W., Schuemann, J., et al. (2009). Intimate bacterial-fungal interaction triggers biosynthesis of archetypal polyketides in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 14558–14563. doi: 10.1073/pnas.090187 0106

Song, Y. P., Miao, F. P., Fang, S. T., Yin, X. L., and Ji, N. Y. (2018). Halogenated and nonhalogenated metabolites from the marine-alga-endophytic fungus *Trichoderma asperellum* cf44-2. *Mar. Drugs* 16:266. doi: 10.3390/md1608 0266

Wei, Q., Bai, J., Yan, D., Bao, X., Li, W., Liu, B., et al. (2021). Genome mining combined metabolic shunting and OSMAC strategy of an endophytic fungus leads to the production of diverse natural products. *Acta Pharmaceut. Sin. B* 11, 572–587. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2020. 07.020

Yang, H. D., Tang, Z. S., Xue, T. T., Xu, H. L., Hou, B. L., Zhu, Y. Y., et al. (2023). Monoterpenoids from the root bark of *Acanthopanax gracilistylus* and their inhibitory effects on neutrophil elastase, 5-lipoxygenase, andcyclooxygenase-2 in vitro. *Phytochemistry* 215:113851. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2023.1 13851

Yuan, S. W., Chen, L. T., Wu, Q. L., Jiang, M. H., Guo, H., Hu, Z. B., et al. (2022a). Genome mining of alpha-pyrone natural products from ascidian-derived fungus *Amphichordafelina* SYSU-MS7908. *Mar. Drugs* 20:294. doi: 10.3390/md20050294

Yuan, S. W., Chen, S. H., Guo, H., Chen, L. T., Shen, H. J., Liu, L., et al. (2022b). Elucidation of the complete biosynthetic pathway of phomoxanthone A and identification of a para-para selective phenol coupling dimerase. *Org. Lett.* 24, 3069–3074. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.2c01050

Yun, K., Kondempudi, C. M., Leutou, A. S., and Son, B. W. (2015). New production of a monoterpene glycoside, 1-O-(α -D-mannopyranosyl)geraniol, by the marine-derived fungus *Thielavia hyalocarpa*. *Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc.* 36, 2391–2393. doi: 10.1002/bkcs.10451

Zhai, G., Chen, S., Shen, H., Guo, H., Jiang, M., and Liu, L. (2022). Bioactive monoterpenes and polyketides from the ascidian-derived fungus *Diaporthe sp.* SYSU-MS4722. *Mar. Drugs* 20:553. doi: 10.3390/md20090553

Zheng, Y., Ma, K., Lyu, H., Huang, Y., Liu, H., Liu, L., et al. (2017). Genetic manipulation of the COP9 signalosome subunit PfCsnE leads to the discovery of pestaloficins in *Pestalotiopsis fici. Org. Lett.* 19, 4700–4703. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett. 7b02346