Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bridget Carragher, New York Structural Biology Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Sagar Chittori, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, United States Giancarlo Tria, University of Florence, Italy John Rubinstein, University of Toronto, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Ulrich J. Lorenz, ulrich.lorenz@epfl.ch

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Structural Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

RECEIVED 14 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 October 2022 PUBLISHED 10 November 2022

CITATION

Bongiovanni G, Harder OF, Drabbels M and Lorenz UJ (2022), Microsecond melting and revitrification of cryo samples with a correlative light-electron microscopy approach. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9:1044509. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.1044509

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Bongiovanni, Harder, Drabbels and Lorenz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Microsecond melting and revitrification of cryo samples with a correlative light-electron microscopy approach

Gabriele Bongiovanni, Oliver F. Harder, Marcel Drabbels and Ulrich J. Lorenz*

Laboratory of Molecular Nanodynamics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

We have recently introduced a novel approach to time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) that affords microsecond time resolution. It involves melting a cryo sample with a laser beam to allow dynamics of the embedded particles to occur. Once the laser beam is switched off, the sample revitrifies within just a few microseconds, trapping the particles in their transient configurations, which can subsequently be imaged to obtain a snap shot of the dynamics at this point in time. While we have previously performed such experiments with a modified transmission electron microscope, we here demonstrate a simpler implementation that uses an optical microscope. We believe that this will make our technique more easily accessible and hope that it will encourage other groups to apply microsecond time-resolved cryo-EM to study the fast dynamics of a variety of proteins.

KEYWORDS

microsecond melting and revitrification, microsecond time-resolved cryo-EM, correlative light-electron microscopy, protein dynamics, time-resolved electron microscopy

Introduction

Structure determination of proteins has made rapid progress in the last decade, particularly thanks to the resolution revolution in cryo-EM (Kühlbrandt, 2014; Nakane et al., 2020; Yip et al., 2020), which now appears set to become the preferred method in structural biology (Hand, 2020), and the advent of machine learning approaches for protein structure prediction (Baek et al., 2021; Jumper et al., 2021). At the same time, these advances put into relief our incomplete understanding of the dynamics and the function of proteins (Henzler-Wildman and Kern, 2007). In fact, it has been argued that understanding and ultimately predicting protein function is the next frontier in structural biology (Ourmazd et al., 2022).

Our incomplete understanding of protein function is to a large extent a consequence of the difficulty of observing proteins as they perform their task. This requires not only near-atomic spatial resolution, but also a time resolution that is sufficient to observe the domain motions that are frequently associated with the

activity of a protein and that typically occur on short timescales of microsecond to milliseconds (Boehr et al., 2006; Henzler-Wildman and Kern, 2007). Time-resolved cryo-EM has enabled observations of a range of processes (Frank, 2017; Fu et al., 2019; Carbone et al., 2021). Typically, dynamics are initiated by rapidly mixing two reactants and spraying them onto a specimen grid, which is then rapidly plunge frozen to trap short-lived intermediates (Berriman and Unwin, 1994; Frank, 2017; Kontziampasis et al., 2019; Dandey et al., 2020; Klebl et al., 2020). However, the time resolution of this method is fundamentally limited by the time required for plunge freezing, which is on the order of 1 millisecond (Frank, 2017), too slow to observe many relevant dynamics.

We have recently introduced a novel approach to timeresolved cryo-EM that affords microsecond time resolution (Voss et al., 2021b; 2021a; Harder et al., 2022). This is notably fast enough to enable the observation many domain motions. We employ a laser beam to locally melt a cryo sample for several tens of microseconds, providing a well-defined time window during which the proteins can undergo conformational motions in liquid. A range of stimuli is conceivable that can be used to initiate specific dynamics. For example, caged compounds can be used to release ATP, ions, small peptides, or induce a pH jump (Shigeri et al., 2001; Ellis-Davies, 2007). As the dynamics of the particles unfold, the heating laser is switched off, so that the sample rapidly cools and revitrifies, arresting the particles in their transient configurations.

We have demonstrated the viability of our approach and characterized the spatial and temporal resolution it affords. Proof-of-principle experiments confirm that once the sample is laser melted, particles can undergo motions in liquid and that upon revitrification, we can trap them in their transient states with microsecond time resolution (Voss et al., 2021b; 2021a). The success of a revitrification experiment can be assessed on the fly. In a successful experiment, the revitrified area in the center of the laser focus is surrounded by a region in which the sample has crystallized since its temperature has not exceeded the melting point. By adjusting the laser power to keep the diameter of the revitrified area constant, one can thus ensure that in each experiment, the sample undergoes the same temperature evolution (Voss et al., 2021a). We have also demonstrated that the melting and revitrification process leaves the proteins intact (Harder et al., 2022). Near-atomic resolution reconstructions can be obtained from revitrified cryo samples, suggesting that the revitrification process does not fundamentally limit the obtainable spatial resolution (manuscript in preparation; see also Lorenz, U. J., 2022). With all crucial aspects of our method established, we have recently also begun to apply it to study the fast dynamics of a variety of systems.

By enabling atomic-resolution observations of the microsecond dynamics of proteins, our method promises to fundamentally advance our understanding of protein function.



microscope. (A) Photograph of the optical microscope and cryo stage. The laser beam (532 nm, indicated in green) enters the head of the microscope from the left and is focused onto the



However, for our technique to achieve this goal, it is crucial to ensure that it is easily accessible, so that a large number of groups can adopt it. We currently perform our melting and revitrification experiments *in situ*, using a transmission electron microscope that we have modified for time-resolved experiments (Olshin et al., 2020). Setting up such an instrument, which few labs have at their disposal, presents a significant hurdle for the adoption of our technique. It is therefore desirable to develop a technically less involved variant.

Here, we present a simple implementation of our technique that uses an optical microscope to melt and revitrify cryo samples. Optical microscopes are already being used in many cryo-EM groups for correlative light and electron microscopy (de Boer et al., 2015). They are also simpler to operate, more costeffective, as well as more straightforward to adapt to timeresolved experiments, all of which should facilitate their adoption.

Results

Figure 1A shows a photograph of the optical microscope (Leica DM6000 CFS) that we have adapted for melting and revitrification experiments (Methods). Bright field images are recorded with a CMOS camera placed on top of the instrument, with the sample illuminated with white light from below. The melting laser beam (532 nm, indicated in green) enters the



FIGURE 2

Correlative light-electron microscopy of a cryo sample that was revitrified in the optical microscope. (A) Optical micrograph of a typical grid square of an apoferritin cryo sample. (B) Difference image of the sample after melting and revitrification with a 40 µs, obtained by subtracting the image before revitrification from one recorded after. The revitrified area in the center of the grid square features a thin, dark outline that arises from the formation of crystals in the surrounding area. A dark patch in the center indicates thinning of the sample due to evaporation. (C) An electron micrograph of the same area confirms the interpretation of large crystals in (B). The outline of the revitrified area is marked with a solid line. A dashed line indicates the region in which the formation of large crystals is observed. (D) Micrograph recorded in the area circled in (C), showing intact apoferritin particles. Scale bars, 10 µm in (A) and 40 nm in (D).

microscope head from the left and is reflected by a dichroic mirror that overlaps it with the optical axis and directs it at the sample. Microsecond laser pulses are obtained by chopping the output of a continuous laser with an acousto-optic modulator. The sample is held by a cryo stage for correlative microscopy (Linkam CMS 196), which maintains it at liquid nitrogen temperature and prevents the condensation of water vapor (Figure 1B).

The geometry of a melting and revitrification experiment is illustrated in Figure 1C. The cryo sample is prepared on an UltrAuFoil specimen support, which features a holey gold film on a 300 mesh gold grid. The heating laser is focused onto the center of a grid square (25 µm FWHM beam diameter in the sample plane). Under laser irradiation, the gold film rapidly heats up in the vicinity of the laser beam, causing the cryo sample to melt, so that the embedded particles can undergo unhindered motions in liquid phase. Within a few microseconds, the sample temperature stabilizes near room temperature (Voss et al., 2021a). When the laser is switched off, the sample cools within just a few microseconds, as previously shown through experiments and simulations (Voss et al., 2021b). At such high cooling rates, the sample revitrifies and traps the particles in their transient configurations in which they can subsequently be imaged (Voss et al., 2021b). The short cooling time is a consequence of the fact that the laser heats the sample only locally, while its surroundings remain at cryogenic temperature, so that the heat is efficiently dissipated after the end of the laser pulse.

Figure 2 demonstrates that melting and revitrification experiments can be successfully performed with our optical microscope. Figure 2A shows an optical micrograph of a typical grid square of an apoferritin cryo sample. Melting and revitrification with a 40 μ s laser pulse (45 mW) barely causes the image contrast to change. However, a characteristic signature of successful melting and revitrification is readily apparent in a

difference image (Figure 2B), which is obtained by subtracting a micrograph recorded before revitrification from one recorded after. The revitrified area in the center of the grid square is surrounded by a thin, dark ring and features a dark patch in its center.

An electron micrograph of the same area (Figure 2C) confirms the success of the revitrification experiment and elucidates the nature of the features visible in the difference image. The micrograph shows that the sample has melted and revitrified in the vicinity of the laser focus, while it has crystallized in the surrounding areas (details in Supplementary Figure S2). The outline of the revitrified area (solid line) closely agrees with the inner boundary of the thin, dark ring that is visible in the difference image of Figure 2B. This ring seems to originate from the large ice crystals that have formed at the border of the revitrified area (dashed line, see also Supplementary Figure S2 for a magnified view), as previously observed (Voss et al., 2021a). The dark spot visible in the center of the revitrified area does not seem to correspond to any feature in the electron micrograph. We propose that it results from the thinning of the sample due to evaporation that occurs during laser heating and which is most pronounced in the center of the laser focus, where the sample reaches the highest temperature (Voss et al., 2021a). This interpretation is supported by the fact that the dark spot becomes most pronounced when the sample is evaporated entirely in the center of the grid square (Supplementary Figure S1D). Note that in the electron micrograph of Figure 2C, fewer holes are visible than in the optical micrograph of Figure 2A. This is because areas with thick ice close to the grid bars do not transmit electrons, whereas they are transparent in the optical micrograph.

We conclude that difference images can be used to assess the success of a melting and revitrification experiment on the fly, which can be inferred from the presence of a thin, dark ring that



surrounds a dark spot, which marks the center of the laser focus. As illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1, these features are absent if the laser power is too low to induce melting and revitrification. It is also straightforward to detect if the laser power is too high, so that the sample is evaporated entirely in the vicinity of the laser focus. In this case, a pronounced dark spot results in the center of the grid square, with the holes of the gold film appearing bright (Supplementary Figure S1C,D). In order to ensure that the sample undergoes a reproducible temperature evolution, the diameter of the revitrified area should be kept constant (Voss et al., 2021a). This can be achieved by monitoring the diameter of the dark ring in the difference images and adjusting the laser power accordingly.

Melting and revitrification with our optical microscope leaves the proteins intact, as evidenced by an electron micrograph collected from a hole within the revitrified area (Figure 2D). Single-particle reconstructions corroborate this result and show that revitrification does not alter the structure of the apoferritin particles. We revitrified 17 areas of a fresh cryo sample in the optical microscope and imaged them on a Titan Krios G4. A comparable number of images were collected of areas that had not been exposed to the laser beam. The reconstructions from the conventional and revitrified areas feature a resolution of 1.47 Å and 1.63 Å, respectively (Figure 3A). At this resolution, side chain densities are clearly resolved, and individual water molecules can be distinguished (Figure 3B). Within the near-atomic resolution of these reconstructions, the structure of the particles in the conventional and revitrified areas is indistinguishable. We conclude that revitrification in our optical microscope does not alter the protein structure.

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that microsecond timeresolved cryo-EM experiments can be performed with a correlative light-electron microscopy approach. The success of a melting and revitrification experiment can be assessed on the fly by monitoring characteristic signatures in an optical difference image. We have also shown that revitrification in our optical microscope setup preserves the protein structure, allowing us to obtain near-atomic resolution reconstructions. This suggests that the revitrification process does not fundamentally limit the obtainable spatial resolution.

Revitrification with an optical microscope is in several respects complementary to the in situ approach we have previously described (Voss et al., 2021b; 2021a; Harder et al., 2022), with each approach offering distinct advantages. It is usually more straightforward to determine the diameter of the revitrified area in an *in situ* experiment, since the surrounding crystalline region offers a strong contrast in an electron microscope, whereas it may be more difficult to detect in optical difference images if the crystallites are too small. It should however be possible to obtain a better contrast by using different optical imaging modes. In situ experiments also offer the advantage that the behavior of the particles during revitrification can be assessed immediately, either by visual inspection or from an on-the-fly reconstruction, so that the laser parameters can be adjusted as needed. Moreover, in situ experiments will likely be indispensable to further characterize and advance the experimental approach. For example, we have previously been able to infer that cryo samples partially crystallize during laser melting (Voss et al., 2021a). To better understand the phase behavior of cryo samples during rapid heating, we have therefore embarked on time-resolved in situ experiments that employ intense high-brightness electron pulses of microsecond duration (Olshin et al., 2021) to capture the structural evolution of the cryo sample. Finally, controlling the plateau temperature that the sample reaches during the laser pulse is somewhat easier for in situ experiments, where evaporative cooling in the vacuum of the microscope can be used to provide a negative feedback that limits the sample temperature at high laser powers (Voss et al., 2021a). In revitrifcation experiments with the optical microscope, this feedback effect is likely much reduced due to the lower evaporation rate of water at ambient pressure (Marek and Straub, 2001).

The correlative revitrification approach described here offers the significant advantage that its implementation is technically less involved, which we hope will encourage other research groups to use our technique and explore its potential for elucidating the fast dynamics of a variety of systems. Once suitable experimental conditions for a time-resolved experiment have been established, samples for high-resolution imaging can be most conveniently revitrified with the optical microscope. Because of the simplicity of the setup, the experimental workflow involved can also be easily automated, which we have begun to do. Imaging the sample with the optical microscope also does not damage the particles, in contrast to in situ experiments with the electron microscope, where the sample has to be exposed to a small electron dose in order to locate and center areas for revitrification at low magnification. This is significant because the exposure to a dose of only a few electrons/Å² induces so much fragmentation that the proteins will completely unravel once the sample is melted (Voss et al., 2021b; 2021a). It is therefore sensible to avoid exposure even to the small dose required for low-magnification imaging, which may potentially cause some proteins to lose their function even though no structural damage is evident (Glaeser, 2016).

Using an optical microscope for revitrification may also enable new types of experiments. The simple optical layout of the instrument makes it straightforward to combine different laser beams and wavelengths, which may be used to trigger different dynamics or monitor the revitrification process. For example, it would be straightforward to use a separate UV laser beam to release a caged compound in selected grid squares, such as caged ATP, ions, or even peptides (Shigeri et al., 2001; Ellis-Davies, 2007). With the sample still in its vitreous state, the proteins are unable to react to this change in their chemical environment. However, once the sample is laser melted, the compound becomes available to initiate conformational dynamics (Voss et al., 2021b). By releasing different quantities of the caged compound in different grid squares, it would then be possible to study how the concentration of the compound affects the dynamics, with all experiments conducted on the very same specimen grid, thus guaranteeing the most reproducible conditions. It will also become possible to combine revitrification experiments with other types of correlative microscopy. It is even conceivable to perform experiments on entire cells, as long the heat transfer in the sample can be engineered to be fast enough, so that vitrification can be achieved after the end of the laser pulse. Finally, our optical microscope setup may also provide a practical approach to improve the quality of conventional cryo samples. Melting and revitrification can be used to turn some crystalline areas vitreous or reduce the ice thickness through evaporation. It may also be possible to reduce beam induced specimen motion by releasing stress in the vitreous ice film through irradiation with a sequence of laser pulses (Harder et al., 2022).

Methods

Cryo samples were prepared on UltrAuFoil R1.2/1.3 300 mesh grids (Quantifoil), which were rendered hydrophilic through plasma cleaning for 1 min (Tedpella "Easy glow" discharge system, negative polarity with a plasma current of 0.8 mA and a residual air pressure of 0.2 mbar). A volume of 3 μ l of the sample solution (mouse heavy chain apoferritin, 8.5 mg/ml in 20 mM HEPES buffer with 300 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.5) was applied to the specimen grid, which was then plunge-frozen with a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 3 s blotting time, 95% relative humidity at a temperature of 10 C). The grids were screened on a JEOL 2200FS (Olshin et al., 2020) before revitrifying them in the optical microscope.

Revitrification experiments were performed with a modified Leica DM6000 CFS optical microscope equipped with a Linkam CMS 196 cryo stage (Figure 1A). Microsecond laser pulses for melting and revitrification are obtained by chopping the output of a 532 nm continuous wave laser (Laser Quantum, Ventus 532) with an acousto-optic modulator (AAoptoelectronic). As shown in Figure 1A, the laser beam enters the microscope head from the left and is reflected by a dichroic mirror that overlaps it with the optical axis. The beam is focused to a spot size of 25 μ m FWHM in the sample plane, as determined from an image of the beam recorded with a CCD camera placed in the sample location. Optical bright field images are recorded with a Teledyne FLIR Grasshopper3 camera. Difference images for assessing the success of a revitrification experiment (Figure 2B) were obtained by subtracting an image of the sample before laser irradiation from one recorded after. Both images were acquired as averages of 15 exposures each (1 s), which were aligned using phase correlation-based image registration (Reddy and Chatterji, 1996).

The electron micrographs of Figures 2C,D were recorded on a JEOL 2200FS (Olshin et al., 2020). The image in Figure 2C was obtained by stitching together several micrographs acquired at higher magnification, in which the crystalline areas can be more readily identified. Micrographs for single-particle reconstructions (Figure 3) were acquired with a Titan Krios G4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operated at 300 kV accelerating voltage and using a 10 eV slit width (Selectris X energy filter). The micrographs were recorded with a Falcon 4 camera, with an exposure time of about 2.5 s and a total dose of 50 electrons $Å^{-2}$. The pixel size was 0.455 Å, and defocus values were in the range of 0.9-1 µm.

Single-particle reconstructions were performed in CryoSPARC 3.3.2 (Punjani et al., 2017), as detailed in Supplementary Material 1. Briefly, the conventional (revitrified) apoferritin dataset comprises 10145 (12047) images. Patch motion correction and CTF estimation yielded 6242 (3671) images with a resolution better than 6 Å, which were kept for further processing. Using templatebased particle picking, 2937383 (1714924) particles were identified. Following two rounds of 2D classification, 534606 (212377) particles were retained for ab initio reconstruction (C1 symmetry), followed by heterogeneous refinement (O symmetry) using three classes. After reextraction, the 447704 (95786) particles found in the most populated classes were then used for homogeneous refinement (O symmetry) to give a final map with a resolution of 1.47 Å (1.63 Å).

Reconstructions were visualized with Chimera X (Goddard et al., 2018), using contour levels of 0.077 and 0.24 in Figures 3A,B, respectively. The molecular model displayed in Figure 3B (PDB 6v21 (Wu et al., 2020)) was placed into the map using rigid body fitting.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

UL conceived the research. MD and UL designed the experiment, GB and OH performed the experiments. GB and MD analyzed data. GB and UL wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Funding

This work was supported by the ERC Starting Grant 759145 and by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant PP00P2_163681.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of the Dubochet Center for Imaging (DCI) in Lausanne. We also thank Kevin Lau (PTPSP EPFL, Switzerland) for providing apoferritin samples.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb. 2022.1044509/full#supplementary-material

References

Baek, M., DiMaio, F., Anishchenko, I., Dauparas, J., Ovchinnikov, S., Lee, G. R., et al. (2021). Accurate prediction of protein structures and interactions using a three-track neural network. *Science* 373 (6557), 871–876. doi:10.1126/science. abj8754

Berriman, J., and Unwin, N. (1994). Analysis of transient structures by cryomicroscopy combined with rapid mixing of spray droplets. *Ultramicroscopy* 56 (4), 241–252. doi:10.1016/0304-3991(94)90012-4

Boehr, D. D., Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2006). An NMR perspective on enzyme dynamics. *Chem. Rev.* 106 (8), 3055–3079. doi:10.1021/cr050312q

Carbone, C. E., Loveland, A. B., Gamper, H. B., Hou, Y.-M., Demo, G., and Korostelev, A. A. (2021). Time-resolved cryo-EM visualizes ribosomal translocation with EF-G and GTP. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 7236. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27415-0

Dandey, V. P., Budell, W. C., Wei, H., Bobe, D., Maruthi, K., Kopylov, M., et al. (2020). Time-resolved cryoEM using Spotiton. *Nat. Methods* 17 (9), 897–900. doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0925-6

de Boer, P., Hoogenboom, J. P., and Giepmans, B. N. G. (2015). Correlated light and electron microscopy: Ultrastructure lights up. *Nat. Methods* 12 (6), 503–513. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3400

Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. (2007). Caged compounds: Photorelease technology for control of cellular chemistry and physiology. *Nat. Methods* 4 (8), 619–628. doi:10. 1038/nmeth1072

Frank, J. (2017). Time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy: Recent progress. J. Struct. Biol. 200 (3), 303–306. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2017.06.005

Fu, Z., Indrisiunaite, G., Kaledhonkar, S., Shah, B., Sun, M., Chen, B., et al. (2019). The structural basis for release-factor activation during translation termination revealed by time-resolved cryogenic electron microscopy. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 2579. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10608-z

Glaeser, R. M. (2016). "Specimen behavior in the electron beam," in *Methods in enzymology* (Elsevier), 19–50. doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2016.04.010

Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Pettersen, E. F., Couch, G. S., Morris, J. H., et al. (2018). UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci.* 27 (1), 14–25. doi:10.1002/pro.3235

Hand, E. (2020). Cheap shots. Science 367 (6476), 354-358. doi:10.1126/science. 367.6476.354

Harder, O. F., Voss, J. M., Olshin, P. K., Drabbels, M., and Lorenz, U. J. (2022). Microsecond melting and revitrification of cryo samples: Protein structure and beam-induced motion. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Struct. Biol.* 78 (7), 883–889. doi:10. 1107/S205979832200554X

Henzler-Wildman, K., and Kern, D. (2007). Dynamic personalities of proteins. Nature 450 (7172), 964-972. doi:10.1038/nature06522

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596 (7873), 583–589. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Klebl, D. P., Monteiro, D. C. F., Kontziampasis, D., Kopf, F., Sobott, F., White, H. D., et al. (2020). Sample deposition onto cryo-EM grids: From sprays to jets and

back. Acta Crystallogr. D. Struct. Biol. 76 (4), 340–349. doi:10.1107/S2059798320002958

Kontziampasis, D., Klebl, D. P., Iadanza, M. G., Scarff, C. A., Kopf, F., Sobott, F., et al. (2019). A cryo-EM grid preparation device for time-resolved structural studies. *IUCrJ* 6 (6), 1024–1031. doi:10.1107/S2052252519011345

Kühlbrandt, W. (2014). Biochemistry. The resolution revolution. Science 343 (6178), 1443-1444. doi:10.1126/science.1251652

Lorenz, U. J. (2022). Towards microsecond time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy. CCP-EM, East Midlands Conference Center.

Marek, R., and Straub, J. (2001). Analysis of the evaporation coefficient and the condensation coefficient of water. *Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf.* 15, 39–53. doi:10.1016/S0017-9310(00)00086-7

Nakane, T., Kotecha, A., Sente, A., McMullan, G., Masiulis, S., Brown, P. M. G. E., et al. (2020). Single-particle cryo-EM at atomic resolution. *Nature* 587 (7832), 152–156. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2829-0

Olshin, P. K., Bongiovanni, G., Drabbels, M., and Lorenz, U. J. (2021). Atomicresolution imaging of fast nanoscale dynamics with bright microsecond electron pulses. *Nano Lett.* 21 (1), 612–618. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c04184

Olshin, P. K., Drabbels, M., and Lorenz, U. J. (2020). Characterization of a timeresolved electron microscope with a Schottky field emission gun. *Struct. Dyn.* 7 (5), 054304. doi:10.1063/4.0000034

Ourmazd, A., Moffat, K., and Lattman, E. E. (2022). Structural biology is solved — Now what? *Nat. Methods* 19 (1), 24–26. doi:10.1038/s41592-021-01357-3

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J., and Brubaker, M. A. (2017). cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* 14 (3), 290–296. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4169

Reddy, B. S., and Chatterji, B. N. (1996). An FFT-based technique for translation, rotation, and scale-invariant image registration. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 5 (8), 1266–1271. doi:10.1109/83.506761

Shigeri, Y., Tatsu, Y., and Yumoto, N. (2001). Synthesis and application of caged peptides and proteins. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 91 (2), 85–92. doi:10.1016/S0163-7258(01) 00148-6

Voss, J. M., Harder, O. F., Olshin, P. K., Drabbels, M., and Lorenz, U. J. (2021a). Microsecond melting and revitrification of cryo samples. *Struct. Dyn.* 8 (5), 054302. doi:10.1063/4.0000129

Voss, J. M., Harder, O. F., Olshin, P. K., Drabbels, M., and Lorenz, U. J. (2021b). Rapid melting and revitrification as an approach to microsecond time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 778, 138812. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2021. 138812

Wu, M., Lander, G. C., and Herzik, M. A. (2020). Sub-2 Angstrom resolution structure determination using single-particle cryo-EM at 200 keV. J. Struct. Biol. X 4, 100020. doi:10.1016/j.yjsbx.2020.100020

Yip, K. M., Fischer, N., Paknia, E., Chari, A., and Stark, H. (2020). Atomicresolution protein structure determination by cryo-EM. *Nature* 587 (7832), 157–161. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2833-4