

Molecular Insights and Prognosis Associated With RBM8A in Glioblastoma

Lei Wei^{1†}, Chun Zou^{2†}, Liechun Chen^{2†}, Yan Lin³, Lucong Liang², Beiquan Hu⁴, Yingwei Mao⁵* and Donghua Zou²*

¹Department of Neurology, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ²Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ³Department of Medical Oncology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, China, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ⁵Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Magdalena Kusaczuk, Medical University of Bialystok, Poland

Reviewed by:

Agata Jablońska-Trypuć, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland Ahmet Cingoz, Koç University, Turkey Xiaoyi Huang, Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, China

*Correspondence:

Donghua Zou zoudonghua@gxmu.edu.cn Yingwei Mao yzm1@psu.edu [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular Diagnostics and Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

> Received: 15 February 2022 Accepted: 04 April 2022 Published: 29 April 2022

Citation:

Wei L, Zou C, Chen L, Lin Y, Liang L, Hu B, Mao Y and Zou D (2022) Molecular Insights and Prognosis Associated With RBM8A in Glioblastoma. Front. Mol. Biosci. 9:876603. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.876603 **Background:** Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most invasive brain tumors, and it is associated with high rates of recurrence and mortality. The purpose of this study was to investigate the expression of RBM8A in GBM and the potential influence of its expression on the disease.

Methods: Levels of RBM8A mRNA in GBM patients and controls were examined in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), GSE16011 and GSE90604 databases. GBM samples in TCGA were divided into RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between GBM patients and controls were identified, as were DEGs between RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups. DEGs common to both of these comparisons were analyzed for coexpression and regression analyses. In addition, we identified potential effects of RBM8A on competing endogenous RNAs, immune cell infiltration, methylation modifications, and somatic mutations.

Results: RBM8A is expressed at significantly higher levels in GBM than control samples, and its level correlates with tumor purity. We identified a total of 488 mRNAs that differed between GBM and controls as well as between RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups, which enrichment analysis revealed to be associated mainly with neuroblast proliferation, and T cell immune responses. We identified 174 mRNAs that gave areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve >0.7 among coexpression module genes, of which 13 were significantly associated with overall survival of GBM patients. We integrated 11 candidate mRNAs through LASSO algorithm, then nomogram, risk score, and decision curve analyses were analyzed. We found that RBM8A may compete with DLEU1 for binding to miR-128-1-5p.

Abbreviations: AUC, Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; BP, Biological process; C-index, Concordance index; DCA, Decision curve analyses; DElncRs, Differentially expressed lncRNAs; DEmRs, Differentially expressed mRNAs; DEmiRs, Differentially expressed mRNAs; DEmiRs, Differentially expressed mRNAs; DLEU1, Lymphocytic leukemia 1; DMPs, Differentially methylated probes; EJC, Exon junction complex; GBM, Glioblastoma; GDSC, Genomics of drug sensitivity in cancer; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GO, Gene Ontology; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; GSVA, Gene set variation analysis; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; K-M, Kaplan-Meier; LASSO, Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression; MEGENA, Multiscale embedded gene coexpression network analysis; OE, Overexpressing; OS, overall survival; PFN, planar filtered network; RBM, RNA binding motif protein; RBM8A, RNA-binding motif protein 8A; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; Tcm, Memory T cell; Tregs, T cells regulatory.

and aberrant RBM8A expression was associations with tumor infiltration by immune cells. Some mRNAs associated with GBM prognosis also appear to be methylated or mutated.

Conclusions: Our study strongly links RBM8A expression to GBM pathobiology and patient prognosis. The candidate mRNAs identified here may lead to therapeutic targets against the disease.

Keywords: glioblastoma, RBM8A, cox regression, overall survival, candidate mRNAs

BACKGROUND

Glioblastoma (GBM), the most common primary brain tumor in adults, grows rapidly, invades diffusely, and resists treatment (Zhao et al., 2019). Despite recent advances in multimodal treatment combining maximal surgical resection with postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, the 5-year survival rate of patients is less than 5% (Novak et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2014). This highlights the urgent need for more effective targeted therapies.

Developing such therapies depends on elucidation of the molecules and genes that control GBM growth and on the alterations in the immune system that allow the disease to progress. Standing in the way is the heterogeneity of GBM genes and molecules (Parker et al., 2015): GBM shows substantial genomic instability, proliferation, angiogenesis, anti-apoptotic capacity, and propensity for necrosis (Fathi Kazerooni et al., 2020). This causes significant morbidity, recurrence, and poor response to treatment.

The advent of gene expression has provided new insights into the molecular and mutational features of GBM (Young et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2019). Such profiling in other cancers has implicated RNAbinding motif protein 8A (RBM8A), a member of the RNA-binding motif protein (RBM) family (Tatsuno and Ishigaki, 2018). RBM8A is aberrantly expressed in colon adenocarcinoma, gastric cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma, and it is an important prognostic marker and therapeutic target (Lin et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2020; Lv and Cheng, 2020; Xie et al., 2021). In addition, our previous study also showed that abnormal expression of RBM8A affects neural cell development (Zou et al., 2015; Zou et al., 2019; McSweeney et al., 2020). Loss of RBM8A function influences multiple genes associated with neurodegenerative or neuropsychiatric disorders, and the protein is essential for cortical neural progenitor proliferation and differentiation (Zou et al., 2015). RBM8A also regulates the expression of the proto-oncogene p53, altering sensitivity to DNA damage and thereby influencing tumor development (Lu et al., 2017). In normal mammalian development and physiology, RBM8A is involved in cell differentiation, apoptosis, RNA splicing, and cell cycle regulation (Ishigaki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017; McSweeney et al., 2020).

This led us to wonder whether RBM8A might be involved in GBM. Therefore, we explored the expression profile of RBM8A in GBM patients. Using a bioinformatics approach, we analyzed genes, genetic mutations, methylation modifications and molecular functions associated with alterations in RBM8A expression in GBM tissues and the T98G cell line of GBM. Our findings may provide novel targets and strategies for GBM prognosis and treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection

Data on mRNA sequencing (mRNAseq) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) from 145 GBM patients and 5 controls was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Gene expression profiles were also acquired from GSE16011 and GSE90604 in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). In GSE16011, mRNAs and lncRNAs were profiled in 276 GBM samples and 8 control samples; in GSE90604, data came from 16 GBM samples and 7 healthy brain samples, which included mRNA expression profiles in GSE90598 and microRNA (miRNA) expression profiles in GSE90603. The Oncomine database was used to analyze RBM8A mRNA expression in human tumors.

RNA Sequencing

The RBM8A-overexpressing T98G cells were constructed using lentiviral expression plasmids and validated using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and western blots, which descripted in our previous work (Lin et al., 2021). Total RNA was isolated from RBM8A-overexpressing T98G cells and control T98G cells using TRIzol (Thermo Scientific, Uppsala, Sweden) and purified using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN). RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). Samples were sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq system, generating paired-end reads of 150 bp. Raw sequence reads were converted into fragments per exon kilobase per million mapped reads (FPKM) in order to quantify gene expression.

Difference Analysis

The expression of RBM8A was compared between GBM and normal samples in TCGA, GSE16011, and GSE90598. The "DEseq2" package in R (Love et al., 2014) was used to identify mRNAs and lncRNAs whose expression differed between GBM and controls in TCGA, mRNAs whose expression differed between RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} GBM samples in TCGA, and mRNAs whose expression differed between RBM8A-overexpressing T98G cells and control T98G cells. The "limma" package in R (Ritchie et al., 2015) was used to identify mRNAs and lncRNAs whose expression differed between GBM and controls in GSE16011, mRNAs whose expression differed between GBM and controls in GSE90598, and miRNAs whose expression differed between GBM and controls in GSE90598, and miRNAs whose expression differed between GBM and controls in GSE90603.

In all analyses, differentially expressed mRNAs (DEmRs), lncRNAs (DElncRs) and miRNAs (DEmiRs) were defined as those whose expression difference was associated with p < 0.05.



Enrichment of DEmRs in Functions and Pathways

The "clusterProfiler" package in R (Yu et al., 2012) was used to analyze DEmRs for enrichment in Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes (BPs) and in Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways. p < 0.05 was defined as significant enrichment. Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) was performed using the "GSVA" package in R (Hänzelmann et al., 2013) to order to display enrichment results. The clusterProfiler package was also used to perform gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian et al., 2005).

Target Prediction

The software lncBase 3 (https://diana.e-ce.uth.gr/lncbasev3) was used to predict the target miRNAs of lncRNAs that were significantly associated with overall survival of GBM patients. The target mRNAs for miRNAs were predicted using miRWalk and TargetScan databases. A network of competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) was constructed based on the predicted negative regulation of miRNAs.

Construction of a Coexpression Network

Multiscale embedded gene coexpression network analysis (MEGENA) was performed using the "MEGENA" package in R (Song and Zhang, 2015). MEGENA builds multiscale networks of potential gene-gene interactions. The default parameters were used to calculate a planar filtered network (PFN) from gene expression correlations in MEGENA.

Construction of a Model to Predict Overall Survival of GBM Patients

Cox regression was used to identify DElncRs and DEmRs associated with overall survival of GBM patients. Survival curves were generated using the "survival" package in R. The "glmnet" package in R (Friedman et al., 2010) was used to integrate potentially prognostic mRNAs into a binomial

least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression (LASSO) model. During LASSO regression, we retained potential predictors with non-zero coefficients and lead to candidate mRNAs. Areas under receiver operating characteristic curves (AUCs) were calculated using the "pROC" package in R (Robin et al., 2011). A prognostic nomogram was constructed *via* Cox regression to predict 3- and 5-year overall survival of GBM patients in TCGA.

Construction of a Risk Score-Based Prognostic Model

Candidate mRNAs were selected to construct a prognosis model based on a risk score. GBM patients were divided into low- or high-risk groups based on median risk score, and the corresponding Kaplan-Meier curves were generated and compared using the "survival ROC" package in R.

Analysis of Drug Sensitivity

Drug sensitivity of DEmRs in RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} GBM samples was predicted (in terms of IC_{50}) based on the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer database (www. cancerRxgene.org).

Immune Cell Infiltration

Levels of tumor infiltration by immune cells were evaluated using CIBERSORT (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/) and single sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) in the "GSVA" package in R. Immune cells expressed as 0 were excluded from the analysis. Differences in levels of immune cell infiltration were calculated between GBM and control samples, as well as between RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} samples, using the "limma" package in R. We also evaluated potential correlations between candidate mRNAs and immune cells using Pearson correlation



FIGURE 2 | Identification of aberrant RBM8A expression in tumor samples. (A) Expression of RBM8A across many cancers. (B) Differences in RBM8A expression between GBM and controls in TCGA, GSE16011, and GSE90598. (C) Kaplan-Meier curves for GBM samples based on optimal gene expression grouping. GBM patients expressing high RBM8A had poor prognosis. (D) Receiver operating characteristic curves to assess the ability of RBM8A expression to predict GBM in TCGA, GSE16011, and GSE90598. (E) Correlation between RBM8A and tumor purity in TCGA.

analysis. Results associated with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

DNA Methylation and Somatic Mutations

The "cAMP" package and "maftools" package in R (Mayakonda et al., 2018) were used to identify differences in methylation or somatic mutations, respectively, between GBM and control samples in TCGA.

RESULTS

High Expression of RBM8A in GBM

The flowchart of this study is shown in **Figure 1**. First, the mRNA expression of RBM8A in human tumors was analyzed

using the Oncomine database. Its expression was found to be higher in cancer tissues than in controls (**Figure 2A**). To identify the potential role of RBM8A on GBM, we characterized RBM8A expression in three datasets: TCGA, GSE16011, and GSE90598. We found that RBM8A was abundantly expressed in GBM tissues but weakly expressed in normal controls (**Figure 2B**). Interestingly, we found that GBM patients with high expression of RBM8A had poor overall survival based on the optimal threshold for gene expression grouping (**Figure 2C**). The receiver operating characteristic curves for RBM8A to predict GBM gave AUCs >0.9 for all three datasets (**Figure 2D**). These results indicate that RBM8A expression is upregulated in GBM and may contribute to tumorigenesis. Indeed, expression of RBM8A showed a



positive correlation with GBM tumor purity in TCGA (Figure 2E).

Identification of RBM8A-Related mRNAs in GBM

To identify GBM-associated mRNAs, we performed differential analysis of mRNA expression between GBM and controls in TCGA, GSE16011, and GSE90598. We identified 12,040 DEmRs in TCGA, 9,979 DEmRs in GSE16011, and 9,598 DEmRs in GSE90598 (**Figure 3A**). Among these DEmRs, 2,369 were all

upregulated and 1894 were downregulated in GBM (**Figure 3B**). These mRNAs may be GBM-associated mRNAs.

To begin to elucidate the consequences of aberrant expression of RBM8A in GBM, we transcriptionally profiled RBM8Aoverexpressing (OE) T98G cells and control cells, leading us to identify 9,787 DEmRs (**Figure 3C**). In addition, we divided the GBM samples in TCGA into RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups, and we identified 8,260 DEmRs between the two (**Figure 3D**), of which 1,024 were upregulated and 924 downregulated in the RBM8A^{high} group (**Figure 3E**). A total of 488 of the DEmRs between RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups were also DEmRs



between GBM and controls. These common mRNAs may be associated with both RBM8A expression and GBM tumorigenesis.

Biological Functions of RBM8A in GBM

To identify potential roles of RBM8A in GBM, we performed GSEA for GBM and controls. GBM was associated with activation of asthma, p53 signaling, as well as antigen processing and presentation (**Supplementary Figure S1A**).

Conversely, the disease was associated with suppression of the cAMP signaling pathway, calcium signaling pathway, and retrograde endocannabinoid signaling. We also performed GSEA for RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups. RBM8A^{high} status was associated with activation of hedgehog signaling, Notch signaling, and Fanconi anemia, but with suppression of JAK–STAT signaling, NOD-like receptor signaling, and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction (**Supplementary Figure S1B**).



regions in the DLEU1 and RBM8A mRNAs with has-miR-128-1-5p, as predicted by IncBase 3 and TargetScan, respectively.

Analysis of the 488 common mRNAs closely related to RBM8A and GBM showed enrichment in activation of neuroblast proliferation and telomere maintenance, but inhibition of T cell apoptosis and response to type I interferon (**Figure 4A**). Moreover, RBM8A expression correlated positively with inhibition of neuroblast migration but negatively with inhibition of T cell proliferation and cellular potassium ion homeostasis (**Figure 4B**).

The common mRNAs correlated positively with the KEGG pathways of activated cell cycle and mismatch repair, but

negatively with the pathways of activated complement, coagulation, and NOD-like receptor signaling (**Figure 4C**). RBM8A correlated negatively with inhibition of synaptic vesicle cycling and oxidative phosphorylation (**Figure 4D**).

Construction of an RBM8A-Related ceRNA Network

To identify the regulatory network associated with RBM8A, we first screened for DElncRs in TCGA and GSE16011 that were up-



FIGURE 6 | Identification of candidate mRNAs. (A) The MEGENA coexpression network of common mRNAs. Nodes represent different modules: the larger the node, the greater the number of module genes. (B) Child modules in the MEGENA network featuring the largest number of module genes. Different colors represent different modules, while triangles represent hub genes of modules. (C) Module genes with areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUCs) > 0.95 in both TCGA and GSE16011. (D) Heatmap of 13 genes related to overall survival in RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} patients. (E) Selection of the optimal lambda parameter *via* minimum criteria in the LASSO regression model. (F) LASSO coefficient profiles of 11 genes with non-zero coefficients.



or downregulated in both datasets and were associated with patient survival. In the end, we identified nine upregulated lncRNAs: CRNDE, DLEU1, LEF1-AS1, LINC01426, LINC01516, RARA-AS1, SOX21-AS1, TRAF3IP2-AS1, and ZEB1-AS1. We also identified nine downregulated lncRNAs: CYP1B1-AS1, LGALS8-AS1, LINC01616, LINC02347, LINC02361, LINC02433, MATN1-AS1, RBAKDN, and UNC5B-AS1.

Next we predicted the miRNAs targeted by these 18 lncRNAs using the lncBase 3 database. In GSE90603, we identified 1,389 DEmiRs between GBM and controls (**Figure 5A**), of which five overlapped with the miRNAs targeted by DElncRs: hsa-miR-124-3p, hsa-miR-128-1-5p, hsa-miR-138-5p, hsa-miR-7-5p, and hsa-miR-15b-5p. We focused on these miRNAs because they may be particularly important in GBM. Using the miRWalk and TargetScan databases, we predicted the mRNAs targeted by these five DEmiRs and identified 161 that overlapped with GBM-associated DEmRs.

We synthesized the GBM-associated lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs into a ceRNA network (**Figure 5B**). Four lncRNAs in the network (CRNDE, DLEU1, LEF1-AS1, and LINC01616) were significantly associated with overall survival of GBM patients (**Supplementary Figure S2**) and were involved mainly in regulating the cell cycle, mitosis, leukocyte activation during immune responses, and DNA replication (**Figure 5C**). We found that RBM8A may compete with DLEU1 for binding to hsa-miR-128-1-5p (**Figure 5D**).

Identification of RBM8A-Related Candidate mRNAs

Using MEGENA, we constructed coexpression networks of common mRNAs (**Figure 6A**). MEGENA identified 37 modules and 336 module genes. Among them, the largest module, C1_5, consisted of 134 genes, while module C1_2 consisted of 96 genes, and C1_14 consisted of 103 genes (**Figure 6B**). Further, we identified 174 mRNAs whose module



genes had AUCs >0.7 in both TCGA and GSE16011 (**Figure 6C**), of which 13 mRNAs were significantly associated with overall survival of GBM patients (**Figure 6D**). We assessed 11 of these mRNAs as potential prognostic markers in the LASSO algorithm: ANK1, CTNNAL1, EPM2AIP1, FAM20C, GLDN, MRPL17, MYO15A, OPN3, TBR1, TRMT13, and ZNF22 (**Figures 6E,F**).

We used a multivariate Cox model to build a nomogram (Figure 7A). GBM patients were divided into low- or high-risk groups according to the median risk score based on the candidate mRNAs (Figure 7B). High risk scores were associated with greater risk of mortality. High expression and high risk scores for MYO15A, ANK1, TBR1, GLDN, OPN3, MRPL17, and FAM20C emerged as risk factors. Conversely, high expression and low risk scores for ZNF22, TRMT13, EPM2AIP1, and CTNNAL1 emerged as protective factors.

These results were confirmed by using univariate Cox regression to assess the prognostic value of candidate mRNAs (**Figure 7C**). In fact, decision curve analysis indicated that candidate mRNAs could diagnose GBM better than either the "all-patients-died" scheme or the "no-patients-died" scheme (**Figure 7D**).

FAM20C, OPN3, TBR1, ANK1, and MYO15A showed AUCs >0.5 for predicting overall survival of GBM patients at 1, 3 and 5 years (**Supplementary Figure S3**). ANK1 and MYO15A showed AUCs >0.6 for predicting overall survival at the three time points. Candidate mRNAs with AUCs >0.5 showed a higher average concordance index than other DEmRs (**Figure 7E**).

Next we trained a predictive model against GDSC data in order to estimate the IC_{50} for each sample in the RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups. We predicted 12 drugs that might affect genes in the RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups (**Figure 7F**): AG.014699, PAC.1, JNK.Inhibitor.VIII, Axitinib, ATRA, BAY.61.3606, QS11, PD.173074, ABT.263, BI.D1870, Lenalidomide, and Nilotinib.

Correlation Between Immune Infiltration and RBM8A Expression in GBM

According to CIBERSORT, M2 macrophages, CD4⁺ resting memory T cells and neutrophils had larger specific weights among immune cells in GBM samples than in controls in





TCGA (**Figure 8A**). The ssGSEA showed that across all three datasets, levels of infiltration by T helper cells, neutrophils, macrophages, CD8⁺ T cells, and activated dendritic cells (aDC) were significantly higher in GBM than in control samples (**Figure 8B**). The immune cells fell into three clusters (**Figure 8C**). The RBM8A^{high} group showed strong infiltration by B cells and Tcm cells, and weak infiltration by macrophages, cytotoxic cells, iDC, T cells, neutrophils, and DC. Levels of candidate mRNAs correlated significantly with levels of immune cell infiltration (**Figure 8D**).

To find out more about the role of RBM8A in immune infiltration, we compared the differences in immune infiltration between the RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups (**Figure 9A**). In both groups, macrophages correlated strongly with neutrophils (**Figure 9B**). In RBM8A-overexpressing T98G cells, regulatory T cells had larger specific weight among immune cells (**Figure 9C**), while DC, iDC, and cytotoxic cells showed less infiltration than in control T98G cells (**Figure 9D**). These results suggest that aberrant RBM8A expression is associated with immune cell infiltration.

Methylation Modification and Genomic Alterations Associated With Candidate mRNAs in GBM

Comparison of differentially methylated probes (DMPs) between GBM and control samples in TCGA (**Figure 10A**) allowed us to identify 2,801 upregulated DMPs corresponding to 1,365 genes and 2,033 downregulated DMPs corresponding to 1,705 genes in GBM patients. Among the methylation markers identified in view of opposing methylation levels versus expression levels, GLDN, and TBR1 in candidate mRNAs were subject to methylation modifications (**Figure 10B**).

Analysis of somatic mutations in GBM samples in TCGA revealed frequent mutations in the *PTEN*, *TP53*, *TTN*, and *EGFR* genes (Figure 10C). We also detected mutations in the candidate



DMPs, differentially methylated probes. (B) DeltaBeta levels and expression levels of methylation markers. (C) Top 20 somatic mutations in GBM in TCGA. (D) Mutation spectrum in candidate mRNAs in GBM patients. (E) Mutations in the top three candidate mRNAs in GBM.

mRNAs ANK1, MYO15A, CTNNAL1, MRPL17, EPM2AIP1, and TBR1 in GBM samples (Figures 10D,E).

DISCUSSION

GBM is the most common and aggressive primary brain tumor. Intensive multimodal treatments involving surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy have failed to substantially improve median survival (Hassn Mesrati et al., 2020). Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of GBM pathogenesis and finding promising therapeutic targets are essential to improve patient outcomes. Therefore, in this study, we sought to gain a more detailed understanding of the RBM8Aassociated regulatory networks and molecular pathways that may affect prognosis. Our results strongly suggest that RBM8A is an important oncogene and may help identify related molecules that could be useful therapeutic targets.

RBM8A is a core component of the exon junction complex (EJC), through which it contributes to the formation and development of the nervous system (McSweeney et al., 2020).

RBM8A deficiency leads to muscle disorganization, neuronal cell death, and motor neuron growth defects (Gangras et al., 2020); conversely, increased RBM8A expression leads to increased proliferation of cells in the ventricular and subventricular zones, while decreasing the migration of cells toward the cortical plate (Zou et al., 2015). Differential expression and dysfunction of EJC core proteins have been reported in multiple cancers (Degot et al., 2004; Kahles et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020). The results of our analysis show that RBM8A is highly expressed in GBM and positively correlates with tumor purity. Our work provides the first evidence that RBM8A promotes GBM.

In particular, our enrichment analysis linked RBM8A to neuroblast proliferation, telomere maintenance, T cell apoptotic process, and type I interferon in GBM (Mahata et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Feuerbach et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019). Higher RBM8A expression and GBM appear to be associated with inhibition of neuroblast migration and T cell proliferation. Similarly, RBM8A expression appears to be linked to complement activation, which can promote tumor growth and metastasis (Afshar-Kharghan, 2017). Coagulation factors or their downstream targets can lead to pathophysiology of the central nervous system (De Luca et al., 2017). Dysregulation of NOD-like receptor signaling has been implicated in microbial infection, diabetes, cardiac and metabolic disorders, autoimmune diseases, and cancer (Davis et al., 2011). Indeed, NOD-like receptors may be markers of glioma progression (Sharma et al., 2019). Our previous study confirmed that RBM8A overexpression promoted glioblastoma growth and invasion through the Notch/STAT3 pathway (Lin et al., 2021). The metabolic pathway of oxidative phosphorylation may be a therapeutic target against GBM (Shi et al., 2019; Szmidt et al., 2019).

Our RBM8A-associated ceRNA network suggests that RBM8A competes with DLEU1 for binding to hsa-miR-128-1-5p. DLEU1 has been implicated in the development and progression of several cancers, including GBM (Feng et al., 2019). DLEU1 is upregulated in GBM, and its knockout inhibits GBM cell proliferation and apoptosis, while sensitizing tumor cells to temozolomide (Liu et al., 2019; Lv et al., 2020). Conversely, upregulating hsa-miR-128-1-5p inhibits glioma cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis (Dahai et al., 2020), and the same miRNA has been implicated in hepatocellular carcinoma (Xu et al., 2021). The ceRNA network developed here may help guide future studies of how RBM8A contributes to GBM.

We found that RBM8A-related candidate mRNAs were strongly associated with prognosis of GBM patients, especially ANK1 and MYO15A. These results echo previous studies linking these two mRNAs to GBM prognosis (Serão et al., 2011; Li and Meng, 2021). Methylation of the ANK1 gene changes during development, and this is important for regulating formation of the nervous system (Gasparoni et al., 2018). ANK1 is also involved in TGF- β /Smad and Wnt/ β -Catenin signaling, both of which are associated with poor overall survival in GBM patients (Hallal et al., 2020). MYO15A helps define cellular morphology and has been associated with neurological disorders (Hicks et al., 2020). We found that tumor tissues of GBM patients expressing high levels of RBM8A show substantial infiltration by B cells and central memory T cells. This may reflect that GBM is particularly adopt at subverting antitumor immunity, leading to profound T cell dysfunction (Woroniecka et al., 2018). GBM can be treated effectively by using immunotherapy to sharpen immune responses against tumors (Wang et al., 2019; Land et al., 2020). Our results may help identify specific T cell subsets and signaling pathways as new therapeutic targets. In addition, our work identified alterations in DNA methylation and somatic mutations that suggest the possibility of additional therapeutic targets that should be explored.

Nevertheless, our study does have some limitations. First, the RBM8A-related risk score model requires further validation in multicenter clinical trials and prospective studies. Indeed, the candidate mRNAs should be verified and analyzed in detail in cellular and biochemical studies. In fact, the network of lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs described here should be explored in order to establish the full range of processes through which RBM8A may influence GBM onset and progression. In addition, there are some limitations in this study. The role of RBM8A on chemotherapy resistance has not been addressed in current study, which will be pursued in future studies. The hedgehog, and JAK–STAT pathways need to be supported by functional studies in future.

CONCLUSION

We found that RBM8A may be a diagnostic marker, oncogene and therapeutic target in GBM. We established and validated a risk score model based on 11 candidate mRNAs that can reliably predict overall survival of GBM patients. This work may move us closer to individualized treatment and management of GBM as well as more detailed understanding of its pathology.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LW, CZ, LC, YM, and DZ designed the study and contributed to drafting the manuscript. YL, CZ, LC, LL, LW, BH, YM, and DZ

performed experiments, and collated and analyzed the data. YM and DZ reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final submitted manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Scientific Research Project of Guangxi Health Commission (Nos Z2015285, Z20190684, Z20210933, and Z20210139) and the High-Level Medical Expert Training Program of the Guangxi "139" Plan (G201903049).

REFERENCES

- Afshar-Kharghan, V. (2017). The Role of the Complement System in Cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 127 (3), 780–789. doi:10.1172/jci90962
- Dahai, Z., Daliang, C., Famu, L., Xiang, W., Lenian, L., Jianmin, C., et al. (2020). Lowly Expressed lncRNA PVT1 Suppresses Proliferation and Advances Apoptosis of Glioma Cells through Up-Regulating microRNA-128-1-5p and Inhibiting PTBP1. *Brain Res. Bull.* 163, 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2020. 06.006
- Davis, B. K., Wen, H., and Ting, J. P.-Y. (2011). The Inflammasome NLRs in Immunity, Inflammation, and Associated Diseases. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 29, 707–735. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101405
- De Luca, C., Virtuoso, A., Maggio, N., and Papa, M. (2017). Neuro-Coagulopathy: Blood Coagulation Factors in Central Nervous System Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18 (10), 2128. doi:10.3390/ijms18102128
- Degot, S., Le Hir, H., Alpy, F., Kedinger, V., Stoll, I., Wendling, C., et al. (2004). Association of the Breast Cancer Protein MLN51 with the Exon junction Complex via its Speckle Localizer and RNA Binding Module. J. Biol. Chem. 279 (32), 33702–33715. doi:10.1074/jbc.m402754200
- Fathi Kazerooni, A., Bakas, S., Saligheh Rad, H., and Davatzikos, C. (2020). Imaging Signatures of Glioblastoma Molecular Characteristics: A Radiogenomics Review. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 52 (1), 54–69. doi:10.1002/ jmri.26907
- Feng, L., He, M., Rao, M., Diao, J., and Zhu, Y. (2019). Long Noncoding RNA DLEU1 Aggravates Glioma Progression via the miR-421/MEF2D axis. Ott Vol. 12, 5405–5414. doi:10.2147/ott.s207542
- Feuerbach, L., Sieverling, L., Deeg, K. I., Ginsbach, P., Hutter, B., Buchhalter, I., et al. (2019). TelomereHunter - In Silico Estimation of Telomere Content and Composition from Cancer Genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 20 (1), 272. doi:10. 1186/s12859-019-2851-0
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2010). Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. J. Stat. Softw. 33 (1), 1–22. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i01
- Gangras, P., Gallagher, T. L., Parthun, M. A., Yi, Z., Patton, R. D., Tietz, K. T., et al. (2020). Zebrafish Rbm8a and Magoh Mutants Reveal EJC Developmental Functions and New 3'UTR Intron-Containing NMD Targets. *Plos Genet.* 16 (6), e1008830. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008830
- Gasparoni, G., Bultmann, S., Lutsik, P., Kraus, T. F. J., Sordon, S., Vlcek, J., et al. (2018). DNA Methylation Analysis on Purified Neurons and Glia Dissects Age and Alzheimer's Disease-specific Changes in the Human Cortex. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 11 (1), 41. doi:10.1186/s13072-018-0211-3
- Hallal, S., Ebrahim Khani, S., Wei, H., Lee, M. Y. T., Sim, H. W., Sy, J., et al. (2020). Deep Sequencing of Small RNAs from Neurosurgical Extracellular Vesicles Substantiates miR-486-3p as a Circulating Biomarker that Distinguishes Glioblastoma from Lower-Grade Astrocytoma Patients. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (14), 4954. doi:10.3390/ijms21144954
- Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R., and Guinney, J. (2013). GSVA: Gene Set Variation Analysis for Microarray and RNA-Seq Data. BMC Bioinformatics 14, 7. doi:10. 1186/1471-2105-14-7

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2022.876603/ full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | (A). GSEAcomparing GBM and controls. (B). GSEA comparing RBM8A^{high} and RBM8A^{low} groups.

Supplementary Figure S2 | Association of long noncoding RNAs in the ceRNA network with overall survival of GBM patients.

Supplementary Figure S3 Ability of candidate mRNAs to predict overall survival at one, three or five years, based on the area under receiver operating characteristic curves (AUC). Only mRNAs showing AUCs >0.6 in TCGA are shown.

- Hassn Mesrati, M., Behrooz, A. B., Abuhamad, A. Y., and Syahir, A. (2020). Understanding Glioblastoma Biomarkers: Knocking a Mountain with a Hammer. *Cells* 9 (5), 1236. doi:10.3390/cells9051236
- Hicks, D. A., Jones, A. C., Corbett, N. J., Fisher, K., Pickering-Brown, S. M., Ashe, M. P., et al. (2020). Extracellular Vesicles Isolated from Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Neurons Contain a Transcriptional Network. *Neurochem. Res.* 45 (7), 1711–1728. doi:10.1007/s11064-020-03019-w
- Hu, H. J., Wang, S. S., Wang, Y. X., Liu, Y., Feng, X. M., Shen, Y., et al. (2019). Blockade of the Forward Na +/Ca 2+ Exchanger Suppresses the Growth of Glioblastoma Cells through Ca 2+ -mediated Cell Death. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 176 (15), 2691–2707. doi:10.1111/bph.14692
- Ishigaki, Y., Nakamura, Y., Tatsuno, T., Hashimoto, M., Shimasaki, T., Iwabuchi, K., et al. (2013). Depletion of RNA-Binding Protein RBM8A (Y14) Causes Cell Cycle Deficiency and Apoptosis in Human Cells. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* 238 (8), 889–897. doi:10.1177/1535370213494646
- Kahles, A., Lehmann, K. V., Toussaint, N. C., Hüser, M., Stark, S. G., Sachsenberg, T., et al. (2018). Comprehensive Analysis of Alternative Splicing across Tumors from 8,705 Patients. *Cancer Cell* 34 (2), 211–e6. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.07.001
- Land, C. A., Musich, P. R., Haydar, D., Krenciute, G., and Xie, Q. (2020). Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy in Glioblastoma: Charging the T Cells to Fight. J. Transl Med. 18 (1), 428. doi:10.1186/s12967-020-02598-0
- Lau, D., Magill, S. T., and Aghi, M. K. (2014). Molecularly Targeted Therapies for Recurrent Glioblastoma: Current and Future Targets. *Foc* 37 (6), E15. doi:10. 3171/2014.9.focus14519
- Li, X., and Meng, Y. (2021). SUMOylation Regulator-Related Molecules Can Be Used as Prognostic Biomarkers for Glioblastoma. *Front. Cel Dev. Biol.* 9, 658856. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.658856
- Liang, R., Zhang, J., Liu, Z., Liu, Z., Liu, Z., Liu, X., et al. (2020). Mechanism and Molecular Network of RBM8A-Mediated Regulation of Oxaliplatin Resistance in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 585452. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.585452
- Lin, Y., Liang, R., Qiu, Y., Lv, Y., Zhang, J., Qin, G., et al. (2019). Expression and Gene Regulation Network of RBM8A in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Based on Data Mining. *Aging* 11 (2), 423–447. doi:10.18632/aging.101749
- Lin, Y., Wei, L., Hu, B., Zhang, J., Wei, J., Qian, Z., et al. (2021). RBM8A Promotes Glioblastoma Growth and Invasion through the Notch/STAT3 Pathway. *Front.* Oncol. 11, 736941. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.736941
- Liu, X., Chen, R., and Liu, L. (2019). SP1-DLEU1-miR-4429 Feedback Loop Promotes Cell Proliferative and Anti-apoptotic Abilities in Human Glioblastoma. *Biosci. Rep.* 39 (12), BSR20190994. doi:10.1042/BSR20190994
- Liu, Y., Yang, Y., Luo, Y., Wang, J., Lu, X., Yang, Z., et al. (2020). Prognostic Potential of PRPF3 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Aging* 12 (1), 912–930. doi:10. 18632/aging.102665
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated Estimation of Fold Change and Dispersion for RNA-Seq Data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15 (12), 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Lu, C.-C., Lee, C.-C., Tseng, C.-T., and Tarn, W.-Y. (2017). Y14 Governs P53 Expression and Modulates DNA Damage Sensitivity. Sci. Rep. 7, 45558. doi:10.1038/srep45558
- Lv, Q.-L., Wang, L.-C., Li, D.-C., Lin, Q.-X., Shen, X.-L., Liu, H.-Y., et al. (2020). Knockdown lncRNA DLEU1 Inhibits Gliomas Progression and Promotes Temozolomide Chemosensitivity by Regulating Autophagy. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 560543. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.560543

- Lv, X., and Cheng, H. (2020). Prognostic Value of Increased Expression of RBM8A in Gastric Cancer. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 53 (4), e9290. doi:10.1590/1414-431X20209290
- Mahata, B., Biswas, S., Rayman, P., Chahlavi, A., Ko, J., Bhattacharjee, A., et al. (2015). GBM Derived Gangliosides Induce T Cell Apoptosis through Activation of the Caspase Cascade Involving Both the Extrinsic and the Intrinsic Pathway. *PLoS One* 10 (7), e0134425. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134425
- Mayakonda, A., Lin, D.-C., Assenov, Y., Plass, C., and Koeffler, H. P. (2018). Maftools: Efficient and Comprehensive Analysis of Somatic Variants in Cancer. *Genome Res.* 28 (11), 1747–1756. doi:10.1101/gr.239244.118
- McSweeney, C., Dong, F., Chen, M., Vitale, J., Xu, L., Crowley, N., et al. (2020). Full Function of Exon junction Complex Factor, Rbm8a, Is Critical for Interneuron Development. *Transl Psychiatry* 10 (1), 379. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-01065-0
- Novak, M., Koprivnikar Krajnc, M., Hrastar, B., Breznik, B., Majc, B., Mlinar, M., et al. (2020). CCR5-Mediated Signaling Is Involved in Invasion of Glioblastoma Cells in its Microenvironment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (12), 4199. doi:10.3390/ ijms21124199
- Parker, N. R., Khong, P., Parkinson, J. F., Howell, V. M., and Wheeler, H. R. (2015). Molecular Heterogeneity in Glioblastoma: Potential Clinical Implications. *Front. Oncol.* 5, 55. doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00055
- Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). Limma powers Differential Expression Analyses for RNA-Sequencing and Microarray Studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43 (7), e47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007
- Robin, X., Turck, N., Hainard, A., Tiberti, N., Lisacek, F., Sanchez, J.-C., et al. (2011). pROC: an Open-Source Package for R and S+ to Analyze and Compare ROC Curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 77. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-77
- Serão, N. V. L., Delfino, K. R., Southey, B. R., Beever, J. E., and Rodriguez-Zas, S. L. (2011). Cell Cycle and Aging, Morphogenesis, and Response to Stimuli Genes Are Individualized Biomarkers of Glioblastoma Progression and Survival. BMC Med. Genomics 4, 49. doi:10.1186/1755-8794-4-49
- Sharma, N., Saxena, S., Agrawal, I., Singh, S., Srinivasan, V., Arvind, S., et al. (2019). Differential Expression Profile of NLRs and AIM2 in Glioma and Implications for NLRP12 in Glioblastoma. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 8480. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44854-4
- Shi, Y., Lim, S. K., Liang, Q., Iyer, S. V., Wang, H.-Y., Wang, Z., et al. (2019). Gboxin Is an Oxidative Phosphorylation Inhibitor that Targets Glioblastoma. *Nature* 567 (7748), 341–346. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0993-x
- Song, W.-M., and Zhang, B. (2015). Multiscale Embedded Gene Co-expression Network Analysis. *Plos Comput. Biol.* 11 (11), e1004574. doi:10.1371/journal. pcbi.1004574
- Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., et al. (2005). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis: a Knowledge-Based Approach for Interpreting Genome-wide Expression Profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102 (43), 15545–15550. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102
- Szmidt, M., Stankiewicz, A., Urbańska, K., Jaworski, S., Kutwin, M., Wierzbicki, M., et al. (2019). Graphene Oxide Down-Regulates Genes of the Oxidative Phosphorylation Complexes in a Glioblastoma. *BMC Mol. Biol* 20 (1), 2. doi:10.1186/s12867-018-0119-2
- Tatsuno, T., and Ishigaki, Y. (2018). C-terminal Short Arginine/serine Repeat Sequence-dependent Regulation of Y14 (RBM8A) Localization. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 612. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18765-1
- Wang, R., Davidoff, A. M., and Pfeffer, L. M. (2016). Bortezomib Sensitizes Human Glioblastoma Cells to Induction of Apoptosis by Type I Interferons through NOXA Expression and Mcl-1 Cleavage. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 478 (1), 128–134. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.080

- Wang, X., Guo, G., Guan, H., Yu, Y., Lu, J., and Yu, J. (2019). Challenges and Potential of PD-1/pd-L1 Checkpoint Blockade Immunotherapy for Glioblastoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38 (1), 87. doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1085-3
- Woroniecka, K. I., Rhodin, K. E., Chongsathidkiet, P., Keith, K. A., and Fecci, P. E. (2018). T-cell Dysfunction in Glioblastoma: Applying a New Framework. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24 (16), 3792–3802. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-18-0047
- Xie, Z., Wu, Y., Huang, Y., Cai, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Prognostic Value and Oncogenic Effect of Increased RBM8A Expression in colon Adenocarcinoma. *Biochem. Cel Biol* 99 (5), x. doi:10.1139/bcb-2020-0591
- Xu, F., Jiang, L., Zhao, Q., Zhang, Z., Liu, Y., Yang, S., et al. (2021). Wholetranscriptome and Proteome Analyses Identify Key Differentially Expressed mRNAs, miRNAs, lncRNAs and circRNAs Associated with HCC. Oncogene 40, 4820–4831. doi:10.1038/s41388-021-01908-0
- Xu, Y., Geng, R., Yuan, F., Sun, Q., Liu, B., and Chen, Q. (2019). Identification of Differentially Expressed Key Genes between Glioblastoma and Low-Grade Glioma by Bioinformatics Analysis. *PeerJ* 7, e6560. doi:10.7717/peerj.6560
- Young, J. S., Prados, M. D., and Butowski, N. (2018). Using Genomics to Guide Treatment for Glioblastoma. *Pharmacogenomics* 19 (15), 1217–1229. doi:10. 2217/pgs-2018-0078
- Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., and He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS: A J. Integr. Biol. 16 (5), 284–287. doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118
- Zhang, Y., Shen, B., Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Tang, Z., Ni, N., et al. (2017). miR-29a Regulates the Proliferation and Differentiation of Retinal Progenitors by Targeting Rbm8a. Oncotarget 8 (19), 31993–32008. doi:10.18632/oncotarget. 16669
- Zhao, Y., Huang, W., Kim, T.-M., Jung, Y., Menon, L. G., Xing, H., et al. (2019). MicroRNA-29a Activates a Multi-Component Growth and Invasion Program in Glioblastoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38 (1), 36. doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1026-1
- Zou, D., Li, R., Huang, X., Chen, G., Liu, Y., Meng, Y., et al. (2019). Identification of Molecular Correlations of RBM8A with Autophagy in Alzheimer's Disease. *Aging* 11 (23), 11673–11685. doi:10.18632/aging.102571
- Zou, D., McSweeney, C., Sebastian, A., Reynolds, D. J., Dong, F., Zhou, Y., et al. (2015). A Critical Role of RBM8a in Proliferation and Differentiation of Embryonic Neural Progenitors. *Neural Dev.* 10, 18. doi:10.1186/s13064-015-0045-7

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wei, Zou, Chen, Lin, Liang, Hu, Mao and Zou. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.