Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zhou Xunian, MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY

Surjendu Maity, Terasaki Institute for Biomedical Innovation, United States Xue Yang, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, United States Xiaodong Zou, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Oliwia Piwocka, 🛛 oliwia.piwocka@wco.pl

RECEIVED 23 November 2023 ACCEPTED 07 February 2024 PUBLISHED 22 February 2024

CITATION

Piwocka O, Piotrowski I, Suchorska WM and Kulcenty K (2024), Dynamic interactions in the tumor niche: how the cross-talk between CAFs and the tumor microenvironment impacts resistance to therapy. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 11:1343523. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2024.1343523

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Piwocka, Piotrowski, Suchorska and Kulcenty. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Dynamic interactions in the tumor niche: how the cross-talk between CAFs and the tumor microenvironment impacts resistance to therapy

Oliwia Piwocka^{1.2.3}*, Igor Piotrowski³, Wiktoria M. Suchorska^{1.3} and Katarzyna Kulcenty³

¹Department of Electroradiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland, ²Doctoral School, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland, ³Radiobiology Laboratory, Department of Medical Physics, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland

The tumor microenvironment (TME) is a complex ecosystem of cells, signaling molecules, and extracellular matrix components that profoundly influence cancer progression. Among the key players in the TME, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) have gained increasing attention for their diverse and influential roles. CAFs are activated fibroblasts found abundantly within the TME of various cancer types. CAFs contribute significantly to tumor progression by promoting angiogenesis, remodeling the extracellular matrix, and modulating immune cell infiltration. In order to influence the microenvironment, CAFs engage in cross-talk with immune cells, cancer cells, and other stromal components through paracrine signaling and direct cell-cell interactions. This cross-talk can result in immunosuppression, tumor cell proliferation, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition, contributing to disease progression. Emerging evidence suggests that CAFs play a crucial role in therapy resistance, including resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. CAFs can modulate the tumor response to treatment by secreting factors that promote drug efflux, enhance DNA repair mechanisms, and suppress apoptosis pathways. This paper aims to understand the multifaceted functions of CAFs within the TME, discusses cross-talk between CAFs with other TME cells, and sheds light on the contibution of CAFs to therapy resistance. Targeting CAFs or disrupting their cross-talk with other cells holds promise for overcoming drug resistance and improving the treatment efficacy of various cancer types.

KEYWORDS

 $tumor-microenvironment, \ cancer-associated \ fibroblasts, \ cross-talk, \ therapy \ resistance, \ cancer, \ radiotherapy$

1 Introduction

The tumor microenvironment (TME) is a complex and dynamic milieu composed of various cell types, extracellular matrix components (ECM), and signaling molecules that profoundly impact cancer development and progression. TME constituents include cancerassociated fibroblasts (CAFs), immune cells such as tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), endothelial cells, and pericytes. These diverse cell populations interact, influencing many processes crucial for cancer development

CAF subset	Function	Associated biomarkers	Pathways	References				
iCAF	Complement activation, chemokine production, inflammatory response	APOD ⁺ , C7 ⁺ , CDX2 ⁺ , αSMA ^{low} , IL-6 ⁺ ,	JAK-STAT, NFkβ, TNFα	Öhlund et al. (2017), Patel and Singh (2020), Luo et al. (2022), Ma et al. (2023a)				
mCAF/ myCAF	Angiogenesis, wound healing, ECM remodeling, organization, biosynthesis of collagen	COL10A1 ⁺ , POSTN ⁺ , MMP11 ⁺ , SDC1 ⁺ , aSMA ⁺ ,	PTK2, FAK, PDGF, MET	Öhlund et al. (2017), Ma et al. (2023a)				
apCAF	Activate CD4 ⁺ T cells in an antigen-specific manner, immune-modulatory effects	HLA-DRA ⁺ , HLA-DRB1 ⁺ , CD74 ⁺	-	Elyada et al. (2019)				
meCAF	Metabolic reprogramming (enrichment in glycolysis, metabolism of alanine, aspartate, and glutamate)	PLA2G2A ⁺ , CRABP2 ⁺ , LDHB ⁺	МҮС	Lavie et al. (2022), Luo et al. (2022), Ma et al. (2023a)				
pCAF	Regulation of cell cycle, IFN-I production	CENPF ⁺ , NUSAP1 ⁺ , PTTG1 ⁺ , STYMN1 ⁺ , TOP2A ⁺ , TUBA1B ⁺ , MYBL2 ⁺ , E2F2 ⁺	-	Galbo et al. (2021), Ma et al. (2023a)				
vCAF	Vasculature development	Nidogen-2 ⁺ , CD248 ⁺	-	Bartoschek et al. (2018)				
dCAF	Production of basement membrane products and paracrine signaling molecules	SCRG1 ⁺ , CD10 ⁺ , Gpr77 ⁺ , SDE genes ⁺	-	Bartoschek et al. (2018)				
	Breast cancer							
CAF-S1	Attract and retain CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cells, increase CD25 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺	$\begin{array}{c} CAV\text{-}1^{\text{low}},\ CD29^{\text{med}},\ FAP^{\text{high}},\ FSP\text{-}1^{\text{low}\text{-}}\\ ^{\text{high}},\ \alpha SMA^{\text{high}},\ PDGFR\beta^{\text{med-high}} \end{array}$	OX40L, PD-L2, JAM2	Costa et al. (2018)				
CAF-S2	Unknown	CAV-1 ^{neg} , CD29 ^{low} , FAP ^{neg} , FSP-1 ^{neg-low} , aSMA ^{neg-low} , PDGFRβ ^{neg}	-	Costa et al. (2018)				
CAF-S3	Accumulate in juxta-tumors, share features with non-neoplastic cells	CAV-1 ^{neg-low} , CD29 ^{med} , FAP ^{neg} , FSP-1 ^{med-high} , α SMA ^{neg-low} , PDGFR β ^{med}	-	Costa et al. (2018)				
CAF-S4	Opposite effect to S1	CAV-1 ^{neg-low} , CD29 ^{high} , FAP ^{neg} , FSP-1 ^{low-med} , aSMA ^{high} , PDGFR ^{βlow-med}	-	Costa et al. (2018)				

TABLE 1 CAF subsets and their biomarkers.

(Binnewies et al., 2018). CAFs are the predominant cells in the tumor stroma, representing a highly heterogeneous group. Despite much-advanced research, CAFs remain a mysterious TME component that raises universal interest. Normal fibroblasts (NFs) and CAFs are distinct types of fibroblasts playing different roles in an organism. NFs are typically quiscent and maintain tissue homeostasis under normal conditions, while CAFs are activated fibroblasts present in the TME. NFs contribute to tissue repair and maintain its architecture. On the other hand, CAFs support ECM remodeling and create supportive environment for cancer cells (CCs) (Chhabra and Weeraratna, 2023). Most CAFs originate from various cells or become activated from a quiescent state (Piwocka et al., 2023). The best-described pathway of CAF derival is through the interaction of NFs with CCs that secrete cytokines and growth factors that impact fibroblast phenotype. Those factors include tumor-growth factor β (TGF β), plateletderived growth factor (PDGFR), fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) (Glabman et al., 2022) or chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 (CXCL1) (Yamamoto et al., 2023). In normal tissue, TGF- β acts as a cell growth and differentiation regulator, but in the TME, it stimulates cancer progression (Huang et al., 2021). Within tumors, CAFs can originate from several different populations, including epithelial cells through epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT), endothelial cells via endothelialto-mesenchymal transition (EndMT), as well as smooth muscle cells, and adipocytes that undergo transdifferentiation. Fibrocytes, originating from monocyte precursors and circulating in the bloodstream, can also transform into CAFs (Liu et al., 2019). Another way for CAFs to arise is through mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (Lavie et al., 2022) or cells that preserve progenitor function, such as pericytes, through the PDGF-BB-PDGFRβ loop (Hosaka et al., 2016). There many pathways through which CAFs originate and the cells themselves also present various phenotypes. This heterogeneity poses a significant challenge since there is no unique biomarker for CAFs. Nevertheless, CAFs can be characterized by a set of biomarkers specific to different CAF subsets. Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) revolutionized our understanding of CAFs and shed light on distinct fibroblast lineages. Recently, scientists divided CAFs based on their function and elevated expression of biomarkers, and proposed the following groups: inflammatory CAFs (iCAFs), myofibroblastic CAFs (myCAFs) or matrix CAFs (mCAFs) (Öhlund et al., 2017), antigen-presenting CAFs (apCAFs), proliferative CAFs (pCAFs), metabolic CAFs (meCAFs) (Elyada et al., 2019; Patel and Singh, 2020; Wang et al., 2021b; Lavie et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2023a), developmental CAFs (dCAFs) and vasculature CAFs (vCAFs) (Bartoschek et al., 2018). In Table 1, we collected biomarkers specific to each subset. While most of these subsets contribute to tumor progression, interestingly, there is also a tumor-inhibiting subset called cancer-restraining CAFs (rCAFs) that are Meflin positive and supposedly can soften cancer stroma, however, more research is needed in this matter (Fang et al., 2023; Yamamoto et al.,

2023; Zhang et al., 2023). Costa et al. divided breast cancer (BC) CAFs into four subsets S1-S4 (Table 1) based on the expression of fibroblast activation protein (FAP), caveolin-1 (CAV-1), CD29, fibroblast specific protein 1 (FSP-1), PDGFR β , and alpha-smooth muscle actin (α SMA). Only subsets S1 and S4 showed a clear pattern of immunomodulation, hence, further research is needed. Moreover, CAFs-S2 were negative for most of the markers, thus, authors speculate that this might comprise another cell type (Costa et al., 2018).

The intricate cross-talk between CAFs and therapy resistance involves dynamic interactions within the TME. CAFs communicate with cancer cells through the secretion of signaling molecules, activating pathways that enhance cancer cell survival and resistance to therapeutic interventions. Additionally, CAFs contribute to therapy resistance by remodeling the extracellular matrix, creating a protective niche for cancer cells, and modulating the immune response within the TME, establishing an immunosuppressive environment that hampers the efficacy of immunotherapy (Mao et al., 2021). Furthermore, metabolic interactions between CAFs and cancer cells provide alternative energy sources and promote cell survival under the stress induced by chemotherapy or targeted therapy (Jung and Le, 2021). Understanding these complex interactions is essential for developing targeted therapies that can overcome or prevent these resistance mechanisms, ultimately improving the effectiveness of cancer treatments.

Considering the multifactorial role of CAFs, especially in tumor progression, it is crucial to use an appropriate culture system to study those interactions. Developing three-dimensional (3D) cancer models offers ethical and economic advantages in research predicting tumor response to chemotherapy and radiation, bridging the gap between two-dimensional (2D) and *in vivo* studies. Among these 3D models, multicellular tumor spheroids (MCTS) are the most commonly used *in vitro* for preclinical cancer research (Yakavets et al., 2020). The spheroid cancer model recapitulates conditions inside the tumor, including hypoxia and cell-cell or cell-matrix interactions. Moreover, it preserves cell morphology and physiology compared to cells growing as a monolayer (Ryu et al., 2019).

In this review, we aimed to gather the latest information on interactions between CAFs and other TME cells, mainly including research conducted on 3D models. Our paper provides insight into cross-talk with cancer cells, macrophages, endothelial cells, and adipocytes. Those interactions are crucial for angiogenesis, ECM remodeling, metabolism, and therapy resistance. Interactions between CAFs and other immune cells have been described in several reviews (Binnewies et al., 2018; Mao et al., 2021; Raskov et al., 2021). The authors of these reviews describe in detail the interplay between immune cells and cancer microenvironment, which is why we will focus on the interactions that might impact the response to therapy.

2 Cross-talk of CAFs and TME cells

2.1 CAFs and cancer cells

Cross-talk between CCs and CAFs is a critical aspect of the TME and plays a significant role in cancer progression and drug

resistance. CAFs can influence CCs through several pathways, i.e., paracrine signaling, reciprocal feedback, exosomes (Sarkar et al., 2023), and organelle transfer (Nocquet et al., 2020). Additionally, CAFs are recognized for altering the ECM for tumor advantage, making it more suitable for CCs invasion, where interleukins (ILs) and TGF- β play a fundamental role (Asif et al., 2021). One of the best-researched molecules in cancer progression is interleukin 6 (IL-6), which is crucial for proliferation, inflammation, and metabolism (Manore et al., 2022). Dittmer et al. found that CAF-derived condition medium (CM) is rich in IL-6 and compared its action to recombinant human IL-6 (rhIL-6) in the culture of BC cell lines. Both CM and rhIL-6 induced STAT3 phosphorylation (Dittmer et al., 2020), which is known to affect BC progression and metastasis (Ma et al., 2020). Moreover, while in 2D culture, IL-6 promoted apoptosis, in 3D-spheroids, it exhibits an anti-apoptotic effect (Dittmer et al., 2020). Another IL associated with inflammation and immune response is IL-33, which guides Th2 immune reaction. The coculture of CAFs and gastric CCs revealed elevated migration and invasion of CCs mediated by CAF-derived IL-33 by activation of the ERK1/2-SP1-ZEB2 pathway. What is more, CAFs can be stimulated to release IL-33 through pro-inflammatory cytokines, particularly TNF-a, which is produced by gastric CCs via the TNFR2-NF-κB-IRF-1 signaling pathway (Zhou et al., 2020).

ILs and integrins are not directly connected on the molecular level, however, both can influence cellular and physiological processes, especially cell adhesion, migration, and tissue remodeling. Wen et al. indicated that interaction between CAFderived IL-32 and integrin β3 facilitates communication of CAFs and BC cells, leading to enhanced invasion (Figure 1) (Wen et al., 2019). Another group researched the long-term (few weeks) effects of CAF-CM on BC cells treated with fulvestrant, an estrogen receptor antagonist. Their research revealed that continuous exposure of these cells to CAF-CM did not enhance their resistance to fulvestrant. In fact, when CAF-CM was absent, the resistance to fulvestrant was decreased. Furthermore, the application of CM increased the expression of integrin β 1 in BC cells, and cells developed a dependency on this protein despite it providing no advantages in terms of their ability to tolerate fulvestrant, proliferate, or migrate (Dittmer and Dittmer, 2018). One of the CAFs' essential abilities is cytokine secretion, including TGF-B, which induces EMT and promotes cell proliferation (Asif et al., 2021). Haga et al. examined the crosstalk between CAFs and CCs in the oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) 3D model, which included cells grown in collagen matrices. They found that CAF-secreted TGF-B upregulated expression of SOX9 in OSCC cells, which supported cancer invasion in vitro and in vivo. Knockdown of SOX9 in CCs resulted in inhibition of migration and invasion, despite the presence of CAFs, leading to a conclusion that TGF- β invasion depends on SOX9. Moreover, tumors containing CAFs in mice models were larger with irregular margins than tumors with normal fibroblasts (Haga et al., 2021). Another group demonstrated that TGF-\u03b31-activated CAFs enhance tumor invasion, pulmonary metastasis, and EMT by autophagy and the overexpression of FAPa. FAP-a knockdown reversed EMT and stopped the tumor invasion and pulmonary metastasis induced by TGF-B1-activated CAFs (Huang et al., 2021).



2.1.1 Mitochondrial transfer

One of the core hallmarks of cancer is deregulating cellular metabolism, leading to the uncontrolled growth of tumors. Even when oxygen is available, CCs can change their glucose metabolism, altering energy production primarily towards glycolysis. This metabolic shift results in a state commonly called "aerobic glycolysis" (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011; Hanahan, 2022). However, CCs often prefer settling in hypoxic conditions, which favors energy production by mitochondria through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). A growing body of evidence suggests that some solid carcinomas have deregulated mitochondrial metabolism, hence, many studies focus on the mechanism of mitochondrial transfer between cells in TME. Mitochondria transfer usually occurs via tunneling nanotubes (TNTs), extracellular vesicles (EVs), mitochondrial ejection, or cytoplasmic fusion. However, transfer through TNTs is the best described so far. Fibroblasts and mesenchymal cells are the most popular mitochondria donors in cancer, but there are also reported cases among immune cells (macrophages or natural killer T cells) (Sahinbegovic et al., 2020). CAFs can fuel malignant cells by providing intermediate metabolites for mitochondria action. Elevated tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) cycle activity by CAFs is associated with malignant cell survival. Additionally, lactate may also stimulate mitochondria formation. Ippolito et al. found that the transfer of CAFs mitochondria appears to selectively influence prostate CCs, particularly those with enhanced invasive potential and a preference for utilizing lactate secreted by CAFs. The study suggests that CAFs' secreted factors activate a SIRT1/PGC-1a axis in prostate CCs, leading to improved mitochondrial function, increased mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS) production, and the accumulation of metabolites. Collectively, these events enhance prostate CCs' migratory and metastatic capabilities (Ippolito et al., 2019). Different groups indicated that CAFs can "teach" BC cells how to promote migration via mitochondrial transfer. To verify the hypothesis, researchers investigated the impact of CAFs on CCs migration by educating BC cells through two methods: co-culture with live CAFs and coculture with CAFs that later were killed. Early presence of CAFs educated CCs to form spheroids, displaying increased invasive and migratory capabilities. Moreover, ATP levels increased in educated CCs, suggesting altered energy production favoring mitochondrial respiration. CAF mitochondria were artificially introduced into CCs to investigate the role of transferred mitochondria, resulting in increased migration and higher ATP levels. In summary, CAFs educate BC cells via mitochondrial transfer, enhancing their migration and altering energy production (Goliwas et al., 2023). In summary, the available data suggests that mitochondria transfer enables cells to escape apoptosis and acquire therapy resistance.

2.2 Macrophages and CAFs

Macrophages are a population of immune cells residing within tissues. They originate from two sources: circulating blood

10.3389/fmolb.2024.1343523

monocytes, which migrate into the tissue where differentiation occurs, and embryonic precursor cells present in the tissue (Das et al., 2015). The role of macrophages includes phagocytosis of microorganisms, modulation of the immune response, and regulation of the functions of neighboring cells. However, their activity heavily depends on their phenotype and the tissue in which they reside (Shapouri-Moghaddam et al., 2018).

Macrophages are also present within tumor tissue (termed tumor-associated macrophages, TAMs), significantly altering this milieu. The available clinical data indicate that the presence of a higher density of TAMs in several cancer types (i.a., gastric, multiple myeloma, breast, ovarian) correlates with significantly worse overall survival (Raskov et al., 2021). However, the macrophages present within TME are not exclusively pro-cancer. Phenotyping methods commonly used in research divide macrophages, including TAMs, into two populations: a pro-inflammatory, tumor-suppressive M1 subtype and an anti-inflammatory, promoting tumor growth M2 subtype (Shapouri-Moghaddam et al., 2018). While M1/ M2 division is most commonly used in many studies, other subtypes of macrophages are also described, such as M2a, M2b, M2c, and M2d (Qin et al., 2022). Due to their plasticity, this phenotype is not permanent, and macrophages can change their function depending on external stimuli. What is more, some authors argue that a rigid M1/M2 division of macrophages is overly simplistic and emphasize that the function of macrophages depends on specific activities rather than their perceived M1/ M2 state (Ostuni et al., 2015).

Similar to healthy tissue macrophages, TAMs originate from both tissue-resident macrophages and bone marrow-derived monocytes. The origin of TAMs varies depending on the cancer type; for example, in glioblastoma, 85% of the TAM population originate from infiltrating monocytes and 15% from resident microglia (Chen et al., 2017). Data from human tissue, mouse tissue, and spheroid models indicate that in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), tissue-resident macrophages are essential for establishing a TME for the early development of cancer lesions (Casanova-Acebes et al., 2021). Similarly, tissue-resident macrophages in BC are crucial for the early development of triple-negative tumors before angiogenesis (Hirano et al., 2023). Furthermore, the macrophages within pre-malignant lesions promote early dissemination of cancer cells and later metastasis, contributing to disease progression (Linde et al., 2018; Hirano et al., 2023). Overall, the available data indicate that tissue-resident macrophages are likely essential in the initial phases of tumor formation, with monocyte-derived macrophages dominating later in tumor development.

The presence of TAMs within TME largely depends on the activity of CAFs. In an *in vitro* study, Ksiazkiewicz et al. (2010) showed that monocyte infiltration was higher in spheres comprising BC cells with primary fibroblasts than in spheres from BC cells alone. This effect is likely caused by factors secreted by CAFs, which differ between cancer types. Some of the CAF-secreted cytokines which are shown to recruit monocytes include CCL2 in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) (Xiang et al., 2020), IL-33 in lung metastases of BC (Shani et al., 2020), SDF-1 and MCP-1 in prostate cancer (Vickman et al., 2020) and OSCC (Li et al., 2019), MCP-1, SDF-1 (Gok Yavuz et al., 2019) and Chi3L1 in BC (Cohen et al., 2017),

CXCL16 in triple-negative BC (Allaoui et al., 2016), IL-8 (but not SDF-1) in colorectal cancer (CRC) (Zhang R. et al., 2019), SDF-1a in hepatocellular carcinoma (Figure 1) (Deng et al., 2017). CCL2 and CXCL12 (also known as SDF-1) are among the most studied and most widely recognized cytokines recruiting monocytes into tumor tissue. Stadler et al. cultured CRC CAFs in organotypic 3D culture and in 2D culture alone, with tumor cells, or with tumor cells and macrophages (Stadler et al., 2021). Interestingly, CCL2, IL-6, and IL-8 production by CAFs were significantly higher when the cells were co-cultured with both macrophages and tumor cells, pointing towards intricate crosstalk required for CAF signaling.

CAF-secreted cytokines not only initiate monocyte recruitment to the tumor but also induce differentiation of macrophages, with most studies indicating a transition towards a pro-tumor M2 subtype. Using a transwell co-culture model, Zhang R. et al. (2019) revealed that CAFs isolated from CRC induce the transition of macrophages toward the M2 phenotype by secreted particles. Similarly, OSCC-isolated CAFs produce factors that induce M2-like phenotype in human monocytes, and importantly, this effect was significantly stronger when CAFs were first stimulated with CM from cancer cells (Cho et al., 2018). CM from cancer cells induced higher secretion of, among others, IL-6 and GM-CSF, which induced differentiation of macrophages, showing that the activity of CAFs depends on CCs.

In a study by Gok Yavuz et al., monocytes cultured with a conditioned medium (CM) from CAF culture induced differentiation towards M2 macrophages, which were capable of suppressing the proliferation of CD4+ T-cells and enhanced invasiveness and proliferation of BC cells (Gok Yavuz et al., 2019). Similarly, in hepatocellular carcinoma, CAF-induced M2 macrophages were capable of stimulating cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion through secreted PAI-1 (Chen et al., 2021c). In NSCLC, CAFs positive for CD248, a CAF marker correlating with cancer progression, stimulated M2 polarization of macrophages, and in turn, those macrophages induced NSCLC progression (Wu et al., 2022). The role of CD248 in CAFs was also confirmed in patient tissues, where its expression correlated with a worse prognosis. In vitro experiments on CRC and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) show that CAF and TAM interaction causes the secretion of factors that increase migration, invasion, and proliferation of cancer cells, suggesting induction of a more aggressive phenotype (Zhang et al., 2017a; Stadler et al., 2021).

Interestingly, while CAFs significantly impact macrophages within TME, this interaction is also reciprocated. In prostate cancer, macrophages can induce an activated state in fibroblasts through mesenchymal-mesenchymal transition, converting them into CAFs, which is induced by M2 macrophages more so than the M1 type (Comito et al., 2013). Similarly, in TNBC, monocytes differentiate into immunosuppressive myeloid cells, which activate CAFs (Allaoui et al., 2016). In a study by Zhang et al., *in vitro* co-culture of a macrophage cell line with umbilical cord MSCs resulted in the induction of pro-inflammatory CAF phenotype in these cells (Zhang Q. et al., 2019).

Novel research suggests that macrophages and CAFs are interconnected not only through mutual communication. Tang et al. discovered that macrophages could undergo a macrophagemyofibroblast transition (MMT) and further differentiate into the pro-tumoral subset of CAFs within NSCLC. This process depends on the Smad3 pathway, and the authors showed that targeted therapy through Smad3-blocking could block the MMT and formation of this population of CAFs (Tang et al., 2022).

CAF heterogeneity may impact TAMs within tumors, with different CAF subtypes interacting with monocytes and macrophages distinctly. Several studies point towards iCAFs as the population contributing significantly to creating an immunosuppressive niche, also through their interaction with TAMs. In TNBC patients resistant to immune checkpoint blockade, the population of FAP+ iCAFs secretes CXCL12, recruiting CXCR4+ monocytes into TME (Timperi et al., 2022). In contrast to those results, one of the recent studies on CAF subtypes in gastric cancer showed that extracellular matrix CAFs (eCAFs), not iCAFs, correlate with M2 TAMs (Li et al., 2022). Nevertheless, iCAFs secreted CXCL12 and IL-6, which are responsible for monocyte recruitment. The murine melanoma model showed that S1 iCAFs (Pdpn^{high} Pdgfra^{high} CD34^{high}) produced CXCL12, CSF-1, and CCL8, and also complement components C3 which recruit C3aR+ monocytes (Davidson et al., 2020). Macrophages infiltrating these tumors had higher expression of immune suppressive PDL1, and importantly, targeting the C3a-C3aR pathway resulted in higher infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells, indicating a potential therapeutic target.

Macrophages stimulated by CAFs within the TME affect other populations of immune cells to create the immunosuppressive milieu. One of the roles of inflammatory macrophages is the presentation of antigens for CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), which allows for the killing of infected cells as well as tumor cells. TAMs are less capable of effective antigen presentation than inflammatory macrophages, likely due to the lack of crucial costimulatory signals (Blohm et al., 2002). While CTLs activated by tumor macrophages lack cytotoxic activity, studies show that TAMs are, in fact, capable of antigen cross-presentation. A recent study by Modak et al. showed that CD206+ M2a macrophages can crosspresent tumor antigens to a higher degree than M1 macrophages (Modak et al., 2022). In a recent study, Ma et al. reported the mechanism connecting CD8⁺ T cell immunity with altered methylation pathways in TAMs (Ma et al., 2023b). YTHFD2, an RNA N6-methyladenosine (m6A) reader, is upregulated in tumorinfiltrating myeloid cells and is likely connected with tumor progression. Knockdown of YTHFD2 in macrophages shifted their phenotype towards more antitumoral and increased TAMs' ability to present antigens, increasing CD8⁺ T cell immunity.

2.3 CAF-derived exosomes

Exosomes are small membrane vesicles that are released by cells into the extracellular environment and play a role in cell-to-cell communication due to their ability to carry nucleic acids, proteins, metabolites, and information via microRNA (miRNA) or noncoding RNA (ncRNA) (Guglas et al., 2022). Exosomes can be released by every cell type and are present in all body fluids (Yang et al., 2019). Exosomes released by CCs often carry specific miRNAs that can be transferred to CAFs, influencing their function and behavior (Suchorska and Lach, 2016). For example, miR-146a transported in exosomes induces differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells to CAFs (Yang Y. et al., 2020). Moreover, miRNAs can modulate gene expression in CAFs, promoting a pro-tumorigenic phenotype characterized by increased secretion of growth factors, ECM remodeling, and enhanced migratory properties. The crosstalk between CCs and CAFs via exosomal miRNAs can contribute to immune evasion and angiogenesis within the TME (Wróblewska et al., 2021; Wyciszkiewicz et al., 2021). CAF-derived exosomes often mediate tumorigenesis since they carry, i.a., TGF-B activating SMAD pathway, CD81 participating in the Wnt pathway, or CD9 participating in MMP2 signaling, leading to ECM remodeling (Peng et al., 2022). Feng et al. delved into the therapeutic potential of CAF-derived exosomal miRNAs, such as miR-29b-1-5p, in gastric cancer (GC) (Table 2) (Wu et al., 2023). His study revealed that miR-29b-1-5p, enriched in CAF-derived exosomes, contributes to GC progression via the VSIG1/ZO-1 axis. Another group found that downregulation of miR-214 led to migration and invasion through FGF9 (Wang et al., 2019a).

Yang et al. focused on BC and the role of exosomal circRNA from CAFs in promoting cancer progression. The study identifies circTBPL1 as a key player in promoting breast cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. The circTBPL1/miR-653-5p/TPBG axis is highlighted as a potential therapeutic target (Ye et al., 2023a). Additionally, exosomal miR-92 originating from CAFs can decrease the expression of large tumor suppressor kinase 2 (LATS2), a crucial element in the Hippo signaling pathway. This results in the elevated nuclear translocation of Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1), ultimately promoting the transcription of PD-L1 and hindering the proliferation of T cells in BC (Dou et al., 2020). Chen et al. treated BC cell lines with CAF-derived exosomes and found overexpression of miR-500a-5p, which promoted proliferation and metastasis due to attaching to USP28 (Chen et al., 2021a).

He et al. and Xia et al. uncovered the intricate molecular mechanisms behind CAFs-Exo in OSCC (Wang et al., 2023); He et al., 2023). Studies by He et al. indicated that CAF-derived exosomes were found to modulate OSCC proliferation and immune regulation through specific miRNAs, indicating potential targets for OSCC treatment. Xia's study delves into the regulatory role of exosomal miR-146b-5p from CAFs in OSCC progression. CAF-derived exosomes containing miR-146b-5p are identified as promoters of OSCC malignancy by targeting HIPK3. Sun et al. co-cultured OSCC cells with CAFs or NFs with or without exosomes. They found increased levels of miR-382-5p, which mediated invasion via RERG/Ras/ERK signaling (Sun et al., 2019).

The investigations of Jing et al. focused on the interplay between microRNA-21-5p (miR-21), hypoxia, and CAFs in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) metastasis. The study uncovered a signaling pathway involving HIF1 α , exosomal miR-21, and CAF activation, suggesting a potential therapeutic target to impede HNSCC invasion and metastasis (Ye et al., 2023b). The role of hypoxia on cancer progression through CAF-derived exosomes has also been confirmed in CRC. Tu et al. investigated the impact of hypoxia on CRC progression through CAF-derived exosomes, specifically focusing on miR-200b-3p. The study uncovered a mechanism involving miR-200b-3p, ZEB1, E2F3, and the IGF1R/PI3K/AKT axis, suggesting a potential therapeutic approach for CRC (Gong et al., 2023). Another group investigating CRC

miRNA	Expression level	Function	References			
Breast cancer						
miR-92	Upregulated	Promotes immune suppression, proliferation, and migration Dou et al. (20				
miR-200s	Downregulated	Induces ECM remodeling by dysregulation of fibronectin (FN) and lysyl oxidase (LOX)	Tang et al. (2016)			
miR-500a-5p	Upregulated	Stimulates the growth and migration of BC cells by targeting USP28	Chen et al. (2021a)			
Gastric cancer						
miR-29b-1-5p	Downregulated	GC progression via the VSIG1/ZO-1 axis	Wu et al. (2023)			
miR-214	Downregulated	Promotes migration and invasion through FGF9	Wang et al. (2019b)			
Oral squamous cell carcinoma						
miR-146b-5p	Upregulated	Promotes OSCC malignancy by targeting HIPK3	He et al. (2023)			
miR-382-5p	Upregulated	Mediates invasion via RERG/Ras/ERK signaling axis	Sun et al. (2019)			
Colon cancer						
miR-200b-3p	Upregulated	Mediates cancer progression via ZEB1, E2F3, IGF1R/PI3K/AKT axis during hypoxia				
miR-345-5p	Upregulated	Promotes CRC cell proliferation and invasion by targeting CDKN1A	Shi et al. (2023a)			
Lung cancer						
miR-196a	Upregulated	Promotes cancer progression via ANXA1 and CCL2 Lee et al. (2021)				
miR-210	Upregulated	Overexpresses UPF1 to activate PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway	Yang et al. (2020a)			

TABLE 2 Exosomal microRNAs involved in cancer proliferation, progression, and metastasis.

highlighted the influence of CAF-derived exosomal miR-345-5p on CRC progression. The study revealed that miR-345-5p promotes CRC cell proliferation and invasion by targeting CDKN1A, offering insights into potential therapeutic interventions (Shi W. et al., 2023).

In the case of lung cancer, Yang et al. extracted CAF-derived exosomes and proved their contribution to EMT, especially exosomal miR-210, which activated the PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway associated with migration (Yang et al., 2020a). Fan et al. also investigated the role of miR-210 and revealed that exosomebound miR-210 secreted from lung cancer cells induces a proangiogenic switch in CAFs (Fan et al., 2020). Another group performed profiling of mouse lung fibroblasts and CAFs and selected miR-196a for further study. MiR-196a promoted cancer progression via ANXA1 and CCL2, however, blocking CCL2 restricted invasion within spheroids (Lee et al., 2021).

CAF-derived miRNAs carried by exosomes highly contribute to therapy resistance, which we discussed in Section 3. Furthermore, exosomal carriage properties can pave the way towards precision medicine. Novel research demonstrates that exosomes can be loaded with therapeutic drugs or small molecules targeting specific proteins (Li et al., 2021).

2.4 TME in ECM remodeling and vasculature formation

Endothelial cells (ECs) form the inner bedding of blood vessels, playing crucial roles in regulating vessel diameter, cellular movement, and the transport of signaling molecules. Although these cells perform similar functions, their characteristics are often specific to distinct organs (Aird, 2007). An emerging function of CAFs is the ability to initiate vasculature formation dependent and independent through vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). CAFs generate factors that stimulate angiogenesis, including VEGFA, PDGFC, FGF2, CXCL12, osteopontin, and CSF3 (Figure 1). These factors aid in developing blood vessels in the TME by attracting myeloid cells, vascular ECs, and monocytes, thereby speeding up the process of tumor angiogenesis. Studies regarding vasculature formation in cell culture require an appropriate angiogenesis model comprising primary mammary ECs, epithelial cells (i.e., MCF-7 cells), and normal or malignant fibroblasts. Experiments on such a model reveal that CAFs increase microvasculature development by generating a malignant microenvironment, compared to NFs (Koch et al., 2020).

Angiogenesis and vascularization would not be possible without ECM remodeling. Sewell-Loftin et al. showed that VEGF is not exclusively responsible for increased vascularization by CAFs. The crucial aspect is the composition of ECM and the concentration of its components, i.e., fibrin, which promotes vessel development. Moreover, pathways such as ROCK, YAP, and SN1 mediate the transduction of mechanical forces needed for remodeling. Silencing of those pathways leads to suppressed ECM remodeling and vascularization (Sewell-Loftin et al., 2017). Herrera and others derived a matrix from PDGF-stimulated CAFs and, on top of it, seeded ECs. ECs formed well-defined capillary networks and tubes in cells, compared to the control group (ECs seeded on matrix from non-stimulated CAFs). Consequently, ECs had upregulated angiogenesis-related genes (phosphor-ERK1/2 and MMP-9) and $\beta 1/\beta 3$ integrins (Herrera et al., 2018).

ECs can alter their transcriptome during cross-talk with different stromal cell populations, which was visible in phenotypic differences of microvasculature (Curtis et al., 2022).

10 3389/fmolb 2024 1343523

The immune cells of TME are a significant factor in determining the tumor's vasculature. TAMs promote tumor vascularity through several mechanisms. Degradation of the basement membrane is the first step in vasculature formation, and TAMs secrete matrix metallopeptidase-9 (MMP-9) (Giraudo et al., 2004), which degrades the basement membrane, preparing space for the vasculature (Kessenbrock et al., 2010). More importantly, MMP-9 contributes to an angiogenic switch by increasing the bioavailability of VEGF, which is a crucial factor in angiogenesis (Bergers et al., 2000). Apart from TAMs, other immune cells within the tumor, such as tumorassociated neutrophils (Deryugina et al., 2014) and mast cells (Souza Freitas et al., 2011), are likewise a source of MMP-9. Tumor macrophages are also direct producers of VEGF, along with other angiogenic factors like tumor necrotic factor a (TNFa), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), IL-8, and IL-1 (Dirkx et al., 2006). The formation of tumor microvessels is induced by macrophage expression of thymidine phosphorylase (Kawahara et al., 2010). In gastric cancer, Kawahara et al. demonstrated a correlation between thymidine phosphorylase and microvascular density, however, it did not correlate with overall survival. The immune cells' impact on vascularity directly translates into the response to therapy. Hughes et al. observed a population of M2 TAMs around tumor blood vessels, which promoted vascularization and subsequent relapse following chemotherapy (Hughes et al., 2015). The VEGF-dependent angiogenesis induced by TAMs can be targeted by VEGF and VEGF receptor inhibitors such as bevacizumab, sorafenib, and sunitinib (Ellis and Hicklin, 2008).

2.4.1 ECM stiffness influence cancer progression

The concept of matrix stiffness in cancer refers to the physical rigidity or stiffness of the ECM. Alterations in the stiffness of the ECM have been observed to influence various aspects of tumor progression and behavior. Solid tumors, such as breast or pancreatic cancer, possess exceptionally stiff ECM. Transcription factors play a pivotal role in these activities, as they react to the stiffness of the matrix and are essential for cellular responses. One extensively researched transcription factor influenced by matrix stiffness is the YAP/TAZ. YAP/TAZ activation occurs in response to a rigid matrix, promoting malignant characteristics in cancer and stromal cells, including CAFs (Ishihara and Haga, 2022). The physiological and chemical remodeling of the ECM during cancer progression involves proteases breaking down the ECM and increased collagen fibril cross-linking mediated by lysyl oxidase (LOX) (Madsen et al., 2015). This raises interstitial fluid pressure, activating CAFs to upregulate TGF-B1 and MMPs, facilitating cancer cell invasion.

Stiffness is a pivotal factor in cancer progression, requiring mechanotransduction for CAF generation and maintenance. The increase in stiffness alone has the potential to stimulate tumor growth. Recent research emphasized stiff TME's impact on cellular communication network factor 1 (CCN1) regulation in ECs. This regulation elevates the interaction between melanoma cells and endothelium, thereby promoting metastasis through the vasculature (Reid et al., 2017). Pape et al. constructed a 3D model recreating the matrix density occurring in tumors and compared the impact of CAF-rich and healthy stroma on the cross-talk between CCs and ECs. They found that CAFs enhance the surface area of CRC invasion into the stromal compartment. These phenomena

were linked to the increased expression of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), metallopeptidase inhibitor 1 (TIMP1), and fibulin-5 (FBLN5). Interestingly, it hindered the formation of de novo vasculature (vasculogenesis) but not angiogenesis. The remodeling process occurred by disrupting endothelial networks, accompanied by an upregulation of VEGFA and a downregulation of vascular endothelial cadherin (VE-Cadherin). VEGFA is involved in acquiring mature blood vessels within the cancer (Pape et al., 2020). Tumors often tend to form aberrant and leaky vessels due to unregulated release of growth factors (Li et al., 2017), hence, blockade of vasculogenesis by CAFs may restrain this mechanism. Vessels within the TME frequently struggle to reach the final stages of maturation, leading to the development of leaky vasculature (Anderson and Simon, 2020). CAFs secrete CXCL12, enhancing local blood vessel analogs' permeability in the TME. Knockdown of fibroblast-specific CXCL12 reduces vessel permeability, suggesting a role in CAF-mediated ECM remodeling. However, autocrine CXCL12 is not the main initiator of CAF contractility, highlighting its distinct functions in promoting a permeable endothelium that is more prone to angiogenesis and tumor cell intravasation (Holter et al., 2022). Even without soluble CXCL12, CAFs can increase vessel permeability in the nearby TME through alternative mechanisms, like ECM remodeling or reprogramming the TME (Shen et al., 2020). Underdeveloped vasculature and rapid growth of the tumor contribute to an insufficient supply of oxygen and nutrients, which causes cellular stress (Costa-Mattioli and Walter, 2020). The Integrated Stress Response (ISR) is a network of pathways crucial for cellular protein homeostasis and overall adaptations to nutrient starvation on the cellular level. ISR is also activated in cancer cells since some driving mutations like PTEN increase protein synthesis, which requires activation of ISR pathways as a response (Nguyen et al., 2018). As part of the ISR, activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) regulates protein synthesis, antioxidant production, and autophagy. A recent report showed that in melanoma and pancreatic tumors ATF4 is also important for fibroblast activation, and its knockout inhibits CAF-mediated development of tumor vasculature and tumor growth and metastasis (Verginadis et al., 2022).

2.5 Adipocytes and metabolism reprogramming

Adipocytes are the main driving force during the transformation of normal tissue to malignant. They provide energy for regulating various processes in TME and participate in endocrine signaling. Since BC develops near adipose tissue, cancer-associated adipocytes (CAAs) are essential during its progression and metabolic reprogramming due to the secretion of adipokines and energy storage (Brock et al., 2021). Predominantly secreted adipokines include leptin, GLUT4, restin, apelin, adiponectin, and chemerin (Figure 1). Leptin has been shown to play a role in various stages of tumor development, including initiation, growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis. It triggers important pathways such as JAK/STAT, PI3K/ Akt, and MEK/ERK1/2. Moreover, leptin causes upregulation of GLUT-1, which increases glucose absorption and modulates glycolysis-associated enzymes, i.a., hexokinase, pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), and lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA). On the other hand, adiponectin exhibits anti-tumor effects by inhibiting SREBPsdependent lipogenesis and decreases lipid absorption from TME by downregulating CD36 and LDLR. There is also a hypothesis that adiponectin activates autophagy by promoting lipid degradation through β -fatty oxidation (Pham and Park, 2021). Picon-Ruiz et al. found that co-culture of adipocytes with BC cells leads to the release of IL-8, IL-6, IFN γ -inducible protein 10, CCL2, and CCL5. Once immature adipocytes produce those cytokines, it can cause tumor initiation and metastasis (Picon-Ruiz et al., 2016).

Cancers with an adipose-rich TME may exploit fatty acids as the source to stimulate tumor growth and survival (Peng et al., 2021). Adipocytes produce free fatty acids (FFAs), which are then transferred to cancer cells to generate ATP through β -oxidation (Jung and Le, 2021). CAFs can mediate lipid metabolism reprogramming and store more fatty acids and phospholipids to adjust to the deficiency of nutrients in the TME. The lipidomic profiling of CAFs CM revealed increased phospholipids, fatty acids, and cholesteryl ester levels. A deeper analysis of fatty acids in comparison to NFs indicated an increase in diglycerides, phosphatidic acid, phosphatidylinositol, lyso-phophatidylcholines, and phosphatidylethanolamines, demonstrating that CAFs go through lipidomic reprogramming (Gong et al., 2020).

Cancer cells' metabolism adapts to the microenvironment in which the cells reside. One of the most significant changes is the shift from oxidative phosphorylation towards aerobic glycolysis, even in normoxic conditions. This effect is called the Warburg effect and has been thoroughly researched and described (Vaupel et al., 2019). Since glycolysis is less energy efficient, cancer cells consume much more glucose, a characteristic that can be used for diagnostic purposes. The metabolism of cancer cells is also co-regulated with other cells within TME. While cancer cells can absorb glucose and excrete lactate, in CAFs, lactate absorption, oxidation, and reduced glucose absorption are induced (Koukourakis et al., 2006). The tumor-associated endothelial cells express protein related to higher aerobic metabolism, glucose absorption, and reduced lactate intake. The metabolic collaboration between different TME populations is required for cancer growth. Immune cells also adapt to the TME through changes in metabolism. The increased lactate production by cancer cells can shift the TAMs towards a more M2-like phenotype (Colegio et al., 2014). Macrophages in thyroid cancer present with a metabolism shifted towards aerobic glycolysis through the mTOR pathway (Arts et al., 2016). This pathway influences macrophage glycolysis and promotes epigenetic reprogramming through methylation, with both processes contributing further to the inflammatory functions.

2.6 Senescent and aging TME promotes tumorigenesis

The aging of the TME introduces many changes that can significantly influence cancer progression and therapeutic responses. With advancing age, there is a tendency for increased cellular senescence, leading to an inflammatory milieu within the TME (McHugh and Gil 2018). Aging and senescence are closely related concepts and are often used interchangeably, but it is important to address some biological differences. Cellular senescence can contribute to age-related pathologies, and the accumulation of senescence cells is associated with some aspects of aging (Campisi 2013). During senescence, cells undergo irreversible growth arrest, while aging refers to a progressive decline in physiological function over time influenced by genetic and environmental factors (Schmeer et al., 2019). Senescence can be induced by DNA damage and cellular stress to prevent the proliferation of damaged or cancerous cells. Lowdose radiation induces senescence phenotype. Senescence CAFs cultured with BC cells supported their viability and showed protective effects against adriamycin via the PI3/Akt pathway (Tsai et al., 2009). Another way of becoming senescent is through metabolism reprogramming. Senescent fibroblasts may control CAF phenotype by the accumulation of ROS that manifests in elevated α-SMA and vimentin levels regulated by the JAK/ STAT3 pathway. The possible mechanism suggests that increased ROS levels intensify the activation of STAT3 phosphorylation by inhibiting its negative regulator, SHP2 phosphatase (Li et al., 2022). In lung cancer, many radiotherapy complications are associated with fibrosis, which also might be mediated by senescent CAFs. Meng et al. assessed the impact of senescent CAF-CM on lung cancer cells and discovered greater radioresistance and a significant increase in expression of SOX2, FOS, MYC, CD44, WNT7A, CXCL1, CXCL6, CXCL8, MMP2, BRAF, and KRAS. Moreover, they found a decrease in RT-induced apoptosis, which may be reversed by STAT3 inhibition, stating its essential contribution to radioresistance (Meng et al., 2021).

Studies show that senescent fibroblasts stimulate tumorigenesis and proliferation by expressing senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) (Coppé et al., 2010). In the aging stroma, the local accumulation of SASP cells may create a specific environment crucial for tumors. Research using samples from young and aged human and mouse lungs has revealed that the age-related buildup of senescent cells with SASP can elevate collagen density and crosslinking (Fane and Weeraratna, 2020). Age-related alterations in the ECM composition and stiffness could impede the penetration of therapeutic agents, affecting their ability to reach CCs. Senescent cells impact the distribution of laminins and collagens, affecting inflammatory phenotypes and promoting tumor proliferation (Owyong et al., 2018). Research on prostate tumors in aged mice suggests the involvement of collagen I and gelatinase in vascular ingrowth and tumor progression (Reed 2007). Non-senescent aged fibroblasts derived from healthy human donors exhibit characteristics that promote tumor progression. In a mouse model of skin melanoma, younger animals injected with YUMM1.7 cells developed faster-growing primary tumors, while aged mice displayed increased vessel density and elevated lung micrometastases. Aged fibroblasts from human donors over 55 years old, when introduced into organotypic 3D human skin reconstructions, induced greater invasion but less proliferation in melanoma cell lines compared to fibroblasts from younger donors. Proteomic analysis revealed that the secretion of secreted frizzledrelated protein 2 (SFRP2), a canonical WNT antagonist, was significantly higher in aged fibroblasts, contributing to increased melanoma cell invasiveness. Treatment with recombinant SFRP2 in young mice further increased tumor angiogenesis and lung metastasis (Kaur et al., 2016). Senescent CAFs derived from aged individuals demonstrate the production of growth-promoting chemokines, such as CCL-5, contributing to enhanced angiogenesis (Eyman et al., 2009).

3 CAFs: orchestrators of resistance in the TME

3.1 Drug resistance in 2D and 3D cell cultures

While the research on the effects of drugs on complex TME is progressing, it is important to note that not all models are equally suitable for this topic. The essential step in planning an experiment is to choose an appropriate cellular model for the ongoing research, considering the origin of the cells (established or primary cell lines) and their arrangement (2D, 3D, microfluidic, explant). 2D and 3D cultures exhibit significant differences in immune response, intercellular cross-talk, and drug resistance (Boucherit et al., 2020). Moreover, some drugs act differently under exposure to hypoxia occurring in 3D culture. Some chemotherapeutics, i.e., cisplatin, 5-FU, and doxorubicin, rely on oxygen and show lower effectiveness in 3D culture, and tirapazamine is more competent in hypoxic conditions (Hoarau-Vechot et al., 2018). Breslin et al. examined the impact of the HER-targeting drug, neratinib, on HER-positive BC cell lines (BT474, HCC 1954, and EFM192A) in 2D and 3D cultures. Cells cultivated in 3D showed significantly lower viability after 6 days in culture. However, the neratinib efficacy was substantially decreased since cells in 3D exhibited 78%-90% survival, compared to 60%-65% for respective 2D cultures. Analogous results were observed for the chemotherapeutic drug docetaxel. Interestingly, the type of culture did not impact EMT markers. The reason for elevated drug resistance in 3D cells might be the increased activity of the drugmetabolizing enzyme CYP3A4 in 3D cultures (Breslin and Driscoll, 2016). Another critical factor that must be considered is cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. Cells cultured in 3D usually show greater stiffness, leading to restricted action of drugs and inhibitors (Feng et al., 2022), as presented by Imamura et al., where more compact spheroids exhibited resistance to paclitaxel and doxorubicin (Imamura et al., 2015). Regarding nanoparticle (NP) usage, cells cultured in 2D and 3D also showed various reactions to NPs concentration or ROS accumulation (Musielak et al., 2023). Considering all the mentioned differences, researchers must carefully choose a cell culture model since promising results obtained in 2D culture may not be replicable in 3D.

3.2 Interactions between CAFs and TAMs mediate therapy resistance

Macrophages present within the TME may also influence therapy resistance. Understanding the intricate interplay between macrophages, CAFs, and CCs in the context of drug resistance is crucial for developing strategies to overcome resistance and enhance the efficacy of cancer treatments. Targeting the pro-tumoral functions of TAMs or modulating the immune microenvironment are active research areas in developing novel cancer therapies. There are several pathways through which CAFs and TAMs interact within tumors, and since these interactions are protumorigenic, many research groups tried to suppress these interactions. TAMs are a vital population responsible for immunosuppression within tumors, a major obstacle for immunotherapy, which presents a new therapeutic target (Zhu et al., 2014). Chi3L1, one of the CAF markers in BC related to TAM recruitment, was targeted as a treatment approach in a mouse model (Cohen et al., 2017). Ablation of Chi3L1 inhibited macrophage recruitment and polarization, increased infiltration by CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells, and also reduced tumor growth. Immunosuppression, crucial in tumor progression and resistance to therapy, might also be induced by CAFs. CM from CAFs induces higher expression of an immunosuppressive marker PD-1 in monocytes *in vitro* (Gok Yavuz et al., 2019).

Targeting the TAMs can be especially appealing not only due to their pro-tumorigenic role but also due to the effect TAMs have on response to therapy. Several pathways in different cancer types have been described as potentially responsible for TAM-mediated chemoresistance, which presents viable targets for new therapeutic approaches. In a xenograft model of BC in mice, TAMs were shown to reduce the effectiveness of chemotherapy (CMF: Cyclophosphamide, Methotrexate, 5-FU) through CSF-1 signaling (Paulus et al., 2006). Crucially, administering anti-CSF-1 significantly reduced the chemoresistance and improved mice survival. TAM-mediated resistance to 5-FU was also observed in CRC patient tissues and mouse models and was mediated by IL-6 secreted by TAMs (Yin et al., 2017). The authors of this study also showed that blocking the IL-6 reversed the protective effect of TAMs. Due to their immunosuppressive character, TAMs can also significantly affect the response to immunotherapy. Targeting PD-1 is one of the most commonly used types of immunotherapy. Research on hepatocellular carcinoma revealed that one of the factors limiting the efficacy of anti-PD-1 treatment might be the structure of the TME (Liu et al., 2023). The authors showed that the tumor immune barrier created by SPP1+ macrophages interacting with CAFs hinders immune infiltration, and targeting the SPP1 improved the efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy in the murine model of liver cancer (Figure 2). In melanoma, TAM-derived TNFa mediated resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors, and applying TNFa signaling inhibitor targeted the cancer cells and TME sensitizing the tumor to immunotherapy (Smith et al., 2014). Feig et al. observed that CD8⁺ T cells are present in the murine pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) model. However, administration of anti- α -CTLA-4 and anti- α -PD-L1 therapy alone did not improve the immune killing of cancer cells (Feig et al., 2013). Only when the immune checkpoint therapy was coupled with inhibition of FAP+ CAF-secreted CXCL12 the anti-tumor effect was observed. To evade phagocytosis, tumor cells employ a defense mechanism by upregulating surface proteins known as CD47, which is an antiphagocytic signal binding to the inhibitory TAM-receptor signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRPa). Notably, CD47 not only prevents phagocytosis but also supports cancer cell proliferation through the PI3K/AKT pathway. The CD47 signaling pathway is recognized as a significant contributor to therapy resistance. Targeting CD47 inhibition emerges as a promising therapeutic strategy, especially when combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors,



Mechanisms of therapy resistance induced by CAF-1ME crosstalk. (A) Exosomal miRNA-mediated chemoresistance. Exosomes derived from CAFs secrete miRNA, which impacts cancer cells and causes the increase of stemness, downregulation of CDX2/HEPH and HIPK, or inhibit apoptosis that leads to chemoresistance. (B) Irradiated CAFs secrete cytokines, including TIMP, IL-2, FGF9 and HGF. HGF binds to the cMet receptor, which induces an Akt signaling cascade leading to induction of DNA repair. (C) TAMs impact chemoresistance via IL-6 or CSF-1, immunotherapy resistance by creating SPP1+ macrophage barrier, CXCL12 and TNFα, and radioresistance through PD-L1.

offering a potential avenue to enhance treatment efficacy (Raskov et al., 2021).

3.2.1 CAF-derived miRNAs in the response to treatment

CAF-derived exosomal (CDE) miRNAs play a crucial role in shaping the TME, specifically focusing on their interactions with

CAFs. Understanding the intricate communication network involving exosomal miRNAs and CAFs provides valuable insights into the mechanisms underlying tumor-stromal interactions, offering potential therapeutic targets for disrupting the supportive environment that fuels cancer progression and offering new perspectives for clinical applications in tumortargeted therapy.

miRNA	Expression level	Function	References			
Breast cancer						
miR-221	Upregulated	Hormonal therapy resistance by a generation of CD133 ^{high} CSCs	Sansone et al. (2017)			
miR-22	Upregulated	Induces tamoxifen resistance in CD63 ⁺ CAFs	Gao et al. (2020)			
Colon cancer						
miR-24-3p	Upregulated	Mediates resistance to chemotherapy by downregulation of CDX2/HEPH	Zhang et al. (2021)			
miR-770-5p	Upregulated	Induces chemoresistance by downregulation of HIPK1	Zhang et al. (2020a)			
miR-590-3p	Upregulated	Elevates radioresistance via CLCA4-dependent PI3K/Akt signaling	Chen et al. (2021c)			
Gastrointestinal cancer						
miR-522	-	Inhibits ferroptosis by lipid-ROS accumulation blockage	Zhang et al. (2020b)			
miR-27a/b	Upregulated	Induce transformation of NFs to CAFs that mediate resistance to chemotherapy	Tanaka et al. (2015)			
Bladder cancer						
miR-146a-5p	Upregulated	Promote cancer stemness and chemoresistance	Zhuang et al. (2023)			
miR-148b-3p	Downregulated	Induces chemosensitivity via downregulation of Wnt/β -catenin pathway	Shan et al. (2021)			
Lung cancer						
miR-196a-5p	Upregulated	Enhance radioresistance in lung cancer cells by downregulating NFKBIA	Yao et al. (2023)			
miR-20a	Upregulated	Restricts PTEN/PI3K-AKT pathway to induce the chemoresistance	Shi et al. (2022)			
miR-103a-30	Upregulated	Suppresses apoptosis and promotes cisplatin resistance	Wang et al. (2021a)			
Pancreatic cancer						
miR-106b		Promotes gemcitabine resistance of CCs by targeting TP53INP1	Fang et al. (2019)			
Ovarian cancer						
miR-296-3p	Upregulated	Activates Akt and STAT3 pathway and promote chemotherapy resistance	Sun et al. (2023)			
miR-98-5p	Upregulated	Promotes cisplatin resistance and downregulate CDKN1A	Guo et al. (2019)			

TABLE 3 Exosomal microRNAs involved in therapy resistance.

CDEs have been implicated in the response to cancer treatment. Yan's study focused on the role of CDEs miR-146a-5p in promoting cancer stemness and chemoresistance in urothelial bladder cancer (UBC). Exosomal miR-146a-5p is identified as a potential biomarker for UBC recurrence and a therapeutic target (Table 3) (Zhuang et al., 2023). Another group also examined mechanisms underlying the conversion of stemcells to cancer-stem cells (CSCs) upon miRNA transfer in BC. They found that CAF-derived exosomes facilitated the transfer of miR-221 to tumor cells. When coupled with hormone therapy, this process activated a feed-forward loop characterized by low estrogen receptor and high Notch expression, contributing to the formation of CD133^{high} CSCs (Sansone et al., 2017). Gao et al. elaborated on how CAFs convey tamoxifen resistance to BC cells. RNA-sequencing provided data about a new CAF subset (CD63⁺ CAFs) that induces tamoxifen resistance. Further investigation revealed that CD63⁺ CAFs secrete miR-22, which causes therapy failure (Gao et al., 2020).

Shi et al. investigated the influence of chemoresistant CAFderived exosomes on CRC progression. The study reveals that exosomes from chemoresistant CAFs promote CRC cell growth and resistance to cisplatin through the delivery of VEGFA (Shi Y. et al., 2023). Methotrexate (MTX) is a chemotherapeutic drug often used to cure CRC, so it is essential to examine its mechanism of action in the treatment of tumors. Zhang et al. found that CAFderived miR-24-3p mediates resistance to MTX by downregulating the CDX2/HEPH axis (Zhang et al., 2021). Another group revealed the importance of miR-770-5p which induced MTX chemoresistance by downregulation of HIPK1 (Figure 2) (Zhang et al., 2020a).

Yao et al. found that CDEs miR-196a-5p could enhance the radioresistance in lung cancer cells by downregulating NFKBIA, which promoted malignant phenotype. They speculate that this axis may provide a new potential target for lung cancer radiation treatment (Yao et al., 2023). In the case of CRC, radioresistance can be induced by miR-590-3p, which impacts the PI3/Akt pathway (Chen et al., 2021b).

Understanding the intricate interplay between CAFs, their exosomes, and cancer cells has unveiled novel insights into tumor biology and therapeutic opportunities. Collectively, these studies emphasize the potential of targeting CDEs and associated molecular pathways to develop innovative cancer therapies. Future research may further explore the clinical applications of these findings in personalized cancer treatment strategies.

3.3 Understanding CAF-mediated radioresistance

Radiotherapy (RT) is undoubtedly one of the most efficient cancer treatments and is currently oriented towards minimalizing damage to non-malignant regions by utilizing, i.a., stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) or FLASH radiotherapy (Kulcenty et al., 2020; Matuszak et al., 2022; Piotrowski et al., 2022). However, the primary and acquired resistance to radiation exhibited by cancer and stroma cells remains one of the major challenges (Wang Z. et al., 2019). TME plays a pivotal role in tumorigenesis and therapeutic resistance. However, through TME cells, ionizing radiation may even act favorably on tumor progression (Baumann et al., 2016). CAF involvement in resistance to chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and targeted treatments has been much discussed. Still, recently, CAFs have also been linked to the ineffectiveness of radiotherapy and unfavorable clinical results afterward (Domogauer et al., 2021). Once irradiated, CAFs display unusual radioresistance behavior, as radiation induces cytotoxic effects without resulting in cell lysis (Zhang et al., 2023). Research examining the immediate cytotoxicity of fractionated radiotherapy on CAFs has revealed their intrinsic resistance to radiation. Radiation induces cell-cycle arrest, DNA damage, and p53 activation in CAFs, yet it does not lead to their death (Wang Z. et al., 2019). In BC, irradiated CAFs excrete cytokines protecting them from apoptosis, such as IL-2, fibroblast growth factor 9 (FGF9), brain-derived neurotrophic factor, TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 1, and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (Wang et al., 2023a). Fibroblasts release HGF, while its receptor, the c-Met receptor tyrosine kinase, is predominantly found in epithelial cancer cells (Jiang et al., 1999), and it mediates AKT signaling cascade activating DNA repair mechanisms and EMT (Figure 2) (Wang et al., 2023a). HGF secretion postradiation is in line with observations in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLS) (Berzaghi et al., 2021). Cells not exposed to ionizing radiation can also enhance tumorigenicity with increased levels of HGF. Moreover, BC cells have the ability to modify the behavior of nearby fibroblasts, prompting them to release HGF to promote their development via paracrine signaling (Tyan et al., 2011).

CAFs not only acquire radioresistance but also protect cancer cells from fatal outcomes of radiation. Depending on the applied model, CAFs exhibit various effects regarding CCs survival. The co-culture of CAFs with BC cells caused a delay in tumor development for 3 days after IR, compared to the BC cells alone, which showed a 6-day growth delay, suggesting faster recovery of BC cells once cultured with fibroblasts. Conversely, in the prostate cancer model, such an effect was not observed (Steer et al., 2019). Authophagy plays an essential role in CAF-mediated resistance of cancer cells to radiation. Autophagy is a mechanism that allows a cell to degrade and recycle organelles, proteins, and macromolecules, a process important to cell maintenance and survival in stress (Parzych and Klionsky, 2014). IGF1/2, CXCL12 and β-hydroxybutyrate secreted by CAFs induce autophagy in cancer cells, significantly increasing their recovery after irradiation (Wang et al., 2017). This effect was specific to irradiated cells, and targeting the autophagy pathway mitigated cancer recovery post-irradiation, suggesting a potential therapeutic approach.

CAFs' multifaceted nature enables them to impact other cells by releasing cytokines and growth factors, but also through metabolism reprogramming. Domogauer et al. found that radioresistance relies on the CAF tissue of origin and is related to the ability to repair DNA single- and double-breaks. The co-culture of CAFs with MDA-MB-231 decreased caveolin-1 (CAV-1) expression associated with oxidative stress, which is one of the hallmarks of cancer. Subsequently, these events increased manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) anti-oxidant activity, contributing to acquired radioresistance (Domogauer et al., 2021). Scientists discovered that CAFs can regulate the expression of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) in esophageal cancer through CXCL1. CXCL1 secreted by CAFs suppressed the expression of the reactive oxygen species (ROS)-scavenging enzyme SOD1, resulting in elevated ROS levels after exposure to radiation. This led to enhanced DNA damage repair and mediation of radioresistance. CXCL1 secreted by CAFs also contributed to radioresistance by activating the Mek/Erk pathway (Zhang et al., 2017b).

IL-6 is a crucial cytokine activating the JAK/STAT3 pathway, participating in BC progression and therapeutic resistance (Manore et al., 2022). Recent research indicated the inherent contribution of CAFs in BC cells in IL-6-mediated radioresistance, which was connected to worse patient survival. However, STAT3 inhibitors and IL-6 antibodies could inhibit this effect, indicating potential in targeting the IL-6/JAK/STAT3 cascade to increase the radiosensitivity of BC cells (Guo et al., 2023).

Radiotherapy, especially delivered at high doses, can increase the immune response against cancer (Finkelstein et al., 2011), however, how radiation impacts the interplay between CAFs and immune cells is not fully understood. Macrophages irradiated in vitro with 2 Gy fraction doses present an increased inflammatory phenotype (Teresa Pinto et al., 2016). When CAF-TAM interaction is considered, research shows that CAFs mediated M2-polarization of TAMs after high-dose irradiation, which in turn induced radioresistance in cervical cancer cells (Sheng et al., 2023). Recent research by Gao et al. revealed that irradiation of macrophages also induces immunosuppression by increasing PD-L1 expression (Gao et al., 2023). More importantly, in a mouse model, authors demonstrated that a combination of ATM kinase inhibitor, JAK1/2 inhibitor, and irradiation with 3 doses of 6 Gy resulted in higher CD8⁺ T cell infiltration than irradiation alone. CAFs release several cytokines which suppress the activity of T-cells. It seems that irradiation of CAFs does not strongly modulate this effect-in an in vitro study, the secretion of immunosuppressive molecules such as prostaglandin E2, IL-6, IL-10, and TGF- β was not altered after irradiation of lung cancer CAFs (Gorchs et al., 2015). In a similar experiment, the proteins secreted by non-irradiated CAFs and by CAFs irradiated by single high dose or multiple fractions were not different and mediated immunosuppressive effect on macrophages (Berzaghi et al., 2019). The immunosuppressive effect of CAFs on NK cells is also not altered by irradiation, despite the upregulation of inhibitory molecules on the CAF surface (Yang et al., 2021). The abovementioned studies underline that irradiation does not substantially change the composition of CAF-secreted

molecules, which is a crucial mechanism of immune suppression. While additional research is necessary, current data show a pivotal role of CAF-TAM crosstalk in tumor progression, and new data suggest that targeting this crosstalk could improve patient outcomes.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, our comprehensive exploration into the intricate crosstalk between CAFs and various cellular components, including cancer cells, macrophages, adipocytes, exosomes, and endothelial cells, within tumor provides valuable insight into the multifaceted dynamics underlying cancer progression, therapy resistance, and metabolic reprogramming. The orchestrated interplay among these diverse cellular entities highlights the complexity of the TME and underscores the significance of considering its holistic nature in understanding and devising therapeutic strategies for cancer. By unraveling the intricate web of interactions, our summary paves the way for more targeted and effective interventions to disrupt key signaling pathways and miRNAs and enhance treatment outcomes in research and therapy.

Author contributions

OP: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. IP: Writing-review and editing. WS: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing-review and editing. KK: Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

References

Aird, W. C. (2007). Phenotypic heterogeneity of the endothelium: II. Representative vascular beds. *Circulation Res.* 100 (2), 174–190. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000255690. 03436.AE

Allaoui, R., Bergenfelz, C., Mohlin, S., Hagerling, C., Salari, K., Werb, Z., et al. (2016). Cancer-associated fibroblast-secreted CXCL16 attracts monocytes to promote stroma activation in triple-negative breast cancers. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13050. doi:10.1038/ ncomms13050

Anderson, N. M., and Simon, M. C. (2020). The tumor microenvironment. *Curr. Biol.* 30, R921–R925. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.081

Arts, R. J. W., Plantinga, T. S., Tuit, S., Ulas, T., Heinhuis, B., Tesselaar, M., et al. (2016). Transcriptional and metabolic reprogramming induce an inflammatory phenotype in non-medullary thyroid carcinoma-induced macrophages. *OncoImmunology* 5 (12), e1229725. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2016.1229725

Asif, P. J., Longobardi, C., Hahne, M., and Medema, J. P. (2021). The role of cancerassociated fibroblasts in cancer invasion and metastasis. *Cancers* 13 (4720), 4720. doi:10. 3390/cancers13184720

Bartoschek, M., Oskolkov, N., Bocci, M., Lövrot, J., Larsson, C., Sommarin, M., et al. (2018). Spatially and functionally distinct subclasses of breast cancer-associated fibroblasts revealed by single cell RNA sequencing. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (5150), 5150. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07582-3

Baumann, M., Krause, M., Overgaard, J., Debus, J., Bentzen, S. M., Daartz, J., et al. (2016). Radiation oncology in the era of precision medicine. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 16 (4), 234–249. doi:10.1038/nrc.2016.18

Bergers, G., Brekken, R., McMahon, G., Vu, T. H., Itoh, T., Tamaki, K., et al. (2000). Matrix metalloproteinase-9 triggers the angiogenic switch during carcinogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2 (10), 737–744. doi:10.1038/35036374

Berzaghi, R., Ahktar, M. A., Islam, A., Pedersen, B. D., Hellevik, T., and Martinez-Zubiaurre, I. (2019). Fibroblast-mediated immunoregulation of macrophage function is maintained after irradiation. *Cancers* 11 (5), 689. doi:10.3390/CANCERS11050689

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The research was supported by the intramural grant number WCO/1/2021(240) of the Greater Poland Cancer Centre.

Acknowledgments

Figures created with Mind the Graph (www. mindthegraph.com).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Berzaghi, R., Tornaas, S., Lode, K., Hellevik, T., and Martinez-Zubiaurre, I. (2021). Ionizing radiation curtails immunosuppressive effects from cancer-associated fibroblasts on dendritic cells. *Front. Immunol.* 12 (June), 662594. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2021.662594

Binnewies, M., Roberts, E. W., Kersten, K., Chan, V., Fearon, D. F., Merad, M., et al. (2018). Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. *Nat. Med.* 24 (5), 541–550. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0014-x

Blohm, U., Roth, E., Brommer, K., Dumrese, T., Rosenthal, F. M., and Pircher, H. (2002). Lack of effector cell function and altered tetramer binding of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. J. Immunol. 169 (10), 5522–5530. doi:10.4049/JIMMUNOL.169.10.5522

Boucherit, N., Gorvel, L., and Olive, D. (2020). 3D tumor models and their use for the testing of immunotherapies. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 603640. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020. 603640

Breslin, S., and Driscoll, L. O. (2016). The relevance of using 3D cell cultures, in addition to 2D monolayer cultures, when evaluating breast cancer drug sensitivity and resistance. *Oncotarget* 7 (29), 45745–45756. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9935

Brock, C. K., Hebert, K. L., Artiles, M., Wright, M. K., Cheng, T., Windsor, G. O., et al. (2021). A role for adipocytes and adipose stem cells in the breast tumor microenvironment and regenerative medicine. *Front. Physiology* 12, 751239. doi:10. 3389/fphys.2021.751239

Casanova-Acebes, M., Dalla, E., Leader, A. M., LeBerichel, J., Nikolic, J., Morales, B. M., et al. (2021). Tissue-resident macrophages provide a pro-tumorigenic niche to early NSCLC cells. *Nature* 595 (7868), 578–584. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03651-8

Chen, B., Sang, Y., Song, X., Zhang, D., Wang, L., Zhao, W., et al. (2021). Exosomal miR-500a-5p derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and metastasis through targeting USP28. *Theranostics* 11 (8), 3932–3947. doi:10.7150/thno.53412

Chen, S., Morine, Y., Tokuda, K., Yamada, S., Saito, Y., Nishi, M., et al. (2021). Cancer-associated fibroblast-induced M2-polarized macrophages promote hepatocellular carcinoma progression via the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 pathway. Int. J. Oncol. 59 (59), 59-14. doi:10.3892/ijo.2021.5239

Chen, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, Q., Liu, B., Cheng, Y., Zhang, Y., et al. (2021). Exosomal miR-590-3p derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts confers radioresistance in colorectal cancer. *Mol. Ther.* - *Nucleic Acids* 24, 113–126. doi:10.1016/j.omtn.2020.11.003

Chen, Z., Feng, X., Herting, C. J., Garcia, V. A., Nie, K., Pong, W. W., et al. (2017). Cellular and molecular identity of tumor-associated macrophages in glioblastoma. *Cancer Res.* 77 (9), 2266–2278. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2310

Cho, H., Seo, Y., Loke, K. M., Kim, S. W., Oh, S. M., Kim, J. H., et al. (2018). Cancerstimulated CAFs enhance monocyte differentiation and protumoral TAM activation via IL6 and GM-CSF secretion. *Clin. cancer Res. official J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 24 (21), 5407–5421. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0125

Cohen, N., Shani, O., Raz, Y., Sharon, Y., Hoffman, D., Abramovitz, L., et al. (2017). Fibroblasts drive an immunosuppressive and growth-promoting microenvironment in breast cancer via secretion of Chitinase 3-like 1. *Oncogene* 36 (31), 4457–4468. doi:10. 1038/onc.2017.65

Colegio, O. R., Chu, N. Q., Szabo, A. L., Chu, T., Rhebergen, A. M., Jairam, V., et al. (2014). Functional polarization of tumour-associated macrophages by tumour-derived lactic acid. *Nature* 513 (7519), 559–563. doi:10.1038/nature13490

Comito, G., Giannoni, E., Segura, C. P., Barcellos-de-Souza, P., Raspollini, M. R., Baroni, G., et al. (2013). Cancer-associated fibroblasts and M2-polarized macrophages synergize during prostate carcinoma progression. *Oncogene* 33 (19), 2423–2431. doi:10. 1038/onc.2013.191

Costa, A., Kieffer, Y., Scholer-Dahirel, A., Pelon, F., Bourachot, B., Cardon, M., et al. (2018). Fibroblast heterogeneity and immunosuppressive environment in human breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 33 (3), 463–479. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.01.011

Costa-Mattioli, M., and Walter, P. (2020). The integrated stress response: from mechanism to disease. *Science* 368 (6489), eaat5314. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAT5314

Curtis, M. B., Kelly, N., Hughes, C. C. W., and George, S. C. (2022). Organotypic stromal cells impact endothelial cell transcriptome in 3D microvessel networks. *Sci. Rep.* 12 (0123456789), 20434. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-24013-y

Das, A., Sinha, M., Datta, S., Abas, M., Chaffee, S., Sen, C. K., et al. (2015). Monocyte and macrophage plasticity in tissue repair and regeneration. *Am. J. pathology* 185 (10), 2596–2606. doi:10.1016/J.AJPATH.2015.06.001

Davidson, S., Efremova, M., Riedel, A., Mahata, B., Pramanik, J., Huuhtanen, J., et al. (2020). Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals a dynamic stromal niche that supports tumor growth. *Cell Rep.* 31 (7), 107628. doi:10.1016/J.CELREP.2020.107628

Deng, Y., Cheng, J., Fu, B., Liu, W., Chen, G., Zhang, Q., et al. (2017). Hepatic carcinoma-associated fibroblasts enhance immune suppression by facilitating the generation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Oncogene* 36 (8), 1090–1101. doi:10. 1038/ONC.2016.273

Deryugina, E. I., Zajac, E., Juncker-Jensen, A., Kupriyanova, T. A., Welter, L., and Quigley, J. P. (2014). Tissue-infiltrating neutrophils constitute the major *in vivo* source of angiogenesis-inducing MMP-9 in the tumor microenvironment. *Neoplasia (New York, N.Y.)* 16 (10), 771–788. doi:10.1016/J.NEO.2014.08.013

Dirkx, A. E. M., Oude Egbrink, M. G. A., Wagstaff, J., and Griffioen, A. W. (2006). Monocyte/macrophage infiltration in tumors: modulators of angiogenesis. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 80 (6), 1183–1196. doi:10.1189/JLB.0905495

Dittmer, A., and Dittmer, J. (2018). Long-term exposure to carcinoma-associated fibroblasts makes breast cancer cells addictive to integrin β 1. Oncotarget 9 (31), 22079–22094. doi:10.18632/ONCOTARGET.25183

Dittmer, A., Lange, T., Leyh, B., and Dittmer, J. (2020). Protein- and growthmodulatory effects of carcinoma-associated fibroblasts on breast cancer cells: role of interleukin-6. *Int. J. Oncol.* 56, 258–272. doi:10.3892/ijo.2019.4918

Domogauer, J. D., de Toledo, S. M., Howell, R. W., and Azzam, E. I. (2021). Acquired radioresistance in cancer associated fibroblasts is concomitant with enhanced antioxidant potential and DNA repair capacity. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 19 (1), 30. doi:10.1186/s12964-021-00711-4

Dou, D., Ren, X., Han, M., Xu, X., Ge, X., Gu, Y., et al. (2020). Cancer-associated fibroblasts-derived exosomes suppress immune cell function in breast cancer via the miR-92/PD-L1 pathway. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 2026. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.02026

Ellis, L. M., and Hicklin, D. J. (2008). VEGF-targeted therapy: mechanisms of antitumour activity. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8 (8), 579–591. doi:10.1038/NRC2403

Elyada, E., Bolisetty, M., Laise, P., Flynn, W. F., Courtois, E. T., Burkhart, R. A., et al. (2019). Cross-species single-cell analysis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma reveals antigen-presenting cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Cancer Discov.* 9 (8), 1102–1123. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0094

Eyman, D., Damodarasamy, M., Plymate, S. R., and Reed, M. J. (2009). CCL5 secreted by senescent aged fibroblasts induces proliferation of prostate epithelial cells and expression of genes that modulate angiogenesis. *J. Cell. physiology* 220 (2), 376–381. doi:10.1002/JCP.21776

Fan, J., Xu, G., Chang, Z., Zhu, L., and Yao, J. (2020). miR-210 transferred by lung cancer cell-derived exosomes may act as proangiogenic factor in cancer-associated fibroblasts by modulating JAK2/STAT3 pathway. *Clin. Sci.* 134 (7), 807–825. doi:10.1042/CS20200039

Fane, M., and Weeraratna, A. T. (2020). How the ageing microenvironment influences tumour progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 20, 89–106. doi:10.1038/s41568-019-0222-9

Fang, Y., Zhou, W., Rong, Y., Kuang, T., Xu, X., Wu, W., et al. (2019). Exosomal miRNA-106b from cancer-associated fibroblast promotes gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Exp. Cell Res.* 383 (1), 111543. doi:10.1016/J.YEXCR.2019.111543

Fang, Z., Meng, Q., Xu, J., Wang, W., Zhang, B., Liu, J., et al. (2023). Signaling pathways in cancer-associated fibroblasts: recent advances and future perspectives. *Cancer Commun.* 43 (1), 3–41. doi:10.1002/CAC2.12392

Feig, C., Jones, J. O., Kraman, M., Wells, R. J. B., Deonarine, A., Chan, D. S., et al. (2013). Targeting CXCL12 from FAP-expressing carcinoma-associated fibroblasts synergizes with anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110 (50), 20212–20217. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1320318110

Feng, B., Wu, J., Shen, B., Jiang, F., and Feng, J. (2022). Cancer-associated fibroblasts and resistance to anticancer therapies: status, mechanisms, and countermeasures. *Cancer Cell Int.* 22 (166), 166. doi:10.1186/s12935-022-02599-7

Finkelstein, S. E., Timmerman, R., McBride, W. H., Schaue, D., Hoffe, S. E., Mantz, C. A., et al. (2011). The confluence of stereotactic ablative radiotherapy and tumor immunology. *Clin. Dev. Immunol.* 2011, 439752. doi:10.1155/2011/439752

Galbo, P. M., Zang, X., and Zheng, D. (2021). Molecular features of cancer-associated fibroblast subtypes and their implication on cancer pathogenesis, prognosis, and immunotherapy resistance. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 27, 2636–2647. doi:10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-20-4226

Gao, Y., Li, X., Zeng, C., Liu, C., Hao, Q., Li, W., et al. (2020). CD63+ cancerassociated fibroblasts confer tamoxifen resistance to breast cancer cells through exosomal miR-22. *Adv. Sci.* 7 (2002518), 2002518. doi:10.1002/advs.202002518

Gao, Y., Li, Y., Lin, Z., Zeng, Y., Huang, Z., Han, L., et al. (2023). Ataxia telangiectasia mutated kinase inhibition promotes irradiation-induced PD-L1 expression in tumourassociated macrophages through IFN-I/JAK signalling pathway. *Immunology* 168 (2), 346–361. doi:10.1111/IMM.13602

Giraudo, E., Inoue, M., and Hanahan, D. (2004). An amino-bisphosphonate targets MMP-9-expressing macrophages and angiogenesis to impair cervical carcinogenesis. *J. Clin. investigation* 114 (5), 623–633. doi:10.1172/JCI22087

Glabman, R. A., Choyke, P. L., and Sato, N. (2022). Cancer-associated fibroblasts: tumorigenicity and targeting for cancer therapy. *Cancers* 14 (3906), 3906–3921. doi:10. 3390/cancers14163906

Gok Yavuz, B., Gunaydin, G., Gedik, M. E., Kosemehmetoglu, K., Karakoc, D., Ozgur, F., et al. (2019). Cancer associated fibroblasts sculpt tumour microenvironment by recruiting monocytes and inducing immunosuppressive PD-1+ TAMs. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 3172. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-39553-z

Goliwas, K. F., Libring, S., Berestesky, E., Gholizadeh, S., Schwager, S. C., Frost, A. R., et al. (2023). Mitochondrial transfer from cancer-associated fibroblasts increases migration in aggressive breast cancer. *J. Cell Sci.* 136, jcs260419. doi:10.1242/jcs.260419

Gong, J., Lin, Y., Zhang, H., Liu, C., Cheng, Z., Yang, X., et al. (2020). Reprogramming of lipid metabolism in cancer-associated fibroblasts potentiates migration of colorectal cancer cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 11 (4), 267. doi:10.1038/s41419-020-2434-z

Gong, W., Guo, Y., Yuan, H., Chai, R., Wan, Z., Zheng, B., et al. (2023). Loss of exosomal miR-200b-3p from hypoxia cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes tumorigenesis and reduces sensitivity to 5-Flourouracil in colorectal cancer via upregulation of ZEB1 and E2F3. *Cancer gene Ther.* 30 (6), 905–916. doi:10.1038/ S41417-023-00591-5

Gorchs, L., Hellevik, T., Bruun, J. A., Camilio, K. A., Al-Saad, S., Stuge, T. B., et al. (2015). Cancer-associated fibroblasts from lung tumors maintain their immunosuppressive abilities after high-dose irradiation. *Front. Oncol.* 5, 87. doi:10. 3389/fonc.2015.00087

Guglas, K., Kozłowska-Masłoń, J., Kolenda, T., Paszkowska, A., Teresiak, A., Bliźniak, R., et al. (2022). Midsize noncoding RNAs in cancers: a new division that clarifies the world of noncoding RNA or an unnecessary chaos? *Rep. Pract. Oncol. Radiotherapy* 27 (6), 1077–1093. doi:10.5603/RPOR.A2022.0123

Guo, H., Ha, C., Dong, H., Yang, Z., Ma, Y., and Ding, Y. (2019). Cancer-associated fibroblast-derived exosomal microRNA-98-5p promotes cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer by targeting CDKN1A. *Cancer Cell Int.* 19 (1), 347. doi:10.1186/s12935-019-1051-3

Guo, Z., Zhang, H., Fu, Y., Kuang, J., Zhao, B., Zhang, L., et al. (2023). Cancerassociated fibroblasts induce growth and radioresistance of breast cancer cells through paracrine IL-6. *Cell Death Discov.* 9 (6), 6–10. doi:10.1038/s41420-023-01306-3

Haga, K., Yamazaki, M., Maruyama, S., Kawaharada, M., Suzuki, A., Hoshikawa, E., et al. (2021). Crosstalk between oral squamous cell carcinoma cells and cancerassociated fibroblasts via the TGF- β /SOX9 axis in cancer progression. *Transl. Oncol.* 14 (12), 101236. doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101236

Hanahan, D. (2022). Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. Cancer Discov. 12 (1), 31-46. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059

Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144 (5), 646–674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

He, L., Guo, J., Fan, Z., Yang, S., Zhang, C., Cheng, B., et al. (2023). Exosomal miR-146b-5p derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma by downregulating HIPK3. *Cell. Signal.* 106, 110635. doi:10.1016/J.CELLSIG.2023.110635

Herrera, A., Herrera, M., Guerra-Perez, N., Galindo-Pumariño, C., Larriba, M. J., García-Barberán, V., et al. (2018). Endothelial cell activation on 3D-matrices derived from PDGF-BB-stimulated fi broblasts is mediated by Snail1. *Oncogenesis* 7 (76), 76–15. doi:10.1038/s41389-018-0085-z

Hirano, R., Okamoto, K., Shinke, M., Sato, M., Watanabe, S., Watanabe, H., et al. (2023). Tissue-resident macrophages are major tumor-associated macrophage resources, contributing to early TNBC development, recurrence, and metastases. *Commun. Biol.* 6 (1), 144. doi:10.1038/s42003-023-04525-7

Hoarau-Vechot, J., Rafii, A., Touboul, C., and Pasquier, J. (2018). Halfway between 2D and animal models: are 3D cultures the ideal tool to study cancer-microenvironment interactions? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19 (181), 1–24. doi:10.3390/ijms19010181

Holter, J. C., Chang, C. W., Avendano, A., Garg, A. A., Verma, A. K., Charan, M., et al. (2022). Fibroblast-derived CXCL12 increases vascular permeability in a 3-D micro fl uidic model independent of extracellular matrix contractility. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10, 888431–888439. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.888431

Hosaka, K., Yang, Y., Seki, T., Fischer, C., Dubey, O., Fredlund, E., et al. (2016). Pericyte – fibroblast transition promotes tumor growth and metastasis. *PNAS* 113, E5618–E5627. doi:10.1073/pnas.1608384113

Huang, M., Fu, M., Wang, J., Xia, C., Zhang, H., Xiong, Y., et al. (2021). TGF-β1activated cancer-associated fibroblasts promote breast cancer invasion, metastasis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition by autophagy or overexpression of FAP-α. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 188 (114527), 114527. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2021.114527

Hughes, R., Qian, B. Z., Rowan, C., Muthana, M., Keklikoglou, I., Olson, O. C., et al. (2015). Perivascular M2 macrophages stimulate tumor relapse after chemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 75 (17), 3479–3491. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3587

Imamura, Y., Mukohara, T., Shimono, Y., Funakoshi, Y., Chayahara, N., Toyoda, M., et al. (2015). Comparison of 2D- and 3D-culture models as drug-testing platforms in breast cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* 33, 1837–1843. doi:10.3892/or.2015.3767

Ippolito, L., Morandi, A., Taddei, M. L., Parri, M., Comito, G., Iscaro, A., et al. (2019). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote prostate cancer malignancy via metabolic rewiring and mitochondrial transfer. *Oncogene* 38, 5339–5355. doi:10.1038/s41388-019-0805-7

Ishihara, S., and Haga, H. (2022). Matrix stiffness contributes to cancer progression by regulating transcription factors. *Cancers* 14 (1049), 1049. doi:10.3390/cancers14041049

Jiang, W., Hiscox, S., Matsumoto, K., and Nakamura, T. (1999). Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor, its molecular, cellular and clinical implications in cancer. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 29, 209–248. doi:10.1016/s1040-8428(98)00019-5

Jung, J. G., and Le, A. (2021). "Targeting metabolic cross talk between cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts," in *The heterogeneity of cancer metabolism*. Editor A. Le (Cham: Springer), 205–214.

Kaur, A., Webster, M. R., Marchbank, K., Behera, R., Ndoye, A., Kugel, C. H., et al. (2016). sFRP2 in the aged microenvironment drives melanoma metastasis and therapy resistance. *Nature* 532 (7598), 250–254. doi:10.1038/nature17392

Kawahara, A., Hattori, S., Akiba, J., Nakashima, K., Taira, T., Watari, K., et al. (2010). Infiltration of thymidine phosphorylase-positive macrophages is closely associated with tumor angiogenesis and survival in intestinal type gastric cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* 24 (2), 405–415. doi:10.3892/OR_00000873

Kessenbrock, K., Plaks, V., and Werb, Z. (2010). Matrix metalloproteinases: regulators of the tumor microenvironment. *Cell* 141 (1), 52–67. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2010.03.015

Koch, M. K., Jaeschke, A., Murekatete, B., Ravichandran, A., Tsurkan, M., Werner, C., et al. (2020). Stromal fibroblasts regulate microvascular-like network architecture in a bioengineered breast tumour angiogenesis model. *Acta Biomater*. 114, 256–269. doi:10. 1016/J.ACTBIO.2020.07.036

Koukourakis, M. I., Giatromanolaki, A., Harris, A. L., and Sivridis, E. (2006). Comparison of metabolic pathways between cancer cells and stromal cells in colorectal carcinomas: a metabolic survival role for tumor-associated stroma. *Cancer Res.* 66 (2), 632–637. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3260

Ksiazkiewicz, M., Gottfried, E., Kreutz, M., Mack, M., Hofstaedter, F., and Kunz-Schughart, L. A. (2010). Importance of CCL2-CCR2A/2B signaling for monocyte migration into spheroids of breast cancer-derived fibroblasts. *Immunobiology* 215 (9-10), 737-747. doi:10.1016/J.IMBIO.2010.05.019

Kulcenty, K., Piotrowski, I., Wróblewska, J. P., Wasiewicz, J., and Suchorska, A. W. M. (2020). The composition of surgical wound fluids from breast cancer patients is aff ected by intraoperative radiotherapy treatment and depends on the molecular subtype of breast cancer. *Cancers* 12 (11), 1–15. doi:10.3390/cancers12010011

Lavie, D., Ben-Shmuel, A., Erez, N., and Scherz-Shouval, R. (2022). Cancer-associated fibroblasts in the single-cell era. *Nat. Cancer* 3 (7), 793–807. doi:10.1038/s43018-022-00411-z

Lee, S., Hong, J. H., Kim, J. S., Yoon, J. S., Chun, S. H., Hong, S. A., et al. (2021). Cancerassociated fibroblasts activated by miR-196a promote the migration and invasion of lung cancer cells. *Cancer Lett.* 508, 92–103. doi:10.1016/J.CANLET.2021.03.021 Li, C., Teixeira, A. F., Zhu, H. J., and Ten Dijke, P. (2021). Cancer associated-fibroblast-derived exosomes in cancer progression. *Mol. Cancer* 20 (1), 154. doi:10. 1186/S12943-021-01463-Y

Li, W. W., Wang, H. Y., Nie, X., Liu, Y. B., Han, M., and Li, B. H. (2017). Human colorectal cancer cells induce vascular smooth muscle cell apoptosis in an exocrine manner. *Oncotarget* 8 (37), 62049–62056. doi:10.18632/ONCOTARGET.18893

Li, X., Bu, W., Meng, L., Liu, X., Wang, S., Jiang, L., et al. (2019). CXCL12/ CXCR4 pathway orchestrates CSC-like properties by CAF recruited tumor associated macrophage in OSCC. *Exp. Cell Res.* 378 (2), 131–138. doi:10.1016/J. YEXCR.2019.03.013

Li, X., Sun, Z., Peng, G., Xiao, Y., Guo, J., Wu, B., et al. (2022). Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals a pro-invasive cancer-associated fibroblast subgroup associated with poor clinical outcomes in patients with gastric cancer. *Theranostics* 12 (2), 620–638. doi:10.7150/thno.60540

Linde, N., Casanova-Acebes, M., Sosa, M. S., Mortha, A., Rahman, A., Farias, E., et al. (2018). Macrophages orchestrate breast cancer early dissemination and metastasis. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 21–14. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02481-5

Liu, T., Han, C., Wang, S., Fang, P., Ma, Z., Xu, L., et al. (2019). Cancer-associated fibroblasts: an emerging target of anti-cancer immunotherapy. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 12 (1), 86–15. doi:10.1186/s13045-019-0770-1

Liu, Y., Xun, Z., Ma, K., Liang, S., Li, X., Zhou, S., et al. (2023). Identification of a tumour immune barrier in the HCC microenvironment that determines the efficacy of immunotherapy. *J. hepatology* 78 (4), 770–782. doi:10.1016/J.JHEP.2023.01.011

Luo, H., Xia, X., Huang, L. B., An, H., Cao, M., Kim, G. D., et al. (2022). Pan-cancer single-cell analysis reveals the heterogeneity and plasticity of cancer-associated fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment. *Nat. Commun.* 13 (1), 6619. doi:10. 1038/S41467-022-34395-2

Ma, C., Yang, C., Peng, A., Sun, T., Ji, X., Mi, J., et al. (2023). Pan-cancer spatially resolved single-cell analysis reveals the crosstalk between cancer-associated fibroblasts and tumor microenvironment. *Mol. Cancer* 22 (1), 170. doi:10.1186/S12943-023-01876-X

Ma, J., Qin, L., and Li, X. (2020). Role of STAT3 signaling pathway in breast cancer. Cell Commun. Signal. 18 (33), 33–13. doi:10.1186/s12964-020-0527-z

Ma, S., Sun, B., Duan, S., Han, J., Barr, T., Zhang, J., et al. (2023). YTHDF2 orchestrates tumor-associated macrophage reprogramming and controls antitumor immunity through CD8+ T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 24 (2), 255–266. doi:10. 1038/s41590-022-01398-6

Madsen, C. D., Pedersen, J. T., Venning, F. A., Singh, L. B., Moeendarbary, E., Charras, G., et al. (2015). Hypoxia and loss of PHD2 inactivate stromal fibroblasts to decrease tumour stiffness and metastasis. *EMBO Rep.* 16 (10), 1394–1408. doi:10.15252/embr. 201540107

Manore, S. G., Doheny, D. L., Wong, G. L., and Lo, H. W. (2022). IL-6/JAK/ STAT3 signaling in breast cancer metastasis: biology and treatment. *Front. Oncol.* 12 (March), 866014–866017. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.866014

Mao, X., Xu, J., Wang, W., Liang, C., Hua, J., Liu, J., et al. (2021). Crosstalk between cancer - associated fibroblasts and immune cells in the tumor microenvironment: new findings and future perspectives. *Mol. Cancer* 20 (131), 131. doi:10.1186/s12943-021-01428-1

Matuszak, N., Suchorska, W. M., Milecki, P., Kruszyna-Mochalska, M., Misiarz, A., Pracz, J., et al. (2022). FLASH radiotherapy: an emerging approach in radiation therapy. *Rep. Pract. Oncol. Radiotherapy* 27 (2), 344–351. doi:10.5603/RPOR.A2022.0038

Modak, M., Mattes, A. K., Reiss, D., Skronska-Wasek, W., Langlois, R., Sabarth, N., et al. (2022). CD206+ tumor-associated macrophages cross-present tumor antigen and drive antitumor immunity. *JCI Insight* 7 (11), e155022. doi:10.1172/JCI.INSIGHT. 155022

Musielak, M., Boś-Liedke, A., Piwocka, O., Kowalska, K., Markiewicz, R., Szymkowiak, B., et al. (2023). The role of functionalization and size of gold nanoparticles in the response of MCF-7 breast cancer cells to ionizing radiation comparing 2D and 3D *in vitro* models. *Pharmaceutics* 15 (862), 862. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15030862

Nguyen, H. G., Conn, C. S., Kye, Y., Xue, L., Forester, C. M., Cowan, J. E., et al. (2018). Development of a stress response therapy targeting aggressive prostate cancer. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 10 (439), 2036. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aar2036

Nocquet, L., Juin, P. P., and Souazé, F. (2020). Mitochondria at center of exchanges between cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts during tumor progression. *Cancers* 12 (3017), 3017–17. doi:10.3390/cancers12103017

Öhlund, D., Handly-Santana, A., Biffi, G., Elyada, E., Almeida, A. S., Ponz-Sarvise, M., et al. (2017). Distinct populations of inflammatory fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in pancreatic cancer. J. Exp. Med. 214 (3), 579–596. doi:10.1084/jem.20162024

Ostuni, R., Kratochvill, F., Murray, P. J., and Natoli, G. (2015). Macrophages and cancer: from mechanisms to therapeutic implications. *Trends Immunol.* 36 (4), 229–239. doi:10.1016/J.IT.2015.02.004

Owyong, M., Efe, G., Abbasi, A. J., Sitarama, V., and Plaks, V. (2018). Overcoming barriers of age to enhance efficacy of cancer immunotherapy: the clout of the extracellular matrix. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 6, 340072. doi:10.3389/fcell.2018.00019

Pape, J., Magdeldin, T., Stamati, K., Nyga, A., Loizidou, M., Emberton, M., et al. (2020). Cancer-associated fibroblasts mediate cancer progression and remodel the tumouroid stroma. *Br. J. Cancer* 123 (7), 1178–1190. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-0973-9

Parzych, K. R., and Klionsky, D. J. (2014). An overview of autophagy: morphology, mechanism, and regulation. *Antioxidants redox Signal.* 20 (3), 460–473. doi:10.1089/ARS.2013.5371

Patel, A. K., and Singh, S. (2020). Cancer associated fibroblasts: phenotypic and functional heterogeneity. *Front. Biosci.* 25 (March), 961–978. doi:10.2741/4843

Paulus, P., Stanley, E. R., Schäfer, R., Abraham, D., and Aharinejad, S. (2006). Colonystimulating factor-1 antibody reverses chemoresistance in human MCF-7 breast cancer xenografts. *Cancer Res.* 66 (8), 4349–4356. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3523

Peng, L., Wang, D., Han, Y., Huang, T., He, X., Wang, J., et al. (2022). Emerging role of cancer-associated fibroblasts-derived exosomes in tumorigenesis. *Front. Immunol.* 12 (January), 795372. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.795372

Peng, S., Chen, D., Cai, J., Yuan, Z., Huang, B., Li, Y., et al. (2021). Enhancing cancerassociated fibroblast fatty acid catabolism within a metabolically challenging tumor microenvironment drives colon cancer peritoneal metastasis. *Mol. Oncol.* 15 (5), 1391–1411. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12917

Pham, D. V., and Park, P. H. (2021). Tumor metabolic reprogramming by adipokines as a critical driver of obesity-associated cancer progression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (3), 1444. doi:10.3390/IJMS22031444

Picon-Ruiz, M., Pan, C., Drews-Elger, K., Jang, K., Besser, A. H., Zhao, D., et al. (2016). Interactions between adipocytes and breast cancer cells stimulate cytokine production and drive Src/Sox2/miR-302b-mediated malignant progression. *Cancer Res.* 76 (2), 491–504. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-0927

Piotrowski, I., Kulcenty, K., Suchorska, W., Rucinski, M., Jopek, K., Kruszyna-Mochalska, M., et al. (2022). Cellular damage in the target and out-of-field peripheral organs during VMAT SBRT prostate radiotherapy: an *in vitro* phantombased study. *Cancers* 14 (2712), 2712–2723. doi:10.3390/cancers14112712

Piwocka, O., Musielak, M., Piotrowski, I., Kulcenty, K., Adamczyk, B., Fundowicz, M., et al. (2023). Primary cancer-associated fibroblasts exhibit high heterogeneity among breast cancer subtypes. *Rep. Pract. Oncol. Radiotherapy* 28 (2), 159–171. doi:10.5603/ RPOR.a2023.0026

Qin, R., Ren, W., Ya, G., Wang, B., He, J., Ren, S., et al. (2022). Role of chemokines in the crosstalk between tumor and tumor-associated macrophages. *Clin. Exp. Med.* 23 (5), 1359–1373. doi:10.1007/S10238-022-00888-Z

Raskov, H., Orhan, A., Gaggar, S., and Gögenur, I. (2021). Cancer-associated fibroblasts and tumor-associated macrophages in cancer and cancer immunotherapy. *Front. Oncol.* 11 (May), 668731. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.668731

Reid, S. E., Kay, E. J., Neilson, L. J., Henze, A. T., Serneels, J., McGhee, E. J., et al. (2017). Tumor matrix stiffness promotes metastatic cancer cell interaction with the endothelium. *EMBO J.* 36 (16), 2373–2389. doi:10.15252/EMBJ.201694912

Ryu, N. E., Lee, S. H., and Park, H. (2019). Spheroid culture system methods and applications for mesenchymal stem cells. *Cells* 8 (12), 1620. doi:10.3390/cells8121620

Sahinbegovic, H., Jelinek, T., Hrdinka, M., Bago, J. R., Turi, M., Sevcikova, T., et al. (2020). Intercellular mitochondrial transfer in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancers* 12 (1787), 1–12. doi:10.3390/cancers12071787

Sansone, P., Berishaj, M., Rajasekhar, V. K., Ceccarelli, C., Chang, Q., Strillacci, A., et al. (2017). Evolution of cancer stem-like cells in endocrine-resistant metastatic breast cancers is mediated by stromal microvesicles. *Cancer Res.* 77 (8), 1927–1941. doi:10. 1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2129

Sarkar, M., Nguyen, T., Gundre, E., Ogunlusi, O., El-Sobky, M., Giri, B., et al. (2023). Cancer-associated fibroblasts: the chief architect in the tumor microenvironment. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 11 (1089068), 1–24. doi:10.3389/fcell.2023.1089068

Schmeer, C., Kretz, A., Wengerodt, D., Stojiljkovic, M., and Witte, O. W. (2019). Dissecting aging and senescence—current concepts and open lessons. *Cells* 8 (11), 1446. doi:10.3390/CELLS8111446

Sewell-Loftin, M. K., Bayer, S. V. H., Crist, E., Hughes, T., Joison, S. M., Longmore, G. D., et al. (2017). Cancer-associated fibroblasts support vascular growth through mechanical force. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (12574), 12574. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13006-x

Shan, G., Zhou, X., Gu, J., Zhou, D., Cheng, W., Wu, H., et al. (2021). Downregulated exosomal microRNA-148b-3p in cancer associated fibroblasts enhance chemosensitivity of bladder cancer cells by downregulating the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway and upregulating PTEN. *Cell. Oncol. Dordr.* 44 (1), 45–59. doi:10.1007/S13402-020-00500-0

Shani, O., Vorobyov, T., Monteran, L., Lavie, D., Cohen, N., Raz, Y., et al. (2020). Fibroblast-derived IL33 facilitates breast cancer metastasis by modifying the immune microenvironment and driving type 2 immunity. *Cancer Res.* 80 (23), 5317–5329. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-2116

Shapouri-Moghaddam, A., Mohammadian, S., Vazini, H., Taghadosi, M., Esmaeili, S. A., Mardani, F., et al. (2018). Macrophage plasticity, polarization, and function in health and disease. *J. Cell. Physiology* 233 (9), 6425–6440. doi:10.1002/jcp.26429

Shen, Y., Wang, X., Lu, J., Salfenmoser, M., Wirsik, N. M., Schleussner, N., et al. (2020). Reduction of liver metastasis stiffness improves response to bevacizumab in

metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer Cell 37 (6), 800-817. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020. 05.005

Sheng, Y., Zhang, B., Xing, B., Liu, Z., Chang, Y., Wu, G., et al. (2023). Cancerassociated fibroblasts exposed to high-dose ionizing radiation promote M2 polarization of macrophages, which induce radiosensitivity in cervical cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 15 (5), 1620. doi:10.3390/cancers15051620

Shi, L., Zhu, W., Huang, Y., Zhuo, L., Wang, S., Chen, S., et al. (2022). Cancerassociated fibroblast-derived exosomal microRNA-20a suppresses the PTEN/PI3K-AKT pathway to promote the progression and chemoresistance of non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 12 (7), e989. doi:10.1002/CTM2.989

Shi, W., Liu, Y., Qiu, X., Yang, L., and Lin, G. (2023a). Cancer-associated fibroblastsderived exosome-mediated transfer of miR-345-5p promotes the progression of colorectal cancer by targeting CDKN1A. *Carcinogenesis* 44 (4), 317–327. doi:10. 1093/CARCIN/BGAD014

Shi, Y., Zhu, H., Jiang, H., Yue, H., Yuan, F., and Wang, F. (2023b). Cancer-associated fibroblasts-derived exosomes from chemoresistant patients regulate cisplatin resistance and angiogenesis by delivering VEGFA in colorectal cancer. *Anti-cancer drugs* 34 (3), 422–430. doi:10.1097/CAD.00000000001445

Smith, M. P., Sanchez-Laorden, B., O'Brien, K., Brunton, H., Ferguson, J., Young, H., et al. (2014). The immune microenvironment confers resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors through macrophage-derived TNFa. *Cancer Discov.* 4 (10), 1214–1229. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-1007

Souza Freitas, V., de Andrade Santos, P. P., de Almeida Freitas, R., Pereira Pinto, L., and de Souza, L. B. (2011). Mast cells and matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression in actinic cheilitis and lip squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontology* 112 (3), 342–348. doi:10.1016/J.TRIPLEO.2011.02.032

Stadler, M., Pudelko, K., Biermeier, A., Walterskirchen, N., Gaigneaux, A., Weindorfer, C., et al. (2021). Stromal fibroblasts shape the myeloid phenotype in normal colon and colorectal cancer and induce CD163 and CCL2 expression in macrophages. *Cancer Lett.* 520, 184–200. doi:10.1016/J.CANLET.2021.07.006

Steer, A., Cordes, N., Jendrossek, V., and Klein, D. (2019). Impact of cancer-associated fibroblast on the radiation-response of solid xenograft tumors. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 6 (August), 70–16. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2019.00070

Suchorska, W. M., and Lach, M. S. (2016). The role of exosomes in tumor progression and metastasis (Review). Oncol. Rep. 35 (3), 1237–1244. doi:10.3892/OR.2015.4507

Sun, L., Ke, M., Yin, M., Zeng, Y., Ji, Y., Hu, Y., et al. (2023). Extracellular vesicleencapsulated microRNA-296-3p from cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes ovarian cancer development through regulation of the PTEN/AKT and SOCS6/ STAT3 pathways. *Cancer Sci.* 00, 155–169. doi:10.1111/CAS.16014

Sun, L. I. P., Xu, K., Cui, J., Yuan, D. Y., Zou, B., Li, J., et al. (2019). Cancer-associated fibroblast derived exosomal miR-382-5p promotes the migration and invasion of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol. Rep.* 42, 1319–1328. doi:10.3892/or.2019.7255

Tanaka, K., Miyata, H., Sugimura, K., Fukuda, S., Kanemura, T., Yamashita, K., et al. (2015). miR-27 is associated with chemoresistance in esophageal cancer through transformation of normal fibroblasts to cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Carcinogenesis* 36 (8), 894–903. doi:10.1093/carcin/bgv067

Tang, P. C. T., Chung, J. Y. F., Xue, V. W. W., Xiao, J., Meng, X. M., Huang, X. R., et al. (2022). Smad3 promotes cancer-associated fibroblasts generation via macrophage–myofibroblast transition. Adv. Sci. 9 (1), 2101235. doi:10.1002/ADVS.202101235

Tang, X., Hou, Y., Yang, G., Wang, X., Tang, S., Du, Y. E., et al. (2016). Stromal miR-200s contribute to breast cancer cell invasion through CAF activation and ECM remodeling. *Cell Death Differ*. 23, 132–145. doi:10.1038/cdd.2015.78

Teresa Pinto, A., Laranjeiro Pinto, M., Patrícia Cardoso, A., Monteiro, C., Teixeira Pinto, M., Filipe Maia, A., et al. (2016). Ionizing radiation modulates human macrophages towards a pro-inflammatory phenotype preserving their pro-invasive and pro-angiogenic capacities. *Sci. Rep.* 6 (1), 18765. doi:10.1038/srep18765

Timperi, E., Gueguen, P., Molgora, M., Magagna, I., Kieffer, Y., Lopez-Lastra, S., et al. (2022). Lipid-associated macrophages are induced by cancer-associated fibroblasts and mediate immune suppression in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 82 (18), 3291–3306. doi:10. 1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-1427

Tyan, S. W., Kuo, W. H., Huang, C. K., Pan, C. C., Shew, J. Y., Chang, K. J., et al. (2011). Breast cancer cells induce cancer-associated fibroblasts to secrete hepatocyte growth factor to enhance breast tumorigenesis. *PLoS ONE* 6 (1), e15313. doi:10.1371/ JOURNAL.PONE.0015313

Vaupel, P., Schmidberger, H., and Mayer, A. (2019). The Warburg effect: essential part of metabolic reprogramming and central contributor to cancer progression. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 95 (7), 912–919. doi:10.1080/09553002.2019.1589653

Verginadis, I. I., Avgousti, H., Monslow, J., Skoufos, G., Chinga, F., Kim, K., et al. (2022). A stromal Integrated Stress Response activates perivascular cancer-associated fibroblasts to drive angiogenesis and tumour progression. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 24 (6), 940–953. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00918-8

Vickman, R. E., Broman, M. M., Lanman, N. A., Franco, O. E., Sudyanti, P. A. G., Ni, Y., et al. (2020). Heterogeneity of human prostate carcinoma-associated fibroblasts implicates a role for subpopulations in myeloid cell recruitment. *Prostate* 80 (2), 173–185. doi:10.1002/PROS.23929 Wang, B., Liu, W., Liu, C., Du, K., Guo, Z., Zhang, G., et al. (2023). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote radioresistance of breast cancer cells via the HGF/c-Met signaling pathway. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 116 (3), 640–654. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2022. 12.029

Wang, H., Huang, H., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Wang, M., Zhao, S., et al. (2021). Cancerassociated fibroblasts secreted miR-103a-3p suppresses apoptosis and promotes cisplatin resistance in non-small cell lung cancer. *Aging (Albany NY)* 13 (10), 14456–14468. doi:10.18632/AGING.103556

Wang, R., Sun, Y., Yu, W., Yan, Y., Qiao, M., Jiang, R., et al. (2019). Downregulation of miRNA-214 in cancer-associated fibroblasts contributes to migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells through targeting FGF9 and inducing EMT. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38 (1), 20–15. doi:10.1186/s13046-018-0995-9

Wang, W. Z., Cao, X., Bian, L., Gao, Y., Yu, M., Li, Y. T., et al. (2023). Analysis of mRNA-miRNA interaction network reveals the role of CAFs-derived exosomes in the immune regulation of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 23 (1), 591. doi:10. 1186/s12885-023-11028-5

Wang, Y., Gan, G., Wang, B., Wu, J., Cao, Y., Zhu, D., et al. (2017). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote irradiated cancer cell recovery through autophagy. *EBioMedicine* 17, 45–56. doi:10.1016/J.EBIOM.2017.02.019

Wang, Y., Liang, Y., Xu, H., Zhang, X., Mao, T., Cui, J., et al. (2021). Single-cell analysis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma identi fi es a novel fibroblast subtype associated with poor prognosis but better immunotherapy response. *Cell Discov.* 7, 36. doi:10.1038/s41421-021-00271-4

Wang, Z., Tang, Y., Tan, Y., Wei, Q., and Yu, W. (2019b). Cancer-associated fibroblasts in radiotherapy: challenges and new opportunities. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 17 (1), 47. doi:10.1186/S12964-019-0362-2

Wen, S., Hou, Y., Fu, L., Xi, L., Yang, D., Zhao, M., et al. (2019). Cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF)-derived IL32 promotes breast cancer cell invasion and metastasis via integrin β3–p38 MAPK signalling. *Cancer Lett.* 442, 320–332. doi:10.1016/J.CANLET.2018.10.015

Wróblewska, J. P., Lach, M. S., Kulcenty, K., Galus, Ł., Suchorska, W. M., Rösel, D., et al. (2021). The analysis of inflammation-related proteins in a cargo of exosomes derived from the serum of uveal melanoma patients reveals potential biomarkers of disease progression. *Cancers* 13 (13), 3334. doi:10.3390/cancers13133334

Wu, C., Li, D., Cheng, X., Gu, H., Qian, Y., and Feng, L. (2023). Downregulation of cancer-associated fibroblast exosome-derived miR-29b-1-5p restrains vasculogenic mimicry and apoptosis while accelerating migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells via immunoglobulin domain-containing 1/zonula occluden-1 axis. *Cell Cycle* 22, 1807–1826. doi:10.1080/15384101.2023.2231740

Wu, J., Liu, X., Wu, J., Lou, C. h., Zhang, Q., Chen, H., et al. (2022). CXCL12 derived from CD248-expressing cancer-associated fibroblasts mediates M2-polarized macrophages to promote nonsmall cell lung cancer progression. *Biochimica biophysica acta. Mol. basis Dis.* 1868 (11), 166521. doi:10.1016/J.BBADIS.2022.166521

Wyciszkiewicz, A., Lach, M. S., Wróblewska, J. P., Michalak, M., Suchorska, W. M., Kalinowska, A., et al. (2021). The involvement of small heat shock protein in chemoresistance in ovarian cancer - *in vitro* study. *EXCLI J.* 20, 935–947. doi:10. 17179/EXCLI2021-3706

Xiang, H., Ramil, C. P., Hai, J., Zhang, C., Wang, H., Watkins, A. A., et al. (2020). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote immunosuppression by inducing ROSgenerating monocytic MDSCs in lung squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 8 (4), 436–450. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0507

Yakavets, I., Francois, A., Benoit, A., Merlin, J. L., Bezdetnaya, L., and Vogin, G. (2020). Advanced co-culture 3D breast cancer model for investigation of fibrosis induced by external stimuli: optimization study. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 21273. doi:10.1038/ s41598-020-78087-7

Yamamoto, Y., Kasashima, H., Fukui, Y., Tsujio, G., Yashiro, M., and Maeda, K. (2023). The heterogeneity of cancer-associated fibroblast subpopulations: their origins, biomarkers, and roles in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Sci.* 114, 16–24. doi:10. 1111/cas.15609

Yang, F., Yan, Y., Yang, Y., Hong, X., Wang, M., Yang, Z., et al. (2020). MiR-210 in exosomes derived from CAFs promotes non-small cell lung cancer migration and invasion through PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway. *Cell. Signal.* 73, 109675. doi:10.1016/J. CELLSIG.2020.109675

Yang, N., Lode, K., Berzaghi, R., Islam, A., Martinez-Zubiaurre, I., and Hellevik, T. (2021). Irradiated tumor fibroblasts avoid immune recognition and retain

immunosuppressive functions over natural killer cells. Front. Immunol. 11, 602530. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.602530

Yang, X., Li, Y., Zou, L., and Zhu, Z. (2019). Role of exosomes in crosstalk between cancer-associated fibroblasts and cancer cells. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 456698. doi:10.3389/fonc. 2019.00356

Yang, Y., Li, J., and Geng, Y. (2020b). Exosomes derived from chronic lymphocytic leukaemia cells transfer miR-146a to induce the transition of mesenchymal stromal cells into cancer-associated fibroblasts. *J. Biochem.* 168 (5), 491–498. doi:10.1093/JB/ MVAA064

Yao, F., Shi, W., Fang, F., Lv, M. Y., Xu, M., Wu, S. Y., et al. (2023). Exosomal miR-196a-5p enhances radioresistance in lung cancer cells by downregulating NFKBIA. *Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci.* 39 (6), 554–564. doi:10.1002/KJM2.12673

Ye, B., Duan, Y., Zhou, M., Wang, Y., Lai, Q., Yue, K., et al. (2023). Hypoxic tumorderived exosomal miR-21 induces cancer-associated fibroblast activation to promote head and neck squamous cell carcinoma metastasis. *Cell. Signal.* 108, 110725. doi:10. 1016/J.CELLSIG.2023.110725

Ye, F., Liang, Y., Wang, Y., Le Yang, R., Luo, D., Li, Y., et al. (2023). Cancer-associated fibroblasts facilitate breast cancer progression through exosomal circTBPL1-mediated intercellular communication. *Cell Death Dis.* 14 (471), 471. doi:10.1038/s41419-023-05986-8

Yin, Y., Yao, S., Hu, Y., Feng, Y., Li, M., Bian, Z., et al. (2017). The immunemicroenvironment confers chemoresistance of colorectal cancer through macrophagederived IL6. *Clin. cancer Res. official J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 23 (23), 7375–7387. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-1283

Zhang, A., Qian, Y., Ye, Z., Chen, H., Xie, H., Zhou, L., et al. (2017). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote M2 polarization of macrophages in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Med.* 6 (2), 463–470. doi:10.1002/CAM4.993

Zhang, D., Li, Y., and Sun, P. (2020). miR-770-5p modulates resistance to methotrexate in human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells by downregulating HIPK1. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 19 (1), 339–346. doi:10.3892/ETM.2019.8221

Zhang, H., Deng, T., Liu, R., Ning, T., Yang, H., Liu, D., et al. (2020). CAF secreted miR-522 suppresses ferroptosis and promotes acquired chemo-resistance in gastric cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 19 (1), 43–17. doi:10.1186/s12943-020-01168-8

Zhang, H., Yue, J., Jiang, Z., Zhou, R., Xie, R., Xu, Y., et al. (2017). CAF-secreted CXCL1 conferred radioresistance by regulating DNA damage response in a ROS-dependent manner in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Nat. Publ. Group* 8 (5e2790-13), 27900. doi:10.1038/cddis.2017.180

Zhang, H. W., Shi, Y., Liu, J. B., Wang, H. M., Wang, P. Y., Wu, Z. J., et al. (2021). Cancer-associated fibroblast-derived exosomal microRNA-24-3p enhances colon cancer cell resistance to MTX by down-regulating CDX2/HEPH axis. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 25 (8), 3699–3713. doi:10.1111/jcmm.15765

Zhang, Q., Chai, S., Wang, W., Wan, C., Zhang, F., Li, Y., et al. (2019a). Macrophages activate mesenchymal stem cells to acquire cancer-associated fibroblast-like features resulting in gastric epithelial cell lesions and malignant transformation *in vitro*. Oncol. Lett. 17 (1), 747–756. doi:10.3892/ol.2018.9703

Zhang, R., Qi, F., Zhao, F., Li, G., Shao, S., Zhang, X., et al. (2019b). Cancer-associated fibroblasts enhance tumor-associated macrophages enrichment and suppress NK cells function in colorectal cancer. *Cell Death Dis.* 10 (4), 273. doi:10.1038/s41419-019-1435-2

Zhang, Y., Lv, N., Li, M., Liu, M., and Wu, C. (2023). Cancer-associated fibroblasts: tumor defenders in radiation therapy. *Cell Death Dis.* 14 (541), 541–548. doi:10.1038/s41419-023-06060-z

Zhou, Q., Wu, X., Wang, X., Yu, Z., Pan, T., Li, Z., et al. (2020). The reciprocal interaction between tumor cells and activated fibroblasts mediated by TNF-α/IL-33/ ST2L signaling promotes gastric cancer metastasis. *Oncogene* 39, 1414–1428. doi:10.1038/s41388-019-1078-x

Zhu, Y., Knolhoff, B. L., Meyer, M. A., Nywening, T. M., West, B. L., Luo, J., et al. (2014). CSF1/CSF1R blockade reprograms tumor-infiltrating macrophages and improves response to T-cell checkpoint immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer models. *Cancer Res.* 74 (18), 5057–5069. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3723

Zhuang, J., Shen, L., Li, M., Sun, J., Hao, J., Li, J., et al. (2023). Cancer-associated fibroblast-derived miR-146a-5p generates a niche that promotes bladder cancer stemness and chemoresistance. *Cancer Res.* 83 (10), 1611–1627. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-2213