Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rohit Upadhyay, Tulane University, United States

REVIEWED BY

Pawan Kumar Kanaujia, Mahayogi Gorakhnath University, Gorakhpur, India Munna Lal Yadav, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), India Prakash Ghosh, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research (ICDDR), Bangladesh Debolina Hati, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Andrés Álvarez-Rodríguez, andres.alvarez.rodriguez@vub.be Stefan Magez, stefan.magez@vub.be

RECEIVED 17 October 2024 ACCEPTED 06 January 2025 PUBLISHED 14 February 2025

CITATION

Álvarez-Rodríguez A, Li Z, Jin B-K, Stijlemans B, Geldhof P and Magez S (2025) A CRISPR-Cas-based recombinase polymerase amplification assay for ultra-sensitive detection of active *Trypanosoma brucei evansi* infections. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 12:1512970. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2025.1512970

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Álvarez-Rodríguez, Li, Jin, Stijlemans, Geldhof and Magez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A CRISPR-Cas-based recombinase polymerase amplification assay for ultra-sensitive detection of active *Trypanosoma brucei evansi* infections

Andrés Álvarez-Rodríguez^{1,2}*, Zeng Li¹, Bo-Kyung Jin¹, Benoit Stijlemans^{1,3}, Peter Geldhof⁴ and Stefan Magez¹*

¹Lab of Cellular and Molecular Immunology, Brussels Center for Immunology (BCIM), Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ²Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology (WE10), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium, ³Myeloid Cell Immunology Lab, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Brussels, Belgium, ⁴Laboratory for Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, Department of Translational Physiology, Infectiology and Public Health (DI04), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Introduction: Control of *Trypanosoma brucei evansi* (*T. b. evansi*) infections remains a significant challenge in managing Surra, a widespread veterinary disease affecting both wild and domestic animals. In the absence of an effective vaccine, accurate diagnosis followed by treatment is crucial for successful disease management. However, existing diagnostic methods often fail to detect active infections, particularly in field conditions. Recent advancements in CRISPR-Cas technology, combined with state-of-the-art isothermal amplification assays, offer a promising solution. This approach has led us to the development of a *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assay, a highly sensitive and specific *T. b. evansi* diagnostic tool suitable for both laboratory and field settings.

Methods: First, the *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assay was developed and optimized, and its analytical sensitivity was evaluated. Next, this technology was integrated with the *Tev*RPA to create the *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test, with the reaction conditions being optimized and its analytical sensitivity and specificity assessed. Finally, the test's accuracy in detecting both active and cured *T. b. evansi* infections was evaluated.

Results: The optimized *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assay demonstrated the ability to detect *T. b. evansi* target DNA at picomolar concentrations. Integrating *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b with RPA in Two-Pot and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests achieved up to a 100-fold increase in analytical sensitivity over RPA alone, detecting attomolar concentrations of *T. b. evansi* target DNA, while maintaining analytical specificity for *T. b. evansi*. Both assays exhibited performance comparable to the gold standard *Tev*PCR in experimental mouse infections, validating their effectiveness for detecting active infections and assessing treatment efficacy.

Discussion: The *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests prove highly effective for diagnosing active infections and assessing treatment efficacy, while being adaptable for both laboratory and field use. Thus, the *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays emerge as a

promising addition to current diagnostic tools, offering efficient and reliable detection of active *T. b. evansi* infections.

KEYWORDS

Trypanosoma brucei evansi, Surra, CRISPR-Cas, RPA, diagnosis

Introduction

Trypanosoma brucei evansi (T. b. evansi) is a hemoflagellate parasite causing Surra, the most widespread trypanosomal disease, primarily affecting domestic and wild animals including camels, cattle, buffaloes, horses, pigs, or deer (Kim et al., 2023; Li et al., 2020). Unlike other subspecies of Trypanosoma brucei (T. brucei) such as T. b. rhodesiense and T. b. gambiense (the causative agents of the human disease Sleeping Sickness), T. b. evansi has evolved to rely on mechanical transmission via biting flies (e.g., Tabanus, Glossina, Stomoxys, Haematopoda, Chrypsos or Lyperosia) or mammals (e.g., Desmodus rotundus) (Brun et al., 1998; Austen and Barbosa, 2021; Pays et al., 2023). This adaptation has allowed T. b. evansi to spread beyond the geographical constraints of tsetse-transmitted trypanosomes, widening its geographical distribution beyond Africa (Lun and Desser, 1995). Hence, it is prevalent in Asia, Africa, and South America, and has occasionally even been reported in Europe (Aregawi et al., 2019; Gutierrez et al., 2010). While T. b. evansi has traditionally been considered non-infective to humans, reports exist of atypical Human Trypanosomiasis (aHT) cases in Vietnam, India, and Sri Lanka, thereby highlighting the potential zoonotic risk associated with this parasite (Powar et al., 2006; Joshi et al., 2005; Van Vinh Chau et al., 2016). This situation is currently exacerbated by climate change-driven redistribution of vectors, as well as the encroachment of grazing areas into wildlife reservoirs, resulting in heightened human-parasite interactions (Mojahed et al., 2022; Kasozi et al., 2021).

In the absence of a vaccine against T. b. evansi trypanosomosis, current recommended control measures depend on accurate diagnosis, followed by individualized treatment of the infected animals (Radwanska et al., 2008). Available diagnostic tests for T. b. evansi differentiate between T. b. evansi type A, characterized by the presence of the Rode Trypanozoon antigenic type 1.2 Variant Surface Glycoprotein (RoTat1.2 VSG) gene (Ngaira et al., 2004), or T. b. evansi type B, characterized by the absence of that gene (Birhanu et al., 2016). While T. b. evansi type B trypanosomosis is restricted to certain regions in Africa (Birhanu et al., 2016; Ngaira et al., 2005; Behour and Abd El Fattah, 2023; Njiru et al., 2006; Salim et al., 2011; Boushaki et al., 2019), T. b. evansi type A is spread worldwide (Aregawi et al., 2019; Gutierrez et al., 2010). Diagnosis of T. b. evansi infections involves direct visualization of the parasite, detection of parasite-induced host antibodies (Abs), or detection of parasite nucleic acids (Tehseen et al., 2015). While microscopy-based techniques can effectively detect T. b. evansi parasites in infected samples, their use is limited to the acute stage of infection, failing to identify both latent and chronic stages when parasitemia is low (Behour et al., 2019). Additionally, these techniques require specialized equipment and trained personnel to ensure reliability, which limits their feasibility for point-of-care (POC) field testing. Therefore, the current standard protocol for assessing possible T. b. evansi infections recommends the use of

antibody-based tests such as the Card Agglutination Test (CATT/T. b. evansi), the Latex Agglutination Test (LATEX/T. b. evansi), and the Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA/T. b. evansi) (Pathak et al., 1997; Lejon et al., 2005; Reyna-Bello et al., 1998; WOAH, 2021). These tests are indeed useful for field testing but cannot discriminate between previous exposure to T. b. evansi or current infections. The presence of parasite-induced host Abs may indicate an active or past infection, but it can also result from repeated exposure to the parasite without successful infection or from polyclonal B cell activation due to other infectious agents (Harris and Gause, 2011; Fikru et al., 2015). Moreover, these tests often exhibit low specificity due to cross-reactions with Abs against closely related parasites, resulting in low specificity and low positive predictive values (PPV) (Fikru et al., 2015; Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2022). Consequently, the World Organization for Animal Health recommends the verification of the previous test results by specific Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification in a controlled laboratory setting (WOAH, 2021). Recommended specific PCR primers include RoTat1.2 for T. b. evansi type A, and EVAB for T. b. evansi type B (WOAH, 2021). PCR detection of parasite DNA is effective at all stages of infection and allows the assessment of subsequent treatment effectiveness and the cure of infected animals (Li et al., 2020; Davila et al., 2003; Masiga et al., 1992). Nevertheless, this technique is limited to the use in lab settings mainly due to the need for specialized temperature control devices and well-trained technicians. As a solution, isothermal amplification methods for T. b. evansi have been recently developed as a good alternative to PCR. These include T. b. evansi type A and B Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assays, which can be run at around 65°C for 1 h (Tong et al., 2018; Njiru et al., 2010), or T. b. evansi type A Recombinase Polymerase Amplification (RPA), which can be run at around 39°C for 30 min (Li et al., 2020). These assays, while optimal for both lab and field settings, do eventually suffer from nonspecific amplifications resulting in lower sensitivity and specificity as compared to the gold standard PCR (Zou et al., 2020).

In recent years, the emergence of CRISPR-Cas technology has marked a significant step forward towards the generation of improved versions of diagnostic tests (Sima et al., 2022). The CRISPR-Cas complex can be programmed by using a synthetic single guide RNA (sgRNA) fragment together with a Cas endonuclease to target and cleave a specific DNA/RNA sequence (Jinek et al., 2012) (Figure 1A). Many Cas proteins, upon their inherent cis-cleavage activity on the specific DNA/RNA target, display an additional non-specific collateral or trans-cleavage activity on surrounding single-stranded DNA/RNAs (Sereno et al., 2022; East-Seletsky et al., 2016; Gootenberg et al., 2017). This property has been effectively harnessed as a sensitive diagnostic approach to specifically identify nucleic acids present in a sample, by coupling current diagnostic tests together with the CRISPR-Cas complex and ssDNA/RNA probes containing fluorescent reporters (Chen et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). When



So this reaction at SU⁻C. First, the inbolucleoprotein CRISPR-Ap/Casi2b complex is formed between the Ap/Casi2b protein and the single-guide RNA (sgRNA). Then, the Cas protein scans through the double-stranded DNA amplicon for the presence of a specific 5'-TTN-3' Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM). Upon PAM recognition, the spacer region of the sgRNA hybridizes with the complementary protospacer sequence adjacent to the PAM site. As a result, the RuvC endonuclease domain of Ap/Casi2b is activated, leading to the *cis*-cleavage of both DNA strands. This leads to the non-specific *trans*-cleavage of the FAM-Q probes, resulting in a measurable fluorescence signal of the released FAM reporters (Teng et al., 2019). (**B**, **C**) Temperature range analysis of both *Tev*CRISPR-Casi2b *cis*- (**B**) and *trans*-cleavage assays (**C**). The *cis*-cleavage results were visualized on a 1% agarose gel pre-stained with ethidium bromide (t = 60 min). The *trans*-cleavage results were plotted as the background subtracted fluorescence of mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of 3 technical replicates (t = 120 min). (**D**) Analytical sensitivity assessment of the *Tev*CRISPR-Casi2b cleavage assay. Background subtracted fluorescence of 3 technical replicates is plotted as mean \pm SD. A Cut-off (NTC average ± 3 times the SD) is indicated by the dashed line. All statistical analyses were conducted using a one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Significant differences between groups are denoted with the corresponding p-values listed above.

combined with isothermal amplification methods, CRISPR-Casbased diagnostic tests have demonstrated enhanced sensitivity and specificity, while preserving their usability for POC and Point of Need (PON) field testing (Zou et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020; Cunningham et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2020).

In this study, we describe the development of the first CRISPR-Cas-based RPA Assay for the detection of active *T. b. evansi* infections (*Tev*RPA-CRISPR). We demonstrate the versatility and sensitivity of *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assays, showing how this technology outperforms the current *Tev*RPA assay when integrated into a combined *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test, and its accuracy in assessing both active and cured *T. b. evansi* infections.

Materials and methods

Nucleic acid preparations

Total genomic DNA (gDNA) from different *Trypanosoma* parasites (Table 1) was extracted and purified from infected mouse

whole blood (at ~10⁸ Trypanosomes mL⁻¹) using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's guidelines. DNA samples were eluted in DNase/RNase-free water and diluted to 1 ng μ L⁻¹ before storage at -20°C until further use. The concentration and quality of the purified total gDNA was assessed through gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometric analysis (performed on Nanodrop ND-1000, Thermo Scientific). To determine the analytical sensitivity of the Two-Pot and One-Pot tests with total gDNA, the extraction and purification of *T. b. evansi* RoTat 1.2 total gDNA was followed by a 1:10 serial dilution from 20 ng μ L⁻¹ up to 200 fg μ L⁻¹ with DNase/RNase-free water.

Total gDNA of *T. b. evansi* type A strains was used as a template to amplify by PCR a 615 bp fragment of the Rode *Trypanozoon* antigenic type 1.2 VSG (RoTat 1.2 VSG) gene (GenBank accession code: AF317914.1). The PCR amplification reaction was as follows: Ten μ l of extracted total gDNA (at 1 ng μ L⁻¹) were mixed with 15 μ L of a PCR-mastermix containing: 2 U GoTaq G2 DNA Polymerase (Promega, United Kingdom), 1x Colorless GoTaq Reaction Buffer (Promega, United Kingdom), 0.4 mM dNTPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States), 0.8 μ M

Strain	Host	Country	
T. b. gambiense ANTAT 9.1	Human	Cameroon	
T. b. rhodesiense STIB 850	Human	Uganda	
T. b. brucei ANTAT 1.8	Bushbuck	Uganda	
T. b. equiperdum BOTAT 1.1	Horse	Morocco	
T. b. evansi ROTAT 1.2	Water Buffalo	Indonesia	
T. b. evansi ANTAT 3.1	Capybara	South America	
T. b. evansi KAZAKHSTAN	Camel	Kazakhstan	
T. b. evansi COLOMBIA	Horse	Colombia	
T. b. evansi VIETNAM	Water Buffalo	Vietnam	
T. b. evansi MERZOUGA 93	Camel	Morocco	
T. b. evansi MERZOUGA 56	Camel	Morocco	
T. b. evansi ZAGORA I.17	Camel	Morocco	
T. b. evansi ZAGORA II.28	Camel	Morocco	
T. b. evansi ZAGORA III.25	Camel	Morocco	
T. b. evansi CAN 86 K	Dog	Brazil	
T. b. evansi STIB 816	Camel	China	
T. b. evansi KETRI 2480	Camel	Kenya	
T. b. evansi KETRI 2479	Camel	Kenya	
T. congolense TRT 17	Cattle	Zambia	
T. vivax ILRAD 700	Cattle	Nigeria	
T. cruzi TULAHUEN	Arthropod	Chile	

TABLE 1	Specifications	of the	Trypanosoma	parasites	employed i	n
this study	у.					

TevPCR-Fw primer (Integrated DNA Technologies, United States) and 0.8 µM TevPCR-Rv primer (Integrated DNA Technologies, United States) (See primer sequence in Table 2). Amplifications were performed in a Biometra Trio-block thermocycler at the following cycling conditions: denaturation for 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 amplification cycles of 1 min, denaturation at 94°C, 1 min primertemplate annealing at 55°C, and 1 min polymerization at 72°C. A final elongation step was carried out for 5 min at 72°C. The resulting amplicon DNAs (aDNA) were purified with the GenElute PCR Clean-Up kit (Sigma-Aldrich) following the kit's guidelines eluting in DNase/RNase-free water and diluted to 100 nM before storage at -20°C until further use. The concentration and quality of the purified aDNA were assessed through gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometric analysis (performed on a Nanodrop ND-1000, Thermo Scientific). To determine the analytical sensitivity of the CRISPR-Cas12b cleavage reactions and the Two-Pot and One-Pot tests with aDNA, *T. b. evansi* RoTat 1.2 aDNA was 1:10 serially diluted from 100 nM up to 1 aM with DNase/RNase-free water.

TevCRISPR-Cas12b cis-cleavage reactions

The recombinant *Alicyclobacillus acidiphilus* Cas12b (*Aap*Cas12b) protein, selected for the development of the *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests, was purchased from SignalChem Diagnostics, Canada. The selected suitable sgRNA for this protein includes the *Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris* Cas12b (*Aac*Cas12b) scaffold sgRNA (Supplementary Table S1), which given the absence of a published native *Aap*Cas12b sgRNA, results in a more robust and specific nuclease activity by the *Aap*Cas12b protein compared to other sgRNA scaffolds (Joung et al., 2020). All sgRNAs used in this study (Table 2; Supplementary Table S1) were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, United States.

*Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b *cis*-cleavage assays were performed as follows: 250 nM *Aap*Cas12b, 500 nM RoTat1.2sgRNA and 30 nM *T. b. evansi* RoTat 1.2 aDNA were combined in 1x ThermoPol Reaction Buffer (New England Biolabs, United States) to a final volume of 15 μ L. The reaction mix was transferred to a preset thermocycler and incubated for 1 h at different temperatures (50°C, 55°C, 60°C, 65°C, and 70°C). After incubation, the reaction was stopped by adding 2.75 μ L of a stop solution (16.9 mM EDTA, 84.5 μ g/mL RNAse A, and 67.6 mAU/mL proteinase K) and incubating the mix in a preset thermocycler for 10 min at 56°C. The reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel pre-stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr) in TBE buffer (90 mM Tris, 90 mM borate, 2.5 mM EDTA). Electrophoresis was conducted at 100 V for 30 min.

*Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b *trans*-cleavage reactions

TevCRISPR-Cas12b trans-cleavage reactions were performed as follows: 62.5 nM Cas12b (or 62.5 nM, 120 nM, and 250 nM during optimization assays), 250 nM sgRNA (or 125 nM, 250 nM, 500 nM and 1,000 nM during optimization assays) (six different sgRNAs were assessed, see Table 2; Supplementary Table S1), 250 nM FAM-Q probe (Integrated DNA Technologies, United States) and 30 nM T. b. evansi RoTat 1.2 aDNA (or 5 uL of 100 nM, 10 nM, 1 nM, 100 pM and 10 pM initial concentration, for analytical specificity assessment) were combined in 1x ThermoPol Reaction Buffer (New England Biolabs, United States) to a final volume of 15 µL. The reaction mix was transferred to a preset thermocycler and incubated for 2 h at 50°C (or 50°C, 55°C, 60°C, 65°C and 70°C during optimization assays). The reactions were run using Hard-shell thin wall 96-well PCR Plates (Bio-Rad, United States) on the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, United States). Fluorescence measurements were read every 30 s at \ex: 493 nm, λem: 517 nm.

Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR test

Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests include two consecutive reactions, being (i) the specific amplification of the target *T. b. evansi*

Assay type	Primer name	Oligonucleotide (5'-3')	Reference
D.C.D.	TevPCR-Fw	CACCGAAGCAAGCGCAGCAAGAG	This study
PCK	TevPCR-Rv AGTTCCGGTACCTTCTCCATTTC		This study
77. D.D.4	TevRPA-Fw	CACCGAAGCAAGCGCAGCAAGAGGGTTAGCA	Li et al. (2020)
TevRPA	TevRPA-Rv	GTAGCTGTCTCCTGGGGCCGAGGTGTCATAG	Li et al. (2020)
TevRPA-CRISPR Two-Pot and One-Pot	TevRPA-Fw	CACCGAAGCAAGCGCAGCAAGAGGGTTAGCA	Li et al. (2020)
	TevRPA-Rv	GTAGCTGTCTCCTGGGGCCGAGGTGTCATAG	Li et al. (2020)
	FAM-Q Probe	[6-FAM]TTTTT[BHQ-1]	This study
	RoTat1.2sgRNA	GUCUAGAGGACAGAAUUUUUCAACGGGUGUGCCAAUGGCCACUUUCCAG GUGGCAAAGCCCGUUGAGCUUCUCAAAUCUGAGAAGUGGCACUGUGGG CAAAGCCGACGGCA	This study
PCR	RoTat1.2 Fw	GCGGGGTGTTTAAAGCAATA	Class et al. (2004)
	RoTat1.2 Rv	ATTAGTGCTGCGTGTGTTCG	Class et al. (2004)

TABLE 2 Primers, probes and sgRNAs employed in this study.

DNA through RPA, and (ii) the specific detection of the amplicons through the CRISPR-Cas12b *cis*- and *trans*-cleavage activities.

Isothermal RPA amplification was conducted with the TwistAmp Basic kit (TwistDx, Cambridge, United Kingdom) with the protocol suggested by Li et al. (2020) with minor modifications: 10 μ L of input aDNA (at 10 fM, 1 fM, 100 aM, 10 aM and 1 aM initial concentration) or total gDNA (at 20 ng μ L⁻¹, 2 ng μ L⁻¹, 200 pg μ L⁻¹, 20 pg μ L⁻¹, 2 pg μ L⁻¹ and 200 fg μ L⁻¹ initial concentration) were incubated with 480 nM of each *Tev*RPA primer, 1x rehydration buffer, 14 mM MgOAc and the lyophilized enzyme pellet of the TwistAmp Basic kit, in a final volume of 50 μ L. The reaction mix was transferred to a preset thermocycler and incubated for 30 min at 39°C. The amplified products were first purified using the GenElute PCR Clean-Up kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and visualized by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel pre-stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr) in TBE buffer (90 mM Tris, 90 mM borate, 2.5 mM EDTA). Electrophoresis was conducted at 110 V for 40 min.

CRISPR-Cas12b specific detection was performed as follows: 2.5 μ L of the previous reaction mix without purification was incubated with 62.5 nM *Aap*Cas12b, 250 nM RoTat1.2sgRNA, 250 nM FAM-Q probe (Integrated DNA Technologies, United States) and 1x ThermoPol Reaction Buffer (New England Biolabs, United States) in a final volume of 15 μ L. The reaction mix was transferred to a preset thermocycler and incubated for 30–120 min at 50°C. The reactions were run using Hard-shell thin wall 96-well PCR Plates (Bio-Rad, United States) on the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, United States). Fluorescence measurements were read every 30 s at λ ex: 493 nm, λ em: 517 nm.

One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR test

One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests combine two reactions in one single tube, being (i) the specific amplification of the target *T*.

b. evansi DNA through RPA, and (ii) the specific detection of the amplicons through the CRISPR-Cas12b *cis-* and *trans-*cleavage activities.

For this assay, $5 \,\mu$ L of input aDNA (at 1 pM, 100 fM, 10 fM, 1 fM, 100 aM and 10 aM initial concentration) or total gDNA (at 20 ng μ L⁻¹, 2 ng μ L⁻¹, 200 pg μ L⁻¹, 20 pg μ L⁻¹ and 2 pg μ L⁻¹) was incubated with 480 nM of each *Tev*RPA primer, 1x rehydration buffer, 14 mM MgOAc, the lyophilized enzyme pellet of the TwistAmp Basic kit, 62.5 nM *Aap*Cas12b, 250 nM RoTat1.2sgRNA and 250 nM FAM-Q probe (Integrated DNA Technologies, United States) in a final volume of 15 μ L. The reaction mix was transferred to a preset thermocycler and incubated for 60–120 at 39°C. The reactions were run using Hard-shell thin wall 96-well PCR Plates (Bio-Rad, United States) on the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, United States). Fluorescence measurements were read every 30 s at λ ex: 493 nm, λ em: 517 nm.

Experimental mice infections

Eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice (purchased from Janvier, France) were divided into two groups of six individuals. In each group, five mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with 2000 *T*. *b. evansi* STIB 816 parasites in 200 µL of HBSS buffer (140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.4 mM MgSO₄ 7H₂O, 0.5 mM MgCl₂ 6H₂O, 0.3 mM Na₂HPO₄ 2H₂O, 0.4 nM KH₂PO₄, 6 mM D-Glucose, 4 mM Sodium bicarbonate; Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). Of note, bloodstream trypanosome parasites were stored at -80° C as blood aliquots containing 50% Alsever's solution (Sigma–Aldrich) and 10% glycerol (final V/V). One mouse in each group was used as a negative control and was not infected. The mice were tail bled at different times post-infection. The mice in Group 1 were bled at days 1, 3, 5 and 7 post-infection. The animals in Group 2 were bled at days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 post-infection. All individuals from Group 2 were treated with Berenil (40 mg per kg), administered intraperitoneally at day 5 post-infection. Blood samples were collected from the tail and mixed with heparinized saline (10-fold at 10 units/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, United States) to prevent coagulation. Then, 2.5 µL of the collected blood was used to follow-up mice parasitemia by diluting the sample 200-fold in HBSS buffer and assessing parasitemia under the VisiScope IT415 PH light microscope (VWR, United States). The rest of the collected blood was used to extract and purify the total gDNA using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's guidelines. DNA samples were eluted in DNase/RNase-free water on equal volumes to the initial sample (i.e., no sample concentration). The resulting gDNA was used to evaluate the samples using the TevPCR (used as a gold standard to assess positivity), Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR and the One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR tests. The TevPCR was performed as described in Claes et al. (2004). TevRPA-CRISPR Two-Pot and the TevRPA-CRISPR One-Pot tests were performed as described previously in this study on optimized conditions. Fluorescence values from positive and negative samples from the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR and the One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR tests were evaluated by a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for determining test's positivity thresholds, as well as sensitivity and specificity scores (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S9).

Ethics statement

All experiments, maintenance and care of the mice complied with the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals (ECPVA) used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes guidelines (CETS No 123) and were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments (ECAE) at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Permit Number: 17-220-02). Mice were monitored daily. Humane endpoints were used during the study, based on weight loss, whereby animals with >25% weight loss were sacrificed using carbon dioxide treatment. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Statistical analysis

The GraphPad Prism 10 software was used for statistical analyses. Analytical sensitivity, and analytical specificity analyses were conducted using three technical replicates. The results presented were chosen as the most representative from two independent experiments. All statistical analyses were conducted using a one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and *p*-values are shown. Specificity and sensitivity were evaluated through Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, which included a 95% confidence interval (CI) for both metrics, based on a sample size of n = 66.

Results

Development and Optimization of a *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b assay for versatile and sensitive detection of *T. b. evansi*

Development of a *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test requires the preamplification of a double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) target by RPA, followed by a highly specific cleavage and detection of the resulting amplicon through the CRISPR-Cas12b machinery (Figure 1A). For this, it is critical to choose a proper target region for the Cas12bsgRNA complex, which must contain a PAM sequence (5'-TTN-3') followed by a protospacer sequence (Teng et al., 2018). The target sequence was chosen based on: (i) the presence within the *Tev*RPA amplicon, outside the primers or primer binding sites; (ii) the occurrence of a PAM sequence; (iii) the degree of nucleotide sequence identity between different *T. b. evansi* strains from different origins; and (iv) the absence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

First, the specificity of the RoTat 1.2 VSG gene to T. b. evansi type A was verified by PCR amplification (Supplementary Figure S1). Then, the TevRPA targeted sequence included within the aDNAs collected from 13 T. b. evansi type A parasites was analyzed (Table 1). A 99.65% nucleotide sequence identity was observed, including the presence of a unique SNP in two geographically closely related T. b. evansi type A strains, being T. b. evansi KAZAKHSTAN and T. b. evansi STIB 816, from Kazakhstan and P.R. of China, respectively (Supplementary Figure S2). As a result, and in accordance with the above-mentioned criteria, six sgRNAs targeting the TevRPA amplicon were designed (Supplementary Table S1). The efficacy of all sgRNAs was analyzed in conjunction with AapCas12b for cleaving (through cis-cleavage) and detecting (through trans-cleavage) T. b. evansi aDNA. Among the sgRNAs, sgRNA_6, designated as RoTat1.2sgRNA, exhibited the most rapid and robust fluorescence signals throughout the reaction (Supplementary Figure S3). Consequently, RoTat1.2sgRNA was selected for subsequent development stages of the TevRPA-CRISPR diagnostic test. Next, the optimal AapCas12b:RoTat1.2sgRNA molar ratio to target T. b. evansi aDNA was evaluated, indicating a 4:1 ratio (i.e., 62.5 nM AapCas12b and 250 nM RoTat1.2sgRNA) as the best combination to reduce assay costs while maximizing the cleavage (Supplementary Figure S4). Previous studies have reported an optimal AapCas12b temperature range between 31°C and 59°C for the ciscleavage and up to 50°C-60°C for the trans-cleavage (Joung et al., 2020; Teng et al., 2018; Huyke et al., 2022). To corroborate if those results apply to our CRISPR-AapCas12b- RoTat1.2sgRNA design, a temperature range analysis was performed for both cis- and transcleavage reactions. A nearly total cis-cleavage of the target T. b. evansi aDNA was observed up to 55°C, while at 60°C-70°C the cleavage was reduced but still visible (Figure 1B). Nevertheless, the transcleavage of the fluorescent probes was optimal from 40°C to 60°C, and progressively decreased but measurable when increasing the reaction temperature at 65°C-70°C (Figure 1C). As such, 50°C was selected as the optimal reaction temperature. Finally, the analytical sensitivity of the TevCRISPR-Cas12b assay was determined at the optimized reaction conditions. To achieve this, the TevCRISPR-Cas12b assays were conducted on 1:10 serially diluted T. b. evansi aDNA samples, using a negative control sample in which aDNA was absent. Figure 1D



resulting in a measurable fluorescence signal of the released FAM reporters. (B, D) Analytical sensitivity assessment of the Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test to aDNA (B) and gDNA (D). Background subtracted fluorescence of 3 technical replicates is plotted as mean ± standard deviation (SD). A Cut-off (No Template Control (NTC) mean +3SD) is indicated by the dashed line. (C, E) Kinetics of the *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b *trans*-cleavage from the analytical sensitivity assessment of the Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test to aDNA (C) and gDNA (E). Fluorescence was measured over 30 min. Shaded regions represent SD of 3 technical replicates. (F, H) Analytical sensitivity assessment of the *Tev*RPA test to aDNA (F) and gDNA (H). Lanes 1–5, serial 10-fold dilution of aDNA from 10 fM to 1 aM, and of gDNA from 20 ng to 2 pg; lane 6 NTC. Results were visualized on a 2% agarose gel pre-stained with ethidium bromide. (G, I) Analytical specificity assessment of the Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test to different *Trypanosoma* spp. (G) and *T. b. evansi* strains (I). Background subtracted fluorescence of 3 technical replicates is plotted, where the mean is indicated as a dashed line. All statistical analyses were conducted using a one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Significant differences between groups are denoted with the corresponding p-values listed above.

shows that the *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b assay detects target *T. b. evansi* aDNA up to a pM concentration.

Integrated *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assay for highly sensitive and specific detection of *T. b. evansi*

The *Aap*Cas12b-RoTat1.2sgRNA complex was designed with the aim of adapting this technology to the *Tev*RPA. Hence, first

a Two-Pot test was developed, in which the RPA amplification is directly followed by the cleavage of the resulting amplicon through the CRISPR-Cas12b machinery, and the cleavage and detection of a fluorescent probe (Figure 2A). This test was performed following the optimal conditions for both reactions, in two separate tubes, with a total reaction time of 1 h. Using this approach, the analytical sensitivities of both *Tev*RPA and Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests were evaluated. While *Tev*RPA allows to detect up to 100 aM of the target *T. b. evansi* aDNA, the Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test improved this detection limit by 10-fold, detecting up to 10 aM



reporters. (**b**, **D**) Analytical sensitivity assessment of the One-Pot PerkPA-CRISPR test to aDNA (**b**) and gDNA (**b**). Background subtracted hiddrescence of 3 technical replicates is plotted as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). A Cut-off (No Template Control (NTC) mean+3SD) is indicated by the dashed line. (**C**, **E**) Kinetics of the analytical sensitivity assessment of the One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR test to aDNA (**C**) and gDNA (**E**). Fluorescence was measured over 30 min. Shaded regions represent SD of 3 technical replicates. All statistical analyses were conducted using a one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Significant differences between groups are denoted with the corresponding p-values listed above.

of T. b. evansi aDNA after 30 min of reaction (Figures 2B, C, F), or by 100-fold, detecting up to 1 aM of T. b. evansi aDNA after 60–120 min of reaction (Supplementary Figure S5). When using T. b. evansi gDNA instead, the TevRPA allowed to detect up to 200 pg of the target gDNA, whereas the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR test improved this detection limit by 10-fold, detecting up to 20 pg of T. b. evansi gDNA after 30 min of reaction (Figures 2D, E, H), or by 100-fold, detecting up to 2 pg of T. b. evansi gDNA after 60-120 min of reaction (Supplementary Figure S6). Although the CRISPR-Cas12b technology enhances sensitivity, its primary advantage lies in ensuring test specificity by serving as a "second verification" of amplicon accuracy following the initial amplification step (Joung et al., 2020). To probe the test's analytical specificity, the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR was performed on different Trypanosoma spp. gDNA samples (listed in Table 1) including those that can be found coexisting in the same territories as T. b. evansi. As expected, the TevRPA-CRISPR test resulted in a positive fluorescence signal only when T. b. evansi type A gDNA was present (Figures 2G, I).

Having demonstrated the feasibility and adaptability of CRISPR-Cas12b within the *Tev*RPA Two-Pot system, combining both steps into a single-pot reaction was addressed, with the goal of creating an efficient and reliable diagnostic test for POC use. The One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assay integrates RPA amplification with CRISPR-Cas12b-mediated cleavage and detection within a single reaction mixture, enabling the detection of *T. b.* *evansi* type A gDNA within 1 h. The amplification rate and efficiency of these RPA-CRISPR assays are significantly affected by primer concentration and magnesium acetate (MgOAc) levels. Therefore, the optimized concentration for both reagents was determined, being 14 mM MgOAc and 480 mM *Tev*RPA primers, respectively (Supplementary Figure S7). Finally, the analytical sensitivities of the One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR test were evaluated, detecting up to 100–10 aM of *T. b. evansi* aDNA (Figures 3B, C; Supplementary Figure S8), and 20 pg of *T. b. evansi* gDNA (Figures 3D, E; Supplementary Figure S8).

*Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays detect active *T. b. evansi* infections and Cure with PCR-Level accuracy in experimental mouse models

After developing the Two-Pot and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR designs, test efficacy in diagnosing both active and cured infections of *T. b. evansi* was validated. Ten C57BL/6 mice were infected with *T. b. evansi* STIB 816, divided into two groups. The presence of parasites was assessed by microscopy, *Tev*PCR (Claes et al., 2004), Two-pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR, and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR at various time points of infection. Group 1 was left untreated, while Group 2 was treated with Berenil 5 days post-infection (Figures 4A, B). Both Two-Pot and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays



FIGURE 4

Assessment of the *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays to detect both active and cured *T. b. evansi* infections. (A) C57BL/6 mice were infected with *T. b. evansi* STIB 816 (n = 5) and the presence of parasites was monitored over the course of the infection by microscopy, *Tev*PCR, Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR. The results are showed as the percentages of mice that scored positive or negative at the above-mentioned techniques. (B) C57BL/6 mice infected with *T. b. evansi* STIB 816 (n = 5) were treated with Berenil at 5 days post-infection. The presence of parasites was followed by microscopy, *Tev*PCR, Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR along the experiment. The panels and color codes are identical to those used in panel (A). The *Tev*PCR, Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR, and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR read-outs are shown in Figure 5. These results were selected as the most representative from two independent experiments.

accurately detected all infected samples in both untreated and treated groups, matching the performance of the gold standard *Tev*PCR (Kappa value = 1) (Figures 5A, B). All infected mice in Group 1 were euthanized by day 8 post-infection, as they started to show signs of infection-associated pathology. In contrast, all mice in Group 2 survived, indicating successful parasite clearance following Berenil treatment. Both Two-Pot and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR yielded negative results in post-treatment non-infected samples, as corroborated by *Tev*PCR, validating their effectiveness as "test-of-cure" assays (Figure 5B). Finally, the preliminary sensitivity and specificity of both *Tev*RPA-CRISPR tests were evaluated, achieving 100% for both metrics (Supplementary Table S2).

Discussion

In this study, we developed and optimized a *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assay to be used as a highly specific and sensitive alternative for POC/PON diagnosis of *T. b. evansi* active infections. This assay integrates an RPA for target amplification together with a CRISPR-Cas12b system for amplicon detection. Target amplification is facilitated by a *Tev*RPA, which specifically targets the RoTat 1.2 VSG gene unique to *T. b. evansi* type A parasites (Verloo et al., 2001). As demonstrated in this study, the nucleotide sequence of the RoTat 1.2 VSG region is highly conserved across *T. b. evansi* type A strains from various origins, ensuring a broad applicability of the test. Subsequently, the amplified target detection is carried out by the

TevCRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assay, which combines the CRISPR-AapCas12b together with the RoTat1.2sgRNA, forming the CRISPR complex. Our findings reveal that the TevCRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assay can reliably detect picomolar concentrations of the target T. b. evansi aDNA, consistent with the reported analytical sensitivities for CRISPR-AapCas12b systems (Huyke et al., 2022). Despite being a highly sensitive assay, the pre-amplification of the target DNA is still recommended when directly detecting gDNA samples. Besides its low limit of detection, the CRISPR-AapCas12b system has been reported to exhibit minimal to no off-target cis-cleavage activity (Teng et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2017). This quality makes it highly specific and adaptable to any amplification method when applied as a second-step reaction (i.e., Two-Pot system), serving as a reliable second result verification on inconclusive T. b. evansi tests. The CRISPR-AapCas12b system also proved to be robust and optimally operate in a wide range of reaction temperatures (40°C to 60°C), as already reported in other studies (Joung et al., 2020; Teng et al., 2018; Huyke et al., 2022; Nguyen et al., 2022). This quality makes it compatible and highly adaptable to most isothermal nucleic acid amplification reactions if integrated into a single-step reaction (i.e., One-Pot system) (Sereno et al., 2022).

The combined test approach we explored in this study utilizes our previously established *Tev*RPA assay, which exhibits high specificity for *T. b. evansi* type A and integrates it with the *Tev*CRISPR-Cas12b cleavage assay. While many researchers choose to adapt RPA to other Cas proteins, like Cas13 or Cas12a, it has been proposed that when combined with RPA, Cas12b, and specifically *Aap*Cas12b yields a better performance (Aman et al.,



FIGURE 5

Read-outs from the Assessment of the *Tev*RPA-CRISPR performance on experimental *T. b. evansi* infections. (A) *Tev*PCR, Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR results from the mouse infection of Figure 4A. (B) *Tev*PCR, Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR, and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR results from the mouse infection of Figure 4B. Numbers from 1–5 below the plots correspond to each of the individual mice analyzed per group. N and P correspond to the negative control (water only), and the positive control (*T. b. evansi* STIB 816 gDNA). *Tev*PCR results were visualized on a 2% agarose gel pre-stained with ethidium bromide. Fluorescence values from the Two-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR results were evaluated by a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis to determine the test's positivity thresholds (dashed lines).

TABLE 3 Intrinsic properties of Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR and One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR. Specificity and sensitivity were assessed using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (see Supplementary Figure S9). The results include a 95% confidence interval for both metrics, calculated from a sample size of n = 66.

	Analytical Specificity	Analytical Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity
TevCRISPR-RPA Two-Pot	T. evansi type A	10-1 aM aDNA 20-2 pg gDNA	100 % (95% CI: 91.24-100%)	100 % (95% CI: 87.13-100%)
TevCRISPR-RPA One-Pot	T. evansi type A	100-10 aM aDNA 20 pg gDNA	100 % (95% CI: 91.24-100%)	100 % (95% CI: 87.13-100%)

2021). Our data shows that the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR assay substantially enhances analytical sensitivity by a factor of up to 100 compared to the traditional TevRPA method, while also exhibiting robust analytical specificity with no cross-reactivity to other Trypanosoma species (Table 3). This assay provides an optimal solution for detecting T. b. evansi type A parasites in both laboratory and field settings. Although TevRPA offers a rapid alternative to the gold-standard TevPCR in laboratory settings, it is prone to non-specific amplification leading to false positive results. The Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR assay overcomes this limitation, providing a more reliable and precise diagnostic tool. In field settings, the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR facilitates the initial fast and user-friendly screening with TevRPA, while follow-up laboratory-based confirmation and detailed analysis with the TevCRISPR-Cas12b assay ensures an accurate and reliable result.

Integrating the TevRPA and TevCRISPR-Cas12b assays into a single reaction simplifies the workflow while preserving high analytical sensitivity and specificity. The One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR assay achieved analytical sensitivities comparable to the Two-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR assay, while maintaining a specific detection of T. b. evansi type A parasites (Table 3). The One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR assay, while well-suited for laboratory settings, was designed to meet the need for a user-friendly yet sensitive and specific test suitable for POC/PON diagnosis in field settings. In fact, the One-Pot TevRPA-CRISPR can be carried out using a body heater or portable water bath, and the results can be observed using costeffective blue-light transilluminators (Supplementary Figure S10), powered by batteries or connected to a mobile phone, as well as through standard lateral-flow devices, which require no additional equipment (Myhrvold et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2023). Accordingly, this assay offers a compelling alternative to standard screening tools, like CATT/T. b. evansi or ELISA/ T. b. evansi.

Diagnostic accuracy evaluation of the newly developed Two-Pot and One-Pot *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays was done in a setting that compared results of both active and cured *T. b. evansi* infections, using an experimental mouse model. Both assays showed full concordance with the gold standard *Tev*PCR, achieving 100% sensitivity and specificity. This performance confirms the potential for effectively monitoring treatment efficacy and parasite clearance, establishing both *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays as valuable tools for managing *T. b. evansi* infections.

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that the newly developed *Tev*RPA-CRISPR assays offer a robust and reliable proofof-concept, with significant potential as viable alternatives to current screening tools for both laboratory and field settings. Future efforts now must focus on extensive field trials and possibly further optimization, to ensure assay performance and applicability in a POC setting.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

All experiments, maintenance and care of the mice complied with the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals (ECPVA) used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes guidelines (CETS No. 123) and were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments (ECAE) at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Permit Number: 17-220-02). Mice were monitored daily. Humane endpoints were used during the study, based on weight loss, whereby animals with >25% weight loss were sacrificed using carbon dioxide treatment. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AÁ-R: Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration. ZL: Conceptualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology. B-KJ: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Methodology. BS: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision. PG: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision. SM: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work

was supported by a SB PhD fellowship of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO), project number 1S91225N.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Carine Truyens and Pascale Deblandre (Laboratoire de Parasitologie, Faculté de Médecine – ULB) for the *T. cruzi* gDNA sample, and Joris Vankerschaver (Department of Applied mathematics, computer science and statistics, Faculty of Sciences – Ghent University Global Campus, South Korea) for his contribution to the statistical analysis. We would like to thank Ella Omasta, Marie-Therese Detobel, Ellen Vaneetvelde, Maité Schuurmans, and Nadia Abou for technical and administrative assistance. BS was supported by the Strategic Research Program (SRP3 and SRP47, VUB).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Ali, Z., Aman, R., Mahas, A., Rao, G. S., Tehseen, M., Marsic, T., et al. (2020). iSCAN: an RT-LAMP-coupled CRISPR-Cas12 module for rapid, sensitive detection of SARS-CoV-2. *Virus Res.* 288, 198129. doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198129

Alvarez-Rodriguez, A., Jin, B. K., Radwanska, M., and Magez, S. (2022). Recent progress in diagnosis and treatment of Human African Trypanosomiasis has made the elimination of this disease a realistic target by 2030. *Front. Med. (Lausanne)* 9, 1037094. doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.1037094

Aman, R., Marsic, T., Sivakrishna Rao, G., Mahas, A., Ali, Z., Alsanea, M., et al. (2021). iSCAN-V2: a one-pot RT-RPA-CRISPR/Cas12b assay for point-of-care SARS-CoV-2 detection. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 9, 800104. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2021.800104

Aregawi, W. G., Agga, G. E., Abdi, R. D., and Buscher, P. (2019). Systematic review and meta-analysis on the global distribution, host range, and prevalence of Trypanosoma evansi. *Parasit. Vectors* 12 (1), 67. doi:10.1186/s13071-019-3311-4

Austen, J. M., and Barbosa, A. D. (2021). Diversity and epidemiology of bat trypanosomes: a one Health perspective. *Pathogens* 10 (9), 1148. doi:10.3390/pathogens10091148

Behour, T. S., and Abd El Fattah, E. M. (2023). Genotyping of Trypanosoma brucei evansi in Egyptian camels: detection of a different non-RoTat 1.2 Trypanosoma brucei evansi in Egyptian camels. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 55 (4), 279. doi:10.1007/s11250-023-03673-6

Behour, T. S., Aboelhadid, S. M., Mousa, W. M., Amin, A. S., and El-Ashram, S. A. (2019). Molecular diagnosis of acute and chronic infection of Trypanosoma evansi in experimental male and female mice. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* 86 (1), e1–e10. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v86i1.1638

Birhanu, H., Gebrehiwot, T., Goddeeris, B. M., Buscher, P., and Van Reet, N. (2016). New trypanosoma evansi type B isolates from Ethiopian dromedary camels. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 10 (4), e0004556. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004556

Boushaki, D., Adel, A., Dia, M. L., Buscher, P., Madani, H., Brihoum, B. A., et al. (2019). Epidemiological investigations on Trypanosoma evansi infection in dromedary camels in the South of Algeria. *Heliyon* 5 (7), e02086. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02086

Brun, R., Hecker, H., and Lun, Z. R. (1998). Trypanosoma evansi and T. equiperdum: distribution, biology, treatment and phylogenetic relationship (a review). *Vet. Parasitol.* 79 (2), 95–107. doi:10.1016/s0304-4017(98)00146-0

Chen, J. S., Ma, E., Harrington, L. B., Da Costa, M., Tian, X., Palefsky, J. M., et al. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. *Science* 360 (6387), 436–439. doi:10.1126/science.aar6245

Claes, F., Radwanska, M., Urakawa, T., Majiwa, P. A., Goddeeris, B., and Buscher, P. (2004). Variable Surface Glycoprotein RoTat 1.2 PCR as a specific diagnostic tool for the detection of Trypanosoma evansi infections. *Kinetoplastid Biol. Dis.* 3 (1), 3. doi:10.1186/1475-9292-3-3

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2025. 1512970/full#supplementary-material

Cunningham, C. H., Hennelly, C. M., Lin, J. T., Ubalee, R., Boyce, R. M., Mulogo, E. M., et al. (2021). A novel CRISPR-based malaria diagnostic capable of Plasmodium detection, species differentiation, and drug-resistance genotyping. *EBioMedicine* 68, 103415. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103415

Davila, A. M., Herrera, H. M., Schlebinger, T., Souza, S. S., and Traub-Cseko, Y. M. (2003). Using PCR for unraveling the cryptic epizootiology of livestock trypanosomosis in the Pantanal, Brazil. *Vet. Parasitol.* 117 (1-2), 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2003.08.002

Deng, W., Feng, S., Stejskal, V., Opit, G., and Li, Z. (2023). An advanced approach for rapid visual identification of Liposcelis bostrychophila (Psocoptera: liposcelididae) based on CRISPR/Cas12a combined with RPA. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 116 (5), 1911–1921. doi:10.1093/jee/toad139

East-Seletsky, A., O'Connell, M. R., Knight, S. C., Burstein, D., Cate, J. H., Tjian, R., et al. (2016). Two distinct RNase activities of CRISPR-C2c2 enable guide-RNA processing and RNA detection. *Nature* 538 (7624), 270–273. doi:10.1038/nature19802

Fikru, R., Andualem, Y., Getachew, T., Menten, J., Hasker, E., Merga, B., et al. (2015). Trypanosome infection in dromedary camels in Eastern Ethiopia: prevalence, relative performance of diagnostic tools and host related risk factors. *Vet. Parasitol.* 211 (3-4), 175–181. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.04.008

Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Lee, J. W., Essletzbichler, P., Dy, A. J., Joung, J., et al. (2017). Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. *Science* 356 (6336), 438–442. doi:10.1126/science.aam9321

Gutierrez, C., Desquesnes, M., Touratier, L., and Buscher, P. (2010). Trypanosoma evansi: recent outbreaks in Europe. *Vet. Parasitol.* 174 (1-2), 26–29. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.08.012

Harris, N., and Gause, W. C. (2011). To B or not to B: B cells and the Th2-type immune response to helminths. *Trends Immunol.* 32 (2), 80-88. doi:10.1016/j.it.2010.11.005

Huyke, D. A., Ramachandran, A., Bashkirov, V. I., Kotseroglou, E. K., Kotseroglou, T., and Santiago, J. G. (2022). Enzyme Kinetics and detector sensitivity determine limits of detection of amplification-free CRISPR-Cas12 and CRISPR-Cas13 diagnostics. *Anal. Chem.* 94 (27), 9826–9834. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.2c01670

Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J. A., and Charpentier, E. (2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* 337 (6096), 816–821. doi:10.1126/science.1225829

Joshi, P. P., Shegokar, V. R., Powar, R. M., Herder, S., Katti, R., Salkar, H. R., et al. (2005). Human trypanosomiasis caused by Trypanosoma evansi in India: the first case report. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 73 (3), 491–495. doi:10.4269/ajtmh. 2005.73.491

Joung, J., Ladha, A., Saito, M., Kim, N. G., Woolley, A. E., Segel, M., et al. (2020). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 with SHERLOCK one-pot testing. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383 (15), 1492–1494. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2026172 Kasozi, K. I., Zirintunda, G., Ssempijja, F., Buyinza, B., Alzahrani, K. J., Matama, K., et al. (2021). Epidemiology of trypanosomiasis in wildlife-implications for humans at the wildlife interface in Africa. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8, 621699. doi:10.3389/fvets. 2021.621699

Kim, J., Alvarez-Rodriguez, A., Li, Z., Radwanska, M., and Magez, S. (2023). Recent progress in the detection of Surra, a neglected disease caused by trypanosoma evansi with a one Health impact in large parts of the tropic and sub-tropic World. *Microorganisms* 12 (1), 44. doi:10.3390/microorganisms12010044

Lee, R. A., Puig, H., Nguyen, P. Q., Angenent-Mari, N. M., Donghia, N. M., McGee, J. P., et al. (2020). Ultrasensitive CRISPR-based diagnostic for field-applicable detection of Plasmodium species in symptomatic and asymptomatic malaria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117 (41), 25722–25731. doi:10.1073/pnas.2010196117

Lejon, V., Claes, F., Verloo, D., Maina, M., Urakawa, T., Majiwa, P. A., et al. (2005). Recombinant RoTat 1.2 variable surface glycoprotein as antigen for diagnosis of Trypanosoma evansi in dromedary camels. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 35 (4), 455–460. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2004.12.015

Li, L., Li, S., Wu, N., Wu, J., Wang, G., Zhao, G., et al. (2019). HOLMESv2: a CRISPR-Cas12b-assisted platform for nucleic acid detection and DNA methylation quantitation. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 8 (10), 2228–2237. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.9b00209

Li, S. Y., Cheng, Q. X., Wang, J. M., Li, X. Y., Zhang, Z. L., Gao, S., et al. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. *Cell Discov.* 4, 20. doi:10.1038/s41421-018-0028-z

Li, Z., Pinto Torres, J. E., Goossens, J., Stijlemans, B., Sterckx, Y. G., and Magez, S. (2020). Development of a recombinase polymerase amplification lateral flow assay for the detection of active Trypanosoma evansi infections. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 14 (2), e0008044. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008044

Liu, L., Chen, P., Wang, M., Li, X., Wang, J., Yin, M., et al. (2017). C2c1-sgRNA complex structure reveals RNA-guided DNA cleavage mechanism. *Mol. Cell* 65 (2), 310–322. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.040

Lun, Z. R., and Desser, S. S. (1995). Is the broad range of hosts and geographical distribution of Trypanosoma evansi attributable to the loss of maxicircle kinetoplast DNA? *Parasitol. Today* 11 (4), 131–133. doi:10.1016/0169-4758(95)80129-4

Masiga, D. K., Smyth, A. J., Hayes, P., Bromidge, T. J., and Gibson, W. C. (1992). Sensitive detection of trypanosomes in tsetse flies by DNA amplification. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 22 (7), 909–918. doi:10.1016/0020-7519(92)90047-0

Mojahed, N., Mohammadkhani, M. A., and Mohamadkhani, A. (2022). Climate crises and developing vector-borne diseases: a narrative review. *Iran. J. Public Health* 51 (12), 2664–2673. doi:10.18502/ijph.v51i12.11457

Myhrvold, C., Freije, C. A., Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Metsky, H. C., Durbin, A. F., et al. (2018). Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. *Science* 360 (6387), 444–448. doi:10.1126/science.aas8836

Ngaira, J. M., Njagi, E. N., Ngeranwa, J. J., and Olembo, N. K. (2004). PCR amplification of RoTat 1.2 VSG gene in Trypanosoma evansi isolates in Kenya. *Vet. Parasitol.* 120 (1-2), 23–33. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2003.12.007

Ngaira, J. M., Olembo, N. K., Njagi, E. N., and Ngeranwa, J. J. (2005). The detection of non-RoTat 1.2 Trypanosoma evansi. *Exp. Parasitol.* 110 (1), 30-38. doi:10.1016/j.exppara.2005.01.001

Nguyen, L. T., Macaluso, N. C., Pizzano, B. L. M., Cash, M. N., Spacek, J., Karasek, J., et al. (2022). A thermostable Cas12b from Brevibacillus leverages onepot discrimination of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *EBioMedicine* 77, 103926. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.103926

Njiru, Z. K., Constantine, C. C., Masiga, D. K., Reid, S. A., Thompson, R. C., and Gibson, W. C. (2006). Characterization of Trypanosoma evansi type B. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 6 (4), 292–300. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2005.08.002

Njiru, Z. K., Ouma, J. O., Enyaru, J. C., and Dargantes, A. P. (2010). Loopmediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) test for detection of Trypanosoma evansi strain B. Exp. Parasitol. 125 (3), 196–201. doi:10.1016/j.exppara. 2010.01.017

Pathak, K. M., Singh, Y., Meirvenne, N. V., and Kapoor, M. (1997). Evaluation of various diagnostic techniques for Trypanosoma evansi infections in naturally infected camels. *Vet. Parasitol.* 69 (1-2), 49–54. doi:10.1016/s0304-4017(96)01091-6

Pays, E., Radwanska, M., and Magez, S. (2023). The pathogenesis of african trypanosomiasis. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* 18, 19–45. doi:10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-031621-025153

Powar, R. M., Shegokar, V. R., Joshi, P. P., Dani, V. S., Tankhiwale, N. S., Truc, P., et al. (2006). A rare case of human trypanosomiasis caused by Trypanosoma evansi. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.* 24 (1), 72–74. doi:10.4103/0255-0857.19904

Radwanska, M., Guirnalda, P., De Trez, C., Ryffel, B., Black, S., and Magez, S. (2008). Trypanosomiasis-induced B cell apoptosis results in loss of protective anti-parasite antibody responses and abolishment of vaccine-induced memory responses. *PLoS Pathog.* 4 (5), e1000078. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000078

Reyna-Bello, A., Garcia, F. A., Rivera, M., Sanso, B., and Aso, P. M. (1998). Enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for detection of anti-Trypanosoma evansi equine antibodies. *Vet. Parasitol.* 80 (2), 149–157. doi:10.1016/s0304-4017(98)00199-x

Salim, B., Bakheit, M. A., Kamau, J., Nakamura, I., and Sugimoto, C. (2011). Molecular epidemiology of camel trypanosomiasis based on ITS1 rDNA and RoTat 1.2 VSG gene in the Sudan. *Parasit. Vectors* 4, 31. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-4-31

Sereno, D., Oury, B., Geiger, A., Vela, A., Karmaoui, A., and Desquesnes, M. (2022). Isothermal nucleic acid amplification to detect infection caused by parasites of the trypanosomatidae family: a literature review and opinion on the laboratory to field applicability. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (14), 7543. doi:10.3390/ijms23147543

Sima, N., Dujeancourt-Henry, A., Perlaza, B. L., Ungeheuer, M. N., Rotureau, B., and Glover, L. (2022). SHERLOCK4HAT: a CRISPR-based tool kit for diagnosis of Human African Trypanosomiasis. *EBioMedicine* 85, 104308. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104308

Tehseen, S., Jahan, N., Qamar, M. F., Desquesnes, M., Shahzad, M. I., Deborggraeve, S., et al. (2015). Parasitological, serological and molecular survey of Trypanosoma evansi infection in dromedary camels from Cholistan Desert, Pakistan. *Parasit. Vectors* 8, 415. doi:10.1186/s13071-015-1002-3

Teng, F., Cui, T., Feng, G., Guo, L., Xu, K., Gao, Q., et al. (2018). Repurposing CRISPR-Cas12b for mammalian genome engineering. *Cell Discov.* 4, 63. doi:10.1038/s41421-018-0069-3

Teng, F., Guo, L., Cui, T., Wang, X. G., Xu, K., Gao, Q., et al. (2019). CDetection: CRISPR-Cas12b-based DNA detection with sub-attomolar sensitivity and single-base specificity. *Genome Biol.* 20 (1), 132. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1742-z

Tong, Q., Chen, R., Kong, Q., Goossens, J., Radwanska, M., Lou, D., et al. (2018). DNA detection of Trypanosoma evansi: diagnostic validity of a new assay based on loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP). *Vet. Parasitol.* 250, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.12.006

Van Vinh Chau, N., Buu Chau, L., Desquesnes, M., Herder, S., Phu Huong Lan, N., Campbell, J. I., et al. (2016). A clinical and epidemiological investigation of the first reported human infection with the zoonotic parasite trypanosoma evansi in southeast Asia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 62 (8), 1002–1008. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw052

Verloo, D., Magnus, E., and Buscher, P. (2001). General expression of RoTat 1.2 variable antigen type in Trypanosoma evansi isolates from different origin. *Vet. Parasitol.* 97 (3), 183–189. doi:10.1016/s0304-4017(01)00412-5

WOAH (2021). "Surra in all species (trypanosoma evansi infection)," in OIE terrestrial manual (Paris: WOAH) 1-3, 1833. Available at: https://www.woah. org/fileadmin/Home/fr/Health_standards/tahm/3.01.21_SURRA_TRYPANO.pdf.

Zou, Y., Mason, M. G., and Botella, J. R. (2020). Evaluation and improvement of isothermal amplification methods for point-of-need plant disease diagnostics. *PLoS One* 15 (6), e0235216. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0235216