Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yasir Ahmed Syed, Cardiff University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Mohammad Farhan, Hamad Bin Khalifa University, Qatar Mouhamed Alsaqati, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

★CORRESPONDENCE Jing-Da Qiao ☑ Joaquinqjd@163.com

RECEIVED 12 December 2022 ACCEPTED 27 March 2023 PUBLISHED 20 April 2023

CITATION

Liu C-Q, Qu X-C, He M-F, Liang D-H, Xie S-M, Zhang X-X, Lin Y-M, Zhang W-J, Wu K-C and Qiao J-D (2023) Efficient strategies based on behavioral and electrophysiological methods for epilepsy-related gene screening in the *Drosophila* model. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 16:1121877. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2023.1121877

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Qu, He, Liang, Xie, Zhang, Lin, Zhang, Wu and Qiao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction

in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficient strategies based on behavioral and electrophysiological methods for epilepsy-related gene screening in the *Drosophila* model

Chu-Qiao Liu^{1,2}, Xiao-Chong Qu¹, Ming-Feng He¹, De-Hai Liang¹, Shi-Ming Xie³, Xi-Xing Zhang², Yong-Miao Lin², Wen-Jun Zhang¹, Ka-Chun Wu⁴ and Jing-Da Qiao ^(b) ^{1*}

¹Department of Neurology, Institute of Neuroscience, Key Laboratory of Neurogenetics and Channelopathies of Guangdong Province and the Ministry of Education of China, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ²The Second Clinical Medicine School of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ³The First Clinical Medicine School of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁴School of Clinical Medicine, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

Introduction: With the advent of trio-based whole-exome sequencing, the identification of epilepsy candidate genes has become easier, resulting in a large number of potential genes that need to be validated in a whole-organism context. However, conducting animal experiments systematically and efficiently remains a challenge due to their laborious and time-consuming nature. This study aims to develop optimized strategies for validating epilepsy candidate genes using the *Drosophila* model.

Methods: This study incorporate behavior, morphology, and electrophysiology for genetic manipulation and phenotypic examination. We utilized the Gal4/UAS system in combination with RNAi techniques to generate loss-of-function models. We performed a range of behavioral tests, including two previously unreported seizure phenotypes, to evaluate the seizure behavior of mutant and wild-type flies. We used Gal4/UAS-mGFP flies to observe the morphological alterations in the brain under a confocal microscope. We also implemented patch-clamp recordings, including a novel electrophysiological method for studying synapse function and improved methods for recording action potential currents and spontaneous EPSCs on targeted neurons.

Results: We applied different techniques or methods mentioned above to investigate four epilepsy-associated genes, namely *Tango14*, *Klp3A*, *Cac*, and *Sbf*, based on their genotype-phenotype correlation. Our findings showcase the feasibility and efficiency of our screening system for confirming epilepsy candidate genes in the Drosophila model.

Discussion: This efficient screening system holds the potential to significantly accelerate and optimize the process of identifying epilepsy candidate genes, particularly in conjunction with trio-based whole-exome sequencing.

KEYWORDS

epilepsy, trio-based whole-exome sequencing, *Drosophila*, Gal4/UAS system, electrophysiology

1. Introduction

Epilepsy is a prevalent neurological disorder affecting approximately 50 million people worldwide, as reported by the World Health Organization [WHO] (2017). Although up to 30% of epilepsy cases are claimed to have a genetic cause (Weber et al., 2017), the underlying genetic factors remain unknown for a significant number of patients (Weber et al., 2017; Lasko and Luthy, 2021). The trio (two parents and the affected proband)based study combined with whole-exome sequencing (WES) has emerged as a popular method to identify new epilepsy candidate genes (Dupépé et al., 2019). WES produces a thorough yet precise list of candidate genes at a reasonable price by focusing on the protein-coding regions (Harnish et al., 2019; Qiao et al., 2022a). Although several large-scale genetic diagnostic tests using trio-based WES have yielded significant results (Guan et al., 2021; Zhai et al., 2021), the approach has a high rate of false positive results, like another next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques (Zhang et al., 2015), highlighting the need for direct experimentation to fully understand the effect of a variant (Lee et al., 2014; XiangWei et al., 2019).

Experimental models, including cultured cells, *Drosophila*, zebrafish, and rodents such as mice and rats, are widely used to study epilepsy candidate genes. *Drosophila* is a particularly attractive model due to its short life cycle of only 50 days and its ability to produce a large number of offspring. Due to these factors, spatiotemporal genetic modifications, such as those enabled by the UAS/Gal4 system, can be used to conduct fly research in just a few months (Kepecs et al., 2002; Takai et al., 2020; Guerrini et al., 2021). The discovery of the *Drosophila* model is also easy to translate to other vertebrate models (Hunt et al., 2019; Hegazi et al., 2022).

Under various seizure-induction assays, adequate seizuresusceptible mutants of Drosophila adults, which correlate with different epilepsy subtypes, exhibit a wide spectrum of seizurelike behaviors. For instance, sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) mutants Shaker and Hyperkinetic display leg-shaking behavior following etherization (Kaplan and Trout, 1969; D'Adamo et al., 2020), while general epilepsy with febrile seizure plus (GEFG+) and Dravet syndrome (DS) knock-in mutations display loss of posture and random wing buzzing after heat application (Sun et al., 2012; Schutte et al., 2014), Na^+ channel mutants display spontaneous shuddering (Kaas et al., 2016), whereas bangsensitive (BS) mutants exhibit seizure-like activity when evoked mechanically. However, only one kind of activity, characterized by seizure and paralysis after a mechanical stimulus and observed in over twenty BS mutants, has been defined as "seizure-like" (Parker et al., 2011a). Therefore, we introduced two novel seizure phenotypes induced by vertex shock in Drosophila to extend the application of mechanical induction assays and further investigate the relationship between epileptic phenotypes and genotypes in both Drosophila and humans with greater precision.

However, a broad applicability screening system is urgently required since enormous epilepsy candidate genes must be verified by functional experiments. Here, we propose a set of simple experiments in *Drosophila* to evaluate seizure behaviors, brain morphology, and electrophysiology, which can expedite the progress of identifying epilepsy candidate genes. Especially, our approach introduces two novel seizure phenotypes induced by vertex shock and several optimized electrophysiological methods, thereby expanding the range of research methods available for investigating epilepsy in the *Drosophila* model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Drosophila stocks

The flies used in this study were fed standard cornmeal food and maintained in an incubator at 25°C (except for the special statement) and 60–70% humidity in a 12-h light-dark cycle. UAS-Tango14-RNAi (THU1886), UAS-Sbf-RNAi (THU0865), and UAS-Cac-RNAi (THU2586) flies were kindly donated by Tsing Hua Fly Center (https://thfc.zzbd.org/, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China). UAS-Klp3A-RNAi (BDSC: 43230) was purchased from Bloomington Fly Stock Center (Bloomington, IN, USA). Canton-S and tub-Gal4 were kindly donated by Prof. LIU Ji-Yong (Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China). UAS-EGFP, UAS-mCD8:GFP, and GH146-Gal4 were kindly donated by Prof. KE Ya (the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong). Canton-S was used as the wild-type flies in this study.

2.2. Loss-of-function mutation model

The *tub-Gal4* line was utilized in the cross-breeding of two RNAi lines, the *Klp3A-RNAi* and the *Cac-RNAi*, to generate global knockdown of *Klp3A* and *Cac* in *Drosophila melanogaster* (*tub-Gal4* > *Klp3A-RNAi* and *tub-Gal4* > *Cac-RNAi*). The *elav-Gal4* line was utilized in the cross-breeding of two RNAi lines, the *Tango14*-RNAi and the *Sbf-RNAi*, to generate neuronal knockdown of *Tango14* and *Sbf* in *Drosophila melanogaster* (*elav-Gal4* > *Tango14-RNAi* and *elav-Gal4* > *Sbf-RNAi*). The efficiency of knockdown models was detected by qPCR.

2.3. Seizure behaviors

The seizure behavior test was performed on flies 3-5 days after eclosion. Flies were anesthetized with CO_2 and transferred to another clean food vial 18-24 h before testing. Approximately two to seven flies were placed in one vial and mechanically stimulated with a vortex mixer (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) at maximum speed for 20 s. To record the behavior of the flies, a high-resolution camera was utilized. Each genotype was evaluated using at least five trials, with each trial consisting of ten vials of flies. The rates of BS paralysis, which were recorded from the moment of "banging" until flies regained the ability to stand upright (Ganetzky and Wu, 1982), as well as those of late-phase seizure and hyperactive seizure in flies, were documented. The behavior assay was performed using a high-resolution camera (720–1080 P, 30–60 FPS at least), and the records were manually played back on the computer with video software (Baofeng Group Co., Ltd., China) to count the rates of BS.

2.4. Brain morphology

To examine morphological variations in the brain, UASmCD8:GFP was used to generate tub-Gal4 > UASmCD8:GFP; UAS-target gene-RNAi knockdown flies and tub-Gal4 > UASmCD8:GFP control flies, respectively, that were labeled with membrane GFP. The brain was dissected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h at 25°C, then washed three times with 0.3% Triton X-100 PBS. Images were captured using a confocal microscope (SP8; Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and analyzed using the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.5. Larvae development

The larval stage of flies can be divided into three molting stages, namely, the first, second, and third instar larvae. We utilized the length of fly larvae to evaluate their development. For the experiment, one female and three male flies were placed into a fresh food vial to cross for 24 h. After 24 h, the female flies were transferred to separate fresh food vials and allowed to lay eggs for 12 h (taking note of the exact time of the transfer), while the adult flies were removed. The vials containing the eggs were then incubated under standard conditions for varying durations in order to obtain larvae at the desired developmental stage. Once the larvae had reached the desired stage, 2 ml of a 20% sucrose solution was added to the food vials, and after 5 min, the floating larvae were decanted into a Petri dish. The larvae were then washed by transferring them to a dish containing 1X PBS using a pipette. To devitalize the larvae, they were heated in water bath equipment at 50°C for 10 min. After heating, the larvae were placed on a black card, and photographs of the larvae along with a scale were taken. The length of the larvae was then measured using the ImageJ NIH software.1

2.6. Electrophysiology

2.6.1. Patch-clamp recording

Fly brains were dissected as previously described, transferred to a recording chamber with the fly external solution, and immobilized with a C-sharp holder (Gu and O'Dowd, 2006). The standard external solution contained (mM) 101 NaCl, 1 CaCl₂, 4 MgCl₂, 3 KCl, 5 glucose, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, and 20.7 NaHCO₃ (pH 7.2 and 250 mOsm). The internal solution for whole-cell recording contained (mM) 0.085 CaCl₂, 1.7 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 1 EGTA, 103 K-gluconate, 2 Na₂-ATP, and 0.4 Na-GTP. The patch pipette for the attached recording was filled with an external solution. Recordings were acquired using the 700B amplifier, Digidata 1440B digital-analog converter, and pClamp 10.5 software (molecular devices).

2.6.2. Evoked EPSP recording

The equipment, brain, and recording solution preparations used were identical to those for whole-cell recording. Evoked EPSPs between the antennal lobe (AL) and the mushroom body (MB) were stimulated by current pulses (0.5 ms; 0.1–0.5 mA) from the stimulation electrode on the antennal lobe (presynaptic structure). Then the evoked EPSPs were recorded by whole-cell recording on the MB neurons (postsynaptic structure) (Qiao et al., 2022b). Different intensities of current pulses were applied for establishing the input-output relationship. The subsequent recording was then performed using the current pulse to induce 50% of the maximum EPSP response. To test the synaptic connection, ten trials were performed with a 1-min interval between each trial. The latency and amplitude of evoked EPSPs were recorded and analyzed using the pClamp 10.5 software.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All quantitative data were presented as mean \pm S.D. The Student's *t*-test was used to compare two independent or paired samples. Multiple samples were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, and differences between the two groups were evaluated using Tukey's *post hoc* test. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 7.00 and SPSS 20. The cutoff value for statistical significance was 0.05. The n number in the behavior data represented the number of trials.

3. Results

3.1. Seizure-like behavior under mechanical stimulation

3.1.1. Hyperactivity seizure behavior

Vortex stimulation is a simple and effective method to induce seizure behavior in Drosophila. Unlike classical BS seizure behavior (Parker et al., 2011a), our investigation of seizure sensitivity in the epilepsy candidate genes Sbf and Tango14 revealed an unreported hyperactivity behavior. We administered the mechanical stimulation to flies with pan-neural knockdown of Tango14 (elav-Gal4 > Tango14-RNAi) and Sbf (elav-Gal4 > Sbf-RNAi), as well as wild-type Canton-S flies. The elav-Gal4 > Sbf-RNAi showed classical BS seizure behavior (**p = 0.0078, n > 5in each group, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons tests, Figure 1C). While the elav-Gal4 > Tango14-RNAi flies exhibited hyperactivity-like behavior that consisted of repeat drop and jump behaviors after seizure (Figure 1A and Supplementary video 1). Notably, this hyperactivity behavior, as defined in our study, differs from the previous definition, which is characterized by intense, uncoordinated motor activity before paralysis (Pavlidis and Tanouye, 1995). Flies typically recover quickly after a classical seizure-like behavior (Parker et al., 2011b; Wang et al., 2021), but in hyperactivity seizures, they repeatedly drop and jump, which may lead to recurring seizures. Such unique behavior was not observed in wild-type or mutant flies without mechanical stimulus. Approximately 66.00% of elav-Gal4 > Tango14-RNAi flies showed

¹ https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/

this behavior, which cannot be observed in *elav-Gal4* > *Sbf-RNAi*, *Canton-S* WT files, and *Tango14-RNAi* flies (****p < 0.0001, n > 5 in each group, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons tests, Figure 1B).

3.1.2. Late-phase seizure behavior

In this study, another new type of seizure behavior called latephase seizure was identified, which is distinct from the classical BS seizure behavior. Specifically, after vortex stimulation, the latephase seizure behavior displayed a brief freezing or normal phase lasting 1-3 s before the onset of the seizure phase, as shown in Figure 2B and Supplementary video 2. In contrast, the classical BS seizure behavior started immediately after vortex stimulation without a freezing phase, as shown in Figure 2A. The knockdown of the epilepsy candidate gene *Klp3A* in flies (*tub-Gal4* > *UAS-Klp3A*-RNAi) resulted in a significantly higher occurrence of late-phase seizures (22.27 \pm 8.49%, *n* = 5) compared to the UAS-Klp3A-RNAi flies (0.00 \pm 0.00%, n = 5, p = 0.0002, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons test). However, there was no significant difference in the occurrence of classical seizures between the two groups (7.50 \pm 7.45%, n = 5 vs. 5.67 \pm 3.98%, n = 6, p = 0.95). Furthermore, the tub-Gal4 > UAS-Klp3A-RNAi flies exhibited a significant difference in the manifestation of late-phase seizure and classical seizure (22.27 \pm 8.49%, n = 5 vs. 7.50 \pm 7.45%, n = 5, **p = 0.0036, Figure 2C). These results suggest that the primary phenotype of Klp3A knockdown flies is late-phase seizure rather than classical or hyperactivity seizures.

3.2. Morphological observation in *Drosophila*

To visualize the major brain regions that can be affected by epilepsy, we utilized membrane GFP labeling *via* the *tub-Gal4* > *UAS-mCD8:GFP* construct. These regions, namely, the mushroom body and central complex (Figure 3), play a crucial role in higher-order cognitive functions. Our previous research has found that knockdown/knockout of genes involved in epilepsy or neural disorder, such as *UNC13B*, *LRP1*, and *YWHAZ*, leads to various brain abnormalities, including neuron blurring in mushroom body (Wang et al., 2021), partial destruction in the central complex (Zhang et al., 2022), and gamma lobe mutilation in the mushroom body (Wan et al., 2022). Although not all epilepsy gene defects could induce brain structural abnormalities, the morphological study still can provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms of epilepsy caused by specific genes.

3.3. Larvae development in Drosophila

CACNA1A is a well-known epilepsy-associated gene (OMIM*601011). To study the developmental effect of *CACNA1A*, we used the UAS/Gal4 system to establish calcium channel knockdown (KD) flies (tub-Gal4 > Cac-RNAi). UAS-cac-RNAi flies were used as wild-type (WT) flies The results showed that the global knockdown of *Cac* did not affect the development of 1st (WT: 0.89 \pm 0.21, n = 28 vs. KD: 0.85 \pm 0.22, n = 22, p = 0.64, Student's *t*-test), 2nd (WT: 1.69 \pm 0.35, n = 41 vs. KD: 1.85 \pm 0.48,

n = 10, p = 0.26), and 3rd (WT: 2.76 \pm 0.47, n = 22 vs. KD: 2.81 \pm 0.63, n = 8, p = 0.82) larval stage, compared to the wild-type flies (**Figure 4**).

3.4. EPSCs and action currents in the antennal lobe of *Drosophila*

The projection neuron located in the antennal lobe (AL) of the fly brain is a significant excitatory neuron group, and these neurons are easily distinguishable using bright field imaging in a patch microscope (Duan et al., 2012), which makes them an ideal model for investigating neuronal excitability in epilepsy-prone flies. Here, we performed whole-cell, voltage-clamp recordings on neurons in AL based on their expression of GFP (Figure 5A) to detect the excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) of GH146-Gal4 > GFP WT flies. Typical traces of spontaneous EPSCs in WT flies GH146-Gal4 > GFP are presented in Figure 5B. To test the setup in seizures, we established Sbf, another epilepsy candidate gene, knockdown flies GH146-Gal4 > GFP; Sbf-RNAi. The GH146-Gal4 > GFP;Sbf-RNAi flies had a significantly higher frequency of sEPSCs in projection neurons than that of GH146-Gal4 > GFP WT flies (11.71 \pm 3.39 Hz [n = 5] vs. 5.71 \pm 1.86 Hz [n = 6]; **p = 0.0046) (Figure 5C). There was no significant difference in sEPSC amplitude between GH146-Gal4 > GFP; Sbf-RNAi and GH146-Gal4 > GFP WT flies (6.33 \pm 3.15 pA [n = 10] vs. 6.62 ± 2.70 pA [n = 6]; p = 0.85) (Figure 5D).

The attached recording is an essential electrophysiological technique for investigating neuronal excitability, particularly for analyzing spontaneous action potential currents (Dubin and Harris, 1997; Perkins, 2006). The recording captured and presented in **Figure 5E** displays the recorded action potential currents. Our previous study has shown that the knockdown of *UNC13B* induces a higher frequency of action currents in projection neurons (Wang et al., 2021).

3.5. Evoked EPSP from the antennal lobe to the mushroom body of *Drosophila*

Given the numerous genes related to synaptic structure that has been implicated in epilepsy (Lammertse et al., 2020), it is evident that synaptic connections must be considered when evaluating the mechanisms of epilepsy candidate genes. However, to date, few epilepsy gene studies conducted using the Drosophila model have utilized electrophysiological techniques to directly assess synaptic events. To address this knowledge gap, we established a single-cell-level recording technique to monitor evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) from the antennal lobe (AL) to the mushroom body (MB), which is a major synaptic functional connection in the Drosophila central nervous system. The technique involved forming a whole-cell recording on an MB neuron and applying an electrical stimulus to AL neurons to induce evoked EPSPs from AL to MB (Figures 6A, B). The amplitude of the evoked EPSPs increased with increasing stimulus intensity (Figure 6C). The input-output relationship was established to determine the appropriate stimulus intensity, and the current intensity that induced 50% of the maximum EPSP



response was used for subsequent recordings. Tubocurarine, a cholinergic receptor inhibitor, was used to confirm the functional connection between AL and MB (Parker et al., 2011b). The latency of the evoked EPSPs varied depending on the different connections between projection neurons and mushroom body neurons. For example, gamma neurons (1471-Gal4 > GFP) exhibited evoked EPSPs with a latency of approximately 1.6 ms (Figure 6D).

3.6. Knockdown efficiency detected by RT-qPCR

In terms of knockdown efficiency, *tub-Gal4* > *Cac-RNAi*, *tub-Gal4* > *Sbf-RNAi*, *tub-Gal4* > *Klp3A-RNAi*, and *tub-Gal4* > *Tango14-RNAi* had values of 53.95, 39.53, 49.26, and 38.59%, separately [46.05 \pm 2.76% (*n* = 3) vs. 100 \pm 0.00% (*n* = 3), *****p* < 0.0001; 60.47 \pm 9.86% (*n* = 3) vs. 100 \pm 0.00% (*n* = 3), ***p* = 0.0023; 50.74 \pm 11.19% (*n* = 3) vs. 100 \pm 0.00% (*n* = 3), ***p* = 0.0016; 61.41 \pm 8.99% (*n* = 6) vs. 100 \pm 0.00% (*n* = 6), *****p* < 0.0001, Figure 7]. *Cac, Sbf, Klp3A, and Tango14* were knockdown successfully in these *Drosophila* lines, as shown in Figure 7.

4. Discussion

Trio-based WES has been successful in defining the most likely epilepsy-implicated loci and screening out candidate genes, such as *UNC13B*, *CELSR3*, and *CDK19* in numerous studies (Chung et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). Besides, NGS analysis of a large cohort of patients with epilepsy revealed that approximately 14% of sequence changes in 70 common and rare mutations were detected as nonsense or deletion (Lindy et al., 2018), while missense or frameshift mutations can also lead to lossof-function (LOF) consequences (Chen et al., 2017; Lindy et al., 2018). The Gal4/UAS system allows for precise spatiotemporal manipulations of gene expression for cell labeling or gene function analysis (Del Valle Rodríguez et al., 2011). By crossing Gal4 driver lines to UAS-RNAi lines, the expression of UAS-linked genes can be effectively blocked in the chosen Gal4 pattern. However, some missense mutations lead to gain-of-function (GOF). For example,



hundreds of missense mutations, including GOF mutations, in the gene *SCN1A* can confer a wide spectrum of epileptic phenotypes in *Drosophila* as they do in humans (Schutte et al., 2014), thus knock-in *Drosophila* lines mimicking the missense variants should be generated to realize more precise genetic editing (Xue et al., 2014). In our previous study, c.147A > T missense variation of *Ywhaz* was created using the CRISPR/Cas9 system to confirm its pathogenic effects (Wan et al., 2022). Thus, the knockdown

model established by the Gal4/UAS system and the knock-in model established by the CRISPR/Cas9 system can cover most scenarios of gene mutations, including LOF and GOF mutations.

Animal experimentation can be a challenging and timeconsuming process for validating the extensive range of candidate genes and variants proposed by WES. However, *Drosophila* has emerged as an attractive model organism to examine human epilepsy due to its short life span, strong genomic



FIGURE 3

The main structures in the fly brain. (A) Antennal lobes are indicated by the arrow in the anterior view of the *tub-Gal4* > *UAS-mCD8:GFP* fly brain. (B) Alpha lobe (solid arrow) and alpha' lobe (hollow arrow) of the mushroom body are shown in the anterior view of the fly brain. (C) Gamma lobe of the mushroom body in the *tub-Gal4* > *UAS-mCD8:GFP* fly brain. (D) Ellipsoid body of the central complex in the *tub-Gal4* > *UAS-mCD8:GFP* fly brain. (E) Fan-sharp body of the central complex in the *tub-Gal4* > *UAS-mCD8:GFP* fly brain. (F) Protocerebral bridge (hollow arrow) of the central complex and calyx (solid arrow) of the mushroom body are shown in the posterior view of the fly brain.



conservation, the abundance of technological resources for genomic manipulations (Shulman, 2015), and the exhibition of seizure behavior similar to humans. Historically, mechanical induction assays in Drosophila have only elicited one seizure behavior. This study expands the range of seizure-like phenotypes in the Drosophila model by discovering two novel seizure behaviors. Knockdown of Tango14 results in hyperactive seizure behavior (Figure 1), while knockdown of Klp3A results in latephase seizure behavior (Figure 2). Our findings were further validated by the demonstration of hyperactivity seizure behavior in knockdown of the Ywhaz gene (Wan et al., 2022), suggesting that this novel behavior could be applied to verifying other epilepsy candidate genes. These results imply that loss of function in different candidate genes can result in distinct phenotypes. In light of our observation, the hyperactivity seizure, manifesting increased movement and excitement, is comparable to hyperesthesia and highly consistent with the hyperactiveautomatism subtype in complex partial epilepsy. The latephase seizure may correlate with focal epilepsy originating from the sensory cortex. However, further investigation into the genotype-phenotype relationship in Drosophila is required through additional studies.

Electrophysiology has shown to be a valuable tool for the study of epilepsy, with numerous studies reporting its effectiveness in assessing electrical activity in the giant fiber and neuromuscular junction (NMJ) (Kuebler et al., 2001; Marley and Baines, 2011; Parker et al., 2011a,b; Duan et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2014). However, its application in the central nervous system (CNS) studies of epilepsy using the *Drosophila* model is less common (Guo et al., 2019; Savitsky et al., 2020; Chi et al., 2022). Our study adopted three electrophysiological methods, namely, wholecell recording, cell-attached recording, and evoked EPSP recording, to directly explore the electrophysiological characteristics in the CNS. Whole-cell/cell-attached recordings allow us to assess the neuronal excitability of mutants compared to wild-type neurons in specific GFP-expressed regions of the Drosophila brain, such as the mushroom body (MB), a major high-level brain structure in flies. We could determine whether the cells are firing action currents and record the firing modes, such as tonic or burst firing. Moreover, the approach of recording evoked EPSP from AL to MB, previously used to reveal inputtiming-dependent plasticity in the MB circuit during olfactory learning (Qiao et al., 2022b), has the potential to investigate the synaptic and molecular causes of epilepsy. The latency of evoked EPSP can measure the time interval of monosynaptic transmission in target synapses (Gil and Amitai, 1996). Our preliminary results suggest that the latency of evoked EPSP in PN-gamma neurons is around 1.6 ms (Figure 6D), validating the efficacy of our techniques in accurately detecting the time interval of target synaptic transmission. While we have yet to observe positive results in any epilepsy candidate genes, these methods can provide a strong tool for studying genes involved in synaptic function coding in epilepsy. Combining these electrophysiological methods with the LPF recording technique in the CNS of Drosophila (Iyengar and Wu, 2021) can develop an instrumental platform for investigating the cellular and synaptic mechanisms underlying neural function or dysfunction (Roemmich et al., 2018), with excellent accessibility for studying epilepsy's pathological and pharmacological aspects (Sheeba et al., 2008).

The prevalence of seizures and epilepsies is alarmingly high among infants and preschoolers, with 10–25% of these young patients suffering from intractable seizures and varying degrees of intellectual/developmental disabilities (ID/DD) (Chung et al.,



2020). Understanding the genetic basis of these conditions is crucial for accurate diagnosis, prognosis, genetic counseling, and treatment. Recent advances in NGS have revealed that *de novo* missense mutations in the *CACNA1A* gene contribute to ID/DD and therapy-resistant epilepsy (Indelicato and Boesch, 2021). As a result, our development study utilized the knockdown of the *Cac* gene (the ortholog of *CACNA1A*) to further investigate the impact of epilepsy candidate genes on development. Although

approximately 50% knockdown did not demonstrate a significant effect (Figure 7), the study provided a reliable protocol for examining the impact of epilepsy candidate genes on development. The use of Gal4 drivers in conjunction with Gal80ts, which exhibit minimal activity at 18°C and maximal activity at 29°C (Duffy, 2002), can precisely restrict the gene knockdown to the first, second, and third instar larval or pupal stages through temperature control. By measuring parameters such as larval



gamma neuron. Tubocurarine confirmed that the evoked EPSP is cholinergic.

or pupal weight, pupation rate, eclosion rate, and body length (Zhang et al., 2021), we can conduct a more comprehensive analysis of candidate genes that exclusively express during specific developmental stages.

The potential of the genetic screening system, which models epilepsy using genotypic and phenotypic strategies, could be further demonstrated by applying it to a larger collection of genes implicated in epilepsy, such as a gene set. In addition, it is important to consider the sex of the flies in current experiments, as gender differences in epilepsy exist in both humans and *Drosophila* (Sharma et al., 2009).

Notably, epilepsy in individuals may be caused by multiple pathogenic variants, both common and rare, with a range of effect sizes (Ferraro and Buono, 2006; Epi25 Collaborative, 2019; Thijs et al., 2019). *Drosophila* is advantageous in the study of epilepsy due to its ability to map genetic interactions, which is



FIGURE 7

Knockdown efficacy of knockdown fly lines. Relative expression of mRNA in *Cac* knockdown flies, *Sbf* knockdown flies, *Klp3A* knockdown flies, *Tango14* knockdown flies, and wild-type flies. ***P* < 0.01, *****P* < 0.001.

facilitated by powerful resources and techniques such as genomewide RNAi transgenic stocks (Dietzl et al., 2007; Ni et al., 2011), collections of mapped transposon insertion alleles, Gal4/UAS system, and the recently developed CRISPR/Cas9 system. Notably, integrating the RNAi with Gal4/UAS system could achieve doubleor even triple-knockdown in one generation without complicated genetic crosses (Okumura et al., 2015). *Drosophila* is a premier genetic model system for elucidating mechanisms responsible for many neurological genetic disorders (Jackson et al., 1998; Finelli et al., 2004). By making the most of resources and strategies, *Drosophila* holds great potential for advancing our understanding of the pathogenesis of epilepsy and other complex polygenic disorders, as well as the development of potential therapies.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Author contributions

C-QL, J-DQ, and K-CW designed the study and wrote the manuscript. X-CQ, M-FH, D-HL, C-QL, S-MX, X-XZ, Y-ML, and W-JZ performed the behavior experiments. J-DQ performed the electrophysiological experiments. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was funded by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (grant no. 2022A1515111123 to J-DQ), Guangzhou Medical University (C-QL, funding no. 2022A056 and S-MX, funding no. 2021A021), Plan on enhancing scientific research in GMU (J-DQ), and the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University (J-DQ, funding no. 2021004).

Acknowledgments

We thank Tsinghua Fly Center for donating transgenic RNAi lines.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Chen, T., Giri, M., Xia, Z., Subedi, Y. N., and Li, Y. (2017). Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms of epilepsy: A review. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 13, 1841–1859.

Chi, W., Iyengar, A., Fu, W., Liu, W., Berg, A., Wu, C., et al. (2022). *Drosophila* carrying epilepsy-associated variants in the vitamin B6 metabolism gene PNPO display allele- and diet-dependent phenotypes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 119:e2115524119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2115524119

Chung, H., Mao, X., Wang, H., Park, Y., Marcogliese, P. C., Rosenfeld, J. A., et al. (2020). De novo variants in CDK19 are associated with a syndrome involving intellectual disability and epileptic encephalopathy. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 106, 717–725. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.04.001

D'Adamo, M., Liantonio, A., Rolland, J., Pessia, M., and Imbrici, P. (2020). Kv1.1 channelopathies: Pathophysiological mechanisms and therapeutic approaches. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2935. doi: 10.3390/ijms21082935

Del Valle Rodríguez, A., Didiano, D., and Desplan, C. (2011). Power tools for gene expression and clonal analysis in *Drosophila. Nat. Methods* 9, 47–55. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1800

Dietzl, G., Chen, D., Schnorrer, F., Su, K., Barinova, Y., Fellner, M., et al. (2007). A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 448, 151–156. doi: 10.1038/nature05954

Duan, J., Li, W., Yuan, D., Sah, B., Yan, Y., and Gu, H. (2012). Nitric oxide signaling modulates cholinergic synaptic input to projection neurons in *Drosophila* antennal lobes. *Neuroscience* 219, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.05.068

Dubin, A. E., and Harris, G. L. (1997). Voltage-activated and odor-modulated conductances in olfactory neurons of *Drosophila melanogaster. J. Neurobiol.* 32, 123–137. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-4695(199701)32:1<123::aid-neu11>3.0.co;2-l

Duffy, J. B. (2002). GAL4 system in *Drosophila*: A fly geneticist's swiss army knife. *Genesis* 34, 1–15. doi: 10.1002/gene.10150

Dupépé, E., Kicielinski, K., Gordon, A., and Walters, B. (2019). What is a casecontrol study? *Neurosurgery* 84, 819–826.

Epi25 Collaborative (2019). Ultra-rare genetic variation in the epilepsies: A wholeexome sequencing study of 17,606 individuals. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 105, 267–282. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.05.020

Ferraro, T. N., and Buono, R. J. (2006). Polygenic epilepsy. Adv. Neurol. 97, 389-398.

Finelli, A., Kelkar, A., Song, H., Yang, H., and Konsolaki, M. (2004). A model for studying Alzheimer's Abeta42-induced toxicity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 26, 365–375. doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2004.03.001

Ganetzky, B., and Wu, C. F. (1982). Indirect suppression involving behavioral mutants with altered nerve excitability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 100, 597–614. doi: 10.1093/genetics/100.4.597

Gil, Z., and Amitai, Y. (1996). Properties of convergent thalamocortical and intracortical synaptic potentials in single neurons of neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 6567–6578. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-20-06567.1996

Gu, H., and O'Dowd, D. K. (2006). Cholinergic synaptic transmission in adult *Drosophila* Kenyon cells in situ. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 265–272. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 4109-05.2006

Guan, J., Li, J., Chen, G., Shi, T., Lan, L., Wu, X., et al. (2021). Family trio-based sequencing in 404 sporadic bilateral hearing loss patients discovers recessive and de novo genetic variants in multiple ways. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 64:104311. doi: 10.1016/j. ejmg.2021.104311

Guerrini, R., Balestrini, S., Wirrell, E. C., and Walker, M. C. (2021). Monogenic epilepsies: Disease mechanisms, clinical phenotypes, and targeted therapies. *Neurology* 97, 817–831.

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol.2023. 1121877/full#supplementary-material

Guo, H., Bettella, E., Marcogliese, P. C., Zhao, R., Andrews, J. C., Nowakowski, T. J., et al. (2019). Disruptive mutations in TANC2 define a neurodevelopmental syndrome associated with psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Commun.* 10:4679. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12435-8

Harnish, J., Deal, S., Chao, H., Wangler, M., and Yamamoto, S. (2019). In vivo functional study of disease-associated rare human variants using *Drosophila*. J. Vis. Exp. 20:e59658. doi: 10.3791/59658

Hegazi, S., Cheng, A., Krupp, J., Tasaki, T., Liu, J., Szulc, D., et al. (2022). UBR4/POE facilitates secretory trafficking to maintain circadian clock synchrony. *Nat. Commun.* 13:1594. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29244-1

Hunt, L., Stover, J., Haugen, B., Shaw, T., Li, Y., Pagala, V., et al. (2019). A key role for the ubiquitin ligase UBR4 in myofiber hypertrophy in *Drosophila* and mice. *Cell Rep.* 28, 1268–1281.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.094

Indelicato, E., and Boesch, S. (2021). From genotype to phenotype: Expanding the clinical spectrum of CACNA1A variants in the era of next generation sequencing. *Front. Neurol.* 12:639994. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.639994

Iyengar, A., and Wu, C. F. (2021). Fly seizure EEG: Field potential activity in the Drosophila brain. J. Neurogenet. 35, 295–305. doi: 10.1080/01677063.2021.1950714

Jackson, G. R., Salecker, I., Dong, X., Yao, X., Arnheim, N., Faber, P. W., et al. (1998). Polyglutamine-expanded human huntingtin transgenes induce degeneration of *Drosophila* photoreceptor neurons. *Neuron* 21, 633–642. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80573-5

Kaas, G. A., Kasuya, J., Lansdon, P., Ueda, A., Iyengar, A. S., Wu, C., et al. (2016). Lithium-responsive seizure-like hyperexcitability is caused by a mutation in the *Drosophila* voltage-gated sodium channel gene paralytic. *eNeuro* 3:ENEURO.0221-16.2016. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0221-16.2016

Kaplan, W. D., and Trout, W. E. III (1969). The behavior of four neurological mutants of *Drosophila. Genetics* 61, 399–409. doi: 10.1093/genetics/61.2.399

Kepecs, A., van Rossum, M., Song, S., and Tegner, J. (2002). Spike-timing-dependent plasticity: Common themes and divergent vistas. *Biol. Cybern.* 87, 446–458. doi: 10. 1007/s00422-002-0358-6

Kuebler, D., Zhang, H., Ren, X., and Tanouye, M. A. (2001). Genetic suppression of seizure susceptibility in *Drosophila. J. Neurophysiol.* 86, 1211–1225. doi: 10.1152/jn. 2001.86.3.1211

Lammertse, H. C., van Berkel, A., Iacomino, M., Toonen, R. F., Striano, P., Gambardella, A., et al. (2020). Homozygous STXBP1 variant causes encephalopathy and gain-of-function in synaptic transmission. *Brain* 143, 441–451. doi: 10.1093/brain/awz391

Lasko, P., and Luthy, K. (2021). Investigating rare and ultrarare epilepsy syndromes with *Drosophila* models. *Fac. Rev.* 10:10. doi: 10.12703/r/10-10

Lee, H., Deignan, J. L., Dorrani, N., Strom, S. P., Kantarci, S., Quintero-Rivera, F., et al. (2014). Clinical exome sequencing for genetic identification of rare Mendelian disorders. *JAMA* 312, 1880–1887.

Li, J., Lin, S., Qiao, J., Liu, X., Wang, J., Jiang, M., et al. (2022). CELSR3 variants are associated with febrile seizures and epilepsy with antecedent febrile seizures. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* 28, 382–389. doi: 10.1111/cns.13781

Lindy, A. S., Stosser, M. B., Butler, E., Downtain-Pickersgill, C., Shanmugham, A., Retterer, K., et al. (2018). Diagnostic outcomes for genetic testing of 70 genes in 8565 patients with epilepsy and neurodevelopmental disorders. *Epilepsia* 59, 1062–1071. doi: 10.1111/epi.14074

Marley, R., and Baines, R. A. (2011). Increased persistent Na+ current contributes to seizure in the slamdance bang-sensitive *Drosophila* mutant. J. Neurophysiol. 106, 18–29. doi: 10.1152/jn.00808.2010

Ni, J., Zhou, R., Czech, B., Liu, L., Holderbaum, L., Yang-Zhou, D., et al. (2011). A genome-scale shRNA resource for transgenic RNAi in *Drosophila. Nat. Methods* 8, 405–407. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1592

Okumura, T., Sasamura, T., Inatomi, M., Hozumi, S., Nakamura, M., Hatori, R., et al. (2015). Class I myosins have overlapping and specialized functions in left-right asymmetric development in *Drosophila. Genetics* 199, 1183–1199. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.174698

Parker, L., Howlett, I. C., Rusan, Z. M., and Tanouye, M. A. (2011a). Seizure and epilepsy: Studies of seizure disorders in *Drosophila. Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 99, 1–21. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387003-2.00001-X

Parker, L., Padilla, M., Du, Y., Dong, K., and Tanouye, M. A. (2011b). *Drosophila* as a model for epilepsy: Bss is a gain-of-function mutation in the para sodium channel gene that leads to seizures. *Genetics* 187, 523–534. doi: 10.1534/genetics.110.12 3299

Pavlidis, P., and Tanouye, M. A. (1995). Seizures and failures in the giant fiber pathway of *Drosophila* bang-sensitive paralytic mutants. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 5810–5819. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-08-05810.1995

Perkins, K. L. (2006). Cell-attached voltage-clamp and current-clamp recording and stimulation techniques in brain slices. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 154, 1–18. doi: 10.1016/j. jneumeth.2006.02.010

Qiao, J., Li, X., Li, J., Guo, Q., Tang, X., Chen, L., et al. (2022a). Reply: UNC13B and focal epilepsy. *Brain* 145, e13–e16. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab486

Qiao, J., Yang, S., Geng, H., Yung, W., and Ke, Y. (2022b). Input-timing-dependent plasticity at incoming synapses of the mushroom body facilitates olfactory learning in *Drosophila. Curr. Biol.* 32, 4869–4880.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2022.09.054

Roemmich, A. J., Schutte, S. S., and O'Dowd, D. K. (2018). Ex vivo whole-cell recordings in adult *Drosophila* brain. *Bio Protoc*. 8:e2467.

Savitsky, M., Solis, G., Kryuchkov, M., and Katanaev, V. (2020). Humanization of Drosophila G α o to model GNAO1 paediatric encephalopathies. Biomedicines 8:395.

Schutte, R. J., Schutte, S. S., Algara, J., Barragan, E. V., Gilligan, J., Staber, C., et al. (2014). Knock-in model of dravet syndrome reveals a constitutive and conditional reduction in sodium current. *J. Neurophysiol.* 112, 903–912. doi: 10.1152/jn.00135. 2014

Sharma, A., Mohammad, F., and Singh, P. (2009). Gender differences in a *Drosophila* transcriptomic model of chronic pentylenetetrazole induced behavioral deficit. *PLoS One* 4:e8136. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008136

Sheeba, V., Gu, H., Sharma, V. K., O'Dowd, D. K., and Holmes, T. C. (2008). Circadian- and light-dependent regulation of resting membrane potential and spontaneous action potential firing of *Drosophila* circadian pacemaker neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 99, 976–988. doi: 10.1152/jn.00930.2007

Shulman, J. M. (2015). Drosophila and experimental neurology in the post-genomic era. Exp. Neurol. 274, 4–13. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.03.016

Sun, L., Gilligan, J., Staber, C., Schutte, R. J., Nguyen, V., O'Dowd, D. K., et al. (2012). A knock-in model of human epilepsy in *Drosophila* reveals a novel cellular mechanism associated with heat-induced seizure. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 14145–14155. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2932-12.2012

Takai, A., Yamaguchi, M., Yoshida, H., and Chiyonobu, T. (2020). Investigating developmental and epileptic encephalopathy using *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:6442. doi: 10.3390/ijms21176442

Thijs, R., Surges, R., O'Brien, T., and Sander, J. (2019). Epilepsy in adults. *Lancet* 393, 689–701.

Wan, R., Liu, Z., Huang, X., Kwan, P., Li, Y., Qu, X., et al. (2022). YWHAZ variation causes intellectual disability and global developmental delay with brain malformation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 32, 462–472. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddac210

Wang, J., Qiao, J., Liu, X., Liu, D., Chen, Y., Wu, Y., et al. (2021). UNC13B variants associated with partial epilepsy with favourable outcome. *Brain* 144, 3050–3060.

Weber, Y. G., Biskup, S., Helbig, K. L., Spiczak, S. V., and Lerche, H. (2017). The role of genetic testing in epilepsy diagnosis and management. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 17, 739–750.

World Health Organization [WHO] (2017). *Epilepsy*. Available online at: https: //www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/epilepsy (accessed April 11, 2023).

XiangWei, W., Kannan, V., Xu, Y., Kosobucki, G. J., Schulien, A. J., Kusumoto, H., et al. (2019). Heterogeneous clinical and functional features of GRIN2D-related developmental and epileptic encephalopathy. *Brain* 142, 3009–3027. doi: 10.1093/brain/awz232

Xue, Z., Wu, M., Wen, K., Ren, M., Long, L., Zhang, X., et al. (2014). CRISPR/Cas9 mediates efficient conditional mutagenesis in *Drosophila*. *G3* (*Bethesda*) 4, 2167–2173. doi: 10.1534/g3.114.014159

Zhai, Y., Zhang, Z., Shi, P., Martin, D. M., and Kong, X. (2021). Incorporation of exome-based CNV analysis makes trio-WES a more powerful tool for clinical diagnosis in neurodevelopmental disorders: A retrospective study. *Hum. Mutat.* 42, 990–1004. doi: 10.1002/humu.24222

Zhang, J., Chen, M., He, J., Li, Y., Li, Z., Ye, Z., et al. (2022). Ketone body rescued seizure behavior of LRP1 deficiency in *Drosophila* by modulating glutamate transport. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 72, 1706–1714. doi: 10.1007/s12031-022-02026-6

Zhang, J., Wu, J., Wu, M., and Tong, H. (2021). Palmitic acid inhibits the growth, development and glucose and lipid metabolism of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mod. Food Sci. Technol.* 37, 114–119+213. doi: 10.13982/j.mfst.1673-9078.2021.11.0234

Zhang, Y., Kong, W., Gao, Y., Liu, X., Gao, K., Xie, H., et al. (2015). Gene mutation analysis in 253 Chinese children with unexplained epilepsy and intellectual/developmental disabilities. *PLoS One* 10:e0141782. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0141782