Corrigendum: Inhibitory Network Bistability Explains Increased Interneuronal Activity Prior to Seizure Onset

Scott Rich [1]*, Homeira Moradi Chameh [1], Marjan Rafiee [1], Katie Ferguson [1‡], Frances K. Skinner [1,2†] and Taufik A. Valiante [1,3,4,5,6†]

[1] Division of Clinical and Computational Neuroscience, Krembil Research Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada, [2] Departments of Medicine (Neurology) and Physiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, [3] Institute of Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, [4] Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, [5] Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, [6] Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

A Corrigendum on

Inhibitory Network Bistability Explains Increased Interneuronal Activity Prior to Seizure Onset

by Rich, S., Chameh, H. M., Rafiee, M., Ferguson, K., Skinner, F. K., and Valiante, T. A. (2020). Front. Neural Circuits 13:81. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2019.00081

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 1** as published. The values of d and k_{low} were swapped between the Control and 4-AP settings in this table, but correct in the associated code. The corrected **Table 1** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

Ferguson, K. A., Huh, C. Y., Amilhon, B., Williams, S., and Skinner, F. K. (2013). Experimentally constrained ca1 fast-firing parvalbumin-positive interneuron network models exhibit sharp transitions into coherent high frequency rhythms. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 7:144. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2013.00144

Williams, S. B., and Hablitz, J. J. (2015). Differential modulation of repetitive firing and synchronous network activity in neocortical interneurons by inhibition of A-type K+ channels and Ih. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9:89. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00089

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 1 | Parameters used in neuron models.

Parameter	Value (Control)	Value (4-AP)	Rationale
C_m	73 pF	49 pF	Unpublished in-house experiment
v_r	−60.6 mV	−60.6 mV	Ferguson et al. (2013)
v_t	−43.1 mV	−43.1 mV	Ferguson et al. (2013)
v_{peak}	2.5 mV	2.5 mV	Ferguson et al. (2013)
a	0.01 ms^{-1}	0.01 ms^{-1}	Parameter influences rheobase and adaptation exhibited by model*
b	−0.2 nS	−0.4 nS	Parameter influences rheobase and adaptation exhibited by model*
c	−67 mV	−67 mV	Ferguson et al. (2013)
d	0.75 pA	1.25 pA	Parameter influences rheobase and adaptation exhibited by model*
k_{low}	0.6 nS/mV	0.4 nS/mV	Parameter influences rheobase and adaptation exhibited by model*
k_{high}	2 nS/mV	2 nS/mV	Parameter influences rheobase and adaptation exhibited by model*

*Differences in rheobase and adaptation in control and 4-AP neurons are features shown by Williams and Hablitz (2015) as well as observed in our un-published in-house experiment.