



Editorial: Balloon and stent for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: a new trend for stroke prevention and management

Firas Al-Ali*

Department of Neuro Interventional Surgery, Akron General Medical Center, Akron, OH, USA

Keywords: acute ischemic stroke, brain anuerysm, intracranial angioplasty, intracranial stenting, atherosclerosis, capillary index score

It was the helium-filled balloons floating in the air during the 1959 International Workers' Day in Moscow that first inspired Dr. Serbinenko to navigate from the common carotid artery to the intracranial circulation (1). He achieved that on February 8, 1964, by performing the first selective extracranial carotid injection with the assistance of temporary balloon for internal carotid artery occlusion. This was followed by the selective catheterization of the intracranial circulation using a flow-directed balloon catheter. Shortly after, he successfully treated a carotid-cavernous fistula (December 15, 1969) using a detachable balloon (1), marking the birth of our specialty: Neurointerventional Surgery.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Camilo R. Gomez, Neurological Institute of Alabama, USA

Reviewed by: Michael J. Schneck, Loyola University, USA

> *Correspondence: Firas Al-Ali firasalali@aol.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Endovascular and Interventional Neurology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 20 June 2015 Accepted: 28 September 2015 Published: 19 October 2015

Citation:

Al-Ali F (2015) Editorial: Balloon and stent for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: a new trend for stroke prevention and management. Front. Neurol. 6:218. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2015.00218 Balloon catheters are no longer needed to achieve selective intracranial catheterization, but their therapeutic role has expanded significantly. Building upon the success of balloon angioplasty and balloon-mounted stents in the cardiology literature, Neurointerventionalists began using them intracranially, first for atherosclerotic stenosis (2), and then as an adjunct to coiling (3). Over the last 20 years, the applications and successes of these various balloons and stents have significantly expanded. We aim in this research topic to highlight the different trends being practiced today, some of which have the potential to become an integral part of our practice, while others may fade away.

The advent of aneurysm coiling (stand-alone coiling) has permanently changed the treatment of intracranial aneurysms, despite its limitations. The balloon remodeling technique (BRT) was first introduced in 1997 (3) and was followed by the stent-assisted coiling technique (SACT). Each has dramatically expanded the impact of aneurysm coiling, by allowing us to treat wide-neck aneurysms. Relying on their extensive experience, Dr. Piotin et al. detailed both BRT and SACT (4). They presented us with their mature technique and strategy concerning the use of each modality and device.

The different coiling techniques, however, suffer from two main shortcomings: aneurysm recurrence and an inability to treat giant aneurysms. Flow-diverters (FD) were recently invented to address these issues, for which Dr. Zanaty et al. gave a detailed introduction (5). Stemming from their title "Flow-Diversion Panacea or Poison?" it is apparent that there are still many questions about this technique, which they admirably try to address.

Another source of doubt and controversy in the care of subarachnoid hemorrhage patients is vasospasm prevention and treatment. Although it was first angiographically described in 1950 (6, 7), it is still a significant source of delayed morbidity and mortality. Dr. Bauer et al. detailed, in this research topic, the different methods of prevention and treatment as well as the controversy, but thankfully left us with clear and practical recommendations for day-to-day practice (8).

On another note, our knowledge of intracranial atherosclerotic disease (ICAD) is very limited, despite its prevalence, as Pu et al. highlighted in their review article. They emphasize, and rightly so, the stroke risk difference between symptomatic (approximately 10% per year) and asymptomatic

1

ICAD (on the order of 3%) and the dynamic nature of the disease (9). Significant research on this subject is still needed.

Unfortunately, and despite its health burden worldwide, we still do not have a suitable treatment for ICAD. The EC-IC Bypass and SAMMPRIS trials failed to prove the benefits of surgical or endovascular treatments. We believe, however, that progress is still possible, especially in the pharmacology and endovascular realms. The authors of this research topic have already published some of the largest studies to date, relying on their extensive clinical experience. Concurrent with our distinguished panel, we believe that the reasons the endovascular treatment arm of the SAMMPRIS trial failed to show benefits are multifactorial, most of which can be corrected. First, the technique used was not meticulous enough as Connors et al. discuss in their paper here. They emphasize the importance of their previously described method (Slow Inflation Undersized Balloon Technique) in reducing technical complication rates (10). Second, only one device was allowed in the SAMMRIS trial (The Wingspan[™], Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). McTaggart et al. argue in their paper in this research topic for intracranial "angioplasty alone" technique, mainly due to its safety profile in most cases (11), especially when coupled with Connors' technique (10). Third, Miao presents, in his paper here, yet another critique to improve the SAMMPRIS trial results, where all lesions were treated identically (12). He successfully argues that each lesion is unique and should be treated differently, mainly based on lesion morphologies (different lesion, different device). For example, a concentric and short lesion could be treated by angioplasty alone, while other lesions need a more complex device. His "Complex Strategy" is very intriguing indeed.

REFERENCES

- Teitelbaum GP, Larsen DW, Zelman V, Lysachev AG, Likhterman LB. A tribute to Dr. Fedor A. Serbinenko, founder of endovascular neurosurgery. *Neuro*surgery (2000) 46.2:462–70. doi:10.1097/00006123-200002000-00037
- Sundt TM Jr, Smith HC, Campbell JK. Transluminal angioplasty for basilar artery stenosis. Mayo Clin Proc (1980) 55:673–80.
- Moret J, Cognard C, Weill A, Castaings L, Rey A. The "remodelling technique" in the treatment of wide neck intracranial aneurysms. Angiographic results and clinical follow-up in 56 cases. *Interv Neuroradiol* (1997) 3:21–35.
- Piotin M, Blanc R. Balloons and stents in the endovascular treatment of cerebral aneurysms: vascular anatomy remodeled. *Front Neurol* (2014) 5:41. doi:10. 3389/fneur.2014.00041
- Zanaty M, Chalouhi N, Tjoumakaris SI, Rosenwasser RH, Gonzalez LF, Jabbour P. Flow-diversion panacea or poison? *Front Neurol* (2014) 5:21. doi:10.3389/ fneur.2014.00021
- Reid JA, Johnson ON. SIXTH International Congress of Radiology; 1950. Radiography (1949) 15(180):282.
- Ecker A, Riemenschneider PA. Arteriographic demonstration of spasm of the intracranial arteries, with special reference to saccular arterial aneurysms. *J Neurosurg* (1951) 8(6):660–7. doi:10.3171/jns.1951.8.6.0660
- Bauer AM, Rasmussen PA. Treatment of intracranial vasospasm following subarachnoid hemorrhage. Front Neurol (2014) 5:72. doi:10.3389/fneur.2014.00072
- Pu Y, Dou X, Liu L. Natural history of intracranial atherosclerotic disease. Front Neurol (2014) 5:125. doi:10.3389/fneur.2014.00125
- Connors JJ III, Wojak JC, Hoppe BH. The technique of endovascular intracranial revascularization. Front Neurol (2014) 5:246. doi:10.3389/fneur.2014.00246

Farooq et al. present a synthesis about the overall strategy of endovascular treatment regarding ICAD (13). They try to incorporate all authors' recommendations, while placing an emphasis on the guiding catheter position *"the closer to the lesion, the better.*" They conclude with the assessment that a new trial, incorporating all these critiques and recommendations, is needed.

The final chapter of this research topic addresses acute ischemic stroke treatment. Al-Ali et al. argue that the presence or absence of collaterals (Circle of Willis and pial collaterals) determines the clinical outcome more than time from ictus to revascularization (14, 15): *"collaterals, not time, is brain."* They argue for the use of the capillary index score (CIS) rather than an arbitrary time window to select patients for endovascular treatment. The CIS presumably reflects the percentage of viable tissue in the ischemic area, while its absence indicates non-viable tissue. The possibility that genetic factors play a determining role in the extent of collaterals, as emphasized by Dr. Faber (14), is a very exciting hypothesis and if proven will significantly impact the way we understand and treat ischemic strokes.

While in this research topic, we aim to present a synthesis of certain techniques practiced today; our true aim is to challenge our esteemed colleagues worldwide by raising more questions. We believe in their abilities and in the future of our promising specialty.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Dr. John J. Elias, Clare Scantling, and Zein Al-Ali for their help in the drafting and editing of this preface.

- McTaggart RA, Marks MP. The case for angioplasty in patients with symptomatic intracranial atherosclerosis. *Front Neurol* (2014) 5:36. doi:10.3389/ fneur.2014.00036
- Miao Z. Intracranial angioplasty and stenting before and after SAMMPRIS: "from simple to complex strategy – the Chinese experience". Front Neurol (2014) 5:129. doi:10.3389/fneur.2014.00129
- Farooq MU, Al-Ali F, Min J, Gorelick PB. Reviving intracranial angioplasty and stenting "SAMMPRIS and beyond". *Front Neurol* (2014) 5:101. doi:10.3389/ fneur.2014.00101
- Al-Ali F, Elias JJ, Filipkowski DE, Faber JE. Acute ischemic stroke treatment, part 1: patient selection "The 50% barrier and the capillary index score". Front Neurol (2015) 6:83. doi:10.3389/fneur.2015.00083
- Al-Ali F, Elias JJ, Filipkowski DE. Acute ischemic stroke treatment, part 2: treatment "Roles of capillary index score, revascularization and time". Front Neurol (2015) 6:117. doi:10.3389/fneur.2015.00117

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Al-Ali. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.