



Oculomotor and Vestibular Findings in Gaucher Disease Type 3 and Their Correlation with Neurological Findings

Tatiana Bremova-Ertl^{1,2*}, Raphael Schiffmann³, Marc C. Patterson^{4,5,6}, Nadia Belmatoug⁷, Thierry Billette de Villemeur⁸, Stanislavs Bardins¹, Claudia Frenzel^{1,9}, Věra Malinová¹⁰, Silvia Naumann¹¹, Juliane Arndt¹¹, Eugen Mengel¹¹, Jörg Reinke¹¹, Ralf Strobl^{1,12} and Michael Strupp^{1,9}

¹ German Center for Vertigo and Balance Disorders, University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany, ² Graduate School of Systemic Neurosciences, Ludwig-Maximilians University of Munich, Munich, Germany, ³ Institute of Metabolic Disease, Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, TX, United States, ⁴ Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Children's Center, Rochester, MN, United States, ⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Mayo Clinic Children's Center, Rochester, MN, United States, ⁶ Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic Children's Center, Rochester, MN, United States, ⁷ Referral Center for Lysosomal Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Paris Nord Val-de-Seine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France, ⁸ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC, GRC ConCer-LD and AP-HP, Hôpital Trousseau, Service de Neuropédiatrie – Pathologie du développement, Centre de référence des malformations et maladies congénitales du cervelet, Paris, France, ⁹ Department of Neurology, University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany, ¹⁰ First Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescence Medicine, Charles University, General University Hospital Prague, Prague, Czechia, ¹¹ Villa Metabolica, Center for Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany, ¹² Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometrics and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians University of Munich, Munich, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Owen B. White, Monash University, Australia

Reviewed by:

Luke Chen, University of New South Wales, Australia Konrad P. Weber, University of Zurich, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Tatiana Bremova-Ertl bremova@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuro-Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 18 October 2017 Accepted: 11 December 2017 Published: 15 January 2018

Citation:

Bremova-Ertl T, Schiffmann R, Patterson MC, Belmatoug N, Billette de Villemeur T, Bardins S, Frenzel C, Malinová V, Naumann S, Arndt J, Mengel E, Reinke J, Strobl R and Strupp M (2018) Oculomotor and Vestibular Findings in Gaucher Disease Type 3 and Their Correlation with Neurological Findings. Front. Neurol. 8:711. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00711 **Objectives:** To evaluate the function of the oculomotor and vestibular systems and to correlate these findings with the clinical status of patients with Gaucher disease type 3 (GD3). The goal of this cross-sectional and longitudinal study was to find oculomotor biomarkers for future clinical trials.

Methods: Twenty-six patients with GD3 were assessed for eligibility and 21 were able to perform at least one task. Horizontal and vertical reflexive saccades, smooth pursuit, gaze-holding, optokinetic nystagmus, and horizontal vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) were examined by video-oculography/video-head impulse test and compared concurrently with 33 healthy controls. The Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA), the modified Severity Scoring Tool (mSST), and Grooved Pegboard Test (GPT) were administered to assess overall neurological function. Eleven patients were also re-assessed after 1 year.

Results: Nine out of 17 patients exhibited gaze-holding deficits. One patient had upbeat nystagmus. Three patients presented with bilateral abducens palsy in combination with central oculomotor disorders, suggesting a bilateral involvement of the abducens nucleus. Horizontal angular VOR gain was reduced in all patients (0.66 ± 0.37) compared with controls (1.1 ± 0.11, p < 0.001). Most strongly correlated with clinical rating scales were peak velocity of downward saccades (SARA: $\rho = -0.752$, p < 0.0005; mSST: $\rho = -0.611$, p = 0.003; GPT: $\rho = -0.649$, p = 0.005) and duration of vertical saccades

1

(SARA: $\rho = 0.806$, p < 0.001; mSST: $\rho = 0.700$, p < 0.0005; GPT: $\rho = 0.558$, p = 0.02) together with the VOR gain (SARA: $\rho = -0.63$, p = 0.016; mSST: $\rho = -0.725$, p = 0.003; GPT: $\rho = -0.666$, p = 0.004). Vertical smooth pursuit gain decreased significantly at follow-up.

Interpretation: This study shows neuronal degeneration of the brainstem and cerebellum with combined involvement of both supranuclear and nuclear oculomotor structures and the vestibular system in GD3. We also identified oculomotor parameters that correlate with the neurological status and can be used as biomarkers in future clinical trials.

Keywords: Gaucher disease type 3, neuronopathic Gaucher disease, metabolic disease (inherited), neuroophthalmology, ocular motility, saccades, horizontal supranuclear saccade palsy, vertical supranuclear saccade palsy

INTRODUCTION

Gaucher disease (GD) is an autosomal recessive lysosomal storage disease caused by a deficiency of the acid β -glucosidase, leading to glucosylceramide accumulation, primarily in the liver, spleen, and bone marrow (1). Chronic neuronopathic GD (type 3, GD3) is a Gaucher subtype characterized by progressive neurological manifestations associated with glycolipid accumulation in the CNS (2, 3).

The disease has a variable clinical picture, but all GD3 patients exhibit slowing of horizontal saccades leading to complete horizontal saccadic palsy. As the disease progresses, vertical saccades also become slower (1, 4). As horizontal and later vertical saccades are highly impaired early in the course of the disease, they were used as a primary endpoint to investigate treatment with miglustat (5). A study of two siblings with GD3 suggested that the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) might also be impaired in GD3 patients (6). Although saccadic eye movement abnormalities have been described, a comprehensive study of all forms of eye movements in GD3, including pursuit and vestibular-related movements in a large cohort of GD3 patients, has not been published yet.

Therefore, we performed a systematic examination of the oculomotor and vestibular function in GD3 patients, including a follow-up after 1 year, with the additional aim to find surrogate biomarkers for future clinical trials.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

We conducted a prospective multicenter cohort study. Twentysix patients from Germany, France, and the Czech Republic were screened for eligibility to participate in the study. Twenty-one patients (11 females) with genetically and clinically confirmed GD3, with a mean age of 17.89 \pm 10.98 years (age \pm SD), mean disease duration of 17.4 \pm 12 years, and mean age at disease onset of 3.7 \pm 5 years, who were able to perform at least one study task were included in this study.

Patients' characteristics and correlations of clinical and oculomotor outcomes are listed in **Table 1** and Table S1 in Supplementary Material. Eleven patients were assessed at two time points (longitudinal analysis over 12 months). In these patients, data from the last visit of patients were used (Table S1 in Supplementary Material).

Thirty-three matched healthy individuals (19 females, 18.69 ± 9.42 years) were also examined. All participants gave informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the local institutional review boards.

Methods

Eye movements were recorded using a video-based eye-tracker system, with the camera mounted on the left eye [EyeSeeCam[®] (7)] and examined as follows.

Saccades

Subjects made reflexive vertical and horizontal prosaccades in response to stimuli of 1.33° visual angle. Vertical saccades were elicited by stimuli of 5°, 10°, and 20° amplitude over the range $\pm 10^{\circ}$ from the central position. Horizontal saccades of 5°, 15°, and 30° amplitude, at a range of $\pm 15^{\circ}$ from the central position, were required. Participants performed seven saccades in response to the stimulus of each size along both axes. The targets were presented in pseudorandom order for 2,500 ms with additional variation of 500 ms. Patients were provided with verbal encouragement to follow the target jumps and, for patients with more advanced disease, the investigator, or administering care giver, pointed to target locations. Patients were instructed not to blink during the saccadic performance, and if they do so, then during the fixation period.

Smooth Pursuit

After the initial fixation period of 2 s, the target subtending visual angle of 0.57° moved in 3 cycles at 0.1 and 3 cycles at 0.2 Hz frequencies, yielding peak target velocities of 9.5°/s and 18.8°/s horizontally and 6.4°/s and 12.6°/s vertically with \pm 15° amplitude horizontally (right and left) from the central position, and then \pm 10° vertically (up and down) from the central position without

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; INC, interstitial nucleus of Cajal; HC, healthy controls; GD3, Gaucher disease type 3; GPT, Grooved Pegboard Test; mSST, modified severity scoring tool; OKN, optokinetic nystagmus; PPRF, paramedian pontine reticular formation; PV, peak velocity; riMLF, rostral interstitial nucleus of the medial longitudinal fascicle; SPV, slow-phase velocity; QPV, quick-phase velocity; VOR, vestibulo-ocular reflex; SARA, scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia; vHIT, video-head impulse test.

TABLE 1 Patier	nts' characteristics.
------------------	-----------------------

age of diagnosis (years)	observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	psychiatric findings	and other findings	findings	oculomotor findings	IQ.
TS FOLLOWED U	P LONGITUDINALI	.Y						
1/1	Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19	Imiglucerase 3,200 U/2 weeks, eliglustat 100 mg/day, spironolactone 150 mg/day, calcium 1,500 mg/day, potassium 7,200 mg/day, magnesium 450 mg/day, vitamin D 3,000 U/day, pantoprazole 40 mg/day	<i>GBA:</i> W5333X S384F + R535C	Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, clonus, stance and gait ataxia, dystonia	Fixed kyphosis	Ν	Alternating convergent squint operatively corrected, bilaterally, persisting esotropia R, visual acuity 0.5 R, 1.0 L, horizontal saccade paresis, slow vertical saccades down > up, impaired horizontal > vertical OKN, bilaterally impaired VOR	79
0/0	Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16	Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks	GBA: L444P L444P	Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dysmetria, hyperreflexibility, cognitive impairment	Hepatosplenomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease	NP	Alternating convergent squint, visual acuity 0.8 R, 0.8 L, abducens palsy bilaterally, slow saccades horizontal > vertical, smooth pursuit impaired vertical > horizontal, horizontal impaired OKN, impaired VOR bilaterally	68
1/1	Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2	lmiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Dystonia	Discrete hepatomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease, bronchial asthma, atopic dermatitis, lactose intolerance	Ν	Slow horizontal saccades, impaired horizontal OKN, discrete impaired VOR	101
1/1	Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2	Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Hyperreflexibility, hypotonus of lower extremities	Chronic obstructive lung disease, discrete thoracic kyphosis, hepatomegaly, neurodermatitis	N	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 1.0 L, slow horizontal saccades with curved trajectory ("around the house sign"), impaired horizontal OKN, discrete impaired VOR	105
1/1	Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6	lmiglucerase 800 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P D409H	Ν	Gibbus	NP	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 1.0 L, slow horizontal saccades, impaired horizontal OKN, impaired VOR	108
7/7	NK	Imiglucerase 2,400 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P D409H	Mild cognitive impairment	Mild chronic obstructive lung disease	Discrete unspecific white matter changes	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 0.8 L, low horizontal saccades, slow downward vertical saccades, impaired horizontal OKN, discrete impaired VOB	85
	age of diagnosis (years) TS FOLLOWED U 1/1 0/0 0/0 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 7/7	age of diagnosis observed, age (years)TS FOLLOWED UP LONGITUDINALI1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 190/0Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 161/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 161/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 21/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 21/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 21/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 21/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 21/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 67/7NK	age of diagnosis observed, age (years)TS FOLLOWED UP LONGITUDINALLY1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19Imiglucerase 3,200 U/2 weeks, eligustat 100 mg/day, poinolactone 150 mg/day, calcium 1,500 mg/day, potassium 7,200 mg/day, potassium 3,000 U/day, pontoprazole 40 mg/day0/0Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks1/1Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks	age of diagnosis observed, age (years) (years) TS FOLLOWED UP LONGITUDINALLY 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19 Imiglucerase 3,200 GBA: W5333X 1/1 Oculomotor, disturbance (not specified), 19 Imiglucerase 3,200 GBA: W5333X 0/0 Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 1,600 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 1,600 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6 Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6 Imiglucerase 2,400 GBA: L444P 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6 Imiglucerase 2,400	age of diagnosis observed, age (years) psychiatric findings TS FOLLOWED UP LONGITUDINALLY Imiglucerase 3,200 UK 2003X Status 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19 Imiglucerase 3,200 mg/day, spironolactore 150 mg/day, spironolactore 300 mg/day, patassium 7,200 mg/day, patassium 1,500 mg/day, patassium 1,500 mg/day, patassium 3,000 U/day, pantoprazole 40 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, clonus, stance and 3000 U/day, pantoprazole 40 mg/day 0/0 Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dystonia 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dystonia 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dystonia 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Hyperreflexibility, torpotonus of lower extremities 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Hyperreflexibility, hypotonus of lower extremities 1/1 Oculomotor (disturbance (not specified), 2 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P N 1/1 <td< td=""><td>age of diagnosis beschlaftic findings and other findings TS FOLLOWED UP LONGTUDINALLY Imiglucerase 3.200 GBA: UU2 weeks, eliguistatia to mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and 0.400 mg/day, magnesum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, cionus, stance and 0.400 mg/day, magnesum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, cyramidal signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and gait ataxia, dystenia Hyperreflexibility, cognitive impairment 0/0 Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dystenia, hyperreflexibility, cognitive impairment Hepatosplenomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Dystenia Discrete hepatomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, neucocorematitis atobia, cionucertative lung disease 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6 Imiglucerase 2,400 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P N Gibbus luce corematitis ease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, disease, discrute thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, neucocor</td><td>age of diagnosis observed, age (years) mail indiagnosis indiagnosis 75 POLOWED U-LONGITUDINALLY 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19 Indigueenses 3,200 U/2 weeks, eligustati 100 mg/day, epionolactone 1500 mg/day, epionolactone 1500 mg/day, potonsisum 7,200 mg/day, potonsisum 7,200 mg/day, mapmasium 400 mg/day GBA: VS333F + PE3SC 3834F + PE3SC 3</td><td>age of digmons bitsmann psychiatric findings and other findings findings cculomot findings 17 Outermoter U2 wess, allowanese 3:00 V38374 Psychiatric findings Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 17 Outermoter U2 wess, allowanese 3:00 V38374 Psychiatric findings Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 18 Outermoter riggingenese Swall + PSSSO galt axis, dystoeia Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 19 Outermoter riggingenese Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia N Allemating parvergent spain 100 riggingenese riggingenese Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia N Allemating parvergent spain 200 Batarised motor u2 wessa U2 wessa C444P paratasia, dystoesia Protectes feepomergent, spain Swall spain</td></td<>	age of diagnosis beschlaftic findings and other findings TS FOLLOWED UP LONGTUDINALLY Imiglucerase 3.200 GBA: UU2 weeks, eliguistatia to mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, calcium 1.500 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and 0.400 mg/day, magnesum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, pyramidal signs, cionus, stance and 0.400 mg/day, magnesum 4.00 mg/day, parlemenum 4.00 mg/day Hyperreflexibility, cyramidal signs, cionus, stance and signs, cionus, stance and gait ataxia, dystenia Hyperreflexibility, cognitive impairment 0/0 Retarded motor development, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Discrete stance and gait ataxia, dystenia, hyperreflexibility, cognitive impairment Hepatosplenomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 16 Imiglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P Dystenia Discrete hepatomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, neucocorematitis atobia, cionucertative lung disease 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 6 Imiglucerase 2,400 U/2 weeks GBA: L444P N Gibbus luce corematitis ease, discrete thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, disease, discrute thoracic hyphosis, hepatomegaly, neucocor	age of diagnosis observed, age (years) mail indiagnosis indiagnosis 75 POLOWED U-LONGITUDINALLY 1/1 Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 19 Indigueenses 3,200 U/2 weeks, eligustati 100 mg/day, epionolactone 1500 mg/day, epionolactone 1500 mg/day, potonsisum 7,200 mg/day, potonsisum 7,200 mg/day, mapmasium 400 mg/day GBA: VS333F + PE3SC 3834F + PE3SC 3	age of digmons bitsmann psychiatric findings and other findings findings cculomot findings 17 Outermoter U2 wess, allowanese 3:00 V38374 Psychiatric findings Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 17 Outermoter U2 wess, allowanese 3:00 V38374 Psychiatric findings Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 18 Outermoter riggingenese Swall + PSSSO galt axis, dystoeia Swall syshoesi N Allemating parvergent spain 19 Outermoter riggingenese Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia N Allemating parvergent spain 100 riggingenese riggingenese Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia Swall syshoesia N Allemating parvergent spain 200 Batarised motor u2 wessa U2 wessa C444P paratasia, dystoesia Protectes feepomergent, spain Swall spain

TABLE 1 | Continued

Patient no.	Age of onset/ age of diagnosis (years)	First symptom observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	Neurologic and psychiatric findings	Systemic manifestation and other findings	MRI findings	Ophthalmologic and clinical oculomotor findings	IQ
7	6/33	Oculomotor disturbance (not specified), 30 Epilepsy, 10	Imiglucerase 2,800 U/2 weeks, zonisamide 100 mg 2-0-3, citalopram 20 mg 1-0-0, clonazepam 0,5 mg 1/2-0-1, valproate 300 mg 1-0-2	<i>GBA:</i> G202R D409H	Stance and gait ataxia, epilepsy, myoclonus, spasticity, psychotic symptoms	Hepatomegaly, obstructive sleep-apnea syndrome, neurodermatitis	Unspecific white matter changes	Visual acuity 0.8 R, 0.8 L, horizontal saccade paresis L > R, slow abduction > adduction bilaterally, prolonged latency of horizontal saccades, slow vertical saccades, mild impaired smooth pursuit, impaired horizontal OKN	71
8	2/2	Retarded motor development, 29, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified)	Imiglucerase 3,200 U/2 weeks, levetiracetam 3,000 mg/day, lamotrigine 200 mg/day oxcarbazepine 2,400 mg/day	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Epilepsy, cognitive impairment, dystonia, stance and gait ataxia, pyramidal signs, clonus bilateral, hypomimia	St.p. spleen resection, femoral head necrosis, pathological right femur fracture, fixed kyphosis, restrictive lung disease	Discrete ventricle enlargement	Visual acuity 0.63 R, 0.63 L, horizontal saccade paresis, impaired horizontal OKN	68
9°	1/1 Retarded motor development, 16, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified) Imiglucerase 4,400 GBA: Stance and gait ataxia, spasticity, hyperreflexibility, dysarthria, dystonia, cognitive impairment Fixed kyphosis N Slow horizontal saccades, downward vertical saccade impaired horizontal and do OKN, impaired VOR, heac operatively corrected squi abducens palsy bilaterally 0/prenatal Retarded motor development, U/2 weeks Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: Stance and gait ataxia, vertical saccade Gibbus NP Operatively corrected squi abducens palsy bilaterally 0/prenatal Retarded motor development, U/2 weeks Imiglucerase 2,000 GBA: Stance and gait ataxia, hyperreflexibility, dystonia, cognitive impairment Gibbus NP Operatively corrected squi abducens palsy bilaterally		Slow horizontal saccades, slow downward vertical saccades, impaired horizontal and downward OKN, impaired VOR, head thrusts, operatively corrected squint, abducens palsy bilaterally R > L	60 I					
10°	0/prenatal	Retarded motor development, 8, Oculomotor disturbance (not specified)	Imiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Stance and gait ataxia, hyperreflexibility, dystonia, dysarthria, discrete cognitive impairment	Gibbus	NP	Operatively corrected squint R, esotropia R, visual acuity 0.8 R, 1.0 L, complete bilateral abducens palsy, primary position upbeat nystagmus, horizontal and vertical slow saccades, impaired horizontal smooth pursuit, impaired horizontal OKN, bilateral impaired VOR, head thrusts	60
11 ^b	7/7	NK	lmiglucerase 2,000 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P D409H	Ν	Ν	N	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 0.63 L, low horizontal saccades, discrete slow downward vertical saccades, impaired horizontal OKN, discrete impaired vertical smooth pursuit, discrete head thrusts	111
PATIEN	TS MEASURED	AT 1 TIMEPOINT							
Patient no./ sex/age	Age of onset/ age diagnosis	First symptom observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	Neurologic and psychiatric findings	Internal manifestation	MRI findings	Oculomotor findings (pathological)	IQ
12	6 months/4	Oculomotor disturbance, 6 months	Imiglucerase 2,400 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Ν	Hepatosplenomegaly, chronic obstructive lung disease	N	Slow horizontal saccades with looping, impaired VOR leftward > rightward, impaired horizontal OKN	131

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Patient no./ sex/age	Age of onset/ age diagnosis	First symptom observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	Neurologic and psychiatric findings	Internal manifestation	MRI findings	Oculomotor findings (pathological)	IQ
13	21/21	Epilepsy, 15	Imiglucerase 3,200 U/2 weeks, lamotrigine 600 mg/day, levetiracetam 1,000 mg/day, 10 gtt salbutamol, dimethindene 4 mL/day	GBA: N227S L424P	Epilepsy	Osteomyelofibrosis with trombocytopenia, neurodermatitis, allergic reaction on the imiglucerase therapy	Ν	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 1.0 L, discrete slow horizontal saccades, saccadic vertical smooth pursuit	3 114
14	6/10	Oculomotor disturbance, 6	lmiglucerase 3,400 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> IVS2 + 1G > A R463C	Ν	Hashimoto thyroiditis, hepatosplenomegaly	St. p. petrous bone cholesterol granuloma removal	Visual acuity 1.0 R, 0.63 L, slow horizontal saccades with "looping," borderline slow vertical saccades, pathological VOR	124
15	2/4	Hepatosplenomegaly 4, Oculomotor disturbance, 8	lmiglucerase 2,800 U/kg	GBA: L335V L335V	Postural and extremity cerebellar ataxia, hypotonus, dyscalculia	Mild hepatosplenomegaly	Hypointensities in pallidum and thalamus	Visual acuity 0.63 R, 0.63 L, ptosis L with a Cogan-Twitch, abduction deficit L > R, abnormal smooth pursuit with "looping" upwards, horizontal slow abducting > adducting saccades, vertical slow saccades with "looping," mild head thrusts, horizontal OKN present, but saccades impaired, impaired VOR with extremely slow compensator eye movement, intact visual fixation-suppression of the VOR	81 , , y
16	1 month/ 17 months	Hepatosplenomegaly, 1 month	Velaglucerase 1,600 U/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> R359Q RecΔ55	Ν	Hepatosplenomegaly, generalized lymphadeno- pathy, hypotrophic habitus, trombocytopenia, neonatal ikterus, atopic eczema, ichtyosis simplex, st. p. anaphylactic reaction after the ninth dose of enzymatic therapy	NP	Visual acuity 0.7 bilaterally, impaired smooth pursuit vertically > horizontally, borderline impaired horizontal saccades	NP
17	6 months/ 6 months	Hepatosplenomegaly, 6 months	lmiglucerase 2,800 U/2 weeks, carbamazepine 900 mg/day, valproate 500 mg/day	GBA: L444P L444P	Epilepsy with a complex symptomatology, myoclonia, dyscalculia	Hepatosplenomegaly, gibbus, osteopenia, kyphoscoliosis with a thoracolumbar deformity	N	St. p. partial vitrectomy R, visual acuity 0.5 R, 0.8 L, low horizontal and downward vertical saccades, impaired vertical smooth pursuit, decreased vertical OKN, pathological VOR leftward > rightward	MoCA 28/30
								(Co	ontinuec

TABLE 1 | Continued

Patient no./ sex/age	Age of onset/ age diagnosis	First symptom observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	Neurologic and psychiatric findings	Internal manifestation	MRI findings	Oculomotor findings (pathological)	IQ
18	7/7	Oculomotor disturbance, 7 Epilepsy, 22	Imiglucerase 60 U/ kg/2 weeks	<i>GBA:</i> L444PRecTL	Epilepsy, anxiety attacks, generalized hyperreflexia, discrete spasticity with positive Babinsky and slow rapid movements on the right foot, anxiety, depression	-	Medullary infiltration of the pontine, basin, femoral and tibial bones bilaterally	Convergent strabismus, anamnestic initially complete horizontal saccade palsy, at the time of examination slow horizontal saccades performable, bilateral abducens palsy, slow upward saccades, downward saccade palsy, blinking	NP
19	18/1	Diagnosis established on the basis of the diagnosis of his sister, first symptoms appeared at age 18	No therapy	<i>GBA:</i> L444PRecTL	Manic behavior, anxiety and depression	Splenomegaly	Medullary infiltration of the spine	Convergent strabismus, slow horizontal and downward saccades, pathological VOR	NP
20	3/19	NK	Imiglucerase, levetiracetam	<i>GBA:</i> L444P L444P	Epilepsy, facial dystonia, nasal speech, wide-based gait	Scoliosis, splenectomy due to spleen rupture, interstitial lung disease, abnormal bone marrow findings in MRI	NP	Convergent strabismus, pathological VOR	NP
21	12/27	Epilepsy, 12	Miglustat 300 mg/day, valproic acid 2,000 mg/day, clonazepam, bromazepam, phenobarbital 200 mg/day, zonisamide	<i>GBA:</i> L444P D409H	Becker disease, axonal neuropathy, myoclonic epilepsy, mild facial dystonia, tremor, extremity ataxia, hyperreflexia, no Babinski, no muscle weakness	Moderate hepatosplenomegaly, scoliosis uncle and brother suffer from Becker's muscular dystrophy	NP ,	Horizontal supranuclear saccade palsy with blinking and head thrusts	NP
22	1/1	Hepatospleno- megaly, 1	Imiglucerase 60 U/kg, carbamazepine, paroxetine, valium 60 U/kg, baclofen	<i>GBA:</i> L444Р D409H	Myoclonic epilepsy, difficulty opening mouth, wide- based gait, axial and extremity ataxia, facial dystonia with dystonic tremor, hyperreflexia, no Babinski, high arches, hammer toes, mild lower extremity dysmetria, depression, paroxysmal aggression	Kyphosis, bone pain	Normal MRI	Convergent strabismus	NP
23	13 months/ 13 months	Hepatos- plenomegaly, 2	Velaglucerase alfa 60 U/kg	<i>GBA:</i> D409H D409H	-	Hepatosplenomegaly, Turkish origin, two uncles of his father died of Gaucher disease	NP	Horizontal supranuclear saccade palsy with blinking	NP
24	1 month/ 18 months	Stridor and early psychomotor delay, 1 month	Imiglucerase	<i>GBA:</i> D409H IVS2 + 1G > A	Early psychomotor delay, walking not possible, can stand up with support, facial, cervical and acromioclavicular dystonia	Hepatosplenomegaly, stridor (diminishing under the therapy) from birth on, Cambodian origin. BAER abnormal with normal hearing	NP	Complete gaze palsy, convergent strabismus	NP

(Continued)

 $\overline{}$

TABLE 1 | Continued

Patient no./ sex/age	Age of onset/ age diagnosis	First symptom observed, age (years)	Medication	Genotype	Neurologic and psychiatric findings	Internal manifestation	MRI findings	Oculomotor findings (pathological)	IQ
25	18 months/ 3 years	Early developmental delay 18 months, stance and gait ataxia, 5	Ambroxol 80 mg/day	GBA: R163X I260T	Myokimia, myoclonus, dystonia, startle, cloni, loss of walking ability with instability and sudden falls. Loss of speech	Nigerian origin. Hepatosplenomegaly, iron deficiency, thalassemia minor, microcytic anemia. Under therapy with imiglucerase worsening of ataxia, speech and myoclonic epilepsy with a progressive deterioration. Anamnestic reported improvement of the speech (saying words such as Maman or Au revoir), fine (playing with toys) and gross motor function (walking)	NP	Complete gaze palsy with convergent bilateral esotropia, abducens nerve palsy bilaterally	NP
26	1 month/1 year	Stridor and early developmental delay, 11 months	Ambroxol 40 mg/day	<i>GBA:</i> G377S c.141∆AG	Developmental delay, gross motor impairment, dysphagia with growth retardation	Algerian origin. Hepatosplenomegaly, bronchial congestion with chronic stridor and cough	NP	Convergent squint	NP

BAER, brainstem acoustic evoked responses; L, left; N, normal findings; NK, not known; NP, not performed; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; OKN, optokinetic nystagmus, R, right; VOR, vestibulo-ocular reflex.

a,b,cIndicated patients are siblings.

a break. Smooth pursuit gain was calculated as the ratio of slowphase eye velocity (SPV) to target velocity.

Gaze-Holding

Participants were asked to fixate a point of 0.57° visual angle presented on a monitor without moving their head. The point was primarily positioned at eye level to allow fixation straight ahead, then eccentricity changed from 15° left to 15° right and 10° down. The stimulus was presented for 10 s at each position. The data analysis of slow and quick phases of the gaze-holding nystagmus and drift was performed, as described previously (8–10). Frequency, peak velocity (PV), and amplitude of the 2D vector of quick phases were analyzed.

Optokinetic Nystagmus

The stimulus field subtended the visual angle of 28°. The stimulus consisted of alternating black-and-white stripes that moved for 15 s at a velocity of 7°/s with a white stripe of 0.67° and a black stripe of 0.29° moving to the left and to the right. Prior to each examination, a white point was presented in the primary position for 3 s. Subjects were instructed to keep gazing into the center of the pattern, to try to maintain optimal clarity of the stripes, and not to follow any one stripe deliberately.

Angular Horizontal VOR

Head impulses were defined based on the following criteria: 1. peak angular head velocity reached within the first 150 ms after onset of head impulse, 2. peak angular head velocity exceeded 100°/s, 3. head acceleration exceeded 1,000°/s², and 4. head velocity 50 ms before onset of the impulse did not exceed 20°/s. The relevant component of head velocity cannot change sign during the impulse. Head impulses with a maximum head velocity outside 1.5-fold the interquartile range were rejected as outliers. A vector analysis of the three-dimensional input-output kinematics of the aVOR during high-acceleration rotations was expressed as gain γ (11). Participants were instructed to fixate a white point of visual angle of 0.3° positioned in the center of the monitor. 10 ± 2 head impulses were performed to each side.

Disease Evaluation

The overall neurological function in the GD3 patients was assessed by the modified Severity Scoring Tool (mSST) (12). Cerebellar status was evaluated by the Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA) (13). Visuo-manual coordination was examined by means of the Grooved Pegboard Test (GPT). Cognition was assessed by the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-IV (14) (WISC-IV).

Statistical Analysis

We report mean, SD, and 95% confidence interval for continuous, absolute, and relative frequencies for categorical variables. Normality of data distribution was tested using the mean, median, SD, skewness, kurtosis, and box plots. As data were not normally distributed, related-samples Wilcoxon signed-rank test was run to determine if there were differences in measured scores between the initial examination and follow-up after 1 year. Non-paired Mann–Whitney *U*-tests were used to compare patients and healthy controls. For the group analysis, data from the follow-up visit of patients measured twice were used. For the longitudinal analysis, outcomes of 11 patients measured at two timepoints 1 year apart were compared. The two-tailed significance level was 0.05. As this is an exploratory study, no correction for multiple testing was conducted.

To assess the strength of the relationships between tested variables, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ρ was calculated. Patients with missing data in the outcome were excluded. Statistical analysis and figure design were performed using SPSS version 23.0.0 (IBM, New York, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Ocular Motor System

Representative raw data of oculomotor systems of patient 17 are shown in **Figures 1A–E**.

Saccades

Mean asymptotic PV of both horizontal and vertical saccades in response to stimulus of 30° was 120.97°/s (83, [83.2–158.8]) (mean (±SD, [95% CIs of the mean])) in patients and 463.1°/s (77.1, [434.8–491.4]) in controls (p < 0.001). Mean latency was 0.269 s (0.13, [0.21–0.33]) in patients and 0.185 s (0.08, [0.157–0.213]) in controls (p = 0.01). Mean duration was 0.65 s (0.322, [0.5–0.79]) in patients and 0.13 s (0.04, [0.12–0.15]) in controls (p < 0.001). Mean gain was 0.57 (0.3, [0.44–0.71]) in patients and 0.88 (0.07, [0.85–0.9]) in the control group, respectively (p < 0.001). Measures of abducting vs. adducting saccades were not significantly different (Table S2 in Supplementary Material).

Mean asymptotic PV of vertical saccades in response to a stimulus of 20° was 209.7°/s (128.9, [151–268.4]) in patients and 344.5°/s (67.6, [320.9–368.1]) in controls (p < 0.001). Mean latency was 0.237 s (0.111, [0.187–0.287]) in GD3 and 0.198 s (0.086, [0.168–0.228]) in normal controls, respectively (p = 0.053). Mean duration was 0.39 s (0.34, [0.24–0.55]) in patients and 0.12 s (0.03, [0.12–0.13]) in controls (p < 0.001). Mean gain of vertical saccades was 0.73 (0.23, [0.63–0.83]) in patients and 0.79 (0.11, [0.75–0.83]) in controls (p = 0.544).

To assess overall saccadic performance compared to previous studies (4, 5), slopes of peak duration vs. amplitude were calculated by linear regression fit of the saccades. Slopes of horizontal saccades were 9.04 (10, [4.49–13.6]) in patients and 1.58 (0.5, [1.4–1.76]) in controls (p < 0.001). The slopes of peak duration vs. amplitude for vertical saccades were 6.9 (13, [0.98–12.8]) in patients and 1.9 (0.79, [1.6–2.2]) in the control group, respectively (p < 0.01). The slopes of peak duration vs. amplitude for downward vertical saccades were 10.58 (17.75, [2–19.1]) in patients and 2.19 (1.05, [1.81–2.6]) in the control group, respectively (p = 0.005). The slopes of peak duration vs. amplitude for upward vertical saccades were 5.43 (10.8, [0.5–10.34]) in patients and 1.71 (0.64, [1.47–1.95]) in the control group, respectively (p < 0.05).





To investigate which saccadic parameters most reflect neurological status, correlation analysis between clinical rating scales and saccadic measures was performed. PV of downward saccades and duration of vertical saccades showed the strongest associations with all applied clinical parameters (**Table 2**; **Figures 2A–C**). Other measures of downward vertical saccades, such as duration, amplitude, and slopes, also showed variable but significant associations with clinical scores. This was also true for all measures of vertical saccades and all clinical measures. Slopes of horizontal and vertical upward saccades showed no significant relationships with any of the clinical measures, but the IQ score (vertical slopes) was highly correlated with all clinical rating scales (**Table 2**). There was no significant relationship between horizontal and vertical saccadic slopes. Age was not related to saccadic performance.

Smooth Pursuit

Since smooth pursuit matures until mid-adolescence (15, 16), children aged 4–16 and adults older than 16 were analyzed separately. This maturing process was also observed in a significant association between age and vertical smooth pursuit (upward > downward, **Table 2**). Table S2 in Supplementary Material provides an overview of smooth pursuit values. Downward gain at 0.1 Hz differed significantly between adult patients and controls (p = 0.013).

There was no difference between smooth pursuit to the left and the right, but there was a significant difference between upward and downward smooth pursuit at both frequencies in both pediatric and adult patients. Interestingly, this was also true for adult controls at 0.1 Hz. Correlation analysis showed a significant relationship between downward smooth pursuit at 0.1 Hz and mSST and SARA scores. The horizontal smooth pursuit was negatively associated with GPT *z*-scores of both hands (**Table 2**).

Gaze-Holding Function

Slow-Phase Velocity of Gaze-Holding Nystagmus

Nine out of 17 patients exhibited gaze-holding deficits. Patient 10 had primary-position upbeat nystagmus (Figure 1E). SPV of the horizontal eye movement drift with the gaze straight ahead differed significantly, yielding 0.48°/s (0.57 [0.19–0.77]) (mean (\pm SD [95% CIs of the mean])) in patients and $-0.01^{\circ}/s$ (0.09 [-0.06-0.04]) in controls (p = 0.001). SPV of the vertical eye movement drift in the central position was significantly different with -0.64°/s (0.74 [-0.26, -1.02]) in patients and $-0.03^{\circ}/s$ (0.1 [-0.09, -0.02]) in controls (p < 0.001) (Figure 3A). Gaze-holding deficits were most pronounced in patients 1, 3, 7, 17, and 21, as was SPV of the gaze-holding nystagmus in every examined position, in vertical and horizontal directions (Table S2 in Supplementary Material). In terms of correlations between neurological function and gaze-holding, vertical eye movement drift in the center and in the down position correlated significantly with all clinical tests applied, except bimanual GPT *z*-scores in the down position (**Table 2**). Horizontal eye movement drift in the center and right position correlated significantly with mSST and bimanual GPT z-scores.

Quick-Phase Velocity of Gaze-Holding Nystagmus and Saccadic Intrusions

During VOG recordings saccadic intrusions, such as saccadic pulses (Table S2 in Supplementary Material), were found significantly more often in patients than in controls in every position but the down position (center 0.76 (0.55 [0.48–1.05]) in GD3 and 0.5 (0.3 [0.24–0.57]) in controls p = 0.033; left 0.64 (0.43 [0.35–0.8]) in GD3 and 0.26 (0.16 [0.18–0.34]) in healthy controls p = 0.007; right 1.04 (0.54 [0.62–1.2]) in GD3 and 0.56 (0.3 [0.3–0.64]) in controls right p = 0.016) (mean (±SD [95% CIs of the mean])) (**Figures 3B,C**). This was also true for the quick-phase amplitude, expressed as a 2D vector defined by vertical and horizontal components of the eye movement (center: p = 0.008, left: p = 0.044, right: p = 0.011) (**Figure 3D**).

Quick-phase velocity differed significantly in the central position with the gaze straight ahead, but not in other positions (p = 0.028). Frequency of the quick phases correlated strongly with SARA and mSST, but not with bimanual GPT scores, as well as with age of onset and disease duration. It also correlated with slopes of vertical saccades (**Table 2**).

Optokinetic Nystagmus

Upward, rightward, and leftward OKN slow phases differed significantly across groups tested. Horizontal PV of rightward and leftward quick OKN phases differed significantly between patients and controls.

Vestibular System: Angular VOR

Mean gain (eye velocity/head velocity to the right and to the left) at 60 ms was 0.66 (0.37, [0.49–0.83]) (mean (\pm SD [95% CIs of the mean])) in patients and 1.1 (0.12, [1.04–1.14]) in controls (p < 0.001) (**Figure 4**; Table S2 in Supplementary Material). Correlation analysis showed strong associations between the VOR to both sides, but predominantly for rightwards head movement (eye movement leftward) and all clinical scores. VOR was also significantly correlated with the slopes of vertical saccades and downward saccades, but not with upward or horizontal saccades (**Table 2**).

Disease Characteristics

Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia and mSST were significantly associated with disease duration, but not age at onset (**Table 2**). Horizontal eye movement drift in all positions but left was significantly associated with age at disease onset, but not with duration. This was also true for vertical eye movement drift in the left and down position. Gain of upward smooth pursuit correlated with disease duration and age at onset. Disease duration and age at onset had no relation to horizontal smooth pursuit and horizontal aVOR.

Longitudinal Oculomotor Analysis

Vertical upward and downward smooth pursuit gains at 0.1 Hz, but not 0.2 Hz decreased significantly over 1 year. No other significant changes in clinical neurological scales or in saccades were observed (Table S2 in Supplementary Material). VOR to the right showed a trend to improvement after one year (Table S2 in Supplementary Material). VOR profiles were consistent over time (**Figure 5**). TABLE 2 | Correlations of clinical rating scales, disease characteristics, and selected oculomotor parameters in Gaucher disease type 3 patients.

Disease durationIQ of downard secondesPetworkel of downard secondesDuration secondesDuration secondesDuration secondesDuration secondesDesine of operand ununuitGein of horizontal JVCR bortzontal VCR perconditionGein of secondesGein of horizontal JVCR bortzontal JVCRGein of to the rightGein of secondesGein of horizontal JVCR bortzontal JVCRSecondes <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th>,</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>51 1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>			0	,					51 1					
SAFA $p = 0.779$ $p = -0.779$ $p = -0.79$ $p = -0.079$ $p = 0.000$ $p = 0.000$ NS 0.1 Hz NS $p = -0.683$ $p = -0.694$ NS $p = 0.078$ $p = -0.673$ $p = -0.677$ NS $p = 0.673$ $p = -0.677$ NS $p = 0.078$ $p = -0.677$ NS $p = 0.673$ $p = -0.677$ NS $p = 0.078$ $p = -0.677$ $p = 0.077$ NS $p = 0.078$ $p = -0.677$ $p = 0.077$ NS $p = 0.078$ $p = -0.677$ $p = -0.077$		Disease duration	IQ	Peak velocity of downward saccades	Duration of vertical saccades	Gaze-holding (slow-phase velocity)	Quick phases (2D vector)	Gain of upward smooth pursuit	Gain of downward smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal aVOR	Gain of horizontal aVOR to the right	Slopes of vertical saccades	Slopes of horizontal saccades
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SARA	$ \rho = 0.588, $ $ \rho = 0.027 $	$ \rho = -0.779, $ $ \rho = 0.001 $	ρ = -0.752, ρ < 0.0005	ρ = 0.806, ρ < 0.001	Vertical component in the center: $\rho = -0.803$, $\rho = 0.001$	Center frequency: $\rho = 0.676$, $\rho = 0.008$	NS	0.1 Hz	NS	$ \rho = -0.63, $ $ \rho = 0.016 $	$ \rho = -0.614, $ $ \rho = 0.009 $	NS	NS
mST $p = 0.538$ $p = -0.614$, $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.700$, $p < 0.002$ Vertical component tree using: $p = 0.088$, $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.008$ $p = 0.003$ $p = 0.$						Vertical component down: $\rho = -0.772$, p = 0.001			$ \rho = 0.563, $ $ \rho = 0.036 $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	mSST	$ \rho = 0.536, $ $ \rho = 0.048 $	$\rho = -0.804,$ $\rho = 0.001$	$ \rho = -0.611, $ $ \rho = 0.003 $	$ \rho = 0.700, $ $ \rho < 0.0005 $	Vertical component in the center: $\rho = -0.804$, $\rho = 0.002$	Center frequency: $\rho = 0.688$, $\rho = 0.013$	NS	0.1 Hz	NS	$ \rho = -0.725, $ $ \rho = 0.003 $	$ \rho = -0.677, $ $ \rho = 0.008 $	NS	NS
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:selected_scale} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$						Vertical component down: $\rho = -0.609$ p = 0.036			$ \rho = 0.538, $ $ \rho = 0.047 $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						Horizontal component in the center: $\rho = 0.694$, $\rho = 0.01$								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						Horizontal component right: $\rho = 0.705$, $\rho = 0.01$								
$\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:spectral} \mbox{Horizontal}\\ component\\ right: $\rho = 0.673$, \\ $\rho = 0.023$ & 0.1 Hz: NS$, \\ 0.2 Hz: \\ $\rho = -0.606$, \\ $\rho = 0.048$ \\ \end{array}$	Bimanual GPT z-scores (dominant vs. non- dominant hand)	NS	$\rho = -0.785,$ p = 0.004	$\rho = -0.649,$ $\rho = 0.005$	$ \rho = 0.558, $ $ \rho = 0.02 $	Vertical component in the center: $\rho = -0.809$, $\rho = 0.003$	NS	NS	NS	Dominant hand: 0.1 Hz: $\rho = -0.627$, p = 0.039 0.2 Hz: $\rho = -0.773$, p = 0.005	$\rho = -0.666,$ $\rho = 0.004$	ρ = -0.918, ρ < 0.001	NS	NS
Disease – NS NS NS NS NS Down: 0.2 Hz NS						Horizontal component right: $\rho = 0.673$, $\rho = 0.023$				Non- dominant hand: 0.1 Hz: NS, 0.2 Hz: ρ = -0.606, p = 0.048				
p = 0.03 $p = 0.047$	Disease duration	-	NS	NS	NS	NS	Down: frequency $\rho = 0.527$,	0.2 Hz $\rho = 0.439,$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
							p = 0.03	<i>p</i> = 0.047						

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

	Disease duration	IQ	Peak velocity of downward saccades	Duration of vertical saccades	Gaze-holding (slow-phase velocity)	Quick phases (2D vector)	Gain of upward smooth pursuit	Gain of downward smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal aVOR	Gain of horizontal aVOR to the right	Slopes of vertical saccades	Slopes of horizontal saccades
Age at onset	-	NS	NS	NS	Vertical component left: $\rho = -0.555$, $\rho = 0.021$	Center: peak velocity	0.1 Hz: $\rho = 0.435,$ $\rho = 0.049$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
					Vertical component down: $\rho = -0.579$, $\rho = 0.015$	$ \rho = -0.661, $ $ \rho = 0.004 $	0.2 Hz: $\rho = 0.552,$ p = 0.009						
					Horizontal component center: $\rho = -0.713$, $\rho = 0.001$								
					Horizontal component right: $\rho = -0.512$, $\rho = 0.036$								
					Horizontal component down: $\rho = -0.804$, $\rho < 0.001$								
Slopes of downward saccades	NS	$ \rho = -0.720, $ $ \rho = 0.005 $	_	-	Vertical component in the center: $\rho = -0.559$, $\rho = 0.03$	Center: frequency	NS	NS	NS	$ \rho = -0.556, $ $ \rho = 0.017 $	$ \rho = -0.632, $ $ \rho = 0.005 $	_	NS
					Vertical component left: $\rho = -0.625$, $\rho = 0.013$	$ \rho = 0.511, $ $ \rho = 0.051 $							
Slopes of vertical saccades	NS	$ \rho = -0.740, $ $ \rho = 0.002 $	-	-	Vertical component in the center: $\rho = -0.733$, $\rho = 0.001$	Center: frequency	NS	NS	NS	$ \rho = -0.632, $ $ \rho = 0.005 $	$ \rho = -0.767, $ $ \rho < 0.001 $	-	NS
					Vertical component left: $\rho = -0.663$, $\rho = 0.004$	$ \rho = 0.674, $ $ \rho = 0.003 $							
					Horizontal component right: $\rho = -0.513$, $\rho = 0.035$								
					Vertical component down: $\rho = -0.544$, $\rho = 0.024$								
													(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

	Disease duration	IQ	Peak velocity of downward saccades	Duration of vertical saccades	Gaze-holding (slow-phase velocity)	Quick phases (2D vector)	Gain of upward smooth pursuit	Gain of downward smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal smooth pursuit	Gain of horizontal aVOR	Gain of horizontal aVOR to the right	Slopes of vertical saccades	Slopes of horizontal saccades
Slopes of horizontal saccades	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-
Age	_	NS	NS	NS	Horizontal component straight ahead: $\rho = -0.498$, $\rho = 0.042$	NS	0.1 Hz: $\rho = 0.510,$ $\rho = 0.018$	0.2 Hz: $\rho = 0.466,$ $\rho = 0.033$	0.1 Hz: $\rho = 0.425,$ $\rho = 0.055;$	NS	NS	NS	NS
					Horizontal component in the left position: $\rho = -0.618$, $\rho = 0.008$		0.2 Hz: $\rho = 0.554$, $\rho = 0.009$		0.2 Hz: NS				
					Horizontal component in the down position: $\rho = -0.651$, $\rho = 0.005$								

GPT, Grooved Pegboard Test; mSST, modified Severity Scoring Tool; NS, not significant; OKN, optokinetic nystagmus; p, level of significance; p, Spearman correlation coefficient; VOR, vestibulo-ocular reflex; SARA, Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia.

No significant longitudinal changes in disease progression, as assessed by the clinical rating scales SARA, mSST, and GPT *z*-scores for visuo-manual dextricity, were found. Regarding



FIGURE 2 | Relationships between vertical and downward saccade characteristics and clinical rating scores and slopes of saccadic peak duration vs. amplitude of horizontal and vertical saccades. (A) Duration of vertical saccades in response to 20° stimulus and modified Severity Scoring Tool (mSST). The line has R^2 of 0.836 and the variables are significantly associated with p < 0.0005. (B) Peak velocity (PV) of vertical saccades in response to 20° stimulus and Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA). The line has R^2 of 0.468 and the variables are significantly associated with p = 0.001. (C) PV of downward saccades in response to 20° stimuli and bimanual *z*-scores of the grooved pegboard test. The line has R^2 of 0.382 and the variables are significantly associated with p = 0.005.

individual progression, patients 2, 7, 9, and 10 worsened and patients 1 and 8 improved; the rest remained stable.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the comprehensive ocular motor and vestibular function of a sizable international cohort of patients with GD3. It related this function to their overall clinical state in a systematic and standardized manner.

The major findings in this study are as follows:

First, gaze-holding function was compromised in some patients, which might indicate a cerebellar dysfunction, mainly of the flocculus, and/or dysfunction of the neuronal integrator (17).

Second, PV of downward saccades and duration of vertical saccades as well as gains in horizontal aVOR correlated significantly with the patients' clinical status assessed by SARA, mSST, and the GPT. Therefore, these easily quantifiable oculomotor and vestibular parameters may be used as biomarkers for future studies.

Third, horizontal aVOR was impaired in all patients and showed consistent patterns over time in patients evaluated longitudinally.

Fourth, longitudinal analysis did not show significant changes over time, except for a deterioration of vertical smooth pursuit.

Topo-anatomical findings suggest that multiple areas in the brainstem and cerebellum are involved in patients with GD3: pontine paramedian reticular formation (PPRF), rostral interstitial nucleus of the medial longitudinal fascicle (riMLF), motoneurons of the abducens nucleus, cerebellum (mainly the flocculus), and the vestibular system. For typical clinical ocular motor findings in patients with GD3, see Video S1 in Supplementary Material of patient 9 on the webpage.

Saccades (Clinical-Anatomical Association)

Peak velocity and duration of vertical and downward saccades were the most sensitive and robust oculomotor parameters because they were strongly associated with all applied clinical rating scores. They reflect the function of the burst neurons in riMLF, since the instantaneous firing rate of these neurons closely correlates with instantaneous eye velocity (18). Slopes of linear regression fit for vertical and downward saccades also correlated



FIGURE 3 | Fixation with the gaze straight ahead and gaze-holding in eccentric positions in patients and controls. (A) Slow-phase velocity (SPV) of eye movement drift and gaze-evoked nystagmus. *Blue* represents GD3 patients, *green* represents healthy controls. Negative values represent direction (vertical, leftwards and vertical downwards). (B) Frequency of the 2D vector of quick phases in the respective positions. (C) Peak velocity of the 2D vector of quick phases in respective positions. Blue represents the fixation with the gaze straight ahead. *Green* represents the gaze-holding to the left. *Khaki* represents the gaze-holding to the right. *Purple* represents the gaze-holding in the down position. The length of the boxes indicates the interquartile space (P25–P75); the horizontal line into the box represents the median (P50), and the whiskers indicate the adjacent values. The circles indicate the outliers and the stars represent an extreme value.

with the neurological involvement; however, this parameter was not related to all neurological assessments and it had a lower significance compared to the abovementioned parameters. Interestingly, slopes of horizontal and upward saccades, calculated as peak duration (amplitude/PV) vs. amplitude were not significantly associated with any of the clinical measures, perhaps because of both a ceiling level of horizontal saccades reflecting advanced disease and upward saccades being relatively spared by the disease process. The latter is probably caused by calretininpositive input from premotor centers, interstitial nucleus of Cajal (INC), riMLF, and y-group in upgaze, but not in downgaze pathways (19-21). Calretinin is a calcium-binding protein, and in combination with parvalbumin and perineuronal nets can help identify and analyze the upgaze vs. downgaze ocular motor disturbances in other lysosomal diseases, such as Niemann-Pick disease type C (22).

Moreover, because the riMLF innervates the upgaze motoneurons bilaterally, but downgaze motoneurons ipsilaterally, the latter are more susceptible to functional disturbances (23). Vertical, especially downward, saccades mirrored gaze-holding and aVOR, systems which also degenerate during the disease. However, in contrast to horizontal saccades, these systems do not seem to trigger a ceiling effect and therefore might potentially serve as biomarkers of disease progression. The minor vertical saccade impairment went hand-in-hand with the gaze-holding deficits in the vertical plane. The most spared upward saccades resulted in the presence of upbeat quick phases. Horizontal saccadic deficits result in an absence of all horizontal quick phases (including optokinetic and vestibular ones), as was shown in a prior publication (6). Our study confirms this finding, even though there was still a horizontal component to the movement. This might be explained by a cross-coupling mechanism between the vertical and horizontal saccadic systems (24).

Bilateral abduction deficits suggest an impairment of abducens motoneurons. Thus, patients suffer more pronounced impairment of the abducens nucleus than of the PPRF with burst neurons for horizontal saccades, which leads to an isolated saccadic impairment. The common feature of these patients is bilateral esotropia, which is further accentuated by convergence. Notably, these patients were all homozygous for the L444P mutation.

The prolonged latency of horizontal saccades indicates an impairment of initiation of reflexive saccades that can be also



right, (C,D) vHIT to the left. The gray curves represent a mean of 10 ± 5 vHIT of a particular HC and a patient, respectively. The thick *blue line* represents the groups' mean eye movement and the thick *gray* and *black lines* represent the groups' mean head movement. Mean gain (eye velocity/head velocity to the right and to the left) of the aVOR by 60 ms was 0.66 (0.37, [0.49–0.83]) (mean (\pm SD [95% Cls of the mean])) in patients and 1.1 (0.12, [1.04–1.14]) in controls (p < 0.001).

related to the PPRF disturbance. Since GD3 patients exhibit deficits of reflexive saccades, quick phases, and vestibular movements *per se*, head thrusts do not represent oculomotor apraxia, defined as voluntary eye movement failure, but probably represent an attempted adaptive strategy to facilitate change in gaze, as you can see in Video S2 in Supplementary Material (25). We observed the same variation of saccadic PV in the phase plane plots of eye position vs. velocity as seen in a previous study (4). This is due to the malfunction of omnipause neurons in the pontine nucleus raphe interpositus that control saccade generation by inhibiting the activity of burst neurons (26).

Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex

We have observed similar velocity "wiggling" during the performance of VOR, with each patient having a unique VOR pattern, as you can see in the **Figure 5**. A trajectory analysis revealed substantial decelerations of eye velocity, which appeared variably during the VOR course. A pathological VOR gain has been previously described in 2 siblings with GD3 (6). The pathological VOR is probably caused by a complex involvement of abducens nuclei, the medial vestibular region and/or vestibulo-cerebellum, accounting for reduced VOR gains, and by the PPRF, responsible for an absence of re-fixation saccades. Moreover, since some patients were not able to counteract the head movement at all, they generated a delayed saccadic movement to the fixation target; thus, the disturbance of the omnipause neurons might also explain transient decelerations during the VOR course.

Smooth Pursuit

In terms of smooth pursuit, only downward gain differed between adult patients and controls, being better in patients. This might be explained by riMLF and/or cerebellar impairment, leading to diminished saccadic intrusions during the smooth pursuit. Smooth pursuit seemed to be relatively spared by the disease process, although downward and upward smooth pursuit both significantly worsened at follow-up. Also, downward gain correlated significantly with the mSST and SARA scores. Therefore, downward gain might be a suitable biomarker, despite its agedependence. The low values of the vertical smooth pursuit gain are based on the fact that orientation in the horizontal plane is more important than in the vertical one for humans as bipedal animals. This was also reflected in the negative association between horizontal smooth pursuit and the GPT, since both saccades and smooth pursuit are needed for the performance of complex tasks in daily life.



Gaze-Holding Gaze-holding deficits were found in fixation both with gaze

straight ahead and gaze-holding in eccentric positions, suggesting that neural integrators and the vestibulo-cerebellum might be involved (see also **Figure 3**). The gaze-holding nystagmus had a predominant vertical upbeat component, with a minor horizontal component, seen also in correlations with all clinical rating scores. This reinforces the possibility of cross-coupling mechanism, as inactivation of PPRF also leads to saccades with curved trajectories in monkeys (27).

The eye motility deficits seen in some patients might be gazeparetic in nature, related to an abnormal innervation pulse to the lateral recti muscles, which are not able to abduct the eyes due to the abducens dysfunction. Eleven patients were diagnosed with convergent squint and in three cases strabismus was surgically corrected. Mild amblyopia was seen in 10 out of 11 patients with strabismus. The convergent squint might be caused by an impairment of visual fusional mechanism; however, it might be related to the impairment of the cerebellar flocculus and, in the association with dysmetric saccades, also of the oculomotor vermis (28).

Esotropia might contribute to the fixation deficits seen in our patients, since they are well known in strabismic individuals, especially in those with amblyopia (29–32). The primary-position upbeat nystagmus is probably related to the paramedian medulla (33) (especially in light of the impaired VOR) involvement.

Nevertheless, vertical eye movements in terms of vertical gazeholding nystagmus might also be generated to compensate for the horizontal eye movement palsy due to impairment of abducens nucleus. Oculomotor systems correlated variably with disease duration and/or age of onset, reflecting a variable rate of involvement of neural structures during the course of disease.

Longitudinal Analysis

We did not see any disease progression in the saccade analysis as a group, but the data varied. This also accounts for the lack of correlation between vertical and horizontal saccadic systems. Angular VOR to the right showed a tendency to improvement at follow-up; the VOR to the left remained quite stable. Moreover, patients showed unique patterns with variable velocity changes in the aVOR course. In contrast to saccades, downward and upward smooth pursuit worsened over 1 year. This demonstrates that in GD3 oculomotor deficits progress to different extents and at different rates.

Limitations of the Study

The sample size for the longitudinal analysis is small, with a short follow-up length due to the logistical peculiarities and disease rarity, meaning that these analyses might be underpowered. Also, the further vestibular tests, such as vertical semicircular canal evaluation and vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMP) examination, testing the otolith function were not performed, mainly due to the logistical reasons. As some patients were children and/or became fatigued very quickly, some tests were not performed due to lack of compliance or physical disability. The measurement was monocular, so that movement differences between eyes were not assessed.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings suggest a widespread neuronal dysfunction, both at brainstem and cerebellar levels. The deficits seen in the oculomotor and vestibular examination, particularly those that progressed over time, can be used as biomarkers in future clinical trials. Future studies evaluating the voluntary saccades, including also absolute number of performed saccades or intersaccadic intervals, should be planned to assess the reliability of these biomarkers. The otolith function examined by VEMP holds promise as another vestibular biomarker, as was shown previously. Also, a compact binocular testing battery to minimize the effect of fatigue should be performed in clinical trials in the future. Our clinical experience also suggests performing the VOG as early as possible prior to the other clinical tests.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of Good Clinical Practice with written informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Ludwig-Maximilians University.

AUTHOR NOTE

Statistical analysis was conducted by Tatiana Bremova-Ertl, MD, PhD, University Hospital Munich and Ralf Strobl, Dr. Dipl.-Stat,

REFERENCES

- Brady RO, Kanfer JN, Bradley RM, Shapiro D. Demonstration of a deficiency of glucocerebroside-cleaving enzyme in Gaucher's disease. J Clin Invest (1966) 45:1112–5. doi:10.1172/JCI105417
- Vitner EB, Futerman AH. Neuronal forms of Gaucher disease. Handb Exp Pharmacol (2013) 216:405–19. doi:10.1007/978-3-7091-1511-4_20
- Schiffmann R. Gaucher Disease Neuronopathic Forms. San Diego: Elsevier (2015).
- Benko W, Ries M, Wiggs EA, Brady RO, Schiffmann R, FitzGibbon EJ. The saccadic and neurological deficits in type 3 Gaucher disease. *PLoS One* (2011) 6:e22410. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022410
- Schiffmann R, Fitzgibbon EJ, Harris C, DeVile C, Davies EH, Abel L, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of miglustat in Gaucher's disease type 3. *Ann Neurol* (2008) 64:514–22. doi:10.1002/ana.21491
- Chen L, Halmagyi GM, Todd MJ, Aw ST. Vestibular and saccadic abnormalities in Gaucher's disease. *JIMD Rep* (2014) 13:111–8. doi:10.1007/8904_2013_264
- Schneider E, Villgrattner T, Vockeroth J, Bartl K, Kohlbecher S, Bardins S, et al. EyeSeeCam: an eye movement-driven head camera for the examination of natural visual exploration. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2009) 1164:461–7. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03858.x

Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometrics and Epidemiology.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TB-E: design of the study, acquisition and analysis of data, figure design, and writing the manuscript. RS: conception of the study, and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. SB: figure design and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. MP, NB, TV, VM, SN, JA, EM, and JR: acquisition of data and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. RS and CF: analysis of data and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content intellectual content. MS: drafting and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Karima Yousfi for her support during the study and Katie Göttlinger and Marie-Anne Schiffmann for copy-editing the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), grant 01EO1401, to the German Center for Vertigo and Balance Disorders (DSGZ).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at http://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2017.00711/full#supplementary-material.

VIDEO S1 | Thorough ocular motor examination of a patient 9.

VIDEO S2 | Impaired voluntary saccades in a patient 1, compensated by head thrusts.

- Agrawal Y, Bremova T, Kremmyda O, Strupp M. Semicircular canal, saccular and utricular function in patients with bilateral vestibulopathy: analysis based on etiology. J Neurol (2013) 260:876–83. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6724-y
- Behrens F, Weiss LR. An algorithm separating saccadic from nonsaccadic eye movements automatically by use of the acceleration signal. *Vision Res* (1992) 32:889–93. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(92)90031-D
- Behrens F, Weiss LR. An automated and modified technique for testing the retinal function (Arden test) by use of the electro-oculogram (EOG) for clinical and research use. *Doc Ophthalmol* (1998) 96:283–92. doi:10.102 3/A:1001793321991
- Aw ST, Haslwanter T, Halmagyi GM, Curthoys IS, Yavor RA, Todd MJ. Three-dimensional vector analysis of the human vestibuloocular reflex in response to high-acceleration head rotations. I. Responses in normal subjects. *J Neurophysiol* (1996) 76:4009–20.
- Davies EH, Mengel E, Tylki-Szymanska A, Kleinotiene G, Reinke J, Vellodi A. Four-year follow-up of chronic neuronopathic Gaucher disease in Europeans using a modified severity scoring tool. *J Inherit Metab Dis* (2011) 34:1053–9. doi:10.1007/s10545-011-9347-z
- Schmitz-Hübsch T, du Montcel ST, Baliko L, Berciano J, Boesch S, Depondt C, et al. Scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia: development of a new clinical scale. *Neurology* (2006) 66:1717–20. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000219042.60538.92

- Grove WR. Mental age scores for the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children. J Clin Psychol (1950) 6:393–7. doi:10.1002/1097-4679(195010) 6:4<393::AID-JCLP2270060418>3.0.CO;2-2
- Ego C, Orban de Xivry J-J, Nassogne M-C, Yüksel D, Lefèvre P. The saccadic system does not compensate for the immaturity of the smooth pursuit system during visual tracking in children. *J Neurophysiol* (2013) 110:358–67. doi:10.1152/jn.00981.2012
- Salman MS, Sharpe JA, Lillakas L, Dennis M, Steinbach MJ. Smooth pursuit eye movements in children. *Exp Brain Res* (2006) 169:139–43. doi:10.1007/ s00221-005-0292-7
- Leigh RJ, Zee D. *The Neurology of Eye Movements*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press (2006).
- Van Gisbergen JA, Robinson DA, Gielen S. A quantitative analysis of generation of saccadic eye movements by burst neurons. *J Neurophysiol* (1981) 45:417–42.
- Che Ngwa E, Zeeh C, Messoudi A, Büttner-Ennever JA, Horn AKE. Delineation of motoneuron subgroups supplying individual eye muscles in the human oculomotor nucleus. *Front Neuroanat* (2014) 8:2. doi:10.3389/fnana.2014.00002
- Zeeh C, Hess BJ, Horn AKE. Calretinin inputs are confined to motoneurons for upward eye movements in monkey. J Comp Neurol (2013) 521:3154–66. doi:10.1002/cne.23337
- Zeeh C, Mustari MJ, Hess BJM, Horn AKE. Transmitter inputs to different motoneuron subgroups in the oculomotor and trochlear nucleus in monkey. *Front Neuroanat* (2015) 9:95. doi:10.3389/fnana.2015.0009522
- Adamczyk C, Strupp M, Jahn K, Horn AK. Calretinin as a marker for premotor neurons involved in upgaze in human brainstem. *Front Neuroanat* (2015) 9:153. doi:10.3389/fnana.2015.00153
- Salsano E, Umeh C, Rufa A, Pareyson D, Zee DS. Vertical supranuclear gaze palsy in Niemann-Pick type C disease. *Neurol Sci* (2012) 33:1225–32. doi:10.1007/s10072-012-1155-1
- Becker W, Jürgens R. Human oblique saccades: quantitative analysis of the relation between horizontal and vertical components. *Vision Res* (1990) 30:893–920. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(90)90057-R
- Harris CM, Taylor DS, Vellodi A. Ocular motor abnormalities in Gaucher disease. *Neuropediatrics* (1999) 30:289–93. doi:10.1055/s-2007-973507
- Rucker JC, Ying SH, Moore W, Optican LM, Büttner-Ennever J, Keller EL, et al. Do brainstem omnipause neurons terminate saccades? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (2011) 1233:48–57. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06170.x
- Barton EJ, Nelson JS, Gandhi NJ, Sparks DL. Effects of partial lidocaine inactivation of the paramedian pontine reticular formation on saccades of macaques. J Neurophysiol (2003) 90:372–86. doi:10.1152/jn.01041.2002
- Hüfner K, Frenzel C, Kremmyda O, Adrion C, Bardins S, Glasauer S, et al. Esophoria or esotropia in adulthood: a sign of cerebellar dysfunction? *J Neurol* (2014) 262:585–92. doi:10.1007/s00415-014-7614-2

- Ciuffreda KJ, Kenyon RV, Stark L. Fixational eye movements in amblyopia and strabismus. J Am Optom Assoc (1979) 50:1251–8.
- Ciuffreda KJ, Kenyon RV, Stark L. Increased drift in amblyopic eyes. Br J Ophthalmol (1980) 64:7–14. doi:10.1136/bjo.64.1.7
- González EG, Wong AMF, Niechwiej-Szwedo E, Tarita-Nistor L, Steinbach MJ. Eye position stability in amblyopia and in normal binocular vision. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* (2012) 53:5386–94. doi:10.1167/iovs.12-9941
- Shaikh AG, Otero-Millan J, Kumar P, Ghasia FF. Abnormal fixational eye movements in amblyopia. *PLoS One* (2016) 11:e0149953. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0149953
- Tilikete C, Hermier M, Pelisson D, Vighetto A. Saccadic lateropulsion and upbeat nystagmus: disorders of caudal medulla. *Ann Neurol* (2002) 52:658–62. doi:10.1002/ana.10342

Conflict of Interest Statement: TB-E received speaker's honoraria from Actelion and Sanofi-Genzyme. RS received research support and honoraria from Protalix Biotherapeutics, Sanofi Genzyme, Amicus Therapeutics, and Shire. SB received speaker's honoraria from Actelion and owns stock in EyeSeeTec GmbH. VM received speaker's honoraria from Actelion, Sanofi-Genzyme, Shire, and Synageva. EM received speaker's honoraria and consultant fees from Actelion, Genzyme, BioMarin, Shire HGT, Orphazyme, and Alexion. JR received speaker's honoraria from BioMarin, Shire, Genzyme, and Actelion. MS is Joint Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Neurology, Editor-in-Chief of Frontiers of Neuro-otology, and Section Editor of F1000. He received speaker's honoraria from Abbott, UCB, GSK, TEVA, Biogen, IntraBio, Pierre-Fabre, Eisai, Sensorion, and HennigPharma. MP has received honoraria from Actelion, Amicus, Orphazyme, Shire, Stem Cells, Vtesse for service on advisory boards, research funding from Actelion, and travel funds from Actelion, Orphazyme, and Vtesse. He serves as Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Child Neurology and Child Neurology Open, as an Editor of Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease and JIMD Reports, and as Section Editor for Pediatric Neurology for Up-To-Date. NB has received speaker's honoraria, and honoraria for service on advisory boards, research funding and travel funds from Genzyme/Sanofi and Shire. JA, SN, RS, CF, and TBV report no disclosures.

Copyright © 2018 Bremova-Ertl, Schiffmann, Patterson, Belmatoug, Billette de Villemeur, Bardins, Frenzel, Malinová, Naumann, Arndt, Mengel, Reinke, Strobl and Strupp. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.