



# Brain Plasticity in Charcot-Marie-Tooth Type 1A Patients? A Combined Structural and Diffusion MRI Study

OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Peter Sörös, University of Oldenburg, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Florian Ph.S. Fischmeister, University of Graz, Austria Marcondes C. França Jr, Campinas State University, Brazil

#### \*Correspondence:

Giuseppe Pontillo giuseppe.pontillo@unina.it

#### Twitter: @NeuroN\_Lab

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

> <sup>‡</sup>ORCID: Giuseppe Pontillo

orcid.org/0000-0001-5425-1890

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Applied Neuroimaging, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 09 April 2020 Accepted: 25 June 2020 Published: 08 September 2020

#### Citation:

Pontillo G, Dubbioso R, Cocozza S, Tozza S, Severi D, lodice R, Tedeschi E, Elefante A, Brunetti A, Manganelli F and Quarantelli M (2020) Brain Plasticity in Charcot-Marie-Tooth Type 1A Patients? A Combined Structural and Diffusion MRI Study. Front. Neurol. 11:795. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00795 Daniele Severi<sup>2</sup>, Rosa Iodice<sup>2</sup>, Enrico Tedeschi<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Elefante<sup>1</sup>, Arturo Brunetti<sup>1</sup>, Fiore Manganelli<sup>2</sup> and Mario Quarantelli<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University Federico II, Naples, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosciences,

Giuseppe Pontillo<sup>1\*†</sup>, Raffaele Dubbioso<sup>2†</sup>, Sirio Cocozza<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Tozza<sup>2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University Federico II, Naples, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosciences, Reproductive and Odontostomatological Sciences, University Federico II, Naples, Italy, <sup>3</sup> Institute of Biostructure and Bioimaging, National Research Council, Naples, Italy

Central nervous system involvement has been described in peripheral neuropathies, including different forms of Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease. The aim of our study was to systematically investigate possible brain structural modifications in CMT1A patients, using volumetric MRI, and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). In this prospective crosssectional study, from May 2017 to May 2019, we acquired 3T MRI brain scans of genetically confirmed CMT1A patients and age- and sex-comparable healthy controls. Patients also underwent clinical and electrophysiological examinations assessing motor and sensory domains. Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) analyses were performed using a non-parametric approach based on permutations, including age and sex (and total intracranial volume for VBM) as nuisance covariates. When between-group differences emerged at VBM or TBSS analyses, the first eigenvariate was extracted from the cluster and its age- and sex-adjusted standardized residuals tested for correlation with clinical and electrophysiological variables. Twenty CMT1A patients ( $34.5 \pm 11.1$  years; M/F:11/9) were enrolled, along with 20 healthy controls (30.1  $\pm$  10.2 years; M/F:11/9). The VBM analysis revealed clusters of significantly increased GM volume in CMT1A patients compared to healthy controls, encompassing the bilateral cerebellar lobules III-VI and the left hippocampus (all ps = 0.04), with no differences in terms of DTI metrics at the TBSS analysis. A negative correlation (r = -0.502, p = 0.03) emerged between ulnar compound motor action potential and the z-scores corresponding to the right cerebellar cluster of augmented GM volume. Our data show evidence of structural reorganization in the brain of CMT1A patients, possibly reflecting neural plasticity mechanisms in response to peripheral nerve pathology and modulating the effect of axonal degeneration on functional impairment.

Keywords: Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, structural magnetic resonance imaging, diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, cerebellum, peripheral nervous system diseases, electrophysiology

1

# INTRODUCTION

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) consists of a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of inherited peripheral neuropathies, representing the most frequent hereditary neuromuscular disorders, with a reported prevalence of 1:2500 (1). The most common form of CMT is the type 1A (CMT1A, MIM#118220), an autosomal dominant demyelinating neuropathy caused by a duplication of the peripheral myelin protein 22 (*PMP22*) gene located on chromosome 17, representing about 80% of all demyelinating forms of CMT (2). It usually presents as the "classical CMT" phenotype, characterized, within the first two decades, with the onset of difficulty with walking, sensory loss, foot deformities, and signs of a length dependent sensorimotor neuropathy (3).

Although CMT is primarily a peripheral nervous system disease, several cases of central nervous system (CNS) involvement have been described in different forms of CMT (4, 5), including patients with *PMP22* duplication (6, 7). Along with these anecdotal reports, a previous study reported reduced cerebral white matter (WM) global volume in patients with *PMP22* deletion/duplication (8), while WM microstructural abnormalities have been recently demonstrated in a genetically heterogeneous group of CMT patients, even though no significant regional WM damage was found in CMT1A disease (9).

Furthermore, it has been proven that peripheral nervous system pathology can induce secondary functional and structural changes in the CNS, as shown by different evidence from other peripheral neuropathies (10–12).

Given this background, the aim of our study was to investigate possible gray matter (GM) and WM structural modifications in a homogeneous group of genetically defined CMT1A patients, using volumetric MRI and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), respectively. In addition, we explored the possible functional impact of these changes, correlating MRI findings with clinical and electrophysiological measures.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Subjects**

In this observational cross-sectional study, from May 2017 to May 2019, we enrolled symptomatic patients with genetically confirmed *PMP22* duplication (3) along with a group of ageand sex-comparable healthy controls (HC). Exclusion criteria included age <18 years and the presence of other relevant neurological, psychiatric, or systemic conditions that could affect peripheral nerves or CNS. The study was conducted in compliance with the ethical standard and approved by the local Ethics Committee (#100/17). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Clinical and Electrophysiological Evaluation

On the same day of the MRI exam, CMT1A patients underwent clinical and electrophysiological examinations mainly oriented toward the assessment of motor and sensory domains, with the following protocol:

- Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy Score (CMTNS, second version) (13), considered as a global measure of clinical disability and defined as the sum of two distinct sub scores: the CMT examination score (CMTES), rating the patients' symptoms and signs, and the CMTNS neurophysiological component, based on the assessment of ulnar compound motor action potential (CMAP) and radial sensory action potential (SAP) on the non-dominant side as objective indexes of peripheral axonal damage. The total score ranges from 0 (no disability) to 36 (maximum disability);- A Short Form-36 (SF-36) questionnaire, divided into Mental (SF36\_Mental) and Physical (SF36\_Physical) functions, was used to evaluate quality of life (14);
- Maximal Voluntary Isometric Contraction (MVIC) with a hand-held myometer to measure hand-grip and three-point pinch strength (15);
- 9-Hole Peg Test (9HPT) of both dominant and non-dominant sides to assess manual dexterity (16).
- 10-Meter Walk Test (10MWT) (16) and 6-Min Walk Test (6MWT) (17) for walking ability.

# **MRI Data Acquisition**

All MRI exams were performed on the same 3-T scanner (Trio, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany), with the acquisition protocol including a structural T1-weighted volume acquired using a 3D Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo sequence (MPRAGE; TR = 2,300 ms; TE = 2,96 ms; TI = 1,100 ms; Flip Angle =  $9^{\circ}$ ; voxel size =  $1 \times 1$  $\times$  1 mm<sup>3</sup>; 192 sagittal slices) for the voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis (18), along with a DTI dataset acquired using an echo-planar imaging sequence (TR = 7,400 ms; TE = 88 ms, 64 directions uniformly distributed in three dimensional space; B-factors 0 and 1,000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, 9 B0 images equally spaced throughout the DTI acquisition, voxel size =  $2.2 \times 2.2 \times$ 2.2 mm<sup>3</sup>, 60axial slices) for the tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) analysis (19) and a 2D T2-weighted Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR; TR = 8,500 ms; TE = 106 ms; TI = 2,500 ms; Flip Angle =  $150^{\circ}$ ; voxel size =  $0.9 \times 0.9$  $\times$  4 mm<sup>3</sup>; 25 axial slices) sequence for possible incidental lesions detection.

# **MRI Data Analysis**

Before image processing, an experienced radiologist with more than 20 years of practice in the field of neuroimaging (MQ) preliminarily inspected acquired scans to check

Abbreviations: 10MWT, 10-Meter Walk Test; 6MWT, 6-Minute Walking Test; 9HPT, 9-Hole Peg Test; CMAP, Compound Muscle Action Potential; CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; CMTES, Charcot-Marie-Tooth Examination Score; CMTNS, Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy Score; CSF, Cerebro-Spinal Fluid; CSFf, Cerebro-Spinal Fluid fraction; FDR, False Discovery Rate; GMf, Gray Matter fraction; HC, Healthy Controls; MVIC, Maximal Voluntary Isometric Contraction; PMP22, Peripheral Myelin Protein 22; SAP, Sensory Action Potential; SF-36, Short Form (36); TBSS, Tract-Based Spatial Statistics; TFCE, Threshold Free Cluster Enhancement; TIV, Total Intracranial Volume; VBM, Voxel-Based Morphometry; WMf, White Matter fraction.

image quality and exclude the presence of incidental lesions or malformations.

For the VBM analysis, structural data were processed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping software package (SPM12, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) via the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12, http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat) in Matlab R2019a (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). We used the default settings, described in detail in the CAT12 manual (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat12/CAT12-Manual. pdf). Pre-processing steps included spatial registration of T1weighted volumes to a reference brain template in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using a fast diffeomorphic registration algorithm (Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration using Exponentiated Lie algebra, DARTEL) (20), tissue segmentation in GM, WM, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and bias correction of intensity non-uniformities. Normalized GM maps were then modulated by scaling by the inverse of the amount of the volume changes due to spatial registration, in order to preserve the local GM volumes. Homogeneity of VBM data was checked using the CAT12 default function in order to identify possible outliers. Finally, normalized modulated GM images were spatially smoothed using a 1 mm Full Width at Half Maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel (21). The same procedure was also applied to normalized WM maps.

For each participant, the Total Intracranial Volume (TIV) was also estimated using the standard procedure implemented in CAT12 and used as confound in subsequent statistical analyses in order to correct for individual head sizes.

TBSS analysis was performed using FSL v6.0 (FMRIB's Software Library, http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). DTI data were preliminarily corrected for head motion and eddy current distortions using eddy\_correct (22), and diffusion gradient directions were adjusted according to the corresponding deformation vectors (23). Subsequently, for each study a brain mask was obtained from B0 images using the Brain Extraction Tool (24) and a tensor model was fitted to diffusion data to generate fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD). All subjects' FA volumes were then aligned to a common target in the MNI space (FMRIB58\_FA) using the non-linear registration tool (FNIRT) and interpolated to a voxel size of  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  mm<sup>3</sup>. Next, the mean FA image was created and thinned to create a mean FA skeleton representing the centers of all tracts common to the group. Finally, each subject's aligned FA maps were projected onto this skeleton for the statistical analysis. Similarly, the FAderived non-linear registrations and FA skeleton were used for the processing of other non-FA diffusion metrics (i.e., MD, AD, and RD).

## **Statistical Analysis**

Unless otherwise specified, statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS v24.0, IBM corp., Armonk, NY), with a statistical significance threshold set at p < 0.05, and the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was adopted for controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) (25).

Differences between CMT1A patients and HC in terms of age, sex, and handedness were tested using Student *t*-test (age) and Fisher's exact test (sex and handedness), while possible alterations of GM, WM, and CSF volume fractions (GMf, WMf, and CSFfdefined as the ratio to TIV of GM, WM, and CSF volumes, respectively), were assessed with ANCOVA analyses, correcting for age, and sex.

For the VBM analysis, the normalized, modulated, and smoothed GM and WM maps were statistically analyzed to assess local volume differences between the two groups using a non-parametric approach based on permutations applied to the general linear model (26) via SPM's Threshold Free Cluster Enhancement (TFCE) toolbox (http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/tfce), including age, sex, and TIV as confounding variables. Using the TFCE approach (19), 5,000 permutations were generated and cluster-like structures were enhanced, with a significance level set at p < 0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons across space using the FDR method (q < 0.05) (25). The only voxels considered significant were part of a spatially continuous cluster size of 100 isotropic voxels or more.

For the TBSS, skeletonized FA maps were fed into a mirror voxel-wise cross-subject non-parametric analysis using randomize v2.9 (included in FSL v6.0) (26) with 5,000 permutations, including age and sex as nuisance covariates. As in the VBM analysis, a TFCE approach was adopted, with a statistical significance threshold set at q < 0.05. Likewise, the same statistical procedure was run on skeletonized non-FA maps.

For all between-group analyses, both contrasts (i.e., HC > CMT and HC < CMT) were tested.

In order to compare the magnitude of differences between groups, we computed effect sizes for statistically significant clusters' peaks using Cohen's d [d = 2 t/sqrt(df)] (27).

When regional differences in terms of GM or WM volume or DTI metrics emerged between the two groups, the corresponding first eigenvariate was extracted from the cluster and adjusted for age, sex, and TIV (the latter for the VBM clusters only). The so obtained residuals were standardized and their relationship with clinical and electrophysiological variables was assessed via robust Pearson correlation analyses, using bootstrap with 1,000 replications. Correlations were not adjusted for multiple testing given the exploratory nature of the analyses (28).

# RESULTS

## **Subjects**

Twenty CMT1A patients (34.5  $\pm$  11.1 years; M/F: 11/9) were enrolled, along with 20 HC of comparable age and sex (30.1  $\pm$  10.2 years; M/F: 11/9).

Demographics of the study population are presented in **Table 1**, while results of the clinical and electrophysiological evaluations are depicted in **Table 2**.

We found decreased WMf (Cohen's d = 0.71, p = 0.04, not significant after multiple testing correction) in CMT1A patients compared to HC, along with an increase in CSFf (Cohen's d =0.69, p = 0.047, not significant after multiple testing correction), while no significant difference in terms of global GMf emerged (**Table 1**). As expected, age was negatively related to GMf ( $\beta =$ 

Brain Plasticity in CMT1A Patients

 
 TABLE 1 | Demographics and global brain volumes of all the subjects included in the study.

	CMT1A	HC	p-value (test statistic)		
Number	20	20	-		
Age (years)	$34.5 \pm 11.1$	$30.1\pm10.2$	0.20 (1.305)*		
Sex	11M/9F	11M/9F	1.00 (0.000)**		
Hand dominance	18R/2L	20R	0.49 (2.105)**		
GMf (%)	$46.7\pm2.2$	$46.3\pm3.1$	0.62 (0.250)***		
WMf (%)	$35.3 \pm 1.5$	$36.5\pm2.0$	0.04 (4.569)***#		
CSFf (%)	$18.0\pm2.9$	$17.1\pm2.8$	0.047 (4.225)***#		

\*T-value, \*\*Pearson Chi-Square value, \*\*\*F-value (2, 36), #not significant after multiple testing correction.

GMf, Gray Matter fraction; WMf, White Matter fraction; CSFf, Cerebro-Spinal Fluid fraction.

**TABLE 2** | Results of the clinical and neurophysiological examinations of CMT1A patients.

	CMT1A		
	N	Mean (SD)	
CMTNS			
Total score	18	9.9 (3.7)	
CMTES	20	5.1 (2.9)	
Neurophysiological component	18	4.4 (1.5)	
MVIC (N, average for both sides)			
Handgrip	20	74.7 (22.9)	
Three-point pinch	20	67.7 (25.2)	
9-HPT (s, average for both sides)	20	23.9 (3.8)	
10MWT (s)	20	6.8 (1.5)	
6MWT (m)	20	428.8 (70.2)	
SF-36			
Physical composite score	20	60.0 (21.1)	
Mental composite score	20	68.3 (18.0)	
Ulnar CMAP (mV)	18	4.9 (1.6)	
Radial SAP ( $\mu$ V)	18	1.4 (2.4)	

CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; HC, Healthy Controls; CMTNS, Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy Score; CMTES, Charcot-Marie-Tooth Examination Score; MVIC, Maximal Voluntary Isometric Contraction; 9HPT, 9-Hole Peg Test; 10MWT, 10-Meter Walk Test; 6MWT, 6-Min Walking Test; SF-36, Short Form (36); CMAP, Compound Muscle Action Potential: SAP. Sensory Action Potential.

-0.002, p < 0.001) and positively related to CSFf ( $\beta = 0.002$ , p < 0.001), while no significant relationships emerged between age and WMf or between the other covariate (i.e., sex) and either GM, WM, or CSF fractions.

### VBM and TBSS Analyses

The VBM analysis revealed three different clusters of significantly increased GM volume in CMT1A patients compared to HC encompassing the right paravermian portions of the cerebellar lobules III, IV, V, and VI (p = 0.04, d = 1.62), lobules IV, V, and VI of the contralateral cerebellum (p = 0.04, d = 1.63) and the left

hippocampus and parahippocampal region (p = 0.04, d = 1.61; Figure 1, Table 3).

No suprathreshold clusters of altered WM quantity in CMT1A compared to HC emerged, even if the effect size map showed conspicuous areas of increased volume in the bilateral superior cerebellar WM (**Figure 2**), not reaching statistical significance.

Given the prominence of cerebellar findings emerging from the VBM investigation, we decided to validate these results in a *post hoc* analysis by means of a cerebellumtailored approach using the Spatially Unbiased Infratentorial Toolbox (SUIT) version 3.4, implemented in SPM12. Cerebellar analysis substantially confirmed the results of the whole-brain investigation, showing clusters of increased GM volume in CMT1A patients compared to HC encompassing the bilateral anterior lobe (p = 0.0498, d = 1.80), the right cerebellar lobule VI (p = 0.0498, d = 1.44), and right (p = 0.0498, d = 1.41) and left (p = 0.0498, d = 1.13) cerebellar crus I. Details regarding the methods and the results of the *post hoc* analysis are reported in the **Supplementary Material**.

No statistically significant between-group differences emerged at the TBSS analysis when considering the FA, MD, AD, and RD metrics.

# Relationship Between MRI Metrics and Clinical and Electrophysiological Data

A positive correlation [r = 0.588, Bias corrected and accelerated bootstrap 95% confidence interval (0.055–0.876), p = 0.01] was found between the neurophysiological component of the CMTNS and the age-, sex-, and TIV-adjusted z-scores of the first eigenvariate extracted from the right cerebellar cluster of significant between-group difference at the VBM analysis, mainly resulting from a negative relationship between z-scores and ulnar CMAP values [r = -0.502, Bca 95% CI (-0.805--0.142), p = 0.03; **Figure 3**]. No significant correlation emerged between the clusters of increased GM density and the remaining clinical and electrophysiological measures of functional impairment in CMT1A patients.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the presence of possible structural modifications in the brain of CMT1A patients, providing evidence of both global WM volume decrease and regional, mostly cerebellar, GM volume increase in this condition, correlating with electrophysiological measures.

Despite evidence of brain involvement in other forms of CMT (4, 5), and, more widely, in other peripheral neuropathies (10–12), CMT1A is commonly considered as a purely peripheral nervous system disease. Indeed, PMP22 is produced primarily by Schwann cells and it is expressed in the compact portion of essentially all myelinated fibers in the peripheral nervous system (3, 30). Actually, apart from anecdotal reports, little or no structured evidence exists on the involvement of CNS in these patients.

In our study, CMT1A patients showed almost significant reduction of global normalized WM volume and increase in the



FIGURE 1 | Unthresholded effect size (Cohen's *d*) map (*upper row, in blue-red*) and thresholded T map (*lower row, in red-yellow*) for the CMT > HC contrast regarding the voxel-based between-group comparison of GM maps, superimposed on the T1-weighted template in the sagittal, coronal, and axial planes (*from left to right*). CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; HC, Healthy Controls; GM, Gray Matter.

TABLE 3   Clusters of increased GM volume in CMT patients compared to HC are presented, along with significance level (FDR-corrected) and the corresponding local
maxima's effect sizes, T-values, and anatomical labels.

Cluster volume (ml)	<i>p</i> -value (FDR-corr)	Cohen's d	т	MNI coordinates (mm)		Anatomical label	
				x	Y	z	
2.63	0.04	1.62	4.80	14	-63	-15	Right cerebellar lobule VI
	0.04	1.59	4.71	14	-39	-18	Right cerebellar lobule III
	0.04	1.62	4.78	18	-47	-14	Right cerebellar lobules IV-\
2.13	0.04	1.61	4.78	-26	-24	-12	Left hippocampus
	0.04	1.23	3.64	-30	-30	-18	Left parahippocampus
2.74	0.04	1.48	4.37	-21	-51	-18	Left cerebellar lobules IV-V
	0.04	1.63	4.82	-12	-69	-17	Left cerebellar lobule VI

No significant differences emerged when testing the HC > CMT contrast. Coordinates refer to mm from the anterior commissure in MNI space, with anatomical labeling according to (29).

DF = 35.

GM, Gray Matter; CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; HC, Healthy Controls; FDR, False Discovery Rate; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; DF, Degrees of Freedom.

amount of CSF compared to HC, with no significant changes in terms of global GM volume. This result partially replicates the one of a previous study (8) demonstrating a reduction of global WM volume in *PMP22*-related neuropathies (i.e., CMT1A and hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies–HNPP). The physiopathological mechanisms behind



**FIGURE 2** Unthresholded effect size (Cohen's *d*) map (*in blue-red*) for the CMT > HC contrast regarding the voxel-based between-group comparison of WM maps, superimposed on the T1-weighted template in the coronal and axial planes (*from left to right*). CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; HC, Healthy Controls; WM, White Matter.



**FIGURE 3** | Scatterplots showing the correlation between age-, sex-, and TIV-adjusted *z*-scores extracted from the right cerebellar cluster of increased GM volume in CMT1A patients and ulnar CMAP [(**A**); r = -0.502, Bca 95% CI (-0.805--0.142), p = 0.03] and CMTNS neurophysiological component [(**B**); r = 0.588, Bca 95% CI (0.055-0.876), p = 0.01] values, respectively. CMAP is expressed in mV, while the score of the CMTNS neurophysiological component is a dimensionless quantity ranging from 0 (no neurophysiological impairment) to 8 (maximum neurophysiological impairment). The dashed line represents the fitted linear model curve, while solid lines indicate the 95% confidence interval of the prediction. GM, Gray Matter; CMT, Charcot-Marie-Tooth; HC, Healthy Controls; CMTNS, Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy Score; Bca 95% CI, Bias corrected and accelerated bootstrap 95% confidence interval; CMAP, Compound Muscle Action Potential.

these observed changes remain unclear, with a possible role of *PMP22* and its corresponding mRNA in the development of CNS that has been hypothesized (8). Indeed, a limited amount of PMP22 protein has been demonstrated in the normal human CNS, along with a more widespread expression of *PMP22* mRNA (31). These molecules allegedly play a role in the regulation of cell growth and differentiation (30, 31), so that an alteration of their expression, especially in early phases of neurodevelopment, may account for a disturbance of brain structural organization (8).

Additionally, the precocious and chronic reduction of the burden of afferent and efferent stimuli to and from the CNS, due to CMT1A peripheral neuropathy, may as well-influence the brain's macrostructure.

Conversely, when looking at WM microstructure, the TBSS analysis revealed no significant abnormalities in CMT1A patients compared to HC, confirming the results of a previous exploratory study conducted on a heterogeneous population of CMT patients, in which CMT1A patients proved to be the only CMT subtype not affected by brain WM microstructural damage (9). These results suggest an overall harmonic reduction of microstructurally intact fibers rather than actual WM damage in this condition.

In terms of possible regional volumetric alterations, results of the VBM analysis revealed that CMT1A patients show clusters of increased GM volume compared to HC in specular paravermian portions of the anterior cerebellum (i.e., lobules III, IV, and V) and lobule VI. Along with this clusters of statistically significant between-group difference, effect size maps also showed evident areas of increased volume in the bilateral superior cerebellar WM, not reaching statistical significance most probably due to the small sample size (32). According to the cerebellar functional topographic organization, lobules of the anterior lobe, and lobule VI contain the representation of the sensorimotor cerebellum (33), with the vermian and intermediate zones, in particular, corresponding to the more classic functional/phylogenetic definition of spinocerebellum/paleocerebellum (34). These regions, somatotopically arranged, are widely linked to spinal cord, brainstem, and cerebral cortical areas concerned with sensorimotor processing and participate in the coordination of fine movements of the extremities as well as in the maintenance of balance and gait (33, 34). Hence, in a condition characterized by long-lasting impairment of distal muscle strength, manual dexterity, and walking ability (3), as well as by reduced afferent and efferent stimuli to and from the CNS, the observed increase of anterior cerebellar regional volume might reflect mechanisms of structural plasticity (35) aimed at compensating peripheral nerve deficit and enduring brain deafferentation in CMT1A patients. Indeed, similar increases of cerebellar regional GM volume have been demonstrated in healthy individuals professionally subjected to the persistent solicitation of specific motor or cognitive abilities (36, 37), while brain structural and functional plasticity phenomena have also been reported in other peripheral neuropathies (11, 38). In accordance with this speculation, in our study we found an inverse correlation between the increase of GM volume in the right anterior cerebellum and the CMAP obtained from ulnar motor nerve, considered as a measure of distal arm axonal damage (15). It is known that axonal degeneration is the main determinant of neurological dysfunction and clinical disability in CMT1A patients (3, 39, 40). Therefore, greater peripheral nerve pathology might lead to a greater compensatory neuroplasticity effort by the anterior cerebellar GM, which modulates the effect of axonal degeneration on functional impairment, thus possibly explaining the lack of correlation between cerebellar structural modifications and clinical functional tests. If confirmed by longitudinal and functional MRI studies, this theory could provide new insights into the mechanisms of CNS modifications associated to peripheral nerve pathology, and how these participate in the genesis of neurological dysfunction.

Furthermore, we found a cluster of increased GM volume in the left hippocampus and parahippocampal cortex, which are known to play a crucial role for declarative memory (41). The hippocampus, in particular, demonstrates unique cellular and synaptic flexibility in the adult brain (35), with evidence of activity-dependent reorganization in both healthy subjects (42) and neuropsychiatric conditions (43, 44). Indeed, a slight cognitive impairment has been described in CMT1A patients, predominantly involving executive functions, working memory, and verbal episodic memory (8), along with minor depressive symptoms (8, 45), which might prompt neural plasticity in the hippocampus as a putative mechanism of resilience/compensation.

To better comprehend the physiopathology underlying these observed structural changes, and to investigate their clinical relevance, further studies are warranted focusing on functional MRI associated with a finer clinical, neuropsychological, and electrophysiological evaluation, also including the investigation of cognitive domains (primarily memory and information processing) and the functional examination of distal legs, posture, balance, and gait, which were lacking in our study. Likewise, longitudinal studies could help unravel the causal relationship between CNS functional and structural modifications, peripheral nerve pathology, and neurological dysfunction.

In conclusion, our data show evidence of structural reorganization in the brain of CMT1A patients, mostly involving the anterior cerebellum and possibly reflecting compensatory mechanisms in response to peripheral nerve pathology. These results provide new insights into CNS physiopathology and its role in the development of clinical disability in this condition.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

# ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee Carlo Romano, University of Naples Federico II (#100/17). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GP: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, wrote of the manuscript (original draft). RD and SC: conceptualization, data curation, wrote of the manuscript (review & editing). ST: data curation, investigation, wrote of the manuscript (review & editing). DS and RI: data curation, investigation. ET and AE: supervision, wrote of the manuscript (review & editing). AB and FM: supervision, investigation, wrote of the manuscript (review & editing). MQ: supervision, formal analysis, investigation, wrote of the manuscript (review & editing). All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2020.00795/full#supplementary-material

# REFERENCES

- Shy ME, Garbern JY, Kamholz J. Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies: a biological perspective. *Lancet Neurol.* (2002) 1:110–8. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(02)00042-X
- Manganelli F, Tozza S, Pisciotta C, Bellone E, Iodice R, Nolano M, et al. Charcot-marie-tooth disease: frequency of genetic subtypes in a Southern Italy population. J Peripher Nerv Syst. (2014) 19:292–8. doi: 10.1111/jns.12092
- Van Paassen BW, Van Der Kooi AJ, Van Spaendonck-Zwarts KY, Verhamme C, Baas F, De Visser M. PMP22 related neuropathies: Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A and hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* (2014) 9:38. doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-9-38
- Paulson HL, Garbern JY, Hoban TF, Krajewski KM, Lewis RA, Fischbeck KH, et al. Transient central nervous system white matter abnormality in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2002) 52:429–34. doi: 10.1002/ana.10305
- Brockmann K, Dreha-Kulaczewski S, Dechent P, Bonnemann C, Helms G, Kyllerman M, et al. Cerebral involvement in axonal charcot-marie-tooth neuropathy caused by mitofusin2 mutations. *J Neurol.* (2008) 255:1049–58. doi: 10.1007/s00415-008-0847-1
- Koros C, Evangelopoulos ME, Kilidireas C, Andreadou E. Central nervous system demyelination in a charcot-marie-tooth type 1A patient. *Case Rep Neurol Med.* (2013) 2013:243652. doi: 10.1155/2013/243652
- Daniel AGE, Carmen CR, Guillermo OA. (2019). Charcot-marie-tooth disease type 1A and inflammatory-demyelinating lesions in the central nervous system. *Int J Neurol Neurother*. 6:080. doi: 10.23937/2378-3001/1410080
- Chanson JB, Echaniz-Laguna A, Blanc F, Lacour A, Ballonzoli L, Kremer S, et al. Central nervous system abnormalities in patients with PMP22 gene mutations: a prospective study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2013) 84:392– 7. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2012-303725
- Lee M, Park CH, Chung HK, Kim HJ, Choi Y, Yoo JH, et al. Cerebral white matter abnormalities in patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2017) 81:147–51. doi: 10.1002/ana.24824
- Maeda Y, Kettner N, Sheehan J, Kim J, Cina S, Malatesta C, et al. Altered brain morphometry in carpal tunnel syndrome is associated with median nerve pathology. *Neuroimage Clin.* (2013) 2:313–9. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2013.02.001
- Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Fazio R, Previtali SC, Messina R, Falini A, et al. Brain connectivity abnormalities extend beyond the sensorimotor network in peripheral neuropathy. *Hum Brain Mapp.* (2014) 35:513–26. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22198
- Selvarajah D, Wilkinson ID, Maxwell M, Davies J, Sankar A, Boland E, et al. Magnetic resonance neuroimaging study of brain structural differences in diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Care*. (2014) 37:1681–8. doi: 10.2337/dc13-2610
- Murphy SM, Herrmann DN, Mcdermott MP, Scherer SS, Shy ME, Reilly MM, et al. Reliability of the CMT neuropathy score (second version) in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. J Peripher Nerv Syst. (2011) 16:191–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8027.2011.00350.x
- Padua L, Cavallaro T, Pareyson D, Quattrone A, Vita G, Schenone A. Charcot-marie-tooth and pain: correlations with neurophysiological, clinical, and disability findings. *Neurol Sci.* (2008) 29:193–4. doi: 10.1007/s10072-008-0935-0
- Pareyson D, Reilly MM, Schenone A, Fabrizi GM, Cavallaro T, Santoro L, et al. Ascorbic acid in Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease type 1A (CMT-TRIAAL and CMT-TRAUK): a double-blind randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol.* (2011) 10:320–8. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70025-4
- Solari A, Laura M, Salsano E, Radice D, Pareyson D, Group C-TS. Reliability of clinical outcome measures in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *Neuromuscul Disord*. (2008) 18:19–26. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2007.09.006
- Padua L, Pazzaglia C, Pareyson D, Schenone A, Aiello A, Fabrizi GM, et al. Novel outcome measures for Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: validation and reliability of the 6-min walk test and stepwatch() activity monitor and identification of the walking features related to higher quality of life. *Eur J Neurol.* (2016) 23:1343–50. doi: 10.1111/ene.13033
- Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Voxel-based morphometry-the methods. Neuroimage. (2000) 11:805–21. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2000.0582
- Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis

of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage*. (2006) 31:1487-505. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.02.024

- Ashburner J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage*. (2007) 38:95–113. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.07.007
- Smith SM, Nichols TE. Threshold-free cluster enhancement: addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. *Neuroimage*. (2009) 44:83–98. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.03.061
- Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage*. (2004) 23 (Suppl. 1):S208– 19. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051
- Leemans A, Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. *Magn Reson Med.* (2009) 61:1336–49. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21890
- Smith SM. Fast robust automated brain extraction. *Hum Brain Mapp.* (2002) 17:143–55. doi: 10.1002/hbm.10062
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B.* (1995) 57:289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x
- Winkler AM, Ridgway GR, Webster MA, Smith SM, Nichols TE. Permutation inference for the general linear model. *Neuroimage*. (2014) 92:381–97. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.060
- 27. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Burlington: Elsevier Science; Routledge (2013).
- Bender R, Lange S. Adjusting for multiple testing-when and how? J Clin Epidemiol. (2001) 54:343-9. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(00)00314-0
- Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, Crivello F, Etard O, Delcroix N, et al. Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage*. (2002) 15:273–89. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0978
- Snipes GJ, Suter U, Welcher AA, Shooter EM. Characterization of a novel peripheral nervous system myelin protein (PMP-22/SR13). J Cell Biol. (1992) 117:225–38. doi: 10.1083/jcb.117.1.225
- Ohsawa Y, Murakami T, Miyazaki Y, Shirabe T, Sunada Y. Peripheral myelin protein 22 is expressed in human central nervous system. *J Neurol Sci.* (2006) 247:11–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2006.03.004
- Fusar-Poli P, Radua J, Frascarelli M, Mechelli A, Borgwardt S, Di Fabio F, et al. Evidence of reporting biases in voxel-based morphometry (VBM) studies of psychiatric and neurological disorders. *Hum Brain Mapp*. (2014) 35:3052–65. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22384
- Stoodley CJ, Schmahmann JD. Evidence for topographic organization in the cerebellum of motor control versus cognitive and affective processing. *Cortex.* (2010) 46:831–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2009.11.008
- 34. Nieuwenhuys R, Voogd J, Huijzen CV. *The Human Central Nervous System*. Berlin; New York, NY: Springer (2008).
- Zatorre RJ, Fields RD, Johansen-Berg H. Plasticity in gray and white: neuroimaging changes in brain structure during learning. *Nat Neurosci.* (2012) 15:528–36. doi: 10.1038/nn.3045
- Cerasa A, Sarica A, Martino I, Fabbricatore C, Tomaiuolo F, Rocca F, et al. Increased cerebellar gray matter volume in head chefs. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0171457. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171457
- Sakai H, Ando T, Sadato N, Uchiyama Y. Greater cerebellar gray matter volume in car drivers: an exploratory voxel-based morphometry study. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:46526. doi: 10.1038/srep46526
- Casseb RF, De Campos BM, Martinez ARM, Castellano G, Franca Junior MC. Selective sensory deafferentation induces structural and functional brain plasticity. *Neuroimage Clin.* (2019) 21:101633. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2018.101633
- Krajewski KM, Lewis RA, Fuerst DR, Turansky C, Hinderer SR, Garbern J, et al. Neurological dysfunction and axonal degeneration in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A. *Brain.* (2000) 123:1516–27. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.7.1516
- Tozza S, Bruzzese D, Pisciotta C, Iodice R, Esposito M, Dubbioso R, et al. Motor performance deterioration accelerates after 50 years of age in charcot-marie-tooth type 1A patients. *Eur J Neurol.* (2018) 25:301–6. doi: 10.1111/ene.13494
- Bird CM, Burgess N. The hippocampus and memory: insights from spatial processing. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2008) 9:182–94. doi: 10.1038/nrn2335

- Maguire EA, Gadian DG, Johnsrude IS, Good CD, Ashburner J, Frackowiak RS, et al. Navigation-related structural change in the hippocampi of taxi drivers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2000) 97:4398–403. doi: 10.1073/pnas.070039597
- Arnone D, Mckie S, Elliott R, Juhasz G, Thomas EJ, Downey D, et al. Statedependent changes in hippocampal grey matter in depression. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2013) 18:1265–72. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.150
- Besteher B, Squarcina L, Spalthoff R, Bellani M, Gaser C, Brambilla P, et al. Hippocampal volume as a putative marker of resilience or compensation to minor depressive symptoms in a nonclinical sample. *Front Psychiatry*. (2019) 10:467. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00467
- Pfeiffer G, Wicklein EM, Ratusinski T, Schmitt L, Kunze K. Disability and quality of life in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2001) 70:548–50. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.70.4.548

Conflict of Interest: GP and SC received fees for speaking from Genzyme.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Pontillo, Dubbioso, Cocozza, Tozza, Severi, Iodice, Tedeschi, Elefante, Brunetti, Manganelli and Quarantelli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.