



# Stroke Care Within the COVID-19 Pandemic—Increasing Awareness of Transient and Mild Stroke Symptoms Needed

Timo Uphaus, Sonja Gröschel, Eyad Hayani, Marianne Hahn, Falk Steffen and Klaus Gröschel\*

Department of Neurology, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Tomohisa Nezu, Hiroshima University, Japan

#### Reviewed by:

Jaime Masjuan, Ramón y Cajal University Hospital, Spain Carmen Serna-Candel, Klinikum Stuttgart, Germany

#### \***Correspondence:** Klaus Gröschel

klaus.groeschel@unimedizin-mainz.de

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Stroke, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 08 July 2020 Accepted: 08 September 2020 Published: 09 October 2020

#### Citation:

Uphaus T, Gröschel S, Hayani E, Hahn M, Steffen F and Gröschel K (2020) Stroke Care Within the COVID-19 Pandemic – Increasing Awareness of Transient and Mild Stroke Symptoms Needed. Front. Neurol. 11:581394. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.581394 **Background:** The COVID-19 pandemic might affect health care resources and alter patient admission to hospital in case of stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA). We aim to determine whether the COVID-19 pandemic is affecting utilization of recanalization procedures and numbers of patients with stroke and TIA admitted to a primary care stroke center.

**Methods:** In this retrospective observational study, we compared patients admitted from January 2019 until February 2020 with patients admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic (March/April 2020) in Germany. We included patients with stroke (hemorrhagic or ischemic) or TIA as classified by International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems version 10 (ICD-10).

**Results:** The number of patients per month with ischemic stroke or TIA was found to have significantly decreased from January 2019 until February 2020 compared to the COVID-19 pandemic (March/April 2020) (ischemic stroke  $69.1 \pm 4.5$  vs.  $55 \pm 5.7$ , p < 0.001, TIA 22.1  $\pm$  4.1 vs. 14.5  $\pm$  6.4, p < 0.034). Contrarily, percentages and numbers of recanalization procedures per month were not influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic (intravenous thrombolysis [iv-tPA] 9.4  $\pm$  3.7 vs. 10.5  $\pm$  0.5, p = 0.697, mechanical thrombectomy [MT] 13.1  $\pm$  3.1 vs. 14.5  $\pm$  3.5, p = 0.580, iv-TPA or MT 19.4  $\pm$  4.1 vs. 19.0  $\pm$  0.0, p = 0.889).

**Conclusions:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, resources of the healthcare system in a primary care university hospital in Germany still allowed for unchanged numbers of recanalization procedures due to ischemic stroke. However, the numbers of patients admitted to the hospital specifically due to ischemic stroke or TIA decreased, suggesting that the awareness for non-disabling stroke symptoms has to be increased.

Keywords: cerebral ischemia, cerebral infarct, transient ischemic attack, COVID-19, mechanical thrombectomy

1

# INTRODUCTION

On March 11, 2020, the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (1). Due to the predominantly pulmonary manifestation of COVID-19, it requires long and resourceconsuming intensive care treatment (2) and resulted in a shortage of healthcare system capacities in southwest Europe (3). Therefore, by mid-March 2020, when COVID-19 cases exponentially increased in Germany, people were told to stay at home and avoid close contact with individuals outside their inner family in order to flatten the infection curve and thereby avoid similar shortages of intensive care capacities as in neighboring countries. Moreover, the organizational structure of hospitals changed in order to be prepared for an increased admission of COVID-19 patients by reducing non-emergency ambulatory patients and elective hospital admissions. These circumstances led to the question of what happens with other severe disease such as stroke, especially considering reports from Italian colleagues depicting almost a disappearance of patients with ischemic strokes within their hospitals (3) and similar reports from North America (4, 5) and Brazil (6). We aim to elucidate whether the COVID-19 pandemic affected either the admission of patients to our primary care stroke center or the rates of stroke recanalization therapies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Design and Participants**

For the current analysis, we used data from patients admitted between January 2019 and April 2020 to our primary care university hospital in Mainz, Germany. Patients were selected by principal diagnosis of ischemic/hemorrhagic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), as classified by the International Statistical Classification of Disease and related health problems-10th revision (ICD-10) used for financial reimbursement from the healthcare providers. Additionally, the acute stroke treatment was classified into no recanalization therapy vs. recanalization therapy (intravenous thrombolysis [iv-tPA] or mechanical thrombectomy [MT]) using procedural codes. We then divided the patient cohort into patients admitted from January 2019 until February 2020 and those admitted in March/April 2020. We performed an additional hand-search of all patients admitted to the stroke unit within March/April 2020 to identify patients who were not yet ICD-diagnosis/procedural coded. In line with regional legislation of the Ethics Committee of the Landesärztekammer Rheinland-Pfalz (Landeskrankenhausgesetz § 36 und § 37) due to the retrospective nature of the current analysis no ethical approval or informed consent to participate was deemed necessary.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data is presented as median (Interquartile Range [IQR]), mean ( $\pm$ standard deviation [SD]), or numbers with percentages, if not indicated otherwise. For univariate analysis Student's *t*-test, Mann-Whitney *U*-test, and Chi-square tests were used. Number of strokes, TIA, and recanalization procedures per months between January 2019 and February 2020 were compared to number of strokes in March/April 2020 by unpaired *t*-test. A significant difference was considered for *p* < 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 23, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

### RESULTS

In total, 1,490 stroke/TIA patients (mean age 72.6  $\pm$  13.3 years, 46.4% female) were admitted to our primary care hospital during the study period, yielding 93.1  $\pm$  10.0 patients per month (see Figure 1). In March/April 2020, there was a decrease in patients admitted due to ischemic/hemorrhagic stroke or TIA (74  $\pm$ 12.7) as compared to the preceding 14 months with an average of 95.9  $\pm$  6.3 patients per month (p < 0.001) (see Figure 1). Patient characteristics such as age (72.9  $\pm$  12.5 vs. 72.6  $\pm$  13.4; p = 0.777), female sex (53.4 vs. 45.7%; p = 0.075), and days of hospitalization (7.8  $\pm$  6.8 vs. 8.2  $\pm$  7.1; p = 0.583) were equally distributed between the two observation periods. In order to get an impression of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) situation in the location of the center examined within this report, we compared the incidence of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases in Mainz with other urban areas and Germany as a whole. To calculate the incidence we accessed the number of inhabitants in a central registry (7) and the confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases at the Robert-Koch-Institut (8) on August 18. With regard to the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 cases, Mainz (325 cases/100,000 inhabitants) is in the range of other urban areas, e.g., Wiesbaden (249 cases/100,000 inhabitants) and Hamburg (322 cases/100,000 inhabitants) and Germanywide (272 cases/100,000 inhabitants). However, there are parts of Germany that are more severely affected by SARS-CoV-2, e.g., Munich with 530 cases/100,000 inhabitants (see Figure 2).

The percentage of patients with ischemic strokes numerically increased (*n* = 148, 74.3% in March/April 2020 vs. *n* = 960, 71.5% between January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.474) whereas the distribution of patients with transient symptoms (TIA) decreased (n = 29, 19.6% March/April 2020 vs. n = 306, 22.8% fromJanuary 2019–February 2020, p = 0.375). No relevant percentage alteration of patients with hemorrhagic stroke was observed (n = 9, 6.1% March/April 2020 vs. n = 76, 5.7% January 2019– February 2020, p = 0.726). The numbers of patients per month with ischemic stroke (55  $\pm$  5.7 in March/April 2020 vs. 69.1  $\pm$ 4.5 for January 2019–February 2020, p < 0.001), and TIA (14.5  $\pm$ 6.4 in March/April 2020 vs. 22.1  $\pm$  4.1 for January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.034) significantly decreased (see Table 1, Figure 3), whereas the number of hemorrhagic stroke patients per month remained unchanged (4.5  $\pm$  0.7 in March/April 2020 vs. 5.5  $\pm$ 2.1 for January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.556). With regard to hemorrhagic stroke subtypes, we were not able to find a difference

Abbreviations: COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease 2019; SARS-CoV-2, Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TIA, Transient ischemic attack; ICD-10, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems tenths version; iv-tPA, intravenous thrombolysis; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.







in percentages of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH, n = 65, 4.8% vs. n = 7, 4.7%, p = 0.951) and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH, n = 19, 1.4% vs. 4, 2.7%, p = 0.277) in the non-pandemic

**TABLE 1** | Patient characteristics from January 2019 until February 2020 and in

 March/April 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

	01.2019-02.2020	03.2020-04.2020	p-value
N	1,342	148	
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$95.9\pm6.3$	$74 \pm 12.7$	< 0.001
Age [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$72.6\pm13.4$	$72.9\pm12.5$	0.777
Female [n, %]	613, 45,7%	79, 53,4%	0.075
Hospitalization [d]	$8.2\pm7.1$	$7.8\pm 6.8$	0.583
Diagnosis			
Stroke, ischemic [n, %]	960,71.5%	110,74.3%	0.474
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$69.1 \pm 4.5$	$55\pm5.7$	0.001
Stroke, hemorrhagic [n, %]	76,5.7%	9,6.1%	0.726
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$5.5\pm2.1$	$4.5\pm0.7$	0.556
ICH [n, %]	65, 4.8%	7,4.7%	0.951
SAH [n, %]	19, 1.4%	4, 2.7%	0.277
TIA [n, %]	306,22.8%	29,19.6%	0.375
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$22.1\pm4.1$	$14.5\pm6.4$	0.034
Treatment with t-PA [n, %]	132;9,5%	21,14.2%	0.098
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$9.4 \pm 3.7$	$10.5\pm0.5$	0.697
Thrombectomy [n, %]	184,13.7%	29;19.6%	0.052
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$13.1\pm3.1$	$14.5\pm3.5$	0.580
t-PA or thrombectomy [n, %]	272,20.3%	38,25.7%	0.124
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$19.4 \pm 4.1$	$19.0 \pm 0.0$	0.889

SD, Standard deviation; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage, TIA, transient ischemic attack; t-PA, tissue plasminogen activator.

compared to the pandemic period. Due to possible seasonal variations in the incidence of cerebral hemorrhages, we also compared percentages and number of patients per month with



patients/month in March/April 2020 (orange) and from January 2019 until February 2020 (blue). On the right: distribution of ischemic stroke, TIA, and hemorrhagic stroke between January 2019 until February 2020 as opposed to March/April 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic. (B) Total number of patients/month undergoing recanalization procedure in March/April 2020 (orange) and between January 2019 until February 2020 (blue). On the right: distribution of recanalization therapies (intravenous thrombolysis [tPA] and mechanical thrombectomy [MT]) as opposed to no recanalization therapy from January 2019 until February 2020 and in March/April 2020. \*\*\* = p < 0.001,\* = p < 0.05.

hemorrhagic strokes and its subtypes between March/April 2019 and March/April 2020 and observed no differences. Neither the number of patients with hemorrhagic strokes per month (n = 13, 6.2% vs. n = 9, 6.1%, p = 0.939), nor incidence of ICH (n = 12, 5.7%, vs. n = 7, 4.7%, p = 0.660) and SAH (n = 4, 1.9% vs. n = 4, 2.7%, p = 0.724) were different between March/April 2019 and March/April 2020 (see **Table 2**).

With regard to recanalization therapy, we observed a trend toward a relative increase in rates of administration of iv-tPA (n = 21, 14.2% in March/April 2020 vs. n = 132, 9.5% from January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.098), and application of MT (n = 29, 19.6% March/April 2020 vs. n = 184, 13.7% January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.052). Similarly, a composite variable showed an increase in rates of iv-tPA and/or MT utilization (n = 38, 25.7% March/April 2020 vs. n = 272, 20.3% January 2019–February 2020, p = 0.124). Concerning the number of procedures per month, we observed no change in March/April 2020 as compared to the previous months (see **Table 1**, **Figure 3**). SARS-CoV-2 test was not mandatory; however, none of the patients included within this analysis was reported SARS-CoV-2 positive.

#### DISCUSSION

The initial weeks of the COVID-19 pandemic did not affect absolute numbers of acute stroke recanalization procedures (tPA or MT), but were found to have resulted in a decrease of the overall number of patients presenting with non-severe ischemic stroke or TIA in a primary care university hospital in Germany.

We observed a decrease by nearly one quarter in the number of patients admitted to our primary stroke center with stroke or TIA from a mean-rate of 95.9 ( $\pm$ 6.3) per month between January 2019 and February 2020 to 74 ( $\pm$ 12.7) in March/April 2020. Our observation is in line with numerous reports of decreased stroke rates during the pandemic from North America (4, 9, 10), Canada (5), and Brazil (6). In addition, a decrease in the usage of the RAPID-software, a tool used to assess infarct volume in case of acute stroke services were less frequently used (9). Similar observations of reduced hospital admissions and patient presentations at emergency departments are reported in other diseases such as myocardial infarction (12, 13).

	03/04.2019	03/04.2020	p-value
N, stroke total	207	148	
Stroke, hemorrhagic [n, %]	13, 6.2%	9, 6.1%	0.939
N, per month [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$6.5\pm3.5$	$4.5\pm0.7$	0.515
ICH [n, %]	12, 5.7%	7,4.7%	0.660
SAH [ <i>n</i> , %]	4, 1.9%	4, 2.7%	0.724

**TABLE 2** | Patient characteristics in non-pandemic March/April 2019 compared to March/April 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.

One reason for this observation might be that in March 2020 rising measures of personal and community restrictions were advised by the German government, encompassing closures of schools and numerous public places as well as prohibiting the gathering of people. Even more so, the population was advised to stay at home and avoid close contact with other individuals outside the primary family to limit the exponential spreading of COVID-19 infections. Thus, the reduced number of patients presenting with ischemic stroke and TIA observed within this analysis might be attributable to fewer people visiting the emergency department due to a fear of COVID-19 infection. This is underlined by the fact that a decrease in the percentages and absolute numbers of patients was especially detected in those presenting with transient symptoms, whereas the absolute number of recanalization therapies remained unchanged. Another explanation for decreased stroke rates might be an underestimation of stroke-related symptoms in patients with fever and respiratory symptoms. By an attempt of prioritization, neurological deficits, especially minor stroke or TIA-related symptoms, are prone to be overlooked. However, this is unlikely for disabling stroke symptoms such as aphasia and severe hemiparesis. This is in line with our observations showing that overall rates and numbers per month of recanalization procedures (iv-tPA and MT), representing patients with acute severe stroke symptoms, were unchanged in March/April 2020 compared to the previous months, and we even observed a trend toward a relative increase in percentages of recanalization procedures. Thus, we hypothesize that the absolute number of strokes is not falling during the COVID-pandemic, but patients are rather afraid of seeking hospitals and instead stay home in case of mild or transient stroke symptoms. Our hypothesis is strengthened by the fact that we observed unchanged rates of hemorrhagic stroke during the pandemic, which are usually accompanied by a more severe disability compared to ischemic strokes. This is further underlined by a retrospective analysis from Brazil also demonstrating unchanged rates of hemorrhagic stroke during the pandemic compared to pre-pandemic numbers and reporting an unchanged rate of severe strokes (6). In line with this, a multicenter analysis from Northern California reports decreased stroke rates in the early pandemic and even more severe strokes and large vessel occlusions (4).

These results underline the necessity of increasing the awareness of cerebrovascular events, in particular those with mild or transient symptoms, in order to allow for sufficient stroke unit work-up, thereby decreasing the already high rates of cerebral re-ischemia by detection of stroke etiology and consecutive prescription of secondary preventive medication (14).

The current study harbors the limitations of a retrospective, single-center experience. Moreover, a potential selection bias could exist, due to the fact that we solely included patients admitted to the neurology department stroke unit and thereby might potentially have overlooked cases, e.g., subarachnoid hemorrhages treated within the neurosurgery intensive care unit.

The overall unchanged rate of recanalization procedures in patients presenting with ischemic stroke reflects sufficient healthcare resources in a German primary stroke center during the COVID-19 pandemic. Due to a reduction in patients with minor and transient stroke symptoms, patients should be widely informed about typical stroke symptoms and encouraged not to stay at home even in exceptional situations such as a "lockdown" or a fear of in-hospital COVID-19 infection.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TU contributed to conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. SG contributed to interpretation of data and substantively revised the manuscript. EH substantively revised the manuscript. FS contributed to interpretation of data, drafted the manuscript, and substantively revised it. KG contributed to conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### FUNDING

This work was supported by the Else Kröner-Fresenius Stiftung (2018\_EKMS.21) to TU.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thanked Dr. Cheryl Ernest for proofread and edited the manuscript.

# REFERENCES

- Morfeld P, Erren CT. Deaths in nine regions of Italy in February/March 2020: "Mortality Excess Loupe" for SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19-Epidemiology in Germany. Gesundheitswesen. (2020) 82:400–6. doi: 10.1055/a-1160-5859
- Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next? Lancet. (2020) 395:1225–8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30627-9
- 3. Morelli N, Rota E, Terracciano C, Immovilli P, Spallazzi M, Colombi D, et al. The baffling case of ischemic stroke disappearance from the casualty department in the COVID-19 era. *Eur Neurol.* (2020) 83:213–5. doi: 10.1159/000507666
- Nguyen-Huynh MN, Tang NX, Vinson RD, Flint CA, Alexander GJ, Meighan M, et al. Acute stroke presentation, care, and outcomes in community hospitals in Northern California during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Stroke.* (2020). doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.031099. [Epub ahead of print]
- Bres Bullrich M, Fridman S, Mandzia LJ, Mai ML, Khaw A, Vargas Gonzalez JC, et al. COVID-19: stroke admissions, emergency department visits, and prevention clinic referrals. *Can J Neurol Sci.* (2020) 47:693–6. doi: 10.1017/cjn.2020.101
- Diegoli H, Magalhaes CSP, Martins OCS, Moro CHC, Franca CHP, Safanelli J, et al. Decrease in hospital admissions for transient ischemic attack, mild, and moderate stroke during the COVID-19 era. *Stroke*. (2020) 51:2315–21. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.030481
- Gemeindeverzeichnis. Available online at: https://www.statistikportal.de/de/ produkte/gemeindeverzeichnis (accessed August 18, 2020).
- RKI. Neuartiges Coronavirus Fallzahlen. Available online at: https://www.rki. de/DE/Content/InfAZ/N/Neuartiges\_Coronavirus/Fallzahlen.html (accessed August 18, 2020).
- Huang FJ, Greenway FRM, Nasr MD, Chukwudelunzu EF, Sr., Demaerschalk MB, O'Carroll CB, et al. Telestroke in the time of COVID-19: the Mayo Clinic experience. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2020) 95:1704–8. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.007
- Rosenbaum L. The untold toll the pandemic's effects on patients without Covid-19. New Engl J Med. (2020) 382:2368–71. doi: 10.1056/NEJMms2009984

- Kansagra PA, Goyal SM, Hamilton S, Albers WG. Collateral effect of Covid-19 on stroke evaluation in the United States. *New Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:400–1. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2014816
- De Filippo, D'Ascenzo F, Angelini F, Bocchino PP, Conrotto F, Saglietto A, et al. Reduced rate of hospital admissions for ACS during Covid-19 outbreak in Northern Italy. *New Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:88–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2009166
- Solomon DM, McNulty JE, Rana SJ, Leong KT, Lee C, Sung HS, et al. The Covid-19 pandemic and the incidence of acute myocardial infarction. *New Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:691–3. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2015630
- 14. Rothwell MP, Giles FM, Chandratheva A, Marquardt L, Geraghty O, Redgrave NJ, et al. Early use of Existing Preventive Strategies for Stroke, Effect of urgent treatment of transient ischaemic attack and minor stroke on early recurrent stroke (EXPRESS study): a prospective population-based sequential comparison. *Lancet.* (2007) 370:1432–42. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61448-2

**Conflict of Interest:** TU reports personal fees from Merck Serono and Pfizer, outside the submitted work. KG reports personal fees and non-financial support from Bayer AG, personal fees and non-financial support from Bristol-Myers Squibb, personal fees and non-financial support from Daiichi Sankyo, personal fees and non-financial support from Daiichi Sankyo, personal fees and non-financial support from Pfizer, outside the submitted work.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Uphaus, Gröschel, Hayani, Hahn, Steffen and Gröschel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.