Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gianluca Coppola, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

REVIEWED BY Nizhuan Wang, ShanghaiTech University, China Francesco Casillo, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Xu Zhang ⊠ xuzhang19@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 16 March 2023 ACCEPTED 25 August 2023 PUBLISHED 15 September 2023

CITATION

Pang Y, Cao T, Zhang Q, Hu H, Wang Z, Nie J, Jin M, Chen G and Zhang X (2023) Retinal microvasculature features in patients with migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1187559. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1187559

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Pang, Cao, Zhang, Hu, Wang, Nie, Jin, Chen and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Retinal microvasculature features in patients with migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Yulian Pang^{1†}, Ting Cao^{1,2,3†}, Qinglin Zhang⁴, Haijian Hu¹, Zhiruo Wang¹, Jiahe Nie¹, Ming Jin¹, Guiping Chen¹ and Xu Zhang^{1*}

¹Affiliated Eye Hospital of Nanchang University, Jiangxi Clinical Research Center of Ophthalmic Disease, Jiangxi Provincial Key Laboratory for Ophthalmology, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China, ²Department of Orthopaedics, The Fourth Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China, ³Medical School of Chinese PLA, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Huangshi Central Hospital, Edong Healthcare Group, Affiliated Hospital of Hubei Polytechnic University, Huangshi, Hubei, China

Background: Migraine is a central nervous system disorder involving neuronal and vascular factors. The brain has a close anatomical relationship with retinal vessels and similar regulatory processes, and the retinal vascular system is the only *in vivo* vessel that can be directly visualized, while optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) is an advanced retinal vascular imaging technique. In this study, OCTA was used to study the retinal vascular density (VD) and foveal avascular zone (FAZ) in migraine patients, which provided a theoretical basis for its use as a candidate for rapid and non-invasive diagnosis of migraine.

Methods: Published studies comparing retinal microvascular profiles between migraine patients and healthy controls were obtained by a comprehensive search of electronic databases. Nine studies were finally included, including 775 eyes (migraine group: 444 eyes, control group: 331 eyes). Pooled effect sizes were presented as standardized mean differences (SMDs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls). Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager software (version 5.30).

Results: The combined results revealed that the superficial and deep macular whole enface VD (MWEVD) (superficial VD: SMD = -0.30, P = 0.0001; deep VD: SMD = -0.61, P = 0.02), superficial foveal VD (FVD) (SMD = -0.42, P = 0.03), deep parafoveal VD (PFVD) (SMD = -0.31, P = 0.002), and peripapillary VD (PVD) (SMD = -0.49, P = 0.002) were significantly reduced in migraine patients compared with healthy people. However, there was a significant increase in the area of the FAZ in migraine patients (SMD = 0.56, P < 0.0001).

Conclusion: Migraine patients are prone to retinal microcirculation disorders, such as decreased blood vessel density and increased avascular area in the fovea. This provides a theoretical basis for OCTA as a candidate for rapid, non-invasive diagnosis of migraine.

KEYWORDS

migraine, optical coherence tomography angiography, retinal microvasculature, vascular density, meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Migraine is a common type of primary headache and an important cause of disability, placing a heavy burden on society and family (1). Its diagnostic criteria refer to the International Classification of Headache (ICHD-3. org/1-migraine) (2). Migraine attacks often go through prodromal, aura, headache, and postsymptomatic periods, with complex and comprehensive symptoms and neurological problems detected at each stage (3). Aura symptoms, which almost always appear before the headache and last for several hours to several days in one-third of migraine sufferers, include visual, sensory, language, or brain stem disorders (4). Cortical spreading depression (CSD) is thought to be the cause of migraine aura (5), characterized by changes in cortical potentials and fluctuations of blood flow (1). CSD leads to sodium, calcium, and water influx, with potassium, protons, glutamate, and ATP flowing from the cells and diffusing interactively between adjacent cells, ultimately activating meningeal nociceptors and the perivascular trigeminal nerve (6). Another view of the trigger pattern of migraine without aura suggests that the hypothalamus, as a possible source of migraine attacks, can be activated by stress, sleep deprivation, and mood changes and transmit these parasympathetic signals to the superior salivary nucleus (SSN), and signals from the SSN activate postganglionic parasympathetic neurons in the sphenopalatine ganglion (SPG) projecting to the meninges to activate meningeal nociceptors and trigeminal vascular pathways (7). The so-called trigeminal vascular pathway refers to the brain with an abundant noxious nerve fiber plexus originating from the trigeminal ganglion and innervating intracranial structures, including the leptomeninges, arachnoid and dural vessels, and cerebral arteries. The axon terminals of these nociceptive nerve fibers contain vasoactive neuropeptides, including calcitonin gene-related peptide, and substance P, which are released after stimulation by signals of CSD or hypothalamic origin, causing vasodilation of the dura mater and leptomeninges, as well as the release of inflammatory cytokines (8). Furthermore, these injury signals are transmitted through afferent nerves in the peripheral trigeminal ganglion, converging on neurons in the trigeminal cervical complex (TCC) after trigeminal ganglion exchange, and ascending connections in the TCC transmit signals to the brainstem, thalamus, hypothalamus, and basal ganglia. Multiple cortical regions process inputs from the TCC, resulting in the development of migraine and phenotypic expression of other associated symptoms (9, 10).

A large body of data suggests an association between migraine and vascular disease. Migraine with aura is an independent risk factor for overall vascular event recurrence and ischemic stroke recurrence in young ischemic stroke (11), and patients with migraine appear to have an increased risk of transient intracerebral hemorrhage (TIA) attacks (12). A recent population-based cohort study showed that migraine aura increases the risk of death from coronary artery disease (13). In addition, some studies have also found that migraine is associated with vascular mortality (14) as well as vascular diseases at other sites (lower limbs, and eyes) (15, 16), such as Raynaud's syndrome, retinal artery occlusion, and glaucoma. Associated mechanisms may rely on vascular susceptibility unique to migraine patients, which may contribute to the pathogenesis of migraine and, over time, may also contribute to the development of other vascular diseases (17).

In embryology, the retina is an extension of the cortex, and their angiogenesis patterns are similar during development (18). Therefore, there is a close anatomical relationship and similar regulatory processes between the blood vessels of the brain and retina (19). With the continued development of retinal vascular imaging techniques, there is increasing interest in using optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) to explore the potential retinal involvement of cerebrovascular changes in migraine. OCTA is a technique that uses the movement of red blood cells to detect blood flow in the retinal capillaries and has the advantages of being rapid, non-invasive, and high-resolution in comparison to traditional fundus fluorescence angiography (FFA) (20, 21). Some studies using OCTA have shown increased foveal avascular zone (FAZ) and decreased parafoveal vascular density (PFVD) and peripapillary vascular density (PVD) in migraine patients with aura compared to healthy controls (22, 23). Other studies have found no difference in macular vascular density (VD) in migraine patients with or without aura compared to controls (24). VD was expressed as the area or length of vessels with blood flow in the retina as a percentage of the total measured area. Software will automatically partition and calculate foveal VD (FVD) and PFVD. The division of superficial VD and deep VD varies slightly by software, but in general the superficial retinal capillary network is transversely arranged and forms an interconnected vascular network between the nutrient arterioles and draining venules, which is usually what we can see on conventional FFA (25). The deep capillary network is composed of polygonal units, in which the capillaries radially converge toward the central vortex capillaries and then drain to the superficial venules through the interconnected venules in the vertical direction. The traditional FFA cannot see this layer of the vascular network, but OCTA can show (26). In addition, PVD can assess ischemia and its potential role in glaucoma, and precise details of these capillaries can be seen on OCTA but not on conventional FFA, which is a clear advantage of OCTA over conventional imaging modalities (27). The FAZ refers to the area surrounded by the continuous capillary plexus of the retina without any capillary structure itself, and its morphology and changes in peripheral capillary density reflect the degree of macular ischemia and are commonly used indicators for OCTA to evaluate the severity and progression of angioretinopathy (28). The software automatically calculated the area by clicking on the center of the FAZ. Given these inconsistent results, it is necessary to conduct additional meta-analyses of published studies. Moreover, no meta-analysis has systematically evaluated retinal microvascular characteristics associated with migraine. Therefore, we carried out this study to systematically evaluate the retinal microvascular system in patients with migraine, so as to provide a theoretical basis for OCTA as a candidate for rapid, non-invasive diagnosis of migraine.

2. Methods

2.1. Databases and search strategy

Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Preferred Analyses (PRISMA) were strictly followed in this study (29). Two researchers (Y-LP and TC) searched PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, and the Web of Science (from inception to 15 January 2023) and selected the research that may be related to this topic. We used "OCTA" and "Migraine" as Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) to develop a search strategy and search for relevant studies. The entire search strategy is shown in Supplementary Table 1. The meta-analysis was registered at PROSPERO (CRD42022338486).

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) to investigate the characteristics of retinal microvessels in migraine patients; (2) studies using OCTA to detect retinal microvessels; (3) in accordance with the International Classification of Headache (ICHD-3. org/1-migraine) criteria for migraine diagnosis; (4) cross-sectional studies in adults >18 years of age; (5) age-matched healthy people as a control group; (6) OCTA data are provided for superficial and deep panmacular VD (MWEVD), superficial and deep foveal VD (FVD), superficial and deep PFVD, FAZ, and PVD. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) any study containing ocular diseases, refractive errors >3.00, and a history of ocular surgery; (2) any study containing neurological disorders other than migraine; (3) any study containing systemic diseases other than migraine and regular medication; (4) duplicate studies retrieved from various databases; (5) study design is a study of case reports, letters, comments, and reviews; (6) studies in animals or children; (7) no studies with extractable data.

2.3. Data extraction and transformation

Two authors (YP and TC) extracted data from the selected studies: first author's name, publication year, country, research type, average age, sample size, OCTA brand, main results, and migraine diagnostic criteria. We transformed the data in quartile form into mean \pm SD form according to Luo et al. (30) and Wan et al. (31). Data for the migraine with aura and migraine without aura subgroups were combined in reference to the study by Zhang et al. (32) with the following formula: Set the sample size of subgroup A as N1, mean as M1 and standard deviation as SD1; if the sample size of subgroup B is N2, mean as M2 and standard deviation as SD2, the combined sample size N = N1 + N2, M = (N1M1 + N2M2)/(N1 + N2), SD = $\sqrt{[(N_1-1)SD_1^2 + (N_2-1)SD_2^2 + N_1N_2/(N_1 + N_2) (M_1^2 + M_2^2 - 2M_1M_2)/(N_1 + N_2-1)]}$.

2.4. Quality evaluation

We referred to the Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) adapted for cross-sectional studies, in order to assess the quality of all the included studies in the meta-analysis (33). It was deemed that studies with a total score of 5 or above were of higher quality (34).

2.5. Data analysis

Data analysis was done using Review Manager (RevMan) software (version 5.30) (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SDs), and in view of the differences between the OCTA device and the data analysis system used for inclusion in the study, to eliminate the influence of absolute value size and unit of measure on the results, we used a standardized mean difference (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) to assess the pooled effect size. Sample means and standard deviations were calculated as before (35). Chi² and I² tests were used to assess heterogeneity across studies. A total of 25%, 50%, and 75% of I² values were considered mild, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. Fixed effects models were used if there was no significant heterogeneity between studies; otherwise, random effects models were used. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant in the study.

3. Results

3.1. Search characteristics

The literature screening process is presented in Figure 1. A total of 196 potentially relevant articles were retrieved across all databases. After 47 duplicates were removed, and 138 records were excluded for titles and abstracts. Among the remaining 11 articles, two were further excluded for lack of conference abstract and extractable data. Eventually, nine studies matched the inclusion and exclusion criteria for quantitative meta-analysis (22–24, 36–41), with a total of 775 eyes (migraine group: 444 eyes, control group: 331 eyes). The PRISMA flow diagram is presented in Figure 1. The basic characteristics of the study are shown in Table 1, the quality evaluation is shown in Table 2, and the scores are all above 5.

3.2. The superficial and deep MWEVD between migraine and controls

A total of 8 studies, including 699 eyes (migraine group: 406 eyes, control group: 293 eyes), reported superficial MWEVD. Metaanalysis showed that the combined SMD was -0.30 (95% CI: -0.46to -0.15, P = 0.0001; Figure 2A), indicating that migraine patients had significantly lower superficial MWEVD than controls, and the included studies had high heterogeneity (Chi² = 48.74, P <0.00001, $I^2 = 86\%$; Figure 2A). The deep MWEVD was reported in 7 studies, including 613 eyes (migraine group: 352 eyes, control group: 261 eyes). Meta-analysis showed that the combined SMD was -0.61 (95% CI: -1.15 to -0.08, P = 0.02; Figure 3A), showing that migraine also had significantly lower deep MWEVD than controls. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 58.86, P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 90\%$; Figure 3A). According to the analysis, the higher heterogeneity came from the study by Taşlí et al. (40). and may be due to the fact that the OCTA equipment (Nidek) used in this study was different from several other studies. However, due to the small number of included studies, we could not perform subgroup analyses for different instruments.



Subgroup analyses were performed for superficial and deep MWEVD across migraine subtypes. Six studies, including 375 eyes (migraine group: 173 eyes, control group: 202 eyes), reported superficial MWEVD in migraine with aura and controls. The pooled SMD was -0.22 (95% CI: -0.60 to 0.16, P = 0.26; Figure 2B), showing no significant change in the superficial MWEVD between the two groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi 2 = 15.79, P = 0.007, I^2 = 68%; Figure 2B). Five studies, including 320 eyes (migraine group: 150 eyes, control group: 170 eyes), reported deep MWEVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD in both groups was -0.49 (95% CI: -0.88 to -0.09, P = 0.02; Figure 3B), which showed a significant reduction in the deep MWEVD in migraine with the aura group compared to the control group, which also showed moderate heterogeneity in the included studies (Chi² = 11.25, P = 0.02, $I^2 = 64\%$; Figure 3B). Six studies, including 410 eyes (migraine group: 203 eyes, control group: 207 eyes), reported superficial MWEVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.31 (95% CI: -0.93 to 0.31, P = 0.33; Figure 2B), showing no significant change in the superficial MWEVD between the two groups. The included studies were highly heterogeneous (Chi² = 44.34, P <0.00001, $I^2 = 89\%$; Figure 2B). Five studies, including 347 eyes (migraine group: 172 eyes, control group: 175 eyes), reported deep MWEVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD in both groups was -0.64 (95% CI: -1.48 to 0.21, P = 0.14; Figure 3B), showing no significant change in the deep MWEVD between the two groups. The included studies were highly heterogeneous (Chi² = 51.90, P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 92\%$; Figure 3B).

3.3. The superficial and deep FVD between migraine and controls

A total of 7 studies, including 590 eyes (migraine group: 340 eyes, control group: 250 eyes), reported superficial FVD. Metaanalysis showed that the combined SMD of the migraine and control groups was -0.42 (95% CI: -0.80 to -0.05, P = 0.03; Figure 4A), indicating that migraine patients had significantly lower superficial FVD than controls, and the included studies had high heterogeneity (Chi² = 28.82, P < 0.0001, $I^2 = 79\%$; Figure 4A). The deep FVD was reported in 6 studies, including 504 eyes (migraine group: 286 eyes, control group: 218 eyes). Meta-analysis showed that the combined SMD of migraine and control groups was -0.12 (95% CI: -0.43 to -0.20, P = 0.47; Figure 5A), showing no significant change in the deep FVD between the two groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 14.84, P = 0.01, $I^2 = 66\%$; Figure 5A).

Subgroup analyses were performed for the superficial and deep FVD across migraine subtypes. Six studies, including 375 eyes (migraine group: 173 eyes, control group: 202 eyes), reported superficial FVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD in both groups was -1.00 (95% CI: -1.79 to -0.21, P = 0.01; Figure 4B) showed a significant reduction in the superficial FVD in the migraine with aura group compared to the control group. The included studies were highly heterogeneous (Chi² = 58.88, P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 92\%$; Figure 4B). Five studies, including 320 eyes (migraine group: 150 eyes, control group: 170 eyes), reported deep FVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD in both groups was -0.40 (95% CI: -0.70 to -0.09, P = 0.01; Figure 5B), which showed a significant reduction in the deep FVD

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies.

References	Country	Design	Age (years)	Number	OCTA device	Scan size (mm²)	Primary outcomes	Diagnostic criteria
Bingöl et al. (36)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWA:32.1; MWOA:30.4; Control:32.3	MWA:17; MWOA:16; Control:28	Optovue	6 \times 6 in macular, 4.5 \times 4.5 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; superficial and deep PFVD;FAZ; PVD	ICHD
Chang et al. (22)	United States	Cross-sectional study	MWA:42; MWOA:46; Control:39	MWA:15; MWOA:12; Control:22	Optovue	3×3 in macular; 3×3 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; FAZ; PVD	ICHD
Güler et al. (37)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWOA:34.23 ± 11.4; Control:34.63 ± 9.40	MWOA:52; Control:48	Optovue	6×6 in macular; 6×6 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; superficial and deep PFVD	ICHD
Hamamci et al. (23)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWA:33.93 ± 6.42; MWOA:32.23 ± 7.48; Control:34.07 ± 6.52	MWVA:30, MWOVA:30, Control:30	Optovue	6×6 in macular, 4.5×4.5 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; superficial and deep PFVD; FAZ; PVD	ICHD
Hamurcu et al. (38)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWA:37.2 \pm 12.8; Control:36.9 \pm 11.5	MWA:38; Control:38	Optovue	3×3 in macular	FAZ	ICHD
He et al. (39)	China	Cross-sectional study	MWA:40.4 ± 16.2; MWOA:39.3 ± 9.5; Control:38.2 ± 11.6	MWA:23; MWOA:31; Control:32	Zeiss	6×6 in macular; 6×6 in ONH	Superficial MWEVD; superficial FVD; superficial PFVD; FAZ	ICHD
Karahan et al. (24)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWA:35.16 ± 8.4; Control:33.8 ± 6.7	MWA:60; Controls:56	Optovue	3 \times 3 in macular; 4.5 \times 4.5 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; superficial and deep PFVD; FAZ; PVD	ICHD
Tasli et al. (40)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWOA:38.00 ± 7.66; Control:36.88 ± 8.23	MWOA:66, Control:43	Nidek	3×3 in macular; 2.4×4 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; FAZ	ICHD
Ulusoy et al. (41)	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	MWA:40.8 ± 12.5; MWOA:38.6 ± 13.5 Control:37.1 ± 11.1	MWA:28, MWOA:26, Control:34	Optovue	6×6 in macular; 6×6 in ONH	Superficial and deep MWEVD; superficial and deep FVD; superficial and deep PFVD; FAZ; PVD	ICHD

MWA, migraine with aura; MWOA, migraine without aura; ONH, optic nerve head; VD, vessel density; MWEVD, macular whole enface VD; FVD, foveal VD; PFVD, parafoveal VD; PVD, peripapillary VD; FAZ, Foveal avascular zone; ICHD, International Classification of Headache Disorders.

TABLE 2 NOS quality assessment for the included studies.

Methodological item for non-randomized studies (No. 1–8)	Bingöl et al. (11)	Chang et al. (22)	Güler et al. (37)	Hamamci et al. (23)	Hamurcu et al. (33)	He et al. (39)	Karahan et al. (24)	Tasli et al. (40)	Ulusoy et al. (📢)
1. Is the case definition adequate?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2. Representativeness of the cases	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3. Selection of controls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Definition of controls	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5. Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
6. Ascertainment of exposure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
7. Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
8. Non-response rate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total score	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6

NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa scale. The selection area included Nos. 1–4, which was up to one score in one question. The comparability area included No. 5, which was up to 2 scores in the question. The exposure area included Nos. 6–8, which was up to one score in one question. The total score was 9.



in migraine with aura group compared to the control group, which also showed moderate heterogeneity in the included studies (Chi² = 8.85, P = 0.14, $I^2 = 42\%$; Figure 5B). Five studies, including 301 eyes (migraine group: 137 eyes, control group: 164 eyes), reported superficial FVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.32 (95% CI: -0.70 to 0.06, P =0.10; Figure 4B), showing no significant change in the superficial FVD between the two groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 9.95, P = 0.04, $I^2 = 60\%$; Figure 4B). Four studies, including 238 eyes (migraine group: 106 eyes, control group: 132 eyes), reported deep FVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was 0.34 (95% CI: -0.33 to 1.02, P = 0.32; Figure 5B), showing no significant change in the deep FVD between the two groups. The included studies were highly heterogeneous (Chi² = 17.61, P = 0.0005, $I^2 = 83\%$; Figure 5B).

3.4. The superficial and deep PFVD between migraine and controls

A total of six studies, including 541 eyes (migraine group: 313 eyes, control group: 228 eyes), reported superficial PFVD. Meta-analysis showed that the combined SMD of the migraine and control groups was -0.17 (95% CI: -0.36 to 0.02, P = 0.09; Figure 6A), showing no significant change in the superficial PFVD between the two groups, and the included studies had low heterogeneity (Chi² = 6.20, P = 0.29, $I^2 = 19\%$; Figure 6A). Deep PFVD was reported in five studies, including 455 eyes (migraine group: 259 eyes, control group: 196 eyes). Meta-analysis showed that the combined SMD of migraine and control groups was -0.31 (95% CI: -0.50 to -0.12, P = 0.002; Figure 7A), indicating that migraine patients had significantly lower deep PFVD than controls,



between migraine with/without aura and control groups

with low heterogeneity in the included studies (Chi² = 4.12, P = 0.39, $I^2 = 3\%$; Figure 7A).

Subgroup analyses were performed for the superficial and deep PFVD across migraine subtypes. Five studies, including 338 eyes (migraine group: 158 eyes, control group: 180 eyes), reported the superficial PFVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.12 (95% CI: -0.45 to 0.21, P = 0.48; Figure 6B), showing no significant change in the superficial PFVD between the two groups. The included studies were moderately heterogeneous (Chi² = 8.68, P = 0.07, $I^2 = 54\%$; Figure 6B). Four studies, including 283 eyes (migraine group: 135 eyes, control group: 148 eyes), reported deep PFVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.37 (95% CI: -0.62 to -0.11, P = 0.004; Figure 7B), which showed a significant reduction in deep PFVD in migraine with aura group compared to the control group, which also presented low heterogeneity in the included studies (Chi² = 3.36, P = 0.34, $I^2 = 11\%$; Figure 7B). Four studies, including 267 eyes (migraine group: 125 eyes, control group: 142 eyes), reported the superficial PFVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.05 (95% CI: -0.37 to 0.28, P = 0.77; Figure 6B) showing no significant change in the superficial PFVD between the two groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 5.18, P = 0.16, $I^2 = 42\%$; Figure 6B). Three studies, including 204 eyes (migraine group: 94 eyes, control group: 110 eyes), reported deep PFVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.20 (95% CI: -0.48 to 0.08, P = 0.16; Figure 7B) showing no significant change in the deep PFVD between the two groups. Studies included were not heterogeneous (Chi² = 1.18, P = 0.55, $I^2 = 0\%$; Figure 7B).

3.5. The PVD between migraine and controls

Five studies, including 404 eyes (migraine group: 234 eyes, control group: 170 eyes), reported the PVD. Combined SMD in migraine and controls was -0.49 (95% CI: -0.81 to -0.18, P = 0.002; Figure 8A), showing significantly lower PVD in migraine

Bingöl 2020 Chang 2017 Güler 2020 Hamamci 2021 He 2022 Karahan 2021	8.47 30.09 23.02 16.18 30.93	SD 19.81 0.84 6.32 9.4 5.82	33 27 52	Mean 28.34 9.4 29.29		28	Weight 13.7%		IV. Random, 95% Cl
Chang 2017 Güler 2020 Hamamci 2021 He 2022 Karahan 2021 Ulusoy 2019	8.47 30.09 23.02 16.18 30.93	0.84 6.32 9.4	27 52	9.4			13.7%		
Güler 2020 Hamamci 2021 He 2022 Karahan 2021 Ulusoy 2019	30.09 23.02 16.18 30.93	6.32 9.4	52		0.21			-0.26 [-0.77, 0.24]	
Hamamci 2021 He 2022 Karahan 2021 Ulusoy 2019	23.02 16.18 30.93	9.4		29.29		22	12.0%	-1.43 [-2.06, -0.79]	
He 2022 Karahan 2021 Ulusoy 2019	16.18 30.93		60		5.23	48	15.2%	0.14 [-0.26, 0.53]	
Karahan 2021 Ulusoy 2019	30.93	5 82		27.87	9.61	30	14.5%	-0.51 [-0.95, -0.06]	
Ulusoy 2019			54		6.2	32	14.4%	-0.83 [-1.29, -0.38]	
		5.46		30.21		56	15.6%	0.13 [-0.23, 0.50]	
Total (95% CI)	20.63	6.65	54	24.2	9.6	34	14.7%	-0.45 [-0.88, -0.01]	
			340			250	100.0%	-0.42 [-0.80, -0.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	.20; Ch	ni² = 28.8	82, df =	= 6 (P <	0.0001)	; l ² = 7	9%	-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.23	(P = 0.0)	03)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
	M	igraine		c	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	•	Total	Mean	SD	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
3.2.1 Migraine with aur									
-	20.39	9.46	17	28.34	37.42	28	9.2%	-0.26 [-0.86, 0.35]	
Chang 2017	7.8	0.31	15	9.4		22	4.4%	-6.14 [-7.77, -4.52]	
•	21.79	9.34		27.87	9.61	30	9.6%	-0.63 [-1.15, -0.11]	
He 2022	16.7	6.2	23		6.2	32	9.5%	-0.72 [-1.27, -0.16]	
	30.93	5.46		30.21		56	10.4%	0.13 [-0.23, 0.50]	+
Ulusoy 2019	20.2	6.7	28	24.2	9.6	34	9.7%	-0.47 [-0.98, 0.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		•	173			202	52.7%	-1.00 [-1.79, -0.21]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	.84: Ch	ni² = 58.8	88. df =	= 5 (P <	0.0000	1); ² = 9	92%		
Test for overall effect: Z				- (
3.2.2 Migraine without	aura								
Bingöl 2020	20.61	27.23	16	28.34	37.42	28	9.1%	-0.22 [-0.84, 0.39]	-+
Chang 2017	9.3	0.44	12	9.4	0.21	22	8.6%	-0.32 [-1.02, 0.39]	
Güler 2020	30.09	6.32	52	29.29	5.23	48	10.2%	0.14 [-0.26, 0.53]	+
He 2022	15.8	5.6	31	21.2	6.2	32	9.6%	-0.90 [-1.42, -0.38]	
Ulusoy 2019	21.1	6.7	26	24.2	9.6	34	9.7%	-0.36 [-0.88, 0.15]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			137			164	47.3%	-0.32 [-0.70, 0.06]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	-			4 (P = 0	.04); l²	= 60%			
	- 1.00	(i = 0.	10)						
Total (95% Cl)			310				100.0%	-0.61 [-1.04, -0.17]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.				= 10 (P ·	< 0.000	01); l² =	86%	-	-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect: Z									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup differe	ences:	Chi ² = 2	.32. df	= 1 (P :	= 0.13).	l ² = 57.	.0%		ft.
RE 4									

patients than in controls. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate ($Chi^2 = 9.25$, P = 0.06, $I^2 = 57\%$; Figure 8A).

Subgroup analyses were performed for the PVD across migraine subtypes. Five studies, including 320 eyes (migraine group: 150 eyes, control group: 170 eyes), reported PVD in migraine with aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.96 (95% CI: -1.71 to -0.20, P = 0.01; Figure 8B), showing a significant reduction in PVD in migraine with aura group compared to the control group. The heterogeneity of the included studies was high (Chi² = 37.38, P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 89\%$; Figure 8B). Three studies, including 138 eyes (migraine group: 54 eyes, control group: 84 eyes), reported PVD in migraine without aura and controls. The combined SMD was -0.13 (95% CI: -0.81 to 0.55, P = 0.71; Figure 8B), showing no significant change in the PVD between the two groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was high (Chi² = 7.29, P = 0.03, $I^2 = 73\%$; Figure 8B).

3.6. The FAZ analysis between migraine and controls

A total of eight studies reported FAZ area, of which studies by Hamurcu et al. and Ulusoy et al. did not address the specific site of FAZ measurement (superficial or deep), and studies by Chang et al. and Hamamci et al. used a single combined quantitative measurement based on the PR-OCTA algorithm. The studies by Karahan et al., Bingöl et al., He et al., and Taslí et al. used shallow and deep measurements. Given the limited number of studies overall, if meta-analysis according to the measurement method classification was of little significance, we finally decided to include eight studies, including 675 eyes (migraine group: 392 eyes, control group: 283 eyes) for the analysis of combined FAZ. The results showed that the combined SMD was 0.56 (95% CI: 0.28 to 0.83, *P* < 0.0001; Figure 9A), indicating that the FAZ area was significantly larger in migraine patients than in controls. The heterogeneity of

		igraine			Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean			Mean			Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bingöl 2020		24.64		34.92		28	15.3%	0.02 [-0.49, 0.52]	
Chang 2017	8.3	0.63	27	8.1	0.3	22	13.8%	0.39 [-0.18, 0.95]	
Güler 2020	31.86	7.14	52		6.5	48	18.1%	0.26 [-0.14, 0.65]	
Hamamci 2021	33.68	9.41		39.51		30	16.8%	-0.59 [-1.04, -0.14]	
Karahan 2021	29.12	6.09		29.94	6.43	56	18.9%	-0.13 [-0.49, 0.23]	
Ulusoy 2019	37.09	7.25	54	41.5	8.8	34	17.0%	-0.55 [-0.99, -0.12]	
Total (95% Cl)			286			218	100.0%	-0.12 [-0.43, 0.20]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Ch	ni² = 14.4	84, df =	= 5 (P =	0.01); F	² = 66%	6	-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.72	(P = 0.4	47)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
	M	Igraine		0	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
4.2.1 Migraine with a	ura								
Bingöl 2020	35.85	25.46	17	34.92	24.76	28	10.7%	0.04 [-0.57, 0.64]	
Chang 2017	7.9	0.34	15	8.1	0.3	22	10.0%	-0.62 [-1.29, 0.05]	
Hamamci 2021	32.85	9.48	30	39.51	10.59	30	11.5%	-0.65 [-1.17, -0.13]	
Karahan 2021	29.12	6.09	60	29.94	6.43	56	13.0%	-0.13 [-0.49, 0.23]	
Ulusoy 2019	35.6	7.1	28	41.5	8.8	34	11.5%	-0.72 [-1.24, -0.20]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			150			170	56.6%	-0.40 [-0.70, -0.09]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				4 (P = 0).14); l²	= 42%			
4.2.2 Migraine witho	ut aura								
Bingöl 2020	34.79	24.55	16	34.92	24.76	28	10.5%	-0.01 [-0.62, 0.61]	
Chang 2017	8.8	0.54	12	8.1	0.3	22	8.5%	1.71 [0.89, 2.54]	
Güler 2020	31.86	7.14	52	30.1	6.5	48	12.7%	0.26 [-0.14, 0.65]	+
Ulusoy 2019	38.7	7.2	26	41.5	8.8	34	11.6%	-0.34 [-0.85, 0.18]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)			106			132	43.4%	0.34 [-0.33, 1.02]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				: 3 (P =	0.0005); ² = 8	3%		
Total (95% CI)			256			302	100.0%	-0.09 [-0.46, 0.27]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.24: CH	ni² = 34 i		: 8 (P <	0.0001				
Test for overall effect:									-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for subaroup diffe		•		= 1 (P :	= 0.05)	² = 73	.8%		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
IRE 5									

the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 20.76, P = 0.004, $I^2 = 66\%$; Figure 9A).

Subgroup analyses were performed for FAZ across migraine subtypes. Seven studies, including 451 eyes (migraine group: 211 eyes, control group: 240 eyes), reported FAZ area in migraine with aura and control groups, with a combined SMD of 0.54 (95% CI: 0.15 to 0.92, P = 0.006; Figure 9B), indicating a significantly larger FAZ area in migraine with aura than in controls. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 23.00, P = 0.0008, $I^2 = 74\%$; Figure 9B). Five studies, including 281 eyes (migraine group: 122 eyes, control group: 159 eyes), reported FAZ area in migraine without aura and controls, with a combined SMD of 0.43 (95% CI: 0.05 to 0.81, P = 0.03; Figure 9B) showing a significantly larger FAZ area in migraine without aura than in controls. The heterogeneity of the included studies was moderate (Chi² = 9.51, P = 0.05, $I^2 = 58\%$; Figure 9B).

4. Discussion

Migraine is a complex, multifactorial neurological disorder whose main clinical symptom is unilateral pulsatile headache with photosensitivity and sound sensitivity (42). Migraine patients often seek help from ophthalmologists in the presence of visual aura and periocular pain, which has aroused interest and concern among researchers who try to explore the underlying mechanisms of this disease using technical means in the ophthalmology field to provide new insights into the early diagnosis and treatment of the disease (43, 44). OCTA is an exciting new imaging modality that uses motion contrast to provide non-invasive images of retinal and choroidal vascular structures and can provide detailed features beyond conventional imaging (45). Therefore, in this meta-analysis, we chose to include nine available studies that used OCTA to investigate retinal microvascular characteristics in migraine patients (migraine group: 444 eyes, control group: 331 eyes). The results of our analysis showed that patients with migraine presented significantly decreased superficial and deep MWEVD, superficial FVD, deep PFVD, and PVD, while the FAZ area was significantly increased compared to healthy controls. In addition, migraine patients with aura had significantly lower deep MWEVD, superficial and deep FVD, and deep PFVD than healthy controls, whereas migraine patients without aura did not differ significantly. These results lead us to further understand retinal microangiopathy in migraine patients and whether aura or not may be closely related

		igraine			Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup		SD				Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bingöl 2020		15.48		43.26		28	12.4%	0.31 [-0.19, 0.82]	
Güler 2020	53.92	4.54	52	55.25	2.76	48	18.7%	-0.35 [-0.74, 0.05]	
Hamamci 2021	51.79	7.47		53.76		30	15.7%	-0.26 [-0.70, 0.18]	
He 2022		10.08		41.77		32	15.9%	-0.05 [-0.49, 0.38]	
Karahan 2021	56.19	2.17		56.43		56	21.2%	-0.11 [-0.48, 0.25]	
Ulusoy 2019	52.92	3.9	54	54.6	3.8	34	16.1%	-0.43 [-0.87, 0.00]	
Total (95% CI)			313			228	100.0%	-0.17 [-0.36, 0.02]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =				5 (P = 0	.29); l ²	= 19%		-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.71	(P = 0.	09)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
		Igraine			Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
5.2.1 Migraine with a									
Bingöl 2020	51.73	9.7		43.26		28	8.6%	0.39 [-0.22, 1.00]	
Hamamci 2021	50.98	7.13		53.76		30	10.8%	-0.37 [-0.88, 0.14]	
He 2022		11.46		36.98		32	10.1%	0.21 [-0.33, 0.75]	
Karahan 2021	56.19	2.17		56.43		56	15.2%	-0.11 [-0.48, 0.25]	
Ulusoy 2019	52.1	4.2	28	54.6	3.8	34	10.7%	-0.62 [-1.13, -0.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			158			180	55.5%	-0.12 [-0.45, 0.21]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				4 (P = C	1.07); I*	= 54%			
5.2.2 Migraine withou	ıt aura								
Bingöl 2020	48.01	20.08	16	43.26	25.93	28	8.5%	0.19 [-0.42, 0.81]	
Güler 2020	53.92	4.54	52	55.25	2.76	48	14.1%	-0.35 [-0.74, 0.05]	
He 2022	41.77	9.09	31	36.98		32	11.1%	0.31 [-0.19, 0.81]	+
Ulusoy 2019	53.8	3.4	26	54.6	3.8	34	10.7%	-0.22 [-0.73, 0.29]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)			125			142	44.5%	-0.05 [-0.37, 0.28]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				3 (P = 0).16); l²	= 42%			
Total (95% CI)			283			322	100.0%	-0.09 [-0.31, 0.13]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Cł	ni² = 13.	97, df =	: 8 (P =	0.08); I	² = 43%	5	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.81	(P = 0.	42)						-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup diffe	rences:	Chi* = 0	1.09. df	= 1 (P)	= 0.76).	I* = 0%	•		
RE 6									
			Гакаа	h vala h fi				botwoon migrains and	control groups. (B) Forest plot for the superfici

to the degree of lesions, which will provide new thinking and opportunities for the observation and research of migraine.

Migraine is a nervous system disease involving neuronal and vascular mechanisms (46). Although the exact mechanism remains uncertain, the trigeminovascular system is undeniably critical, and evidence supports CSD as a potential physiological process contributing to trigeminal activation in migraine (47). CSD is a large depolarizing wave propagating in gray matter, which leads to synaptic activity, changes in extracellular ion concentrations, and the release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) from trigeminal nerve endings (48). CGRP as the main driver of neurogenic meningeal vasodilation in migraine eventually leads to an increase in regional cerebral blood flow (49), which is called spreading congestion and lasts approximately 1-2 min. This is followed by prolonged hypoperfusion lasting 1-2 h, which is called spreading ischemia (50). These changes in cerebral blood flow and oxygenation, as well as the increased metabolic demands associated with CSD, lead to a supply and demand mismatch, further aggravating the development of ischemia and subsequent parenchymal lesions (51). In migraineurs, alterations in gray matter in several cortical regions related to pain circuits have been observed, which may be central nervous system remodeling as a result of repeated chronic pain and ischemia (52, 53). Moreover, migraineurs are more prone to white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) than healthy controls, implying that migraineurs may suffer microvascular damage, leading to the disruption of axonal integrity (54). In addition, a large body of data suggests that there is systemic impairment in the vasculature of migraine patients, and migraine patients experience changes in arterial function, such as stiffness or impaired compliance of the arterial system, relative to non-migraine patients (55). It has been found that patients with interictal migraine have abnormal blood flow patterns similar to Raynaud's phenomenon (56), and increased retinal microcirculation resistance in studies (57, 58). Repeated retinal vasospasm can lead to permanent ocular sequelae, such as retinal artery occlusion and visual field defects (59, 60). Given the embryohistological association of the brain and retina mentioned in the introduction, it is possible to better manage migraine if microvascular changes in migraine can be monitored noninvasively early by OCTA.

Migraine is a risk factor for retinal and optic ischemic disease (61). Greven et al. reported a history of migraine in 25% of

	M	igraine		c	ontrol		:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bingöl 2020	54.8	11.66	33	53.34	12.81	28	14.1%	0.12 [-0.39, 0.62]	
Güler 2020	62.56	3.64	52	63.44	3.13	48	22.8%	-0.26 [-0.65, 0.14]	
Hamamci 2021	51.7	7.82	60	54.53	8.29	30	18.3%	-0.35 [-0.79, 0.09]	
Karahan 2021	62.52	2.27	60	63.57	1.73	56	25.7%	-0.51 [-0.88, -0.14]	
Ulusoy 2019	57.44	4.14	54	58.9	3.5	34	19.0%	-0.37 [-0.80, 0.06]	
Total (95% Cl)			259			196	100.0%	-0.31 [-0.50, -0.12]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	ni² = 4.1	2, df =	4 (P = 0	.39); l ²	= 3%		_	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.16	(P = 0.	002)						-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
	м	igraine		c	ontrol		:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Welght	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
6.2.1 Migraine with a	ura								
Bingöl 2020	54.8	5.42	17	53.34	12.81	28	9.0%	0.13 [-0.47, 0.74]	
Hamamci 2021	51.13	7.85	30	54.53	8.29	30	12.5%	-0.42 [-0.93, 0.10]	
Karahan 2021	62.52	2.27	60	63.57	1.73	56	23.8%	-0.51 [-0.88, -0.14]	
Ulusoy 2019	57.1	4.7	28	58.9	3.5	34	12.7%	-0.44 [-0.94, 0.07]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			135			148	58.0%	-0.37 [-0.62, -0.11]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				3 (P = 0	.34); l²:	= 11%			
6.2.2 Migraine witho	ut aura								
Bingöl 2020	54.79	16.09	16	53.34	12.81	28	8.6%	0.10 [-0.51, 0.72]	
Güler 2020	62.56	3.64		63.44		48	21.0%	-0.26 [-0.65, 0.14]	
Ulusoy 2019	57.8	3.5	26	58.9	3.5	34	12.4%	-0.31 [-0.82, 0.20]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			94			110	42.0%	-0.20 [-0.48, 0.08]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				2 (P = 0	.55); l²	= 0%			
Total (95% CI)			229			258	100.0%	-0.30 [-0.48, -0.12]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	ni² = 5.4	3, df =	6 (P = 0	.49); l ²	= 0%		-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² = 0	.78. df	= 1 (P =	= 0.38).	l² = 0%			

migraine with/without aura and control groups.

youth with retinal artery occlusion (62). Kara's et al. color Doppler ultrasonography examination also indicated a significant increase in the resistance of retinal arteries in migraine patients (63). In this study, the results of a meta-analysis of retinal macular VD showed that the superficial and deep MWEVD, superficial FVD, and deep PFVD were significantly reduced. Our results strongly support the theory of retinal microangiopathy in migraine patients. Long-term recurrent retinal vasospasm stenosis in migraine patients eventually leads to a decrease in vascular density. We also noticed that the deep FVD also showed a trend to decrease compared to healthy people but there was no significant statistical difference. This may be due in part to projection artifacts, which introduce errors in the assessment of VD in the deep retina (64), while the limited number of included studies resulting in a small sample size may be another important reason. In addition, studies have shown that migraine patients with aura more often experience ischemic events than migraine patients without aura (65). CSD (electrophysiological basis of aura) has been shown to increase the risk of cerebral ischemia in mice carrying a mutated human migraine gene (66). Therefore, we further performed a subgroup analysis of retinal VD with and without aura. The results showed that migraine patients with aura had significantly lower deep MWEVD, shallow and deep FVD, and deep PFVD than healthy controls. However, there was no statistically significant difference in retinal VD in migraine patients without aura although there was also a decreasing trend. This result strongly supports the clear association of migraine aura with ischemic events. Migraine relies on the activation and sensitization of the trigeminovascular pain pathway, whereas cortical spreading depression (CSD) is thought to be associated with the neurophysiology of migraine aura (55). CSD may induce injury by hypoperfusion (diffuse hypoperfusion), activation of the inflammatory cascade, and failure of neurovascular coupling to provide adequate blood flow (5). Most existing studies have shown that migraine with aura can be considered a risk factor for ischemic stroke, whereas migraine without aura is not considered (17). In addition, data from the Women's Health Study (WHS) suggest that patients with migraine aura have an increased risk of transient ischemic attack (TIA), compared with patients without migraine aura (67). Our results further suggest that there may be systemic impairment of the vascular system in migraine with aura. This finding emphasizes that the diagnosis and treatment of migraine should not only focus on pain, but monitoring the occurrence of aura symptoms may become a key link in preventing and judging the prognosis of the disease. In addition, our study also found a significant increase in the FAZ area in migraineurs. Migraine as a recurrent chronic disease can cause permanent structural

	MI	graine		С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% Cl
Bingöl 2020	52.1	3.54	33	52.89	3.44	28	18.6%	-0.22 [-0.73, 0.28]	
Chang 2017	12.82	0.8	27	13.4	0.33	22	15.7%	-0.90 [-1.49, -0.31]	
Hamamci 2021	49.67	4.81	60	52.38	5.69	30	20.8%	-0.53 [-0.97, -0.08]	
Karahan 2021	63.66	3.05	60	63.98	2.78	56	24.1%	-0.11 [-0.47, 0.26]	
Ulusoy 2019	50.93	4.18	54	54.2	3.4	34	20.7%	-0.83 [-1.28, -0.38]	
Total (95% CI)			234			170	100.0%	-0.49 [-0.81, -0.18]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =				= 4 (P =	0.06);	l² = 57	%	-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.06	(P = 0	.002)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
		graine		-	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup		ŞD	Total	Mean	\$D	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
14.2.1 Migraine with									
Bingöl 2020	52.05			52.89		28	12.6%	-0.22 [-0.83, 0.38]	
Chang 2017		0.41		13.4		22	9.6%	-3.22 [-4.24, -2.21]	
Hamamci 2021	48.99			52.38		30		-0.63 [-1.15, -0.11]	
Karahan 2021	63.66		60			56	14.1%	-0.11 [-0.47, 0.26]	T
Ulusoy 2019	50.3	3.6	28	54.2	3.4	34	13.0%	-1.10 [-1.64, -0.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.05.01		150			170	62.6%	-0.96 [-1.71, -0.20]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:			,	= 4 (P	< 0.00	JU1); I*	= 89%		
14.2.2 Migraine with	out aura								
Bingöl 2020	52.15	2.93	16	52.89	3.44	28	12.5%	-0.22 [-0.84, 0.39]	
Chang 2017	13.6	0.34	12	13.4	0.33	22	11.8%	0.59 [-0.13, 1.30]	
Ulusoy 2019	51.6	4.7	26	54.2	3.4	34	13.1%	-0.64 [-1.16, -0.12]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			54			84	37.4%	-0.13 [-0.81, 0.55]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:				= 2 (P =	0.03);	l² = 73	%		
Total (95% CI)			204			254	100.0%	-0.62 [-1.15, -0.10]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.47: C	ni² = 47		= 7 (P	< 0.00				
Test for overall effect:					0.00				-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi² =	2.54. 0	lf = 1 (P	9 = 0.1	1). ² = (60.6%		ravours (experimental) ravours (control)
JRE 8									

abnormalities in the brain and even the retina, and changes in the FAZ region may be caused by vascular remodeling caused by long-term recurrent retinal capillary ischemia (17, 68, 69). Our findings provide further evidence for the theory of retinal microangiopathy in migraine patients.

In addition to studying the changes in the VD in the macular area, we also analyzed the VD in the optic disk area. Our results indicated that the PVD was significantly lower in migraineurs. Several earlier studies suggested that the PVD reduction in migraine patients is significant for optic nerve diseases (anterior and posterior ischemic optic neuropathy, etc.) (70). Migraine is also associated with normal-pressure glaucoma, and many epidemiological and case-control studies have investigated the potential link between glaucoma and migraine (71, 72). Their results indicated a close association between migraine and glaucoma (73-75). In addition, the PVD has been shown to be reduced in patients with normal-tension glaucoma, and the degree of reduction correlates with disease severity (76). Therefore, it is reasonable to think that the PVD reduction mediates the association between migraine and normal-tension glaucoma, which is consistent with RNFL thinning in structural OCT studies of migraine and glaucoma (77). Chronic peripapillary hypoperfusion eventually leads to RNFL atrophy and thinning.

The development of modern ophthalmic imaging techniques provides an opportunity to observe the characteristics of retinal microvessels in migraine patients and also makes it possible to observe systemic vascular changes in migraine through such a visual window in the retina. However, most existing reports are cross-sectional studies. Thus, the results do not indicate whether the observed retinal microvascular abnormalities are indicative of systemic vasculopathy as a cause of migraine or a result of migraine recurrence, whereas the small number of relevant cross-sectional studies led to the limited sample size included in this analysis. Future studies should better specify the type (location and severity) of retinal microangiopathy in migraine patients, identify the subgroups of migraine corresponding to different types and their association with prognosis, and identify the time span from migraine onset to retinal microvascular abnormalities. In addition, it is necessary to further explain the mechanism of the association between migraine and retinal microvascular abnormalities. Specifically regarding the role of genetic predisposing factors or co-morbidity triggers, this is a

	M	igraine		0	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV. Random, 95% Cl
Bingöl 2020	0.24	0.1	33	0.28	0.1	28	11.6%	-0.39 [-0.90, 0.11]	
Chang 2017	0.27	0.039	27	0.22	0.066	22	10.1%	0.93 [0.34, 1.53]	
Hamamci 2021	0.34	0.126	60	0.27	0.15	30	12.7%	0.52 [0.07, 0.96]	_ _ _
Hamurcu 2021	0.326	0.065	38	0.272	0.07	38	12.3%	0.79 [0.32, 1.26]	
He 2022	0.37	0.1		0.279		32	12.7%	0.69 [0.24, 1.14]	
Karahan 2021	0.343	0.115	60	0.297	0.086	56	14.2%	0.45 [0.08, 0.82]	
Taslı 2020	0.354	0.114	66	0.24	0.12	43	13.5%	0.97 [0.57, 1.38]	
Ulusoy 2019	0.321	0.123	54	0.26	0.13	34	12.9%	0.48 [0.05, 0.92]	-
Total (95% Cl)			392			283	100.0%	0.56 [0.28, 0.83]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Ch	ni² = 20.	76, df =	7 (P =	0.004);	l² = 66°	%	-	-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.95	6 (P < 0.	0001)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
									i arono (osponnonan) i arono (osnao)
		Intelne			ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Ohudu Oub		Igraine	T-4-1	-		T-4-1			
Study or Subgroup		50	lotal	Mean	50	lotal	weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
11.2.1 Migraine with		0.05	47	0.00			7.50/	0.0014.00 0.071	
Bingöl 2020		0.05	17	0.28	0.1	28	7.5%	-0.69 [-1.32, -0.07]	
Chang 2017 Hamamci 2021	0.36	0.019	15 30	0.22	0.066	22 30	6.3% 8.6%	1.49 [0.74, 2.24]	
Hamurcu 2021		0.065		0.272	0.15	30	8.6% 9.2%	0.68 [0.15, 1.20]	
Hamurcu 2021 He 2022		0.005		0.272		30	9.2%	0.79 [0.32, 1.26]	
Karahan 2021		0.103		0.297		56	10.3%	0.56 [0.01, 1.10] 0.45 [0.08, 0.82]	
Ulusoy 2019		0.14	28	0.297	0.000	34	8.7%	0.59 [0.08, 1.10]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.34	0.14	211	0.20	0.13	240	59.0%	0.54 [0.15, 0.92]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0 20 CH	ni² = 23 (6 (P =	0 0008			0.01 [0110] 0.01]	-
Test for overall effect:				• (.	0.0000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
11.2.2 Migraine with	aut aura								
Bingöl 2020	0.26	0.13	16	0.28	0.1	28	7.6%	-0.18 [-0.79, 0.44]	
Chang 2017		0.019	12		0.066	20	6.7%	0.21 [-0.49, 0.92]	
He 2022		0.099		0.279		32	8.7%	0.66 [0.15, 1.17]	
Tasli 2020	0.35	0.11	37	0.24	0.12	43	9.2%	0.94 [0.48, 1.41]	
Ulusoy 2019	0.3	0.1	26	0.24	0.12	34	8.7%	0.33 [-0.18, 0.85]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.0	0.1	122	0.20	0.10	159	41.0%	0.43 [0.05, 0.81]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	-		-	4 (P = 0	.05); l²	= 58%		•	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.22	2 (P = 0.9	03)						
Total (95% Cl)			333			399	100.0%	0.49 [0.23, 0.76]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =				: 11 (P :	= 0.000	5); l² = (66%	-	-2 -1 0 1 2
		/P - 0/	0003)						
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Forest plot for FAZ. (A) Forest plot for FAZ between migraine and control groups. (B) Forest plot for FAZ between migraine with/without aura and control groups.

starting point to try to develop any reliable strategy to monitor and prevent possible risks. At the same time, in view of the relatively new nature of OCTA, the lack of reliable systematic analysis methods across imaging platforms also has an impact on the accuracy of summary analysis between different devices. Therefore, it is necessary to standardize the measurement and analysis methods of OCTA in future.

5. Conclusion

Overall, our study provides strong evidence for retinal microangiopathy in migraine patients and further finds a close link between retinal microangiopathy and migraine aura. Future studies should further clarify the specific association of OCTA findings with ocular and systemic vascular events in migraine to make OCTA a powerful tool for rapid, non-invasive monitoring of migraine and its aura symptoms.

Author contributions

YP and TC conceived and designed the study. YP, TC, and XZ searched the manuscript. YP and QZ contributed to data acquisition and analysis. HH, ZW, and JN were responsible for the software. YP and TC contributed to the writing of the original manuscript. MJ, GC, and XZ were responsible for revising and reviewing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81860170) and the Innovation Fund Designated for Graduate Students of Jiangxi Province (YC2022-B043).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Silberstein SD. Migraine. Lancet. (2004) 363:381-91. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15440-8

2. Arnold M. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) the international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalalgia*. (2018) 38:8202. doi: 10.1177/0333102417738202

3. Dodick DW, A. Phase-by-phase review of migraine pathophysiology. *Headache.* (2018) 58 Suppl 1:4–16. doi: 10.1111/head.13300

4. Goadsby PJ, Holland PR, Martins-Oliveira M, Hoffmann J, Schankin C, Akerman S. Pathophysiology of migraine: a disorder of sensory processing. *Physiol Rev.* (2017) 97:553–622. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00034.2015

5. Lai J, Dilli E. Migraine aura: updates in pathophysiology and management. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* (2020) 20:17. doi: 10.1007/s11910-020-01037-3

6. Harriott AM, Takizawa T, Chung DY, Chen SP. Spreading depression as a preclinical model of migraine. *J Headache Pain.* (2019) 20:45. doi: 10.1186/s10194-019-1001-4

7. Burstein R, Jakubowski M. Unitary hypothesis for multiple triggers of the pain and strain of migraine. J Comp Neurol. (2005) 493:9–14. doi: 10.1002/cne.20688

 Hoffmann J, Baca SM, Akerman S. Neurovascular mechanisms of migraine and cluster headache. J Int Soc Cereb Blood Flow Metabol. (2019) 39:573– 94. doi: 10.1177/0271678X17733655

9. Amin FM, Hougaard A, Schytz HW. Investigation of the pathophysiological mechanisms of migraine attacks induced by pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide-38. *Brain jJ Neurol.* (2014) 137(Pt 3):779–794. doi: 10.1093/brain/awt369

10. Messlinger K, Fischer MJ, Lennerz JK. Neuropeptide effects in the trigeminal system: pathophysiology and clinical relevance in migraine. *Keio J Med.* (2011) 60:82–9. doi: 10.2302/kjm.60.82

11. Pezzini A, Grassi M, Lodigiani C. Predictors of long-term recurrent vascular events after ischemic stroke at young age: the Italian project on stroke in young adults. *Circulation*. (2014) 129:1668–76. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005663

12. Carolei A, Marini C, De Matteis G. History of migraine and risk of cerebral ischaemia in young adults. *Italian Nat Res Council Study Group Stroke Young Lancet.* (1996) 347:1503-6. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(96)90669-8

13. Gudmundsson LS, Scher AI, Aspelund T. Migraine with aura and risk of cardiovascular and all cause mortality in men and women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* (2010) 341:c3966. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c3966

14. Schürks M, Rist PM, Shapiro RE, Kurth T. Migraine and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cephalalgia*. (2011) 31:1301– 14. doi: 10.1177/0333102411415879

15. McKendrick AM, Nguyen BN. The eye in migraine: a review of retinal imaging findings in migraine. *Clin Exp Optometry*. (2022) 105:186–93. doi: 10.1080/08164622.2021.1971045

16. Jurno ME, Chevtchouk L, Nunes AA. Ankle-brachial index, a screening for peripheral obstructive arterial disease, and migraine—A controlled study. *Headache.* (2010) 50:626–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2009.01536.x

17. Sacco S, Kurth T. Migraine and the risk for stroke and cardiovascular disease. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2014) 16:524. doi: 10.1007/s11886-014-0524-1

18. Chan-Ling T, McLeod DS, Hughes S. Astrocyte-endothelial cell relationships during human retinal vascular development. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2004) 45:2020–32. doi: 10.1167/iovs.03-1169

19. Reiner A, Fitzgerald MEC, Del Mar N, Li C. Neural control of choroidal blood flow. Prog Retin Eye Res. (2018) 64:96–130. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2017.12.001

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2023. 1187559/full#supplementary-material

20. Ling L, Gao F, Zhang Q, He T, Ji K. Optical coherence tomography angiography assessed retinal and choroidal microvasculature features in patients with retinitis pigmentosa: a meta-analysis. *Biomed Res Int.* (2019) 2019:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2019/6723917

21. Simonett JM, Scarinci F, Picconi F. Early microvascular retinal changes in optical coherence tomography angiography in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. (2017) 3:13404. doi: 10.1111/aos.13404

 Chang MY, Phasukkijwatana N, Garrity S, Pineles SL, Arnold AC. Foveal and peripapillary vascular decrement in migraine with aura demonstrated by optical coherence tomography angiography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2017) 58:5477. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-22477

23. Hamamci M, Songur MS, Aslan Bayhan S, Bayhan HA. Is ocular vascularity affected in young migraine patients? A pilot study. *J Neurosurg Soc Aus.* (2021) 91:144–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2021.06.045

24. Karahan M, Erdem S, Ava S, Kaya AA, Demirtas AA, Keklikci U. Evaluation of retinal and optic nerve vasculature by optic coherence tomography angiography in Migraine with Aura. *J Francais D'ophtalmologie.* (2021) 44:1396–402. doi: 10.1016/j.jfo.2021.02.018

25. Iafe NA, Phasukkijwatana N, Chen X, Sarraf D. Retinal capillary density and foveal avascular zone area are age-dependent: quantitative analysis using optical coherence tomography angiography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2016) 57:5780–7. doi: 10.1167/iovs.16-20045

26. Bonnin S, Mané V, Couturier A. New insight into the macular deep vascular plexus imaged by optical coherence tomography angiography. *Retina*. (2015) 35:2347–52. doi: 10.1097/IAE.0000000000839

27. Oba T, Solmaz N, Onder F. Peripapillary and macular vascular density in patients with preperimetric and early primary open angle glaucoma. *J Glaucoma*. (2022) 31:724–33. doi: 10.1097/IJG.00000000002069

 Kulikov AN, Maltsev DS, Burnasheva MA. Improved analysis of foveal avascular zone area with optical coherence tomography angiography. Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische experimentelle Ophthalmol. (2018) 256:2293–9. doi: 10.1007/s00417-018-4139-x

29. Vrabel M. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *Revista Espaola De Nutrición Humana Y Dietética*. (2009) 18:e123. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2535

30. Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2018) 27:1785–805. doi: 10.1177/0962280216669183

31. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2014) 14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

32. Zhang B, Kang J, Chen X. Methods to combine standard deviations of different subgroups in meta-analysis. *Chin J Evid Based Med.* (2016) 16:851-4. doi: 10.7507/1672-2531.20160130

33. Song RH, Wang B, Yao QM, Li Q, Zhang JA. The impact of obesity on thyroid autoimmunity and dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:2349. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02349

34. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2010) 25:603–5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

35. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2005) 5:13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-5-13

36. Bingöl Kiziltunç P, Özcan G, Özer F, Togay Işikay C, Atilla H. Evaluation of retinal vessel density and choriocapillaris flow in migraine patients with and without aura. *Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische experimentelle Ophthalmol.* (2020) 258:2517–21. doi: 10.1007/s00417-020-04805-6

37. Güler Ö, Güler M, Tugan Yildiz CB, Hakkoymaz H. Are retinal and peripapillary blood flows affected during migraine attack? *Neuro-ophthalmology*. (2020) 44:299–306. doi: 10.1080/01658107.2020.1752260

38. Hamurcu MS, Gultekin BP, Koca S, Ece SD. Evaluation of migraine patients with optical coherence tomography angiography. *Int Ophthalmol.* (2021) 4:1–5. doi: 10.1007/s10792-021-01962-3

39. He N, Shao H, He J, Zhang X, Ye D, Lv Z. Evaluation of retinal vessel and perfusion density in migraine patients by optical coherence tomography angiography. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther.* (2022) 40:103060. doi: 10.1016/j.pdpdt.2022.103060

40. Taşli NG, Ersoy A. Altered macular vasculature in migraine patients without aura: is it associated with ocular vasculature and white matter hyperintensities? *J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 2020:3412490. doi: 10.1155/2020/3412490

41. Ulusoy MO, Horasanli B, Kal A. Retinal vascular density evaluation of migraine patients with and without aura and association with white matter hyperintensities. *Acta Neurol Belg.* (2019) 119:411–7. doi: 10.1007/s13760-019-01094-7

42. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Steiner TJ, Silberstein SD, Olesen J. Classification of primary headaches. *Neurology.* (2004) 63:427– 35. doi: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000133301.66364.9B

43. Pan J, Zhou Y, Xiang Y, Yu J. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness changes in Schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Psychiatry Res.* (2018) 270:786–91. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.10.075

44. Lin X, Yi Z, Zhang X. Retinal nerve fiber layer changes in migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurol Sci J Italian Neurol Soc Italian Soc Clin Neurophysiol.* (2021) 42:871–81. doi: 10.1007/s10072-020-04992-4

45. Spaide RF, Fujimoto JG, Waheed NK, Sadda SR, Staurenghi G. Optical coherence tomography angiography. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2018) 64:1–55. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2017.11.003

46. Goadsby PJ, Holland PR. An update: pathophysiology of migraine. *Neurol Clin.* (2019) 37:651–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2019.07.008

47. Pietrobon D, Moskowitz MA. Pathophysiology of migraine. *Annu Rev Physiol.* (2013) 75:365–91. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183717

48. Dreier JP. The role of spreading depression, spreading depolarization and spreading ischemia in neurological disease. *Nat Med.* (2011) 17:439-47. doi: 10.1038/nm.2333

49. Levy D, Labastida-Ramirez A, MaassenVanDenBrink A. Current understanding of meningeal and cerebral vascular function underlying migraine headache. *Cephalalgia.* (2019) 39:1606–22. doi: 10.1177/0333102418771350

50. Lauritzen M, Dreier JP, Fabricius M, Hartings JA, Graf R, Strong AJ. Clinical relevance of cortical spreading depression in neurological disorders: migraine, malignant stroke, subarachnoid and intracranial hemorrhage, and traumatic brain injury. J Cereb Blood Flow Metabol J Int Soc Cereb Blood Flow Metabol. (2011) 31:17–35. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2010.191

51. Close LN, Eftekhari S, Wang M, Charles AC, Russo AF. Cortical spreading depression as a site of origin for migraine: role of CGRP. *Cephalalgia*. (2019) 39:428–34. doi: 10.1177/0333102418774299

52. Valfrè W, Rainero I, Bergui M, Pinessi L. Voxel-based morphometry reveals gray matter abnormalities in migraine. *Headache.* (2008) 48:109–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2007.00723.x

53. Neeb L, Bastian K, Villringer K, Israel H, Reuter U, Fiebach JB. Structural gray matter alterations in chronic migraine: implications for a progressive disease? *Headache*. (2017) 57:400–16. doi: 10.1111/head.13012

54. Cao W, Shen Y, Zhong J, Chen Z, Wang N, Yang J. The patent foramen ovale and migraine: associated mechanisms and perspectives from MRI evidence. *Brain Sci.* (2022) 12:18. doi: 10.3390/brainsci12070941

55. Sacco S, Ripa P, Grassi D. Peripheral vascular dysfunction in migraine: a review. *J Headache Pain.* (2013) 14:80. doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-14-80

56. Komatsu K, Fukutake T, Hattori T. Fingertip photoplethysmography and migraine. J Neurol Sci. (2003) 216:17–21. doi: 10.1016/S0022-510X(03)00208-9

57. Unlu M, Sevim DG, Gultekin M, Baydemir R, Karaca C, Oner A. Changes in retinal vessel diameters in migraine patients during attack-free period. *Int J Ophthalmol.* (2017) 10:439–44. doi: 10.18240/ijo.2017.03.18

58. Wen KX, Mutlu U, Ikram MK. The retinal microcirculation in migraine: the Rotterdam study. *Cephalalgia*. (2018) 38:736–43. doi: 10.1177/0333102417708774

59. Al-Moujahed A, Tran EM, Azad A. Risk of retinal artery occlusion in patients with migraine. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2021) 225:157-65. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2020. 11.004

60. Christakis PG, Alon R, Brent MH. Recurrent visual field defect associated with migraine resulting in a hemiretinal artery occlusion. *Can J Ophthalmol.* (2018) 53:e92–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjo.2017.08.023

61. Arnold AC, Costa RM, Dumitrascu OM. The spectrum of optic disc ischemia in patients younger than 50 years (an Amercian ophthalmological society thesis). *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* (2013) 111:93–118.

62. Greven CM, Slusher MM, Weaver RG. Retinal arterial occlusions in young adults. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (1995) 120:776–83. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9394(14)72731-X

63. Kara SA, Erdemoglu AK, Karadeniz MY, Altinok D. Color Doppler sonography of orbital and vertebral arteries in migraineurs without aura. *J Clin Ultrasound JCU*. (2003) 31:308–14. doi: 10.1002/jcu.10181

64. Campbell JP, Zhang M, Hwang TS. Detailed vascular anatomy of the human retina by projection-resolved optical coherence tomography angiography. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:42201. doi: 10.1038/srep42201

65. Kurth T, Schürks M, Logroscino G, Gaziano JM, Buring JE. Migraine, vascular risk, and cardiovascular events in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* (2008) 337:a636. doi: 10.1136/bmj.a636

66. Eikermann-Haerter K. Spreading depolarization may link migraine and stroke. *Headache.* (2014) 54:1146–57. doi: 10.1111/head.12386

67. Rist PM, Buring JE, Kase CS, Schürks M, Kurth T. Migraine and functional outcome from ischemic cerebral events in women. *Circulation*. (2010) 122:2551–7. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.977306

68. Di G, Weihong Y, Xiao Z. A morphological study of the foveal avascular zone in patients with diabetes mellitus using optical coherence tomography angiography. *Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische experimentelle Ophthalmol.* (2016) 254:873–9. doi: 10.1007/s00417-015-3143-7

69. Minvielle W, Caillaux V, Cohen SY. Macular microangiopathy in sickle cell disease using optical coherence tomography angiography. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2016) 164:137–144. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2015.12.023

70. Foroozan R, Marx DP, Evans RW. Posterior ischemic optic neuropathy associated with migraine. *Headache*. (2008) 48:1135–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2008.01090.x

71. Alizadeh R, Vickers L, Hirunpatravong P. A phenotype of primary open-angle glaucoma with systemic vasospasm. *J Glaucoma*. (2018) 27:987–92. doi: 10.1097/IJG.000000000001083

72. Konieczka K, Choi HJ, Koch S, Fankhauser F, Schoetzau A, Kim DM. Relationship between normal tension glaucoma and Flammer syndrome. *EPMA J.* (2017) 8:111–7. doi: 10.1007/s13167-017-0097-3

73. Chen HY, Lin CL, Kao CH. Does migraine increase the risk of glaucoma? A population-based cohort study. *Medicine*. (2016) 95:e3670. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000003670

74. Huang JY, Su CC, Wang TH, Tsai IJ. Migraine and increased risk of developing open angle glaucoma: a population-based cohort study. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2019) 19:50. doi: 10.1186/s12886-019-1062-9

75. Xu C, Li J, Li Z, Mao X. Migraine as a risk factor for primary open angle glaucoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. (2018) 97:e11377. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000011377

76. Scripsema NK, Garcia PM, Bavier RD. Optical coherence tomography angiography analysis of perfused peripapillary capillaries in primary open-angle glaucoma and normal-tension glaucoma. *Investig Ophthalmol Visual Sci.* (2016) 57:611–20. doi: 10.1167/iovs.15-18945

77. Panicker G, Kaliaperumal S, Narayan S, Mani M. Glaucoma and optical coherence tomography changes in migraine: a comparative cross-sectional study. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* (2021) 69:3546–51. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_375_21