



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jeremy Purcell
✉ jpurcel8@umd.edu

RECEIVED 12 January 2024
ACCEPTED 15 January 2024
PUBLISHED 29 January 2024

CITATION

Purcell J, Rapp B and Martin RC (2024)
Corrigendum: Distinct neural substrates
support phonological and orthographic
working memory: implications for theories of
working memory. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1369793.
doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1369793

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Purcell, Rapp and Martin. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Corrigendum: Distinct neural substrates support phonological and orthographic working memory: implications for theories of working memory

Jeremy Purcell^{1,2*}, Brenda Rapp² and Randi C. Martin³

¹Maryland Neuroimaging Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States, ²Cognitive Science Department, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States, ³Department of Psychological Sciences, Rice University, Houston, TX, United States

KEYWORDS

working memory, phonological working memory, orthographic working memory, multivariate lesion symptom mapping, working memory deficits, buffer theories, embedded processes theories

A corrigendum on

[Distinct neural substrates support phonological and orthographic working memory: implications for theories of working memory](#)

by Purcell, J. J., Rapp, B., and Martin, R. (2021). *Front. Neurol.* 12:681141.
doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.681141

In the published article, there was an error in the legend for Figure 4 as published. The color assignments to the different clusters in Figure 4 were incorrect. Phonological Working Memory should be associated with the green color, not the magenta color. Orthographic Working Memory should be associated with the magenta color, not the green color. The corrected legend appears below with the color names correctly assigned to their respective cognitive functions.

SVR-LSM results for Phonological Working Memory (green) and Orthographic Working Memory (magenta). Clusters projected onto a left hemisphere standard MNI152 cortical surface using mni2fs (56). Each image depicts the results using thresholds which, from left to right, are based on increasingly more lenient thresholds from the permutation analysis. Although there is some overlap (white) at the two most lenient thresholds, there is none at the more conservative max and 10th voxel thresholds.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.