Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Lisheng Yu, Peking University People's Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY Hongyang Wang, People's Liberation Army General Hospital, China Manuel Oliva Domínguez, Costa del Sol Hospital, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jing Luo ⊠ luojing668yeah@163.com Ping Tang ⊠ 19923322139@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 17 February 2024 ACCEPTED 12 September 2024 PUBLISHED 27 September 2024

#### CITATION

Zhang L, Chen J, Zhong S, Luo J and Tang P (2024) Causal associations of white blood cell count and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a bidirectional and multivariable Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1387244. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1387244

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Chen, Zhong, Luo and Tang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Causal associations of white blood cell count and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a bidirectional and multivariable Mendelian randomization study

Ling Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Jialei Chen<sup>2,3,4†</sup>, Shixun Zhong<sup>2</sup>, Jing Luo<sup>5,6</sup>\* and Ping Tang<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, The People's Hospital of Yubei District of Chongqing, Chongqing, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Otolaryngology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology and Pathophysiology, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>4</sup>Molecular Medicine and Cancer Research Center, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

**Background:** Numerous compelling observational studies have demonstrated a plausible correlation between the white blood cell count and the susceptibility to sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL). Nevertheless, the exact causal relationship between these two factors remains ambiguous. The objective of our study was to assess the causal impact of white blood cell count on sudden sensorineural hearing loss through the implementation of a bidirectional and multivariable Mendelian randomization (MR) methodology.

**Methods:** Genetic data pertaining to white blood cell count were acquired from the Blood Cell Consortium, encompassing a total of 563,946 subjects. Concurrently, summary data on sudden sensorineural hearing loss were sourced from a Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS), involving 196,592 participants, comprising 1,491 cases and 195,101 controls. The primary method employed for MR analysis was the Inverse Variance Weighted method (IVW), while sensitivity analysis utilized the Weighted Median method, MR-Egger method, and MR-PRESSO method.

**Results:** In IVW method, genetically predicted elevated lymphocyte cell count demonstrates an effective reduction in the risk of sudden sensorineural hearing loss (odds ratio = 0.747, 95% CI = 0.565–0.987, p = 0.04). These findings remain consistent in multivariate MR analysis, even after adjusting for monocyte cell count and neutrophil cell count levels (odds ratio = 0.929, 95% CI = 0.867–0.995, p = 0.036). However, there is no discernible evidence supporting a direct causal relationship between monocyte cell count and neutrophil cell count levels and the occurrence of SSNHL.

**Conclusion:** Within the normal range, higher lymphocyte cell count levels exhibit a potential protective effect against SSNHL. Meanwhile, no direct causal relationship are identified between monocyte cell count and neutrophil cell count levels and the risk of SSNHL.

#### KEYWORDS

sudden sensorineural hearing loss, lymphocyte cell count, monocyte cell count, neutrophil cell count, Mendelian randomization

# **1** Introduction

Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) represents an otological emergency characterized by an unknown etiology influenced by diverse factors. It is defined as the abrupt onset of unexplained sensorineural hearing loss within a 72h timeframe, involving a hearing loss exceeding 30 dB across a minimum of three consecutive frequencies (1). Epidemiological studies indicate that in industrialized nations, the annual incidence rate of SSNHL ranges from 5 to 400 cases per 100,000 individuals (2). SSNHL typically manifests as a unilateral, isolated condition, displaying distinct clinical characteristics in terms of hearing loss severity, accompanying symptoms, and prognosis. Numerous investigations suggest a close association between vascular dysfunction (3), infectious diseases (4), autoimmune conditions (5), and other factors with the onset and progression of SSNHL, signifying its likely multifactorial origin rather than a singular cause. The global incidence of SSNHL is escalating rapidly, and as of yet, no proven or universally recommended treatment exists (6). Consequently, otologists face an imperative need to identify biomarkers for predicting the occurrence and progression of SSNHL. This is essential for the development of more effective prevention and treatment strategies tailored to address this challenging condition.

In recent times, the etiological investigation of sudden sensorineural hearing loss has prominently centered around chronic inflammation (7). The cochlea's blood supply predominantly relies on a single cochlear artery, rendering it susceptible to damage from ischemia and hypoxia. Given this delicate anatomical condition, chronic inflammation induced by various factors may precipitate vascular dysfunction and an immune response in the cochlea, ultimately resulting in cochlear ischemia and injury (8). White blood cells and their constituents serve as cost-effective and valuable inflammatory markers in clinical practice. White blood cell count are widely employed as predictive markers for various diseases, such as diabetes (9), kidney disease (10), and cardiovascular conditions (11). Elevated white blood cell count are frequently observed in patients with SSNHL. However, it is essential to note that these findings are derived from clinical observations, introducing the potential for selection bias, confounding factors, and the risk of reverse causality. Consequently, the causal relationship between white blood cell count and SSNHL remains an open question. Unraveling this causal connection is pivotal in formulating effective prevention and treatment strategies for SSNHL.

Mendelian randomization employs genetic variants as instrumental variables to explore the causal relationships between disease-related risk factors (12). This emerging epidemiological methodology effectively mitigates potential confounding factors and interferences, enabling the derivation of more robust causal conclusions compared to traditional observational studies (13). Previous MR analyses have successfully elucidated the causal connections between thyroid hormones (2), blood lipids (14), and sudden sensorineural hearing loss. The present study employs Bidirectional and multivariate MR analysis to assess the association between genetically predicted white blood cell count and the corresponding SSNHL risk. Three specific white blood cell count of interest—lymphocyte cell count, neutrophil cell count, and monocyte cell count—have been identified, demonstrating associations with infection risk and detectable through genetic instruments. This endeavor aims to offer novel perspectives and insights into the etiology of SSNHL.

# 2 Method

# 2.1 Study design

We conducted a bidirectional and multivariate MR study utilizing Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) data for both white blood cell count and sudden sensorineural hearing loss. To minimize population stratification bias, both the exposure and outcome cohorts were confined to individuals of European ancestry. The robust MR design hinges on three fundamental assumptions: (1) The correlation hypothesis posits a strong correlation between genetic variation and exposure factors, in this case, white blood cell count. (2) The independence hypothesis assumes that gene variation is independent of confounding factors that might influence both exposure and outcome. (3) The exclusivity hypothesis suggests that genetic variation impacts the outcome solely through exposure and not through alternative pathways, specifically SSNHL (15). Figure 1 offers an overview of the design employed in the bidirectional and multivariate MR study of white blood cell count and SSNHL. Given that this study involved the reanalysis of previously published data, no additional ethical approval was deemed necessary.

#### 2.2 GWAS data of white blood cell count

The genetic data for white blood cell count were sourced from the Blood Cell Consortium (16), encompassing a total of 563,946 subjects included in this study. These data are publicly accessible and downloadable from Genome-Wide Association Study websites. Their respective GWAS IDs are ieu-b-31 (monocyte cell count), ieu-b-32 (lymphocyte cell count), and ieu-b-34 (neutrophil cell count).

# 2.3 GWAS data of SSNHL

Genetic data related to sudden sensorineural hearing loss were acquired from the publicly accessible Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) database, specifically identified with the entry number "finn-b-H8\_HL\_IDIOP." The study involved a total of 196,592 participants, comprising 1,491 cases and 195,101 controls (17).

### 2.4 Instrumental variable selection

Based on the GWAS results for white blood count, a meticulous screening of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) closely associated with white blood count and achieving genome-wide significance ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) was conducted (16). However, during the reverse MR analysis, considering the limited sample size and number of SNPs, we loosened the association threshold to select SNPs related to SSNHL, setting the significance level at  $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$  (17). These selected SNPs were subsequently utilized as IVs in MR analysis (18). The criteria for IVs selection in MR analysis were as follows: (1) To mitigate estimation bias stemming from weak IVs, the equation  $F = (R^2 + 10^{-6})^{-1}$ 



 $\times$  (*n*-2))/(1-R2) was employed to evaluate the correlation between instrument strength and exposure. A significant correlation was considered when  $F \ge 10$ . The estimated  $R^2$  for IVs was calculated using the equation 2EAF  $(1-EAF)^*\beta^2$ , where EAF represents the frequency of the effector allele, and  $\beta$  represents the estimated genetic effect on exposure factors. (2) To address the impact of linkage disequilibrium, efforts were made to ensure that the  $r^2$  value was less than 0.001 at a distance of 10 MB, and palindromic SNPs with moderate allele frequencies were excluded. (3) In adherence to the exclusivity hypothesis (IV variants only affecting SSNHL through white blood cell count), all SNPs associated with hearing loss ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) were excluded from each analysis (19, 20). The PhenoScanner database<sup>1</sup> was utilized to eliminate all known phenotypes associated with any genetic tools considered in our analysis (21). In Supplementary Table S1, a comprehensive summary is provided, detailing the relationship between exposure, SNPs, and their associations with outcomes.

# 2.5 Univariate Mendelian randomization analysis

Utilizing the IVW method as the primary analysis, we employed a range of complementary Mendelian randomization tests to

rigorously examine causal effects and correct for the impact of horizontal multiplicity (22). These included the weighted median method, the simple mode method, the MR-Egger regression method, and the MR-pleiosis residuals and outliers method (MR-PRESSO) (23-25). In essence, the IVW method effectively combines the causal effects of individual single SNPs. However, it is crucial to emphasize that this method yields unbiased estimates of causal effects only under the condition that all SNPs are devoid of invalid IVs and horizontal pleiotropy. In response to this concern, additional sensitivity analyses were performed using MR Egger and weighted median as complementary methods to IVW. The MR-Egger method serves to assess the presence of horizontal pleiotropic effects among all SNPs through the intercept, providing a reliable and unbiased evaluation of causality. A p-value below 0.05 indicates the existence of horizontal pleiotropy. The weighted median method, relying on the median effect of all available genetic tools, ensures consistency in potential causality if at least half of the genetic variation adheres to assumptions. The MR-PRESSO method, designed to identify and eliminate outliers, generates relatively unbiased estimates while detecting potential horizontal pleiotropic effects through global testing. Cochran's Q test was applied to assess SNP heterogeneity, with a p-value >0.05 for Cochran's Q test indicating no heterogeneity. Additionally, a leaveone-out analysis was conducted, systematically removing one SNP at a time to evaluate whether bias in MR estimates was driven by a single SNP. Reverse MR analysis explored the possibility of sudden sensorineural hearing loss acting as a risk factor for white blood count. To ensure the validity of bidirectional MR, genetic instruments

<sup>1</sup> http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/phenoscanner

exposed in bidirectional analysis (white blood count or SSNHL) were scrutinized for independence, revealing no overlapping SNPs or SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium. Given that genotypes are determined at conception in accordance with Mendel's laws of segregation, the likelihood of reverse causation is significantly diminished (26).

# 2.6 Multivariable Mendelian randomization analysis

Multivariate Mendelian randomization operates analogously to independently assessing the effects of various intervention modalities in a randomized controlled trial. In this methodology, genetic instruments may exhibit associations with multiple risk factors, provided they meet the prerequisite of being equivalent instrumental variables (27). Given the close genetic correlation observed between monocyte cell count, lymphocyte cell count, and neutrophil cell count, coupled with their analogous associations with SSNHL in observational studies. In this analysis, we included all instrumental variables for monocyte cell count, lymphocyte cell count, and neutrophil cell count to assess their independent impacts on SSNHL. The SNPs employed in multivariate MR analysis were derived from combinations of instrumental variables identified in univariate MR analyses for each exposure (28). Statistical significance in estimating the causal effect of exposure was determined with *p*-values less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were carried out using the R package "TwoSampleMR2 (version 0.5.6)" and "Mendelian Randomization" (version 0.5.1) in R (version 4.2.1). For a more detailed description, please refer to the following link<sup>2</sup> (29).

# **3** Result

#### 3.1 Univariate MR analysis of the causal relationship between white blood cell count and SSNHL

The F-statistics for each SNP included in the analysis exceeded 10 (Supplementary Table S1). The results of the univariate MR analysis, after assessing and removing SNPs associated with confounding, are depicted in Figure 2. The MR analysis utilizing the IVW method revealed a significant causal relationship between lymphocyte cell count and the risk of sudden sensorineural hearing loss (OR = 0.83, 95%CI = 0.70–0.99, p = 0.04). Similarly, risk estimates from MR-Egger regression and weighted median methods exhibited similar trends, although these associations did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3A). p-values obtained from the Cochran Q tests for MR-Egger (Cochrane's Q=438.6, p=0.73) and IVW (Cochrane's Q=438.7, p = 0.74) were greater than 0.05, indicating no heterogeneity in the results. The global test for MR-PRESSO (P Global Test=0.71) and Egger\_intercept (-0.0013) and the *p* values derived from Egger intercepts (0.75) indicated that no anomalous instrumental variables contributed to the effect of multiplicity in the overall MR estimates. Leave-one-out sensitivity analyses affirmed the robustness of the conclusion (Figure 3B). However, no evidence supporting a causal relationship was found between monocyte cell count (IVW, OR=0.89, 95% CI=0.77–1.02, p=0.10) and neutrophil cell count (IVW, OR=1.11, 95% CI=0.92–1.34, p=0.28) and SSNHL. Finally, a reverse MR analysis was performed to evaluate the causal effect of SSNHL on white blood cell count. After applying the aforementioned criteria, 14 SNPs significantly associated with SSNHL were identified (Supplementary Table S1). In our reverse MR analysis using the IVW method, no significant evidence supporting a causal relationship between SSNHL and the risk of white blood count levels was found (Figure 3C).

# 3.2 Multivariate MR analysis of the causal relationship between white blood cell count and SSNHL

Building upon the robust correlation observed in observational studies between lymphocyte cell count, monocyte cell count, and neutrophil cell count, we conducted multivariate MR analyses to investigate their independent impacts on SSNHL. The findings of the multivariate MR analysis demonstrated that even after adjusting for monocyte cell count and/or neutrophil cell count, results consistent with the univariate MR analysis were attained (Figure 4). Lymphocyte cell count exhibited a negative association with the risk of developing sudden sensorineural hearing loss.

# 4 Discussion

Comprehending the pathogenesis of a disease is a fundamental prerequisite for the effective treatment of patients. Nevertheless, the precise pathophysiological mechanisms underlying sudden sensorineural hearing loss remain elusive. It is postulated that SSNHL may arise from a combination of local and systemic factors, with thrombosis and infection considered the most common causes. Notably, Chinese and German guidelines attribute thrombosis as the principal pathophysiological feature of SSNHL (30). However, Weng (31) and Qiao (32) contested the thrombosis hypothesis, pointing out the absence of a gender-based incidence difference. Recent compelling evidence has significantly shifted focus towards the role of chronic inflammation in SSNHL. Studies indicate that chronic inflammation induced by bacteria or viruses can lead to microvascular damage and atherosclerosis. Given the cochlea's unique blood supply, primarily reliant on a single labyrinthine artery without collateral circulation, these factors directly elevate the risk of cochlear ischemia. The cochlear hair cells, characterized by high oxygen consumption, render the cochlea particularly susceptible to hypoxia, heightening sensitivity to alterations in blood circulation (8).

Biomarkers associated with inflammation in sudden sensorineural hearing loss patients encompassed elevated neutrophil, monocyte, and lymphocyte cell count, while composite markers linked to inflammation in these patients included heightened Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) and Monocyte-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (MLR) (33). Despite recent meta-analyses consistently indicating significantly higher neutrophil cell counts in SSNHL patients compared to the normal group (34), Sun (35) and Cao's (36) study distinctly highlights that this elevation is confined to a specific subgroup of SSNHL

<sup>2</sup> https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/37

Exposure	Method	SNPs		Pval	OR (95% CI)
Monocyte Count	MR Egger	456	<b>⊢</b> •	0.81	0.97 (0.78-1.22)
	Weighted median	456	<b></b>	0.30	0.88 (0.68-1.13)
	IVW	456	<b>⊷</b> →	0.10	0.89 (0.77-1.02)
	Simple mode	456	• <b>•</b> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	0.96	1.01 (0.59-1.72)
	Weighted mode	456	<mark>⊢-</mark> ÷•	0.55	0.93 (0.72-1.19)
	MR Egger	387	·	→0.02	1.57 (1.07-2.32)
	Weighted median	387	<b>⊷</b>	0.24	1.20 (0.89-1.63)
	IVW	387	₽ <mark>+</mark>	0.28	1.11 (0.92–1.34)
	Simple mode	387	•	→0.37	1.43 (0.66-3.13)
	Weighted mode	387	• • • •	→ 0.35	1.24 (0.79-1.97)
Lymphocyte Count	MR Egger	460	• <b>•</b> •••	0.46	0.87 (0.61-1.25)
	Weighted median	460	<b>⊷</b> •••	0.12	0.80 (0.60-1.06)
	IVW	460	<b>⊷</b> {	0.04	0.83 (0.70-0.99)
	Simple mode	460	• <b>-</b> •	0.72	0.89 (0.46-1.71)
	Weighted mode	460	• <b>•</b> ••	0.15	0.75 (0.50-1.11)
		_	0.5 1	2	



FIGURE 3

(A) Scatter plot demonstrate the effect of each lymphocyte cell count-associated genetic variant and SSNHL on the log-odds scale. (B) Leave-one-out plots for the MR analyses of lymphocyte cell count and SSNHL. (C) Reverse MR analysis of SSNHL and white blood cell count.

Exposure	Outcome	SNPs			Pval	OR (95% CI)
Multivariable MR						
Monocyte count	SSNHL	343	⊨-¦-		0.35	0.93 (0.80-1.08)
Lymphocyte count	SSNHL	279	F=-4		0.01	0.77 (0.63–0.95)
Multivariable MR						
Neutrophil count	SSNHL	310	<b>H</b>		0.01	0.77 (0.63-0.94)
Lymphocyte count	SSNHL	238	∎ <mark>+</mark> =4		0.42	1.09 (0.88–1.36)
Multivariable MR						
Monocyte count	SSNHL	304	<b></b> +•		0.24	0.91 (0.78–1.07)
Neutrophil count	SSNHL	205	205 + <mark></mark>		0.12	1.21 (0.95–1.54)
Lymphocyte count	SSNHL	245	H-H		0.01	0.74 (0.59-0.92)
		-	0.5 1	2	3	
1				-	•	

patients. In our study, we found that monocyte cell count was not a risk factor for SSNHL. Koçak's (37) study aligns with our findings, revealing no difference in monocyte cell count between the control and SSNHL groups. Interestingly, most studies demonstrate a significantly elevated monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio in SSNHL patients compared to the control group (33, 34). Most studies have found a decrease in lymphocyte count in patients with SSNHL, with a high lymphocyte count being a protective factor against the risk of SSNHL, which aligns with our findings (35, 38, 39). To our knowledge, nearly all studies have reported a significantly higher NLR or (and) MLR in SSNHL patients than in the normal group. Except for lymphocyte cell count, no significant difference in any single inflammatory marker was identified in the prognosis of SSNHL (33). Current research on the etiology of SSNHL is predominantly focused on chronic inflammation (7). It is believed that chronic inflammation induced by bacteria or viruses can lead to microvascular damage, endothelial dysfunction, and atherosclerosis, thereby increasing the risk of cochlear ischemia (40-42). Lower lymphocyte counts are associated with an inflammatory response (43). Furthermore, an elevated NLR in the periphery indicates the occurrence of atherosclerosis and local microartery inflammation. In patients with SSNHL, a higher peripherally measured NLR suggests the presence of local microvascular inflammation, with the inflammation affecting the labyrinthine artery (44). These findings collectively indicate a profound association between the inflammatory response mediated by lymphocyte count and SSNHL (32). The varied conclusions across studies may arise from the categorization of SSNHL into at least four distinct subtypes, each with a unique pathogenic mechanism. Unfortunately, only a limited number of studies have conducted subgroup-specific analyses.

The design of this study offers notable advantages. Primarily, it leverages freely accessible GWAS data, thereby substantially reducing research costs. Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge several potential limitations in our study. Firstly, single blood inflammation markers are susceptible to various factors. In contrast, composite markers such as NLR and MLR are relatively stable, easily measurable, and cost-effective. Unfortunately, due to limitations in available pooled white blood cell count data, we were unable to conduct subgroup-specific Mendelian randomization analyses. Secondly, sudden sensorineural hearing loss comprises at least four subgroups with different pathogenic mechanisms. However, limitations in available SSNHL summary data hindered the performance of subgroup-specific MR analyses. Finally, the study population predominantly consisted of individuals of European descent, necessitating caution in interpreting the generalizability of our findings to other populations. Future research endeavors will encompass diverse populations and consider the impact of specific subgroups, thereby advancing our comprehension of the causal relationship between blood inflammatory indicators and SSNHL.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# **Ethics statement**

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the patients/participants or patients/participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

LZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Data curation, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PT: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Key Project of Technical Innovation, Application and Development in Health Field of Chongqing (CSTC2021jscx-gksb-N0012).

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the FinnGen study and Blood Cell Consortium in our analysis for providing a publicly available GWAS dataset.

# References

1. Hughes GB, Freedman MA, Haberkamp TJ, Guay ME. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Clin N Am.* (1996) 29:393-405. doi: 10.1016/S0030-6665(20)30362-5

2. Chen J, Wu C, He J, Wu L, Yang Y, Zhong S, et al. Causal associations of thyroid function and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a bidirectional and multivariable Mendelian randomization study. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14:1269545. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1269545

3. Mosnier I, Stepanian A, Baron G, Bodenez C, Robier A, Meyer B, et al. Cardiovascular and thromboembolic risk factors in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a case-control study. *Audiol Neurootol.* (2010) 16:55–66. doi: 10.1159/000312640

4. Stokroos RJ, Albers FW, Schirm J. The etiology of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Experimental herpes simplex virus infection of the inner ear. *Am J Otol.* (1998) 19:447–52.

5. Berrocal JR, Ramírez-Camacho R. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: supporting the immunologic theory. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* (2002) 111:989–97. doi: 10.1177/000348940211101107

6. Kuhn M, Heman-Ackah SE, Shaikh JA, Roehm PC. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a review of diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. *Trends Amplif.* (2011) 15:91–105. doi: 10.1177/1084713811408349

7. Yoon SH, Kim ME, Kim HY, Lee JS, Jang CH. Inflammatory cytokines and mononuclear cells in sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *J Laryngol Otol.* (2019) 133:95–101. doi: 10.1017/S0022215119000100

8. Kim HA, Lee H. Recent advances in understanding Audiovestibular loss of a vascular cause. J Stroke. (2017) 19:61–6. doi: 10.5853/jos.2016.00857

9. Zhang H, Yang Z, Zhang W, Niu Y, Li X, Qin L, et al. White blood cell subtypes and risk of type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complicat*. (2017) 31:31–7. doi: 10.1016/j. jdiacomp.2016.10.029

10. Arai Y, Kanda E, Iimori S, Naito S, Noda Y, Sasaki S, et al. Low white blood cell count is independently associated with chronic kidney disease progression in the elderly: the CKD-ROUTE study. *Clin Exp Nephrol.* (2018) 22:291–8. doi: 10.1007/s10157-017-1441-6

11. Arafa A, Kokubo Y, Kashima R, Teramoto M, Sakai Y, Nosaka S, et al. Association between white blood cell count and atrial fibrillation risk – a population-based prospective cohort study. *Circ J.* (2022) 87:41–9. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-22-0378

12. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. "Mendelian randomization": can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol.* (2003) 32:1–22. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyg070

13. Ziegler A, Pahlke F, König IR. Comments on "Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology" by Debbie a.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1387244/ full#supplementary-material

Lawlor, R. M. Harbord, J. A. Sterne, N. Timpson and G. Davey Smith, statistics in medicine, doi: 10.1002/Sim.3034. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:2974–6; author reply 6-8. doi: 10.1002/sim.3213

14. Pu K, Li L, Qiu Y, Song H. Lipids and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. (2024) 51:365–70. doi: 10.1016/j.anl.2023.11.006

15. Burgess S, Thompson SGCRP CHD Genetics Collaboration. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:755–64. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr036

16. Chen MH, Raffield LM, Mousas A, Sakaue S, Huffman JE, Moscati A, et al. Transethnic and ancestry-specific blood-cell genetics in 746,667 individuals from 5 global populations. *Cell.* (2020) 182:1198–213.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.045

17. Zhou T, Chen M, Yuan Z, Xia Z, Zhang S, Zhang Z, et al. Inflammatory markers and the risk of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14:1111255. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1111255

18. Bowden J, del Greco M F, Minelli C, Zhao Q, Lawlor DA, Sheehan NA, et al. Improving the accuracy of two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization: moving beyond the NOME assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:728–42. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dyy258

19. Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2015) 30:543–52. doi: 10.1007/s10654-015-0011-z

20. Zha LF, Dong JT, Wang JL, Chen QW, Wu JF, Zhou YC, et al. Effects of insomnia on peptic ulcer disease using Mendelian randomization. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev*. (2021) 2021:2216314. doi: 10.1155/2021/2216314

21. Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, Surendran P, Burgess S, Danesh J, et al. PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics*. (2019) 35:4851–3. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz469

22. Pierce BL, Burgess S. Efficient design for Mendelian randomization studies: subsample and 2-sample instrumental variable estimators. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2013) 178:1177–84. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwt084

23. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet*. (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

24. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

25. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

26. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. *JAMA*. (2017) 318:1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

27. Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Windmeijer F, Bowden J. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:713–27. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy262

28. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: the use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2015) 181:251–60. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwu283

29. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MRbase platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife*. (2018) 7:7. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408

30. Chen J, He J, Luo J, Zhong S. Association of  $\alpha$ ENaC p. Ala663Thr gene polymorphism with sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Front Genet.* (2021) 12:659517. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.659517

31. Weng SF, Chen YS, Hsu CJ, Tseng FY. Clinical features of sudden sensorineural hearing loss in diabetic patients. *Laryngoscope*. (2005) 115:1676–80. doi: 10.1097/01. mlg.0000184790.91675.e3

32. Qiao XF, Li X, Wang GP, Bai YH, Zheng W, Li TL. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Med Princ Pract.* (2019) 28:23–7. doi: 10.1159/000494556

33. Doo JG, Kim D, Kim Y, Yoo MC, Kim SS, Ryu J, et al. Biomarkers suggesting favorable prognostic outcomes in sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:7248. doi: 10.3390/ijms21197248

34. Ni W, Song SP, Jiang YD. Association between routine hematological parameters and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a meta-analysis. *J Otol.* (2021) 16:47–54. doi: 10.1016/j.joto.2020.07.006

35. Sun Y, Xia L, Wang H, Chen Z, Wu Y, Chen B, et al. Is nucleate cell count and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio related to patients with audiographically distinct sudden sensorineural hearing loss? *Medicine*. (2018) 97:e10586. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000010586

36. Cao Z, Li Z, Xiang H, Huang S, Gao J, Zhan X, et al. Prognostic role of haematological indices in sudden sensorineural hearing loss: review and meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta.* (2018) 483:104–11. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2018.04.025

37. Koçak HE, Acipayam H, Elbistanlı MS, Yiğider AP, Alakhras W, Kıral MN, et al. Is the monocyte/HDL ratio a prognostic marker of idiopathic sudden hearing loss? *Otolaryngol Pol.* (2016) 70:11–5. doi: 10.5604/00306657.1209435

38. Masuda M, Kanzaki S, Minami S, Kikuchi J, Kanzaki J, Sato H, et al. Correlations of inflammatory biomarkers with the onset and prognosis of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol.* (2012) 33:1142–50. doi: 10.1097/MAO.0b013e3182635417

39. Seo YJ, Jeong JH, Choi JY, Moon IS. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and plateletto-lymphocyte ratio: novel markers for diagnosis and prognosis in patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Dis Markers*. (2014) 2014:702807:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2014/702807

40. Hoffman M, Blum A, Baruch R, Kaplan E, Benjamin M. Leukocytes and coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis*. (2004) 172:1–6. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9150(03)00164-3

41. Ciccone MM, Cortese F, Pinto M, di Teo C, Fornarelli F, Gesualdo M, et al. Endothelial function and cardiovascular risk in patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Atherosclerosis.* (2012) 225:511–6. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2012.10.024

42. Capaccio P, Cuccarini V, Ottaviani F, Fracchiolla NS, Bossi A, Pignataro L. Prothrombotic gene mutations in patients with sudden sensorineural hearing loss and cardiovascular thrombotic disease. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* (2009) 118:205–10. doi: 10.1177/000348940911800308

43. Balta S, Demirkol S, Kucuk U. The platelet lymphocyte ratio may be useful inflammatory indicator in clinical practice. *Hemodial Int.* (2013) 17:668–9. doi: 10.1111/hdi.12058

44. Park YA, Kong TH, Seo YJ. A sustained increase of plasma fibrinogen in sudden sensorineural hearing loss predicts worse outcome independently. *Am J Otolaryngol.* (2017) 38:484–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjoto.2017.05.002