Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Venkatagiri Krishnamurthy, Emory University, United States

REVIEWED BY James Meabon, United States Department of Veterans Affairs, United States V. Sujith Sajja, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jennifer N. Belding ⊠ jenniferbelding@gmail.com

RECEIVED 02 June 2024 ACCEPTED 19 August 2024 PUBLISHED 04 September 2024

CITATION

Belding JN, Bonkowski J, Englert R, Grimes Stanfill A and Tsao JW (2024) Associations between concussion and more severe TBIs, mild cognitive impairment, and early-onset dementia among military retirees over 40 years. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1442715. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1442715

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Belding, Bonkowski, Englert, Grimes Stanfill and Tsao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Associations between concussion and more severe TBIs, mild cognitive impairment, and early-onset dementia among military retirees over 40 years

Jennifer N. Belding^{1,2}*, James Bonkowski^{1,2}, Robyn Englert^{1,2}, Ansley Grimes Stanfill³ and Jack W. Tsao⁴

¹Leidos Inc., San Diego, CA, United States, ²Psychological Health and Readiness Department, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA, United States, ³College of Nursing, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, United States, ⁴Department of Neurology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States

Background and objectives: As the population of U.S. service members (SMs) who have sustained concussions and more severe traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) during military service ages, understanding the long-term outcomes associated with such injuries will provide critical information that may promote long-term assessment, support, and rehabilitation following military service. The objective of this research was to examine whether concussion and more severe TBIs are associated with greater risk of precursors to dementia (i.e., mild cognitive impairment, memory loss), early-onset dementia, and any dementia.

Methods: This study used a retrospective cohort design wherein archival medical and career records from 1980 to 2020 identified U.S. military personnel who retired from military service and their corresponding Tricare-reimbursable medical encounters in inpatient and/or outpatient settings in military treatment facilities and/ or purchased care settings both before and after retirement. All military personnel who served on active duty between 1980 and 2020 and were at least 45 years of age by 2020 were eligible for inclusion (N = 6,092,432). Those who were discharged from military service with a retirement designation, and were thus eligible for Tricare for Life, were included in the analytic sample (N = 1,211,972). Diagnoses of concussion and more severe TBI during active duty service recorded in inpatient settings between 1980 and 2020 and in outpatient settings from 2001 to 2020 were identified. Focal outcomes of interest included memory loss, mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's, Lewy Body dementia, frontotemporal dementia, and vascular dementia. Dementia diagnoses before age 65 were labeled early-onset.

Results: Those with (vs. without) concussion diagnoses during military service were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with memory loss and mild cognitive impairment and any of the dementias examined. However, they were not at greater risk of being diagnosed with early-onset dementia.

Discussion: Military SMs diagnosed with concussion may be at elevated risk for long-term neurodegenerative outcomes including memory loss, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia. As the population of SMs who sustained TBI during the Global War on Terror continue to age, the prevalence of dementia will increase and may bring a unique burden to the VHA.

KEYWORDS

traumatic brain injury, concussion, dementia, memory loss, low-level blast, military

1 Introduction

Dementia is the result of a progressive neurodegenerative disease whose symptoms include memory impairment, alterations in decision making, diminished orientation, and neuropsychiatric symptoms (1-3). The risk of developing dementia increases with age; traditionally, when dementia symptoms emerge before age 65 it is labeled as early-onset (2, 4). Even before an official dementia diagnosis is recorded within the medical record, patients may be diagnosed with memory loss and mild cognitive impairment in both primary care and specialized settings (5-8). The risk for these dementia precursors also increases with age (1,9-11). The American population is aging and previous research suggests that the prevalence of dementia diagnoses, including Alzheimer's Disease, is also increasing and will result in increased healthcare expenditures (12, 13), including among veterans (14). Recent estimates suggested that the prevalence of veterans above age 65 who receive care at the United States Veterans Health Administration (VHA) is nearly 10% and is anticipated to increase 22% between 2020 and 2033 (15).

Although a plethora of risk factors associated with dementia diagnoses (including age, genetics, environmental factors, prior medical conditions, and lifestyle) have been identified, risk factors associated with precursors to dementia are relatively understudied (1, 2, 11, 14-21). While civilian and military populations share several of these risk factors, military service may place service members (SMs) and veterans at increased risk for others (17, 18, 22). Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one such risk factor that has been associated with neurodegeneration generally (23, 24). Unfortunately, concussions and more severe forms of TBI are prevalent among SMs (25). TBIs among military personnel may be caused by direct impact to the head (e.g., from a motor vehicle crash, fall) as well as from exposure to a high-level blast (HLB; i.e., blast exposure resulting from incoming munitions such as an improvised explosive device) (26-30). Though evidence is mixed, research suggests that moderate and severe TBIs are associated with greater risk of dementia (12, 13, 17, 18, 31–43). Research by Graham and Sharp (2019) suggested that TBI may put people at 50% greater risk of dementia and may be directly attributed to 5% of dementia cases. Similarly, analysis of VHA medical records suggests that TBI is associated with a 60% greater likelihood of dementia diagnoses (44). Furthermore, a study of World War II Navy and Marine Corps veterans showed that those who were hospitalized with head injury were at significantly greater risk of developing dementia (32). A variety of mechanisms have been proposed to explain this effect including axonal damage, inflammation, protein accumulation, vasospasm, oxidative stress, and more (17, 18, 22, 23, 33-35, 38, 40, 45-48).

However, it remains unclear whether the mildest severity of TBI, concussion, is associated with increased risk for dementia as findings are mixed in the literature (21, 24, 32, 49). Although some researchers have postulated that TBI generally hastens the onset of dementia, only limited evidence to date exists for such an association and findings with regard to concussion are not yet consistent (10, 32, 33, 37, 38, 40, 44–46, 48, 50). Previous research methodologies have also been limited in important ways. For example, few studies to date have had access to continuous historical records of medical care while serving on active duty and, thus, cannot examine the association between TBI sustained during military service and dementia after service while accounting for relevant confounds (22, 51). Furthermore, sole reliance on inpatient medical records is limiting because concussions are often treated on an outpatient basis (32). It is also often difficult for

researchers to account for other risk factors associated with dementia (e.g., PTSD) and thus disentangle them from TBI (14, 18, 31). Additionally, although case-control studies have some methodological advantages, researchers have noted that a combination of small sample size, low prevalence of TBI and/or dementia, and recall bias for survey-based research, have posed challenges for such methods (45).

Taken together, continued high incidence rates warrant further examination of long-term outcomes associated with TBI, including dementia and its precursors (33, 52–55). In addition to TBI, military personnel may be at greater risk of dementia than their civilian counterparts due to greater risk of mental health conditions (e.g., PTSD, depression), combat experience, occupational or environmental exposures (e.g., Agent Orange, Gulf War Illness), and more (14, 17, 18, 44, 47, 56, 57). One occupational exposure that warrants further attention is low-level blast (LLB) (26, 58-60). Whereas HLB is blast overpressure exposure that results from incoming munitions, LLB is blast overpressure exposure that results from outgoing munitions, such as firing heavy caliber weapons during training and in operational environments (26). Exposure to LLB differs across military occupations as warfighters in some occupations (e.g., artillery, special forces) are expected to fire such weapons systems more frequently than others (e.g., personnel and administration, food service) (61-63). Notably, no evidence to date has examined whether LLB is associated with increased risk of dementia among veterans, despite preliminary evidence that it is associated with memory loss and other neurological symptoms (26, 47, 58, 64-66). Furthermore, limited research to date has examined the effects of TBI on dementia among large samples of Global War on Terror veterans despite record rates of TBI likely due to their young age. Identification of risk factors associated with dementia and its precursors may provide opportunities for early intervention and decrease cumulative costs (67).

To address these gaps, we sought to determine whether concussion and TBIs of all severities, respectively, sustained during active military service were associated with a greater risk of (1) any dementia (2), early-onset dementia, and (3) precursors of dementia such as mild cognitive impairment and memory loss. To this end, we leveraged more than 40 years of archival military service population-level data from SMs that retired from service before the end of the study window. By examining this specific population of SMs, we examine a population that was relatively young and healthy at the time TBI occurred, had access to consistent medical care at no cost, and represents the existing and future patient population that will require resources and care over the next few decades.

2 Method

2.1 Database

The present research utilized the Naval Health Research Center's Career History Archival Medical and Personnel System (CHAMPS) which was established in the 1990s as a longitudinal record of pay-affecting career records and medical data for SMs who served on active duty from as early as 1980 to present (68). Career records include accession to military service, duty station or occupation changes, paygrade changes, and discharge from service. Medical records for Tricare-reimbursable medical encounters are integrated from the Military Health System Medical Data Repository (MDR).

Clinical diagnosis codes are available for inpatient encounters as far back as FY1980 and outpatient encounters back to FY2001 and were used to identify relevant diagnoses recorded during service. MDR data were also used to identify diagnoses recorded among Tricarereimbursable medical encounters after retirement from service. This study protocol and a waiver of informed consent was approved by the Naval Health Research Center Institution Review Board (protocol NHRC.2020.0013) and the manuscript was prepared according to RECORD guidelines.

2.2 Inclusion criteria

CHAMPS records were queried to identify military personnel who served on active duty and were at least 45 years old by January 1st, 2020. Those who served in more than one branch of service or who had a gap in service of >30 days were excluded. Medical and career data during service were followed until one of the following occurred (1): SMs had a discharge on record (2), there were no records for a period of 5 years but no official discharge on record, or (3) the end of the study window (1/1/2020). SMs without a discharge but with no new records for at least a 5-year period were considered lost to follow-up. Inspection of the data indicated that this occurred mostly in the distant past (i.e., the 1980s and 1990s; 86%) compared to the more recent past (e.g., 2000s and 2010s; 14%), which indicates improvements in electronic records over time. The analytic sample was further limited to those who retired from military service as they are eligible to continue receiving care that is reimbursed by Tricare, thus enabling records to be available for analysis from MDR.

2.3 Demographic and military service characteristics

CHAMPS includes specific demographic and military service characteristics obtained from Defense Manpower Data Center records, though the nature of how these data were collected and reported changed over time in accordance with DoD policy and societal conventions. Demographic characteristics available included sex and race. To be consistent with modern JAMA guidelines for reporting race and ethnicity, we report only race (American Indian/ Alaskan Native, Asian, Black/African American, not identified, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, White, and multiracial) because combinations of race/ethnicity (e.g., non-Hispanic White) were not possible. We combined individuals with more than one race on record into a single "multiracial" category for parsimony because the database retains the specific intersectionality of multiple races, though sample sizes for certain combinations (e.g., "American Indian/ Alaskan Native, Asian, Black/African American" as a combined category) were too small to permit data analysis in accordance with privacy standards.

Military service characteristics included branch of service, paygrade classification, and occupational risk of LLB. Service branch was identified at initial accession into the military; those who changed branches were excluded. Participants were identified as officers or enlisted personnel based on rank at time of accession into military service. As with previous epidemiological research on LLB, military occupational specialty (MOS) was used to identify those with high-vs. low-risk occupational risk of LLB exposure, which has been described in detail in previous literature (26, 61, 62).

2.4 Diagnoses of interest

Flags for relevant diagnoses during and after service, respectively, were identified using ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes, and the earliest date of diagnosis was retained. In addition to the focal diagnoses of interest (i.e., TBI and dementia), a variety of conditions known to be associated with dementia were identified (69–72). TBI and mental health diagnoses were identified in accordance with case criteria provided by the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch (61, 73). Dementia and other conditions associated with dementia (henceforth referred to as covariates, e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes) were identified in accordance with previously published research and VHA Directive 1140.12 where possible (5, 9, 44, 74–77). See the Supplementary material for the full list of diagnoses.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Frequencies were calculated for demographic and military service characteristics for those in the overall sample and those who retired, respectively. Chi-square analyses were used to examine differences between the full sample and the retirees. Frequencies are reported for the diagnoses of interest. For the entire sample, only medical diagnoses reported during service are provided; for those who retired, frequencies of diagnoses recorded during service and after service (i.e., as a retiree) are provided separately. Examinations of whether retirees were diagnosed with early-onset dementia were conducted, followed by whether retirees had been diagnosed with any of the conditions of interest examined (regardless of the age at diagnosis). In each set of analyses, diagnoses for medical conditions that may be associated with dementia (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes) were entered as separate variables and coded in a binary fashion (yes/no).

2.5.1 Logistic regression for early-onset

Whether participants received diagnoses of any dementia (excluding memory loss and mild cognitive impairment) and Alzheimer's before age 65, respectively (yes = 1, no = 0), were regressed on history of concussion or any TBI during service, respectively. Subsequently, analyses were repeated to examine occupational risk of LLB while adjusting for branch of service, year of birth, sex, paygrade classification, race, and flags for diagnoses of the covariates (during or after service) listed previously.

2.5.2 Cox proportional hazards analysis

In order to examine whether any TBI and concussions were sustained during active duty service were associated with significantly greater risk of diagnosis of dementia, separate Cox (proportional hazards) regression analyses were used in which the diagnoses of interest (e.g., any dementia, any Alzheimer's) were regressed on any TBI diagnosis or concussion diagnoses in separate analyses. Cox regressions, which are a type of survival analysis (a collection of analytic methods that allow researchers to examine the time until a certain event occurs while accounting for the fact that some data may be censored), were used in order to account for differences in duration of follow up over the study period. For more information on survival analysis in general and hazard analyses specifically, readers are referred to work by Clark, Bradburn, and colleagues (78, 79). When these analyses included MCI and memory loss, we refer to it as cognitive disorders; when excluding diagnoses of MCI and memory loss, we refer to the relevant diagnoses as dementia. For analyses of any dementia excluding memory loss and MCI, participants with a diagnosis of memory loss and/or MCI were not excluded from the analyses; rather, those with diagnosis of memory loss or MCI were coded as non-cases unless there was also a diagnosis of one of the dementia diagnoses in their medical record. These analyses were repeated to examine occupational risk of LLB and adjusted for branch of service (referent: Army), year of birth, paygrade classification (referent: enlisted only), race (referent: White), sex (referent: male) and flags for diagnoses of the covariates listed previously (referent: no diagnosis on record). These analyses were also repeated stratifying by branch of service.

For both sets of analyses, adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and adjusted hazard ratios (AHRs) are reported in the text; confidence intervals are available in the relevant tables. Due to the large sample size and large number of statistical tests, a strict p < 0.001 threshold was used to identify significant findings for all analyses (80, 81).

3 Results

A total of 6,092,432 SMs were identified in CHAMPS (see Table 1). Of these, 1,211,972 (19.9%) had a discharge code of retirement and were included in subsequent analyses. Each of the military service and demographic characteristics significantly differed by retirement status (all ps < 0.001). For example, whereas members of the Air Force were significantly more likely to retire, those who served in the Marine Corps, and Navy were significantly less likely to retire. Women and enlisted personnel were also significantly less likely to retire compared to men and officers, respectively. The average age of retirees at the end of military service was 43.2; the average age at the end of the study window for retirees was 65.8.

Frequency of TBIs, diagnoses of relevant covariates, and dementia diagnoses are reported in Table 2. Approximately 1% of the total sample had at least one TBI diagnosis on record, with concussions being the most common. As expected, the total sample was relatively healthy with between 0.6-7.3% having one of the diagnoses of relevant covariates during service; mental health diagnoses were most commonly reported, followed by hyperlipidemia, hypercholesterolemia, and sleep disturbance. TBI diagnoses and diagnoses of covariates were significantly higher among retirees compared to the total sample, which may reflect the longer monitoring period for retirees compared to non-retirees in this sample, thus permitting observation throughout the average age of onset for the covariates. As expected, diagnoses of dementia were very rare (<1%) during active duty service and among retirees; the most common diagnoses were memory loss and diagnoses that fell within the "other dementia" category.

3.1 Any early-onset dementia

Veterans who sustained a concussion during active duty service were more likely to be diagnosed with any early-onset dementia TABLE 1 Demographic and military service characteristics for the overall sample and the subset who retired from military service.

	Total sa (<i>N</i> = 6,09	s only 1,972)		
	N	%	N	%
Branch of service				
Army	2,407,041	39.5	377,142	31.1
Air Force	1,369,215	22.5	447,617	36.9
Navy	1,643,766	27.0	314,706	26.0
Marine Corps	672,410	11.0	72,507	6.0
Occupational risk of LLB	1			1
Low risk	5,136,117	84.3	1,106,719	91.3
High risk	956,315	15.7	105,253	8.7
Sex				
Male	5,363,478	88.0	1,127,201	93.0
Female	728,688	12.0	84,770	7.0
Missing	266	<0.1	1	< 0.1
Paygrade				
Enlisted	5,476,023	89.9	923,745	76.2
Officer	568,761	9.3	255,648	21.1
Both	47,648	0.8	32,579	2.7
Race				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	29,522	0.5	5,653	0.5
Asian	104,811	1.7	37,321	3.1
Black or African American	1,133,997	18.6	225,129	18.6
Not identified	197,825	3.2	31,347	2.6
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7,788	0.1	4,734	0.4
White	4,607,727	75.6	900,731	74.3
Multiracial	10,762	0.2	7,057	0.6
Status at end of study window				
Serving on active duty	30,841	0.5	0	0
Lost to attrition	128,309	2.1	0	0
Discharged	5,933,282	97.4	1,211,972	100.0
Administrative separation	1,192,685	19.6	0	0
Death (during service)	30,205	0.5	0	0
Disability	409,653	6.7	0	0
Early release	667,017	10.9	0	0
End of active service	2,011,153	33.0	0	0
Missing	422	<0.0	0	0
Other	172,058	2.8	0	0
Reenlistment	5,589	0.1	0	0
Retirement	1,211,972	19.9	1,211,972	100.0
Unqualified	232,528	3.8	0	0
Age	М	SD	М	SD
Age at end of military service	29.0	8.9	43.2	4.5
Age at end of study window (2020)	57.9	8.4	65.8	10.6

TABLE 2 Descriptive number of diagnoses recorded during and after service for the overall sample and the retiree subset.

	Total san (<i>N</i> = 6,092		Retirees (<i>N</i> = 1,211,972)						
	During service		During servio	ce	After service				
	N	%	N	%	N	%			
Traumatic brain injury									
Any TBI	64,065	1.1	23,185	1.9	53,746	4.4			
Mild TBI (i.e., concussion)	42,970	0.7	17,477	1.4	40,726	3.4			
Moderate TBI	23,298	0.4	6,624	0.5	16,427	1.4			
Severe TBI	666	<0.1	137	<0.1	730	0.1			
Penetrating TBI	930	<0.1	244	<0.1	904	0.1			
Covariates during military service									
Cardiovascular disease	138,474	2.3	74,093	6.1	493,831	40.7			
Diabetes	38,394	0.6	20,486	1.7	284,021	23.4			
Hypercholesterolemia	297,374	4.9	184,052	15.2	663,057	54.7			
Hyperlipidemia	302,594	5.0	183,664	15.2	728,478	60.1			
Mental health diagnosis	444,216	7.3	192,148	15.9	588,324	48.5			
Obesity	179,482	2.9	101,286	8.4	346,104	28.6			
Sleep disturbance	274,069	4.5	172,045	14.2	409,172	33.8			
Substance abuse	141,213	2.3	37,087	3.1	83,391	6.9			
Dementia									
Any dementia (including memory loss and MCI)	22,472	0.4	10,754	0.9	70,440	5.8			
Any dementia (excluding memory loss and MCI)	2,315	<0.1	751	0.1	43,573	3.6			
Any Alzheimer's	66	<0.1	-	<0.1	8,129	0.7			
Dementia with Lewy Bodies	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	1,787	0.1			
Frontotemporal dementia	35	<0.1	-	<0.1	742	0.1			
Memory Loss	20,002	<0.1	9,891	0.8	32,695	2.7			
Mild Cognitive Impairment	2,053	0.3	817	0.1	7,349	0.6			
Vascular dementia	260	<0.1	61	<0.1	4,224	0.3			
Parkinson's Disease	329	<0.1	122	<0.1	10,427	0.9			
Other dementia	1,705	<0.1	536	<0.1	32,671	2.7			
Huntington's	40	<0.1	-	<0.1	255	<0.1			
Substance use-related dementia	166	<0.1	53	<0.1	1,966	0.2			

-, data cannot be reported due to HIPAA guidelines as the N is < 30.

(excluding memory loss and mild cognitive impairment; AOR = 1.13; see Table 3), though this finding did not reach our strict p < 0.001 threshold. In separate analyses examining TBIs of all severity, having sustained any TBI during service was not associated with early-onset dementia (AOR = 0.65). Occupational risk of LLB was not associated with increased likelihood of early-onset dementia in either analysis.

3.2 Early-onset Alzheimer's

Retirees who sustained a concussion during military service were more likely to be diagnosed with early-onset Alzheimer's (AOR = 1.14; see Table 3). However, having sustained a TBI of any severity was associated with less risk of having an early-onset Alzheimer's diagnosis (AOR=0.67). Neither of these tests achieved our strict p < 0.001 threshold. Occupational risk of LLB was not associated with early-onset Alzheimer's in either analysis.

3.3 Concussion survival analysis

Veterans who sustained a concussion during active duty service were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with any of the neurodegenerative conditions of interest (including MCI and memory loss; AHR=4.61), any dementia (excluding MCI and memory loss, AHR=1.64), memory loss (AHR=4.14), MCI (AHR=4.44) and other dementia (AHR=1.91; see Table 4). Additionally, occupational risk of LLB was associated with significantly greater likelihood of being diagnosed with any

TABLE 3 Unadjusted and adjusted ORs for concussions or any TBI sustained during active duty service and occupational risk of LLB on likelihood of having a diagnosis of any dementia (excluding MCI and memory loss; *N* = 23,897) or Alzheimer's (*N* = 2,759) before age 65 using logistic regression.

	Unadjusted			Adjusted			Unadjusted			Adjusted			
	OR	CI	р	AOR	CI	р	OR	CI	р	AOR	CI	р	
	Concussions during service							Occupational risk of LLB					
Any early-onset	0.93	0.83,	0.22	1.13	1.00,	0.04	1.10	1.06,	< 0.001	1.00	0.95,	0.85	
dementia		1.04			1.26			1.15			1.04		
(excluding MCI													
and memory													
loss)													
Early-onset	0.45	0.28,	0.001	1.14	1.03,	0.01	0.95	0.83,	0.47	1.00	0.95,	0.84	
Alzheimer's		0.71			1.25			1.09			1.04		
			Any TBI du	ring service			Occupational risk of LLB						
Any early-onset	0.99	0.90,	0.81	0.65	0.41,	0.08	1.10	1.06,	< 0.001	0.89	0.78,	0.12	
dementia		1.09			1.04			1.15			1.03		
(excluding MCI													
and memory													
loss)													
Early-onset	0.49	0.33,	<0.001	0.67	0.46,	0.05	0.95	0.83,	0.47	0.89	0.78,	0.12	
Alzheimer's		0.72			1.00			1.09			1.03		

cognitive disorder of interest (including MCI and memory loss, AHR = 1.11) and memory loss (AHR = 1.16). The associations between concussion during military service and occupational risk of LLB were not significantly associated with the other diagnoses of interest (e.g., Parkinson's disease and Huntington's disease). Results from analyses stratified by branch of service were consistent with those reported in Table 4 except that the association between occupational risk of LLB and any dementia and memory loss, respectively, were nonsignificant (data not shown).

3.4 Any TBI survival analysis

Veterans who sustained any TBI (including concussion) were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with any cognitive disorder of interest (including memory loss and MCI, AHR=4.60), any dementia (excluding memory loss and MCI, AHR = 1.58), memory loss (AHR=4.38), MCI (AHR=4.30), and other dementia (AHR = 1.84); they were significantly less likely to be diagnosed with substance-use-related dementia (AHR=0.60; see Table 5). The associations between any TBI and the other diagnoses of interest were nonsignificant. Occupational risk of LLB was significantly associated with any cognitive disorder (including memory loss and MCI; AHR = 1.10) and memory loss (AHR = 1.14), but not any dementia excluding memory loss and MCI (AHR=1.01); it was not associated with any of the other conditions of interest. Additional sensitivity analyses in which TBIs diagnosed after service were also included as predictors were conducted; findings were consistent with those reported in Table 4 and are not discussed further. Results from analyses stratified by branch of service were consistent with those reported in Table 5 except that the association between occupational risk of LLB and any dementia and memory loss, respectively, were nonsignificant (data not shown).

4 Discussion

Although prior research suggests that moderate and severe TBIs may be associated with dementia, relatively less work has focused directly on concussion and precursors to dementia (13, 36, 38, 39). Using a large, longitudinal cohort of >1.2 million military retirees spanning 40 years of consistent medical records, the present study investigated whether concussion and more severe TBI may be associated with dementia and its precursors. Findings suggested that retirees who sustained a concussion during active duty service were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with any of the cognitive disorders of interest (including MCI and memory loss). Although concussions were not associated with significantly greater risk of specific dementias (i.e., Alzheimer's, dementia with Lewy Bodies, frontotemporal dementia, vascular dementia) or other related conditions (i.e., Parkinson's, Huntington's, substance use-related dementias), it was significantly associated with a single variable that combined all of these conditions together. Considering the average age of retirees in this sample (66 years old) is just barely over the range for late-onset dementia, it is unsurprising that the relatively small sample sizes in individual analyses resulted in non-significant findings while the relatively larger sample size of the combined group resulted in significant findings. Nonetheless, our results also demonstrated that veterans with a concussion on record were over four times more likely than their non-injured counterparts to be diagnosed with memory loss and MCI, which may be precursors to dementia (40, 42). We also note that similar findings were observed when we examined the effect of any TBI (including concussion).

An additional finding that is worthy of note is the association observed between occupational risk of LLB and these same precursors of dementia, specifically memory loss and MCI. Even when adjusting for a variety of military service and demographic characteristics, as well as relevant medical conditions, those who worked in high (vs. lower) risk jobs were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with

		Unadjus	ted mode	els				Adjustec	l models			
	Concussions during service				Concussions during service				Occupational risk of LLB			
	HR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	р	AHR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	р	AHR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	p
Any cognitive disorder	3.76	3.65	3.88	<0.001	4.61	4.46	4.77	<0.001	1.11	1.08	1.14	< 0.001
Any dementia (excluding memory loss and MCI)	0.52	0.46	0.57	<0.001	1.64	1.47	1.83	<0.001	1.01	0.97	1.04	0.77
Any Alzheimer's	0.20	0.14	0.30	<0.001	1.29	0.86	1.93	0.22	0.97	0.88	1.06	0.46
Dementia with lewy bodies	0.12	0.04	0.36	<0.001	0.78	0.25	2.44	0.67	0.96	0.78	1.18	0.71
Frontotemporal dementia	0.28	0.09	0.85	<0.001	0.44	0.14	1.37	0.16	0.90	0.67	1.22	0.51
Memory Loss	7.03	6.80	7.26	<0.001	4.14	4.00	4.29	<0.001	1.16	1.12	1.20	< 0.001
Mild cognitive impairment	5.45	5.01	5.92	<0.001	4.44	4.06	4.86	<0.001	1.07	1.00	1.16	0.06
Vascular dementia	0.22	0.13	0.38	<0.001	0.93	0.55	1.57	0.78	0.95	0.85	1.06	0.33
Parkinson's disease	0.32	0.24	0.42	<0.001	1.07	0.81	1.42	0.64	1.00	0.93	1.09	0.95
Other dementia	0.56	0.49	0.63	<0.001	1.91	1.69	2.16	<0.001	1.01	0.97	1.06	0.54
Huntington's	0.51	0.56	3.26	0.51	1.12	0.45	2.76	0.81	1.13	0.74	1.71	0.57
Substance use- related dementia	0.44	0.26	0.76	0.003	0.60	0.34	1.03	0.07	1.06	0.91	1.23	0.45

TABLE 4 Unadjusted and adjusted HRs (AHRs) for concussions sustained during active duty service and occupational risk of LLB on dementia diagnoses of interest.

AHRs were identified using survival analysis and are reported when controlling for branch of service, year of birth, paygrade classification, race, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipidemia, any mental health condition, obesity, any sleep disturbance, and any substance abuse. Bold text indicates statistically significant effects.

memory loss. This is consistent with a growing body of literature suggesting the association between LLB and memory issues. This is the first time such an association has been demonstrated using official diagnoses (rather than subclinical self-report) among veterans; all previous findings have been limited to relatively small samples of those actively serving in the armed forces or law enforcement personnel (58). However, we note that occupational risk of LLB was not associated with any dementia when diagnoses of memory loss or MCI were excluded in the present sample. There are multiple explanations for this pattern of results. For example, the lack of an association between occupational risk of LLB and dementia may be due to the relatively young age of the sample. Alternately, it may suggest that the association between occupational risk of LLB and memory loss occurs by a different mechanism than Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. Further investigation on the long-term neurodegenerative outcomes associated with LLB exposure is warranted.

Findings from the present research should be considered in light of several important limitations. The population utilized for the present research may not generalize to service members and veterans more generally. For example, the prevalence of TBIs among this unique population (<5%) was notably lower than that reported in other scientific studies to date. For example, Hoge and colleagues reported in 2008 that 15% of soldiers returning from deployment to

Afghanistan sustained a concussion (55). This can be explained by several important features of our methodology. First, the data used in the present research is particularly unique in that it utilized a 40 year time period from 1980 to 2020. Modern reports of TBI prevalence use a much more recent time frame during the Global War on Terror, which saw heightened awareness of TBI, including changes in policies and guidelines for identification and treatment, as well as changes in diagnostic coding criteria and potentially policies governing insurance coverage. By utilizing a time window prior to September 11th, 2001, and subsequent deployments, our population would be expected to have a lower prevalence of TBI. Second, the present sample involved only those who were at least 45 years of age by 2020, which means that some service members who served during the Global War on Terror were not eligible for inclusion. For example, someone who was 20 years old when they deployed in 2008 would only be 32 at the time of the end of the study window and thus not be included. Third, our sample included only those who had been discharged with a code of retirement. The Military Health System provides healthcare for those actively serving in the U.S. military as well as for those who retire from service (typically after 20+ years of military service), whereas the VHA provides healthcare for eligible veterans more generally. Although the present research was intended to include healthcare reimbursement records from both the DoD and VHA (which would have resulted in inclusion of the 6.1 M veterans in the total sample), we were ultimately

	Unadjusted models				Adjusted models								
	Any TBI during service				Any TB	l during s	ervice		Occupational risk of LLB				
	HR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	р	AHR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	р	AHR	95% CI LL	95% CI UL	p	
Any cognitive disorder	3.76	3.66	3.87	<0.001	4.60	4.47	4.74	<0.001	1.10	1.07	1.13	< 0.001	
Any dementia (excluding memory loss and MCI)	0.55	0.50	0.60	<0.001	1.58	1.44	1.73	<0.001	1.01	0.97	1.04	0.78	
Any Alzheimer's	0.21	0.15	0.29	<0.001	1.14	0.81	1.61	0.46	0.97	0.88	1.06	0.47	
Dementia with Lewy bodies	0.12	0.04	0.31	<0.001	0.67	0.25	1.80	0.43	0.96	0.78	1.18	0.71	
Frontotemporal dementia	0.34	0.14	0.83	0.02	0.52	0.22	1.27	0.15	0.91	0.67	1.22	0.51	
Memory loss	7.10	6.90	7.32	<0.001	4.38	4.24	4.53	<0.001	1.14	1.11	1.18	< 0.001	
Mild cognitive Impairment	5.21	4.83	5.62	<0.001	4.30	3.96	4.66	<0.001	1.07	0.99	1.15	0.10	
Vascular dementia	0.24	0.16	0.37	<0.001	0.86	0.55	1.34	0.50	0.95	0.85	1.06	0.33	
Parkinson's Disease	0.33	0.26	0.42	<0.001	1.02	0.80	1.30	0.89	1.00	0.93	1.09	0.94	
Other dementia	0.60	0.54	0.66	<0.001	1.84	1.66	2.04	<0.001	1.01	0.97	1.06	0.55	
Huntington's	1.22	0.54	2.73	0.64	1.00	0.44	2.29	>0.99	1.13	0.75	1.72	0.56	
Substance use- related dementia	0.51	0.33	0.80	0.003	0.60	0.38	0.93	0.02	1.06	0.91	1.23	0.45	

TABLE 5 Unadjusted and adjusted HRs (AHRs) for any TBI sustained during active duty service and occupational risk of LLB on dementia diagnoses of interest.

AHRs were identified using survival analyses and are reported when controlling for branch of service, year of birth, paygrade classification, race, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipidemia, any mental health condition, obesity, any sleep disturbance, and any substance abuse. The categories listed in the rows below denote that those diagnostic categories were examined as outcomes in separate analyses (e.g., any dementia was examined as the dependent variable in one analysis and any Alzheimer's was examined as a dependent variable in a separate analysis). Bold text indicates statistically significant effects.

unable to obtain the appropriate regulatory approvals to access and link data from the VHA to that from the DoD. As a result, the participants included in the present research were generally of good health and able to complete 20+ years of military service to quality for retirement. A lower prevalence of TBI is expected in such a healthy population, though this may limit the generalizability of the present findings.

An additional important limitation of the present research is that it relied on ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes to identify the conditions of interest. The relevant codes were identified using a combination of DoD and VHA policies and prior scientific literature. To be included in these analyses, the codes were recorded in Tricare-reimbursable medical encounters, which assumes that the participant sought healthcare that was paid for by Tricare. The present research was unable to clinically confirm dementia diagnoses (e.g., through chart review or additional testing). While ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes are utilized for tracking healthcare reimbursements, they have several limitations which have been discussed at length elsewhere (82, 83). For example, ICD codes do not perfectly identify cases of dementia, cannot distinguish between single and repeated TBI, do not currently provide information on mechanism of TBI (i.e., impactinduced TBI vs. HLB-induced TBI), do not provide information on genetic factors associated with dementia, and have varying levels of sensitivity and specificity depending on the medical condition at hand (82, 83). In addition to limitations with the utilization of ICD codes more generally, the present research required only a single diagnosis of dementia to identify possible cases, which may result in misclassification bias. To address this concern, we conducted sensitivity analyses in which we required more than one diagnosis of dementia as our case criteria; findings were consistent with those reported herein, though the strength of the associations between concussion and TBIs of any severity were attenuated. For example, the association between concussion and any cognitive disorder remained significant (AHR = 3.01, 95% CI: 2.83, 3.20). Furthermore, examining the differences between diagnoses of dementia recorded in inpatient and outpatient settings is beyond the scope of the present manuscript.

The limitations of ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes notwithstanding, ICD codes have been utilized in a variety of research studies such as those to estimate incidence, prevalence, and global burden of disease for certain health conditions (84). Additionally, ICD diagnoses have been noted as being particularly useful for examining the health of older and disabled populations (84, 85), including for examination of neurological conditions specifically (86). Although the use of ICD codes may be imperfect and result in misclassification bias, they enabled the present population-based study of relatively young and healthy U.S. veterans over a 40 year timespan. However, the present research was not able to differentiate whether the TBIs examined using ICD codes herein were associated with medical retirement from service. The present findings likely underestimate the true association between concussions and more severe TBIs and dementia. Future research would benefit from inclusion of medical records from the VHA. Considering the aging population of military veterans, looking at historical data, even if imperfect, provides an opportunity to gain valuable insight into the scope of the potential cost associated with providing care for an aging population of service members and veterans over time. Future research could also examine whether the association between TBI and neurodegeneration differs based on the range of diagnoses (e.g., neurodegeneration associated with memory loss versus motor neuron disease).

When taken together, these findings add to a growing body of evidence on the association between concussions and neurodegenerative conditions. Importantly, we have demonstrated that veterans who sustained a concussion during active duty service were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with any of the cognitive disorders of interest as well as precursors to dementia, including memory loss and mild cognitive impairment, though it was not associated with early-onset dementia specifically. As the population of SMs diagnosed with TBI during the Global War on Terror continues to age, the prevalence of dementia will continue to increase and may bring a unique burden to the VHA medical system. The information provided here will serve to better inform long-term assessment, support, and rehabilitation programs for all individuals affected by TBI, both military and civilian.

Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/ restrictions: Data were obtained from the Career History Archival Medical and Personnel System which is maintained by the Naval Health Research Center. Due to agreements covering data sharing, CHAMPS data cannot be shared outside of NHRC researchers. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because this study used population-level data and it would be impossible to contact all participants; a waiver of informed consent was granted.

Author contributions

JBe: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JBo: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. RE: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AG: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JT: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Jennifer N. Belding and Jack Tsao are military service members or employees of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17, U.S.C. §105 provides that copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the U.S. Government. Title 17, U.S.C. §101 defines a U.S. Government work as work prepared by a military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that person's official duties. Lab report #23-16 was supported by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs award W81XWH-19-1-0868 under work unit no. N1518. The study protocol was approved by the Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects. Research data were derived from an approved Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board protocol, number NHRC.2020.0013.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Cynthia (Cindy) Thomsen and Shannon Fitzmaurice for their support during the early stages of this project.

Conflict of interest

JBe, JBo, and RE were employed by Leidos Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

JBe and JT are military service members or employees of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17, U.S.C. §105 provides that copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the U.S. Government. Title 17, U.S.C. §101 defines a U.S. Government work as work prepared by a military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that person's official duties. Lab report #23-16 was supported by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs award W81XWH-19-1-0868 under work unit no. N1518. The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy,

References

1. Nussbaum RL, Ellis CE. Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med. (2003) 348:1356-64. doi: 10.1056/NEJM2003ra020003

2. Ulep MG, Saraon SK, McLea S. Alzheimer disease. J Nurse Pract. (2018) 14:129–35. doi: 10.1016/j.nurpra.2017.10.014

3. Gitlin LN, Mann WC, Vogel WB, Arthur PB. A non-pharmacologic approach to address challenging behaviors of veterans with dementia: description of the tailored activity program-VA randomized trial. *BMC Geriatr.* (2013) 13:96. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-13-96

4. McMurtray A, Clark DG, Christine D, Mendez MF. Early-onset dementia: frequency and causes compared to late-onset dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. (2006) 21:59–64. doi: 10.1159/000089546

5. Cho K, Gagnon DR, Driver JA, Altincatal A, Kosik N, Lanes S, et al. Dementia coding, workup, and treatment in the VA new England healthcare system. *Int J Alzheimers Dis.* (2014) 2014:821894. doi: 10.1155/2014/821894

6. Kikuchi M, Kobayashi K, Itoh S, Kasuga K, Miyashita A, Ikeuchi T, et al. Identification of mild cognitive impairment subtypes predicting conversion to Alzheimer's disease using multimodal data. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2022) 20:5296–308. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2022.08.007

7. Campbell NL, Unverzagt F, LaMantia MA, Khan BA, Boustani MA. Risk factors for the progression of mild cognitive impairment to dementia. *Clin Geriatr Med.* (2013) 29:873–93. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2013.07.009

 Crane PK, Gibbons LE, Dams-O'Connor K, Trittschuh E, Leverenz JB, Keene CD, et al. Association of traumatic brain injury with late-life neurodegenerative conditions and neuropathologic findings. *JAMA Neurol.* (2016) 73:1062–9. doi: 10.1001/ jamaneurol.2016.1948

9. Lwi SJ, Barnes DE, Xia F, Peltz C, Hoang T, Yaffe K. Ten-year prevalence of cognitive impairment diagnoses and associated medical and psychiatric conditions in a national cohort of older female veterans. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2019) 27:417–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2018.12.015

10. Vieira RT, Caixeta L, Machado S, Silva AC, Nardi AE, Arias-Carrión O, et al. Epidemiology of early-onset dementia: a review of the literature. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health.* (2013) 9:88–95. doi: 10.2174/1745017901309010088

11. Krishnan LL, Petersen NJ, Snow AL, Cully JA, Schulz PE, Graham DP, et al. Prevalence of dementia among veterans affairs medical care system users. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. (2005) 20:245–53. doi: 10.1159/000087345

12. GBD US Neurological Disorders Collaborators. Burden of neurological disorders across the US from 1990-2017: a global burden of disease study. *JAMA Neurol.* (2021) 78:165–76. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.4152

13. GBD 2019 Dementia Collaborators. The burden of dementia due to down syndrome, Parkinson's disease, stroke, and traumatic brain injury: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Neuroepidemiology*. (2021) 55:286–96. doi: 10.1159/000515393

14. Sibener L, Zaganjor I, Snyder HM, Bain LJ, Egge R, Carrillo MC. Alzheimer's disease prevalence, costs, and prevention for military personnel and veterans. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2014) 10:S105–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.011

15. Zhu CW, Sano M. Demographic, health, and exposure risks associated with cognitive loss, Alzheimer's disease and other dementias in US military veterans. *Front Psychiatry*. (2021) 12:610334. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.610334

16. Wilkinson T, Ly A, Schnier C, Rannikmäe K, Bush K, Brayne C, et al. Identifying dementia cases with routinely collected health data: a systematic review. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2018) 14:1038–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.016

17. Veitch DP, Friedl KE, Weiner MW. Military risk factors for cognitive decline, dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* (2013) 10:907–30. doi: 10.2174/15672050113109990142

Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government. The study protocol was approved by the Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects. Research data were derived from an approved Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board protocol, number NHRC.2020.0013.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1442715/ full#supplementary-material

18. Vincent AS, Roebuck-Spencer TM, Cernich A. Cognitive changes and dementia risk after traumatic brain injury: implications for aging military personnel. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2014) 10:S174–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.006

19. Mayeux R, Stern Y. Epidemiology of Alzheimer disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* (2012) 2:a006239. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a006239

20. Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long-term health benefits of physical activity – a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *BMC Public Health*. (2013) 13:813. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-813

21. Gardner RC, Yaffe K. Epidemiology of mild traumatic brain injury and neurodegenerative disease. *Mol Cell Neurosci.* (2015) 66:75-80. doi: 10.1016/j. mcn.2015.03.001

22. Peterson K, Veazie S, Bourne D, Johanna A. VA evidence-based synthesis program reports In: Evidence brief: Traumatic brain injury and dementia. Washington (DC): Department of Veterans Affairs (US) (2019)

23. Gavett BE, Stern RA, Cantu RC, Nowinski CJ, McKee AC. Mild traumatic brain injury: a risk factor for neurodegeneration. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2010) 2:18. doi: 10.1186/alzrt42

24. Daneshvar DH, Riley DO, Nowinski CJ, McKee AC, Stern RA, Cantu RC. Longterm consequences: effects on normal development profile after concussion. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. (2011) 22:683–700. doi: 10.1016/j.pmr.2011.08.009

25. Kim P, Stephanie V, Donald B, Johanna A. (2022). Traumatic brain injury Center of Excellence. *DoD numbers for traumatic brain injury worldwide*. Available at: https://health.mil/Military-Health-Topics/Centers-of-Excellence/Traumatic-Brain-Injury-Center-of-Excellence/DOD-TBI-Worldwide-Numbers (Accessed September 9, 2022).

26. Belding JN, Egnoto M, Englert RM, Fitzmaurice S, Thomsen CJ. Getting on the same page: consolidating terminology to facilitate cross-disciplinary health-related blast research. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:12. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.695496

27. Okie S. Traumatic brain injury in the war zone. N Engl J Med. (2005) 352:2043–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp058102

28. Warden D. Military TBI during the Iraq and Afghanistan wars. J Head Trauma Rehabil. (2006) 21:398–402. doi: 10.1097/00001199-200609000-00004

29. Tanielian T, Jaycox L. Invisible wounds of war: psychological and cognitive injuries, their consequences, and services to assist recovery. Santa Monica: CA, RAND Corporation (2008).

30. DePalma RG, Hoffman SW. Combat blast related traumatic brain injury (TBI): decade of recognition: promise of progress. *Behav Brain Res.* (2018) 340:102–5. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2016.08.036

31. Dams-O'Connor K, Guetta G, Hahn-Ketter AE, Fedor A. Traumatic brain injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: current knowledge and future directions. *Neurodegener Dis Manag.* (2016) 6:417–29. doi: 10.2217/nmt-2016-0017

32. Plassman BL, Havlik RJ, Steffens DC, Helms MJ, Newman TN, Drosdick D, et al. Documented head injury in early adulthood and risk of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. *Neurology*. (2000) 55:1158–66. doi: 10.1212/WNL.55.8.1158

33. Smith DH, Johnson VE, Stewart W. Chronic neuropathologies of single and repetitive TBI: substrates of dementia? *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2013) 9:211–21. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.29

34. Faden AI, Loane DJ. Chronic neurodegeneration after traumatic brain injury: Alzheimer disease, chronic traumatic encephalopathy, or persistent neuroinflammation? *Neurotherapeutics*. (2015) 12:143–50. doi: 10.1007/s13311-014-0319-5

35. Cruz-Haces M, Tang J, Acosta G, Fernandez J, Shi R. Pathological correlations between traumatic brain injury and chronic neurodegenerative diseases. *Transl Neurodegen.* (2017) 6:20. doi: 10.1186/s40035-017-0088-2

36. Li Y, Li X, Zhang S, Zhao J, Zhu X, et al. Head injury as a risk factor for dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 32 observational studies. *PLoS One.* (2017) 12:e0169650. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169650

37. Julien J, Joubert S, Ferland MC, Frenette LC, Boudreau-Duhaime MM, Malo-Véronneau L, et al. Association of traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer disease onset: a systematic review. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* (2017) 60:347–56. doi: 10.1016/j. rehab.2017.03.009

38. Graham NS, Sharp DJ. Understanding neurodegeneration after traumatic brain injury: from mechanisms to clinical trials in dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurgery Psychiatry*. (2019) 90:1221–33. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2017-317557

39. LoBue C, Munro C, Schaffert J, Didehbani N, Hart J, Batjer H, et al. Traumatic brain injury and risk of long-term brain changes, accumulation of pathological markers, and developing dementia: a review. J Alzheimers Dis. (2019) 70:629–54. doi: 10.3233/ JAD-190028

40. LoBue C, Wadsworth H, Wilmoth K, Clem M, Hart J Jr, Womack KB, et al. Traumatic brain injury history is associated with earlier age of onset of Alzheimer disease. *Clin Neuropsychol.* (2017) 31:85–98. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2016.1257069

41. Kennedy E, Panahi S, Stewart IJ, Tate DF, Wilde EA, Kenney K, et al. Traumatic brain injury and early onset dementia in post 9-11 veterans. *Brain Inj.* (2022) 36:620–7. doi: 10.1080/02699052.2022.2033846

42. Nordström A, Nordström P. Traumatic brain injury and the risk of dementia diagnosis: a nationwide cohort study. *PLoS Med.* (2018) 15:e1002496. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002496

43. Perry DC, Sturm VE, Peterson MJ, Pieper CF, Bullock T, Boeve BF, et al. Association of traumatic brain injury with subsequent neurological and psychiatric disease: a meta-analysis. *J Neurosurgery*. (2016) 124:511–26. doi: 10.3171/2015.2.JNS14503

44. Barnes DE, Kaup A, Kirby KA, Byers AL, Diaz-Arrastia R, Yaffe K. Traumatic brain injury and risk of dementia in older veterans. *Neurology*. (2014) 83:312–9. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000616

45. Lye TC, Shores EA. Traumatic brain injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: a review. *Neuropsychol Rev.* (2000) 10:115–29. doi: 10.1023/A:1009068804787

46. Mendez MF, Paholpak P, Lin A, Zhang JY, Teng E. Prevalence of traumatic brain injury in early versus late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2015) 47:985–93. doi: 10.3233/JAD-143207

47. McKee AC, Robinson ME. Military-related traumatic brain injury and neurodegeneration. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2014) 10:S242–53. doi: 10.1016/j. jalz.2014.04.003

48. Rubenstein R. The link between traumatic brain injury and neurodegenerative diseases In: *Neurotrauma: a comprehensive textbook on traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury.* eds. K. W. Wang (Oxford University Press). (2018). 165–80.

49. Snyder HM, Carare RO, DeKosky ST, de Leon MJ, Dykxhoorn D, Gan L, et al. Military-related risk factors for dementia. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2018) 14:1651–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.08.011

50. Nemetz PN, Leibson C, Naessens JM, Beard M, Kokmen E, Annegers JF, et al. Traumatic brain injury and time to onset of Alzheimer's disease: a population-based study. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1999) 149:32–40. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009724

51. Heyburn L, Sajja VSSS, Long JB. The role of TDP-43 in military-relevant TBI and chronic neurodegeneration. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:680–0. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00680

52. Bryan CJ. Repetitive traumatic brain injury (or concussion) increases severity of sleep disturbance among deployed military personnel. *Sleep*. (2013) 36:941–6. doi: 10.5665/sleep.2730

53. Bryan CJ, Clemans TA. Repetitive traumatic brain injury, psychological symptoms, and suicide risk in a clinical sample of deployed military personnel. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2013) 70:686–91. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1093

54. Spira JL, Lathan CE, Bleiberg J, Tsao JW. The impact of multiple concussions on emotional distress, post-concussive symptoms, and neurocognitive functioning in active duty United States marines independent of combat exposure or emotional distress. *J Neurotrauma.* (2014) 31:1823–34. doi: 10.1089/neu.2014.3363

55. Hoge CW, McGurk D, Thomas JL, Cox AL, Engel CC, Castro CA. Mild traumatic brain injury in U.S. soldiers returning from Iraq. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 358:453–63. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa072972

56. Yaffe K, Vittinghoff E, Lindquist K, Barnes D, Covinsky KE, Neylan T, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and risk of dementia among US veterans. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2010) 67:608–13. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.61

57. Kubzansky LD, Koenen KC, Spiro A III, Vokonas PS, Sparrow D. Prospective study of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and coronary heart disease in the normative aging study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2007) 64:109–16. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc. 64.1.109

58. Belding JN, Englert RM, Fitzmaurice S, Jackson JR, Koenig HG, Hunter MA, et al. Potential health and performance effects of high-level and low-level blast: a scoping review of two decades of research. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:12. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.628782

59. Simmons MM, Engel CC, Hoch E, Orr P, Anderson B, Azhar GS. Neurological effects of repeated exposure to military occupational levels of blast: a review of scientific literature. Santa Monica, CA, USA: RAND Corporation (2020).

60. Belanger HG, Bowling F, Yao EF. Low-level blast exposure in humans: a systematic review of acute and chronic effects. *J Spec Oper Med.* (2020) 20:87–93. doi: 10.55460/3AC6-AX9I

61. Belding JN, Englert R, Bonkowski J, Thomsen CJ. Occupational risk of low-level blast exposure and TBI-related medical diagnoses: a population-based epidemiological

investigation (2005-2015). Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2021) 18:12925. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph182412925

62. Belding JN, Fitzmaurice S, Englert RM, Lee I, Kowitz B, Highfill-McRoy RM, et al. Blast exposure and risk of recurrent occupational overpressure exposure predict deployment TBIs. *Mil Med.* (2020) 185:e538–44. doi: 10.1093/milmed/usz289

63. Carr W, Kelley AL, Toolin CF, Weber NS. Association of MOS-based blast exposure with medical outcomes. *Front Neurol.* (2020) 11:619. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00619

64. Manohar S, Adler HJ, Chen G-D, Salvi R. Blast-induced hearing loss suppresses hippocampal neurogenesis and disrupts long term spatial memory. *Hear Res.* (2020) 395:108022. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2020.108022

65. Carr W, Stone JR, Walilko T, Young LA, Snook TL, Paggi ME, et al. Repeated lowlevel blast exposure: a descriptive human subjects study. *Mil Med.* (2016) 181:28–39. doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-15-00137

66. Yuan W, Barber Foss KD, Dudley J, Thomas S, Galloway R, DiCesare C, et al. Impact of low-level blast exposure on brain function after a one-day tactile training and the ameliorating effect of a jugular vein compression neck collar device. *J Neurotrauma*. (2018) 36:721–34. doi: 10.1089/neu.2018.5737

67. Weimer DL, Sager MA. Early identification and treatment of Alzheimer's disease: social and fiscal outcomes. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2009) 5:215–26. doi: 10.1016/j. jalz.2009.01.028

68. Gunderson EK, Garland CF, Miller MR, Gorham ED. Career history archival medical and personnel system. *Mil Med.* (2005) 170:172–5.

69. Raza Z, Hussain SF, Ftouni S, Spitz G, Caplin N, Foster RG, et al. Dementia in military and veteran populations: a review of risk factors—traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, deployment, and sleep. *Mil Med Res.* (2021) 8:55. doi: 10.1186/ s40779-021-00346-z

70. World Health Organization (2019). Risk reduction of cognitive decline and dementia: WHO guidelines. Switzerland: World Health Organization in Geneva.

71. Nordestgaard LT, Christoffersen M, Frikke-Schmidt R. Shared risk factors between dementia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:9777. doi: 10.3390/ijms23179777

72. Kjeldsen EW, Thomassen JQ, Juul Rasmussen I, Nordestgaard BG, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Frikke-Schmidt R. Plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and risk of dementia: observational and genetic studies. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2022) 118:1330–43. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvab164

73. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division (2020). Surveillance case definitions ance-case-definitions. Available at: https://www.health.mil/Military-Health-Topics/ Health-Readiness/AFHSD/Epidemiology-and-Analysis/Surveillance-Case-Definitions (Accessed October 24, 2022).

74. Katon WJ, Lin EHB, Williams LH, Ciechanowski P, Heckbert SR, Ludman E, et al. Comorbid depression is associated with an increased risk of dementia diagnosis in patients with diabetes: a prospective cohort study. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2010) 25:423–9. doi: 10.1007/s11606-009-1248-6

75. Fan Y-C, Hsu J-L, Tung H-Y, Chou CC, Bai CH. Increased dementia risk predominantly in diabetes mellitus rather than in hypertension or hyperlipidemia: a population-based cohort study. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2017) 9:7. doi: 10.1186/s13195-017-0236-z

76. Whitmer RA, Karter AJ, Yaffe K, Quesenberry CP Jr, Selby JV. Hypoglycemic episodes and risk of dementia in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *JAMA*. (2009) 301:1565–72. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.460

77. Alexander M, Ray MA, Hébert JR, Youngstedt SD, Zhang H, Steck SE, et al. The national veteran sleep disorder study: descriptive epidemiology and secular trends, 2000–2010. *Sleep*. (2016) 39:1399–410. doi: 10.5665/sleep.5972

78. Clark TG, Bradburn MJ, Love SB, Altman DG. Survival analysis part I: basic concepts and first analyses. *Br J Cancer.* (2003) 89:232–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601118

79. Bradburn MJ, Clark TG, Love SB, Altman DG. Survival analysis part II: multivariate data analysis – an introduction to concepts and methods. *Br J Cancer*. (2003) 89:431–6. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601119

80. Flournoy JC, Vijayakumar N, Cheng TW, Cosme D, Flannery JE, Pfeifer JH. Improving practices and inferences in develompental cognitive neuroscience. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* (2020) 45:1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2020.100807

81. Vasilopoulos T, Morey TE, Dhatariya K, Rice MJ. Limitations of significance testing in clinical research: a review of multiple comparison corrections and effect size calculations with correlated measures. *Statistical Grand Rounds*. (2016) 122:825–30. doi: 10.1213/ANE.000000000001107

82. Pippenger M, Holloway RG, Vickrey BG. Neurologists' use of ICD-9CM codes for dementia. *Neurology*. (2001) 56:1206–9. doi: 10.1212/WNL.56.9.1206

83. Wilchesky M, Tamblyn RM, Huang A. Validation of diagnostic codes within medical service claims. J Clin Epidemiol. (2004) 57:131-41. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(03)00246-4

84. O'Malley KJ, Cook KF, Price MD, Wildes KR, Hurdle JF, Ashton CM. Measuring diagnoses: ICD code accuracy. *Health Serv Res.* (2005) 40:1620–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2005.00444.x

85. Mues KE, Liede A, Liu J, Wetmore J, Zaha R, Bradbury BD, et al. Use of the Medicare database in epidemiologic and health services research: a valuable source of real-world evidence on the older and disabled population in the US. *Clin Epidemiol.* (2017) 9:267–77. doi: 10.2147/CLEPS105613

86. St. Germaine-Smith C, Metcalfe A, Pringsheim T, Roberts JI, Beck CA, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Recommendations for optimal ICD codes to study neurologic conditions: a systematic review. *Neurology*. (2012) 79:1049–55. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182684707