Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yucheng Xiao, Indiana University, United States

REVIEWED BY Tao Tao, Southern Medical University, China Hongjian Pu, University of Pittsburgh, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Hua Zheng ⊠ hzheng@hust.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 24 July 2024 ACCEPTED 22 January 2025 PUBLISHED 12 February 2025

CITATION

Ma X, Wu Q, Ran Y, Cao X and Zheng H (2025) A bibliometric analysis on delirium in intensive care unit from 2013–2023. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1469725. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1469725

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ma, Wu, Ran, Cao and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A bibliometric analysis on delirium in intensive care unit from 2013–2023

Xin Ma^{1†}, Qingya Wu^{1†}, Yue Ran¹, Xueqin Cao¹ and Hua Zheng^{2,1*}

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Hubei Key Laboratory of Geriatric Anesthesia and Perioperative Brain Health, and Wuhan Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Anesthesia, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Taiyuan, China

Background: Delirium is a common manifestation of acute brain dysfunction among patients in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), afflicting an estimated 30–35% of this vulnerable population. The prevalence of delirium in ICU settings has catalyzed a surge in academic interest, as evidenced by a growing body of literature on the subject. This study seeks to synthesize the progress in understanding ICU delirium through a bibliometric analysis.

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive search of the Web of Science Core (WOS) Collection database for literature on ICU delirium, focusing on studies published between 2013 and 2023. Our analysis utilized two bibliometric software tools, Citespace and VOSviewer, to scrutinize the data across various dimensions, including country contributions, authorship patterns, publishing journals, key thematic terms, and other pertinent metrics, with the aim of identifying emerging trends in the field.

Results: Our search yielded a total of 1,178 publications on ICU delirium within the WOS database from January 2013 to June 2023. The United States emerged as the leading contributor in terms of published articles, with Ely, E. Wesley being the most prolific author, having published 85 articles, and "Critical Care Medicine" as the journal with the highest number of publications, totaling 105. The application of literature clustering and keyword analysis revealed that future research is poised to delve deeper into areas such as pediatric delirium, risk factors, and the development of preventive and therapeutic strategies.

Conclusion: This study employs bibliometric analysis to provide a multifaceted overview of the ICU delirium research landscape over the past decade. By examining the topic from various perspectives, we have not only mapped the current state of ICU delirium research but also illuminated potential avenues for future inquiry and areas of emphasis.

KEYWORDS

delirium, intensive care unit, ICU, Citespace, VOSviewer

1 Introduction

Delirium, a brief condition of mental disorientation and cognitive impairment, is characterized by signs of inattention and disordered thought patterns (1). Delirium can be precipitated by factors, such as advanced age, cardiac surgery, history of depression or stroke, physical restraints, mechanical ventilation, and physiological internal environmental dysfunction (2, 3). It is prevalent in the ICU, and in extreme cases, it can be fatal (4). Due to a

number of risk factors that are present in critically sick patients, the incidence rate of delirium in the ICU ranges from 45 to 87% (5–8). There is evidence suggesting that delirium in the ICU is associated with prolonged hospital stays, increased hospitalization costs, and higher rates of morbidity and mortality (9–14). However, the rate of missed diagnosis of delirium in clinical practice remains as high as $55\% \sim 60\%$ (1), and no effective drug has been available to prevent and treat ICU delirium.

This essay aims to explore the representation of delirium in the ICU over the last ten years utilizing Citespace and VOSviewer software, to examine the impact, hotspots, and trends of relevant literature. Citespace, a free JAVA application developed by Professor Chaomei Chen in 2004, provides bibliometric analysis and visualization (15). VOS is another software program developed specifically for scientific mapping and bibliometric visualization (16). By employing these tools, researchers are able to effortlessly review the literature and quickly locate relevant publications (17). This not only aids in understanding the current trends and patterns within the research on ICU delirium but also facilitates the effective utilization of classic articles in future investigative efforts.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources and search strategy

An investigation was conducted on the WOS database using the search term "delirium" AND ("intensive care unit" OR "ICU"). The search parameters were set as follows: the literature type was limited to "article," the language was restricted to English, the time frame was from January 2013 to June 2023, and the index was specified as the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-E) within the Web of Science Core Collection. This collection encompasses a broad spectrum of high-quality scholarly literature and can serve as a more authoritative and representative data source. During the search process, we initially excluded documents irrelevant to the research topic. Subsequently, professional document management software (such as EndNote) was utilized to eliminate duplicate document records, ensuring that each document was counted only once in the analysis to guarantee data accuracy and reliability. In total, 1,178 articles were identified and included in the analysis. For further analysis, the final records were downloaded in .txt format and imported into visualization and bibliometric tools. The institution ranking was provided by Scimago Institutions Rankings (https://www.scimagoir.com/rankings.php), while the H-index for countries/regions was furnished by Scimago Journal and Country Rank (https://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank. php). The H-index represents the highest number of papers (H) that have been cited at least H times.

2.2 Data analysis

Bibliometric analysis was conducted using CiteSpace (https:// citespace.podia.com/), covering aspects such as country, institution, author, keyword, category, reference, and journal. Time slicing (2013– 2023), node types, and selection criteria (top 50 levels of most-cited or frequently occurring items) were included in the parameter settings. CiteSpace generates knowledge maps composed of linkages and nodes. On these maps, the size of a node represents the quantity of articles, and the citation rings on the nodes denote the publication years. The degree of collaboration among nodes is manifested by the strength of the connections between them (18). The presence of red rings around a node signifies its high inter-node centrality and robust citation burstiness, and a node exhibiting both strong centrality and burstiness will yield a high sigma score (19). Keyword and author co-occurrences were visualized using VOSviewer software (version 1.6.18; https://www.vosviewer.com/). It distinguishes between research disciplines and themes using distinct colors and node sizes, making visual analysis of interdisciplinary research more intuitive and effective. In the co-occurrence map, larger nodes imply a higher frequency co-occurrence of the item. Origin 8.0 software was used to analyze the published data.

3 Results

3.1 Publications and years

The evolution of ICU delirium-related literature papers in the WOS database from January 2013 to June 2023 is depicted in Figure 1. The results clearly demonstrate that the number of publications related to ICU delirium exhibits a steady increasing trend year by year. Significantly more publications emerged in 2021 compared to any other year, indicating the heightened level of research activity in this subject during that period. However, the figure also reveals that the number of publications dropped dramatically in 2019. The primary reason for this outcome might be that the COVID-19 pandemic hampered the research efforts, such as the cancelation or postponement of international academic conferences and the interruption of research projects. By analyzing the disparities in column height across years, we can visually assess the increasing or decreasing trend of research enthusiasm in this subject. This provides us with a reference for predicting the future direction of research.





published works, with larger nodes indicating a more prolific publication record. The connecting lines between nodes denote collaborative relationships, illustrating the interdisciplinary and cooperative nature of the research community.

Rank	Authors	Publication	Citations
1	Ely, E. Wesley	83	6,763
2	Pandharipande, Pratik P.	35	2,112
3	Girard, Timothy D.	32	1,641
4	Devlin, John W.	26	3,242
5	Thompson, Jennifer L.	23	1,678
6	Jackson, James C.	22	1,452
7	van den boogaard, Mark	22	531
8	Slooter, Arjen J. C.	22	720
9	Brummel, Nathan E.	19	1,301
10	Gao, Sujuan	18	309

3.2 Authors

Utilizing the VOSviewer software, we analyzed the authors and generated the author collaboration graph (Figure 2). Each node in the graph represents a different author. The size of the nodes indicates the number of publications, and the proximity of the nodes indicates the degree of collaboration. Furthermore, the thickness of the connecting lines indicates the level of cooperation. According to the node size analysis, it is evident that Ely, E. Wesley is the author with the most publications (n = 85) and citations (6763). He also had a close cooperation with other authors. Meanwhile, the map shows that there are more than ten groups focus on ICU delirium, and the authors cooperated with each other both within and between groups. The top ten authors by the quantity of publications are listed in Table 1. Ely, E. Wesley, the most prolific author, has partnered with multidisciplinary

experts from the ICU, psychology, and nursing to present a complete analysis of delirium in the ICU from different perspectives. In addition to helping international health organizations recognize the significance of ICU delirium, their work has aided in the creation and use of more precise and trustworthy diagnostic instruments, such as the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU) (20). Ely, E. Wesley is dedicated to teaching and training healthcare professionals to identify and manage ICU delirium in order to improve clinical care and patients' prognosis (21). Additionally, their research has provided the scientific foundation for the development of guidelines for the prevention and treatment of ICU delirium, which have been adopted globally.

3.3 Countries and institutions

There are 465 connecting lines that show the cooperative relationship between nations, and 66 nodes that represent 66 countries, with the United States, China, and Canada being the top three countries circled in red (Figure 3). This illustrates the dominance of developed nations in the field of international collaboration. The close ties across nations highlight the prevalence of ICU delirium. The red node of China indicates that

the publications have a citation or frequency outbreak. In recent years, ICU delirium has received more attention in China, with extensive research on neurobiological mechanisms, treatments, etc.

Furthermore, 382 institutions published articles on this subject (Figure 4). Vanderbilt University is one of the institutions with the highest number of relevant articles published (121 in total), and the results cover a wide range of aspects such as the pathogenesis of delirium, diagnostic methods, preventive strategies, and therapeutic measures, and has a high number of citations (9885) (Table 2). This indicates that Vanderbilt University's research in this field has been widely noticed and recognized, and has made significant contributions to the advancement of ICU delirium research.

3.4 Journals

The top 10 journals published between January 2013 and June 2023 are listed in Table 3, including the country of the journal, the number of articles, and the H-index. Five of them are from the US, four from the United Kingdom, and one from the Netherlands. The distribution of journals shows the impact of research in the field in different countries and regions, while the H-index also reflects the





TABLE 2	The top 10	institutions v	vith the l	argest	number of	published articles.
	1110 top 20	11134140113	vient ente e	argese		published antices.

Rank	Institutions	Countries	Publication	Citations
1	Vanderbilt University	United States	121	9,885
2	Johns Hopkins University	United States	37	1792
3	Ohio State University	United States	37	4,260
4	Tennessee Valley Healthcare System	United States	36	3,596
5	University Pittsburgh	United States	34	1,192
6	Mayo Clinic	United States	32	384
7	Northeastern University	United States	28	1,353
8	University Medical Center Utrecht	Netherlands	24	901
9	Indiana University School of Medicine	United States	24	558
10	Radboud University Nijmegen	Netherlands	23	730

scholarly impact and quality of journals. Critical Care Medicine (n = 105) is the journal with the most publications and the highest citation frequency, and it has a high H-index. The premier journal in critical care medicine, Critical Care Medicine Journal, encompasses every aspect of acute and emergency care for patients who are acutely ill or injured. Journal of Critical Care, the second most prolific journal, focuses more on practical aspects of critical care, including clinical

care delivery, nursing in critical care, and the improvement of quality of care in critical care units. Articles in these high-impact journals often represent cutting-edge research results and important research directions in the field, and can provide researchers with more valuable information. By analyzing the publication trends of journals, researchers can identify the hotspots of journals, adjust research direction and content, and improve the success rate of submissions.

Rank	Journals	Countries	Publications	H-index
1	Critical care medicine	United States	105	294
2	Journal of critical care	Netherlands	80	96
3	BMJ open	United Kingdom	46	139
4	Intensive and critical care nursing	United States	41	63
5	Nursing in critical care	United Kingdom	35	49
6	Plos one	United States	33	404
7	American journal of critical care	United States	30	87
8	Critical care	United Kingdom	29	200
9	Trials	United Kingdom	29	91
10	Critical care nurse	United States	26	48

TABLE 3 The top 10 journals with the largest number of published articles.



3.5 Keywords

Keywords can be utilized in bibliometrics to reflect research trends and hotspots in the field (22). Using VOSviewer, 143 terms with a frequency of at least five times were displayed. Each node in Figure 5 represents a distinct keyword, and the size of the node indicates how frequently the keyword occurs over time. The larger the node, the more frequently the keyword occurs, suggesting that the keyword is related to the research topic in the area that is receiving the most attention and could potentially be the hot spot direction of the study. The co-occurrence frequency of the keywords is the frequency of simultaneous appearance in the same literature. It is indicated by the line connecting the nodes, and the thicker the line, the stronger the association between the two keywords. The highly important terms were divided into 11 clusters, each denoted by a distinct color. "Delirium" is the biggest node, and terms like "antipsychotic agents," "polypharmacy," and "quetiapine" are linked to it. This implies a connection between the onset of delirium and the usage of various antipsychotics. The term "mechanical ventilation" is shown next to "intensive care unit," suggesting that the use of mechanical ventilation increases the likelihood of developing delirium (23–25).

Furthermore this study also applied CiteSpace to mutate keywords in the selected 1178 articles and obtained 25 mutated keywords as shown in Figure 6. They are principally classified as follows: research methodology (randomized controlled trial; protocol; controlled trial; model; guidelines), custodial ward (ICU; neurocritical care; pediatric intensive care unit), predisposing factor (mechanically ventilated patient; acute lung injury; hip fracture; ICU delirium; surgery; pain; delirium and cognitive disorder; dementia; disease; ill patient), prognosis and rehabilitation (lorazepam; daily interruption; physical rehabilitation; rehabilitation; survival; prevention; nurse). Burst keywords are words frequently cited over time which can reflect research trends (26). Figure 6 shows the entire period in blue with the citation burst duration in red. The most intensively cited keywords were randomized controlled trial (strength 6.78 time span 2013-2017) prevention (strength 6.65 time span 2020-2023) guideline (strength 6.45 time span 2019-2023) mechanically ventilated patient (strength 5.03 time span 2013-2014) acute lung injury (strength 5 time span 2013-2015). Early researchers used a large number of randomized controlled trials to test hypotheses and interventions laying the foundation for subsequent research. During the period from 2013 to 2015 "mechanically ventilated patients" and "acute lung injury" were the keywords with high citation intensity indicating that the research focus at that time was on the complications closely related to ICU delirium. In recent years researchers have taken a multi-pronged approach to preventing delirium by following a guiding route that involves early identification of risk factors optimization of treatment regimens and enhancement of healthcare training. In the future researchers can delve deeper into the complex network of interactions between risk factors develop more accurate risk prediction models and further explore the research areas represented by each keyword appearing in the "citation burst" during the research progress.

3.6 Co-cited reference

The term "co-cited references" refers to references that have been cited by more than one article. VOSviewer software was used to map the network among document references (Figure 7). A total of 253 documents had more than 20 citations. Larger nodes such as "Ely EW, 2004, Jama-j", "Barr J, 2013, crit c", and "Ely EW, 2001, Jama-j" belong to the key references in the field, and those with strong correlation are in the same color category. Based on the observation and data analysis of numerous ICU patients, it was discovered that delirium not only lengthens the time that patients require mechanical ventilation but also considerably raises their death rate (Ely Ew, 2004, Jama-j). This finding prompted clinicians to pay close attention to ICU delirium,

randomized controlled trial 2013 6.78 2013 2017 mechanically ventilated patient 2013 5.03 2013 2014 acute lung injury 2013 5 2013 2015 protocol 2013 3.7 2013 2015 lorazepam 2013 3.26 2013 2014 daily interruption 2013 2.92 2013 2016 physical rehabilitation 2013 4.9 2014 2018 cam icu 2013 4.9 2014 2018 controlled trial 2014 2.6 2014 2015 hip fracture 2015 2.83 2015 2017 ill patient 2016 2.9 2016 2019 icu delirium 2017 2.69 2017 2018 surgery 2014 3.23 2018 2019 guideline 2013 6.45 2019 2023 surgery 2013 3.46 2019 2023 prevention 2013 6.65 2020 2023 pain 2013 6.65 2020 2023 peinn 2018 2.72 2020 2023	Keywords	Year	Strength Begin End 2013 - 2023
acute lung injury 2013 5 2013 2015 protocol 2013 3.7 2013 2015 lorazepam 2013 3.26 2013 2014 daily interruption 2013 2.92 2013 2014 physical rehabilitation 2013 2.54 2013 2014 cam icu 2013 4.9 2014 2018 controlled trial 2014 2.62 2014 2015 hip fracture 2015 2.83 2015 2017 ill patient 2014 3.66 2016 2018 rehabilitation 2016 2.92 2016 2019 icu delirium 2017 2.69 2017 2018 surgery 2014 3.23 2018 2019 guideline 2013 6.45 2019 2023 pain 2013 3.46 2019 2023 prevention 2013 3.02 2020 2023 delirium & cognitive disorder 2020 2.67 2020 2023 dementia 2018 2.72 2020 2023 neurocritical care 2020 2.67 2020 2023 <tr< td=""><td>randomized controlled trial</td><td>2013</td><td>6.78 2013 2017</td></tr<>	randomized controlled trial	2013	6.78 2013 2017
protocol 2013 3.7 2013 2015 lorazepam 2013 3.26 2013 2014 daily interruption 2013 2.92 2013 2016 physical rehabilitation 2013 2.54 2013 2014 cam icu 2013 4.9 2014 2018 controlled trial 2014 2.6 2014 2015 hip fracture 2015 2.83 2015 2017 ill patient 2016 2.9 2016 2019 icu delirium 2017 2.69 2017 2018 surgery 2014 3.23 2018 2019 guideline 2013 6.45 2019 2023 pain 2013 3.46 2019 2023 pain 2013 3.65 2020 2023 pevention 2013 6.65 2020 2023 delirium & cognitive disorder 2020 3.02 2020 2023 dementia 2018 2.72 2020 2023 neurocritical care 2020 2.67 2020 2023 model 2019 4.12 2021 2023 pediatric	mechanically ventilated patien	t 2013	5.03 2013 2014
lorazepam20133.26 20132014daily interruption20132.92 20132016physical rehabilitation20132.54 20132014cam icu20134.9 20142018controlled trial20142.6 20142015hip fracture20152.83 20152017ill patient20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20133.46 20192023pain20133.46 20192023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	acute lung injury	2013	5 2013 2015
daily interruption20132.9220132016physical rehabilitation20132.5420132014cam icu20134.920142018controlled trial20142.6220142015hip fracture20152.8320152017ill patient20162.920162019icu delirium20172.6920172018surgery20143.2320192019guideline20136.4520192020pain20133.4620192023prevention20136.6520202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.022023dementia20182.7220202023neurocritical care20202.6720202021model20194.1220212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.712023	protocol	2013	3.7 2013 2015
physical rehabilitation20132.54 20132014cam icu20134.9 20142018controlled trial20142.6 20142015hip fracture20152.83 20152017ill patient20143.66 20162018rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192020pain20133.46 20192023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	lorazepam	2013	3.26 2013 2014
cam icu20134.9 20142018controlled trial20142.6 20142015hip fracture20152.83 20152017ill patient20143.66 20162018rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20133.46 20192023pain20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	daily interruption	2013	
cam icu20134.9 20142018controlled trial20142.6 20142015hip fracture20152.83 20152017ill patient20143.66 20162018rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20133.46 20192023pain20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	physical rehabilitation	2013	2.54 2013 2014
hip fracture20152.83 20152017ill patient20143.66 20162018rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192020pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	cam icu	2013	4.9 2014 2018
ill patient20143.66 20162018rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192023pain20133.46 20192023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	controlled trial	2014	2.6 2014 2015
rehabilitation20162.9 20162019icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192023pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	hip fracture	2015	2.83 2015 2017
icu delirium20172.69 20172018surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192023pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	ill patient	2014	3.66 2016 2018
surgery20143.23 20182019guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192020pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	rehabilitation	2016	2.9 2016 2019
guideline20136.45 20192023survival20195 20192020pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	icu delirium	2017	2.69 2017 2018
survival20195 20192020pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	surgery	2014	3.23 2018 2019
pain20133.46 20192023prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	guideline	2013	6.45 2019 2023
prevention20136.65 20202023delirium & cognitive disorder20203.02 20202023dementia20182.72 20202023neurocritical care20202.67 20202021model20194.12 20212023disease20213.16 20212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.71 20212023	survival	2019	5 2019 2020
delirium & cognitive disorder20203.022023dementia20182.7220202023neurocritical care20202.6720202021model20194.122023disease20213.1620212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.712023	pain	2013	3.46 2019 2023
dementia20182.7220202023neurocritical care20202.6720202021model20194.1220212023disease20213.1620212023pediatric intensive care unit20212.712023	prevention	2013	6.65 2020 2023
neurocritical care 2020 2.67 2020 2021 model 2019 4.12 2021 2023 disease 2021 3.16 2021 2023 pediatric intensive care unit 2021 2.71 2023	delirium & cognitive disorder	2020	3.02 2020 2023
model 2019 4.12 2021 2023 disease 2021 3.16 2021 2023 pediatric intensive care unit 2021 2.71 2021 2023	dementia	2018	2.72 2020 2023
disease 2021 3.16 2021 2023 pediatric intensive care unit 2021 2.71 2021 2023	neurocritical care	2020	2.67 2020 2021
pediatric intensive care unit 2021 2.71 2021 2023	model	2019	4.12 2021 2023
	disease	2021	3.16 2021 2023
purse 2016 2.64 2021 2023	pediatric intensive care unit	2021	2.71 2021 2023
	nurse	2016	2.64 2021 2023

Top 25 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts

FIGURE 6

The blue line delineates the comprehensive timeline of the publication period under review, spanning from 2013 to 2023. The red line highlights a particular time frame associated with a burst word, which has experienced a significant surge in usage during a specific interval.



and numerous studies began to concentrate on this subject in an effort to identify effective interventions, such as early rehabilitation exercises and optimization of the sedation strategy, to lower the incidence of delirium, thereby shortening the duration of mechanical ventilation and reducing the risk of patient death.

In addition, 1,176 documents from the database were clustered using Citespace software to extract the noun terms in the titles of the documents, and to draw a time plot of the clusters according to the time and the size of the clusters. Finally, eleven clusters were obtained (Figure 8), including cluster 0 (circular rhythm), cluster 1 (risk factor), cluster 2 (critical illness), cluster 3 (double-blind placebo-controlled trial), cluster 4 (pain agitation), cluster 5 (ABCDEF bundle), cluster 6 (pediatric delirium), cluster 7 (cardiac surgery), cluster 8 (alcoholic withdrawal syndrome), cluster 9 (delirium knowledge), and cluster 10 (post-intensive care syndrome). It can also be seen that clusters 2 and 6 are still undergoing continuous evolution.

4 Discussion

According to the WOS database, a total of 1,176 publications about ICU delirium were published between 2013 and June 2023. Except for 2019, the number of publications showed an upward trend each year, with the highest number in 2021, indicating a growing interest in ICU delirium among scientists. Ely, E. Wesley, the scientist with the most publications, has focused his research on developing better treatments and improving outcomes for critically ill patients with ICU-acquired encephalopathies. This not only advances the understanding of ICU delirium but also reflects its dynamic nature. The United States is the nation with the most publications and also has the most journals and organizations, demonstrating its strong scientific foundation. In terms of journals, scientists might consider Critical Care Medicine as their target because it has the greatest number of published articles and a comparatively high H-index. To comprehend ICU delirium and guide future research, we have broadly grouped ICU delirium into several categories, including risk factors, clinical presentation, diagnosis, prevention and treatment, based on emerging keywords and literature clustering.

4.1 Risk factors

Up to 80% of individuals in the ICU experience delirium, which typically manifests as neurologic impairment (3, 11). There are two types of risk factors for delirium in the ICU: susceptibility factors and precipitating factors. The susceptibility factors of ICU delirium include: (1) older adults (aged 65 and older) (27–30), (2) Pre-existing neurological disorders (such as dementia, cognitive impairment,



depression, etc.) (31-34), (3) cancer (35), (4) patients undergoing major surgery, (5) multiple comorbidities (electrolyte disorders, severe hypoproteinemia, etc.) (36, 37), (6) drug and tobacco withdrawal (28), (7) sensory impairments (e.g., vision, hearing), and functional impairments (i.e., reduced activities of daily living) (38-40). Exposure of patients with susceptibility factors to precipitating factors will lead to the development of delirium. The following are the precipitating factors for the ICU delirium: (1) Drug use: anticholinergics (41), opioids (42), benzodiazepines (38, 43), psychoactive drugs (28). (2) Surgery: hip surgery in the elderly (44), prolonged extracorporeal circulation in cardiovascular surgery (45), (3) Environment: mechanical ventilation (20, 42), physical restraints, catheters, and various drains (3, 46), circadian rhythm (47), (4) Comorbidities: infections, multiorgan failure following surgery (48), anemia, malnutrition, etc. (49). Within the clinical setting, healthcare professionals ought to evaluate the delirium risk of patients more thoroughly. To lower the incidence of delirium, preventive measures should be taken beforehand and surveillance need to be enhanced for patients with risk factors.

4.2 Clinical presentation

Delirium typically occurs one or two days after the patient is admitted to ICU and lasts for an average of three days before improvement (20, 42, 50-53). Depending on the activity level during the episode, delirium can be categorized as hyperactive, hypoactive, or mixed delirium (54). Hyperactive delirium is characterized by restlessness, irritability, excitement, increased volume, hallucinations, etc., which is easy to detect. Clinically, such patients may be at an increased risk of self-injury and present a significant challenge to caregivers. Reduced activity, such as drowsiness, silence, lowered level of awareness and reduced spoken exchange, is a hallmark of hypoactive delirium, which is commonly seen in the elderly. Since hypoactive delirium is difficult to identify (1, 55), it may lead to a higher incidence of complications, such as lung infections and thrombosis. Mixed delirium within a brief period. These symptoms change with the course of the disease. In the ICU, hypoactive and mixed delirium account for more than 90% of patients (7, 56). Therefore, improving the ability of healthcare professionals to recognize delirium is crucial.

4.3 Diagnosis

Timely detection, early diagnosis and treatment of delirium are critical to improving patient outcomes (57, 58). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) is considered as the gold standard of diagnosis of delirium (54). The features of DSM-5 include: (1) Deficits in awareness and attention are characterized by a decline in orientation to the surroundings and a reduction in the

10.3389/fneur.2025.1469725

capacity to focus, direct, sustain, and shift focus; (2) Attention and consciousness deficits that progress over hours or days, with fluctuating manifestations of the condition; (3) Being accompanied by cognitive deficits in memory, orientation, language, visuospatial awareness, or sensorimotor perception; (4) Not being able to be explained by other pre-existing neurologic cognitive deficits; and (5) evidence associated with the onset of delirium, such as drug intoxication or withdrawal, exposure to toxins, etc. Other possible symptoms include altered arousal, disorientation, confusion, improper words or behavior, disrupted circadian rhythm, psychomotor abnormalities and hallucinations.

Even though the DSM-5 is the most reliable tool for delirium diagnosis, its implementation requires high professional standards and is time-consuming and laborious, making its application in clinical work challenging. There are numerous patients in critical, complex, and rapidly evolving condition. Therefore, additional delirium assessment instruments have been developed in clinical practice, taking into account the unique characteristics of ICU patients, such as CAM-ICU, Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist (ICDSC), Nurses' Delirium Screening Scale (Nu-DESC), Confusion, Tolerance, and Delirium (CTD), Nursing Evaluation of Elderly Comatose or Handicapped Mental state (NEECHAM), and Delirium Detection Score (DDS) (3, 59, 60). Among them, Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) is the most widely used, which has four clinical features: (1) acute change and a varying path; (2) inattentiveness; (3) disorganized thinking; and (4) altered awareness. If one of features 3 or 4 as well as criteria 1 and 2 are met, delirium can be diagnosed (61). CAM-ICU as well as 3D-CAM are further optimizations of CAM, which have a high degree of utility, sensitivity, and specificity (62). CAM-ICU can be used in patients who are using a mechanical ventilation system and unable to speak (57). The ICDSC consists of eight items (63), which examine altered awareness, inattention, psychomotor agitation or retardation, hallucinations, delusions or psychosis, inappropriate speech or mood, sleep-wake/cycle disruptions, and symptom fluctuations. The sensitivity and specificity of various assessment instruments vary. Although the CAM-ICU and ICDSC are the most precise and trustworthy evaluation instruments for delirium in adult ICU patients, a suitable one should be chosen based on patients' unique circumstances and hospitals' actual settings (64 - 74).

4.4 Prevention

Early detection of delirium is critical to preventing its onset (75), and the cornerstone of ICU delirium care is nonpharmacologic prevention. Non-pharmacologic prevention may reduce the incidence of delirium by 50% (76), including (1) Early rehabilitation exercises: Early rehabilitation activities should be personalized and tailored to the patient's specific condition and physical status. Simple physical exercises can commence as soon as the patient's sedation level permits; when the patient's condition stabilizes, the intensity and complexity of the activities can be gradually increased (77). It has been shown that early rehabilitative exercise reduces delirium duration by 2 day and is safer (78). (2) Psychosocial support: ICU delirium can also be effectively reduced by healthcare professionals and patients' family members by actively asking patients about their needs, placing familiar objects around them, and giving appropriate psychological support (79, 80). (3) Improve sleep quality: In a study by Al-Aama et al. (81), melatonin was found to improve the quality of sleep and decrease the risk of delirium in elderly patients. Zaal (82) also revealed that improving the surroundings by reducing noise and increasing daylight exposure reduced the duration of delirium. (4) Avoid drugs such as antihistamines (e.g., diphenhydramine, methotrexate) (83, 84), benzodiazepine (e.g., lorazepam and midazolam) (53, 85, 86) tricyclic anxiety medications (e.g., amitriptyline, promethazine) (87), and other anticholinergic drugs (e.g., atropine, scopolamine) (41, 88). The creation of a delirium prevention team can help reduce postoperative delirium in terms of stress management, cognitive function improvement, and delirium risk factors assessment (89).

4.5 Treatment

Medication and psychological / behavioral intervention are the primary treatments for delirium (90). Dexmedetomidine is a centrally active agonist of the alpha-2 adrenergic receptor with sedative, analgesic, and anxiety-relieving effects with no effect on patients' cognitive performance. Research reveals that administering dexmedetomidine to ICU patients undergoing mechanical ventilation dramatically shortens the duration of delirium and expedites extubation (91). Postoperative intravenous administration of acetaminophen combined with dexmedetomidine or isoproterenol decreases the incidence of delirium (92). The incidence of delirium might be decreased by using exogenous melatonin. Nevertheless, the effect of melatonin has been inconsistent in clinical pilot studies. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of delirium in individuals with hip fractures between the melatonin and placebo groups; however, in an internal medicine ward trial involving elderly patients, melatonin treatment significantly decreased the risk of delirium (81, 93). Statins inhibit cholesterol synthesis and have antiinflammatory and neuroprotective effects that may benefit patients with delirium (88).

The concept of clustering refers to assembling a series of effective treatment and care measures for a disease based on evidence-based medicine to improve the overall clinical outcome. For mechanically ventilated patients in ICU, there is currently a clustered care plan that includes the following: (1) assessment, prevention, and control of pain; (2) conducting spontaneous respiratory and arousal tests; (3) analgesic and sedation strategies; (4) assessment, prevention, and management of delirium; (5) early activity and exercise; and (6) family involvement and empowerment (94). By reducing the likelihood of delirium and the need for mechanical ventilation in the critical care unit, the above bundle improves patient outcomes (95-97). In addition, delirium duration can also be shortened by family-oriented psychotherapy, such as familiar surroundings and the company and comfort of loved ones. Improving the surrounding environment by reducing noise, music therapy (98) and increasing daylight exposure can shorten delirium duration (99-101). Nonpharmacologic preventive strategies, like improving the sleep environment and using drugs appropriately, can lower the risk of morbidity and improve prognosis. These strategies offer new options for clinical treatment as researchers better understand delirium. Research has been done to create customized therapy regimens to lower the incidence of delirium from a variety of angles depending on the

presence of risk factors, intraoperative drugs, and other variables (102). This study gives physicians a thorough reference for managing and preventing postoperative delirium, which can help raise awareness of the condition, encourage better clinical practice prevention strategies, enhance patient outcomes, and cut down on medical resource waste.

4.6 Limitations

There are some potential limitations in the current study. Firstly, this study only incorporated English-language literature published after 2013, restricted the publication type to "Article," and excluded academic reports, conference papers, and other materials. Consequently, significant research findings from non-English-speaking nations may have been overlooked, introducing a language bias to the study. Secondly, the data utilized in this study was sourced from the WOS database, which is a fairly reliable information source. Although the WOS database furnished our study with highly dependable data, it might not comprehensively cover all the pertinent literature. It is recommended that future research consider multilingual literature and leverage additional databases such as Scopus to enhance the study's accuracy and comprehensiveness.

5 Conclusion

The current study reflects the research hotspots, trends, and research directions of ICU delirium over the past ten years. Per keywords analysis, the risk factors, clinical presentation, diagnosis, prevention and treatment of ICU delirium were reviewed. These findings will improve understanding of the current status of ICU delirium research and offer valuable information for further investigation.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

References

1. Oh ES, Fong TG, Hshieh TT, Inouye SK. Delirium in older persons: advances in diagnosis and treatment. *Jama*. (2017) 318:1161–74. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.12067

2. Kong D, Luo W, Zhu Z, Sun S, Zhu J. Factors associated with post-operative delirium in hip fracture patients: what should we care. *Eur J Med Res.* (2022) 27:40. doi: 10.1186/s40001-022-00660-9

3. Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, Speroff T, Gordon SM, Harrell FE, et al. Delirium as a predictor of mortality in mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. *Jama J Amer Med Assoc.* (2004) 291:1753–62. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.14.1753

4. Inouye SK, Westendorp RGJ, Saczynski JS. Delirium in elderly people. *Lancet*. (2014) 383:911–22. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(13)60688-1

5. Pandharipande P, Cotton BA, Shintani A, Thompson J, Costabile S, Truman Pun B, et al. Motoric subtypes of delirium in mechanically ventilated surgical and trauma intensive care unit patients. *Intensive Care Med.* (2007) 33:1726–31. doi: 10.1007/s00134-007-0687-y

6. Meagher DJ, Trzepacz PT. Motoric subtypes of delirium. Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry. (2000) 5:75-85. doi: 10.153/SCNP00500075

7. Peterson JF, Pun BT, Dittus RS, Thomason JWW, Jackson JC, Shintani AK, et al. Delirium and its motoric subtypes: a study of 614 critically ill patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2006) 54:479–84. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.00621.x

8. Cavallazzi R, Saad M, Marik PE. Delirium in the ICU: an overview. Ann Intensive Care. (2012) 2:49. doi: 10.1186/2110-5820-2-49

Author contributions

XM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. QW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. YR: Data curation, Formal analysis, Resources, Software, Writing – original draft. XC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. HZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82071211 and 82371209) and Research and Innovation Team Project for Scientific Breakthroughs at Shanxi Bethune Hospital (2024ZHANCHI11).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. van den Boogaard M, Schoonhoven L, van der Hoeven JG, van Achterberg T, Pickkers P. Incidence and short-term consequences of delirium in critically ill patients: a prospective observational cohort study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* (2012) 49:775–83. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.11.016

10. Thomason JW, Shintani A, Peterson JF, Pun BT, Jackson JC, Ely EW. Intensive care unit delirium is an independent predictor of longer hospital stay: a prospective analysis of 261 non-ventilated patients. *Crit Care*. (2005) 9:R375–81. doi: 10.1186/cc3729

11. Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, Speroff T, Gordon SM, Harrell FE, et al. The impact of delirium in the intensive care unit on hospital length of stay. *Intensive Care Med.* (2001) 27:1892–900. doi: 10.1007/s00134-001-1132-2

12. Pisani MA, Kong SYJ, Kasl SV, Murphy TE, Araujo KLB, van Ness PH. Days of delirium are associated with 1-year mortality in an older intensive care unit population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2009) 180:1092–7. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200904-0537OC

13. Shehabi Y, Riker RR, Bokesch PM, Wisemandle W, Shintani A, Ely EW, et al. Delirium duration and mortality in lightly sedated, mechanically ventilated intensive care patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2010) 38:2311–8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181f85759

14. Milbrandt EB, Deppen S, Harrison PL, Shintani AK, Speroff T, Stiles RA, et al. Costs associated with delirium in mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2004) 32:955–62. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000119429.16055.92

15. Chen C. Searching for intellectual turning points: progressive knowledge domain visualization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2004) 101:5303–10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0307513100

16. van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*. (2010) 84:523–38. doi: 10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3

17. Chen C. Science mapping: a systematic review of the literature. J Data Inform Sci. (2017) 2:1–40. doi: 10.1515/jdis-2017-0006

18. Ji L, Li F. Potential markers of neurocognitive disorders after cardiac surgery: a bibliometric and visual analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2022) 14:868158. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.868158

19. Chen C. Predictive effects of structural variation on citation counts. J Am Soc Inf Sci Technol. (2012) 63:431–49. doi: 10.1002/asi.21694

20. Ely EW, Inouye SK, Bernard GR, Gordon S, Francis J, May L, et al. Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients: validity and reliability of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU). *JAMA*. (2001) 286:2703–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.286.21.2703

21. Ely EW. The ABCDEF bundle: science and philosophy of how ICU liberation serves patients and families. *Crit Care Med.* (2017) 45:321–30. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000002175

22. Li HJ, An HZ, Wang Y, Huang JC, Gao XY. Evolutionary features of academic articles co-keyword network and keywords co-occurrence network: based on two-mode affiliation network. *Physica a-Statistical Mechanics Appl.* (2016) 450:657–69. doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2016.01.017

23. Inouye SK, Rushing JT, Foreman MD, Palmer RM, Pompei P. Does delirium contribute to poor hospital outcomes? A three-site epidemiologic study. *J Gen Intern Med.* (1998) 13:234–42. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00073.x

24. Caplan JP. Don't ask, don't tell: delirium in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med.* (2009) 37:1129–30. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819bb88a

25. Swigart SE, Kishi Y, Thurber S, Kathol RG, Meller WH. Misdiagnosed delirium in patient referrals to a university-based hospital psychiatry department. *Psychosomatics*. (2008) 49:104–8. doi: 10.1176/appi.psy.49.2.104

26. Aria M, Cuccurullo C. Bibliometrix: An R-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis. J Informet. (2017) 11:959–75. doi: 10.1016/j.joi.2017.08.007

27. Inouye SK. Delirium in older persons. N Engl J Med. (2006) 354:1157–65. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra052321

28. Ouimet S, Kavanagh BP, Gottfried SB, Skrobik Y. Incidence, risk factors and consequences of ICU delirium. *Intensive Care Med.* (2007) 33:66–73. doi: 10.1007/ s00134-006-0399-8

29. Cole MG. Delirium in elderly patients. Am J Geriatr Psychiatr. (2004) 12:7-21. doi: 10.1097/00019442-200401000-00002

30. Lin WL, Chen YF, Wang J. Factors associated with the development of Delirium in elderly patients in intensive care units. *J Nurs Res.* (2015) 23:322–9. doi: 10.1097/jnr.0000000000082

31. Levkoff SE, Evans DA, Liptzin B, Cleary PD, Lipsitz LA, Wetle TT, et al. The occurrence and persistence of symptoms among elderly hospitalized patients. *Arch Intern Med.* (1992) 152:334–40. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1992.00400140082019

32. Lee HB, Mears SC, Rosenberg PB, Leoutsakos JMS, Gottschalk A, Sieber FE. Predisposing factors for postoperative delirium after hip fracture repair in individuals with and without dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2011) 59:2306–13. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03725.x

33. Morimoto Y, Yoshimura M, Utada K, Setoyama K, Matsumoto M, Sakabe T. Prediction of postoperative delirium after abdominal surgery in the elderly. *J Anesth.* (2009) 23:51–6. doi: 10.1007/s00540-008-0688-1

34. Greene NH, Attix DK, Weldon BC, Smith PJ, McDonagh DL, Monk TG. Measures of executive function and depression identify patients at risk for postoperative delirium. *Anesthesiology.* (2009) 110:788–95. doi: 10.1097/aln.0b013e31819b5ba6

35. Lawlor PG, Gagnon B, Mancini IL, Pereira JL, Hanson J, Suarez-Almazor ME, et al. Occurrence, causes, and outcome of delirium in patients with advanced cancer: a prospective study. *Arch Intern Med.* (2000) 160:786–94. doi: 10.1001/archinte.160.6.786

36. Balas MC, Happ MB, Yang W, Chelluri L, Richmond T. Outcomes associated with Delirium in older patients in surgical ICUs. *Chest.* (2009) 135:18–25. doi: 10.1378/ chest.08-1456

37. Zhang DF, Su X, Meng ZT, Cui F, Li HL, Wang DX, et al. Preoperative severe hypoalbuminemia is associated with an increased risk of postoperative delirium in elderly patients: results of a secondary analysis. *J Crit Care.* (2018) 44:45–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.09.182

38. Mattison MLP. Delirium. Ann Intern Med. (2020) 173:ITC49-64. doi: 10.7326/aitc202010060

39. Lindroth H, Bratzke L, Purvis S, Brown R, Coburn M, Mrkobrada M, et al. Systematic review of prediction models for delirium in the older adult inpatient. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8:e019223. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019223

40. Marcantonio ER, Goldman L, Mangione CM, Ludwig LE, Muraca B, Haslauer CM, et al. A clinical prediction rule for delirium after elective noncardiac surgery. *JAMA*. (1994) 271:134–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.1994.03510260066030

41. Burry LD, Williamson DR, Mehta S, Perreault MM, Mantas I, Mallick R, et al. Delirium and exposure to psychoactive medications in critically ill adults: a multi-Centre observational study. *J Crit Care.* (2017) 42:268–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.08.003

42. Dubois MJ, Bergeron N, Dumont M, Dial S, Skrobik Y. Delirium in an intensive care unit: a study of risk factors. *Intensive Care Med.* (2001) 27:1297–304. doi: 10.1007/s001340101017

43. Sury MRJ, Billingham I, Russell GN, Hopkins CS, Thornington R, Vivori E. Acute benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome after midazolam infusions in children. Crit Care Med. (1989) 17:301–2. doi: 10.1097/00003246-198903000-00027

44. Wang CG, Qin YF, Wan X, Song LC, Li ZJ, Li H. Incidence and risk factors of postoperative delirium in the elderly patients with hip fracture. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2018) 13:186. doi: 10.1186/s13018-018-0897-8

45. Berian JR, Zhou L, Russell MM, Hornor MA, Cohen ME, Finlayson E, et al. Postoperative Delirium as a target for surgical quality improvement. *Ann Surg.* (2018) 268:93–9. doi: 10.1097/sla.00000000002436

46. Chaiwat O, Chanidnuan M, Pancharoen W, Vijitmala K, Danpornprasert P, Toadithep P, et al. Postoperative delirium in critically ill surgical patients: incidence, risk factors, and predictive scores. *BMC Anesthesiol.* (2019) 19:39. doi: 10.1186/s12871-019-0694-x

47. Coppola S, Caccioppola A, Chiumello D. Internal clock and the surgical ICU patient. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. (2020) 33:177–84. doi: 10.1097/ACO.000000000000816

48. Turkel SB, Tavaré CJ. Delirium in children and adolescents. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. (2003) 15:431–5. doi: 10.1176/jnp.15.4.431

49. Jung P, Pereira MA, Hiebert B, Song X, Rockwood K, Tangri N, et al. The impact of frailty on postoperative delirium in cardiac surgery patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2015) 149:869–875.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2014.10.118

50. Bamalwa M, Mahmood SN, Praharaj SK. Delirium in cardiac ICU patients. *Ann Clin Psychiatry*. (2016) 28:51–5.

51. Thorsteinsdóttir SA, Sveinsdóttir H, Snædal J. Delirium after open cardiac surgery:systematic review of prevalence, risk factors and consequences. *Laeknabladid.* (2015) 101:305–11. doi: 10.17992/lbl.2015.06.31

52. Girard TD, Kress JP, Fuchs BD, Thomason JWW, Schweickert WD, Pun BT, et al. Efficacy and safety of a paired sedation and ventilator weaning protocol for mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care (awakening and breathing controlled trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2008) 371:126–34. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60105-1

53. Pandharipande P, Cotton BA, Shintani A, Thompson J, Pun BT, Morris JA Jr, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for development of delirium in surgical and trauma intensive care unit patients. *J Trauma*. (2008) 65:34–41. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31814b2c4d

54. Gnanavel S, Robert RS. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition, and the impact of events scale-revised. *Chest.* (2013) 144:1974. doi: 10.1378/ chest.13-1691

55. Meagher DJ, Leonard M, Donnelly S, Conroy M, Adamis D, Trzepacz PT. A longitudinal study of motor subtypes in delirium: relationship with other phenomenology, etiology, medication exposure and prognosis. *J Psychosom Res.* (2011) 71:395–403. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2011.06.001

56. Vasilevskis EE, Han JH, Hughes CG, Ely EW. Epidemiology and risk factors for delirium across hospital settings. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* (2012) 26:277–87. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2012.07.003

57. Zalon ML, Sandhaus S, Kovaleski M, Roe-Prior P. Hospitalized older adults with established Delirium: recognition, documentation, and reporting. *J Gerontol Nurs*. (2017) 43:32–40. doi: 10.3928/00989134-20161109-01

58. van Eijk MM, van den Boogaard M, van Marum RJ, Benner P, Eikelenboom P, Honing ML, et al. Routine use of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit: a multicenter study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2011) 184:340–4. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201101-0065OC

59. Spronk PE, Riekerk B, Hofhuis J, Rommes JH. Occurrence of delirium is severely underestimated in the ICU during daily care. *Intensive Care Med.* (2009) 35:1276–80. doi: 10.1007/s00134-009-1466-8

60. Luetz A, Heymann A, Radtke FM, Chenitir C, Neuhaus U, Nachtigall I, et al. Different assessment tools for intensive care unit delirium: which score to use? *Crit Care Med.* (2010) 38:409–18. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181cabb42

61. Maybrier HR, Mickle AM, Escallier KE, Lin N, Schmitt EM, Upadhyayula RT, et al. Reliability and accuracy of delirium assessments among investigators at multiple international centres. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8:e023137. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023137

62. Wang C, Wu Y, Yue P, Ely EW, Huang J, Yang X, et al. Delirium assessment using confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit in Chinese critically ill patients. *J Crit Care*. (2013) 28:223–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2012.10.004

63. Bergeron N, Dubois MJ, Dumont M, Dial S, Skrobik Y. Intensive care Delirium screening checklist: evaluation of a new screening tool. *Intensive Care Med.* (2001) 27:859–64. doi: 10.1007/s001340100909

64. Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, Ely EW, Gélinas C, Dasta JF, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med.* (2013) 41:263–306. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182783b72

65. Tobar E, Romero C, Galleguillos T, Fuentes P, Cornejo R, Lira MT, et al. Confusion assessment method for diagnosing delirium in ICU patients (CAM-ICU): cultural adaptation and validation of the Spanish version. *Med Intensiva*. (2010) 34:4–13. doi: 10.1016/j.medin.2009.04.003

66. Lin SM, Liu CY, Wang CH, Lin HC, Huang CD, Huang PY, et al. The impact of delirium on the survival of mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2004) 32:2254–9. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000145587.16421.bb

67. Toro AC, Escobar LM, Franco JG, Díaz-Gómez JL, Muñoz JF, Molina F, et al. Spanish version of the CAM-ICU (confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit). Pilot study of validation. *Med Intensiva*. (2010) 34:14–21. doi: 10.1016/j. medin.2009.07.002

68. Gusmao-Flores D, Salluh JI, Dal-Pizzol F, Ritter C, Tomasi CD, Lima MA, et al. The validity and reliability of the Portuguese versions of three tools used to diagnose delirium in critically ill patients. *Clinics*. (2011) 66:1917–22. doi: 10.1590/s1807-59322011001100011

69. Mitasova A, Kostalova M, Bednarik J, Michalcakova R, Kasparek T, Balabanova P, et al. Poststroke delirium incidence and outcomes: validation of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU). *Crit Care Med.* (2012) 40:484–90. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318232da12

70. Heo EY, Lee BJ, Hahm BJ, Song EH, Lee HA, Yoo CG, et al. Translation and validation of the Korean confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2011) 11:94. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-11-94

71. Chuang WL, Lin CH, Hsu WC, Ting YJ, Lin KC, Ma SC. Evaluation of the reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit. *Hu Li Za Zhi*. (2007) 54:45–52.

72. Salluh JI, Dal-Pizzol F, Mello PV, Friedman G, Silva E, Teles JM, et al. Delirium recognition and sedation practices in critically ill patients: a survey on the attitudes of 1015 Brazilian critical care physicians. *J Crit Care.* (2009) 24:556–62. doi: 10.1016/j. jcrc.2009.04.004

73. Patel RP, Gambrell M, Speroff T, Scott TA, Pun BT, Okahashi J, et al. Delirium and sedation in the intensive care unit: survey of behaviors and attitudes of 1384 healthcare professionals. *Crit Care Med.* (2009) 37:825–32. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819b8608

74. Gusmao-Flores D, Salluh JI, Chalhub RA, Quarantini LC. The confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU) and intensive care delirium screening checklist (ICDSC) for the diagnosis of delirium: a systematic review and metaanalysis of clinical studies. *Crit Care*. (2012) 16:R115. doi: 10.1186/cc11407

75. Jing GW, Xie Q, Tong J, Liu LZ, Jiang X, Si L. Early intervention of perioperative Delirium in older patients (>60 years) with hip fracture: a randomized controlled study. *Orthop Surg.* (2022) 14:885–91. doi: 10.1111/os.13244

76. Hshieh TT, Yue J, Oh E, Puelle M, Dowal S, Travison T, et al. Effectiveness of multicomponent nonpharmacological delirium interventions: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2015) 175:512–20. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7779

77. Mart MF, Williams Roberson S, Salas B, Pandharipande PP, Ely EW. Prevention and Management of Delirium in the intensive care unit. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med.* (2021) 42:112–26. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1710572

78. Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS, Nigos C, Pawlik AJ, Esbrook CL, et al. Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2009) 373:1874–82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60658-9

79. Martinez FT, Tobar C, Beddings CI, Vallejo G, Fuentes P. Preventing delirium in an acute hospital using a non-pharmacological intervention. *Age Ageing*. (2012) 41:629–34. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afs060

80. Sampson EL, West E, Fischer T. Pain and delirium: mechanisms, assessment, and management. *Eur Geriatr Med.* (2020) 11:45–52. doi: 10.1007/s41999-019-00281-2

81. al-Aama T, Brymer C, Gutmanis I, Woolmore-Goodwin S, Esbaugh J, Dasgupta M. Melatonin decreases delirium in elderly patients: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2011) 26:687–94. doi: 10.1002/gps.2582

82. Zaal IJ, Spruyt CF, Peelen LM, van Eijk MMJ, Wientjes R, Schneider MME, et al. Intensive care unit environment may affect the course of delirium. *Intensive Care Med.* (2013) 39:481–8. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2726-6

83. Shinohara M, Yamada M. Drug-induced cognitive impairment. *Brain Nerve*. (2016) 68:421–8. doi: 10.11477/mf.1416200415

84. Nakamizo T, Kanda T, Kudo Y, Sugawara E, Hashimoto E, Okazaki A, et al. Effects of uncomfortable care and histamine H2-antagonists on delirium in acute stroke: a propensity score analysis. *J Neurol Sci.* (2021) 420:117251. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2020.117251

85. Pisani MA, Murphy TE, Araujo KLB, Slattum P, van Ness PH, Inouye SK. Benzodiazepine and opioid use and the duration of intensive care unit delirium in an older population. *Crit Care Med.* (2009) 37:177–83. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318192fcf9

86. Pandharipande P, Shintani A, Peterson J, Pun BT, Wilkinson GR, Dittus RS, et al. Lorazepam is an independent risk factor for transitioning to delirium in intensive care unit patients. *Anesthesiology*. (2006) 104:21–6. doi: 10.1097/0000542-200601000-00005

87. Duggal HS. Delirium associated with amitriptyline/fluconazole drug. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. (2003) 25:297–8. doi: 10.1016/s0163-8343(03)00052-5

88. Han L, McCusker J, Cole M, Abrahamowicz M, Primeau F, Élie M. Use of medications with anticholinergic effect predicts clinical severity of delirium symptoms in older medical inpatients. *Arch Intern Med.* (2001) 161:1099–105. doi: 10.1001/archinte.161.8.1099

89. Deeken F, Sánchez A, Rapp MA, Denkinger M, Brefka S, Spank J, et al. Outcomes of a Delirium prevention program in older persons after elective surgery: a stepped-wedge cluster randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg.* (2022) 157:e216370. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2021.6370

90. Sahawneh F, Boss L. Non-pharmacologic interventions for the prevention of delirium in the intensive care unit: An integrative review. *Nurs Crit Care.* (2021) 26:166–75. doi: 10.1111/nicc.12594

91. Riker RR, Shehabi Y, Bokesch PM, Ceraso D, Wisemandle W, Koura F, et al. Dexmedetomidine vs midazolam for sedation of critically ill patients: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. (2009) 301:489–99. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.56

92. Subramaniam B, Shankar P, Shaefi S, Mueller A, O'Gara B, Banner-Goodspeed V, et al. Effect of intravenous acetaminophen vs placebo combined with Propofol or Dexmedetomidine on postoperative Delirium among older patients following cardiac surgery: the DEXACET randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:686–96. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.0234

93. de Jonghe A, van Munster B, Goslings JC, Kloen P, van Rees C, Wolvius R, et al. Effect of melatonin on incidence of delirium among patients with hip fracture: a multicentre, double-blind randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ.* (2014) 186:E547–56. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.140495

94. Marra A, Ely EW, Pandharipande PP, Patel MB. The ABCDEF bundle in critical care. Crit Care Clin. (2017) 33:225-43. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2016.12.005

95. Pun BT, Balas MC, Barnes-Daly MA, Thompson JL, Aldrich JM, Barr J, et al. Caring for critically ill patients with the ABCDEF bundle: results of the ICU liberation collaborative in over 15,000 adults. *Crit Care Med.* (2019) 47:3–14. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000003482

96. Barnes-Daly MA, Phillips G, Ely EW. Improving hospital survival and reducing brain dysfunction at seven California community hospitals: implementing PAD guidelines via the ABCDEF bundle in 6,064 patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2017) 45:171–8. doi: 10.1097/ccm.0000000002149

97. Balas MC, Vasilevskis EE, Olsen KM, Schmid KK, Shostrom V, Cohen MZ, et al. Effectiveness and safety of the awakening and breathing coordination, delirium monitoring/management, and early exercise/mobility bundle. *Crit Care Med.* (2014) 42:1024–36. doi: 10.1097/ccm.0000000000129

98. Johnson K, Fleury J, McClain D. Music intervention to prevent delirium among older patients admitted to a trauma intensive care unit and a trauma orthopaedic unit. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs.* (2018) 47:7–14. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2018.03.007

99. Foster J, Kelly M. A pilot study to test the feasibility of a nonpharmacologic intervention for the prevention of delirium in the medical intensive care unit. *Clin Nurse Spec.* (2013) 27:231–8. doi: 10.1097/NUR.0b013e3182a0b9f9

100. Moon KJ, Lee SM. The effects of a tailored intensive care unit delirium prevention protocol: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Nurs Stud.* (2015) 52:1423–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.04.021

101. Hanison J, Conway D. A multifaceted approach to prevention of delirium on intensive care. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep.* (2015) 4:u209656. doi: 10.1136/bmjquality.u209656.w4000

102. Swarbrick CJ, Partridge JSL. Evidence-based strategies to reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. (2022) 77:92–101. doi: 10.1111/ anae.15607