Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Luis Rafael Moscote-Salazar, Colombian Clinical Research Group in Neurocritical Care, Colombia

REVIEWED BY Nan Wang, Capital Medical University, China Mingchao Fan, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, China

William Florez Perdomo, University of Cartagena, Colombia

*CORRESPONDENCE Aihua Liu ⊠ 15901398688@163.com Jiachun Liu ⊠ jiachunliu2005@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 01 December 2024 ACCEPTED 25 April 2025 PUBLISHED 13 May 2025

CITATION

Shen H, Guo W, Zhang J, Mei Q, Liu A and Liu J (2025) Association between leuko-glycemic index and mortality in critically ill patients with non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage: analysis of the MIMIC-IV database. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1537585. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1537585

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Shen, Guo, Zhang, Mei, Liu and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between leuko-glycemic index and mortality in critically ill patients with non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage: analysis of the MIMIC-IV database

Hui Shen^{1,2,3†}, Wei Guo^{3†}, Jin Zhang⁴, Qing Mei⁵, Aihua Liu^{2*} and Jiachun Liu^{3,6*}

¹Cerebrovascular Disease Department, Neurological Disease Center, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ²Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Beijing Neurosurgical Institute, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ³Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ⁵Department of Neurology, Beijing Priendship Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁶Department of Neurology, Beijing Priendship Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁶Department of Neurology, Beijing Priendship Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁶Department of Neurology, Beijing Center of Gerontology, Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Background: Non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage (NTSAH), primarily caused by intracranial aneurysm rupture, represents a significant global health challenge due to its high mortality and morbidity. The leuko-glycemic index (LGI), a biomarker reflecting oxidative stress and inflammation, has been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes. However, its prognostic value in critically ill NTSAH patients remains uncertain. Understanding the relationship between LGI and patient outcomes is essential to improve clinical management of NTSAH.

Methods: We identified NTSAH patients from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-IV (MIMIC-IV, version 2.2) database. Participants were divided into quartiles based on their LGI scores. Mortality was evaluated at multiple time points: ICU stay, in-hospital, and at 1-, 6-, and 12-month post-admission. The association between LGI and mortality was examined using multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression. Restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis was employed to delineate the relationship between LGI scores and mortality risk and to identify the cutoff value. The robustness of these findings were confirmed through subgroup analyses, interaction tests, and likelihood ratio tests.

Results: A total of 750 patients were included, with 57% being female. Mortality rates were 17% in the ICU, 20% in-hospital, 21% at 1 month, 27% at 6 months, and 29% at 1 year. Multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that higher LGI score were significantly associated with increased mortality at 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year. RCS analysis demonstrated a positive correlation between elevated LGI scores and mortality risk.

Conclusion: LGI is significantly associated with mortality in critically ill NTSAH patients, suggesting its potential as a prognostic biomarker for risk stratification. Further validation through prospective cohort studies is necessary to confirm these findings.

KEYWORDS

Leuko-glycemic index, white cell, non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, prognosis, MIMIC-IV database, glucose

Introduction

Subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) is a devastating subtype of stroke characterized by bleeding into the subarachnoid space, usually caused by the rupture of blood vessels at the base or surface of the brain, leading to distinct clinical manifestations. Globally, SAH affects approximately 9.1 individuals per 100,000 annually (1), with intracranial aneurysm ruptures accounting for 5-10% of all stroke cases (2). The prognosis for SAH remains poor, with a case fatality rate of approximately 35% (3). Optimal management of non-traumatic SAH (NTSAH) requires prompt attention to early brain injury, delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI), increased intracranial pressure, and systemic complications. Timely, aggressive, and targeted therapeutic strategies are essential for improving clinical outcomes in SAH patients. Given the increasing incidence of NTSAH in intensive care units, identifying robust prognostic indicators that predict poor outcomes is crucial. These indicators should be simple, cost-effective, and easily integrated into clinical practice to enhance patient management and improve survival rates.

Identifying prognostic factors is essential for predicting adverse outcomes in critically ill patients with NTSAH. The Leuko-Glycemic Index (LGI), a relatively recent marker of inflammation, has been associated with poor outcomes in various conditions, including acute myocardial infarction (MI) (4), coronary artery disease (CAD) (5), COVID-19 (6), and arteriovenous fistula (AVF) failure in long-term dialysis patients (7). Acute hyperglycemia is widely recognized for its pro-inflammatory effects, and numerous studies, particularly those involving trauma models, have shown that glucose administration significantly enhances leukocyte adhesion and migration, indicating a close association between LGI and the intensity and nature of inflammatory responses (8). Research further suggests that NTSAH can trigger a complex inflammatory response, potentially worsening brain injury (9). However, the prognostic significance of LGI in critically ill patients with NTSAH remains uncertain, highlighting the need for additional research to establish its value as a prognostic biomarker.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the prognostic significance of LGI as a mortality predictor in critically ill patients with NTSAH. We specifically investigated the correlation between LGI levels and mortality within this stroke subgroup, utilizing data from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC)-IV database. The objective of this research is to determine the predictive efficacy of LGI, with the goal of refining management strategies for NTSAH patients and facilitating the implementation of timely interventions for those at increased risk of mortality.

Materials and methods

Data source

This retrospective cohort study utilized data from the MIMIC-IV database (version 2.2). Data extraction was performed systematically using Navicat Premium (version 15). The MIMIC-IV database

contains de-identified electronic health records of patients admitted to the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center from 2008 to 2019. The principal investigator, Hui Shen, completed the "Protecting Human Research Participants" online course (ID: 1741944) to gain access to the MIMIC-IV database. This manuscript was prepared in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines. All personal identifiers were anonymized by replacing patient data with randomly assigned numbers. Given the anonymized nature of the data, neither ethical approval nor informed consent was required.

Criteria for population selection

The study cohort consisted of adult ICU patients diagnosed with NTSAH using ICD-9 code 430 and ICD-10 codes I60, identified from the MIMIC-IV database. Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: (1) ICU stays shorter than 24 h; (2) non-first hospital or ICU admissions; (3) incomplete data, such as missing white blood cell counts or blood glucose levels. A total of 750 patients were included in the study cohort (Figure 1).

Data collection

Baseline characteristics were extracted from the MIMIC-IV database within the first 24 h of ICU admission, covering five main domains: demographic data, clinical severity scores, mean vital signs and laboratory parameters, comorbidities, and ICU treatments. Laboratory parameters, including white blood cell count (WBC, $\times 10^{9}$ /L) and blood glucose levels (mmol/L), were measured from peripheral venous blood samples collected. Unlike the definitions used in previous studies (4–6), to better align with clinical applications. The LGI index was calculated using the formula "white blood cell count (WBC, $\times 10^{9}$ /L) \times blood glucose levels (mmol/L)." Participants were then stratified into four quartiles (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) based on their LGI values, with Q1 serving as the reference group.

Clinical outcomes

The primary outcome of this study was 6-month mortality, with 1-month and 1-year mortality serving as secondary outcomes. Deaths were documented based on their occurrence within the specified time frames following ICU admission, rather than merely noting whether the patient had died by a particular milestone. A comprehensive list of the extracted variables and outcomes is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR), while categorical variables were shown as counts and



percentages. Baseline characteristics among LGI quartile groups were compared using Pearson's chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous variables, as appropriate.

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to compare endpoint incidence rates among the four LGI-stratified groups. Subsequently, multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models were constructed to adjust for potential confounding factors in two models. Model 1 adjusted for age, race, and gender. Model 2 further included Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, MI, and the administration of statins, nimodipine, coiling, and mechanical ventilation (MV).

LGI was analyzed both as a continuous variable (per 10-unit increase) and a categorical variable, with the first quartile (Q1) as the reference group. Trend analyses across quartiles were performed to determine *p*-values. The optimal LGI cutoff value associated with 6-month mortality was identified using the maximally selected rank statistics method with the "survminer" package. The relationship between LGI and hazard ratios (HRs) was explored using restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression with 4 knots, referencing the identified cutoff value, with the "plotRCS" package.

Stratification and interaction analyses were conducted to evaluate the impact of variables such as gender, age (<60 and \geq 60 years), race, GCS (3–8 and 9–15), MI, diabetes mellitus (DM), sepsis, statin use, coiling and MV, with likelihood ratio tests used to investigate interactions. All statistical analyses were performed using R software, version 4.4.0, with a two-sided *p*-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

From the MIMIC-IV database, a cohort of 750 patients with NTSAH was identified. The majority of these patients, 439 (58.5%), were White, with a median age of 60.7 years (IQR: 51–72). Mortality rates at various follow-up points were as follows: ICU, 17%; in-hospital, 20%; 1-month, 21%; 6-month, 27%; and 1-year, 29%.

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics of critically ill patients with NTSAH, stratified by LGI quartiles, were shown in the Table 1. Patients were divided into four quartiles based on LGI levels at hospital admission: Q1 (8.3–73.8), Q2 (73.9–111.9), Q3 (112–116.2), and Q4 (116.3–952.2). The median LGI values for each quartile were as follows: Q1, 55.5 (IQR: 44.8–66.2); Q2, 92.1 (IQR: 82.6–99.8); Q3, 135.2 (IQR: 124.5–147.6); and Q4, 241.1 (IQR: 190.8–308.3).

Patients in the higher LGI quartiles exhibited more severe illness scores at admission, with the exception of the GCS score, and had a higher prevalence of comorbidities such as MI, chronic heart failure (CHF), DM, and sepsis. They also presented with higher Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) scores and elevated levels of laboratory parameters, including WBC, platelets, hemoglobin, creatinine, sodium, and international normalized ratio (INR). Furthermore, there was an increased use of ventilation, nicardipine, and vasopressor treatments, along with elevated mortality rates in the ICU, in-hospital,

TABLE 1 Characteristics and outcomes of participants categorized by leuko-glycemic index.

Characteristic	Overall N = 750 ¹	Q1 (8.3–73.8) N = 188 ¹	Q2 (73.9– 111.9) N = 187 ¹	Q3 (112– 116.2) N = 188 ¹	Q4 (116.3– 952.2) <i>N</i> = 187 ¹	p-value ²	
LGI	111.9 (73.8, 166.2)	55.5 (44.8, 66.2)	92.1 (82.6, 99.8)	135.2 (124.5, 147.6)	241.1 (190.8, 308.3)	<0.001	
Demographic							
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	431 (57%)	112 (60%)	111 (59%)	99 (53%)	109 (58%)	0.5	
Age, years	60.7 (51.0, 72.0)	60.8 (51.0, 73.4)	61.3 (52.4, 71.6)	57.8 (49.8, 72.5)	60.7 (52.0, 70.9)	0.7	
White, <i>n</i> (%)	439 (59%)	117 (62%)	114 (61%)	114 (61%)	94 (50%)	0.068	
Clinical severity							
APSIII	32.0 (24.0, 45.0)	29.0 (22.0, 38.0)	28.0 (22.0, 38.0)	32.5 (24.0, 45.0)	41.0 (30.0, 61.0)	<0.001	
LODS	2.0 (1.0, 5.0)	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	4.0 (2.0, 7.0)	<0.001	
OASIS	29.0 (24.0, 37.0)	26.0 (22.5, 32.0)	27.0 (22.0, 33.0)	31.0 (25.0, 37.0)	34.0 (28.0, 40.0)	<0.001	
GCS	14.0 (12.0, 15.0)	14.0 (13.0, 15.0)	14.0 (13.0, 15.0)	14.0 (10.0, 15.0)	14.0 (9.0, 15.0)	0.6	
SIRS	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.0, 2.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	<0.001	
SOFA	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	3.0 (1.0, 4.0)	4.0 (2.0, 7.0)	<0.001	
Vital signs							
HR, beats/min	77.3 (70.2, 86.5)	73.1 (66.8, 79.9)	77.2 (70.8, 84.6)	76.8 (70.4, 86.5)	83.3 (74.5, 92.8)	<0.001	
SBP, mmHg	125.3 (116.5, 133.0)	123.6 (114.9, 133.1)	126.3 (117.9, 132.8)	127.6 (118.7, 134.2)	124.3 (114.6, 131.7)	0.027	
DBP, mmHg	63.6 (57.8, 69.4)	63.4 (58.3, 70.3)	64.0 (58.3, 69.4)	63.2 (57.3, 68.2)	64.2 (57.4, 70.5)	0.5	
MBP, mmHg	81.9 (75.9, 87.9)	81.5 (74.5, 88.1)	82.5 (76.4, 88.1)	81.8 (76.3, 87.6)	81.8 (75.6, 87.9)	0.8	
RR, beats/min	17.6 (16.0, 19.8)	16.9 (15.5, 18.8)	17.3 (15.7, 18.5)	17.7 (16.0, 19.9)	19.1 (17.0, 22.2)	< 0.001	
Temperature, °C	37.0 (36.8, 37.3)	36.9 (36.7, 37.2)	37.0 (36.8, 37.2)	37.1 (36.8, 37.3)	37.1 (36.7, 37.4)	0.037	
Spo2	97.6 (96.1, 98.9)	97.3 (96.0, 98.3)	97.2 (95.9, 98.8)	98.0 (96.7, 99.2)	98.1 (96.4, 99.2)	<0.001	
Laboratory tests		1					
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.2 (12.0, 14.4)	12.8 (11.3, 13.7)	13.1 (12.0, 14.3)	13.5 (12.4, 14.6)	13.5 (12.1, 14.8)	<0.001	
Platelets, 10 ⁹ /L	231.0 (188.0, 281.0)	211.5 (171.5, 252.5)	221.0 (184.0, 263.0)	242.0 (203.0, 284.0)	263.0 (200.0, 321.0)	<0.001	
WBC, 10 ⁹ /L	13.1 (10.0, 16.6)	8.5 (7.3, 9.9)	11.8 (10.7, 13.0)	15.4 (13.6, 16.7)	20.3 (16.2, 24.1)	<0.001	
Anion gap, mmoL/L	16.0 (14.0, 18.0)	15.0 (13.0, 17.0)	16.0 (14.0, 18.0)	16.0 (15.0, 18.0)	18.0 (15.0, 20.0)	< 0.001	
Bicarbonate, mmoL/L	24.0 (22.0, 26.0)	24.0 (22.0, 26.0)	24.0 (23.0, 26.0)	24.0 (22.0, 26.0)	23.0 (21.0, 26.0)	<0.001	
BUN, mg/dL	16.0 (12.0, 20.0)	14.0 (11.0, 18.0)	15.0 (11.0, 20.0)	15.5 (12.0, 20.0)	17.0 (14.0, 22.0)	< 0.001	
Calcium, mmol/L	8.7 (8.3, 9.1)	8.7 (8.3, 9.2)	8.7 (8.3, 9.1)	8.8 (8.4, 9.0)	8.8 (8.3, 9.3)	0.8	
Chloride, mmol/L	107.0 (104.0, 110.0)	106.0 (104.0, 109.0)	106.0 (103.0, 108.0)	107.0 (104.0, 110.0)	109.0 (105.0, 113.0)	<0.001	
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.9 (0.7, 1.0)	0.8 (0.7, 1.0)	0.8 (0.7, 1.0)	0.8 (0.7, 1.0)	0.9 (0.8, 1.2)	<0.001	
Glucose, mmol/L	8.2 (7.0, 10.1)	6.4 (5.8, 7.2)	7.7 (7.0, 8.4)	8.9 (7.9, 9.8)	12.4 (9.9, 15.4)	<0.001	
Sodium, mmol/L	141.0 (139.0, 144.0)	140.0 (139.0, 143.0)	140.0 (138.0, 143.0)	141.0 (139.0, 143.5)	142.0 (139.0, 145.0)	<0.001	
Potassium, mmol/L	4.1 (3.8, 4.5)	4.0 (3.8, 4.3)	4.1 (3.9, 4.5)	4.0 (3.8, 4.4)	4.3 (4.0, 4.7)	<0.001	
INR	1.1 (1.1, 1.2)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (1.1, 1.2)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	< 0.001	
PTT, s	28.6 (25.7, 32.1)	29.5 (26.7, 33.5)	28.1 (25.3, 31.1)	27.7 (24.9, 31.8)	28.6 (25.8, 33.1)	0.002	
Comorbidities							
MI, <i>n</i> (%)	57 (7.6%)	8 (4.3%)	7 (3.7%)	15 (8.0%)	27 (14%)	<0.001	
CHF, <i>n</i> (%)	56 (7.5%)	12 (6.4%)	14 (7.5%)	8 (4.3%)	22 (12%)	0.043	
LD, <i>n</i> (%)	32 (4.3%)	8 (4.3%)	9 (4.8%)	7 (3.7%)	8 (4.3%)	>0.9	
DM, n (%)	96 (13%)	14 (7.4%)	12 (6.4%)	21 (11%)	49 (26%)	<0.001	
RD, <i>n</i> (%)	45 (6.0%)	12 (6.4%)	10 (5.3%)	9 (4.8%)	14 (7.5%)	0.7	
MC, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (3.9%)	9 (4.8%)	5 (2.7%)	4 (2.1%)	11 (5.9%)	0.2	
Sepsis, <i>n</i> (%)	370 (49%)	51 (27%)	78 (42%)	107 (57%)	134 (72%)	<0.001	

(Continued)

Characteristic	Overall N = 750 ¹	Q1 (8.3–73.8) N = 188 ¹	Q2 (73.9– 111.9) <i>N</i> = 187 ¹	Q3 (112– 116.2) N = 188 ¹	Q4 (116.3– 952.2) <i>N</i> = 187 ¹	p-value²
CCI	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	4.0 (2.0, 6.0)	0.034
Treatment						
Statin, <i>n</i> (%)	194 (26%)	47 (25%)	52 (28%)	44 (23%)	51 (27%)	0.7
Nimodipine, <i>n</i> (%)	483 (64%)	108 (57%)	128 (68%)	129 (69%)	118 (63%)	0.075
Nicardipine, n (%)	327 (44%)	65 (35%)		104 (55%)	78 (42%)	<0.001
Vasopressin, n (%)	73 (9.7%)	9 (4.8%)	16 (8.6%)	13 (6.9%)	35 (19%)	<0.001
Coiling, <i>n</i> (%)	268 (36%)	60 (32%)	61 (33%)	81 (43%)	66 (35%)	0.093
MV, n (%)	372 (50%)	48 (26%)	75 (40%)	107 (57%)	142 (76%)	<0.001
Outcomes						
ICU mortality	125 (17%)	13 (6.9%)	20 (11%)	30 (16%)	62 (33%)	<0.001
Hospital mortality	150 (20%)	17 (9.0%)	24 (13%)	38 (20%)	71 (38%)	<0.001
1-month mortality	160 (21%)	22 (12%)	23 (12%)	40 (21%)	75 (40%)	<0.001
6-month mortality	203 (27%)	35 (19%)	33 (18%)	50 (27%)	85 (45%)	<0.001
1-year mortality	214 (29%)	38 (20%)	37 (20%)	53 (28%)	86 (46%)	<0.001

TABLE 1 (Continued)

¹Median (Q1, Q3); *n* (%). ²Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test; Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test. LGI, leuko-glycemic index; SAPS III, Simplified Acute Physiology Score; LODS, logistic organ dysfunction system; OASIS, oxford acute severity of illness; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; SIRS systemic inflammatory response syndrome; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; RR, respiratory rate; SpO2, percutaneous oxygen saturation; WBC, white blood cell; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; INR, international normalized rate; APTT; activated partial thromboplastin time; MI, myocardial infarction; CHF, chronic heart failure; LD, liver disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; RD, renal disease; MC, malignant cancer; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; MV, mechanical ventilation.

at 1-month, 6-month, and 1-year, compared to patients in the first quartile.

nonlinear relationship between 1-month mortality risk and increasing LGI levels (P for non-linearity < 0.001). This nonlinear pattern was consistently observed across both the 6-month and 1-year mortality rates, as depicted in Figure 4.

Clinical outcomes

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was employed to evaluate the occurrence of different outcomes across LGI quartile groups, as depicted in Figure 2. The analysis revealed that patients with elevated LGI levels had a significantly increased risk of mortality at 1-month, 6-month, and 1-year intervals (p < 0.001).

A Cox proportional hazards model was then applied to evaluate the relationship between LGI and mortality at the specified intervals. The analysis confirmed LGI as a significant predictor of 1-month mortality in both Model 1 (HR: 1.04; 95% CI: 1.03–1.05; p < 0.001) and Model 2 (HR: 1.04; 95% CI: 1.03–1.05; p < 0.001) when treated as a continuous variable. When LGI was treated as a category variable, individuals in the fourth quartile (Q4) showed a significantly elevated risk of 1-month mortality in the Cox models: Model 1 (Q4 vs. Q1, HR: 4.35; 95% CI: 2.70–7.01; p < 0.001) and Model 2 (Q4 vs. Q1, HR: 2.42; 95% CI: 1.47–3.99; p < 0.001), indicating a rising trend in mortality risk with increasing LGI levels (P for trend < 0.001). This trend was consistent in the multivariate Cox analyses for 6-month and 1-year mortality rates. The detailed associations between LGI and mortality at 1-month, 6-month, and 1-year are outlined in Table 2.

The optimal cutoff value for the LGI of 219.7, identified using maximally selected rank statistics, was significantly associated with 6-month survival outcomes. Based on this threshold, participants were dichotomized into two groups: those with LGI \leq 219.7 (n = 640) and those with LGI > 219.7 (n = 110), as illustrated in Figure 3. Furthermore, the application of RCS regression modeling revealed a

Subgroup analysis

The prognostic significance of the LGI in predicting mortality was assessed across diverse demographic and clinical subgroups, including gender, age, ethnicity, GCS, MI, DM, sepsis, statin use, coiling and MV. Consistently, LGI was identified as a robust predictor of elevated 1-month mortality risk within each stratum. Similar trends were observed in analyses stratifying the association between LGI and both 6-month and 1-year mortality (Figure 5).

Discussion

This study examined the correlation between the LGI and clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with NTSAH utilizing the MIMIC database. The results indicate that higher LGI levels are robustly associated with poor outcomes, manifesting both in the short and long-term prognosis. Even after controlling for confounding variables, LGI remained a significant predictor of outcomes over the study period. RCS regression analysis highlighted a nonlinear relationship between LGI and multiple outcomes. Thus, LGI may be a valuable prognostic indicator in clinical decision-making and should be recognized as an independent risk factor.

LGI has emerged as a potential biomarker for a spectrum of conditions, including COVID-19, preeclampsia, AVF failure in



Kaplan-Meier survival analysis curves for all-cause mortality. LGI: QI (8.3–7.3.8), QZ (7.3.9–111.9), Q3 (112.0–166.2), and Q4 (166.2–952.2). Ka Meier curves for all-cause mortality according to groups at 1-month (A), 6-month (B) and 1-year (C).

TABLE 2 Cox proportional hazard models for 1-mor	th and 6-month and 1-year all-cause mortality.
--	--

Outcome		Model 1			Model 2	
	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	P for trend	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	P for trend
1 month mortality						
Continuous variable per 10 unit	1.04 (1.03-1.05)	<0.001		1.03 (1.02–1.04)	< 0.001	
Quartile			<0.001			<0.001
Q1 (N = 188)	Reference			Reference		
Q2 (<i>N</i> = 187)	1.05 (0.59–1.90)	0.9		0.81 (0.45-1.47)	0.5	
Q3 (N = 188)	2.10 (1.25-3.53)	0.005		1.45 (0.85-2.48)	0.2	
Q4 (<i>N</i> = 187)	4.35 (2.70-7.01)	< 0.001		2.42 (1.47-3.99)	< 0.001	
6-month mortality						
Continuous variable per 10 unit	1.04 (1.03–1.05)	< 0.001		1.03 (1.03–1.04)	< 0.001	
Quartile						< 0.001
Q1 (N = 188)	Reference		<0.001	Reference		
Q2 (<i>N</i> = 187)	0.96 (0.59–1.54)	0.9		0.76 (0.47-1.23)	0.3	
Q3 (N = 188)	1.69(1.09-2.60)	0.018		1.16(0.74-1.81)	0.5	
Q4 (<i>N</i> = 187)	3.28 (2.21-4.87)	<0.01		1.93 (1.27–2.93)	0.002	
1-year mortality						
Continuous variable per 10 unit	1.04 (1.03–1.05)	<0.001		1.03 (1.02–1.04)	< 0.001	
Quartile			<0.001			<0.001
Q1 (N = 188)	Reference			Reference		
Q2 (N = 187)	0.99 (0.63–1.55)	>0.9		0.80 (0.51-1.27)	0.3	
Q3 (N = 188)	1.66 (1.09–2.52)	0.018		1.16 (0.75–1.79)	0.5	
Q4 (N = 187)	3.08 (2.10-4.52)	<0.001		1.89(1.26-2.83)	0.002	

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; Model 1: adjusted age, gender, and race; Model 2: adjusted age, gender, race, GCS, MI, statin, nimodipine, coiling, MV. GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; MI, myocardial infarction.

hemodialysis patients, and CAD (4). Wendy Marilú and colleagues have posited that LGI may be indicative of disease severity in COVID-19 patients (6). In a parallel vein, Adrian Vasileu's research has highlighted that elevated preoperative LGI is significantly associated with the long-term failure of AVFs in dialysis patients, after adjusting for various confounding factors (7). Moreover, LGI has been recognized as a promising predictor of subsequent cardiovascular events, especially within the realm of CAD. Oguz Kilic's study revealed that increased LGI levels are predictive of CAD severity in patients presenting with Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) class 1 angina, and these levels show a correlation with the Gensini score (5). In addition, a metaanalysis has confirmed the prognostic value of LGI in forecasting in-hospital mortality rates subsequent to MI. Despite substantial evidence for LGI's role in cardiovascular prognosis, a thorough systematic review of its relevance to cerebrovascular diseases, especially NTSAH, is absent. Addressing this gap, our study delineated the correlation between LGI and clinical prognosis in patients with NTSAH. We confirmed a significant association between LGI and adverse clinical outcomes at 1 month, 6 months,



FIGURE 3

Optimal cutoff value for stratification of non-traumatic SAH patients into low- and high-risk groups were calculated by maximally selected rank statistics (A). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis for all patients according to our risk stratification (B). Survival curve showed the 6-month survival of the high-risk (red) and low-risk (blue) groups in the cohort. LGI, leuko-glycemic index.



FIGURE 4

Restricted cubic spline analysis of LGI with all-cause mortality. Restricted cubic spline analysis of univariate model of LGI with 1-month (A), 6-month (B), and 1-year (C) all-cause mortality. LGI 219.7 was selected as the reference level represented by the vertical dotted lines.

Variable Co Overall 750 Gender F 431 M 315 Ice	nt Perc 100	ent					D							U						
Overall 750 Sender F 431 M 315	100			HR (95% CI)	P value	P for interaction	Variable	Count	Percent		HR (95% CI)	P value	P for interaction	Variable	Count	Percent		HR (95% CI)	P value	P for interactic
Sender F 431 M 315				4.25 (3.07 to 5.88)	<0.001		Overall	750	100		3.67 (2.72 to 4.94)	<0.001		Overall	750	100		3.52 (2.63 to 4.72)	<0.001	
F 431 M 315		1				0.983	Gender			1			0.782	Gender						0.798
M 315	57.5			4.24 (2.76 to 6.51)	<0.001		F	431	57.5		3.53 (2.38 to 5.23)	<0.001		F	431	57.5		3.40 (2.31 to 5.00)	<0.001	
loe	42.5			4.33 (2.63 to 7.12)	<0.001		м	319	42.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.94 (2.49 to 6.23)	<0.001		м	319	42.5		3.75 (2.38 to 5.91)	<0.001	
190						0.007	Age						0.002	Age						0.003
<60 366	48.8			-+ 6.95 (4.09 to 11.79)	<0.001		<80	368	48.8		+ 6.16 (3.73 to 10.16)	<0.001		<80	366	48.8			<0.001	
60+ 384	51.2			3.01 (1.98 to 4.56)	<0.001		60+	384	51.2		2.60 (1.78 to 3.79)	<0.001		60+	384	51.2		2.52 (1.74 to 3.65)	<0.001	
Race						0.888	Race						0.97	Race						0.885
Non-White 311	41.5			3.91 (2.59 to 5.90)	<0.001		Non-White	311	41.5		3.40 (2.29 to 5.05)	<0.001		Non-White	311	41.5		3.22 (2.18 to 4.76)	<0.001	
White 435	58.5			4.08 (2.40 to 6.92)	<0.001		White	439	58.5		3.57 (2.25 to 5.66)	<0.001		White	439	58.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.50 (2.23 to 5.48)	<0.001	
3CS						0.711	GCS						0.635	GCS						0.652
3+8 105	14.5			3.43 (1.81 to 6.50)	<0.001		3-8	109	14.5		2.90 (1.80 to 5.26)	<0.001		3-8	109	14.5		2.81 (1.56 to 5.06)	0.001	
9-15 641	85.5			4.16 (2.84 to 6.09)	<0.001		9-15	641	85.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.64 (2.57 to 5.16)	<0.001		9-15	641	85.5		3.50 (2.48 to 4.93)	<0.001	
MI						0.343	MI						0.096	M						0.12
No 693	92.4			4.39 (3.09 to 6.23)	<0.001		No	693	92.4	H	3.86 (2.79 to 5.34)	<0.001		No	693	92.4		3.70 (2.69 to 5.09)	<0.001	
Yes 57	7.6	-		2.90 (1.18 to 7.16)	0.021		Yes	57	7.6	·	2.01 (0.92 to 4.39)	0.079		Yes	57	7.6	()	2.01 (0.92 to 4.39)	0.079	
M						0.178	DM						0.022	DM						0.047
No 654	87.2			5.25 (3.66 to 7.55)	<0.001		No	654	87.2		4.72 (3.38 to 6.60)	<0.001		No	654	87.2		4.44 (3.19 to 6.19)	<0.001	
Yes 96	12.8			2.93 (1.27 to 6.78)	0.012		Yes	96	12.8		1.97 (0.96 to 4.02)	0.065		Yes	96	12.8	·	2.10 (1.04 to 4.26)	0.039	
Bepsis						-0.001	Sepsis						<0.001	Sepsis						<0.001
No 380	50.7			→ 9.47 (5.25 to 17.10)	<0.001		No	380	50.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	→ 7.83 (4.51 to 13.59)	<0.001		No	380	50.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<0.001	
Yes 370	49.3			2.61 (1.76 to 3.85)	<0.001		Yes	370	49.3		2.30 (1.61 to 3.29)	<0.001		Yes	370	49.3		2.19 (1.54 to 3.11)	<0.001	
Statin						0.109	Statin						0.007	Statin						0.009
No 556	74.1			4.94 (3.45 to 7.05)	<0.001		No	556	74.1		4.60 (3.28 to 6.43)	<0.001		No	556	74.1		4.36 (3.13 to 6.09)	<0.001	
Yes 194	25.9		· · · · ·	2.61 (1.20 to 5.70)	0.016		Yes	194	25.9		1.79 (0.91 to 3.49)	0.089		Yes	194	25.9		1.82 (0.96 to 3.45)	0.069	
Colling		1				0.956	Coiling			1			0.931	Colling						0.705
No 482	64.3			4.15 (2.85 to 6.03)	<0.001		No	482	64.3		3.59 (2.54 to 5.08)	<0.001		No	482	64.3		3.54 (2.51 to 4.98)	<0.001	
Yes 268	35.7			→ 4.45 (2.32 to 8.54)	<0.001		Yes	268	35.7		3.80 (2.11 to 6.83)	<0.001		Yes	268	35.7		3.40 (1.91 to 6.06)	<0.001	
wv						0.28	MV						0.221	MV						0.319
No 378	50.4			0.92 (0.12 to 6.89)	0.936		No	378	50.4		1.05 (0.25 to 4.37)	0.951		No	378	50.4 H		1.36 (0.42 to 4.42)	0.607	
Yes 372	49.6			2.82 (2.01 to 3.96)	<0.001		Yes	372	49.6		2.56 (1.87 to 3.51)	<0.001		Yes	372	49.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.47 (1.80 to 3.37)	<0.001	

FIGURE 5

Forest plots illustrating stratified analyses of association of LGI and 1-month (A), 6-month (B), and 1-year (C) all-cause mortality. LGI, leuko-glycemic index; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; MI, myocardial infarction; DM, diabetes mellitus; MV, mechanical ventilation.

and 1-year post-event. This underscores LGI's potential as a predictive tool for clinical outcomes in both cerebrovascular and cardiovascular settings.

Although LGI holds clinical promise, its application has been under scrutiny due to potential confounding by hyperglycemia. Stress and inflammatory responses, pivotal in diseases such as ischemic stroke, DM, renal disease, and various autoimmune disorders, have been extensively researched (10). Notably, several studies, including systematic reviews, have indicated that non-diabetic patients are at a higher risk of short-term mortality following acute ischemic stroke than diabetic patients (11–13). Moreover, a study by Shoujiang You et al. found that the combined predictive value of WBC count and blood glucose levels for in-hospital mortality was superior to either factor alone, with no significant difference between diabetic and non-diabetic patients (14). These findings suggested that, despite hyperglycemia concerns, LGI could be a validated and reliable marker across a broad spectrum of cerebrovascular conditions.

The precise pathophysiological mechanisms linking LGI to the etiology and mortality of NTSAH are not fully elucidated. Oxidative stress and inflammatory responses are hypothesized to mediate this association. Research indicated that increased WBC counts are associated with the onset of DCI, a pivotal determinant of poor clinical outcomes (15-17). WBC are implicated in early brain injury by causing hypoperfusion and ischemia when they become trapped in capillaries, forming aggregates that impede microcirculation. Additionally, WBC release cytotoxic mediators, including interleukins, which enhance WBC-endothelial interactions, exacerbating vascular and tissue damage (18). An elevated WBC count, indicative of systemic inflammation, was associated with an increased risk of SAH (19). Furthermore, research has demonstrated that an increased WBC count is correlated with the incidence of cardiovascular events, such as arteriosclerosis, and stroke (20).

Oxidative stress is posited to be a central factor in the shortand long-term pathogenesis of NTSAH (21). The sequelae of oxidative stress post-SAH encompass neuroinflammation, blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption, and the generation of spasmogens (22, 23). Additionally, a reciprocal relationship between oxidative stress-induced hyperglycemia and hyperglycemia-induced oxidative stress has been established (24). Stress-induced hyperglycemia (SIH) frequently occurs in SAH patients, with studies indicating that hyperglycemia not only stimulates the production of inflammatory mediators but also aggravates brain injury by augmenting oxidative stress and compromising neuronal survival (25). SIH is a significant, independent risk factor for symptomatic vasospasm, DCI, and poor outcomes in patients with NTSAH (26). Consequently, LGI is anticipated to function as a composite biomarker of oxidative stress and inflammation, shedding light on the pathophysiological mechanisms of SAH.

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment in this study. First, the retrospective nature of our research introduces potential for selection bias. Furthermore, despite employing multivariate adjustments and subgroup analyses, the possibility of residual confounding remains due to the omission of certain variables in our dataset, such as Hunt-Hess score and modified Fisher scale. Additionally, our investigation was confined to the assessment of baseline LGI, neglecting to track its temporal variations during hospitalization and ICU stays. Therefore, subsequent studies should aim to elucidate the prognostic implications of LGI changes over time and assess how these fluctuations could impact patient outcomes.

Conclusion

In summary, LGI is significantly correlated with mortality in critically ill patients with NTSAH. This correlation highlights the potential utility of LGI as a prognostic biomarker for stratifying patients according to their mortality risk.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. QM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing Municipality (grant number: 7222053), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 82003134) and Beijing Science and Technology Commission (Project number: Z171100000417046).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer NW declared a shared affiliation with the author AL to the handling editor at the time of review. The reviewer NW declared

a shared parent affiliation with the authors to the handling editor at the time of review.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product

References

1. de Rooij NK, Linn FHH, van der Plas JA, Algra A, Rinkel GJE. Incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage: a systematic review with emphasis on region, age, gender and time trends. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2007) 78:1365–72. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2007.117655

2. Nieuwkamp DJ, Setz LE, Algra A, Linn FHH, de Rooij NK, Rinkel GJE. Changes in case fatality of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and region: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol.* (2009) 8:635–42. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70126-7

3. Claassen J, Park S. Spontaneous subarachnoid haemorrhage. Lancet (Lond Engl). (2022) 400:846–62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00938-2

4. Demir M. The leuko-glycemic index can predict multivessel disease in the elderly acute myocardial infarction population? A retrospective cohort study. *J Health Sci Med.* (2023) 6:1119–24. doi: 10.32322/jhsm.1349575

 Qi L-Y, Liu H-X, Cheng L-C, Luo Y, Yang S-Q, Chen X, et al. Prognostic value of the leuko-glycemic index in acute myocardial infarction patients with or without diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes: Targets Ther.* (2022) 15:1725–36. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.8356461

6. Sadeghi R, Roshdi Dizaji S, Vazirizadeh-Mahabadi M, Sarveazad A, Forouzannia SA. Prognostic value of the leuko-glycemic index in acute myocardial infarction; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Acad Emerg Med.* (2023) 11:e25. doi: 10.22037/aaem.v11i1.1915

7. Karakayalı M, Kılıç O, Şahin M, Kelesoglu S, Yilmaz İ, Duz R, et al. The relationship between mortality and leuko-glycemic index in coronary care unit patients (MORCOR-TURK LGI). *Dicle Tip Derg.* (2024) 51:315–24. doi: 10.5798/dicletip.1552382

8. Seoane LA, Burgos L, Espinoza JC, Furmento JF, Benzadón MN, Vrancic JM, et al. Prognostic value of the leuko-glycaemic index in the postoperative period of coronary artery bypass grafting. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg.* (2021) 36:484–91. doi: 10.21470/1678-9741-2020-0349

9. Ramos-Hernández WM, Soto LF, Del Rosario-Trinidad M, Farfan-Morales CN, De Jesús-González LA, Martínez-Mier G, et al. Leukocyte glucose index as a novel biomarker for COVID-19 severity. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:14956. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-18786-5

10. Buschmann K, Koch L, Braach N, Mueller H, Frommhold D, Poeschl J, et al. CXCL1-triggered interaction of LFA1 and ICAM1 control glucose-induced leukocyte recruitment during inflammation in vivo. *Mediat Inflamm.* (2012) 2012:1–12. doi: 10.1155/2012/739176

11. Chou SH-Y. Inflammation, cerebral vasospasm, and brain injury in subarachnoid hemorrhage-a shifting paradigm and a new beginning. *Crit Care Med.* (2018) 46:1883–5. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000003373

12. Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Sci Data*. (2023) 10:1. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

13. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology

that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2025.1537585/ full#supplementary-material

(STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet (Lond Engl)*. (2007) 370:1453–7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X

14. Kilic O, Buber I, Kahraman F. Predicting the severity of coronary artery disease: can the leukocyte glucose index be used? *Jcpsp-j Coll Physici*. (2022) 32:1519–23. doi: 10.29271/jcpsp.2022.12.1519

15. Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, Carrera-Bastos P, Targ S, Franceschi C, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:1822–32. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0675-0

16. Capes SE, Hunt D, Malmberg K, Pathak P, Gerstein HC. Stress hyperglycemia and prognosis of stroke in nondiabetic and diabetic patients: a systematic overview. *Stroke.* (2001) 32:2426–32. doi: 10.1161/hs1001.096194

17. Leary PJ, Lindstrom M, Johnson CO, Emmons-Bell S, Rich S, Corris PA, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of pulmonary arterial hypertension, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *The lancet. Respir Med.* (2024) 13:69. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(24)00295-9

18. Stead LG, Gilmore RM, Bellolio MF, Mishra S, Bhagra A, Vaidyanathan L, et al. Hyperglycemia as an independent predictor of worse outcome in non-diabetic patients presenting with acute ischemic stroke. *Neurocrit Care.* (2009) 10:181–6. doi: 10.1007/s12028-008-9080-0

19. You S, Ou Z, Zhang W, Zheng D, Zhong C, Dong X, et al. Combined utility of white blood cell count and blood glucose for predicting in-hospital outcomes in acute ischemic stroke. *J Neuroinflamm*. (2019) 16:37. doi: 10.1186/s12974-019-1422-7

20. Mahta A, Azher AI, Moody S, Spinney M, Andrews N, Chen J, et al. Association of early white blood cell trend with outcomes in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *World Neurosurg.* (2021) 151:e803–9. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2021.04.124

21. Al-Mufti F, Misiolek KA, Roh D, Alawi A, Bauerschmidt A, Park S, et al. White blood cell count improves prediction of delayed cerebral ischemia following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery*. (2018) 84:397–403. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyy045

22. Schmid-Schönbein GW. Capillary plugging by granulocytes and the no-reflow phenomenon in the microcirculation. *Fed Proc.* (1987) 46:2397–401.

23. Sun M, Tong X, Xue X, Wang K, Jiang P, Liu A. Association of inflammatory trajectory with subarachnoid hemorrhage mortality. *Neurosurg Rev.* (2024) 47:256. doi: 10.1007/s10143-024-02413-0

24. Pyne-Geithman GJ, Caudell DN, Prakash P, Clark JF. Glutathione peroxidase and subarachnoid hemorrhage: implications for the role of oxidative stress in cerebral vasospasm. *Neurol Res.* (2009) 31:195–9. doi: 10.1179/174313209X393906

25. Wu F, Liu Z, Li G, Zhou L, Huang K, Wu Z, et al. Inflammation and oxidative stress: potential targets for improving prognosis after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2021) 15:739506. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.739506

26. Huang Y, Wu H, Hu Y, Zhou C, Wu J, Wu Y, et al. Puerarin attenuates oxidative stress and ferroptosis via AMPK/PGC1 α /Nrf2 pathway after subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats. *Antioxid (Basel Switz)*. (2022) 11:1259. doi: 10.3390/antiox11071259