Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Daifa Wang, Beihang University, China

REVIEWED BY Jun-Peng Zhang, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Huiting Qiao, Beihang University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaodan Yu ⊠ xd_yu2003@126.com

RECEIVED 08 December 2024 ACCEPTED 23 June 2025 PUBLISHED 02 July 2025

CITATION

Bian Z, Ma X, Wang Y and Yu X (2025) Verbal fluency tasks and attention problems in children with ADHD: evidence from fNIRS. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1541589. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1541589

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bian, Ma, Wang and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Verbal fluency tasks and attention problems in children with ADHD: evidence from fNIRS

Zouji Bian, Xiquan Ma, Yanhan Wang and Xiaodan Yu*

Department of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China

Background: Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) is a promising non-invasive neuroimaging tool for assessing cortical activity in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). While Verbal Fluency Tasks (VFTs) are widely used in adolescents and adults, their application in younger children remains underexplored. This study aimed to examine cortical activation during a VFT in children with ADHD and its association with attention-related behavioral symptoms.

Methods: Thirteen children with ADHD (aged 7–9) and 13 matched neurotypically developed controls completed a VFT while undergoing fNIRS. Activation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) was analyzed using mean amplitude, center of gravity (COG), and initial slope. Associations with the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Rating Scale (SNAP-IV) and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V) scores were examined.

Results: Children with ADHD showed significantly lower DLPFC activation and widespread negative patterns compared to neurotypically developed peers (p < 0.05). Mean activation amplitude was significantly correlated with inattention scores on both the SNAP-IV and DSM-V scales.

Discussion: fNIRS revealed altered DLPFC activation in children with ADHD during VFT, underscoring its potential as an objective tool to support clinical assessment of attention deficits in younger populations.

KEYWORDS

ADHD, fNIRS, VFT, attention, children

1 Introduction

Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a prevalent neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by persistent patterns of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity that interfere with functioning or development. Children with ADHD exhibit deficits in executive functions, including impaired verbal fluency, working memory, and cognitive flexibility, which manifest as difficulties in academic performance and social interactions (1). Additionally, behavioral symptoms such as frequent task switching, lack of sustained attention, and excessive motor activity make clinical diagnosis and functional assessment particularly challenging (2). Despite advancements in diagnostic tools, the subjective nature of symptom evaluation—relying heavily on parent- and teacher-reported questionnaires—poses a significant limitation to accurately identifying ADHD-related deficits (3). This underscores the need for objective, neurophysiological measures that can complement traditional assessments, offering insights into the underlying mechanisms of cognitive dysfunction in children with ADHD.

Neuroimaging techniques have been extensively employed to explore the neural correlations of ADHD. Functional imaging methods, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG) (4), have revealed hypoactivation in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and default mode network (DMN) during tasks requiring sustained attention, alongside altered connectivity patterns between task-positive and task-negative networks (5). In contrast, functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) measures changes in oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin within the cerebral cortex, offering insights into brain activity with high temporal resolution (6). Compared to other neuroimaging techniques, fMRI or EEG, fNIRS provides a unique balance of portability, costeffectiveness, and tolerance for movement artifacts, making it particularly well-suited for research involving children with neurodevelopmental disorders (7, 8). Furthermore, its non-invasive nature and use of near-infrared light minimize discomfort, increasing compliance among pediatric populations.

In the context of ADHD, fNIRS has been increasingly utilized to investigate cortical hemodynamic responses during cognitive tasks, such as verbal fluency or sustained attention tasks (9, 10). Studies highlight altered activation patterns in the PFC, a region critical for executive functioning, which aligns with the theoretical underpinnings of ADHD-related deficits. For instance, a study demonstrated reduced PFC activity in children with ADHD during working memory tasks, correlating with diminished task performance (9). Such findings suggest that fNIRS can serve as an objective biomarker to assess functional impairments in ADHD, offering a complementary perspective to traditional behavioral assessments.

Recently, fNIRS has emerged as a valuable tool that can be utilized to assess cortical activity in children with ADHD during cognitive tasks. Studies utilizing fNIRS consistently demonstrate diminished PFC activation during tasks involving verbal fluency, working memory, and inhibitory control, corroborating the executive dysfunctions observed in ADHD populations (11, 12). Reduced hemodynamic responses have been observed in the PFC of children with ADHD during a Go/NoGo task, highlighting deficits in inhibitory control—a hallmark of the disorder (13). Furthermore, fNIRS studies have indicated that these functional impairments are not static but may fluctuate with task complexity and external demands, underscoring the dynamic nature of neural activity in ADHD (14).

fNIRS, therefore, may significantly advance the assessment of children with ADHD. However, before applying it to the assessment of core symptoms, it is crucial to understand brain activation differences between ADHD and neurotypically developed children. To address the limitations of traditional assessments and leverage the advantages of fNIRS in pediatric populations, we selected the Verbal Fluency Task (VFT) as a cognitive paradigm well-suited for the evaluation of executive function in children. By examining cortical activation during VFT using fNIRS, this study aimed to uncover neural markers associated with attentional deficits in children with ADHD.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Participants

From January to September 2022, 13 children with ADHD aged 7–9 years old and 13 age- and gender-matched neurotypically developed controls were recruited from the Shanghai Children's Medical Centre. To ensure comparability between groups and reduce potential confounding effects, participants in the ADHD and neurotypically developed groups were individually matched on age (7–9 years), sex, and intellectual function. All participants completed the Chinese version of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC), and only those with a full-scale IQ \geq 70 were included. Group-level comparisons confirmed no significant differences in language IQ, performance IQ, or total IQ between the ADHD and neurotypically developed groups (all p > 0.05).

We included children in the ADHD group who were clinically diagnosed by licensed pediatric psychiatrists according to the DSM-V criteria, and symptom severity was further assessed using the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Rating Scale (SNAP-IV) and the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Form (WEISS-P). Neurotypically developed participants were recruited through schoolbased screening and confirmed to have no history of neurological, psychiatric, or developmental disorders. We excluded all children with (1) current or prior treatment with stimulant medication or behavioral therapy; (2) comorbid diagnoses such as autism spectrum disorder, specific learning disorder, or language impairment; (3) history of epilepsy, traumatic brain injury, or other major neurological or systemic conditions; (4) uncorrected vision or hearing impairments; (5) inability to complete the task due to comprehension or behavioral issues; and (6) poor fNIRS data quality resulting from excessive motion artifacts or channel loss. The detailed assessment information for both the ADHD and control groups is presented in Table 1. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanghai Children's Medical Center affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (SCMCIRB-Y2020136).

2.2 Experimental procedure

During the fNIRS scanning, all participants completed a VFT task (Figure 1). The test was conducted in a quiet and comfortable environment. To minimize motion-related artifacts in participants, all fNIRS caps used in this study were child-sized and designed to fit the average head circumference of 8-year-old children. Optodes were securely affixed to the scalp using adjustable elastic straps and soft, foam padding to ensure stable contact and optimal light transmission throughout the recording. This setup helped reduce signal noise caused by movement and maintained data quality across participants.

Throughout the experiment, trained researchers remained present to supervise and support each participant during task execution. Prior to data acquisition, task instructions were explained clearly and a practice trial was provided to ensure comprehension. During the task,

Abbreviations: ADHD, Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; fNIRS, Functional near-infrared spectroscopy; VFT, Verbal fluency task; DLPFC, Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; DSM-V, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-fifth edition; SNAP-IV, Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham Rating Scale; WEISS-P, Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Form; EEG, Electroencephalography; PFC, Prefrontal cortex; DMN, Default mode network; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; HbO, Oxyhemoglobin; HbR, Deoxyhemoglobin; COG, Center of gravity.

Patient characteristics	ADHD group (n = 13)	Control group (n = 13)	p
	Mean <u>+</u> SD, %	Mean <u>+</u> SD, %	
Sex (% male)*	0.69	0.85	0.64
Age (year)	9.00 ± 1.84	8.62 ± 1.78	0.62
WISC-R			
Language IQ	88.08 ± 15.05	98.15 ± 19.69	0.17
Operational IQ	95.38 ± 27.58	105.92 ± 15.39	0.26
Total IQ	93.23 ± 12.50	101.85 ± 18.11	0.19

TABLE 1 Demographics of participants.

*p < 0.05.



verbal prompts were given in a neutral tone when participants appeared distracted or paused speaking, to maintain engagement and optimize compliance without introducing bias. The VFT task consisted of three consecutive phases: a 30-s pre-task baseline, a 60-s task period, and a 70-s post-task baseline (Figure 1A). During the pre-task baseline, participants were instructed to repeatedly count from 1 to 5. During the task period, participants were asked to generate as many words as possible using the Chinese characters for "white," "sky," and "big." To prevent extended pauses, the three given characters were changed every 20 s during the 60-s task period. Finally, in the post-task baseline, participants repeated the action of counting from 1 to 5. Participants' verbal responses during the VFT were audio-recorded and transcribed offline (Figure 1B). The number of valid words generated in each 20-s sub-block was counted, and trials with fewer than five words across the entire task period were excluded from the fNIRS analysis to ensure sufficient task engagement. To control for potential order effects in cognitive performance, the sequence of task presentation was randomized across participants, reducing the influence of fatigue, learning effects, or anticipation bias on neural activation outcomes.

Continuous-wave fNIRS measurements (ETG-one, Hitachi, Ltd.) were used to capture cortical oxyhemoglobin (HbO) deoxyhemoglobin (HbR) signals from participants. The sampling rate was set to 11 Hz, with wavelengths of 730 nm and 850 nm. The cap used for brain imaging included a 22-channel probe (eight emitters and seven detectors) covering bilateral frontal areas. To obtain Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates for each fNIRS channel, representing the midpoint positions of the source-detector pairs, an electromagnetic three-dimensional (3D) digitization system (FASTRK, Polhemus, Colchester, VT) was used to record spatial coordinates of the sources, detectors, and anchor points (Cz, Nz, Iz, AL, and AR) (Figure 2).

2.3 fNIRS data analysis and statistics

The fNIRS data were preprocessed and analyzed using the Homer2 software package (15). Raw light intensity signals recorded at two wavelengths (730 nm and 850 nm) were first converted into optical density. Subsequently, concentration changes in oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin were calculated using the modified Beer–Lambert law, with a differential path length factor (DPF) of 6. Although DPF can vary by age, a value of 6 is widely used in pediatric studies (16, 17). To minimize noise and motion-related artifacts, a 0.01–0.2 Hz bandpass filter was applied to remove low-frequency drifts (e.g., Mayer waves, respiration) and high-frequency physiological noise (e.g., cardiac signals). Motion artifacts were identified both visually (sudden spikes or baseline shifts) and algorithmically, and were corrected using spline interpolation and wavelet-based filtering. Baseline correction



was performed via linear detrending, using two stable reference periods: the last 10 s of the pre-task baseline and the 50–55-s interval after the task. Channels were excluded from further analysis if the HbO amplitude was below 0.01 μ M, if the signal showed reversed polarity (e.g., downward response during the task), or if the coefficient of variation exceeded 15%. Only clean, reliable channels were retained for further activation extraction. The oxyhemoglobin signal was used to represent the results because it typically has a better signal-to-noise ratio than the deoxyhemoglobin signal (18).

Task-related cortical activation was quantified using three key temporal features extracted from the HbO signal: mean activation amplitude, center of gravity (COG), and initial slope. These metrics were chosen to capture the intensity and timing of the hemodynamic response. (1) Mean activation amplitude: this metric reflects the overall strength of the hemodynamic response during task execution, calculated as the average HbO concentration within the 60-s task period (from 30 to 90 s), after subtracting a linear baseline. The baseline was defined by fitting a linear trend using two reference segments: the final 10 s of the pre-task period (20-30 s) and the 50-55 s segment of the post-task period (90-145 s). The subtraction of this trend removes slow drifts and offsets in the signal. The resulting mean amplitude represents the net increase in cortical oxygenation during verbal generation. (2) COG: mean activation amplitude COG measures the temporal centroid of the positive HbO response across the full trial duration (0-125 s). COG is expressed in seconds and reflects the timing of peak engagement. A lower COG indicates early peak activation, while a higher COG suggests sustained or delayed activation. (3) Initial slope: to estimate the rapidity of the cortical response to task onset, the initial slope of the HbO curve was calculated over the first 5 s of the task period (30-35 s). A linear regression was fitted to the HbO signal over this window, and the slope coefficient was used as the feature (19). This parameter reflects how quickly prefrontal cortical regions begin to respond to the cognitive demand. Each of these features was calculated separately for the 22 channels. To enhance interpretability, channels were grouped into predefined left/right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) based on Montreal Neurological Institute coordinate registration and 3D optode localization; feature values were obtained by averaging across valid channels. For group-level comparisons (ADHD vs. Neurotypically Developed), two-sample *t*-tests were used with false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons. In addition, Spearman correlation analyses were performed to examine the relationships between fNIRS activation metrics and behavioral symptom scores (SNAP-IV and DSM-V). Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY), with p < 0.05 indicating statistical significance. These methodological components enabled a detailed analysis of hemodynamic responses in prefrontal regions during a structured VFTs.

3 Results

3.1 Demographics and clinical characteristics

Based on the demographic data, the ADHD group consisted of 13 participants with a mean \pm standard deviation age of 9.00 \pm 1.84 years, while the control group included 13 participants with a mean age of 8.62 \pm 1.78 years. Gender distribution was similar between the groups, with the ADHD group comprising nine males and four females, and the neurotypically developed group comprising 11 males and two females. There were no significant differences between the ADHD and control groups in terms of language IQ (ADHD: 88.08 \pm 15.05; CG: 98.15 \pm 19.69), operational IQ (ADHD: 95.38 \pm 27.58; CG: 105.92 \pm 15.39), and total IQ (ADHD: 93.23 \pm 12.50; CG: 101.85 \pm 18.11). All *p*-values for these comparisons were greater than 0.05, indicating no significant differences between the two groups in these baseline characteristics.

Scales used in this study included DSM-V, SNAP-IV, and WEISS (Table 2). Patients with ADHD showed impairment in at least one functional domain on these scales compared to neurotypically developed individuals. Significant differences were observed in SNAP-IV (p < 0.001), DSM-V (p < 0.01), and WEISS (p < 0.05).

TABLE 2 Clinical characteristics.

Scales	ADHD (<i>N</i> = 13)	Control (<i>N</i> = 13)	p
DSM-V (inattention)	7.15 ± 1.03	2.77 ± 1.25	0.000***
DSM-V (hyperactivity)	4.77 ± 1.93	2.00 ± 1.25	0.002**
SNAP-IV (inattention)	2.09 ± 0.47	0.93 ± 0.44	0.000***
SNAP-IV (hyperactivity)	1.80 ± 0.45	0.76 ± 0.73	0.000***
SNAP-IV (mix/total)	1.93 ± 0.44	0.87 ± 0.56	0.000***
WEISS (family)	1.17 ± 0.66	0.41 ± 0.28	0.001**
WEISS (learning and school)	1.10 ± 0.48	0.45 ± 0.28	0.000***
WEISS (life skills)	1.24 ± 0.40	0.59 ± 0.29	0.000***
WEISS (self-management)	1.22 ± 0.88	0.39 ± 0.48	0.008**
WEISS (social activities)	0.91 ± 0.42	0.57 ± 0.34	0.040*
WEISS (adventure activities)	0.46 ± 0.21	0.20 ± 0.16	0.002**

p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

3.2 DLPFC characteristics of ADHD and neurotypically developed groups

Children with ADHD had lower dorsolateral prefrontal activation and generally showed negative activation bilaterally (Figure 3A), implying that children with ADHD had lower brain activation than at baseline (counting from 1 to 5) when performing the VFT. In contrast, neurotypically developed children had higher dorsolateral prefrontal activation and positive activation bilaterally (Figure 3B), and had higher brain activation than baseline (counting from 1 to 5) when performing the VFT. Children with ADHD had significantly lower activation of channels (CH8 and CH14) in the dorsolateral prefrontal lobes bilaterally compared to neurotypically developed children (p < 0.05, FDR corrected).

3.3 fNIRS eigenvalues in ADHD and neurotypically developed groups

Figure 4A shows the oxygenation curves of oxyhemoglobin in the ADHD group and the Neurotypically Developed group during the VFTs. The neurotypically developed children showed an upward trend in the oxygenation curves while performing the VFT and a decrease in the oxygenation curves at the end of the task, whereas the children with ADHD showed the opposite trend. The mean values, indicators that reflects the degree of activation during the task, are shown in Figure 4B. Neurotypically developed children had a greater degree of activation, whereas children with ADHD had significantly less activation (p < 0.05). COG responded to the temporal bias of the overall level of activation during the performance of the task, and both groups of children showed rapid activation in the first and middle periods of the task; however, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) (Figure 4C). The slope indicator, which responds to the rate of brain activation during the performance of the task, showed that both groups of children had rapid activation during the performance of the task (Figure 4D), but the rate of activation was not significantly different (p > 0.05).

3.4 fNIRS eigenvalues and behavioral scales in ADHD

By correlation analysis, we found that significant correlations emerged between the mean values of oxygenated hemoglobin and DSM-V (Inattention) scores (Figure 5A) and SNAP-IV (Inattention) scores (Figure 5B) in both groups of children while performing the VFT (p < 0.05). However, there were no significant correlations (p > 0.05) between the mean values and the other subscales of the DSM-V and SNAP-IV, and between all subscales of the WEISS scale. Furthermore, there were no significant correlations (p > 0.05) between the centroid of the mean value of oxyhemoglobin and all subscales of the DSM-V, SNAP-IV, and WEISS-P scale in the children with ADHD and neurotypically developed children during the VFT. The slope values for mean oxyhemoglobin during the VFTs were also not significantly correlated (p > 0.05) with all the subscales of the DSM-V, SNAP-IV, and WEISS-P scales.

4 Discussion

Our results demonstrate that children with ADHD exhibit significantly lower DLPFC activation during the VFT and that these neural differences are meaningfully associated with behavioral indicators of inattention. This study is the first to explore the use of the VFT paradigm with younger children with ADHD, demonstrating its feasibility and potential to capture task-related differences in cortical activation between children with ADHD and children with neurotypical development. Given that younger children with ADHD face unique challenges in attention and language development, the use of VFT in conjunction with fNIRS provides an innovative approach to assessing these attentional and cognitive domains. This approach fills a gap in existing research and provides a more versatile and developmentally appropriate paradigm for the assessment of younger children. Expanding the scope of the fNIRS paradigm for the study of ADHD may deepen our understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying attentionrelated symptoms in different age groups.



warmer indicate a higher t-value

Children with ADHD exhibited significantly lower activation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) bilaterally, with widespread negative activation during the VFT, whereas neurotypically developed children demonstrated higher activation and positive patterns in the same regions. Both groups showed rapid initial activation and similar temporal activation patterns, as indicated by the COG and slope metrics; however, children with ADHD exhibited significantly reduced mean activation amplitudes. Furthermore, a significant correlation was observed between the mean activation amplitude in the DLPFC and inattention scores on the DSM-V and SNAP-IV scales in the ADHD group.

Children with ADHD exhibited significantly reduced activation and widespread deactivation in the DLPFC during the VFT compared to neurotypically developed children. This aligns with prior research highlighting hypoactivation of the DLPFC in ADHD, a region critical for executive functions such as working memory, cognitive flexibility, and response inhibition (20, 21). The observed widespread deactivation in children with ADHD contrasts sharply with the robust positive activation patterns seen in neurotypically developed children, suggesting fundamental differences in task-related neural engagement. The DLPFC is a core component of the frontoparietal network, implicated in top-down attentional control and goal-directed behavior. Hypoactivation in this region may reflect deficits in maintaining task goals and suppressing irrelevant information, which are hallmark characteristics of ADHD (22, 23). The presence of negative activation, or deactivation, observed in children with ADHD could indicate impaired allocation of cognitive resources or dysfunctional recruitment of auxiliary brain regions to compensate for executive deficits (24, 25). This phenomenon may also reflect disruptions in the DMN, which is known to exhibit aberrant connectivity with the DLPFC in ADHD populations (26). The observed bilateral differences further emphasize the role of interhemispheric functional asymmetry in ADHD. While neurotypically developed children exhibit balanced and coordinated activation across hemispheres during VFTs, children with ADHD demonstrate asymmetrical or deficient engagement, which may exacerbate their difficulties in integrating complex cognitive processes (27). Reduced bilateral DLPFC activation has also been associated with poor performance in VFTs, as this region is critical for semantic retrieval and phonological processing (28). fNIRS demonstrated that children with ADHD exhibit significantly lower levels of activation during meanresponse tasks compared to neurotypically developed children. This result aligns with previous studies that have consistently reported reduced cortical activation in ADHD populations during cognitive tasks requiring executive function and attentional control (29, 30). The DLPFC, which is crucial for maintaining task goals, inhibiting irrelevant responses, and integrating information, is particularly implicated in these deficits. Hypoactivation in this region may reflect difficulties in sustaining cognitive effort and engaging task-relevant neural circuits (22, 31). The significant difference in activation levels between ADHD and neurotypically developed children observed in our study may also highlight impaired resource allocation or reduced efficiency in task engagement in the ADHD group. Such findings are consistent with prior fNIRS and fMRI studies showing that children with ADHD struggle to recruit and sustain neural activity in prefrontal regions during tasks that demand consistent focus and self-regulation (23). Moreover, the observed group differences in activation are consistent with theoretical models suggesting that ADHD is characterized by inefficient neural processing and disruptions in the balance between task-positive and default mode networks (32, 33).

Our study indicated no significant group differences in the temporal COG for overall activation during the response task, with both ADHD and neurotypically developed children showing immediate activation in the early-to-mid phase of the task. Additionally, the slope of initial activation, reflecting the speed of posttask brain activation onset, did not differ significantly between the two groups. Both ADHD and neurotypically developed children



FIGURE 4

(A) HbO time-series curve over the full task sequence. This line plot shows mean HbO concentrations over time in the ADHD group (red) and neurotypically developed group (blue) across a 125-s trial window, including the pre-task baseline (first 30 s), the 60-s active VFT period, and the post-task baseline (final 35 s). Neurotypically developed children displayed a clear task-induced increase in HbO during the activation phase, followed by a gradual return to baseline. In contrast, children with ADHD showed a flat or declining HbO pattern during the task phase. (B) Mean HbO amplitude during task period. This bar chart compares the mean HbO signal intensity in the DLPFC during the 60-s VFT activation window between the ADHD and Neurotypically Developed groups. The neurotypically developed group showed significantly higher mean HbO levels compared to the ADHD group (p < 0.05). (C) Center of gravity (COG) of the HbO signal. This measure captures the temporal distribution of the hemodynamic response, reflecting when the "center" of the activation occurs during the trial period. Both ADHD and neurotypically developed groups showed similar COG values, with peak response occurring in the early-to-mid phases of the VFT period (p > 0.05). (D) Initial slope of HbO response after task onset. This metric represents the rate of increase (or decrease) in HbO concentration within the first 5 s of the VFT task period. Both groups demonstrated a rapid rise in HbO, and no significant difference was observed in the slope between ADHD and neurotypically developed children (p > 0.05).



FIGURE 5

(A) Mean values of oxyhemoglobin during a verbal fluency task in children with ADHD and neurotypically developed children correlated significantly (p < 0.05) with scores on the DSM-V (Inattention). (B) Mean values of oxyhemoglobin during a verbal fluency task in children with ADHD correlated significantly (p < 0.05) with scores on the SNAP-IV (Inattention).

10.3389/fneur.2025.1541589

demonstrated rapid initial activation, suggesting that ADHD-related differences in neural processing may not manifest in the early-phase response dynamics captured by fNIRS. The observed similarity in temporal activation patterns aligns with studies reporting that children with ADHD can engage neural circuits efficiently in task initiation under certain conditions (34, 35). Rapid initial activation may reflect intact low-level sensory and motor processes required for immediate task engagement, which are relatively preserved in ADHD populations (36). Moreover, task-specific demands and the simplicity of initial activation requirements could mitigate the manifestation of executive function deficits during these early task phases (37). However, while the temporal COG and activation slope were comparable, it is important to consider that the quality and sustainability of activation may differ. ADHD-related deficits often emerge during sustained or complex cognitive demands, reflecting difficulties in maintaining engagement and adapting to task demands over time (38, 39). These findings suggest that while early-phase activation may be similar, ADHD-related differences could still arise in other aspects of neural recruitment, such as regional efficiency or interconnectivity (40).

Significant correlations were observed between behavioral measures of inattention and fNIRS-derived cortical activation patterns, with consistent findings across both the DSM-V and SNAP-IV rating scales. This highlights the robust relationship between attentional deficits and neural activation in children with ADHD, particularly during tasks requiring sustained cognitive effort. Our findings align with previous research demonstrating that neuroimaging measures, such as taskrelated cortical activation, can serve as objective markers of ADHD symptom severity. For instance, studies using fNIRS and fMRI have identified reduced prefrontal activation as a hallmark of inattentive symptoms, reflecting the inability to maintain goal-directed attention and regulate distractors effectively (5, 9). This correlation across multiple behavioral scales underscores the validity of these measures in capturing the attention-deficit characteristic of ADHD, supporting the use of fNIRS as a reliable tool for investigating the neural underpinnings of ADHD symptoms (41-43). The strong associations between inattention scores and neural activation suggest that the attentional deficits measured behaviorally may have a direct neurophysiological basis. This aligns with theoretical models of ADHD, such as the dual-pathway model, which proposes that disruptions in both executive and reward networks contribute to attentional dysfunctions (44-46). The consistency of these findings across different rating scales further strengthens their clinical and diagnostic relevance, as it indicates that both subjective (e.g., SNAP-IV ratings) and diagnostic (e.g., DSM-V criteria) measures capture a shared underlying neurobiological mechanism.

Our results offer significant clinical implications and highlight the potential value of fNIRS in the assessment and management of ADHD. By identifying neural activation patterns associated with VFTs and their correlation with attentional symptoms, this study underscores the utility of fNIRS as a non-invasive and cost-effective tool for evaluating prefrontal cortex activity in clinical populations. Given the challenges associated with diagnosing ADHD, particularly in distinguishing its subtypes and co-occurring conditions, objective neuroimaging markers like those provided by fNIRS could complement traditional behavioral assessments, improving diagnostic accuracy (47–49).

Our results also suggest that fNIRS can provide insights into the neurophysiological mechanisms underlying attention deficits, which could inform targeted interventions. For example, interventions such as cognitive training, behavioral therapy, and pharmacological treatments modulate prefrontal cortex activation, leading to improved attentional control (50-52). By establishing baseline activation patterns and tracking changes over time, fNIRS could serve as a biomarker for treatment efficacy, enabling personalized therapeutic strategies (43, 53, 54). Furthermore, the accessibility of fNIRS makes it particularly valuable in clinical and educational settings where more complex neuroimaging modalities such as fMRI may be impractical. The portability and ease of use of fNIRS systems allow for real-time assessments in naturalistic environments, which is critical for evaluating ADHD-related functional impairments and their impact on daily life (55). Integrating fNIRS with behavioral and clinical assessments could provide a holistic understanding of ADHD, bridging the gap between neurophysiological findings and practical interventions. Future research should expand on these findings by incorporating longitudinal designs to explore how fNIRS markers evolve with development and intervention. Combining fNIRS with advanced analytical methods, such as connectivity analysis and machine learning, could further enhance its diagnostic and predictive capabilities (56). Additionally, integrating fNIRS with multimodal approaches, including EEG and behavioral metrics, may provide a comprehensive understanding of ADHD's neural and behavioral dimensions (14, 57). Such advancements could pave the way for more precise and effective diagnostic and treatment frameworks, ultimately improving outcomes for children with ADHD.

This study highlights the potential of using fNIRS to study the VFT paradigm in younger children with ADHD. fNIRS is increasingly being used as an adjunctive diagnostic tool in ADHD research, not least because of its ability to non-invasively measure prefrontal cortical activity during cognitive tasks (58). However, a limited task paradigm for younger children with ADHD is the Go/NoGo paradigm, with the Go/NoGo task being the most commonly used framework for assessing inhibitory control and attentional regulation (59). In contrast, the VFT paradigm has been used primarily with adolescents and adults with ADHD to assess emotion regulation and more complex cognitive functions (60).

Beyond its research utility, fNIRS holds considerable promise for clinical application in the diagnosis and management of ADHD. Its ability to objectively quantify cortical activation provides a valuable complement to traditional behavioral assessments, particularly in differentiating ADHD subtypes that often present with overlapping symptoms (61, 62). Moreover, the portability, affordability, and tolerance to motion artifacts make fNIRS especially suited for use in real-world environments, such as schools or outpatient clinics (63-65). This enhances accessibility for broader populations and supports the integration of neurophysiological markers into routine clinical practice, potentially enabling earlier identification and personalized intervention strategies for children with ADHD. Taken together, these insights reinforce the value of fNIRS as a neuroimaging tool for studying executive dysfunction in ADHD and suggest new avenues for objective assessment. Future studies should further validate the use of the VFT paradigm as a diagnostic tool in larger samples of younger children with ADHD and explore its application in other neurodevelopmental settings. This will pave the way for more comprehensive and targeted assessments and the development of better ADHD-assisted diagnostic models.

This study is not without limitations. One notable limitation is the relatively small sample size, which may affect the robustness and generalizability of the findings. This stems from the pilot nature of the study, which was designed to assess the feasibility of applying the VFT in combination with fNIRS in younger children with ADHD, as well as

10.3389/fneur.2025.1541589

to preliminarily explore associated neural activation patterns. Due to its exploratory scope, the study prioritized methodological validation over statistical power for broad generalization. Additionally, data collection took place between January and September 2022, a period during which Shanghai faced strict public health restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This significantly constrained our ability to recruit a larger cohort. Several influential fNIRS studies in ADHD populations have employed similarly limited sample sizes during their preliminary validation stages, particularly in pediatric contexts (66-71). Nonetheless, small sample sizes inherently increase the risk of type I and type II errors and may reduce the stability of effect estimates. Therefore, the results of this study should be interpreted with caution. Another drawback of fNIRS that is not unique to this study is that it measures signals approximately 1.5 cm deep into the cortex. This means that the contribution of subcortical structures to the performance of speech fluency tasks by children with ADHD as well as by neurotypically developed children could not be captured in this study. Additionally, our probe did not include short-separated channels, which meant that we could not regress physiological noise from hemodynamic responses. Another limitation is our use of a DPF of 6 for all participants. Although this convention facilitates comparison across studies, it neglects inter-individual and region-specific variability in photon propagation, especially in a pediatric sample where head size, skull thickness, and tissue optical properties change markedly with age. By applying a single DPF value, we may have introduced systematic bias into our estimates of hemoglobin concentration changes, potentially under- or over-estimating true activation amplitudes in some channels. Further studies are needed to assess how these methodological choices generalize across broader developmental stages and clinical populations. Despite these challenges, the findings in this study pave the way for future investigations of functional brain imaging in children with ADHD by fNIRS, using high-density probes and larger sample sizes, as well as more complex and diverse cognitive and language tasks.

5 Conclusion

We investigated the neural correlations between VFT in children with ADHD using fNIRS. Children with ADHD had significantly lower activation in DLPFC compared to neurotypically developed children, and this was accompanied by a broad pattern of negative activation during the task. Mean activation amplitude showed significant correlations with behavioral measures of inattention on the DSM-V and SNAP-IV scales, highlighting the close relationship between cortical activation deficits and attention deficits. These findings highlight the potential of fNIRS as a non-invasive, costeffective tool for assessing attention-related neurological deficits in younger children with ADHD and may help to establish a diagnostic tool for children with ADHD. By combining neurophysiological measures with behavioral assessments, fNIRS offers a promising avenue to improve diagnostic accuracy and tailoring interventions. Future research should build on our findings by investigating longitudinal changes in neural activation, expanding functional assessment tools for ADHD, and extending the paradigm for the use of fNIRS as a diagnostic tool for children with ADHD, particularly for core dysfunctions such as executive function and attention.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanghai Children's Medical Center affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (SCMCIRB-Y2020136). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

Author contributions

ZB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XM: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YW: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. XY: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. All phases of this study were supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2022YFC2705203), the High-level Medical Introduction Teams in Fujian Province (No. RT2022-022), Clinical Research Center of ADHD affiliated with Pediatrics College, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (No. ELYZX202207), Near-infrared spectroscopy-based multicenter study of brain function in children with ADHD (LY202404045), Shanghai Key Laboratory of Child Brain and Development (24dz2260100).

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the participants who volunteered their time for this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, Faraone SV, Pennington BF. Validity of the executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic review. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2005) 57:1336–46. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.006

2. Arnett AB, Pennington BF, Willcutt EG, DeFries JC, Olson RK. Sex differences in ADHD symptom severity. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. (2015) 56:632–9. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12337

3. Kofler MJ, Irwin LN, Soto EF, Groves NB, Harmon SL, Sarver DE. Executive functioning heterogeneity in pediatric ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol. (2019) 47:273–86. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0438-2

4. Samea F, Soluki S, Nejati V, Zarei M, Cortese S, Eickhoff SB, et al. Brain alterations in children/adolescents with ADHD revisited: a neuroimaging meta-analysis of 96 structural and functional studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2019) 100:1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.02.011

 Cortese S, Kelly C, Chabernaud C, Proal E, Di Martino A, Milham MP, et al. Toward systems neuroscience of ADHD: a meta-analysis of 55 fMRI studies. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2012) 169:1038–55. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11101521

6. Ferrari M, Quaresima V. A brief review on the history of human functional nearinfrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) development and fields of application. *NeuroImage*. (2012) 63:921–35. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.049

7. Cui X, Bray S, Reiss AL. Functional near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) signal improvement based on negative correlation between oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin dynamics. *NeuroImage*. (2010) 49:3039–46. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.050

8. Pinti P, Tachtsidis I, Hamilton A, Hirsch J, Aichelburg C, Gilbert S, et al. The present and future use of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) for cognitive neuroscience. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2020) 1464:5–29. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13948

9. Monden Y, Dan H, Nagashima M, Dan I, Kyutoku Y, Okamoto M, et al. Clinicallyoriented monitoring of acute effects of methylphenidate on cerebral hemodynamics in ADHD children using fNIRS. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2012) 123:1147–57. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2011.10.006

10. Nagashima M, Monden Y, Dan I, Dan H, Tsuzuki D, Mizutani T, et al. Neuropharmacological effect of methylphenidate on attention network in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during oddball paradigms as assessed using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Neurophotonics*. (2014) 1:015001. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.1.1.015001

11. Gu Y, Miao S, Han J, Liang Z, Ouyang G, Yang J, et al. Identifying ADHD children using hemodynamic responses during a working memory task measured by functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *J Neural Eng.* (2018) 15:035005. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/aa9ee9

12. Zhang Y, Ran M, Zhang X, Wang M, Jiang G. Diminished prefrontal hemodynamic response in adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a multi-channel functional near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Eur J Psychiatry.* (2023) 37:160–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpsy.2023.02.001

13. Inoue Y, Sakihara K, Gunji A, Ozawa H, Kimiya S, Shinoda H, et al. Reduced prefrontal hemodynamic response in children with ADHD during the go/NoGo task: a NIRS study. *Neuroreport*. (2012) 23:55–60. doi: 10.1097/WNR.0b013e32834e664c

14. Calub CA, Rapport MD, Irurita C, Eckrich SJ, Bohil C. Attention control in children with ADHD: an investigation using functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). *Child Neuropsychol.* (2022) 28:1072–96. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2022.2047913

15. Nguyen T, Hoehl S, Vrtička P. A guide to parent-child fNIRS Hyperscanning data processing and analysis. *Sensors*. (Basel, Switzerland), (2021) 21:4075. doi: 10.3390/s21124075

16. Smith EG, Condy E, Anderson A, Thurm A, Manwaring SS, Swineford L, et al. Functional near-infrared spectroscopy in toddlers: neural differentiation of communicative cues and relation to future language abilities. *Dev Sci.* (2020) 23:e12948. doi: 10.1111/desc.12948

17. Whiteman AC, Santosa H, Chen DF, Perlman S, Huppert T. Investigation of the sensitivity of functional near-infrared spectroscopy brain imaging to anatomical variations in 5- to 11-year-old children. *Neurophotonics.* (2018) 5:11009. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.5.1.011009

18. Umeyama S, Yamada T. New method of estimating wavelength-dependent optical path length ratios for oxy-and deoxyhemoglobin measurement using near-infrared spectroscopy. *J Biomed Opt.* (2009) 14:054038–6. doi: 10.1117/1.3253350

19. Kinou M, Takizawa R, Marumo K, Kawasaki S, Kawakubo Y, Fukuda M, et al. Differential spatiotemporal characteristics of the prefrontal hemodynamic response and

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

their association with functional impairment in schizophrenia and major depression. *Schizophr Res.* (2013) 150:459–67. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2013.08.026

20. Dibbets P, Evers EA, Hurks PP, Bakker K, Jolles J. Differential brain activation patterns in adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) associated with task switching. *Neuropsychology*. (2010) 24:413. doi: 10.1037/a0018997

21. Roth RM, Saykin AJ. Executive dysfunction in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: cognitive and neuroimaging findings. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* (2004) 27:83–96. doi: 10.1016/S0193-953X(03)00112-6

22. Arnsten AF, Rubia K. Neurobiological circuits regulating attention, cognitive control, motivation, and emotion: disruptions in neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* (2012) 51:356–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.01.008

23. Champ RE, Adamou M, Tolchard B. Seeking connection, autonomy, and emotional feedback: a self-determination theory of self-regulation in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychol Rev.* (2023) 130:569–603. doi: 10.1037/rev0000398

24. Booth JR, Burman DD, Meyer JR, Lei Z, Trommer BL, Davenport ND, et al. Neural development of selective attention and response inhibition. *NeuroImage*. (2003) 20:737–51. doi: 10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00404-X

25. Friedman-Hill SR, Wagman MR, Gex SE, Pine DS, Leibenluft E, Ungerleider LG. What does distractibility in ADHD reveal about mechanisms for top-down attentional control? *Cognition*. (2010) 115:93–103. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2009.11.013

26. Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Ford JM. Default mode network activity and connectivity in psychopathology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* (2012) 8:49–76. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143049

27. Dickstein SG, Bannon K, Xavier Castellanos F, Milham MP. The neural correlates of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: an ALE meta-analysis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. (2006) 47:1051–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01671.x

28. Levy BJ, Wagner AD. Cognitive control and right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex: reflexive reorienting, motor inhibition, and action updating. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2011) 1224:40–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.05958.x

29. Makris N, Biederman J, Valera EM, Bush G, Kaiser J, Kennedy DN, et al. Cortical thinning of the attention and executive function networks in adults with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Cereb Cortex.* (2007) 17:1364–75. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhl047

30. Mueller A, Hong DS, Shepard S, Moore T. Linking ADHD to the neural circuitry of attention. *Trends Cogn Sci.* (2017) 21:474–88. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2017.03.009

31. Sergeant JA, Geurts H, Huijbregts S, Scheres A, Oosterlaan J. The top and the bottom of ADHD: a neuropsychological perspective. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2003) 27:583–92. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.004

32. Kucyi A, Hove MJ, Esterman M, Hutchison RM, Valera EM. Dynamic brain network correlates of spontaneous fluctuations in attention. *Cereb Cortex*. (New York, NY) (2017) 27:1831–40. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw029

33. Castellanos FX, Margulies DS, Kelly C, Uddin LQ, Ghaffari M, Kirsch A, et al. Cingulate-precuneus interactions: a new locus of dysfunction in adult attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2008) 63:332–7. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.06.025

34. Gao Z, Duberg K, Warren SL, Zheng L, Hinshaw SP, Menon V, et al. Reduced temporal and spatial stability of neural activity patterns predict cognitive control deficits in children with ADHD. *Nature Communications*. (2025) 16:2346. doi: 10.1038/s41467-025-57685-x

35. Bayat M, Hernandez M, Curzon M, Garic D, Graziano P, Dick AS. Reduced recruitment of inhibitory control regions in very young children with ADHD during a modified kiddie continuous performance task: a fMRI study. *Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior.* (2025). 185:153–69. doi: 10.1016/j. cortex.2024.11.025

36. Tamm L, Narad ME, Antonini TN, O'brien KM, Hawk LW Jr, Epstein JN. Reaction time variability in ADHD: a review. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2012) 9:500-8. doi: 10.1007/s13311-012-0138-5

37. Salminen T, Strobach T, Schubert T. On the impacts of working memory training on executive functioning. Front Hum Neurosci. (2012) 6:166. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00166

38. Rutledge KJ, van den Bos W, McClure SM, Schweitzer JB. Training cognition in ADHD: current findings, borrowed concepts, and future directions. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2012) 9:542–58. doi: 10.1007/s13311-012-0134-9

39. Bozhilova NS, Michelini G, Kuntsi J, Asherson P. Mind wandering perspective on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2018) 92:464–76. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.010

40. Kaboodvand N, Iravani B, Fransson P. Dynamic synergetic configurations of resting-state networks in ADHD. *NeuroImage.* (2020) 207:116347. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116347

41. Ikeda T, Inoue A, Kawada MN, Tokuda T, Yamagata T, Dan I, et al. "Neural bases of executive function in ADHD children as assessed using fNIRS," in Frontiers in clinical drug research-CNS and neurological disorders. Vol. *10* (pp. 188–225). Bentham Science Publishers. (2022).

42. Friedman LM, Eckrich SJ, Rapport MD, Bohil CJ, Calub C. Working and shortterm memory in children with ADHD: an examination of prefrontal cortical functioning using functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). *Child Neuropsychol.* (2024) 30:462–85. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2023.2213463

43. Gallagher A, Wallois F, Obrig H. Functional near-infrared spectroscopy in pediatric clinical research: different pathophysiologies and promising clinical applications. *Neurophotonics*. (2023) 10:23517. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.10.2.023517

44. Koziol LF, Budding DE, Chidekel D. ADHD as a model of brain-behavior relationships (pp. 57–62). New York, NY: Springer (2013).

45. Fosco WD, Kofler MJ, Alderson RM, Tarle SJ, Raiker JS, Sarver DE. Inhibitory control and information processing in ADHD: comparing the dual task and performance adjustment hypotheses. *J Abnorm Child Psychol.* (2019) 47:961–74. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0504-9

46. White E, Dalley JW. Brain mechanisms of temporal processing in impulsivity: relevance to attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Brain Neuroscience Advances*. (2024) 8:23982128241272234. doi: 10.1177/23982128241272234

47. Güven A, Altınkaynak M, Dolu N, İzzetoğlu M, Pektaş F, Özmen S, et al. Combining functional near-infrared spectroscopy and EEG measurements for the diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neural Comput & Applic.* (2020) 32:8367–80. doi: 10.1007/s00521-019-04294-7

48. Monden Y, Dan I, Nagashima M, Dan H, Uga M, Ikeda T, et al. Individual classification of ADHD children by right prefrontal hemodynamic responses during a go/no-go task as assessed by fNIRS. *NeuroImage*. (2015) 9:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2015.06.011

49. Altinkaynak M, Guven A, Dolu N, Izzetoglu M, Demirci E, Ozmen S, et al. Investigating prefrontal hemodynamic responses in ADHD subtypes: a fNIRS study. In 2017 10th international conference on electrical and electronics engineering (ELECO); (pp. 611–614). (2017). IEEE.

50. Siniatchkin M, Glatthaar N, von Müller GG, Prehn-Kristensen A, Wolff S, Knöchel S, et al. Behavioural treatment increases activity in the cognitive neuronal networks in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Brain Topogr.* (2012) 25:332–44. doi: 10.1007/s10548-012-0221-6

51. Dubreuil-Vall L, Gomez-Bernal F, Villegas AC, Cirillo P, Surman C, Ruffini G, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex improves cognitive control in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized behavioral and neurophysiological study. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. (2021) 6:439–48. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.11.006

52. Arnsten AF, Pliszka SR. Catecholamine influences on prefrontal cortical function: relevance to treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and related disorders. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* (2011) 99:211–6. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2011.01.020

53. Buitelaar J, Bölte S, Brandeis D, Caye A, Christmann N, Cortese S, et al. Toward precision medicine in ADHD. *Front Behav Neurosci.* (2022) 16:900981. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2022.900981

54. Grazioli S, Rosi E, Mauri M, Crippa A, Tizzoni F, Tarabelloni A, et al. Patterns of response to methylphenidate administration in children with ADHD: a personalized medicine approach through clustering analysis. *Children*. (2021) 8:1008. doi: 10.3390/children8111008

55. Koike S, Nishimura Y, Takizawa R, Yahata N, Kasai K. Near-infrared spectroscopy in schizophrenia: a possible biomarker for predicting clinical outcome and treatment response. *Front Psych.* (2013) 4:145. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00145

56. Liu T, Pelowski M, Pang C, Zhou Y, Cai J. Near-infrared spectroscopy as a tool for driving research. *Ergonomics*. (2016) 59:368–79. doi: 10.1080/00140139.2015.1076057

57. Snyder SM, Rugino TA, Hornig M, Stein MA. Integration of an EEG biomarker with a clinician's ADHD evaluation. *Brain Behavior*. (2015) 5:e00330. doi: 10.1002/brb3.330

58. Husain SF, Chiang SK, Vasu AA, Goh CP, McIntyre RS, Tang TB, et al. Functional near-infrared spectroscopy of English-speaking adults with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder during a verbal fluency task. *J Atten Disord.* (2023) 27:1448–59. doi: 10.1177/10870547231180111

59. Zhang Y, Feng Y, Liu L, Jiang G, Wang M. Abnormal prefrontal cortical activation during the GO/NOGO and verbal fluency tasks in adult patients with comorbid generalized anxiety disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an fNIRS study. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2024) 172:281–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2024.02.053

60. Ono Y, Kikuchi M, Nakatani H, Murakami M, Nishisaka M, Muramatsu T, et al. Prefrontal oxygenation during verbal fluency and cognitive function in adolescents with bipolar disorder type II. *Asian J Psychiatr.* (2017) 25:147–53. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2016.11.001

61. Liu Q, Liao W, Yang L, Cao L, Liu N, Gu Y, et al. Aberrant amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation and functional connectivity in children with different subtypes of ADHD: a resting-state fNIRS study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2024) 24:919. doi: 10.1186/s12888-024-06350-6

62. Zhu Y, Liu S, Zhang F, Ren Y, Zhang T, Sun J, et al. Response inhibition in children with different subtypes/presentations of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Front Neurosci.* (2023) 17:1119289. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1119289

63. Kasai K, Fukuda M, Yahata N, Morita K, Fujii N. The future of real-world neuroscience: imaging techniques to assess active brains in social environments. *Neurosci Res.* (2015) 90:65–71. doi: 10.1016/j.neures.2014.11.007

64. Klein F, Kohl SH, Lührs M, Mehler DMA, Sorger B. From lab to life: challenges and perspectives of fNIRS for haemodynamic-based neurofeedback in real-world environments. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci.* (2024) 379:20230087. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2023.0087

65. Zheng Q, Kei KT, Chiu KY, Shum KK. Study protocol of a randomised controlled trial of the effects of near-infrared spectroscopy neurofeedback training coupled with virtual reality technology in children with ADHD. *BMJ Open*. (2024) 14:e093183. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-093183

66. Kaga Y, Ohyama T, Goto Y, Aoyagi K, Ishii S, Inukai T, et al. Impairment of autonomic emotional response for executive function in children with ADHD: a multimodal fNIRS and pupillometric study during the Wisconsin card sorting test. *Brain Dev.* (2022) 44:438–45. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2022.03.007

67. Lee U, Oh KS, Shin YC, Jeon SW, Cho SJ, Kim J, et al. Association between intraindividual variability and prefrontal cortex activity measured by functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) in children with ADHD. *Child Neuropsychol.* (2025) 31:229–38. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2024.2357380

68. Ortuño-Miró S, Molina-Rodríguez S, Belmonte C, Ibañez-Ballesteros J. Identifying ADHD boys by very-low frequency prefrontal fNIRS fluctuations during a rhythmic mental arithmetic task. *J Neural Eng.* (2023) 20:036018. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/acad2b

69. Miao S, Han J, Gu Y, Wang X, Song W, Li D, et al. Reduced prefrontal cortex activation in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder during go/no-go task: a functional near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Front Neurosci.* (2017) 11:367. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00367

70. Shimamura K, Inoue T, Ichikawa H, Nakato E, Sakuta Y, Kanazawa S, et al. Hemodynamic response to familiar faces in children with ADHD. *Biopsychosoc Med.* (2019) 13:30. doi: 10.1186/s13030-019-0172-1

71. Gu Y, Miao S, Zhang Y, Yang J, Li X. Compressibility analysis of functional near-infrared spectroscopy signals in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform.* (2023) 27:5449–58. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2023.3303470