Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Haipeng Liu, Coventry University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Wang Miao, First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China Yun-Xiang Zhou, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shaoyang University, China Sushma Jaiswal, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, India Muhana Fawwazy Ilyas, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia Adalet Göçmen, Harran University, Türkiye

*CORRESPONDENCE Chunyan Lei ⊠ leichunyan328@163.com

RECEIVED 25 February 2025 ACCEPTED 22 April 2025 PUBLISHED 08 May 2025

CITATION

Li Y, Chen K, Wang L, Zhao L, Lei C, Gu Y, Zhu X and Deng Q (2025) Values of lymphocyte-related ratios in predicting the clinical outcome of acute ischemic stroke patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis based on different etiologies. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1542889. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1542889

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Chen, Wang, Zhao, Lei, Gu, Zhu and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Values of lymphocyte-related ratios in predicting the clinical outcome of acute ischemic stroke patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis based on different etiologies

Yongyu Li, Keyang Chen, Lu Wang, Linhu Zhao, Chunyan Lei*, Yu Gu, Xiaoyan Zhu and Qionghua Deng

The First Department of Neurology, First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, China

Background: While neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lymphocyte-tomonocyte ratio (LMR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) have been associated with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) outcomes, their differential predictive value across etiological subtypes (TOAST classification) in thrombolysis-treated patients remains underexplored.

Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, we analyzed 381 AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis. Hematological indices were calculated from pre-thrombolysis. Using multivariable logistic regression adjusted for age, NIHSS, and comorbidities, we assessed associations between baseline ratios and 90-day unfavorable outcomes (mRS 3–6). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to determine optimal cutoffs stratified by TOAST subtypes.

Results: A total of 381 patients were included in the study. NLR showed superior predictive performance: large-artery atherosclerosis: AUC = 0.702 (aOR = 1.35, 95%CI = 1.14-1.61, p = 0.001), small-artery occlusion: AUC = 0.750 (aOR = 1.51, 95%CI = 1.08-2.10, p = 0.015), cardioembolic stroke: AUC = 0.679 (aOR = 1.82, 95%CI = 1.07-3.10, p = 0.028). LMR showed predictive value only in large-artery atherosclerosis (AUC = 0.632, p = 0.004). Optimal NLR cutoffs: 3.19 (large-artery), 3.94 (small-artery), 3.17 (cardioembolic stroke).

Conclusion: NLR emerged as a robust, subtype-specific predictor of postthrombolysis outcomes, particularly in atherosclerotic stroke variants. These findings supported NLR's clinical utility for risk stratification in thrombolysiseligible AIS patients.

KEYWORDS

stroke, etiology, thrombolysis, neutrophil, lymphocyte, outcome

Introduction

Stroke was the second leading cause of mortality globally, with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) representing up to 84% of all stroke types (1). AIS resulted in a high incidence of disability, with a prevalence of 20 to 25%, and a mortality rate of 10% (2). These figures impose substantial burdens on society and families. The prognosis of each type of AIS was associated with underlying etiology. The current internationally recognized classification system for AIS based on etiology was derived from a multicenter clinical trial called the Trial of Org 10,172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) (3).

A meta-analysis of the literature confirmed that intravenous thrombolysis was the most effective treatment for AIS, which significantly reduced disability and mortality rates (4). Alteplase (rt-PA) has been demonstrated to be an efficacious and widely utilized thrombolytic agent. However, administration of rt-PA is within 4.5 h of symptom onset (5), and its efficacy varies according to the etiology of AIS (4, 6). The rapid and objective identification of ineffective thrombolysis or potential side effects is of the utmost importance for the long-term quality of life of patients.

Animal studies indicate that humoral factors released by dying neurons may trigger inflammation in the injured cerebral area, which may result in the recruitment of peripheral immune cells, including neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes, into the infarcted tissue. This may exacerbate neuronal damage and result in disruption of the bloodbrain barrier (7, 8). Neutrophils are the initial inflammatory cells to respond following the onset of AIS, with an increase occurring within 30 min of the initial event, followed by monocytes and lymphocytes (9). These inflammatory responses product a considerable number of inflammatory factors, including interleukins, nitric oxide, interferon gamma, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (8). The elevated levels of inflammatory factors may induce oxidative stress reactions, which can damage brain tissue cells and result in neurological deficits. The level of platelet monocyte aggregation in patients with a stroke is markedly elevated (10). Platelets, together with these inflammatory cells, participate in the inflammatory development process of cerebral infarction, which lead to microvascular occlusion and exacerbate brain damage (11).

Previous study indicated that higher circulating white cell counts and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) were associated with symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (sICH) and poor prognosis in AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis. In addition, some studies had also shown that the NLR, lymphocyte to monocyte ratio (LMR), and platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) at admission were associated with neurological deterioration during hospitalization in AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis treatment (12–14). A recent meta-analysis confirmed a higher level of NLR was associated with adverse outcomes 3 months after thrombolytic therapy in AIS patients (15).

NLR, LMR, and PLR had become effective indicators for evaluating the prognosis of AIS. However, the predictive ability of these inflammatory cell ratios for the prognosis of AIS patients with different etiologies receiving thrombolytic therapy was not clear. This study provided the first direct comparison of NLR, LMR and PLR in functional outcome prediction using standardized modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores. Values exceeding these cutoffs may justify intensified monitoring or adjuvant anti-inflammatory therapies in specific TOAST subtypes, particularly when combined with higher NIHSS scores or atrial fibrillation.

Methods

Study population

This study was a single-center retrospective cohort study. Patients within 4.5 h of AIS onset were enrolled after being admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China from September 2018 to September 2023, who received intravenous rt-PA treatment. The study protocol was approved by the Scientific Research Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University and was designed in accordance with local ethics criteria for human research.

Inclusion criteria: (1) Age \geq 18 years old; (2) Onset to treatment time within 4.5 h; (3) Patients receiving rt-PA intravenous treatment. Exclusion criteria: (1) Patients with inflammatory lesions or infectious diseases before admission. The diagnostic criteria for infection require meeting at least two of the following four parameters: (a) Temperature >38°C or <36°C; (b) Heart rate >90 beats per minute; (c) Respiratory rate >20 breaths per minute or hyperventilation (PaCO₂ < 32 mmHg); (d) White blood cell count >12 × 10°/L or <4 × 10°/L (or immature granulocytes >10%) (16). (2) Patients with absolute contraindications to intravenous thrombolytic therapy; (3) Patients who had not undergone CT or MRI examinations after admission; (4) Those who were unable to get data.

Data collection

At admission, demographic characteristics, vascular risk factors, past medical history, vital signs, laboratory indicators, thrombolytic therapy-related indicators and imaging indicators before thrombolysis were obtained. National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) was assessed before thrombolysis. All patients underwent brain Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scan to exclude intracranial hemorrhage at admission and then received thrombolytic therapy. All patients underwent multimodal CT, MRI, pulmonary CT, carotid ultrasound and echocardiography evaluation to assess etiology, and infarct location.

The etiology classification of all included patients was evaluated by two professional neurologists. All patients underwent standardized etiological workup per the TOAST criteria. Specifically, suspected CE strokes received: \geq 24-h cardiac telemetry; transthoracic echocardiography when thrombus was suspected. According to the TOAST criteria to determine the etiology classification of AIS (3). TOAST categorized AIS into five subtypes: large-artery atherosclerosis, cardioembolism, small-vessel occlusion, other determined etiology, and undetermined etiology, and each subtype had specific diagnostic criteria (3).

Treatment method

Intravenous rt-PA treatment (dosage: 0.9 mg per kg body weight, maximum dose of 90 mg, 10% of the dose given at the first 1 min, the remaining 90% administered as a continuous intravenous infusion within an hour), during thrombolysis and 24 h after intravenous thrombolysis, and no antiplatelet therapy within 24 h after intravenous thrombolysis treatment.

Neuroimaging assessment

According to the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) II criteria (17), sICH was defined as any intracranial bleeding within 7 days after thrombolysis that led to clinical deterioration or an increase in the NIHSS score by more than 4 points in any intracranial location.

Clinical outcomes

Long-term outcomes were assessed using mRS, with telephone follow-ups conducted at 90 days post-onset. Each patient's mRS score was evaluated by two experienced neurologists without knowledge of the patients' baseline data. An mRS score of 0–2 at 90 days was classified as a favorable functional outcome, while a score of 3–6 at 90 days was classified as an unfavorable functional outcome.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Windows version of IBM SPSS Statistics 26 and GraphPad Prism 10.1.2 statistical package. Count data were presented as percentages, normally distributed data were presented as mean ± standard deviation, and non-normally distributed data were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR), i.e., the 25th to 75th percentile. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables among groups. For continuous variables, comparison of variance between groups was used for normally distributed data, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-normally distributed data. According to the expected statistical analysis methods, the baseline characteristics of various types of AIS patients included in the study were firstly analyzed. Then the 90-day mRS scores and sICH were grouped and analyzed for their demographic and clinical characteristics. Predictive factors for the prognosis of each subtype of AIS were analyzed using binary logistic regression analysis, with Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI) obtained from univariate model regression analysis. Variables with a *p* value < 0.05 in the univariate model were selected as covariates for the multivariate model to obtain adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) and 95%CI. Finally, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was conducted for variables demonstrating statistical significance (p < 0.05) in the multivariable logistic regression model, using the ROC curves to determine the Area Under Curve (AUC), sensitivity and specificity of the prediction, the best cutoff value for the ratio of inflammatory cells was determined using AUC and 95% confidence intervals, with an AUC of 0.70 or higher considered to indicate good predictive ability (18). A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

From September 2018 to September 2023, of the 473 patients enrolled in our study, 34 (0.7%) patients were excluded because data were lost owing to update in the record system; 21 (4.4%) patients received endovascular intervention after thrombolytic therapy; 9 (1.9%) patients had severe infectious diseases before admission. Finally, 409 patients were included. At the follow-up period of 3 months, a total of 28 (5.9%) patients were lost to follow-up. Finally, 381 patients who met the criteria were included in this study (Figure 1).

Among the 381 included patients, the most common etiology was large-artery atherosclerosis (n = 158, 41.5%), followed by small-artery occlusion (n = 72, 18.9%), cardioembolism (n = 62, 16.3%), other determined etiology (n = 35, 9.2%), and undetermined etiology (n = 54, 14.2%). The demographic and clinical characteristics were compared according to TOAST classification (Table 1). The age was higher in cardioembolism, while younger in undetermined etiology (p < 0.001). The cardioembolism had higher proportions of history of atrial fibrillation (p < 0.001) and coronary heart disease (p = 0.021), and also had a higher NIHSS score (p < 0.001) on admission compared to other etiologies. Moreover, cardioembolism showed lower LMR values compared to other etiologies (p = 0.046). Regarding the location of infarction, large-artery atherosclerosis stroke was more likely to occur in the anterior circulation, while small-artery occlusion stroke was most commonly observed in the posterior circulation. The cardioembolism was more likely to lead to infarction involving both anterior and posterior circulations (p < 0.001).

In terms of clinical outcomes, large-artery atherosclerosis stroke (7.6%) had the highest proportion of in-hospital mortality, followed by cardioembolism (4.8%; p = 0.002). The cardioembolism was more likely to result in hemorrhagic transformation compared to other etiologies (p < 0.001). Among patients with favorable functional outcomes, the small-artery occlusion stroke had the highest proportion (84.7%; p < 0.001). The cardioembolism had the highest proportion of unfavorable functional outcomes (53.2%; p < 0.001).

Lymphocyte-related ratios and sICH

The demographic and clinical characteristics were compared in positive sICH group and negative sICH group (Table 2). The patients with positive sICH had advanced age (p = 0.009) and a higher rate of history of atrial fibrillation (p < 0.001), and a higher baseline NIHSS score [14.0 (9.0–17.8) vs. 5.0 (3.0–9.0); p < 0.001]. Anterior circulation infarcts or anterior–posterior circulation infarcts were more likely to develop sICH compared to posterior circulation infarcts (p < 0.001). For etiologies, cardioembolism was more likely to develop sICH compared to other etiologies of stroke (p < 0.001). However, there was no statistical difference between lymphocyte-related ratios and sICH.

90-day clinical outcomes

Comparison of the clinical characteristics and lymphocyte ratio between AIS patients with favorable functional outcome and those with unfavorable functional outcome at 90 days (Table 3). Male patients were more likely to have favorable functional outcome at 90 days after onset (p = 0.014). The patients in unfavorable functional outcome group were associated with advanced age (p < 0.001), a history of atrial fibrillation (p < 0.001), and higher NIHSS scores at admission [9.0 (6.0–14.0) vs. 4.0 (2.0–6.0); p < 0.001]. For the laboratory results, higher neutrophil counts [6.3 (4.6–8.5) vs. 4.5 (3.4–6.1); p < 0.001] and NLR [4.0 (2.7–6.3) vs. 2.4 (1.8–3.7);



p < 0.001], lower lymphocyte counts [1.6 (1.1–2.1) vs. 1.7 (1.3–2.3); p = 0.005] and LMR [3.4 (2.2–4.5) vs. 3.8 (2.9–5.0); p < 0.001], and shorter APTT [33.5 (31.3–37.2) vs. 35.0 (33.2–38.6); p = 0.003] were more likely to result in unfavorable functional outcome at 90 days. Additionally, hemorrhage transformation (p < 0.001), anterior circulation stroke or anterior–posterior circulation stroke (p = 0.001), and large-artery atherosclerosis stroke or cardioembolic stroke (p < 0.001) were also more likely to result in an unfavorable functional outcome. In Figure 2, we graphically analyzed the influence of NLR on the 90-day mRS scores for TOAST subtypes, and found that higher NLR was associated with poor functional outcome patients with largeartery atherosclerosis stroke (p < 0.001), small-artery occlusion stroke (p < 0.01), and cardioembolic stroke (p < 0.01).

Univariable logistic regression analysis of 90-day clinical outcomes

Univariate logistic regression analysis indicated that male (OR, 0.585; 95%CI, 0.381–0.898; p = 0.014), age (OR, 1.032; 95%CI, 1.015–1.050; p < 0.001), history of atrial fibrillation (OR, 4.294; 95%CI, 2.390–7.715; p < 0.001), hemorrhage transformation (OR, 4.866; 95%CI, 2.331–10.159; p < 0.001), and baseline NIHSS score (OR, 1.291; 95%CI, 1.215–1.372; p < 0.001) were associated with adverse functional outcome at 90 days (Table 4). When using posterior circulation infarction as the reference, both anterior circulation infarction (OR, 2.189; 95%CI, 1.361–3.518; p = 0.001) and anterior-posterior circulation infarction (OR, 3.800; 95%CI, 1.741–8.292; p = 0.001) were associated with unfavorable functional outcome. In

the TOAST classification, when using small-artery occlusion as the reference, large-artery atherosclerosis (OR, 5.011; 95%CI, 2.454–10.232; p < 0.001) and cardioembolic (OR, 6.310; 95%CI, 2.799–14.229; p < 0.001) strokes were associated with unfavorable functional outcome. Additionally, in the laboratory results, neutrophil count (OR, 1.413; 95%CI, 1.275–1.565; p < 0.001), lymphocyte count (OR, 0.684; 95%CI, 0.522–0.898; p = 0.006), monocyte count (OR, 3.423; 95%CI, 1.357–8.634; p = 0.009), NLR (OR, 1.217; 95%CI, 1.127–1.313; p < 0.001), and LMR (OR, 0.784; 95%CI, 0.691–0.890; p < 0.001) were associated with adverse functional outcome.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis of 90-day clinical outcomes

After adjusting for sex, age, history of atrial fibrillation, hemorrhage transformation, infarction location, and admission NIHSS score as confounding factors, the multivariable model (Table 5) suggested that NLR before thrombolysis (aOR, 1.261; 95% CI, 1.148–1.384; p < 0.001) and LMR (aOR, 0.735; 95% CI, 0.622–0.868; p < 0.001) were independent predictors of unfavorable functional outcome in AIS patients after intravenous thrombolysis treatment. Among them, NLR could be regarded as an independent predictor of poor functional outcome in patients with large-artery atherosclerotic stroke (aOR, 1.354; 95% CI, 1.142–1.606; p = 0.001), small-artery occlusion stroke (aOR, 1.505; 95% CI, 1.081–2.096; p = 0.015), and cardioembolic stroke (aOR, 1.871; 95% CI, 1.065–3.101; p = 0.028). LMR was only an independent predictor of unfavorable functional

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of AIS patients according to TOAST classification subtypes.

Variable	Large-artery atherosclerosis	Small-artery occlusion	Cardioembolism	Stroke of other determined etiology	Stroke of undetermined etiology	<i>p</i> -value
	(<i>n</i> = 158)	(n = 72)	(<i>n</i> = 62)	(n = 35)	(<i>n</i> = 54)	
Demography						
Male (%)	108 (68.4)	42 (58.3)	32 (51.6)	25 (71.4)	35 (64.8)	0.125
Age [Y, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	69.5 (58.8–78.0)	65.0 (54.0-74.8)	75.5 (66.0-80.0)	68.0 (53.0-75.0)	63.5 (51.8-72.3)	< 0.001
Risk factors (%)						
Hypertension	104 (65.8)	43 (59.7)	40 (64.5)	19 (54.3)	29 (53.7)	0.443
Diabetes	39 (24.7)	23 (31.9)	13 (21.0)	6 (17.1)	9 (16.7)	0.252
Hyperlipidemia	40 (25.3)	22 (30.6)	15 (24.2)	8 (22.9)	19 (35.2)	0.551
Atrial fibrillation	11 (7.0)	0 (0.0)	48 (77.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	<0.001
Coronary heart disease	24 (15.2)	5 (6.9)	12 (19.4)	5 (14.3)	1 (1.9)	0.021
Previous TIA	7 (4.4)	2 (2.8)	4 (6.5)	2 (5.7)	0 (0.0)	0.210
Previous stroke	35 (22.2)	12 (16.7)	12 (19.4)	7 (20.0)	7 (13.0)	0.634
Current smoking	55 (34.8)	21 (29.2)	14 (22.6)	13 (37.1)	22 (40.7)	0.240
SBP (mmHg, $\overline{x} \pm s$)	143.7 ± 20.9	145.8 ± 17.0	145.7 ± 19.4	142.4 ± 17.7	147.4 ± 22.5	0.701
Admission NIHSSS [<i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	6.0 (3.0-10.0)	4.0 (2.3-6.0)	8.5 (4.8–14.0)	4.0 (3.0-7.0)	5.0 (3.0-8.0)	<0.001
OTT [h, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	2.8 (2.0-3.5)	2.8 (2.0-3.9)	3.0 (2.2–3.8)	3.0 (1.9-3.5)	3.0 (2.4–3.8)	0.411
OBD [h, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	2.0 (1.0-2.5)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	1.8 (1.0-2.7)	1.5 (1.0–2.9)	2.9 (1.5-2.7)	0.297
Lab results		•				
Neutrophil counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	5.1 (3.8-6.7)	4.2 (3.4–6.1)	5.4 (3.9-7.3)	5.5 (4.1–7.3)	5.3 (3.4-7.5)	0.124
Lymphocyte counts $[\times 10^{9}/L, M (P_{25}, P_{75})]$	1.6 (1.2–2.3)	1.7 (1.2–2.2)	1.6 (1.1–2.0)	1.9 (1.5–2.4)	1.7 (1.1–2.3)	0.097
Monocyte counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	0.4 (0.4–0.6)	0.4 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.3–0.7)	0.5 (0.4–0.6)	0.304
Platelet counts $[\times 10^9/L, M (P_{25}, P_{75})]$	209.0 (165.8–255.0)	210.0 (166.0–238.0)	191.0 (152.8–228.0)	206.0 (182.0–242.0)	192.5 (171.3–218.5)	0.171
NLR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	3.0 (2.0–5.1)	2.6 (1.8-4.1)	3.3 (2.4–5.3)	2.9 (1.7-4.6)	3.1 (2.0-6.2)	0.241
LMR $[M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	3.9 (2.8-4.9)	3.6 (2.7–5.8)	3.4 (2.4–4.1)	4.4 (2.9–5.8)	3.6 (2.5-4.6)	0.046
PLR $[M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	437.9 (325.9–647.0)	447.3 (336.8-741.1)	398.1 (289.8–507.0)	394.1 (296.8-590.2)	404.5 (289.9–530.4)	0.101
APTT [s, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	34.4 (32.1–37.9)	34.9 (33.0–38.5)	33.9 (30.9–37.1)	34.1 (31.5–37.8)	35.5 (31.3–39.1)	0.379
PT [s, $M(P_{25}, P_{75})$]	13.1 (12.6–13.8)	13.2 (12.4–13.8)	13.1 (12.7–13.8)	13.1 (12.6–13.9)	13.4 (12.5–13.8)	0.966
INR $[M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.901
Blood glucose [mmol/L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	7.2 (6.2–9.3)	6.9 (5.9–8.9)	7.0 (6.3-7.7)	7.1 (6.0–9.6)	6.8 (6.0-8.1)	0.382
$\frac{\text{LDL (mmol/L,}}{x \pm s)}$	2.8 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 1.0	2.7 ± 0.7	0.639

(Continued)

Variable	Large-artery atherosclerosis	Small-artery occlusion	Cardioembolism	Stroke of other determined etiology	Stroke of undetermined etiology	p-value
	(<i>n</i> = 158)	(n = 72)	(n = 62)	(n = 55)	(n = 54)	
Hemorrhage transformation (%)	17 (10.8)	1 (1.4)	17 (27.4)	0 (0.0)	3 (5.6)	<0.001
SICH	6 (3.8)	0 (0.0)	9 (14.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	
aSICH	11 (7.0)	1 (1.4)	8 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.7)	
mRS score of 0–2 (%)	83 (52.5)	61 (84.7)	29 (46.8)	27 (77.1)	39 (72.2)	< 0.001
mRS score of 3–6 (%)	75 (47.5)	11 (15.3)	33 (53.2)	8 (22.9)	15 (27.8)	< 0.001
Death in hospital (%)	12 (7.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.002
Infarction location (%)						< 0.001
Anterior circulation	110 (69.6)	20 (27.8)	33 (53.2)	20 (57.1)	28 (51.9)	
Posterior circulation	44 (27.8)	51 (70.8)	12 (19.4)	7 (20.0)	22 (40.7)	
Anterior-posterior circulation	4 (2.5)	1 (1.4)	17 (27.4)	8 (22.9)	4 (7.4)	

TABLE 1 (Continued)

outcome in patients with large-artery atherosclerosis stroke (aOR, 0.693; 95% CI, 0.541–0.886; p = 0.004).

Predictive ability of NLR and LMR

The abilities of NLR and LMR to predict 90-day unfavorable functional outcome according to TOAST subtypes are summarized in Table 6, and presented the ROC curves with good results in Figure 3. The ROC values of NLR and LMR were well differentiated among the TOAST subtypes. Table 6 and Figure 3 indicated that the AUC values of NLR for all AIS patients, large-artery atherosclerosis stroke, smallartery occlusion stroke and cardioembolic stroke were 0.701, 0.702, 0.750, and 0.679 respectively, the sensitivities were 0.662, 0.653, 0.727 and 0.727 respectively, the specificities were 0.707, 0.733, 0.787 and 0.690, respectively. The cutoff values of NLR for predicting unfavorable functional outcome in all AIS patients, large-artery atherosclerosis stroke, small-artery occlusion stroke and cardioembolic stroke were 3.281, 3.193, 3.937 and 3.172, respectively. LMR had poor predictive ability for unfavorable functional outcome in large-artery atherosclerosis stroke with an AUC of 0.591, and its predictive ability for other subtypes were not statistically significant.

Discussion

Our study involving 381 AIS patients underwent intravenous thrombolysis, there was significant differences in the clinical characteristics of AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis based on TOAST classification. Admission higher NLR and lower LMR as peripheral inflammatory markers were associated with unfavorable functional outcomes at 90 days, but not with sICH. Otherwise, based on TOAST classification, NLR was associated with unfavorable functional outcomes in large-artery atherosclerosis stroke, small-artery occlusion stroke, and cardioembolic stroke, while LMR was only associated with unfavorable functional outcome in large-artery atherosclerosis stroke. The accuracy of NLR in predicting unfavorable functional outcomes in large-artery atherosclerotic stroke and small-artery occlusive stroke were good, but its accuracy in predicting prognosis for other etiologies were poor.

Previous studies have shown that a high NLR combined with a low LMR measured 24 h after thrombolysis was related with poor functional outcome at 90 days in AIS patients (19). A recent metaanalysis also suggested that a higher NLR was associated with poor functional outcome at 3 months, however, the time at which NLR was obtained was not specified before or after thrombolysis (15). Another large retrospective study of patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy showed that the admission NLR was associated with 3-month mortality and sICH in patients with large-artery atherosclerosis stroke (20). Within 30 min of onset, the inflammation began to respond and neutrophils increased in circulating (9). A large prospective study suggested that elevated levels of plasma neutrophil elastase obtained upon admission were associated with adverse neurological outcomes at 3 months after receiving intravenous thrombolysis for AIS, which was released by neutrophils (21). Intravenous thrombolysis therapy could partially restore blood flow in ischemic penumbra and minimized brain tissue damage, while the immune system may still maintain an inflammatory response after vascular recanalization (22). The interaction between thrombolysis and inflammatory mechanisms drove the progression of neural damage in AIS (23). These lymphocyte-related ratios obtained before or after thrombolysis may be associated with adverse functional outcomes, and differed in their relationship with different etiologies of stroke. In our study, the baseline characteristics and prognostic factors of AIS with different etiologies showed significant differences. Rapid evaluation of prognosis of various etiological AIS before intravenous thrombolysis can provide clinical decisionmaking guidance.

Neutrophils played a role in releasing cytokines and inflammatory mediators, infiltrating and releasing oxygen free radicals, expressing elastase to disrupt the blood-brain barrier, and causing capillary stasis and neuronal ischemia and hypoxia, which resulted in neurological

TABLE 2 Analysis of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage.

	S				
Variable	Positive	Negative	<i>p</i> -value		
	(<i>n</i> = 16)	(<i>n</i> = 365)			
Demography					
Male (%)	8 (50.0)	234 (64.1)	0.251		
Age [Y, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	74.5 (71.0-84)	68.0 (57.0-77.0)	0.009		
Risk factors (%)					
Hypertension	9 (56.3)	226 (61.9)	0.648		
Diabetes	5 (31.3)	85 (23.3)	0.665		
Hyperlipidemia	4 (25.0)	100 (27.4)	0.833		
Atrial fibrillation	9 (56.3)	51 (14.0)	<0.001		
Coronary heart disease	2 (12.5)	45 (12.3)	0.984		
Previous TIA	0 (0.0)	15 (4.1)	0.865		
Previous stroke	3 (18.8)	70 (19.2)	0.966		
Current smoking	1 (6.3)	124 (34.0)	0.021		
SBP (mmHg, $\overline{x} \pm s$)	153.5 ± 28.0	144.5 ± 19.4	0.219		
Admission NIHSSS [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	14.0 (9.0–17.8)	5.0 (3.0-9.0)	0.001		
OTT [h, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	2.3 (1.8–3.2)	3.0 (2.0–3.6)	0.168		
Lab results					
Neutrophil counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	6.2 (4.1-7.3)	5.0 (3.7-6.7)	0.143		
Lymphocyte counts [×10 ⁹ /L, $M(P_{25}, P_{75})$]	1.8 (1.1–2.2)	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.878		
Monocyte counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.786		
Platelet counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	170.5 (153.5–226.3)	203 (167.5–241.0)	0.116		
NLR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	3.2 (2.0-6.7)	3.0 (2.0-4.9)	0.526		
LMR $[M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	4.1 (2.8–5.3)	3.7 (2.7-4.9)	0.592		
PLR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	389.9 (298.3–491.2)	430.1 (322.5-642.1)	0.426		
APTT [s, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	34.0 (32.1–37.7)	34.6 (31.9–38.2)	0.944		
PT $[s, M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	13.2 (12.6–13.6)	13.2 (12.6–13.8)	0.674		
INR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.393		
Blood glucose [mmol/L, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	6.9 (5.9–7.9)	7.0 (6.1–8.8)	0.570		
LDL (mmol/L, $\overline{x} \pm s$)	2.7 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.9	0.863		
Infarction location (%)			0.001		
Anterior circulation	14 (87.5)	197 (54.0)			
Posterior circulation	0 (0.0)	136 (37.3)			
Anterior-posterior circulation	2 (12.5)	32 (8.8)			
TOAST classification (%)			<0.001		
Large-artery Atherosclerosis	6 (37.5)	152 (41.6)			
Small-artery occlusion	0 (0.0)	72 (19.7)			
Cardioembolism	9 (56.3)	53 (14.5)			
Other determined etiology	0 (0.0)	35 (9.6)			
Undetermined etiology	1 (6.3)	53 (14.5)			

deterioration (11, 24, 25). Animal models of stroke suggested that the depletion of $\gamma\delta T$ cells had a damaging role post-stroke, meanwhile, CD8 + T cells aggregated in the necrotic area mediating cytotoxic reactions to disrupt the blood-brain barrier (11). Monocytes increased

within hours after infarction, releasing deleterious inflammatory mediators that caused inflammation in the infarct area and promoted platelet-monocyte aggregate formation, which lead to thrombus formation and vascular occlusion (11, 26).

TABLE 3 Analysis of mRS scores at 90 days.

	Neurological functiona		
Variable	mRS score of 0~2	mRS score of 3~6	<i>p</i> -value
	(<i>n</i> = 239)	(<i>n</i> = 142)	
Demography			
Male (%)	163 (68.2)	79 (55.6)	0.014
Age [Y, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	66.0 (54.0-75.0)	72.5 (61.8-80.0)	<0.001
Risk factors (%)	1	I	
Hypertension	142 (59.4)	93 (65.5)	0.238
Diabetes	58 (24.3)	32 (22.5)	0.700
Hyperlipidemia	67 (28.0)	37 (26.1)	0.675
Atrial fibrillation	20 (8.4)	40 (28.2)	<0.001
Coronary heart disease	32 (13.4)	15 (10.6)	0.417
Previous TIA	13 (5.4)	2 (1.4)	0.050
Previous stroke	40 (16.7)	33 (23.2)	0.119
Current smoking	87 (36.4)	38 (26.8)	0.053
SBP (mmHg, $\overline{x} \pm s$)	143.6 ± 19.9	146.9 ± 19.9	0.119
Admission NIHSSS [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	4.0 (2.0-6.0)	9.0 (6.0–14.0)	<0.001
OTT [h, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	3.0 (2.0–3.6)	3.0 (2.2–3.5)	0.777
Lab results	1	I	1
Neutrophil counts [×10 ⁹ /L, $M(P_{25}, P_{75})$]	4.5 (3.4–6.1)	6.3 (4.6-8.5)	<0.001
Lymphocyte counts [×10 ⁹ /L, $M(P_{25}, P_{75})$]	1.7 (1.3–2.3)	1.6 (1.1–2.1)	0.005
Monocyte counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.4–0.6)	0.071
Platelet counts [×10 ⁹ /L, <i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	201.0 (169.0–237.0)	155.0 (143.0–165.0)	0.795
NLR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	2.4 (1.8–3.7)	4.0 (2.7-6.3)	<0.001
LMR $[M(P_{25}, P_{75})]$	3.8 (2.9–5.0)	3.4 (2.2–4.5)	<0.001
PLR [M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	434.1 (333.3-643.6)	398.1 (287.6-620.0)	0.162
APTT [s, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	35.0 (33.2–38.6)	33.5 (31.3–37.2)	0.003
$PT [s, M (P_{25}, P_{75})]$	13.2 (12.6–13.8)	13.2 (12.6–13.8)	0.974
INR [<i>M</i> (<i>P</i> ₂₅ , <i>P</i> ₇₅)]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.702
Blood glucose [mmol/L, M (P ₂₅ , P ₇₅)]	6.9 (6.0-8.6)	7.2 (6.1–8.9)	0.27
LDL (mmol/L, $\overline{x} \pm s$)	2.7 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.9	0.215
Hemorrhage transformation (%)	11 (4.6)	27 (19.0)	<0.001
SICH	0 (0.0)	16 (11.3)	
aSICH	11 (4.6)	11 (7.7)	
Infarction location (%)			<0.001
Anterior circulation	122 (51.0)	89 (62.7)	
Posterior circulation	102 (42.7)	34 (23.9)	
Anterior-posterior circulation	15 (6.3)	19 (13.4)	
TOAST classification (%)			<0.001
Large-artery Atherosclerosis	83 (34.7)	75 (52.8)	
Small-artery occlusion	61 (25.5)	11 (7.7)	
Cardioembolism	29 (12.1)	33 (23.2)	
Other determined etiology	27 (11.3)	8 (5.6)	
Undetermined etiology	39 (16.3)	15 (10.6)	



Chronic inflammation of the arterial wall also played a key role in the occurrence and development of atherosclerosis (27). The plaque rupture was caused by infiltration of neutrophils and increased neutrophil-platelet adhesion. Animal studies have shown that neutrophils infiltrated into arterial plaques, leading to chronic inflammation; additionally, neutrophils may also damage plaques by releasing proteolytic enzymes. Even within the normal range of white blood cell count, a higher NLR was still associated with atherosclerotic events (27). This may be one of the mechanisms by which a higher admission NLR can predict unfavorable neurological outcome at 90 days in large-artery atherosclerosis stroke and small-artery occlusion stroke. Moreover, high admission NLR has been shown to have predictive value for cerebral infarction progression during hospitalization in largeartery atherosclerosis stroke (28). In cardioembolic stroke, although high NLR was an independent predictor for adverse neurological outcome at 90 days post onset, its predictive value was limited. Other studies have suggested that higher NLR within 24 h of admission was predictive of the hemorrhage transformation in patients with atrial fibrillation-related AIS, and the relationship with poor neurological outcomes remained to be further confirmed (29).

In large-artery atherosclerotic stroke, exposure of the lipid-rich necrotic core within unstable plaques triggered T-lymphocyte infiltration, while systemic inflammation exacerbated lymphocyte apoptosis, leading to a reduction in peripheral blood lymphocyte absolute counts and consequently elevating the NLR (11, 27). This pathophysiological cascade justified the higher NLR cutoff observed in large-artery atherosclerotic stroke patients. In cardioembolic stroke, tissue factors released from cardiac thrombi or injured myocardium activated thromboinflammatory cascades (11), the absence of chronic arterial inflammation resulted in a milder decline in lymphocytes and thus a lower NLR cutoff compared to large-artery atherosclerotic stroke. In small-artery occlusion stroke, chronic blood-brain barrier leakage promoted peripheral lymphocyte migration into brain parenchyma (11), which may stabilize peripheral lymphocyte counts despite localized neuroinflammation, this unique mechanism likely contributed to the distinctively higher NLR cutoff compared to other subtypes.

Although low LMR obtained within 24 h of admission was associated hemorrhage transformation in AIS patients (30). NLR,

LMR, and PLR at admission were not found to be related to sICH in AIS patients after receiving intravenous thrombolysis treatment in our study, and similar findings had been reported in other studies (31).

Furthermore, platelet-monocyte activation in stroke patients was significantly higher than in healthy individuals, leading to spontaneous platelet aggregation. After stroke onset, platelets were activated and aggregated, which caused neuronal damage (22, 32). In cardioembolic stroke, platelets played a pivotal role in the pathophysiological processes of cerebral infarction through activation of the coagulation cascade and microcirculatory obstruction. These mechanisms exacerbated inflammatory responses and propagated thrombus formation, thereby hindering stroke recovery and contributing to adverse clinical outcomes (33), which may result higher PLR obtained before thrombolysis has been found to be associated with early neurological deterioration in patients with AIS (13). However, in our study, we did not find evidence that pre-thrombolysis PLR was associated with sICH or poor neurological outcomes. This may be related with the lack of significant early changes in platelets, and similar findings have been reported in other studies (34). The limited predictive utility of LMR and PLR may relate to monocyte/platelet dynamics being more influenced by acute-phase responses rather than chronic vascular inflammation. Future studies should evaluate serial measurements of these ratios in conjunction with advanced imaging biomarkers to clarify their pathophysiological roles.

There were several limitations to this study. Firstly, it was a study with a relatively small sample size from a single center, the predictive performance for large-artery atherosclerotic stroke marginally exceeded the predefined threshold (AUC = 0.70), suggesting suboptimal discriminative capacity. Especially with fewer cases in other determined etiological stroke, there were only 35 cases were included in the multiple regression analysis, which substantially diminishes the statistical power to detect outcomes within this subtype. Thus, multivariate regression models analyzing this subgroup may yield imprecise OR with disproportionately wide CI, reducing the reliability of predictive inferences. While this limitation inherently reflected fundamental challenges in current etiological research on AIS, it simultaneously delineates actionable pathways for future investigations. Furthermore, establishing multicenter collaborative cohorts may prove particularly valuable

TABLE 4 Univariate analysis of characteristics of patients with AIS to identify predictors of 90-day unfavorable neurological outcome.

	mRS score of 3					
Variable	OR	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value			
Demography						
Male	0.585	0.381-0.898	0.014			
Age (y)	1.032	1.015-1.050	<0.001			
Risk factors	'	·	'			
Hypertension	1.296	0.842-1.996	0.238			
Diabetes	0.908	0.555-1.485	0.700			
Hyperlipidemia	0.905	0.566-1.446	0.675			
Atrial fibrillation	4.294	2.390-7.715	<0.001			
Coronary heart disease	0.764	0.398-1.466	0.418			
Previous TIA	0.248	0.055-1.117	0.069			
Previous stroke	1.506	0.898-2.525	0.12			
Current smoking	0.638	0.405-1.007	0.053			
SBP (mmHg)	1.008	0.998-1.019	0.119			
Admission NIHSSS	1.291	1.215-1.372	<0.001			
OTT (h)	1.020	0.830-1.254	0.853			
Lab results						
Neutrophil counts (×10 ⁹ /L)	1.413	1.275-1.565	<0.001			
Lymphocyte counts (×10 ⁹ /L)	0.684	0.522-0.898	0.006			
Monocyte counts (×10 ⁹ /L)	3.423	1.357-8.634	0.009			
Platelet counts (×10 ⁹ /L)	1.002	0.998-1.005	0.358			
NLR	1.217	1.127-1.313	<0.001			
LMR	0.784	0.691-0.890	<0.001			
PLR	1.000	0.999-1.001	0.671			
APTT (s)	0.984	0.954-1.016	0.323			
PT (s)	1.096	0.983-1.223	0.098			
INR	0.975	0.823-1.156	0.773			
Blood glucose (mmol/L)	1.027	0.964-1.093	0.413			
LDL (mmol/L)	1.163	0.916-1.477	0.215			
Hemorrhage transformation	4.866	2.331-10.159	<0.001			
Infarction location						
Anterior circulation	2.189	1.361-3.518	0.001			
Posterior circulation	Reference	Reference				
Anterior-posterior circulation	3.800	1.741-8.292	0.001			
TOAST classification						
Large-artery Atherosclerosis	5.011	2.454-10.232	<0.001			
Small-artery occlusion	Reference	Reference				
Cardioembolism	6.310	2.799-14.229	<0.001			
Other determined etiology	1.643	0.594-4.544	0.339			
Undetermined etiology	2.133	0.889-5.120	0.090			

for augmenting statistical power while preserving clinical heterogeneity across diverse populations. Importantly, our multivariate models did not adjust for post-thrombolysis interventions (e.g., antiplatelet timing) and complications (e.g., infections), which may confound inflammatory marker trajectories. While our cohort followed institutional protocols for standard care, residual confounding from unmeasured clinical decisions cannot be excluded. Future prospective studies should integrate real-time monitoring of both baseline and in-hospital variables to disentangle these effects. Secondly, we obtained

TABLE 5 Multivariable analysis was used to investigate the effects of NLR and LMR on the 90-day unfavorable neurological outcomes based on TOA	ST
classification.	

	NLR		LMR			
TOAST	aOR*	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value	aOR*	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value
All included patients	1.261	1.148-1.384	<0.001	0.735	0.622-0.868	<0.001
Large-artery atherosclerosis	1.354	1.142-1.606	0.001	0.693	0.541-0.886	0.004
Small-artery occlusion	1.505	1.081-2.096	0.015	0.641	0.373-1.101	0.107
Cardioembolism	1.817	1.065-3.101	0.028	0.700	0.359-1.365	0.296
Other determined etiology	1.047	0.738-1.486	0.796	0.939	0.490-1.799	0.849
Undetermined etiology	1.053	0.857-1.293	0.623	0.736	0.395-1.373	0.335

*Adjusted for gender, age, history of atrial fibrillation, admission NIHSS score, location of infarct and hemorrhage transformation.

TABLE 6 ROC curves were used to analyze the prognostic value of unfavorable neurological outcomes at 90 days.

	NLR			LMR		
TOAST	AUC	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value	AUC	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value
All included patients	0.701	0.646-0.755	<0.001	0.615	0.555-0.674	<0.001
Large-artery Atherosclerosis	0.702	0.620-0.784	<0.001	0.591	0.502-0.679	0.049
Small-artery occlusion	0.750	0.572-0.928	0.009	0.656	0.463-0.850	0.100
Cardioembolism	0.679	0.565-0.829	0.008	0.606	0.464-0.748	0.152
Other determined etiology	0.685	0.508-0.862	0.116	0.708	0.482-0.934	0.077
Undetermined etiology	0.667	0.515-0.818	0.060	0.639	0.451-0.827	0.116



lymphocyte-related ratios at admission, which was a single time point and restricted the evaluation of dynamic changes in inflammation after thrombolysis. Our reliance on baseline NLR/ LMR precluded conclusions about the role of post-thrombolysis inflammatory dynamics. Prior studies had suggested that higher NLR within 24 h of admission was predictive of the hemorrhage transformation in patients with atrial fibrillation-related AIS, and the relationship with poor neurological outcomes remained to be further confirmed (29). Future prospective studies should integrate serial biomarker measurements to disentangle acute vs. secondary inflammatory effects on stroke outcomes. Finally, there was limited research on the relationship between NLR at admission and sICH after receiving intravenous thrombolysis for AIS. Furthermore, the observed AUC differences should be interpreted cautiously due to sample heterogeneity. Future studies with larger, overlapping cohorts are needed to rigorously validate subtype-specific performance differences.

Our study provided the first evidence on the prognostic utility of pre-thrombolysis lymphocyte-related ratios (e.g., NLR) in AIS patients with distinct etiological subtypes following intravenous thrombolysis. By focusing on pre-intervention biomarkers, we isolated early inflammatory responses inherent to the index stroke, thereby minimizing confounding effects from post-stroke complications (e.g., hospital-acquired infections) or secondary systemic inflammation. Notably, admission NLR emerged as an etiology-specific prognostic indicator, with validated cutoffs offering clinical utility for risk stratification and personalized therapeutic decision-making. Further investigations should interplay elucidate the pathophysiological between pre-thrombolysis inflammatory profiles and stroke subtypes, while expanding biomarker panels to include cytokines and neutrophil elastase for precise outcome prediction.

Conclusion

NLR had a borderline adequate predictive capacity for adverse neurological outcomes in large-artery atherosclerosis stroke and a

reasonable predictive performance for small-artery occlusion stroke, the NLR may serve as an important prognostic marker for AIS, assisting in evaluating therapeutic efficacy and selecting treatment modalities in clinical decision-making through cut-off values.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YL: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KC: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. LW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. LZ: Formal analysis, Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. CL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YG: Data curation, Software, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Software, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Song S, Liang L, Fonarow GC, Smith EE, Bhatt DL, Matsouaka RA, et al. Comparison of clinical care and in-hospital outcomes of Asian American and white patients with acute ischemic stroke. *JAMA Neurol.* (2019) 76:430–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.4410

2. Morotti A, Poli L, Costa P. Acute Stroke. Semin Neurol. (2019) 39:61-72. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676992

3. Adams HP Jr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of org 10172 in acute stroke treatment. *Stroke.* (1993) 24:35–41. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.24.1.35

4. Mendelson SJ, Prabhakaran S. Diagnosis and Management of Transient Ischemic Attack and Acute Ischemic Stroke: a review. *JAMA*. (2021) 325:1088–98. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.26867

5. Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, Brozman M, Dávalos A, Guidetti D, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 359:1317–29. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0804656

6. Emberson J, Lees KR, Lyden P, Blackwell L, Albers G, Bluhmki E, et al. Effect of treatment delay, age, and stroke severity on the effects of intravenous thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet*. (2014) 384:1929–35. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60584-5

7. Macrez R, Ali C, Toutirais O, Le Mauff B, Defer G, Dirnagl U, et al. Stroke and the immune system: from pathophysiology to new therapeutic strategies. *Lancet Neurol.* (2011) 10:471–80. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70066-7

8. Atik İ, Kozacı N, Beydilli İ, Avcı M, Ellidağ H, Keşaplı M. Investigation of oxidant and antioxidant levels in patients with acute stroke in the emergency service. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2016) 34:2379–83. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2016.08.062

9. Xu X, Jiang Y. The Yin and Yang of innate immunity in stroke. *Biomed Res Int.* (2014) 2014:807978:1-8. doi: 10.1155/2014/807978

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Joint Research Fund of Yunnan Province (202301AY070001-200), Nervous System Disease Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment Center of Yunnan Province (ZX2022-09-01), Yunnan Clinical Medical Center for Neurological and Cardiovascular Diseases (YWLCYXZX2023300077), and Key Clinical Specialty of Neurology in Yunnan Province (300064).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Sarejloo S, Kheradjoo H, Haghi SE, Hosseini S, Gargari MK, Azarhomayoun A, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and early neurological deterioration in stroke patients: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Biomed Res Int*. (2022) 2022:8656864. doi: 10.1155/2022/8656864

11. Iadecola C, Anrather J. The immunology of stroke: from mechanisms to translation. *Nat Med.* (2011) 17:796–808. doi: 10.1038/nm.2399

12. Liu YL, Lu JK, Yin HP, Xia PS, Qiu DH, Liang MQ, et al. High neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio predicts hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis. *Int J Hypertens*. (2020) 2020:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2020/5980261

13. Gong P, Liu Y, Gong Y, Chen G, Zhang X, Wang S, et al. The association of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, platelet to lymphocyte ratio, and lymphocyte to monocyte ratio with post-thrombolysis early neurological outcomes in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Neuroinflammation.* (2021) 18:51. doi: 10.1186/s12974-021-02090-6

14. Zhang YX, Shen ZY, Jia YC, Guo X, Guo XS, Xing Y, et al. The Association of the Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio and systemic inflammation response index with short-term functional outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Inflamm Res.* (2023) 16:3619–30. doi: 10.2147/JIR.S418106

15. Wang C, Zhang Q, Ji M, Mang J, Xu Z. Prognostic value of the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in acute ischemic stroke patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Neurol.* (2021) 21:191. doi: 10.1186/s12883-021-02222-8

16. Bone RC, Balk RA, Cerra FB, Dellinger RP, Fein AM, Knaus WA, et al. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. The ACCP/SCCM consensus conference committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest.* (1992) 101:1644–55. doi: 10.1378/chest.101.6.1644

17. Hacke W, Kaste M, Fieschi C, Toni D, Lesaffre E, von Kummer R, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for acute hemispheric stroke. The European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS). *JAMA*. (1995) 274:1017–25. doi: 10.1001/jama.1995.03530130023023

18. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied Logistic Regression. 2nd edn. eds. Hosmer, W. David and S Lemeshow. Wiley (2000).

19. Sadeghi F, Sarkady F, Zsóri KS, Szegedi I, Orbán-Kálmándi R, Székely EG, et al. High neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and low lymphocyte-monocyte ratio combination after thrombolysis is a potential predictor of poor functional outcome of acute ischemic stroke. *J Pers Med.* (2022) 12:1221. doi: 10.3390/jpm12081221

20. Goyal N, Tsivgoulis G, Chang JJ, Malhotra K, Pandhi A, Ishfaq MF, et al. Admission neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic biomarker of outcomes in large vessel occlusion strokes. *Stroke*. (2018) 49:1985–7. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.021477

21. Li L, Han Z, Wang R, Fan J, Zheng Y, Huang Y, et al. Association of admission neutrophil serine proteinases levels with the outcomes of acute ischemic stroke: a prospective cohort study. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2023) 20:70. doi: 10.1186/s12974-023-02758-1

22. Alawieh AM, Langley EF, Feng W, Spiotta AM, Tomlinson S. Complementdependent synaptic uptake and cognitive decline after stroke and reperfusion therapy. *J Neurosci.* (2020) 40:4042–58. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2462-19.2020

23. Nieswandt B, Kleinschnitz C, Stoll G. Ischaemic stroke: a thrombo-inflammatory disease? J Physiol. (2011) 589:4115-23. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.212886

24. Fann DY, Lee SY, Manzanero S, Chunduri P, Sobey CG, Arumugam TV. Pathogenesis of acute stroke and the role of inflammasomes. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2013) 12:941–66. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2013.09.004

25. Petrovic-Djergovic D, Goonewardena SN, Pinsky DJ. Inflammatory disequilibrium in stroke. *Circ Res.* (2016) 119:142-58. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308022

26. Oberheiden T, Nguyen XD, Fatar M, Elmas E, Blahak C, Morper N, et al. Platelet and monocyte activation in acute ischemic stroke--is there a correlation with stroke etiology? *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* (2012) 18:87–91. doi: 10.1177/1076029611412359

27. Eriksson EE, Xie X, Werr J, Thoren P, Lindbom L. Direct viewing of atherosclerosis in vivo: plaque invasion by leukocytes is initiated by the endothelial selectins. *FASEB J.* (2001) 15:1149–57. doi: 10.1096/fj.00-0537com

28. Weiping Pang BaW. The predictive value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio for the progression of large-artery atherosclerotic ischemic stroke. *Chin Med J.* (2023) 20:93–7. doi: 10.20047/j.issn1673-7210.2023.32.20

29. Yuexia Ma JG, Liu G, Ma X, Zhu C. Clinical significance of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in acute Aschemic stroke patients with atrial fibrillation related hemorrhagic transformation. *J Brain Nerve Disord*. (2022) 30:495–9.

30. Song Q, Pan R, Jin Y, Wang Y, Cheng Y, Liu J, et al. Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio and risk of hemorrhagic transformation in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Neurol Sci.* (2020) 41:2511–20. doi: 10.1007/s10072-020-04355-z

31. Ören O, Haki C, Kaya H, Yüksel M. Predictive value of admission neutrophil/ lymphocyte ratio in symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage after stroke thrombolysis. *Neurol Sci.* (2022) 43:435–40. doi: 10.1007/s10072-021-05326-8

32. Franks ZG, Campbell RA, Weyrich AS, Rondina MT. Platelet-leukocyte interactions link inflammatory and thromboembolic events in ischemic stroke. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2010) 1207:11–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05733.x

33. Cuartero MI, Ballesteros I, Moraga A, Nombela F, Vivancos J, Hamilton JA, et al. N2 neutrophils, novel players in brain inflammation after stroke: modulation by the PPARγ agonist rosiglitazone. *Stroke.* (2013) 44:3498–508. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002470

34. Sun YY, Wang MQ, Wang Y, Sun X, Qu Y, Zhu HJ, et al. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio at 24h after thrombolysis is a prognostic marker in acute ischemic stroke patients. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1000626. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1000626

Glossary

AIS - Acute ischemic stroke	NLR - Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio
aOR - Adjusted odds ratio	ODB - Onset to draw blood
APTT - Activated partial thromboplastin time	OR - Odds ratio
aSICH - Asymptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage	OTT - Onset to thrombolysis time
AUC - Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve	PT - Prothrombin time
CI - Confidence interval	
INR - International normalized ratio	PLK - Platelet to lymphocyte ratio
LDL - Low density lipoprotein	ROC - Receiver operating characteristic curve
LMR - Lymphocyte to monocyte ratio	SICH - Symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage
M - Median	TOAST - Trial of Org 10,172 in Acute Stroke Treatment
mRS - modified Rankin Scale	TIA - Transient ischemic attack
NIHSS - National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale	Y - Years old