

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Reza Rastmanesh, American Physical Society, United States

REVIEWED BY Xuemei Ma, Beijing University of Technology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE
Ma Yan-e

☑ mayaneyau@163.com

RECEIVED 12 May 2025 ACCEPTED 26 June 2025 PUBLISHED 09 July 2025

CITATION

Dong-mei L, Jian-qiang Y and Yan-e M (2025) Effects of perioperative hydrogen inhalation on brain edema and prognosis in patients with glioma. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1625636. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1625636

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Dong-mei, Jian-qiang and Yan-e. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of perioperative hydrogen inhalation on brain edema and prognosis in patients with glioma

Liu Dong-mei, Yao Jian-qiang and Ma Yan-e*

Yulin City First Hospital, Second Affiliated Hospital of Yanan University, Yulin, Shaanxi, China

KEYWORDS

hydrogen inhalation, glioma patients, brain edema reduction, neuro-oncology, perioperative care

Introduction

Wu et al. (1) conducted a pioneering randomized controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate perioperative hydrogen inhalation in glioma patients, demonstrating a statistically significant reduction in postoperative brain edema and improved short-term neurological outcomes. While these findings highlight hydrogen's potential as an adjuvant therapy, critical analysis from statistical and clinical perspectives is necessary to assess the validity of conclusions and guide future research. This commentary addresses methodological limitations, contextualizes the results within existing evidence on hydrogen therapy, and proposes directions for advancing this field.

Discussion

Strengths and statistical considerations: the study's RCT design and focus on objective outcomes such as edema volume via MRI strengthen its reliability. However, several statistical limitations should be considered for attention: sample size and generalizability: the single-center trial enrolled 120 participants, which is modest for evaluating clinical outcomes in heterogeneous glioma populations. Larger multicenter studies in glioma populations, such as those in Parkinson's disease (2), are essential to validate these findings across diverse demographics and tumor subtypes; Blinding and bias control: Although randomization was implemented, the lack of detailed blinding protocols such as whether outcome assessors were blinded raises concerns about measurement bias, a common issue in hydrogen therapy trials (3). The comprehensive and explicit documentation of allocation concealment along with blinding methodologies is of particularly importance for ensuring the replicability of research findings; Clinical vs. Statistical Significance: while a 15% reduction in edema volume was statistically significant (p < 0.05), its clinical impact remains unclear. Future studies should prioritize patient-centered outcomes, such as functional recovery or survival rates, to align with real-world therapeutic goals (4). Mechanistic and clinical context: hydrogen's antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, well-documented in preclinical studies (5), provided a reasonable mechanism for reducing edema. While Wu et al. (1) reported reductions in oxidative/inflammatory markers (MDA, SOD, IL-6, TNF-α), the absence of mediation analysis precludes definitive causal attribution of edema reduction to these mechanisms. Integrating such biomarkers, as seen in trials of hydrogen water for neurodegenerative diseases (2), would enhance mechanistic clarity. Clinically, the study's short follow-up (30 days post-surgery) limits Dong-mei et al. 10.3389/fneur.2025.1625636

insights into long-term prognosis. Glioma management prioritizes survival and quality of life; Thus, extending observation periods to 6-12 months is critical to evaluate hydrogen's durability. Additionally, comparing inhalation with other delivery methods such as oral hydrogen-rich water could optimize therapeutic strategies. For instance, inhalation may offer rapid bioavailability during surgery, while oral administration might suit long-term home use (6). Translational challenges and future directions: the authors appropriately highlight hydrogen's safety profile, but scalability challenges still exist. Hydrogen inhalation requires specialized equipment and monitoring, increasing healthcare costs compared to oral alternatives. Cost-effectiveness analyses are needed to justify clinical adoption, especially in resource-limited settings. Future research should focus on: dose optimization: the study used a fixed hydrogen concentration (67% H₂/33% O₂ mixture). Exploring dose-response relationships could maximize efficacy while minimizing risks; Combination therapies: pairing hydrogen with standard treatments such as temozolomide may synergistically enhance outcomes, as suggested by preclinical models (5); Patient stratification: subgroup analyses based on glioma molecular subtypes such as IDH mutation status may identify populations most likely to benefit.

Conclusion

Wu et al. (1) provided valuable preliminary evidence supporting hydrogen's role in glioma care. However, methodological rigor, mechanistic validation, and translational feasibility require further exploration. By addressing these gaps, hydrogen therapy could evolve from an experimental intervention to a clinically impactful adjuvant in neuro-oncology.

References

- 1. Wu F, Liang T, Liu Y, Wang C, Sun Y, Wang B. Effects of perioperative hydrogen inhalation on brain edema and prognosis in patients with glioma: a single-center, randomized controlled study. Front Neurol. (2024) 15:1413904. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1413904
- Yoritaka A, Abe T, Ohtsuka C, Maeda T, Hirayama M, Watanabe H, et al. A randomized double-blind multi-center trial of hydrogen water for Parkinson's disease: protocol and baseline characteristics. BMC Neurol. (2016) 16:66. doi: 10.1186/s12883-016-0589-0
- 3. Kawamura T, Higashida K, Muraoka I. Application of molecular hydrogen as a novel antioxidant in sports science. Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2020) 2020:2328768. doi: 10.1155/2020/2328768

Author contributions

LD-m: Writing – original draft. YJ-q: Writing – original draft. MY-e: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The Project was supported by Science and Technology Plan Project of Yulin City, Shaanxi Province, China (grant no. YF-2021-40).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- 4. Ramanathan D, Huang L, Wilson T, Boling W. Molecular hydrogen therapy for neurological diseases: a review of current evidence. *Med Gas Res.* (2023) 13:94–8. doi: 10.4103/2045-9912. 359677
- 5. Tian Y, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, Fan W, Zhou J, et al. Hydrogen, a novel therapeutic molecule, regulates oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis. Front Physiol. (2021) 12:789507. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021. 789507
- 6. Jin J, Yue L, Du M, Geng F, Gao X, Zhou Y, et al. Molecular hydrogen therapy: mechanisms, delivery methods, preventive, and therapeutic application. MedComm. (2025) 6:e70194. doi: 10.1002/mco2.70194