



Subcortical Dopamine and Cognition in Schizophrenia: Looking Beyond Psychosis in Preclinical Models

Kyna-Anne Conn¹, Thomas H. J. Burne^{1,2} and James P. Kesby^{1,3*}

¹ Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia, ² Queensland Centre for Mental Health Research, Wacol, QLD, Australia, ³ QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, QLD, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jean-Claude Martel,
Université du Québec en
Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Canada

Reviewed by:

Tomiki Sumiyoshi,
National Center of Neurology
and Psychiatry (Japan), Japan
Laura Bradfield,
University of Technology Sydney,
Australia

*Correspondence:

James P. Kesby
j.kesby@uq.edu.au

†ORCID:

James P. Kesby
orcid.org/0000-0002-5814-8062

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Neuropharmacology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 31 January 2020

Accepted: 01 May 2020

Published: 03 June 2020

Citation:

Conn K-A, Burne THJ and
Kesby JP (2020) Subcortical
Dopamine and Cognition
in Schizophrenia: Looking Beyond
Psychosis in Preclinical Models.
Front. Neurosci. 14:542.
doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00542

Schizophrenia is characterized by positive, negative and cognitive symptoms. All current antipsychotic treatments feature dopamine-receptor antagonism that is relatively effective at addressing the psychotic (positive) symptoms of schizophrenia. However, there is no clear evidence that these medications improve the negative or cognitive symptoms, which are the greatest predictors of functional outcomes. One of the most robust pathophysiological observations in patients with schizophrenia is increased subcortical dopamine neurotransmission, primarily in the associative striatum. This brain area has an important role in a range of cognitive processes. Dopamine is also known to play a major part in regulating a number of cognitive functions impaired in schizophrenia but much of this research has been focused on cortical dopamine. Emerging research highlights the strong influence subcortical dopamine has on a range of cognitive domains, including attention, reward learning, goal-directed action and decision-making. Nonetheless, the precise role of the associative striatum in the cognitive impairments observed in schizophrenia remains poorly understood, presenting an opportunity to revisit its contribution to schizophrenia. Without a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying cognitive dysfunction, treatment development remains at a standstill. For this reason, improved preclinical animal models are needed if we are to understand the complex relationship between subcortical dopamine and cognition. A range of new techniques are facilitating the discrete manipulation of dopaminergic neurotransmission and measurements of cognitive performance, which can be investigated using a variety of sensitive translatable tasks. This has the potential to aid the successful incorporation of recent clinical research to address the lack of treatment strategies for cognitive symptoms in schizophrenia. This review will give an overview on the current state of research focused on subcortical dopamine and cognition in the context of schizophrenia research. We also discuss future strategies and approaches aimed at improving the translational outcomes for the treatment of cognitive deficits in schizophrenia.

Keywords: operant tasks, goal-directed behavior, reversal learning, rodent, translation

INTRODUCTION

The dopaminergic system is thought to be involved in both the etiology of schizophrenia and the regulation of a number of cognitive domains. Examination of the relationship between dopamine and cognition has largely focused on the role of cortical dopamine because the prefrontal cortex (PFC) in particular, is known to regulate a number of executive functions (Braver and Cohen, 1999; Orellana and Slachevsky, 2013). The role of subcortical dopamine systems and cognition in schizophrenia has received less attention. This is a consequence of the fact that the therapeutic action of all antipsychotic medication features the blockade of dopamine transmission, based on a number of molecular imaging studies (Seeman and Lee, 1975; Creese et al., 1976; Richtand et al., 2007; Howes et al., 2009a; Miller, 2009), but seemingly fails to improve cognitive impairments (Swartz et al., 2008). In some cases, antipsychotics may even exacerbate these deficits (Stip, 2006). While research into the pharmacodynamics of antipsychotic medication has advanced significantly, the relationship between dopamine and cognition is an important avenue to explore considering its potential influence on functional outcomes.

Currently, the overall consensus is that antipsychotic treatments seemingly have little to no effect on improving the cognitive symptoms, observed with both first- and second-generation antipsychotic medications (Hill et al., 2010; Frazier et al., 2012). Previously, a number of studies attempted to delineate the effects of both types of antipsychotics, with most suggesting second-generation antipsychotic administration had a more marked improvement in cognitive functioning (Lee et al., 1994; Meltzer and McGurk, 1999; Meltzer and Sumiyoshi, 2003; Sumiyoshi et al., 2013). While these studies reported significant improvements in cognition, the results were domain-specific and were confounded by issues such as duration of treatment and practice effects (Keefe et al., 2007). Other major inadequacies highlighted in these studies included poor experimental design, lack of appropriate control groups, insufficient washout periods, use of several medications and failure to account for dosage or duration of administration. It is also important to note that second-generation antipsychotics can induce serious metabolic side effects such as obesity and type II diabetes, illnesses that are strongly linked with cognitive impairments on their own (MacKenzie et al., 2018).

While most studies focus on cortical dopamine and cognition, subcortical regions such as the basal ganglia (a group of nuclei responsible for the coordination of a variety of motor functions) also have a primary role in complex cognitive processing (Middleton and Strick, 2000). Recent clinical evidence indicates that alterations in dopaminergic function in schizophrenia are primarily driven by changes in the associative striatum (Laruelle et al., 2005; Howes et al., 2009b; Kegeles et al., 2010). The associative striatum is heavily involved in a range of cognitive and decision-making processes and is anatomically defined as being part of the medial caudate and ventral putamen (Kesby et al., 2018). This suggests that understanding the role of subcortical dopamine in the cognitive deficits observed in schizophrenia may provide a better understanding of cognition

in general, and identify novel approaches to treating these complex symptoms.

Cognitive dysfunction is thought to be one of the greatest predictors of functional outcomes in patients (Green et al., 2004). Impairments are observed in those at ultra-high-risk and with first-episode psychosis, as well as first-order relatives (Keshavan et al., 2010; Morales-Munoz et al., 2017; Lam et al., 2018). As cognitive symptoms present before the prodromal period and persist throughout the development of schizophrenia, cognitive impairment could be a biomarker for at-risk patients and a target for early prevention (Heinrichs and Zakzanis, 1998). Given the role of the associative striatum in decision-making processes, understanding the effects of altered dopamine function in this region on cognitive function is essential. For example, the associative striatum is engaged during two different components of decision-making, goal-directed action and reversal learning, both of which are impaired in schizophrenia (Redgrave et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2015). In this review, we will address the role of subcortical dopamine in the decision-making deficits observed in schizophrenia and discuss the evidence from preclinical studies which have sought to identify the underlying neural circuitry. We believe that a new approach is necessary to develop novel therapeutic targets to treat the cognitive symptoms of the disorder. To reduce the current translational gap between basic and clinical research, we suggest a shift in focus from categorical clinical measures to experimental psychopathology, i.e., elucidating the mechanisms that contribute to the etiology, exacerbation or maintenance of abnormal behavior (Forsyth and Zvolensky, 2001). With advances in genetic tools for use in animal models, manipulations of the neural circuitry and measurement of the consequent effects on cognition will also provide an avenue to improve translational outcomes.

SUBCORTICAL DOPAMINE ABNORMALITIES IN SCHIZOPHRENIA

Dopamine regulates a range of motor, limbic and cognitive functions. Based on evidence from a number of disorders (e.g., Parkinson's disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder and schizophrenia), dysfunction of the dopamine system is thought to contribute to a range of neuropsychiatric symptoms. Dopamine neurons are located primarily in the midbrain, specifically in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area. Dopaminergic projections from the midbrain are divided into the mesocortical and mesolimbic systems (dopamine cells that arise in the ventral tegmental area and project to the PFC and limbic striatum, respectively), and the nigrostriatal system (dopamine cells that arise in the substantia nigra and project to the associative striatum). The associative striatum also receives rich connections from cortical areas including the dorsolateral PFC, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), and has reciprocal thalamic connectivity (Haber, 2016). It is the associative striatum's role in gating incoming cortical input that makes it fundamental in maintaining the ability to adapt our choices to environmental changes (i.e., decision-making; Sharpe et al., 2018).

Alterations in dopamine neurotransmission have long been associated with the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Early perturbations in the dopaminergic system were hypothesized to be a causative factor in the development of the disorder (Weinberger, 1987), driving both psychotic and cognitive symptoms (Laruelle et al., 2003). Recent evidence suggests that cortical dopamine function is decreased in schizophrenia (Slifstein et al., 2015), which may contribute to cognitive dysfunction. However, this does not preclude a role for subcortical dopamine systems. As such, this review will focus on subcortical dopamine systems and discuss cortical dopamine only when relevant to these cognitive processes (and to confirm when functional outcomes are insensitive to cortical dopamine changes).

In contrast with earlier hypotheses centered on mesolimbic dopamine (Laruelle et al., 2003), the current evidence supports a role for associative striatal dopamine dysfunction in schizophrenia. For example, a landmark study by Laruelle et al. (2005) demonstrated that the striatal localization of dopaminergic hyperfunction was primarily restricted to the associative, and not the limbic striatum. The results of this positron emission tomography (PET) imaging study challenged the widely accepted view that the therapeutic effects of antipsychotic drugs are derived from actions in the limbic striatum whereas actions in the associative striatum are responsible for the motoric side effects (Laruelle et al., 2005). It has subsequently been shown that dopaminergic hyperactivity is present before the onset of the disorder, is predominately found in the associative striatum, and increases in those who transition to schizophrenia (Howes et al., 2009b). Dopamine hyperactivity also correlates with the severity of symptoms, as well as cognitive dysfunction (Howes et al., 2009b). In addition, elevated dopamine synthesis capacity was seen in the midbrain origins of dopamine neurons as well as their striatal terminals, with this finding also being linked to symptom severity in the disorder (Howes et al., 2013). Together, these studies support the notion that subcortical dopamine dysfunction and, in particular, dopaminergic alterations in the associative striatum, may be the main impetus for multiple symptoms of schizophrenia.

THE ROLE OF THE ASSOCIATIVE STRIATUM IN COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION

Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia spans a range of domains, including working memory, verbal speed, attention and executive function, and greatly impacts on patients' lives (Green et al., 2000; Fujii et al., 2004; Green et al., 2004). Widespread functional and structural changes are observed in most cortical areas in schizophrenia (Brugger and Howes, 2017; Li et al., 2017) and undoubtedly contribute to cognitive dysfunction. However, subcortical dopamine systems also play specific roles in regulating multiple aspects of cognitive performance. Therefore, cognitive deficits driven by alterations in subcortical dopamine systems are likely located in substructures that feature

dense cortical connectivity (Nieoullon, 2002), such as the associative striatum.

A number of clinical research findings support the involvement of the associative striatum in the cognitive deficits observed in schizophrenia patients. For example, structural changes in the size of the associative striatum in those with schizophrenia correlate with performance in cognitive tasks assessing executive functions (Levitt et al., 2013). Decreased striatal dopamine synthesis capacity, in patients with symptomatic remission of positive symptoms, mediates a range of cognitive symptoms (Avram et al., 2019). Changes in associative striatal activation during goal-directed behavior have also been shown to underlie performance deficits in schizophrenia (Morris et al., 2015). These examples support the established understanding that the associative striatum contributes directly to decision-making, specifically in action selection and initiation, integrating sensorimotor, cognitive and motivational information (Balleine et al., 2007). These processes are critical for instrumental learning and the ability to adapt behavior in the face of changing information. When understanding the role of the associative striatum in cognition, we must also consider the complexity of subcortical dopamine signaling more generally. The mesolimbic dopamine system encodes signals that allow the prediction of reward outcomes and are thought to mediate reward-related adaptation and learning (Gradin et al., 2011; Hauser et al., 2017). Limbic dopamine therefore impacts autoshaping behavior as well as reward learning processes, such as probabilistic learning (Markou et al., 2013), and is thought to contribute to motivational and reward deficits in schizophrenia (Der-Avakian et al., 2016).

Multiple studies have observed the absence of a relationship between antipsychotic use and cognitive improvement in those with schizophrenia, suggesting that dopamine D₂ receptor signaling does not account for these findings *per se*. However, it is known that blockade of D₂ receptors in the striatum is a major factor in causing acute drug-induced extrapyramidal side effects (EPS). EPS can further complicate the relationship between antipsychotic medication and cognitive function (Meltzer et al., 1999). The extrapyramidal system, as used in anatomy, defines part of the motor system network (other parts of the motor cortex reach their targets via the pyramidal tract). Thus, symptoms of EPS include dystonia, akathisia, parkinsonism, bradykinesia, tremor, and tardive dyskinesia, and antipsychotic treatment is often discontinued due to these intolerable side effects. The main distinguishing features between first- and second-generation antipsychotics is that second-generation antipsychotics tend to have a more potent blockade of serotonin receptors (5HT-2A) and weak blockade of D₂ receptors, which results in lower rates of EPS (Meltzer et al., 1999). So even though all efficacious antipsychotic medications target the aforementioned dopaminergic abnormality in the striatum, there is little evidence to support improvements in cognition (Miller, 2009).

Both the Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) and the European First Episode Schizophrenia Trial (EUFEST) failed to show any effectiveness of second-generation antipsychotics in the treatment of cognitive symptoms in schizophrenia (Keefe et al., 2007;

Davidson et al., 2009). These trials encompassed a large sample size with features reflective of the general schizophrenia population, showing that antipsychotic drugs are very similar in their action across chemical classes with these similarities extending to their effects on cognition. Higher lifetime dose-years were significantly associated with poorer cognitive performance and the effects of first- and second-generation antipsychotics did not differ (Husa et al., 2017). So, the superiority of second-generation antipsychotics was also called into question during these trials, with mixed results (Desamericq et al., 2014; Nielsen et al., 2015). Most importantly, the effect size for any cognitive improvement observed in these trials was small with spurious clinical significance (Heinrichs, 2007; Keefe et al., 2007).

Furthermore, to add to the complexity of understanding this relationship, there is some evidence suggesting that antipsychotic medication may worsen cognitive dysfunction. The therapeutic effects of these medications are known to treat the psychotic symptoms via a blockade of the D₂ receptors and a study that stemmed from the CATIE trials attempted to elucidate the effects that this blockade had on neurocognitive performance (Creese et al., 1976). By evaluating the impact of estimated D₂ receptor occupancy with antipsychotic drugs on cognitive performance, they were able to show that depending on the level of occupancy, these medications may increase the risk of EPS and also increase the chance of worsening cognitive impairment (Sakurai et al., 2013). This has been shown to impact on specific cognitive domains as well, for example, excessive D₂ receptor occupancy correlates with attention deficit in late-life schizophrenia and a decrease in working memory performance (Uchida et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013). Furthermore, in first episode psychosis patients, neuropsychological impairments are seemingly related to the pharmacodynamics and antipsychotic medication dosing regimens, specifically for verbal memory and motor function (Baitz et al., 2012).

Other effects of current antipsychotic treatments include alterations in functional connectivity in patients with long-term use (Bolding et al., 2012). This can be problematic when dysconnectivity in schizophrenia is considered to be a phenotype that may be due to either degenerative, developmental or genetic mechanisms (Meyer-Lindenberg and Weinberger, 2006). Another possible reason for the inefficacy of antipsychotic medication not alleviating cognitive symptoms is the potential role of the D₁ receptor system, and not the D₂ receptor system, contributing to cognitive dysfunction. It has been shown in a PET imaging study that binding of radioligand to D₁ receptors was reduced in the PFC of drug-free patients with schizophrenia in comparison to healthy controls, and this correlated with severity of cognitive symptoms and performance on a set shifting task measuring cognitive flexibility (Okubo et al., 1997).

Seemingly, most research on cognition in schizophrenia has focused on executive functions. This may be problematic considering that executive functions include any process that relies on the PFC. The importance of cortico-striatal circuits, and the associative striatum in particular, suggests that the prevailing presumption that the PFC is the sole contributor to deficits in executive function, may have overlooked an important avenue for better understanding

these deficits. Since it is clear that dopamine plays a role in both cognition and the therapeutic action of current drugs, it is important to understand how dopamine alterations in the brain may lead to cognitive dysfunction. The recent evidence supporting subcortical dopamine's definitive role in the pathogenesis of the disorder may be key to predicting outcomes and responses to antipsychotic treatment (Kaar et al., 2019).

The Functional Neuroanatomy of the Striatum

The striatum is involved in the coordination of multiple aspects of cognition, including motor- and action-planning, decision-making, motivation, reinforcement and reward perception (Balleine et al., 2007). However, the striatum can be parcellated into functional subregions which include the aforementioned associative and limbic, as well as the sensorimotor striatum (Heilbronner et al., 2016; Kesby et al., 2018). In rodents, these approximately correlate anatomically with the dorsomedial, ventral and dorsolateral striatum, respectively (see **Table 1** for more detailed anatomical descriptions). In this current review, we will primarily use the functional names (i.e., associative, sensorimotor and limbic), and in the case of experimental manipulations, classified only by their neuroanatomical description (dorsomedial etc.), we will include the equivalent functional nomenclature in parenthesis. Each functional division of the striatum has a differing role in features of cognitive and reward processing. The associative learning of stimuli (i.e., formation of action-outcome associations) and action selection between competing alternatives is dependent on associative striatal function. The process of habit formation is thought to be dependent on activity in the sensorimotor striatum, whereas the motivational modulation of motor behavior is dependent on the limbic striatum (Liljeholm and O'Doherty, 2012). Generalized hypotheses of information flow during decision-making processes suggest that the limbic striatum encodes motivational variables, which are used by cortical subregions and the associative striatum for action selection and implementation. After sufficient training/repetition, this information is encoded by the sensorimotor striatum into a habit-based response (Pessiglione et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2012).

The associative striatum plays an important role in instrumental learning, whereby reinforcement or punishment is used to increase or decrease the probability that a behavior will occur again in the future (Hall, 2002; Day et al., 2007). Instrumental learning can be goal-directed, which is a highly

TABLE 1 | Comparative striatal functional and neuroanatomical nomenclature.

FUNCTIONAL REGION HUMAN		RODENT
ASSOCIATIVE	Medial caudate Ventral putamen	Dorsomedial striatum/caudate putamen
SENSORIMOTOR	Dorsolateral caudate Dorsolateral putamen	Dorsolateral striatum/caudate putamen
LIMBIC	Ventral striatum Nucleus accumbens	Ventral striatum Nucleus accumbens

adaptive form of learning that requires the recruitment and integration of information from higher cortical regions such as the PFC, ACC and OFC. Essentially, the associative striatum accumulates this information to direct action-selection and decision-making (Yartsev et al., 2018). This is of relevance to schizophrenia, as it has been shown that corticostriatal control of goal-directed action is impaired. Specifically, those with schizophrenia are unable to integrate action-outcome learning to guide choice, a finding which has been shown to correlate with a reduction in associative striatal activity (Morris et al., 2015). The role of the limbic striatum is centered on motivational behavior, as evidenced by its involvement in the ability to predict the outcome of rewards (Schultz, 2000; Knutson et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2004). Not surprisingly, reduced activation in the ventral striatum has been correlated with the severity of negative symptoms in medication-free patients and in the response to cues predicting the outcome of rewards (Juckel et al., 2006; Nielsen et al., 2012). While research has predominantly focused on the role of the limbic striatum in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia, little is known about the role of the associative striatum in the aberrant encoding of cortical decision-making processes observed in patients (Brunelin et al., 2013; Strauss et al., 2014).

REDUCING THE TRANSLATIONAL GAP WITH IMPROVED PRECLINICAL TESTS

Although our knowledge of brain circuitry and schizophrenia neurobiology has advanced considerably in the past decade, drug development is at a standstill. Better translation between preclinical and clinical studies is necessary in order to identify novel treatment approaches (Pratt et al., 2012; Kesby et al., 2018). The lack of cognitive improvement in response to antipsychotic medication has led to a shift in research, focusing more on the development of drugs to improve cognition in those with schizophrenia (Floresco et al., 2005; Young and Geyer, 2015). Unfortunately, drugs that appear to improve performance in animal models often do not show the same positive effects in the clinical population (Castner et al., 2000; George et al., 2007). Consequently, a number of initiatives have been established to examine dimensions of human behavior (e.g., attention, reward learning, memory) in order to facilitate novel research approaches to understand how structure and function of the brain impact neuropsychiatric impairments (Marder and Fenton, 2004; Carter and Barch, 2007; Insel, 2014). Importantly, these approaches have led to the development of comparative preclinical cognitive protocols and recommendations to improve the translational capacity in schizophrenia research (Young et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2013; Nikiforuk, 2018).

The combined use of sensitive and highly translatable cognitive tasks in combination with manipulations of the brain, relevant to schizophrenia, will help to reduce the current translational gap (Carandini and Churchland, 2013; Kesby et al., 2015). A range of pharmacological and genetic tools are now available in preclinical research that will allow us to elucidate the brain regions and molecular mechanisms behind some of the cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. As the associative striatum is

involved in goal-directed behavior and reversal learning, both of which are impaired in schizophrenia, understanding the ability to select actions that guide choices is integral to understanding the link between striatal dopamine, cognition and schizophrenia (Kesby et al., 2018; McCutcheon et al., 2019).

EXAMINING THE ROLE OF THE ASSOCIATIVE STRIATUM IN GOAL-DIRECTED AND FLEXIBLE DECISION-MAKING

We have recently advocated a move in research focus to behavioral phenotypes that are consistent with the underlying neuroanatomical and biological features of schizophrenia (Kesby et al., 2018). Based on emerging evidence supporting the role of the associative striatum in this disorder, it is clear that the cognitive domains of associative learning, goal-directed action and reversal learning are key targets for further investigation, and will be the focus for the rest of this review. The rationale is that the striatum is heavily involved with the selection of a motor plan (goal-directed action) by integrating the relationship between outcomes and their relative values (associative learning), and is how an animal can make a choice or adapt its behavior (Cox and Witten, 2019). These processes are encompassed under the umbrella of “decision-making,” a core but complex part of daily functioning that requires the use of higher-order cortical areas and subcortical brain structures such as the striatum (Goulet-Kennedy et al., 2016).

In terms of circuitry, the striatum is situated within multiple cortico-subcortical loops, receiving input from the cortex and thalamus, with reciprocal outputs to the cortex via the thalamus, making striatal function an integral part of decision-making (Redgrave et al., 2010). A number of cognitive processes are required to make a decision, including perception, attention, working memory, associative learning, long-term memory, adaptation and planning, before a choice or action selection is made (Young and Geyer, 2015). There are also a variety of tasks that are dependent on subcortical regions, with these mainly relating to decision-making based on action-outcome learning and reward feedback (Carandini and Churchland, 2013). It should be noted that associative learning is an integral component of both goal-directed action and reversal learning. By focusing on the aforementioned cognitive processes, we may be able to reveal behavioral responses that are consistent with the altered pathophysiological features of schizophrenia.

Goal-Directed Behavior in Schizophrenia

Goal-directed behavior is wide ranging and allows us to understand the complex process of decision-making. The main associative account of goal-directed action is a response-outcome account that begins with the consideration of possible response alternatives and is followed by the evaluation of their consequences. This is underpinned by the formation of action-outcome contingencies via associative learning processes and has been extensively examined in rodents and humans alike (Friedel

et al., 2014). A number of studies have proposed models for how goal-directed behavior is impacted in schizophrenia (Frith, 2000). One model in particular suggests that negative symptoms are associated with a deficit in action initiation and positive symptoms are associated with deficits in cognitive control, with disorganized symptoms associated with deficits in contextual information integration (Rinaldi and Lefebvre, 2016). In a study investigating goal-directed planning and action in a virtual environment, impairments in these processes were observed in those with schizophrenia (Siddiqui et al., 2019). In the context of a simulated everyday errands task, people with schizophrenia exhibited both a reduced capacity and efficiency to complete the task, indicating that goal-directed behavioral impairments can manifest as diminished real-world motivational and functional behavior. Understanding the interaction between schizophrenia pathophysiology and goal-directed behavior may therefore be essential for improving functional outcomes in patients.

Imaging studies in human participants have helped to establish the brain areas and circuits that mediate goal-directed behavior. For example, enhanced medial PFC and posterior cingulate cortex activity has been observed during action selection in the training phase of a goal-directed behavioral task (Eryilmaz et al., 2017). In the same study, early phases of associative learning, i.e., goal-directed learning, were associated with increased activation in the frontoparietal control network (which serves to instantiate new task states by flexibly interacting with other control networks) and the caudate (which encompasses most of the associative striatum). In contrast, late phase learning, i.e., habit formation, showed activation of default mode regions that are more active during times of rest as opposed to times of cognitive activity.

When examining the neural substrates of action-outcome contingency learning, a number of studies have pointed to the role of the medial PFC and caudate, as activity in these regions varies based on the probability of an action being followed by an outcome (Tanaka et al., 2008; Liljeholm et al., 2011). Furthermore, subregions of the PFC appear to have specific roles in encoding the value of outcomes. For example, the dorsolateral PFC has been shown to mediate action-value comparisons and modulate action control (Morris et al., 2014), whereas, the ventromedial PFC is important for tracking post-choice values in order to update action values accordingly (Valentin et al., 2007; Tanaka et al., 2008; Morris et al., 2014). It has been suggested that connections between the dorsolateral PFC, OFC and caudate work as a circuit to compare action values for selection and, once a choice is made, update the action values (Morris et al., 2014). Another frontal cortical region implicated in goal-directed action is the ACC, with activity in this region reflecting the use of reward-type information to guide action selection (Noonan et al., 2011). This conclusion is supported by computational modeling, as the ACC has also been identified as being responsible for tracking the progression of goal-directed action sequences (Holroyd and Yeung, 2012; Shahnazian and Holroyd, 2018). This has direct implications for schizophrenia where there is abnormal functional connectivity with multiple brain regions, in particular the caudate and putamen (Yan et al., 2012), as seen in **Figure 1**. The role of the thalamus in subcortical integration has also been


argued to be a key mechanism for maintaining and updating internal representations (Wolff and Vann, 2019).

In schizophrenia, caudate function appears to be central to deficits in goal-directed action. The outcome-specific devaluation task allows for the separate assessment of limbic and associative striatal involvement in decision-making, and is specific to goal-directed action because habitual behavior is resistant to outcome devaluation (Rossi and Yin, 2012). Using this task, it has been found that people with schizophrenia are capable of understanding changes in the value of outcomes after devaluation, but are unable to update their action selections accordingly (Morris et al., 2015). These behavioral deficits are driven by a decrease in caudate activity during valued actions, but not with changes in medial PFC activity, compared with healthy subjects. In a follow-up study, a contingency degradation task was used to further elucidate whether this impairment exists alongside habit formation or an impairment in instrumental learning (Morris et al., 2018). In this modified task, one of the action-outcome contingencies was degraded by delivering the outcome in the absence of an action. Those with schizophrenia were able to learn the best action to obtain rewards, but after contingency degradation, patients were unable to determine the more causal action. This suggests a core impairment in the learning of action-outcome associations, whereby people with schizophrenia are unable to encode the causal consequence of an action. Therefore, this impairment in goal-directed action is not driven by habit formation or an inability for instrumental learning but rather by an associative learning impairment.

Preclinical Evidence of a Role for Dopamine and the Associative Striatum in Goal-Directed Behavior

A range of tools have been applied to manipulate the circuitry involved in goal-directed behavior in animal models (Rescorla, 1992; Johnson et al., 2005; Matamales et al., 2016). It is important for established operant tasks of relevance to schizophrenia to be used when assessing decision-making in rodents (Markou et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2015; Young and Markou, 2015; Der-Avakian et al., 2016). The neural basis of goal-directed action in rodents has been extensively examined, and suggests a complex convergence of multiple circuits that constitute the cortico-striatal thalamo-cortical feedback loop (Balleine et al., 2009), as illustrated in **Figure 2**. As described in schizophrenia patients, deficits in goal-directed action are seemingly driven by pathology in either the converging inputs to the associative striatum or their encoding within this region. Given that the associative striatum is the entry point for the basal ganglia, it is clear that this region has a highly regulatory role in action selection, planning and decision-making (Balleine and O'Doherty, 2010).

In rats, two components of cortico-striatal circuitry have been identified as being critical for goal-directed learning, the prelimbic cortex and the dorsomedial striatum (associative) which receives its input from the former region (Groenewegen et al., 1990). Using either outcome devaluation or contingency degradation, it has been shown that lesions of either of the aforementioned regions in rats impair the acquisition of




associative learning, causing deficits in goal-directed action (Balleine and Dickinson, 1998; Corbit and Balleine, 2003; Yin et al., 2005b). Bilaterally disconnecting the prelimbic to associative striatal pathway in rats was shown to disrupt the acquisition of goal-directed actions, further supporting the functional roles of these regions in a corticostriatal circuit to mediate goal-directed behavior (Hart et al., 2018). Single-unit recordings in primates also have also demonstrated action-specific value signals in the dorsal striatum (associative), confirming the role of this region in the expression of goal-directed action as well as its aforementioned role in learning (Samejima et al., 2005; Lau and Glimcher, 2008). N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the posterior dorsomedial striatum (associative) are also important for encoding action-outcome associations during instrumental conditioning (Yin et al., 2005a).

The thalamostriatal pathway, linking the parafascicular thalamus with cholinergic interneurons in the posterior dorsomedial striatum (associative), is responsible for reducing interference between new and existing goal-directed learning (Bradfield et al., 2013). Moreover, the thalamocortical pathway is responsible for integrating current causal relationships (Alcaraz

et al., 2018). Therefore, the preclinical evidence implicating the dorsomedial striatum (associative), and in particular the posterior portion, in goal-directed action supports the findings in humans suggesting a role for the caudate (associative striatum) in encoding action-outcome associations and establishing causal relationships (Balleine and O'Doherty, 2010). The infralimbic cortex has also been implicated in goal-directed action. Infralimbic inactivation in rats exhibiting habitual behavior (i.e., overtrained rats) saw reinstatement of sensitivity to outcome devaluation, suggesting heightened activity may impair goal-directed behavior (Coutureau and Killcross, 2003). In addition, neurons in the ACC have been shown to map anticipated effort and reward to their associated action sequences, further supporting the aforementioned studies in humans (Cowen et al., 2012).

In the context of dopamine systems, subcortical dopamine appears more relevant than cortical dopamine in the devaluation task. For example, dopamine function in the PFC is not necessary for the acquisition of instrumental learning, and although animals with dopaminergic lesions of the prelimbic cortex fail to adapt their actions to changes in contingency, their



responses remain sensitive to outcome devaluation (Naneix et al., 2009). Moreover, dopamine depletion of the prelimbic cortex modulates the instrumental lever pressing rate but does not have a role in instrumental conditioning *per se* (Lex and Hauber, 2010). In contrast, studies on dorsomedial striatum (associative) dopamine signaling have shown no role in instrumental lever pressing but instead, the detection of causal relationships between an action and its outcome, i.e., associative learning (Lex and Hauber, 2010). It has also been demonstrated that the glutamatergic projections from the thalamus to the dorsal striatum (associative), activate striatal cholinergic interneurons to enhance local striatal dopamine release and improve goal-directed behavior (Cover et al., 2019). Stimulation of the substantia nigra induces striatal long-term potentiation and may positively reinforce the learning of behavior via dopamine D1 receptor-dependent potentiation of cortical inputs to the striatum (Reynolds et al., 2001; Wickens et al., 2007). Nigrostriatal dopamine signaling seemingly integrates diverse information

required for the regulation of upcoming actions, as changes in the firing rate of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons, as well as dopamine signaling in the dorsal striatum (associative), have been found to accompany action selection (Howard et al., 2017). This dopaminergic signaling profile was found to be specific to behavioral choice and didn't reflect reward prediction error, timing or value as single factors alone (Howard et al., 2017).

The role of dopamine in the dorsomedial striatum (associative) elucidated in these preclinical studies converges with the outcomes observed in schizophrenia, i.e., impaired associative learning and an inability to encode the causal consequences of their actions (Morris et al., 2018). This highlights the associative striatum as a prime target underlying impaired cognitive function in schizophrenia (Griffiths et al., 2014). This could in turn facilitate, or act in addition to, the corticostriatal dysconnectivity observed in schizophrenia, including reduced connectivity between the putamen and the medial PFC (Karcher et al., 2019), and large-scale disturbances in thalamo-cortical

connectivity (Anticevic et al., 2014). Importantly, the available translational devaluation task provides a direct avenue to dissect the role of specific circuitry in preclinical models and explore targets that may rescue cognitive performance.

Cognitive Flexibility in Schizophrenia

Decision-making behavior can also be controlled dynamically; a response or action can be selected when the outcome is desired, and equally, it can be withheld when the outcome is unwanted (Furlong and Corbit, 2018). This process is known as cognitive flexibility, an executive function that is underpinned by characteristics such as the formation of/shifting between attentional sets, response inhibition, perseveration and reversal of stimulus-response or action-outcome associations (i.e., reversal learning). Since cognitive flexibility is made up of several component processes, it has been shown that these differing forms of cognitive flexibility are governed by divergent forms of underlying neurocircuitry (Eslinger and Grattan, 1993). In humans and animal models, attentional set-shifting depends largely on the role of the medial PFC and ACC, as these regions are critical for flexibly shifting from one strategy to another (Birrell and Brown, 2000; Bissonette et al., 2013; Heisler et al., 2015). Response inhibition requires the recruitment of the dorsolateral PFC, ventrolateral PFC, ACC and the parietal cortex (Blasi et al., 2006; Hardung et al., 2017). It has also been shown that dorsal striatal D₂-like receptor function mediates response inhibition in corticostriatal neural circuitry in humans (Ghahremani et al., 2012). Poor performance on an attentional set-shifting task has been observed in patients with schizophrenia due to a failure of inhibitory control and/or perseverative errors (Morice, 1990). Attentional set-shifting is also dependent on working memory, another cognitive process that relies on cortical function and is impaired in schizophrenia (Pantelis et al., 2009).

In contrast, reversal learning appears to be particularly sensitive to associative striatal function (Ragozzino, 2007; Braun and Hauber, 2011). However, as seen in studies in human and non-human primates, rules or strategies adopted during reversal learning may eventually dominate a response, advance too quickly and stifle learning assessments (Murray and Gaffan, 2006). As a result, reversal learning is primarily assessed using a probabilistic reversal learning task, which is used to reduce the ability to operate a basic strategy and to force the participant to apply accumulated evidence of previous actions and outcomes to guide choice (Hampton et al., 2007; Walton et al., 2010). This task examines flexible decision-making in the face of misleading feedback and the ability to rapidly shift responses based on positive or negative feedback (the increase or decrease in the likelihood of receiving a reward) when reward contingencies are reversed (Cools et al., 2002).


The striatum has been implicated in reversal learning based on a number of functional imaging studies of reversal learning, with recruitment of both the ventral (limbic) and dorsal (associative) striatum being observed, as shown in **Figure 3** (Rogers et al., 2000; Cools et al., 2002; Clarke et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2008). In the caudate (associative) specifically, dopamine receptor availability after methylphenidate administration accompanied drug-induced changes in reversal learning performance, i.e.,

larger increases in dopamine release corresponded with more reversal learning errors (Clatworthy et al., 2009). This is vital to our understanding of reversal learning impairments in schizophrenia as increased dopamine neurotransmission from the substantia nigra to the associative striatum is now considered a hallmark of the disorder. The nigrostriatal dopaminergic system has also been implicated in reversal learning, given that patients with Parkinson's disease (where the neuropathology of the disease involves the degeneration of dopamine cells in the substantia nigra) exhibit a compromised ability to adapt to the reward contingency reversal (Peterson et al., 2009).

A host of cortical subregions, including the lateral OFC, inferior frontal gyrus, the dorsomedial PFC, the dorsolateral PFC and the posterior parietal cortex, have also been implicated in aspects of reversal learning performance (O'Doherty et al., 2001; Cools et al., 2002; Glascher et al., 2009; Mitchell et al., 2009). The OFC is particularly important in reversal learning as increased activity has been observed while participants perform reversals (as opposed to during the initial discrimination) which indicates the OFC's role in the reformation of established associations (Ghahremani et al., 2010). People with OFC lesions also exhibit reversal learning deficits, suggesting an inability to learn from reward feedback and thereby indicating that the OFC is important for monitoring changes in reward value to guide behavior (Hornak et al., 2004).

A number of studies focusing on reversal learning have reported that limbic striatal dysfunction is tightly linked with specific reinforcement-driven reversal learning deficits observed in schizophrenia, most likely due to the interference with reward prediction error processing (Schlagenhauf et al., 2014). Some studies suggest that there are preliminary results in schizophrenia patients showing abnormal prediction error signaling, however, these findings remain inconsistent (Ermakova et al., 2018). Those with schizophrenia are able to acquire the initial probabilistic contingencies but achieve significantly fewer reversals than healthy matched controls, suggesting that OFC dysfunction is a prevalent aspect of the pathophysiology (Waltz and Gold, 2007). Therefore, there is a deficit in the ability to use this feedback and the prediction of reward outcome, in order to update internal reward value representations and guide choice (Waltz and Gold, 2007; Reddy et al., 2016). Interestingly, in a study examining probabilistic learning alone, no differences in limbic striatal reward-prediction-error activation were demonstrated between medicated patients and healthy controls, indicating that deficits in probabilistic learning in the disorder, may instead stem from processes outside of the limbic striatum (Culbreth et al., 2016b).

In a version of a probabilistic reversal learning task, schizophrenia patients achieved significantly fewer reversals than healthy controls and also showed a decrease in Win-Stay/Lose-Shift decision-making behavior (i.e., a decrease in the use of "winning" strategies) (Culbreth et al., 2016a). Furthermore, this behavioral deficit was linked with reduced activation (in comparison to controls) in striatal regions, and brain regions associated with cognitive control (Culbreth et al., 2016a). Studies in people experiencing first-episode psychosis have shown that there are both reinforcement and reversal learning deficits




(Murray et al., 2008). These deficits in reversal learning are observed even when discrimination learning and attentional set-shifting remained intact, suggesting reversal learning may be a promising target for translational studies in early-stage schizophrenia (Leeson et al., 2009; McKirdy et al., 2009).

Preclinical Evidence Dissecting the Circuitry Involved in Reversal Learning

Development of a translational task to examine probabilistic reversal learning in rodents has emerged in recent years, allowing researchers to probe the underlying neural circuitry involved (Bari et al., 2010; Ineichen et al., 2012; Dalton et al., 2016), as seen in **Figure 4**. Preclinical evidence supports a role for the associative striatum in action selection and for the OFC as an important cortical area for transforming affective feedback to behavioral adjustment (Xue et al., 2013; Izquierdo et al., 2017). Lesions of the dorsomedial striatum (associative) have been shown to impair a range of reversal learning paradigms in animals

highlighting its complex role in managing cortical inputs to select and maintain particular computational strategies. For example, dorsomedial striatum (associative) lesions in monkeys produce a reversal learning phenotype similar to that observed after OFC lesions (Clarke et al., 2008; Castane et al., 2010), suggesting that the integration of OFC inputs can be selectively perturbed in the associative striatum. Lesions of the dorsomedial striatum (associative) in rats do not effect initial discrimination learning (Featherstone and McDonald, 2004; Ragozzino, 2007) but appear to affect the maintenance and execution of a selected strategy after a reversal (Ragozzino, 2007). Moreover, these lesions do not impact effort-related reward processes (Braun and Hauber, 2011), suggesting a specific role of the associative striatum in the computation of the reversal learning strategy rather than in the motivation toward a goal.

In contrast to the associative striatum, the role of the limbic striatum is more contentious. Lesions of the nucleus accumbens (limbic) in non-human primates disrupt spatial reversal learning but has no effect with visual cues, while in rats similar lesions




have been shown to impair probabilistic reversal learning as they impact on the ability to use probabilistic reward feedback to guide action selection (Stern and Passingham, 1995; Dalton et al., 2014). However, based on a number of animal studies, there is also evidence of unaffected reversal learning following lesions to the nucleus accumbens (limbic), where dopamine dynamics are responsible for reward prediction errors (Burk and Mair, 2001; Schoenbaum and Setlow, 2003; Castane et al., 2010).

In rodent preclinical experiments, lesions of the OFC have also induced reversal learning deficits, while infralimbic and prelimbic cortical lesions (subregions of the rodent medial PFC) did not affect this process (Boulougouris et al., 2007; Ragozzino, 2007). Furthermore, the medial OFC modulates sensitivity to positive and negative feedback (indicating its importance for probabilistic learning), while the lateral OFC is crucial for adapting behavior to favor a previously unrewarded stimulus (important for reversal learning; Dalton et al., 2016). Interestingly, inactivation of the rat prelimbic and infralimbic cortices showed impairments in extradimensional

task-switching, indicating that these medial PFC subregions may only be engaged in other forms of cognitive flexibility, and not in reversal learning specifically (Ragozzino et al., 2003). Most evidence suggests the medial PFC is only recruited for tasks involving a higher attentional demand and performance monitoring that require a shift in the strategy or rule (rather than the contingency) required to complete a task (Laubach et al., 2015). Seemingly, the OFC represents expected outcomes during reversal learning, possibly by utilizing value information stored in the region and/or deriving outcome information from subcortical networks tracking the reward environment (Cai and Padoa-Schioppa, 2014; Wassum and Izquierdo, 2015). The OFC projects to both the limbic and associative striatum, receiving reciprocal input via the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus, suggesting either area could work in concert with the OFC to direct reversal learning (Middleton and Strick, 1996; Schilman et al., 2008).

Studies in non-human primates have revealed that the striatum and OFC primarily modulate reversal learning via dopamine and serotonin signaling, respectively (Groman et al., 2013). Depleting dopamine in the OFC of



non-human primates had no effect on reversal learning, whereas depleting dopamine in the striatum led to a non-persistent reversal learning deficit (Clarke et al., 2007, 2011). In contrast, reducing serotonin signaling in the OFC impairs reversal performance by increasing perseveration (Clarke et al., 2004). Perseveration is the repetition of a behavior that occurs in the absence or cessation of a stimulus. So non-persistent reversal learning deficits indicate that dopamine signaling in the associative striatum is not critical for the immediate adjustment to a reversal, but rather the subsequent acquisition and maintenance of a selected strategy in response to a reversal. It has been suggested that an optimal balance of dopamine D2 receptor function is required for ideal reversal learning performance (Izquierdo et al., 2012). This is supported in studies across mice, monkeys and humans that show low dopamine D2 receptor availability correlates with poorer reversal learning performance (Jocham et al., 2009; Groman et al., 2011; Laughlin et al., 2011). Lesions of the dorsomedial striatum (associative) also impair serial reversal learning but do not effect initial discrimination learning (Featherstone and McDonald, 2005; Ragozzino, 2007). This suggests that examining the serial reversal learning deficits in schizophrenia specifically (Brunelin et al., 2013), may

allow us to better understand dopaminergic alterations in the associative striatum.

Does Increased Associative Striatal Dopamine Function Compromise Cortico-Striato-Thalamic Circuits in Schizophrenia?

It has been hypothesized that perturbations in cortico-striato-thalamic circuits play a major role in the pathogenesis of psychosis, which may also have implications for the global cognitive deficit observed in the disorder as well (Dandash et al., 2017). This hypothesis and its link with psychosis is often implied in the pathophysiological models of the disorder as the activity of these circuit loops are heavily modulated by dopamine (Robbins, 1990; Pantelis et al., 1992). As described in **Figure 5**, these loops generally act in a way that relays information from the cortex, through the basal ganglia, thalamus and then back to the cortex (Alexander et al., 1986). These circuits can act both independently and inter-dependently, whereby inputs from one loop can modify the output of other loops, allowing for the flexible modulation of internally generated and externally

aroused behavioral responses to the environment (Haber, 2003). Based on information examining the specific neural circuits that mediate dopamine dysregulation, the circuit loop of greatest interest to schizophrenia research in cognition should be the dorsal “associative” loop. This loop relays information from the cortex to the associative striatum, then onto the pallidum and substantia nigra, and then finally onto the mediodorsal and ventral anterior nucleus of the thalamus, that then relays the information back to the cortex (Dandash et al., 2017).

Elevated dopamine function in schizophrenia is observed in both the substantia nigra dopamine cell bodies and their associative striatal terminals. Thus, altered dopamine transmission may be one of the fundamental mechanisms driving the disruption of the cortico-striato-thalamic circuit involved in decision-making (see **Figure 5**). Given that pathology in one part of a circuit rarely remains isolated, this will also affect the functions of interconnected systems (Fornito et al., 2015). Therefore, if we choose to examine cognitive processes that are selective for the associative striatum, such as goal-directed action and serial reversal learning, we will not only be able to understand the cognitive effects of subcortical dopamine alterations in schizophrenia, we will also be able to examine the effects on other components of cortico-striato-thalamic circuit loops. We suggest that in schizophrenia, impairments in goal-directed behavior and serial reversal learning may be due to perturbations in multiple components of the cortico-striatal-thalamic circuit loop. These disruptions may be driven by elevated dopamine synthesis and release from the midbrain into the associative striatum, which can hinder the maintenance and execution of decision-making processes. Impaired integration of cortical inputs into the striatum as a consequence of altered dopamine signaling may also be observed. This dysfunctional cortico-striatal pathway may then lead to impeded associative learning and an inability to track changes in reward value. For the thalamostriatal component of this circuit, thalamic control of striatal dopamine release may be disturbed as well as striatal outputs to the thalamus, impairing the integration of new and existing learning.

CONCLUSION

Altered decision-making processes lead to inappropriate choices that further disadvantage people with schizophrenia through functional impairments and reduced quality of life

REFERENCES

- Alcaraz, F., Fresno, V., Marchand, A. R., Kremer, E. J., Coutureau, E., and Wolff, M. (2018). Thalamocortical and corticothalamic pathways differentially contribute to goal-directed behaviors in the rat. *Elife* 7:e32517. doi: 10.7554/eLife.32517
- Alexander, G. E., DeLong, M. R., and Strick, P. L. (1986). Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 357–381. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041
- Anticevic, A., Cole, M. W., Repovs, G., Murray, J. D., Brumbaugh, M. S., Winkler, A. M., et al. (2014). Characterizing thalamo-cortical disturbances in schizophrenia and bipolar illness. *Cereb. Cortex* 24, 3116–3130. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bht165

(Kiwanuka et al., 2014). Antipsychotic medication is not effective in ameliorating these cognitive symptoms and there are currently no approved treatments, highlighting the need for novel investigative approaches (Kasper and Resinger, 2003; Lally and MacCabe, 2015). Emerging evidence suggests that dysfunction in the associative striatum, be it dopamine or otherwise, could precipitate the cognitive phenotypes observed in schizophrenia. This could occur due to direct changes in the associative striatal outputs or by impairing the integration of cortical inputs during decision-making. The complexity of these circuit loops, and decision-making processes in general, emphasizes that further research is required if we are to gain a better understanding of the underlying neurobiology of schizophrenia. We contend that research should now shift focus toward a better understanding of the role of specific striatal pathways in cognition, using tools that allow researchers to discretely manipulate circuitry in animal models and examine the effects through outcomes measured on sensitive cognitive tasks. For example, examining the role of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal pathway on goal-directed action could help us better understand the cognitive consequences of the increased dopamine function in the associative striatum observed in schizophrenia. In contrast, as serial reversal learning is relatively selective for cortico-striatal function, probing this process in animals could allow us to better understand the effects that altered associative striatal connectivity and circuit dynamics have on cognition in schizophrenia. For this reason, a detailed evaluation of the consequences of increased associative striatal dopamine function on cortico-striatal-thalamic circuitry and decision-making processes in preclinical models, is paramount.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K-AC, TB, and JK all contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

FUNDING

TB was employed by the Queensland Centre for Mental Health Research which receives core funding from Queensland Health. Work in the laboratory is supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC; APP113960).

- Avram, M., Brandl, F., Cabello, J., Leucht, C., Scherr, M., Mustafa, M., et al. (2019). Reduced striatal dopamine synthesis capacity in patients with schizophrenia during remission of positive symptoms. *Brain* 142, 1813–1826. doi: 10.1093/brain/awz093
- Baitz, H. A., Thornton, A. E., Procyshyn, R. M., Smith, G. N., MacEwan, G. W., Kopala, L. C., et al. (2012). Antipsychotic medications: linking receptor antagonism to neuropsychological functioning in first episode psychosis. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 18, 717–727. doi: 10.1017/S1355617712000343
- Balleine, B. W., Delgado, M. R., and Hikosaka, O. (2007). The role of the dorsal striatum in reward and decision-making. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 8161–8165. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1554-07.2007

- Balleine, B. W., and Dickinson, A. (1998). Goal-directed instrumental action: contingency and incentive learning and their cortical substrates. *Neuropharmacology* 37, 407–419. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3908(98)00033-1
- Balleine, B. W., Liljeholm, M., and Ostlund, S. B. (2009). The integrative function of the basal ganglia in instrumental conditioning. *Behav. Brain Res.* 199, 43–52. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2008.10.034
- Balleine, B. W., and O'Doherty, J. P. (2010). Human and rodent homologies in action control: corticostriatal determinants of goal-directed and habitual action. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 48–69. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.131
- Bari, A., Theobald, D. E., Caprioli, D., Mar, A. C., Aidoo-Micah, A., Dalley, J. W., et al. (2010). Serotonin modulates sensitivity to reward and negative feedback in a probabilistic reversal learning task in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 1290–1301. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.233
- Birrell, J. M., and Brown, V. J. (2000). Medial frontal cortex mediates perceptual attentional set shifting in the rat. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 4320–4324. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-11-04320.2000
- Bissonette, G. B., Powell, E. M., and Roesch, M. R. (2013). Neural structures underlying set-shifting: roles of medial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex. *Behav. Brain Res.* 250, 91–101. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.04.037
- Blasi, G., Goldberg, T. E., Weickert, T., Das, S., Kohn, P., Zolnick, B., et al. (2006). Brain regions underlying response inhibition and interference monitoring and suppression. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 23, 1658–1664. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04680.x
- Bolding, M. S., White, D. M., Hadley, J. A., Weiler, M., Holcomb, H. H., and Lahti, A. C. (2012). Antipsychotic drugs alter functional connectivity between the medial frontal cortex, hippocampus, and nucleus accumbens as measured by H215O PET. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:105. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00105
- Boulougouris, V., Dalley, J. W., and Robbins, T. W. (2007). Effects of orbitofrontal, infralimbic and prelimbic cortical lesions on serial spatial reversal learning in the rat. *Behav. Brain Res.* 179, 219–228. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2007.02.005
- Bradfield, L. A., Bertran-Gonzalez, J., Chieng, B., and Balleine, B. W. (2013). The thalamostriatal pathway and cholinergic control of goal-directed action: interlacing new with existing learning in the striatum. *Neuron* 79, 153–166. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.04.039
- Braun, S., and Hauber, W. (2011). The dorsomedial striatum mediates flexible choice behavior in spatial tasks. *Behav. Brain Res.* 220, 288–293. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.02.008
- Braver, T. S., and Cohen, J. D. (1999). Dopamine, cognitive control, and schizophrenia: the gating model. *Prog. Brain Res.* 121, 327–349. doi: 10.1016/s0079-6123(08)63082-4
- Brugger, S. P., and Howes, O. D. (2017). Heterogeneity and homogeneity of regional brain structure in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 74, 1104–1111. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.2663
- Brunelin, J., Fecteau, S., and Saud-Chagny, M. F. (2013). Abnormal striatal dopamine transmission in schizophrenia. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 20, 397–404. doi: 10.2174/0929867311320030011
- Burk, J. A., and Mair, R. G. (2001). Effects of dorsal and ventral striatal lesions on delayed matching trained with retractable levers. *Behav. Brain Res.* 122, 67–78. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(01)00169-3
- Cai, X., and Padoa-Schioppa, C. (2014). Contributions of orbitofrontal and lateral prefrontal cortices to economic choice and the good-to-action transformation. *Neuron* 81, 1140–1151. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.008
- Carandini, M., and Churchland, A. K. (2013). Probing perceptual decisions in rodents. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 824–831. doi: 10.1038/nn.3410
- Carter, C. S., and Barch, D. M. (2007). Cognitive neuroscience-based approaches to measuring and improving treatment effects on cognition in schizophrenia: the CNTRICS initiative. *Schizophr. Bull.* 33, 1131–1137. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbm081
- Castane, A., Theobald, D. E., and Robbins, T. W. (2010). Selective lesions of the dorsomedial striatum impair serial spatial reversal learning in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 210, 74–83. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2010.02.017
- Castner, S. A., Williams, G. V., and Goldman-Rakic, P. S. (2000). Reversal of antipsychotic-induced working memory deficits by short-term dopamine D1 receptor stimulation. *Science* 287, 2020–2022. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5460.2020
- Clarke, H. F., Dalley, J. W., Crofts, H. S., Robbins, T. W., and Roberts, A. C. (2004). Cognitive inflexibility after prefrontal serotonin depletion. *Science* 304, 878–880. doi: 10.1126/science.1094987
- Clarke, H. F., Hill, G. J., Robbins, T. W., and Roberts, A. C. (2011). Dopamine, but not serotonin, regulates reversal learning in the marmoset caudate nucleus. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 4290–4297. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.5066-10.2011
- Clarke, H. F., Robbins, T. W., and Roberts, A. C. (2008). Lesions of the medial striatum in monkeys produce perseverative impairments during reversal learning similar to those produced by lesions of the orbitofrontal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 10972–10982. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1521-08.2008
- Clarke, H. F., Walker, S. C., Dalley, J. W., Robbins, T. W., and Roberts, A. C. (2007). Cognitive inflexibility after prefrontal serotonin depletion is behaviorally and neurochemically specific. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 18–27. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhj120
- Clatworthy, P. L., Lewis, S. J. G., Brichard, L., Hong, Y. T., Izquierdo, D., Clark, L., et al. (2009). Dopamine release in dissociable striatal subregions predicts the different effects of oral methylphenidate on reversal learning and spatial working memory. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 4690–4696. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.3266-08.2009
- Cools, R., Clark, L., Owen, A. M., and Robbins, T. W. (2002). Defining the neural mechanisms of probabilistic reversal learning using event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 4563–4567. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-11-04563.2002
- Corbit, L. H., and Balleine, B. W. (2003). The role of prelimbic cortex in instrumental conditioning. *Behav. Brain Res.* 146, 145–157. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2003.09.023
- Coutureau, E., and Killcross, S. (2003). Inactivation of the infralimbic prefrontal cortex reinstates goal-directed responding in overtrained rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 146, 167–174. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2003.09.025
- Cover, K. K., Gyawali, U., Kerkhoff, W. G., Patton, M. H., Mu, C. Q., White, M. G., et al. (2019). Activation of the rostral intralaminar thalamus drives reinforcement through striatal dopamine release. *Cell. Rep.* 26, 1389–1398.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.044
- Cowen, S. L., Davis, G. A., and Nitz, D. A. (2012). Anterior cingulate neurons in the rat map anticipated effort and reward to their associated action sequences. *J. Neurophysiol.* 107, 2393–2407. doi: 10.1152/jn.01012.2011
- Cox, J., and Witten, I. B. (2019). Striatal circuits for reward learning and decision-making. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 20, 482–494. doi: 10.1038/s41583-019-0189-2
- Creese, I., Burt, D. R., and Snyder, S. H. (1976). Dopamine receptor binding predicts clinical and pharmacological potencies of antischizophrenic drugs. *Science* 192, 481–483. doi: 10.1176/jnp.8.2.223
- Culbreth, A. J., Gold, J. M., Cools, R., and Barch, D. M. (2016a). Impaired activation in cognitive control regions predicts reversal learning in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 42, 484–493. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbv075
- Culbreth, A. J., Westbrook, A., Barch, D., and Waltz, J. (2016b). Intact ventral striatal prediction error signaling in medicated schizophrenia patients. *Biol. Psychiatry* 79, 356s–356s. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.07.007
- Dalton, G. L., Phillips, A. G., and Floresco, S. B. (2014). Preferential involvement by nucleus accumbens shell in mediating probabilistic learning and reversal shifts. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 4618–4626. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5058-13.2014
- Dalton, G. L., Wang, N. Y., Phillips, A. G., and Floresco, S. B. (2016). Multifaceted contributions by different regions of the orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortex to probabilistic reversal learning. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 1996–2006. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3366-15.2016
- Dandash, O., Pantelis, C., and Fornito, A. (2017). Dopamine, fronto-striato-thalamic circuits and risk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* 180, 48–57. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2016.08.020
- Davidson, M., Galderisi, S., Weiser, M., Werbeloff, N., Fleischhacker, W. W., Keefe, R. S., et al. (2009). Cognitive effects of antipsychotic drugs in first-episode schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder: a randomized, open-label clinical trial (EUFEST). *Am. J. Psychiatry* 166, 675–682. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08060806
- Day, J. J., Roitman, M. F., Wightman, R. M., and Carelli, R. M. (2007). Associative learning mediates dynamic shifts in dopamine signaling in the nucleus accumbens. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 1020–1028. doi: 10.1038/nn1923
- Der-Avakian, A., Barnes, S. A., Markou, A., and Pizzagalli, D. A. (2016). Translational assessment of reward and motivational deficits in psychiatric disorders. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 28, 231–262. doi: 10.1007/7854_2015_5004
- Desameriq, G., Schurhoff, F., Meary, A., Szoke, A., Macquin-Mavier, I., Bachoud-Levi, A. C., et al. (2014). Long-term neurocognitive effects of antipsychotics in

- schizophrenia: a network meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 70, 127–134. doi: 10.1007/s00228-013-1600-y
- Ermakova, A. O., Knolle, F., Justicia, A., Bullmore, E. T., Jones, P. B., Robbins, T. W., et al. (2018). Abnormal reward prediction-error signalling in antipsychotic naive individuals with first-episode psychosis or clinical risk for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43, 1691–1699. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0056-2
- Eryilmaz, H., Rodriguez-Thompson, A., Tanner, A. S., Giegold, M., Huntington, F. C., and Roffman, J. L. (2017). Neural determinants of human goal-directed vs. habitual action control and their relation to trait motivation. *Sci. Rep.* 7:6002. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06284-y
- Eslinger, P. J., and Grattan, L. M. (1993). Frontal lobe and frontal-striatal substrates for different forms of human cognitive flexibility. *Neuropsychologia* 31, 17–28. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(93)90077-d
- Featherstone, R. E., and McDonald, R. J. (2004). Dorsal striatum and stimulus-response learning: lesions of the dorsolateral, but not dorsomedial, striatum impair acquisition of a stimulus-response-based instrumental discrimination task, while sparing conditioned place preference learning. *Neuroscience* 124, 23–31. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2003.10.038
- Featherstone, R. E., and McDonald, R. J. (2005). Lesions of the dorsolateral or dorsomedial striatum impair performance of a previously acquired simple discrimination task. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 84, 159–167. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2005.08.003
- Floresco, S. B., Geyer, M. A., Gold, L. H., and Grace, A. A. (2005). Developing predictive animal models and establishing a preclinical trials network for assessing treatment effects on cognition in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 31, 888–894. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbi041
- Fornito, A., Zalesky, A., and Breakspear, M. (2015). The connectomics of brain disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 159–172. doi: 10.1038/nrn3901
- Forsyth, J. P., and Zvolensky, M. J. (2001). Experimental psychopathology, clinical science, and practice: an irrelevant or indispensable alliance? *Appl. Prevent. Psychol.* 10, 243–264. doi: 10.1016/S0962-1849(01)80002-0
- Frazier, J. A., Giuliano, A. J., Johnson, J. L., Yakutis, L., Youngstrom, E. A., Breiger, D., et al. (2012). Neurocognitive outcomes in the treatment of early-onset schizophrenia spectrum disorders study. *J. Am. Acad. Child. Adolesc. Psychiatry* 51, 496–505. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.02.001
- Friedel, E., Koch, S. P., Wendt, J., Heinz, A., Deserno, L., and Schlagenhaut, F. (2014). Devaluation and sequential decisions: linking goal-directed and model-based behavior. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 8:587. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00587
- Frith, C. D. (2000). The cognitive neuropsychology of schizophrenia. *Int. J. Psychol.* 35, 272–273.
- Fujii, D. E., Wylie, A. M., and Nathan, J. H. (2004). Neurocognition and long-term prediction of quality of life in outpatients with severe and persistent mental illness. *Schizophr. Res.* 69, 67–73. doi: 10.1016/S0920-9964(03)00122-1
- Furlong, T. M., and Corbit, L. H. (2018). “Chapter 16 – Drug addiction: augmented habit learning or failure of goal-directed control?” in *Goal-Directed Decision Making*, eds R. Morris, A. Bornstein, and A. Shenhav (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press), 367–386.
- George, M. S., Molnar, C. E., Grenesko, E. L., Anderson, B., Mu, Q., Johnson, K., et al. (2007). A single 20 mg dose of dihydroxidine (DAR-0100), a full dopamine D1 agonist, is safe and tolerated in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 93, 42–50. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.03.011
- Ghahremani, D. G., Lee, B., Robertson, C. L., Tabibnia, G., Morgan, A. T., De Shetler, N., et al. (2012). Striatal dopamine D(2)/D(3) receptors mediate response inhibition and related activity in frontostriatal neural circuitry in humans. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 7316–7324. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4284-11.2012
- Ghahremani, D. G., Monterosso, J., Jentsch, J. D., Bilder, R. M., and Poldrack, R. A. (2010). Neural components underlying behavioral flexibility in human reversal learning. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 1843–1852. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp247
- Glascher, J., Hampton, A. N., and O’Doherty, J. P. (2009). Determining a role for ventromedial prefrontal cortex in encoding action-based value signals during reward-related decision making. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 483–495. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn098
- Goulet-Kennedy, J., Labbe, S., and Fecteau, S. (2016). The involvement of the striatum in decision making. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 18, 55–63.
- Gradin, V. B., Kumar, P., Waiter, G., Ahearn, T., Stickle, C., Milders, M., et al. (2011). Expected value and prediction error abnormalities in depression and schizophrenia. *Brain* 134(Pt 6), 1751–1764. doi: 10.1093/brain/awr059
- Green, M. F., Kern, R. S., Braff, D. L., and Mintz, J. (2000). Neurocognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia: are we measuring the “right stuff”? *Schizophr. Bull.* 26, 119–136. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033430
- Green, M. F., Kern, R. S., and Heaton, R. K. (2004). Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: implications for MATRICS. *Schizophr. Res.* 72, 41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2004.09.009
- Griffiths, K. R., Morris, R. W., and Balleine, B. W. (2014). Translational studies of goal-directed action as a framework for classifying deficits across psychiatric disorders. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 8:101. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00101
- Groenewegen, H. J., Berendse, H. W., Wolters, J. G., and Lohman, A. H. (1990). The anatomical relationship of the prefrontal cortex with the striatopallidum system, the thalamus and the amygdala: evidence for a parallel organization. *Prog. Brain Res.* 85, 95–116; discussion 116–118. doi: 10.1016/s0079-6123(08)62677-1
- Groman, S. M., James, A. S., Seu, E., Crawford, M. A., Harpster, S. N., and Jentsch, J. D. (2013). Monoamine levels within the orbitofrontal cortex and putamen interact to predict reversal learning performance. *Biol. Psychiatry* 73, 756–762. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.12.002
- Groman, S. M., Lee, B., London, E. D., Mandelkern, M. A., James, A. S., Feiler, K., et al. (2011). Dorsal striatal D-2-like receptor availability covaries with sensitivity to positive reinforcement during discrimination learning. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 7291–7299. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.0363-11.2011
- Haber, S. N. (2003). The primate basal ganglia: parallel and integrative networks. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 26, 317–330. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2003.10.003
- Haber, S. N. (2016). Corticostriatal circuitry. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 18, 7–21.
- Hall, G. (2002). “Associative structures in pavlovian and instrumental conditioning,” in *Stevens’ Handbook of Experimental Psychology*, eds H. Pashler and R. Gallistel (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), 1–45. doi: 10.1196/annals.1401.030
- Hampton, A. N., Adolphs, R., Tyszka, M. J., and O’Doherty, J. P. (2007). Contributions of the amygdala to reward expectancy and choice signals in human prefrontal cortex. *Neuron* 55, 545–555. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.022
- Hardung, S., Epple, R., Jackel, Z., Eriksson, D., Uran, C., Senn, V., et al. (2017). A functional gradient in the rodent prefrontal cortex supports behavioral inhibition. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 549–555. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.052
- Hart, G., Bradfield, L. A., and Balleine, B. W. (2018). Prefrontal corticostriatal disconnection blocks the acquisition of goal-directed action. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 1311–1322. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.2850-17.2017
- Hauser, T. U., Eldar, E., and Dolan, R. J. (2017). Separate mesocortical and mesolimbic pathways encode effort and reward learning signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E7395–E7404. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1705643114
- Heilbronner, S. R., Rodriguez-Romaguera, J., Quirk, G. J., Groenewegen, H. J., and Haber, S. N. (2016). Circuit-based corticostriatal homologies between rat and primate. *Biol. Psychiatry* 80, 509–521. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.05.012
- Heinrichs, R. W. (2007). Cognitive improvement in response to antipsychotic drugs: neurocognitive effects of antipsychotic medications in patients with chronic schizophrenia in the CATIE Trial. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 64, 631–632. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.631
- Heinrichs, R. W., and Zakzanis, K. K. (1998). Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology* 12, 426–445. doi: 10.1037//0894-4105.12.3.426
- Heisler, J. M., Morales, J., Donegan, J. J., Jett, J. D., Redus, L., and O’Connor, J. C. (2015). The attentional set shifting task: a measure of cognitive flexibility in mice. *J. Vis. Exp.* 96:51944. doi: 10.3791/51944
- Hill, S. K., Bishop, J. R., Palumbo, D., and Sweeney, J. A. (2010). Effect of second-generation antipsychotics on cognition: current issues and future challenges. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 10, 43–57. doi: 10.1586/ern.09.143
- Holroyd, C. B., and Yeung, N. (2012). Motivation of extended behaviors by anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 122–128. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.008
- Hornak, J., O’Doherty, J., Bramham, J., Rolls, E. T., Morris, R. G., Bullock, P. R., et al. (2004). Reward-related reversal learning after surgical excisions in orbitofrontal or dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in humans. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 16, 463–478. doi: 10.1162/089892904322926791
- Howard, C. D., Li, H., Geddes, C. E., and Jin, X. (2017). Dynamic nigrostriatal dopamine biases action selection. *Neuron* 93, 1436–1450.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.029
- Howes, O. D., Egerton, A., Allan, V., McGuire, P., Stokes, P., and Kapur, S. (2009a). Mechanisms underlying psychosis and antipsychotic treatment response in

- schizophrenia: insights from PET and SPECT imaging. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 15, 2550–2559. doi: 10.2174/138161209788957528
- Howes, O. D., Montgomery, A. J., Asselin, M. C., Murray, R. M., Valli, I., Tabraham, P., et al. (2009b). Elevated striatal dopamine function linked to prodromal signs of schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 66, 13–20. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.514
- Howes, O. D., Williams, M., Ibrahim, K., Leung, G., Egerton, A., McGuire, P. K., et al. (2013). Midbrain dopamine function in schizophrenia and depression: a post-mortem and positron emission tomographic imaging study. *Brain* 136, 3242–3251. doi: 10.1093/brain/awt264
- Husa, A. P., Moilanen, J., Murray, G. K., Marttila, R., Haapea, M., Rannikko, I., et al. (2017). Lifetime antipsychotic medication and cognitive performance in schizophrenia at age 43 years in a general population birth cohort. *Psychiatry Res.* 247, 130–138. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.085
- Ineichen, C., Sigrist, H., Spinelli, S., Lesch, K. P., Sautter, E., Seifritz, E., et al. (2012). Establishing a probabilistic reversal learning test in mice: evidence for the processes mediating reward-stay and punishment-shift behaviour and for their modulation by serotonin. *Neuropharmacology* 63, 1012–1021. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.07.025
- Insel, T. R. (2014). The NIMH research domain criteria (RDoC) project: precision medicine for psychiatry. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 171, 395–397. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14020138
- Izquierdo, A., Brigman, J. L., Radke, A. K., Rudebeck, P. H., and Holmes, A. (2017). The neural basis of reversal learning: an updated perspective. *Neuroscience* 345, 12–26. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.03.021
- Izquierdo, A., Carlos, K., Ostrander, S., Rodriguez, D., McCall-Craddolph, A., Yagnik, G., et al. (2012). Impaired reward learning and intact motivation after serotonin depletion in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 233, 494–499. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2012.05.032
- Jocham, G., Klein, T. A., Neumann, J., von Cramon, D. Y., Reuter, M., and Ullsperger, M. (2009). Dopamine DRD2 polymorphism alters reversal learning and associated neural activity. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 3695–3704. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.5195-08.2009
- Johnson, A. W., Bannerman, D. M., Rawlins, N. P., Sprengel, R., and Good, M. A. (2005). Impaired outcome-specific devaluation of instrumental responding in mice with a targeted deletion of the AMPA receptor glutamate receptor 1 subunit. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 2359–2365. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.4146-04.2005
- Juckel, G., Schlagenhauf, F., Koslowski, M., Wustenberg, T., Villringer, A., Knutson, B., et al. (2006). Dysfunction of ventral striatal reward prediction in schizophrenia. *Neuroimage* 29, 409–416. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.07.051
- Kaar, S. J., Natesan, S., McCutcheon, R., and Howes, O. D. (2019). Antipsychotics: mechanisms underlying clinical response and side-effects and novel treatment approaches based on pathophysiology. *Neuropharmacology* (in press). doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107704
- Karcher, N. R., Rogers, B. P., and Woodward, N. D. (2019). Functional connectivity of the striatum in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 4, 956–965. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.05.017
- Kasper, S., and Resinger, E. (2003). Cognitive effects and antipsychotic treatment. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 28(Suppl. 1), 27–38.
- Keefe, R. S., Bilder, R. M., Davis, S. M., Harvey, P. D., Palmer, B. W., Gold, J. M., et al. (2007). Neurocognitive effects of antipsychotic medications in patients with chronic schizophrenia in the CATIE Trial. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 64, 633–647. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.6.633
- Kegeles, L. S., Abi-Dargham, A., Frankle, W. G., Gil, R., Cooper, T. B., Slifstein, M., et al. (2010). Increased synaptic dopamine function in associative regions of the striatum in schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 67, 231–239. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.10
- Kesby, J. P., Eyles, D. W., McGrath, J. J., and Scott, J. G. (2018). Dopamine, psychosis and schizophrenia: the widening gap between basic and clinical neuroscience. *Transl. Psychiatry* 8:30. doi: 10.1038/s41398-017-0071-9
- Kesby, J. P., Heaton, R. K., Young, J. W., Umlauf, A., Woods, S. P., Letendre, S. L., et al. (2015). Methamphetamine exposure combined with HIV-1 disease or gp120 expression: comparison of learning and executive functions in humans and mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 40, 1899–1909. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.39
- Keshavan, M. S., Kulkarni, S., Bhojraj, T., Francis, A., Diwadkar, V., Montrose, D. M., et al. (2010). Premorbid cognitive deficits in young relatives of schizophrenia patients. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 3:62. doi: 10.3389/neuro.09.062.2009
- Kim, E., Howes, O. D., Turkheimer, F. E., Kim, B. H., Jeong, J. M., Kim, J. W., et al. (2013). The relationship between antipsychotic D2 occupancy and change in frontal metabolism and working memory: a dual [(11C)raclopride and [(18)F]FDG imaging study with aripiprazole. *Psychopharmacology* 227, 221–229. doi: 10.1007/s00213-012-2953-0
- Kiwanuka, J. N., Strauss, G. P., McMahon, R. P., and Gold, J. M. (2014). Psychological predictors of functional outcome in people with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 157, 299–304. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2014.04.030
- Knutson, B., Adams, C. M., Fong, G. W., and Hommer, D. (2001). Anticipation of increasing monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens. *J. Neurosci.* 21:RC159. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-16-j0002.2001
- Lally, J., and MacCabe, J. H. (2015). Antipsychotic medication in schizophrenia: a review. *Br. Med. Bull.* 114, 169–179. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldv017
- Lam, M., Lee, J., Rapisarda, A., See, Y. M., Yang, Z., Lee, S. A., et al. (2018). Longitudinal cognitive changes in young individuals at ultrahigh risk for psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 75, 929–939. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1668
- Laruelle, M., Kegeles, L. S., and Abi-Dargham, A. (2003). Glutamate, dopamine and schizophrenia: from pathophysiology to treatment. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1003, 138–158. doi: 10.1196/annals.1300.063
- Laruelle, M., Kegeles, L. S., Frankle, G. W., Narendran, R., Gil, R., Talbot, P. S., et al. (2005). Schizophrenia is associated with increased synaptic dopamine in associative rather than limbic regions of the striatum. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 30, S196–S196.
- Lau, B., and Glimcher, P. W. (2008). Value representations in the primate striatum during matching behavior. *Neuron* 58, 451–463. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.02.021
- Laubach, M., Caetano, M. S., and Narayanan, N. S. (2015). Mistakes were made: neural mechanisms for the adaptive control of action initiation by the medial prefrontal cortex. *J. Physiol. Paris* 109, 104–117. doi: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2014.12.001
- Laughlin, R. E., Grant, T. L., Williams, R. W., and Jentsch, J. D. (2011). Genetic dissection of behavioral flexibility: reversal learning in mice. *Biol. Psychiatry* 69, 1109–1116. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.01.014
- Lee, M. A., Thompson, P. A., and Meltzer, H. Y. (1994). Effects of clozapine on cognitive function in schizophrenia. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 55(Suppl. B), 82–87. doi: 10.21290/1AA0-UW9Q-1CNK-3E2N
- Leeson, V. C., Robbins, T. W., Matheson, E., Hutton, S. B., Ron, M. A., Barnes, T. R., et al. (2009). Discrimination learning, reversal, and set-shifting in first-episode schizophrenia: stability over six years and specific associations with medication type and disorganization syndrome. *Biol. Psychiatry* 66, 586–593. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.05.016
- Levitt, J. J., Rosow, L. K., Nestor, P. G., Pelavin, P. E., Swisher, T. M., McCarley, R. W., et al. (2013). A volumetric MRI study of limbic, associative and sensorimotor striatal subregions in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 145, 11–19. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2012.08.032
- Lex, B., and Hauber, W. (2010). The role of dopamine in the prefrontal cortex and the dorsomedial striatum in instrumental conditioning. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 873–883. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp151
- Li, T., Wang, Q., Zhang, J., Rolls, E. T., Yang, W., Palaniyappan, L., et al. (2017). Brain-wide analysis of functional connectivity in first-episode and chronic stages of schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 43, 436–448. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbw099
- Liljeholm, M., and O'Doherty, J. P. (2012). Contributions of the striatum to learning, motivation, and performance: an associative account. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 467–475. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.07.007
- Liljeholm, M., Tricomi, E., O'Doherty, J. P., and Balleine, B. W. (2011). Neural correlates of instrumental contingency learning: differential effects of action-reward conjunction and disjunction. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 2474–2480. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.3354-10.2011
- MacKenzie, N. E., Kowalchuk, C., Agarwal, S. M., Costa-Dookhan, K. A., Caravaggio, F., Gerretsen, P., et al. (2018). Antipsychotics, metabolic adverse effects, and cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Front. Psychiatry* 9:622. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2018.00622
- Marder, S. R., and Fenton, W. (2004). Measurement and treatment research to improve cognition in schizophrenia: NIMH MATRICS initiative to support the

- development of agents for improving cognition in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 72, 5–9. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2004.09.010
- Markou, A., Salamone, J. D., Bussey, T. J., Mar, A. C., Brunner, D., Gilmour, G., et al. (2013). Measuring reinforcement learning and motivation constructs in experimental animals: relevance to the negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 37(9 Pt B), 2149–2165. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.08.007
- Matamalas, M., Skrbis, Z., Hatch, R. J., Balleine, B. W., Gotz, J., and Bertran-Gonzalez, J. (2016). Aging-related dysfunction of striatal cholinergic interneurons produces conflict in action selection. *Neuron* 90, 362–373. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.006
- McCutcheon, R. A., Abi-Dargham, A., and Howes, O. D. (2019). Schizophrenia, dopamine and the striatum: from biology to symptoms. *Trends Neurosci.* 42, 205–220. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2018.12.004
- McKirdy, J., Sussmann, J. E., Hall, J., Lawrie, S. M., Johnstone, E. C., and McIntosh, A. M. (2009). Set shifting and reversal learning in patients with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. *Psychol. Med.* 39, 1289–1293. doi: 10.1017/S0033291708004935
- Meltzer, H. Y., and McGurk, S. R. (1999). The effects of clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine on cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 25, 233–255. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033376
- Meltzer, H. Y., Park, S., and Kessler, R. (1999). Cognition, schizophrenia, and the atypical antipsychotic drugs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96, 13591–13593. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.24.13591
- Meltzer, H. Y., and Sumiyoshi, T. (2003). Atypical antipsychotic drugs improve cognition in schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 53, 265–267; author reply 267–268. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(02)01790-0
- Meyer-Lindenberg, A., and Weinberger, D. R. (2006). Intermediate phenotypes and genetic mechanisms of psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 7, 818–827. doi: 10.1038/nrn1993
- Middleton, F. A., and Strick, P. L. (1996). Basal ganglia and cerebellar output influences non-motor function. *Mol. Psychiatry* 1, 429–433.
- Middleton, F. A., and Strick, P. L. (2000). Basal ganglia output and cognition: evidence from anatomical, behavioral, and clinical studies. *Brain Cogn.* 42, 183–200. doi: 10.1006/brcg.1999.1099
- Miller, R. (2009). Mechanisms of action of antipsychotic drugs of different classes, refractoriness to therapeutic effects of classical neuroleptics, and individual variation in sensitivity to their actions: part I. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 7, 302–314. doi: 10.2174/157015909790031229
- Mitchell, D. G. V., Luo, Q., Avny, S. B., Kasprzycki, T., Gupta, K., Chen, G., et al. (2009). Adapting to dynamic stimulus-response values: differential contributions of inferior frontal, dorsomedial, and dorsolateral regions of prefrontal cortex to decision making. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 10827–10834. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.0963-09.2009
- Moore, H., Geyer, M. A., Carter, C. S., and Barch, D. M. (2013). Harnessing cognitive neuroscience to develop new treatments for improving cognition in schizophrenia: CNTRICS selected cognitive paradigms for animal models. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 37, 2087–2091. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.09.011
- Morales-Munoz, I., Jurado-Barba, R., Fernandez-Guinea, S., Alvarez-Alonso, M. J., Rodriguez-Jimenez, R., Jimenez-Arriero, M. A., et al. (2017). Cognitive impairments in patients with first episode psychosis: the relationship between neurophysiological and neuropsychological assessments. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 36, 80–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2016.10.023
- Morice, R. (1990). Cognitive inflexibility and pre-frontal dysfunction in schizophrenia and mania. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 157, 50–54. doi: 10.1192/bjp.157.1.50
- Morris, R. W., Cyrzon, C., Green, M. J., Le Pelley, M. E., and Balleine, B. W. (2018). Impairments in action-outcome learning in schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* 8:54. doi: 10.1038/s41398-018-0103-0
- Morris, R. W., Dezfouli, A., Griffiths, K. R., and Balleine, B. W. (2014). Action-value comparisons in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex control choice between goal-directed actions. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4390. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5390
- Morris, R. W., Quail, S., Griffiths, K. R., Green, M. J., and Balleine, B. W. (2015). Corticostriatal control of goal-directed action is impaired in schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 77, 187–195. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.06.005
- Murray, E. A., and Gaffan, D. (2006). Prospective memory in the formation of learning sets by rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *J. Exp. Psychol. Anim. Behav. Process.* 32, 87–90. doi: 10.1037/0097-7403.32.1.87
- Murray, G. K., Cheng, F., Clark, L., Barnett, J. H., Blackwell, A. D., Fletcher, P. C., et al. (2008). Reinforcement and reversal learning in first-episode psychosis. *Schizophr. Bull.* 34, 848–855. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbn078
- Naneix, F., Marchand, A. R., Di Scala, G., Pape, J. R., and Coutureau, E. (2009). A role for medial prefrontal dopaminergic innervation in instrumental conditioning. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 6599–6606. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1234-09.2009
- Nielsen, M. O., Rostrup, E., Wulff, S., Bak, N., Lublin, H., Kapur, S., et al. (2012). Alterations of the brain reward system in antipsychotic naive schizophrenia patients. *Biol. Psychiatry* 71, 898–905. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.02.007
- Nielsen, R. E., Levander, S., Kjaersdam Telleus, G., Jensen, S. O., Ostergaard Christensen, T., and Leucht, S. (2015). Second-generation antipsychotic effect on cognition in patients with schizophrenia—a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 131, 185–196. doi: 10.1111/acps.12374
- Nieouillon, A. (2002). Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 67, 53–83. doi: 10.1016/s0301-0082(02)00011-4
- Nikiforuk, A. (2018). Assessment of cognitive functions in animal models of schizophrenia. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 70, 639–649. doi: 10.1016/j.pharep.2018.01.009
- Noonan, M. P., Mars, R. B., and Rushworth, M. F. S. (2011). Distinct roles of three frontal cortical areas in reward-guided behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 14399–14412. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.6456-10.2011
- O'Doherty, J., Kringelbach, M. L., Rolls, E. T., Hornak, J., and Andrews, C. (2001). Abstract reward and punishment representations in the human orbitofrontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 95–102. doi: 10.1038/82959
- Okubo, Y., Suhara, T., Suzuki, K., Kobayashi, K., Inoue, O., Terasaki, O., et al. (1997). Decreased prefrontal dopamine D1 receptors in schizophrenia revealed by PET. *Nature* 385, 634–636. doi: 10.1038/385634a0
- Orellana, G., and Slachevsky, A. (2013). Executive functioning in schizophrenia. *Front. Psychiatry* 4:35. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2013.00035
- Pantelis, C., Barnes, T. R. E., and Nelson, H. E. (1992). Is the concept of frontal-subcortical dementia relevant to schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 160, 442–460. doi: 10.1192/bjp.160.4.442
- Pantelis, C., Wood, S. J., Proffitt, T. M., Testa, R., Mahony, K., Brewer, W. J., et al. (2009). Attentional set-shifting ability in first-episode and established schizophrenia: relationship to working memory. *Schizophr. Res.* 112, 104–113. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2009.03.039
- Pessiglione, M., Schmidt, L., Draganski, B., Kalisch, R., Lau, H., Dolan, R. J., et al. (2007). How the brain translates money into force: a neuroimaging study of subliminal motivation. *Science* 316, 904–906. doi: 10.1126/science.1140459
- Peterson, D. A., Elliott, C., Song, D. D., Makeig, S., Sejnowski, T. J., and Poizner, H. (2009). Probabilistic reversal learning is impaired in Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience* 163, 1092–1101. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.07.033
- Pratt, J., Winchester, C., Dawson, N., and Morris, B. (2012). Advancing schizophrenia drug discovery: optimizing rodent models to bridge the translational gap. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 11, 560–579. doi: 10.1038/nrd3649
- Ragozzino, M. E. (2007). The contribution of the medial prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, and dorsomedial striatum to behavioral flexibility. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1121, 355–375. doi: 10.1196/annals.1401.013
- Ragozzino, M. E., Kim, J., Hassert, D., Minniti, N., and Kiang, C. (2003). The contribution of the rat prelimbic-infralimbic areas to different forms of task switching. *Behav. Neurosci.* 117, 1054–1065. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.117.5.1054
- Reddy, L. F., Waltz, J. A., Green, M. F., Wynn, J. K., and Horan, W. P. (2016). Probabilistic reversal learning in schizophrenia: stability of deficits and potential causal mechanisms. *Schizophr. Bull.* 42, 942–951. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbv226
- Redgrave, P., Rodriguez, M., Smith, Y., Rodriguez-Oroz, M. C., Lehericy, S., Bergman, H., et al. (2010). Goal-directed and habitual control in the basal ganglia: implications for Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 760–772. doi: 10.1038/nrn2915
- Rescorla, R. A. (1992). Depression of an instrumental response by a single devaluation of its outcome. *Q. J. Exp. Psychol. B* 44, 123–136. doi: 10.1080/02724999208250606
- Reynolds, J. N. J., Hyland, B. I., and Wickens, J. R. (2001). A cellular mechanism of reward-related learning. *Nature* 413, 67–70. doi: 10.1038/35092560
- Richtand, N. M., Welge, J. A., Logue, A. D., Keck, P. E. Jr., Strakowski, S. M., and McNamara, R. K. (2007). Dopamine and serotonin receptor binding and

- antipsychotic efficacy. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1715–1726. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301305
- Rinaldi, R., and Lefebvre, L. (2016). Goal-directed behaviors in patients with schizophrenia: concept relevance and updated model. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 70, 394–404. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12401
- Robbins, T. W. (1990). The case for frontostriatal dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 16, 391–402. doi: 10.1093/schbul/16.3.391
- Rogers, R. D., Andrews, T. C., Grasby, P. M., Brooks, D. J., and Robbins, T. W. (2000). Contrasting cortical and subcortical activations produced by attentional-set shifting and reversal learning in humans. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 12, 142–162. doi: 10.1162/089892900561931
- Rossi, M. A., and Yin, H. H. (2012). Methods for studying habitual behavior in mice. *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* Chapter 60, Unit 8.29.1–8.29.9. doi: 10.1002/0471142301.ns0829s60
- Sakurai, H., Bies, R. R., Stroup, S. T., Keefe, R. S., Rajji, T. K., Suzuki, T., et al. (2013). Dopamine D2 receptor occupancy and cognition in schizophrenia: analysis of the CATIE data. *Schizophr. Bull.* 39, 564–574. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbr189
- Samejima, K., Ueda, Y., Doya, K., and Kimura, M. (2005). Representation of action-specific reward values in the striatum. *Science* 310, 1337–1340. doi: 10.1126/science.1115270
- Schilman, E. A., Uylings, H. B., Galis-de Graaf, Y., Joel, D., and Groenewegen, H. J. (2008). The orbital cortex in rats topographically projects to central parts of the caudate-putamen complex. *Neurosci. Lett.* 432, 40–45. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2007.12.024
- Schlagenhauf, F., Huys, Q. J., Deserno, L., Rapp, M. A., Beck, A., Heinze, H. J., et al. (2014). Striatal dysfunction during reversal learning in unmedicated schizophrenia patients. *Neuroimage* 89, 171–180. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.034
- Schmidt, L., Lebreton, M., Clery-Melin, M. L., Daunizeau, J., and Pessiglione, M. (2012). Neural mechanisms underlying motivation of mental versus physical effort. *PLoS Biol.* 10:e1001266. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001266
- Schoenbaum, G., and Setlow, B. (2003). Lesions of nucleus accumbens disrupt learning about aversive outcomes. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 9833–9841. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-30-09833.2003
- Schultz, W. (2000). Multiple reward signals in the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 1:199. doi: 10.1038/35044563
- Seeman, P., and Lee, T. (1975). Antipsychotic drugs: direct correlation between clinical potency and presynaptic action on dopamine neurons. *Science* 188, 1217–1219. doi: 10.1126/science.1145194
- Shahnazian, D., and Holroyd, C. B. (2018). Distributed representations of action sequences in anterior cingulate cortex: a recurrent neural network approach. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 25, 302–321. doi: 10.3758/s13423-017-1280-1
- Sharpe, M. J., Stalnaker, T., Schuck, N. W., Killcross, S., Schoenbaum, G., and Niv, Y. (2018). An integrated model of action selection: distinct modes of cortical control of striatal decision making. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 70, 53–76. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102824
- Siddiqui, I., Saperia, S., Fervaha, G., Da Silva, S., Jeffay, E., Zakzanis, K. K., et al. (2019). Goal-directed planning and action impairments in schizophrenia evaluated in a virtual environment. *Schizophr. Res.* 206, 400–406. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.10.012
- Slifstein, M., van de Giessen, E., Van Snellenberg, J., Thompson, J. L., Narendran, R., Gil, R., et al. (2015). Deficits in prefrontal cortical and extrastriatal dopamine release in schizophrenia: a positron emission tomographic functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72, 316–324. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2414
- Stern, C. E., and Passingham, R. E. (1995). The nucleus accumbens in monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). III. Reversal learning. *Exp. Brain Res.* 106, 239–247. doi: 10.1007/bf00241119
- Stip, E. (2006). [Cognition, schizophrenia and the effect of antipsychotics]. *Encephale* 32(3 Pt 1), 341–350.
- Strauss, G. P., Waltz, J. A., and Gold, J. M. (2014). A review of reward processing and motivational impairment in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 40(Suppl. 2), S107–S116. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbt197
- Sumiyoshi, T., Higuchi, Y., and Uehara, T. (2013). Neural basis for the ability of atypical antipsychotic drugs to improve cognition in schizophrenia. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 7:140. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00140
- Swartz, M. S., Stroup, T. S., McEvoy, J. P., Davis, S. M., Rosenheck, R. A., Keefe, R. S. E., et al. (2008). What CATIE found: results from the schizophrenia trial. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 59, 500–506. doi: 10.1176/ps.2008.59.5.500
- Tanaka, S. C., Balleine, B. W., and O'Doherty, J. P. (2008). Calculating consequences: brain systems that encode the causal effects of actions. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 6750–6755. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.1808-08.2008
- Tanaka, S. C., Doya, K., Okada, G., Ueda, K., Okamoto, Y., and Yamawaki, S. (2004). Prediction of immediate and future rewards differentially recruits cortico-basal ganglia loops. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 887–893. doi: 10.1038/nn1279
- Uchida, H., Rajji, T. K., Mulsant, B. H., Kapur, S., Pollock, B. G., Graff-Guerrero, A., et al. (2009). D2 receptor blockade by risperidone correlates with attention deficits in late-life schizophrenia. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 29, 571–575. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181bf4ea3
- Valentin, V. V., Dickinson, A., and O'Doherty, J. P. (2007). Determining the neural substrates of goal-directed learning in the human brain. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 4019–4026. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.0564-07.2007
- Walton, M. E., Behrens, T. E., Buckley, M. J., Rudebeck, P. H., and Rushworth, M. F. (2010). Separable learning systems in the macaque brain and the role of orbitofrontal cortex in contingent learning. *Neuron* 65, 927–939. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.027
- Waltz, J. A., and Gold, J. M. (2007). Probabilistic reversal learning impairments in schizophrenia: further evidence of orbitofrontal dysfunction. *Schizophr. Res.* 93, 296–303. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.03.010
- Wassum, K. M., and Izquierdo, A. (2015). The basolateral amygdala in reward learning and addiction. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 57, 271–283. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.08.017
- Weinberger, D. R. (1987). Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 44, 660–669. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1987.01800190080012
- Wickens, J. R., Horvitz, J. C., Costa, R. M., and Killcross, S. (2007). Dopaminergic mechanisms in actions and habits. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 8181–8183. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1671-07.2007
- Wolff, M., and Vann, S. D. (2019). The cognitive thalamus as a gateway to mental representations. *J. Neurosci.* 39, 3–14. doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.0479-18.2018
- Xue, G., Xue, F., Droutman, V., Lu, Z. L., Bechara, A., and Read, S. (2013). Common neural mechanisms underlying reversal learning by reward and punishment. *PLoS One* 8:e82169. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082169
- Yan, H., Tian, L., Yan, J., Sun, W., Liu, Q., Zhang, Y. B., et al. (2012). Functional and anatomical connectivity abnormalities in cognitive division of anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. *PLoS One* 7:e45659. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045659
- Yartsev, M. M., Hanks, T. D., Yoon, A. M., and Brody, C. D. (2018). Causal contribution and dynamical encoding in the striatum during evidence accumulation. *Elife* 7:e34929. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34929
- Yin, H. H., Knowlton, B. J., and Balleine, B. W. (2005a). Blockade of NMDA receptors in the dorsomedial striatum prevents action-outcome learning in instrumental conditioning. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22, 505–512. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04219.x
- Yin, H. H., Ostlund, S. B., Knowlton, B. J., and Balleine, B. W. (2005b). The role of the dorsomedial striatum in instrumental conditioning. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22, 513–523. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04218.x
- Young, J. W., and Geyer, M. A. (2015). Developing treatments for cognitive deficits in schizophrenia: the challenge of translation. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 29, 178–196. doi: 10.1177/0269881114555252
- Young, J. W., and Markou, A. (2015). Translational rodent paradigms to investigate neuromechanisms underlying behaviors relevant to amotivation and altered reward processing in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 41, 1024–1034. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbv093
- Young, J. W., Powell, S. B., Risbrough, V., Marston, H. M., and Geyer, M. A. (2009). Using the MATRICS to guide development of a preclinical cognitive test battery for research in schizophrenia. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 122, 150–202. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2009.02.004

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Conn, Burne and Kesby. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.