



Commentary: Working Memory Load Affects Processing Time in Spoken Word Recognition: Test Retest Reliability of the E-WINDMIL Eyetracking Paradigm

Shai Baharav¹, Gal Nitsan^{1,2} and Boaz M. Ben-David^{1,3,4*}

¹ Baruch Ivcher School of Psychology, Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya, Herzliya, Israel, ² Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel, ³ Department of Speech-Language Pathology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁴ Toronto Rehabilitation Institute (TRI), University Health Networks, Toronto, ON, Canada

Keywords: visual world paradigm, eye-tracking, working memory load, speech processing and recognition, spoken word identification, cognitive ageing, test retest reliability

A Commentary on

Working Memory Load Affects Processing Time in Spoken Word Recognition: Test Retest Reliability of the E-WINDMIL Eyetracking Paradigm

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stephen Charles Van Hedger, Huron University College, Canada

Reviewed by:

Likan Zhan, Beijing Language and Culture University, China

> *Correspondence: Boaz M. Ben-David boaz.ben.david@idc.ac.il

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Auditory Cognitive Neuroscience, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

> Received: 04 February 2021 Accepted: 12 May 2021 Published: 08 June 2021

Citation:

Baharav S, Nitsan G and Ben-David BM (2021) Commentary: Working Memory Load Affects Processing Time in Spoken Word Recognition: Test Retest Reliability of the E-WINDMIL Eyetracking Paradigm. Front. Neurosci. 15:663930. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.663930 by Hadar, B., Skrzypek, J. E., Wingfield, A., and Ben-David, B. M. (2016). Front. Neurosci. 10:221. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00221

A paper by Hadar et al. (2016) published in this journal suggested a new eyetracking paradigm to gauge the cognitive load associated with speech processing. This was accomplished using an adapted version of the eyetracking Visual World Paradigm (VWP). Young normal-hearing listeners completed a spoken word identification task with a concurrent working memory load task as their eye-gaze on the monitor was recorded. While following spoken instructions to touch an object (out of four objects presented on the monitor), the listener was asked to retain either 1 or 4 digits (low load or high load) for later recall. Eye-fixations on a named target-object were compared to fixations on an object whose name had shared phonology (e.g., toweR and toweL), as the spoken word (named target-object) unfolded in time. Results indicated the important role working memory plays in speech perception, even when performed by younger adults in ideal listening conditions.

A recent paper by Nitsan et al. (2019), extended this paradigm and tested the effect of individual differences in working memory capacity on spoken word identification in noise. This adapted paradigm, coined the E-WINDMIL (Eyetracking of Word Identification in Noise Under Memory Increased Load), further highlighted the role of cognitive resources in speech processing, even with younger adults. As researchers increasingly apply the VWP in clinical settings to study speech processing in aging, we were asked whether this paradigm is reliable like the common VWP (Farris-Trimble and McMurray, 2013) across the lifespan. In response, we investigated the test-retest reliability of the E-WINDMIL in both younger and older adults.

Twenty-four younger adults (M age = 25.34 years, SD = 1.61 years) and 24 older adults (M age = 69.04 years, SD = 3.61 years) were recruited. Inclusion criteria closely mimicked our previous studies: clinically normal visual acuity, color-vision, pure-tone audiometric thresholds, language proficiency, forward digit span and basic cognitive diagnosis MoCA for older adults. Out of 34 younger adults tested, six were excluded due to loss of eye tracker signal in at least one of the test sessions and four were excluded due to attrition, as they did not return to the second experimental session. The final number of young participants, 24, matched the original Hadar et al. study. Hadar et al.'s methodology (using Hebrew spoken words) was also closely followed, with the

1



following changes: (1) Spoken instructions were mixed with a continuous speech spectrum noise at -4 dB and 0 dB signal-to-noise ratios for young and older adults, respectively; (2) Participants completed the task *twice* following a 2-week interval; and (3) Two image sets and four test versions were created from the original studies to prevent learning of the paradigm stimuli. As such, no participant viewed images nor heard target-object instructions from the first session in the second session (see counterbalancing descriptions here: www.canlab.idc.ac.il/ewindmil).

Growth curve analysis (Mirman, 2014) was used to analyze the time course of fixations on the target-object, from word onset to 200 ms after average word offset, for each age-group separately. Growth Curve Analysis, a multilinear polynomial regression model, is commonly used to model the Visual World Paradigm (Mirman, 2014; Nitsan et al., 2019) demonstrating sufficient statistical power with the sample size chosen for this study. The overall time course of target fixations was captured with a third order (cubic) orthogonal polynomial with fixed effects of load (1 vs. 4 digits preload), and test-session (test vs. retest) on all time terms, and participant random effects on all time terms (note, item order was fully randomized). Onset vs. offset phonemic competitors were modeled separately. Apart from the younger adult offset model, there was no effect of test-session on the time terms in both groups, indicating no significant difference in the rate or number of fixations on the target between the two testing sessions (all p > 0.5) in either age group (See **Figure 1**). However, in the younger adult offset model we witnessed an effect of test-session on the time terms indicating slightly faster fixations to target in the second session (For all tables see **Supplementary Materials**). This analysis suggests E-WINDMIL's test-retest reliability. The model's syntax and coefficients can be found here: https://github. com/G-Nitsan/GCA-test-retest-2020.

The test-retest reliability of the E-WINDMIL may facilitate investigating the interaction of cognition and speech processing within clinical settings. Further research is needed to determine how this version of VWP could be used. For example, the E-WINDMILL may serve as a far-transfer gauge of working memory for diagnosis or even cognitive training. This study calls for increased efforts to verify the reliability of tools that may provide new paths for cognitive assessment in aging (Ben-David et al., 2018).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SB, GN, and BB-D co-authored the commentary with SB acting as main writer and BB-D as corresponding author. BB-D was head of the lab and architect of the study. GN ran analysis. SB designed

and ran the experiment. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The authors declare that this study received funding from Effectivate (Acerar ltd.). The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data,

REFERENCES

- Ben-David, B. M., Malkin, G., and Erel, H. (2018). "Ageism and neuropsychological tests," in *Contemporary Perspectives on Ageism*, eds L. Ayalon and C. Tesch-Römer (Cham: Springer), 277–297.
- Farris-Trimble, A., and McMurray, B. (2013). Test-retest reliability of eye tracking in the visual world paradigm for the study of real-time spoken word recognition. J. Speech Lang. Hear. Res. 56, 1328–1345. doi: 10.1044/1092-4388(2012/12-0145)
- Hadar, B., Skrzypek, J. E., Wingfield, A., and Ben-David, B. M. (2016). Working memory load affects processing time in spoken word recognition: evidence from eye-movements. *Front. Neurosci.* 10:221. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00221
- Mirman, D. (2014). Growth Curve Analysis and Visualization Using R. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.
- Nitsan, G., Wingfield, A., Lavie, L., and Ben-David, B. M. (2019). Differences in working memory capacity affect online

and the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins. 2021.663930/full#supplementary-material

spoken word recognition: evidence from eye movements. *Trends Hear.* 23:233121651983962. doi: 10.1177/233121651983 9624

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Baharav, Nitsan and Ben-David. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.