



Creating Detailed Metadata for an R Shiny Analysis of Rodent Behavior Sequence Data Detected Along One Light-Dark Cycle

Julien Colomb¹ and York Winter^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Biology, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ² Exzellenzcluster NeuroCure, Charité, Berlin, Germany

Automated mouse phenotyping through the high-throughput analysis of home cage behavior has brought hope of a more effective and efficient method for testing rodent models of diseases. Advanced video analysis software is able to derive behavioral sequence data sets from multiple-day recordings. However, no dedicated mechanisms exist for sharing or analyzing these types of data. In this article, we present a free, open-source software actionable through a web browser (an R Shiny application), which performs an analysis of home cage behavioral sequence data, which is designed to spot differences in circadian activity while preventing p-hacking. The software aligns time-series data to the light/dark cycle, and then uses different time windows to produce up to 162 behavior variables per animal. A principal component analysis strategy detected differences between groups. The behavior activity is represented graphically for further explorative analysis. A machine-learning approach was implemented, but it proved ineffective at separating the experimental groups. The software requires spreadsheets that provide information about the experiment (i.e., metadata), thus promoting a data management strategy that leads to FAIR data production. This encourages the publication of some metadata even when the data are kept private. We tested our software by comparing the behavior of female mice in videos recorded twice at 3 and 7 months in a home cage monitoring system. This study demonstrated that combining data management with data analysis leads to a more efficient and effective research process.

Keywords: home cage scan, mus musculus, rodent, automatic, machine learning, multidimensional analysis

INTRODUCTION

Any attempt to identify the behavioral phenotype of an animal can be a highly tedious undertaking. Animal behavior depends heavily on many variables, which are sometimes uncontrollable, such as general health, age, animal care, sex, environmental factors (pre- and post-natal), housing conditions, environmental stress (including from the experimenter), and diet (Van Meer and Raber, 2005). Therefore, the research community has been searching for high-throughput technologies and methods that can not only phenotype numerous animals through computer automation and with low effort from the experimenter, but also be applied without the experimenter interacting

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Dezhe Z. Jin, The Pennsylvania State University (PSU), United States

Reviewed by:

Tudor Constantin Badea, Transilvania University of Braşov, Romania Brent Winslow, Design Interactive, United States

> *Correspondence: York Winter york.winter@charite.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neural Technology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 16 July 2021 Accepted: 28 October 2021 Published: 26 November 2021

Citation:

Colomb J and Winter Y (2021) Creating Detailed Metadata for an R Shiny Analysis of Rodent Behavior Sequence Data Detected Along One Light-Dark Cycle. Front. Neurosci. 15:742652. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.742652

1

with the animal. Behavioral analysis of video-captured home cage behavior could potentially be an effective and efficient method for characterizing rodent models of diseases. Because analyzing the behavior of animals under crowded conditions in group housing remains difficult (see Bains et al., 2018 for a review), the most widely used approach is to record animals' behavior in individual cages.

Currently, various technical solutions can provide a detailed analysis of single-housed mouse behavior sequences by analyzing a video (Figure 1). These include proprietary systems such as the HomeCageScan (HCS) software (Cleversys, Steele et al., 2007), and the phenorack system (Viewpoint S.A., France Bains et al., 2018), as well as an open source solution (Jhuang et al., 2010), and manual video annotation (see Jhuang et al., 2010 for an example). These software solutions assign one behavior to each video frame (using a short video sequence as the input). The primary data output is a sequence of behavior states. The number of different behaviors recognized varies between the solutions. To simplify the analysis or compare software accuracy, the number of behavior categories can be reduced (Steele et al., 2007; Jhuang et al., 2010; Luby et al., 2012; Adamah-Biassi et al., 2013). In addition to the raw behavior sequence data, the HCS software, as one example, may create summaries of the time spent performing each recognized behavior, as well as of the distance traveled (horizontally) for time intervals from minutes to several days (Figure 1).

While much effort has been spent on developing the software that automatically tracks behavioral motives over time, very

little effort has been invested into the analysis of the data produced. Published accounts have mostly reported analyses conducted after data were pooled into only two categories and one time window, and were mostly performed manually in Excel (approximately 24 h in Steele et al., 2007, 2.5h before feeding time in Luby et al., 2012). Consequently, such analyses would detect a difference in overall activity, but not in activity types or rhythm that might be relevant (Tobler et al., 1996). The analysis of daily rhythm indeed requires a more careful analysis, making sure the data is synchronized to the daily schedule (light condition changes). On the other hand, the detailed analysis of each behavior leads to a very high number of variables, which require either a multivariate analysis to avoid p-hacking, harking and false positives, or a preliminary experiment to identify the variables of interest *a priori* (Damrau et al., 2021).

Multidimensional approaches have been used previously to separate experimental groups. Steele et al. (2007) used a twoout validation strategy with an L1-regularized logistic regression; specifically, they trained a model on half of the data and then used the model to predict the grouping in the remaining data. This allowed them to discriminate between sick and healthy individuals from the video data well before the appearance of traditionally used symptoms. Another study (Bains et al., 2018) performed a canonical discriminant analysis to select the behavior variables that best separated groups (animal behaviors were monitored manually).

Currently, no repository exists for home cage monitoring data of animal models of disease. For this study, we obtained only



FIGURE 1 | Overview of the workflow in animal homecage behavior analysis: the animal behavior is observed directly or a video is recorded (1), the behavior sequence data is produced manually or by video analysis software (2), and the data is analyzed (3). The tools presented in this paper take care of this third step with one application dedicated to the quality assurance of metadata (providing information about the experiment), and one application analyzing the data to detect differences between groups.

derived data (hourly-binned data exports Steele et al., 2007), because the raw data had not been saved. This restricted our use of meta- and comparative analyses.

In this article, we present an integrated solution for the analysis and management of home cage video monitoring data. We propose a simple metadata schema in the form of spreadsheets that allow for a flexible structure of the data. The data become computer-readable, a first and critical step toward the production of FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable) open data (Group, 2014). In addition, we provide a pack of open-source R scripts and R Shiny applications (apps) that can analyze such FAIR data. On top of being available for use and further development, the BSeq_analyser application (Figure 1) is provided with an easy to use interface. It accounts for both daily rhythms (synchronizing data along the day/night cycle of the animal and splitting it into time windows of identical size for each animal) and activity spectrum (with a minimal pooling of behavior categories), producing up to 162 variables per experiment. It runs a multidimensional analysis that tests whether different experimental groups can be distinguished (using the first component after a principal component analysis [PCA] or based on a machine-learning strategy). It also provides plots of hourly activities for explorative analysis.

We tested the software using unpublished data obtained in Berlin, as well as previously published data obtained from Andrew Steele's lab. In particular, we compared the behavior profile of animals monitored twice at 3 and 7 months of age. Because of the differences in age and experience, we expected a change in behavior, which our analysis was in fact able to detect.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Provenance and Animal Testing

The authors did not perform the animal research described in the manuscript but only analyzed the data. The data used in this manuscript was collected by the animal outcome core facility in Berlin and Prof. Steele's group, as described in the master metadata file, following the method described in Schroeder et al. (2021) and Steele et al. (2007), respectively. In brief, the natural behavior of single mice within a home cage, unaffected by an experimenter, was video- recorded from a side view. Animals were singly housed for approximately 23 h in a regular home cage (EU type II) without additional enrichment (to avoid the detection of artifacts on video), but with free access to food and water. The videos were analyzed to classify the single behavior shown on each frame using the HCS software package (CleverSys Inc., USA).

Software and Data Availability

We used Rstudio and GitHub to develop the open source software (MIT licensed) as well as to organize its development and version control (www.github.com/jcolomb/HCS_analysis). Github issues were used to archive some discussions held with the CleverSys staff and data providers (Andrew Steele). Different milestones of the development were and will be archived on Zenodo to assure long-term preservation of the software (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1162721). Data were added to the

repository. Different text files available with the software describe and document the use of the two apps, details of the analysis algorithms, and ways to expand the analysis. A readme file explains how to navigate them.

Main Dependencies

The software was built on R resources (R Core Team, 2020). This work would not have been possible without the tidyverse environment (Wickham, 2019), packages for interactive processing (Chang et al., 2020; Pedersen et al., 2020; Sievert et al., 2020), statistical analysis (Breiman et al., 2018; Helwig, 2018; Park and Hastie, 2018; Meyer et al., 2019; Harrell, 2020) and graphical interfaces (Auguie, 2017; Murrell, 2020; Sievert et al., 2020). It also depended on the osfr package, which was still in development (Wolen and Hartgerink, 2020) and loaded via the devtools package (Wickham et al., 2020). We used the env package (Ushey, 2020) to dock the project.

Metadata Structure

The metadata was structured in different files to avoid having to provide the same information multiple times (**Figure 2**). Each experiment was described in the master metadata file available online (https://osf.io/myxcv/). We expanded the RADAR descriptive metadata schema (Kurze et al., 2017) to create the structure of the master metadata (**Table 1**). The information entered in that file was made openly available even if the data was not. In addition to the generic entries from RADAR, the file contained information about the path to the other three metadata files - the experiment (one row per test provides details about the animal and the experiment), lab (conditions such as light conditions are given), and identifiers metadata file - and the data folder, as well as information about the software used to acquire and analyze the video.

Metadata and Data Registration

We have provided a detailed manual that describes the relatively complex metadata creation process (see the readme file), and also a Shiny app for testing the quality of the metadata entered by users (available at analysis/Shiny_testanduploaddata/) and pushed it to OSF. We followed the manual and push metadata from a different experiment performed in Berlin.

The master metadata file was deposited on the open science framework storage at "https://osf.io/myxcv/" via the Shiny app. We chose this solution not only because we could read and update it directly from R, but also because it was version controlled (i.e., misuse will not have serious consequences). This file indexed all experiments that were analyzed with the software, but the deposition of the actual data remained independent and optional. The analysis software could access data locally (as in the example provided) or on the web via the HTTP protocol. We used the Github repository as one practical example.

Data Analysis

The detailed process of the analysis can be read directly from the commented code and readme file available on Zenodo and GitHub. Variables can be entered in the master_noshiny.r file or via the Shiny app, and the master_shiny.r file is then processed.



FIGURE 2 | Data and metadata structure. The master project metadata file links the address of the metadata files and the data folder. The experiment metadata file links to each data file (for clarity, only one folder is shown here). The format of the data is either .xlsx summary files (min or hour) or the HCS output files .mbr (behavior sequence) and .tbd (position; note that the software does not read that file). By reading the master file, the computer can determine the path to every data file. Upon analysis, the software creates a new folder indicating the software's name and version. Its reports are saved there, while derived data files are saved in a folder named after the software name but not its version.

The second tab in the Shiny app plots hourly summary data by running the "plot5 hoursummaries.r" code. A brief description of the software procedure is provided below.

Overview

The analysis software automatically reads the master metadata file on OSF. When the user specifies the project to be analyzed,

TABLE 1 | Master metadata information.

Identifier	F0001		
Proj_name	Ro_testdata		
Title	Wild type data at different age. For testing purpose		
Creator	Colomb, Julien		
Contributors	Long, Melissa; Winter, York (https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-7828-1872)		
Creator_email	julien.colomb@fu-berlin.de		
Publisher			
Publication year			
Production year	2015		
Subject area	Behavioral neurobiology		
Resource	Dataset		
Rights	CC0		
Rights holder	Winter, York		
Description_ comments	Part of a project at the AOCF, only data from wild type animals are available here.		
Funder information	XXX		
video_acquisition	HCS 3.0		
video_analysis	HCS 3.0		
group_by	Treatment		
confound_by			
source_data	this_github		
Folder_path	Ro_testdata		
raw_data_folder	HCS3_output		
video_folder	Videos		
animal_metadata	metadata/Ro_testdata_meta.csv		
lab_metadata	metadata/Lab_metadata.csv		
indentificator metadata			

the software will import, process and analyze the data (Figure 3). The software reads the metadata associated with the project and creates a synchronized minute summary file from the indicated primary data file (raw data or minute/hourly summary files). The minute summary is a table where each row reports the amount of time spent performing each behavior for each minute of experiment, the time relative to the start of the experiment, the time relative to the light extinction, and the animal ID and group. Behavior categories (see Table 2) are pooled and the software creates time windows (only time windows where all animals have data can be produced, the user can choose which time window to incorporate in the analysis), before calculating a value for each behavior category for each time window. Some data might be excluded from the analysis at this point, following the label indicated in the experiment metadata. The software then performs multidimensional analyses on this window's summary data to plot them as well as to test whether experimental groups can be distinguished. The analysis involves a random forest (RF) analysis for identifying the variables that exhibit the largest differences among the different groups of mice. Next, an independent component analysis (ICA) is performed on these 8-20 variables and the first three components are plotted in an interactive 3D plot. Independently, the next part of the software



runs a PCA and examines the first principal component for statistically different results in the groups using a nonparametric test. Then, it may run a machine-learning algorithm on the data using a support vector machine (SVM) algorithm. Validation of the latter results is conducted through a non-exhaustive two-out validation technique as in Steele et al. (2007) if the sample size per group is below 15, or otherwise through a test data set. Hourly summary plots (using the synchronized minutes summary data) are also provided in the application second tab.

Data Inputting Details

The software reads the **minute summary** file created by the HCS software or creates a new one from the raw behavior sequence data or the hourly summary data. In the latter case, the hour value divided by 60 is used for each minute of that hour. The software adds a column that indicates the time to the light-off event ("bintodark") and what the light condition was (DAY or NIGHT). This is calculated from the start of the experiment in the experiment metadata (which can be read from the name of the video file coming from the HCS software package) and the light/dark cycle information obtained from the lab metadata file.

We used the information delivered by CleverSys to derive **categories** from the raw sequence files code, and obtained 38 categories (the distance traveled on the x axis was not considered a behavior category; No Data and Arousal were discarded; and

six different drink and eat categories were pooled into two). The synchronized minute summary file is saved on the hard-disk at this point, and will be read by the software on a subsequent run.

In the next step, the software pools these 38 categories into 18 (Berlin categories: we restricted the number of categories to pool some behavior types that are very rarely detected) or 10 (Jhuang categories: categories the Jhuang open source software can detect) using the "grouping variables.r" code (**Table 2**). The data records were typically from experiments lasting slightly less than 24 h. Nine different **time windows** were defined, with the last three windows overlapping with the first six; see **Figure 4**.

Then, the square root of the proportion of time spent performing each behavior during each time window is calculated. This **data transformation** makes the data more normally distributed and allows for a better analysis in a multidimensional space, but it does not require non-null values like log transformation. This derived data set is the multivariate dataset (called "Multi_datainput" in the code, time windows summaries in **Figure 3**), which can contain up to 162 variables per subject (18 behavior categories times 9 time windows), it is also saved on disc.

Multivariate Data Visualization and Analysis

The software uses a double RF analysis to select 8–20 variables, which are used as input for ICA. The first RF selects the best 20

TABLE 2 | The initial 45 categories from the HCS outputs were pooled into 18 and 10 categories, the latter being the only categories available in another open source video analysis software, while the former was used to pool categories that have very little occurence.

Original_HCS	Berlin_category Jhuang_category		
Travel.m.	Distance_traveled	Distance_traveled	
ComeDown	ComeDown	Rear	
RearUp	Rearup	Rear	
Turn	Walk	Walk	
Stretch	Stretch	Rear	
HangCudl	Hang	Hang	
HangVert	Hang	Hang	
CDfromPR	ComeDown	Rear	
CDtoPR	Rearup	Rear	
RUfromPR	Rearup	Rear	
RUtoPR	Rearup	Rear	
LandVert	Hang	Rear	
WalkLeft	Walk	Walk	
WalkRght	Walk	Walk	
Stationa	Immobile	Rest	
Drnk.S1.	Drink	Drink	
Eat.Z1.	Eat	Eat	
Jump	Jump	Unknown_behavior	
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown_behavior	
HVfromRU	Hang	Hang	
HVfromHC	Hang	Hang	
ReptJump	Jump	Unknown_behavior	
Circle	Walk	Walk	
Dig	Digforage	Unknown_behavior	
Forage	Digforage	Unknown_behavior	
Pause	Immobile	Micro_move	
Urinate	Unknown	Unknown_behavior	
Groom	Groom	Groom	
Sleep	Immobile	Rest	
Twitch	Twitch	Micro_move	
Arousal			
Awaken	Awaken	Micro_move	
Chew	Chew	Eat	
Sniff	Sniffing	Micro_move	
RemainRU	Rearup	Rear	
RemainPR	Rearup	Rear	
RemainHV	Hang	Hang	
RemainHC	Hang	Hang	
RemainLw	RemainLow	Micro_move	
WalkSlow	Walk	Walk	
No.Data			
Drnk.S2.	Drink	Drink	
Drnk.S3.	Drink	Drink	
Eat.Z2.	Eat	Eat	
Eat.Z3.	Eat	Eat	

variables, whereas the second RF is performed using only these 20 variables. The best eight variables or all variables with a Gini score above 0.95 are kept for the ICA and are listed in the report.

The data are then plotted according to the first three components of the ICA, resulting in a three-dimensional plot.

Then, the software performs a statistical analysis using a PCA on the multivariate data set, and then plots the first component and performs a statistical analysis of this first component over groups. Finally, the user can choose (via the "Perform the multidimensional analysis (takes time)" button) to perform a machine-learning analysis based on a SVM approach using a radial kernel. We also attempted an L1-regularized regression, modifying the code used in Steele et al. (2007), obtained from Prof. King. The models were used to predict the experimental group of the data not used for training. The software used two different validation techniques. For data sets with fewer than 15 animals per group, a two-out validation strategy is used, whereas the software uses a completely independent test data set when the sample size exceeds 15 (see the analysis_details.md text delivered with the software for details). The software reports the kappa score as a measure of model accuracy. For the statistical analysis, the same machine-learning code is run on the same data but after a randomization of the group (permutation). This provides us with a cloud of accuracy results that can be used to perform a binomial test, which in turn provides us with a p-value that indicates whether the model can predict the experimental group at a level above chance.

RESULTS

Data Integration

In order to facilitate the analysis of data from different sources, we proposed a format for organizing the data (behavior sequence or binned summary data) and the metadata (information about the experiment, the lab, and the animals), such that the R Shiny apps can access the different files automatically. Critically, this format does not require any file to be renamed, but it does include file names in the experiment metadata. An extra main and public metadata file reports information about the project, its contributors, and the placement of the other files (see **Figure 2**), making the data FAIR (Group, 2014).

We have also provided a walk-through (available at metadata/information/Readme.md) and a Shiny app to facilitate new data integration. The app tests and uploads the project metadata to the master metadata file online (which can then be read by the second app devoted to data analysis). The process of creating the spreadsheets lasts for approximately 1 h once all information have been gathered. The data files themselves did not require any modification. We obtained data of different quality and formats from different labs. The software deals only with files output from the HCS software package (CleverSys Inc.) thus far (the raw behavior sequence [.mbr file] or the minute or hour binned data summaries).

We provided and used a data set produced in Berlin of 11 wild-type female mice recorded twice (at the age of 3 and 7 months, respectively) for approximately 24 h, and published data obtained from Andrew Steele (Steele et al., 2007; Luby et al., 2012). Other data sets were tested but the data were not made public. The sample size was decided independent of this study and one animal was excluded because the data for one time

rare distributed under the MIT license, report issues here able on zenodo						
TRUE multidimensional analysis summary reports						
noose the project to analyse: Ro_testdata	Perform multidimensional analysis					
is dataset is available online and can be analysed Data_directory	You can a Choose	Iso previously choose the time te time the time te time the time te time te time the time te tit tit te time te time te time te time te tit te	windows to incorporate in the analysis	, if you do not all time windows will		
et variables rouping variables following which categories	Show 1	0 🕤 entries			Search:	
Jhuang 10 categories			windowstart	windowend	♦ windowname	
Berlin 18 categories	1	Bin	0	120	first 2 hours of recording	
recreate the min_file even if one exists	-	Distadad	120	110	lest 2h before eight	
Perform the svm analysis (takes time, not always working)	2	Bintodark	-120	0	tast 2n before night	
umber of permutations to perform for the SVM statistics:	3	Bintodark	0	180	first 3h of night	
	4	Bintodark	180	540	middle night	
	5	Bintodark	540	720	late night (3h)	
	6	Bintodark	720	864	early day(3h)	
essages: nalyis started	7	Bintodark	-120	864	full recording	
pen the report in a new tab Go back to R to debug	8	lightcondition	DAY		daytime	

FIGURE 4 | Screenshot of the Bseq_analyser Shiny app for data analysis. In the left panel, the user indicates the data and variables to use. He or she can also indicate where to find the data that are not published online (by clicking the "Data directory" button). The left panel also presents some messages and a link to the report. The main panel has two tabs, one for performing the multidimensional analysis (with a prior choice of time windows or not) and one for creating hourly summary plots of each behavior category.

point were not available. Mice were tested in the same order at the two time points, and were subjected to other behavioral tests in the 4-month time period between the two home cage monitoring events.

Data Analysis

We used data obtained using the HCS software with 11 wildtype mice recorded twice for approximately 24 h. The data were grouped following the age of the animal (young or old) at the time of the recording (available under Ro_testdata project). One Excel export file was corrupted (animal 279, first test), whereas the data of one animal was inconsistent (animal 25, second test: the raw data and the exported data did not correspond). Animal 25 was removed from the analysis by modifying the metadata file, which contained an "exclude" column.

The BSeq_analyser R Shiny app was used to analyze the data, as shown in **Figure 4**. In the left panel, the user must choose variables: the project to analyze, the behavior categorization to use (**Table 2**), whether to recreate the minute summary file from the raw data, whether a machine learning analysis should be performed, and the number of permutations to perform (if machine learning analysis is performed). The users might then choose which time windows to incorporate in the analysis. They then press the "Perform multidimensional analysis" button and wait until the html report is produced and presented on screen. We performed this analysis once with the corrupted data from the Excel summary files (**Figure 5**) and once with a corrected



FIGURE 5 | Three dimensional representation of the results of the ICA on the test data with a minute summary as the primary data. Note that the data with corrupted entries (animal 279, first test) does not show up as an outlier in this graph.

export of the raw data (see whole report at https://doi.org/10. 6084/m9.figshare.6724547.v3).

The software read and transformed the data according to the information given in the metadata and the variables selected. It reports a data analysis using a PCA, present the results of RF analysis and visualizes the data in 3 dimensions. (for details, see



not optimized.

the Materials and Methods section and the code itself). The html report is saved on the hard disk (see **Figure 4**) and can be directly opened in the browser app. Noteworthily, the PCA was effective at separating the two experimental groups (nonparametric statistical test on the first component [p = 0.00067; the effect size was large: Z/square(n) = 0.76]).

For the data visualization, a random forest algorithm was used to choose the 8–20 variables that were the most effective at separating the different animal groups. An independent component analysis (ICA) was then run on these variables and the data were plotted in two or three dimensions. When we performed this analysis including the corrupted file, the data point was surprisingly not an extreme value (see **Figure 5**, interactive at https://plot.ly/j_colomb/39/).

In the second tab of the app, hourly summaries of the percentage of time spent performing each behavior are provided (time is synchronized to the light-off event). Although it can be directly seen in the app (plot by plot), a pdf file with all plots is also produced. **Figure 6** presents an example of a 24-h summary plot obtained using the "walking" behavior category.

Machine Learning Analysis

The software predicts group separation using a multidimensional analysis. In addition to PCA, it might then use a supervised machine learning (support vector machine [SVM] using a radial kernel) approach to separate the two groups. Noteworthily, the software can also deal with three different experimental groups, but no more (the data had to be split into pairs of groups and an analysis was performed for each pair). The SVM is trained on part of the data. The model is then used to predict the group membership of data not used to train the model. The kappa score gives an indication of the effectiveness of the model, which itself indicates how easy the two groups of data can be separated. The software uses either a two-out validation strategy (as in Steele et al., 2007) if there are fewer than 15 animals per group, or an independent test data set otherwise. The whole process is repeated after permuting the group membership of the train data set. A binomial test compares the actual accuracy with a cloud of accuracy values obtained after many permutations, thus calculating a range for the *p*-value. The number of permutations is reported with this estimated *p*-value.

In order to test the efficacy of the approach, we ran the analysis using different variables with our test data set. This was performed with version v0.1.1-alpha of the software and with the two corrupted files for animals 25 and 279. While the PCA could tell the experimental groups apart (data not shown), the machine-learning approach was not as effective. We performed analyses over three time window variations: one time window (from 2 h before lights off to the end of the recording), five time windows (first 2 h of recording, last 2 h before nightfall, first 3 h of the night, last 3 h of the night, first 3 h of the second day), or six time windows (all of the windows described above). We ran the analysis using both behavior categorizations. Since the number of animals was low (11 per group), we used the two-out validation procedure. The algorithm could tell the two groups apart when the Berlin categorization was used, but not when the Jhuang categorization was used, irrespective of the time window combination or algorithm used (Figure 7). When the same analysis was performed with corrected data, the latest code and the one time window in the Berlin categorization seemed to provide an even worse success rate for the SVM approach https:// doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.6724547.v3.



(Continued)

FIGURE 7 | analysis. Graphs are grouped according to the number of time windows used in the columns (one, five, or all six windows; see text), categorization of the behavior (first three rows: Jhuang categorization, last three rows: Berlin categorization) and the machine-learning algorithm (L1-regularized regression: rows 1 and 4; SVM with radial kernel: rows 2 and 5; SVM with linear kernel: rows 3 and 6); p-values were obtained through confidence intervals for binomial probability analysis.

Working With Hourly Summaries and Raw Data

The software could also use hourly summaries as primary input data (they are the only data available in the Steeleo7 HD data set). In this case, a minute summary was produced by dividing the hourly value by 60. The synchronization with lights off between experiments was not precise in those cases, but a rough analysis of the output revealed that this had only a minor effect on the whole analysis (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.6724604.v1).

In general, we recommend exporting minute summaries from the HCS software for new experiments (to obtain the distance traveled) but using the raw data for analysis. Indeed, the distance traveled per minute cannot be calculated with our software. However, the created minute summary file is more robust than that from the HCS software; specifically, some behavior events were sometimes not taken into account, and in one case the HCS export function failed completely.

Remarkably, using the raw data as the input allows for a more complex analysis of the data. One can, for instance, analyze the transition between different behaviors. For example, we showed which behavior was performed before and after "land vertical" events, merging our two experimental groups (**Figure 8**). While landing occurred after hanging behaviors as expected, the animals started to either rear again or engage in sniffing or eating behaviors, but rarely started to walk directly after a landing. In addition, the "hanging vertical from the rear up" behavior notably did not follow a rear up behavior in these cases.

Meta-analysis

In order to test the re-usability of our data and code, we performed a meta-analysis using data from different projects. We read all data at our disposal for wild-type animals. We then performed the usual analysis with all nine time windows, followed by a PCA (we could not include the Steele07_HD data because the birth date of the animals was not provided, and also because the seventh time window did not have data). We plotted the first PCA component against the age of the animal, adding the genotype as an additional variable (**Figure 9**). The results suggested that both age and genotype might affect mouse behavior in the home cage.

DISCUSSION

FAIR Data per Default

Using relatively simple tools (R and spreadsheets) and common platforms (GitHub, OSF, and Zenodo), we combined data analysis and data "FAIRification" into one workflow. On top of metadata necessary for the data analysis, we ask the users to provide general information about the experiment, and strongly encourage them to publish this particular piece of metadata through one of our apps (**Figure 2**). This creates an open repository for home cage monitoring metadata in a spreadsheet form (https://osf.io/myxcv/). Users may choose to keep the data private, but even unpublished data is in a state to be shared easily.

Home cage monitoring experiments lead to videos that are analyzed to produce a time stamped sequence of recognized behaviors. By combining these data with metadata (which provide information about the experiments and the experimenters in a computer-readable form), one can produce interesting visualizations and analyses, especially if the raw data (in this case an .mbr text file) are provided. We encourage users to avoid using the Excel summary files produced by the proprietary software, but rather to start the analysis from the raw data. Doing so will make the analysis more robust: data from different software may be included more easily, and one avoids problems created in closed access export functions. In particular, we encourage users not to include the distance traveled variable in the analysis, as its spread differs from the other variables (percentage of time spent performing a behavior) and thus including it in a multidimensional analysis may cause problems.

In order to best test the data and code re-usability, we performed a meta-analysis (**Figure 9**). We pooled all data available to us, filtered those from wild-type strains, and asked whether animal age or genotype had the most influence on animal behavior. While the amount of data available to us proved insufficient to answer the question, the analysis could be performed with few issues.

Data Visualization and Analysis

The software aligns the data to the light/dark cycle, and then cleans the data to only keep data points where all subjects have provided data, thus ensuring that each sample is of equivalent valence for the analysis. Such data cleansing has been absent from most analyses published to date, although it might be crucial for spotting specific effects at the time of the light/dark switch. We also implemented different time windows to create specific variables along the day/night cycle, in order to detect differences that could be overseen with a 24-h summary analysis. We are confident that the software represents progress toward a cleaner and more detailed analysis of behavior sequence data.

In addition, the use of the software would prevent p-hacking and harking (Kerr, 1998). To illustrate this, we shuffled the grouping of the test data before performing the analysis (data not shown). We observed that the 3D data visualization still showed some differences between the groups. This was expected because the RF analysis is meant to look for the cause of differences and would find some in a data set with 162 variables. The summary analysis (which corresponds to the type of analysis usually performed) can still reveal some apparent differences for some behavioral traits – differences that could be claimed to be statistically significant if one does not correct for multiple testing.



eight behaviors with the highest median proportion are shown; squares and numbers represent the mean percentage. Similar numbers were obtained when taking the median.



However, the PCA clearly indicated that a difference between the two artificial groups of data could not be detected statistically, as expected.

We made quite an effort to implement and test a machinelearning approach, with the idea being that a PCA may miss existing differences due to high variability for some variables inside groups. However, our analysis revealed that this approach seems to be ineffective with our type of data (**Figure 7**). In particular, the analysis revealed that the distribution of the accuracy of predictions in randomly permuted groups varied greatly between algorithms, which questions the approach used by Steele et al. (2007).

An Open Source Proof of Concept

By using a GitHub workflow and an open-source programming language (R), providing Shiny apps for use by non-coders, and implementing metadata in simple spreadsheets that are easy to read and write, we hope to reach the growing community of researchers who are dealing with behavioral sequence data. The software is intended for non-computer-scientist researchers to read and extend, and therefore, it has been kept simple. While we have provided extensive comments, including dependencies, as well as a hierarchy of code files to facilitate code reading, we did not use functions nor implement tests. Similarly, the experiment metadata are provided in spreadsheets, a practical solution that we were able to implement with little effort. We believe that the implementation of a more complex data format would be counterproductive at this stage.

The analysis runs identically on the Shiny app or when variables are provided in a code file, so debugging and extension creation can be performed without the need to care about the difficulties of Shiny apps debugging. We used that approach to perform a quick analysis of the behavior transition in our data set. Our results demonstrated the potential of this approach both for spotting limits in the video analysis software (e.g., inconsistent sequences) and for creating new, more detailed analyses based on the behavior sequence itself.

Mouse Behavior

As expected, mouse behavior differed in the second session compared with the first session, which was detected by a PCA. An explorative look at the data suggested that mice are more active at the beginning of their first session, during the day, confirming that the use of different time windows is beneficial for the analysis of the data. Our meta-analysis also suggested that both age and genotype influence mouse behavior.

CONCLUSION

We have presented several open-source Shiny apps that allow the archiving, visualization, and analysis of long-term home cage video monitoring experiments. This report is a proof of concept

REFERENCES

- Adamah-Biassi, E., Stepien, I., Hudson, R., and Dubocovich, M. (2013). Automated video analysis system reveals distinct diurnal behaviors in C57BL/6 and C3H/HeN mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 243, 306–312. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.01.003
- Auguie, B. (2017). gridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for "Grid" Graphics. R package version 2.3.
- Bains, R. S., Wells, S., Sillito, R. R., Armstrong, J. D., Cater, H. L., Banks, G., et al. (2018). Assessing mouse behaviour throughout the light/dark cycle

for workflows allowing both data analysis and publication. The analysis tool by itself should be helpful for the analysis of behavioral sequence data. It cleanses the data before analysis and provides an easy way to test for group effects including patterns in circadian behavior, while avoiding harking and p-hacking. We hope that the community will increase the amount of data openly available as well as expand the software in novel ways for analyzing behavioral sequence data.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JC: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, software, validation, visualization, writing–original draft, writing–review, and editing. YW: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, and writing–review, and editing. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), SFB 1315, Project-ID 327654276, and EXC 2049: NeuroCure, Project-ID 390688087. We acknowledge support from the Open Access Publication Fund of Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the members of the lab and the AOCF team: Andrei Istudor for his suggestion to integrate all used variables in the software report; Patrick Beye for the discussion on the design of the analysis; Melissa Long for performing the home cage monitoring experiment and for helping with the creation of the Metadata; and Vladislav Nachev for the scientific input and discussion. In addition, we wish to thank Prof. Steele and Prof. King for their fruitful discussions and access to their code and data, and also CleverSys Inc. for their help with decoding the HCS outputs.

using automated in-cage analysis tools. J. Neurosci. Methods 300, 37-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.04.014

- Breiman, L., Cutler, A., Liaw, A., and Wiener, M. (2018). randomForest: Breiman and Cutler's Random Forests for Classification and Regression. R package version 4.6-14.
- Chang, W., Cheng, J., Allaire, J., Xie, Y., and McPherson, J. (2020). *shiny: Web Application Framework for R.* R package version 1.5.0.
- Damrau, C., Colomb, J., and Brembs, B. (2021). Sensitivity to expression levels underlies differential dominance of a putative null allele of the

Drosophila t β h gene in behavioral phenotypes. *PLoS Biol.* 19:e3001228. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001228

- Group, D. C. S. (2014). Data Citation Synthesis Group: Joint Declaration of Data Citation Principles. Technical report.
- Harrell, Jr., F. E. (2020). Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. R package version 4.4-0.
- Helwig, N. E. (2018). *ica: Independent Component Analysis.* R package version 1.0-2.
- Jhuang, H., Garrote, E., Yu, X., Khilnani, V., Poggio, T., Steele, A. D., et al. (2010). Automated home-cage behavioural phenotyping of mice. *Nat. Commun.* 1, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1064
- Kerr, N. L. (1998). HARKing: hypothesizing after the results are known. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev. 2, 196–217. doi: 10.1207/s15327957pspr0203_4
- Kurze, T., Tobias, R., and Bonn, M. (2017). "One-stop publishing and archiving: forschungsdaten für Promotionsvorhaben über Repositorien publizieren und archivieren: eine landesweite Initiative im Rahmen des Projekts bwDataDiss am Beispiel des Karlsruher Instituts für Technologie (KIT)," in *E-Science-Tage* 2017: Forschungsdaten Managen. Hrsg., ed J. Kratzke (heiBOOKS). Available online at: https://publikationen.bibliothek.kit.edu/1000078226
- Luby, M. D., Hsu, C. T., Shuster, S. A., Gallardo, C. M., Mistlberger, R. E., King, O. D., et al. (2012). Food anticipatory activity behavior of mice across a wide range of circadian and non-circadian intervals. *PLoS ONE* 7:e37992. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037992
- Meyer, D., Dimitriadou, E., Hornik, K., Weingessel, A., and Leisch, F. (2019). e1071: Misc Functions of the Department of Statistics, Probability Theory Group (Formerly: E1071), TU Wien. R package version 1.7-3.
- Murrell, P. (2020). *RGraphics: Data and Functions from the Book R Graphics, Third Edition.* R package version 3.0-2.
- Park, M. Y. and Hastie, T. (2018). glmpath: L1 Regularization Path for Generalized Linear Models and Cox Proportional Hazards Model. R package version 0.98.
- Pedersen, T. L., Nijs, V., Schaffner, T., and Nantz, E. (2020). *shinyFiles: A Server-Side File System Viewer for Shiny*. R package version 0.8.0.
- R Core Team (2020). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Schroeder, P., Rivalan, M., Zaqout, S., Krüger, C., Schüler, J., Long, M., et al. (2021). Abnormal brain structure and behavior in MyD88-deficient mice. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 91, 181–193. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.09.024
- Sievert, C., Parmer, C., Hocking, T., Chamberlain, S., Ram, K., Corvellec, M., and Despouy, P. (2020). *plotly: Create Interactive Web Graphics via 'plotly.js*'. R package version 4.9.2.1.

- Steele, A. D., Jackson, W. S., King, O. D., and Lindquist, S. (2007). The power of automated high-resolution behavior analysis revealed by its application to mouse models of Huntington's and prion diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 1983–1988. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0610779104
- Tobler, I., Gaus, S. E., Deboer, T., Achermann, P., Fischer, M., Rülicke, T., et al. (1996). Altered circadian activity rhythms and sleep in mice devoid of prion protein. *Nature* 380, 639–642. doi: 10.1038/380639a0
- Ushey, K. (2020). *renv: Project Environments*. R package version 0.11.0. Available online at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=renv
- Van Meer, P., and Raber, J. (2005). Mouse behavioural analysis in systems biology. Biochem. J. 389(Pt 3):593–610. doi: 10.1042/BJ20042023
- Wickham, H. (2019). tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidyverse'. R package version 1.3.0.
- Wickham, H., Hester, J., and Chang, W. (2020). *devtools: Tools to Make Developing R Packages Easier*. R package version 2.3.0.
- Wolen, A. and Hartgerink, C. (2020). osfr: Interface to the 'Open Science Framework' ('OSF'). R package version 0.2.8.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Colomb and Winter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.