Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yong Hu, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY Dong Keon Yon, Kyung Hee University, Republic of Korea Victoria Van Regemorter, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE Cynthia C. Woo ⊠ cwoo@uci.edu

RECEIVED 26 May 2023 ACCEPTED 07 July 2023 PUBLISHED 24 July 2023

CITATION

Woo CC, Miranda B, Sathishkumar M, Dehkordi-Vakil F, Yassa MA and Leon M (2023) Overnight olfactory enrichment using an odorant diffuser improves memory and modifies the uncinate fasciculus in older adults. *Front. Neurosci.* 17:1200448. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1200448

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Woo, Miranda, Sathishkumar, Dehkordi-Vakil, Yassa and Leon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Overnight olfactory enrichment using an odorant diffuser improves memory and modifies the uncinate fasciculus in older adults

Cynthia C. Woo¹*, Blake Miranda^{1,2}, Mithra Sathishkumar^{1,2}, Farideh Dehkordi-Vakil³, Michael A. Yassa^{1,2} and Michael Leon^{1,2,4}

¹Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States, ²Center for the Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States, ³Department of Statistics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States, ⁴Institute for Memory Impairments and Neurological Disorders, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States

Objective: Cognitive loss in older adults is a growing issue in our society, and there is a need to develop inexpensive, simple, effective in-home treatments. This study was conducted to explore the use of olfactory enrichment at night to improve cognitive ability in healthy older adults.

Methods: Male and female older adults (N = 43), age 60–85, were enrolled in the study and randomly assigned to an Olfactory Enriched or Control group. Individuals in the enriched group were exposed to 7 different odorants a week, one per night, for 2 h, using an odorant diffuser. Individuals in the control group had the same experience with *de minimis* amounts of odorant. Neuropsychological assessments and fMRI scans were administered at the beginning of the study and after 6 months.

Results: A statistically significant 226% improvement was observed in the enriched group compared to the control group on the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test and improved functioning was observed in the left uncinate fasciculus, as assessed by mean diffusivity.

Conclusion: Minimal olfactory enrichment administered at night produces improvements in both cognitive and neural functioning. Thus, olfactory enrichment may provide an effective and low-effort pathway to improved brain health.

KEYWORDS

olfactory training, fMRI, environmental enrichment, uncinate fasciculus, cognitive loss, olfaction

1. Introduction

There is a clear need for a new approach to the treatment of cognitive loss in older adults that takes little effort but is highly effective and affordable (Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessments, 2008). Environmental enrichment has long been studied in rats and mice, which can be enriched by placing them in a large cage with conspecifics, a running wheel,

and regularly changing physical elements, rather than their restrictive box cage (Kempermann, 2019). The enrichment stimulates neuroplasticity that improves their human-like neurological symptoms in more than two dozen animal models of human neurological disorders (Nithianantharajah and Hannan, 2009; Hannan, 2014; Kempermann, 2019). Environmental enrichment also has more specifically been shown to ameliorate the human-like cognitive decline in animal models of aging (Valero et al., 2011; Patel, 2012). In lab animals, enhanced visual (Iaccarino et al., 2016), auditory (Martorell et al., 2019; Jung et al., 2023), and mastication (de Siqueira Mendes et al., 2021) stimulation, facilitates memory as it does in human older adults (Leon and Woo, 2018; Chan et al., 2022).

1.1. Olfactory enrichment alone improves brain and behavior in laboratory animals

Olfactory enrichment involves the daily exposure of individuals to multiple odorants and Veyrac et al. (2009) showed that olfactory enrichment alone could improve both memory and neurogenesis in the mouse brain. They further showed that novelty was the critical element in this kind of stimulation, as exposure to odorant mixtures did not produce these changes, while exposure to multiple odorants individually did. Rusznák et al. (2018) also showed that exposure to various essential oils alone for 30 min/day over 3 months induced neurogenesis in both the olfactory bulb and the hippocampus.

The olfactory system is the only sensory system that has direct projections to the limbic system which is crucial for memory and emotion, and which is the most relevant for this investigation (Haberly and Price, 1977), while the other sensory systems have indirect connections to this region via the thalamus. This unique access to the brain's learning and memory systems may allow the olfactory system to prevent or reverse the deterioration of these systems via direct neural activation.

1.2. Loss of olfactory ability and the deterioration of cognition

As people age, the deterioration of their olfactory ability occurs before the deterioration of their cognitive abilities (Doty et al., 1984; Schaie et al., 2004). Additionally, olfactory loss results in a significant loss of both gray matter and white matter in human brains (Bitter et al., 2010a,b; Segura et al., 2013; Kollndorfer et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2014). COVID-19 typically results in olfactory loss and can result in long-term cognitive loss (Meng et al., 2020; Graham et al., 2021). Moreover, comparisons of MRI scans from individuals both pre-infection and post-infection have revealed neural deterioration that resembles a decade of aging in brain regions that receive olfactorysystem projections (Douaud et al., 2022). Even chronic sinusitis has been shown to be associated with a decrease in gray matter in brain regions associated with learning and memory (Han et al., 2017).

1.3. Olfactory loss precedes or accompanies cognitive decline in dementia

Olfactory loss predicts the loss of gray matter in the hippocampus of older adults and continuing loss of olfaction

predicts the further loss of hippocampal gray matter as they first develop Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) and then Alzheimer's disease (Franks et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2021). Degradation of olfactory ability predicts which individuals with MCI will develop Alzheimer's disease (Conti et al., 2013). In addition, olfactory dysfunction predicts cognitive dysfunction in humans (Choi et al., 2018) and the loss of olfactory function precedes or parallels the onset of a wide variety of other conditions such as: Parkinson's disease (Ponsen et al., 2004; Meusel et al., 2010), Lewy body dementia (Ross et al., 2006), frontotemporal dementia, semantic dementia, frontotemporal dementia, corticobasal degeneration (Luzzi et al., 2007), Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (Tabaton et al., 2004), alcoholism (Rupp et al., 2003), and schizophrenia (Kopala and Clark, 1990; Nguyen et al., 2010). Douaud et al. (2022) found that the same areas that deteriorate in older adults or adults with olfactory loss was seen in people who had experienced a COVID infection, even an infection with mild symptoms.

1.4. Olfactory stimulation restores olfactory function

Olfactory enrichment improves olfactory ability in humans with olfactory loss due to post-infection olfactory dysfunction (Konstantinidis et al., 2013, 2016; Damm et al., 2014; Geißler et al., 2014), head trauma (Huang et al., 2021), Parkinson's (Haehner et al., 2013), or aging (Zambom-Ferraresi et al., 2021). These results were achieved with daily exposure to four odorants that represented the resinous, flowery, fruity, and aromatic odor groups. There are further improvements in olfactory ability with increased duration of exposure (Altundag et al., 2015; Konstantinidis et al., 2016), increased concentration of the odorants (Damm et al., 2014), and an increased number of odorants (Mahmut et al., 2020).

1.5. Olfactory enrichment changes human brain anatomy

Al Aïn et al. (2019) found that olfactory enrichment improved odor identification compared to that of visually enriched controls. Moreover, MRI analysis showed that olfactory enrichment led to increased cortical thickness in the right inferior frontal gyrus, the bilateral fusiform gyrus and the entorhinal cortex when compared to controls. Gellrich et al. (2017) found that olfactory enrichment given to people with olfactory deficiencies increased gray matter volume in the hippocampus and the thalamus, but no the brain regions. Similarly, Han et al. (2021) gave older adults olfactory enrichment for 7 months using 4 odorants twice/day, and patients had improved odor identification skills and larger cortical gray matter volume relative to controls. Sommelier students are exposed to dozens of novel odorants each day of their training. Using a longitudinal design, the brains of sommelier students were imaged with MRI at the start and end of their 18-month training and were compared with control students (Filiz et al., 2022). Olfactory enrichment of sommelier students increased olfactory bulb volume and it also increased the thickness of the entorhinal cortex. There were no significant changes in control group brains.

1.6. Olfactory enrichment improves cognition in humans

Haehner et al. (2013) showed that patients with Parkinson's disease improved their verbal fluency after olfactory enrichment. Birte-Antina et al. (2018) provided olfactory enrichment for adults with 4 essential-oil odorants twice a day for 5 months. Controls solved daily Sudoku puzzles during that time. The olfactory-enriched group had a significant improvement of olfactory function, improved verbal function, and decreased depression symptoms. Oleszkiewicz et al. (2021) exposed 68 older adults either to 9 odorants twice a day or to no new olfactory stimulation for 3-6 months, and found the enriched olfactory experience produced improvements in cognitive abilities, dementia status, and olfactory function, relative to the control condition. Specifically, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment revealed a significant difference between the olfactory-enriched group and controls. They also found that the AD8 Dementia Screening Interview showed that olfactory-enriched participants had no increase in dementia symptoms over the course of the trial, while control participants had such an increase. Finally, an improvement on olfactory sensitivity was seen in olfactory-enriched individuals, but not controls. At the same time, Chen et al. (2022) did not find memory improvement in older adults with mild cognitive impairment after brief exposures to multiple odorants twice each day for 4 months. They did find that olfactory-enriched individuals increased frontal lobe activation but had no change in gray matter volume. In a similar study, Haehner et al. (2022) found that improvements in olfactory discrimination, increased thickness of the hippocampus, and improved global cognition were associated with increased thickness of the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and medial temporal lobes. Moreover, the change in the thickness of entorhinal cortex was positively associated with improvement of executive function.

1.7. Increased complexity of olfactory enrichment remarkably improves dementia

Cha et al. (2022) exposed older adults with moderate dementia either to 40 odorants twice a day for 15 days or to no olfactory enrichment. The olfactory-enriched group showed highly significant improvements in memory, olfactory identification, depression symptoms, attention, verbal fluidity, and language skills relative to controls.

1.8. Olfactory enrichment at night

While sniffing 40 odorants twice a day benefits patients with dementia, it is unlikely that they would be able to load, open, and close 80 sniff bottles each day. This problem would be expected even in older adults without dementia. Since it is important to get high levels of compliance for olfactory enrichment to obtain maximal benefits, we tested the idea that we could get enhanced neural and cognitive outcomes after minimal-effort olfactory enrichment at night.

The goal of the study was to determine whether participants retain or improve their cognitive ability after olfactory enrichment at night. We tested our hypothesis that the cognitive benefits of olfactory exposure on cognition may be found in its privileged access to brain areas and pathways relevant to olfaction and memory where it may be normalizing specific memory circuits. Specifically, we used diffusion weighted imaging to assess whether major limbic pathways (i.e., the uncinate fasciculus and the cingulum) are modified by olfactory enrichment. We focused on the uncinate in particular as a major pathway connecting the basolateral amygdala and the entorhinal cortex to the prefrontal cortex (Ebeling and Von Cramon, 1992; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2012; Von der Heide et al., 2013) and which plays a crucial role in learning and memory (Alm et al., 2016) and which deteriorates with age and Alzheimer's disease (Morikawa et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2019). Importantly, a recent study demonstrated that the uncinate fasciculus is modified by a dance intervention as a form of environmental enrichment (Rektorova et al., 2020). This motivated our choice of the uncinate fasciculus as a target region of interest to test for the effect of olfactory enrichment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited from a list of interested older adults via the UCI Institute for Memory Impairments and Neurological Disorders' Consent-to-Contact Registry. The participants were all community-dwelling older adults with no diagnosis of cognitive impairment or dementia. They received monetary compensation for their participation. Informed consent was given by all participants, all procedures were approved by the UC Irvine Institutional Review Board, and we conformed to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All participants were screened against major medical or psychiatric morbidities (including head trauma), substance abuse history, and any MRI contraindications, such as metal in the body. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Table 1. This trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT03914989). Participants were 43 male and female, age 60-85, of good general health, with normal cognition, was defined as greater than or equal to 24 on the MMSE (see Figure 1 for subject participation flowchart). Participant characteristics are shown in Table 2.

We randomly assigned participants to receive daily exposure to essential oil scents (N=20) or to a sham control condition with trace amounts of odorant (N=23). CONSORT guidelines were adhered to in the analysis and reporting of study results. They were assessed for cognitive and olfactory abilities, as well as mental health status, at study entry and after 6 months. All participants received an odor diffuser to use at home for the 6-month duration of the intervention. The enriched group was exposed for 2 h every night over the course of 6 months, using a single odorant each night, and rotating through seven pleasant scents.

Participants provided Informed Consent, and completed a Background Information Questionnaire, a Fragrance Usage Survey, and a Daily Activities Questionnaire. The Background Information Questionnaire included age, education level, daily activities, medication, and emergency contact information. The Fragrance Usage Survey provided information regarding their typical daily odorant usage. The Daily Activities Questionnaire included the activities in which they participated that week. We used the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) to confirm normal cognitive functioning.

TABLE 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	
Age 60–85, male or female	Known odor sensitivities	
Had normal cognition (determined at first assessment; MMSE \geq 24)	Had asthma, allergies, or an odor response, which produces symptoms similar to those of an allergy, including runny nose, watery eyes, sneezing, or skin rash	
Spoke/read/understood English fluently	Had a neurological disease such as Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, brain cyst, tumor, or aneurysm	
Had visual and auditory acuity adequate for neuropsychological and computerized testing	Had major health conditions such as uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension, nutritional deficiency or thyroid disease	
Were in good general health with no disease(s) expected to interfere with the study	Had significant psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, or attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder	
Willing and able to participate for the duration of the study and in all study procedures	Had cognitive impairment when tested at baseline (defined as a score of <24 on baseline MMSE)	
Were able to smell odors	Had had alcohol or substance abuse or dependence within the past 2 years (DSM-IV criteria)	
Willing to refrain from using scented candles, scented oils, or air fresheners while participating in the study	Smoked cigarettes, e-cigarettes, cigars, marijuana, or any other substance that could produce an interfering odor for the study.	
Willing to travel to the research site for testing	MRI contraindications, such as pacemakers, aneurysm clips, artificial heart valves, ear implants, metal fragments or foreign objects in the eyes, skin or body.	

2.2. Assessments and evaluations

We conducted a short neuropsychological test battery at baseline and after 6-month follow-up in all participants. To assess verbal learning and memory we used the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (Rey, 1941), which assesses learning over five trials, followed by immediate and delayed recall tests. This test is also sensitive to both the integrity of the hippocampus and to the early detection of cognitive dysfunction (Saury and Emanuelson, 2017). Participants additionally completed three subsets of the Weschler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third edition (WAIS-III): the Digit Span Test (working memory) forward and backwards, and the Letter-Number Sequence test (planning and attention switching). We used Sniffin' Sticks (Sensonics) to assess olfactory system function (olfactory identification, discrimination, and threshold) at baseline, allowing us to screen for olfactory abnormalities as well as to determine if olfactory enrichment enhanced olfactory performance.

2.3. Study intervention

Individuals assigned to the olfactory enrichment group were provided with an odorant diffuser (Diffuser World) and 7 essential oil odorants (rose, orange, eucalyptus, lemon, peppermint, rosemary, and lavender; from The Essential Oil Company, Portland, OR) in identical glass vials that each fit into the diffuser. They were asked to turn on the diffuser when they went to bed, and the odorant was released into the air during the night for 2 h when they first went to sleep. They rotated through the different odorants each night. Individuals in the control group also were provided with an odorant diffuser, and they followed the same regimen as the olfactory enrichment participants, however they were provided with bottles that contained distilled water with an undetectable, *de minimis* amount of odorant added. Participants were instructed to change the odorant bottle daily before they went to bed, and they continued this regimen at home for 6 months. Odorant bottles for both groups were labeled with the odorant name, and they were weighed prior to distribution, to obtain a baseline weight for the filled bottles, and then weighed again after 6 months to be sure that they were in use during the study. During each participant's first visit, they smelled each of the scents used in the study and rated them on pleasantness and intensity.

We remained in contact with the participants during the first few days of the intervention to ensure adherence. We contacted each participant once a month during the intervention period to check on adherence, troubleshoot any issues, and inquire about any changes in health, or major life events. In addition, participants were asked to complete a daily Sensory Enrichment Log, which involved tracking the odorant exposures as they were completed, the time they went to sleep, and the approximate number of hours they slept that night.

2.4. Imaging methods

2.4.1. Acquisition

All MRI data were collected using a 3.0 Tesla Siemens Prisma scanner with a 32-channel head coil at Facility for Brain Imaging Research at UC Irvine. A high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) rapid-gradient echo (MP-RAGE) structural scan was acquired (0.8 mm^3 isotropic, TR/TE = 2300/2.38 ms, 240 slices, FOV = 256×256 , flip angle= 8° , slice orientation = sagittal, GRAPPA acceleration factor = 3). Diffusion data were acquired in two b-shells: 1500 s/mm^2 and 3000 s/mm^2 , $64 \text{ non-collinear directions and a single volume with a$ *b* $-value of <math>0 \text{ s/mm}^2$. (TR/TE = 3500/102 ms, FoV = 218 mm, slices = 72, voxel size = $1.7 \times 1.7 \times 1.7 \text{ mm}$, Interleaved slices, Slice acceleration factor = 4).

2.4.2. Processing and analysis

DTI data collection and analysis were the same as for Granger et al. (2021). Motion correction and Eddy current correction were applied to raw data using FSL's eddy tool (Andersson and Sotiropoulos, 2016). Corrected data were reconstructed using Q-spin Diffeomorphic Reconstruction (QSDR) function (Yeh and Tseng, 2011) in DSI



TABLE 2 Participant characteristics.

	Control	Enriched
Female	18	15
Male	5	5
Age: (Mean ± S.D.;	69.2 ± 7.1 years	70.1 ± 6.6 years
range) Education:	(62–85 years) 16.0 ± 1.9 years	(60-81 years) 16.1±2.2 years
(Mean±S.D.; range)	(12–20 years)	(12–20 years)

Studio¹, which uses a diffeomorphic algorithm to warp model-free orientation functions (ODFs) to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space template. ODFs were reconstructed with the default diffusion sampling 1.25, which allowed modeling of crossing fibers at the intersection of the corticospinal tract and corpus callosum. Other reconstruction parameters included the following registration method: norm 7-9-7, eightfold ODF tessellation, number of fibers resolved. Output resolution was increased to 1 mm. Subject head motion was assessed by the eddy movement rms file exported from eddy (movement relative to the previous volume) and included as a nuisance regressor. T1-weighted MPRAGE scans were used to obtain intracranial brain volume using Freesurfer 6.0. The Johns Hopkins white matter atlas (in MNI space) in DSI studio contains various masks of regions of interest (ROIs) including limbic white matter regions, the uncinate fasciculus (UF) and the hippocampal cingulum bilaterally. We chose these two white matter pathways as major limbicto-prefrontal tracts that are crucial to learning and memory.

Mean Diffusivity (MD), the average water diffusion rate within brain tissue, was extracted for each ROI. It was calculated as the mean of the three eigenvalues of the diffusion tensor vector (Salat, 2014). Generalized Fractional Anisotropy (GFA) values were extracted for each ROI and averaged across voxels. They were then averaged across both hemispheres. GFA is a model-free diffusion measure and is known to correlate with fractional anisotropy (FA) of the tensor model. GFA has been previously used to assess the structural integrity of complex tissues in a clinical setting, particularly when there are heterogeneous fiber tissues (Koh et al., 2018).

2.5. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the UCI campus was closed in April 2020, and remained closed until the Fall of 2020. In addition, many participants did not feel comfortable entering the campus due to COVID-19 concerns even after the campus was officially open. As a result, participants who would have completed their 6-months of participation after April 2020 were either not able to return or chose not to return to campus for their second assessment. During the campus shutdown, contact was maintained with the participants who were impacted, and they were encouraged to continue their sensory enrichment, however, compliance was variable. When it became clear that the campus was going to remain closed for an extended period, we developed methods to remotely conduct the cognitive assessments using videoconferencing (Zoom app). When the campus re-opened and research participants were allowed back onto campus, participants who had received MRI scans at baseline received their second MRI scan

The data set used for the cognitive assessment analysis was reduced due to a number of possible confounding issues including

¹ https://dsi-studio.labsolver.org/

the different conditions present for the cognitive assessment testing at baseline (in office) and that given remotely (in their home using videoconferencing), the possible sensitivity of that testing to the immediate physical environment during the assessment, as well as the variable timing both between the date of the baseline assessment and the date of final assessment, and the date of their final assessment and the date they discontinued their sensory enrichment. Accordingly, in our data analysis for cognitive assessment, we only included individuals who had completed their 6-months of participation prior to the UCI shutdown (a total of 11 controls and 12 enriched). For the MRI analysis, we included everyone who returned to campus for their follow-up MRI despite the difference in time (range: 6–17 months; a total of 23 controls and 20 enriched).

2.6. Statistical analysis

The key analysis was a 2×2 mixed ANOVA with Time (Pre vs. Post) as the repeated (within subjects) measure and Group (Control vs. Treated) as the across-subjects measure. Given the matching of other variables including age, gender and years of education, we did



Mean difference between pre- and post-measurements for the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT – A5). Statistically significant difference between groups using an ANOVA with repeated measures (p = 0.02).

not include these variables as covariates in the model. A two-sided P less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. We used SAS9.4 for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

Although we used 7 odors in total with 2h of olfactory stimulation each night, we only exposed participants to one odor each night, while others have used a minimum of four odors each day for olfactory enrichment (Pieniak et al., 2022). Despite the minimal variety of olfactory exposure each night, we observed a clear, statistically significant (Timepoint x Group interaction, F = 6.63, p = 0.02, Cohen's d = 1.08, a large-size effect) 226% difference between enriched and control older adults in performance on the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; last learning trial A5; Figure 2). This test evaluates verbal learning and memory, including proactive interference, retroactive interference, delayed recall, retention, and recognition memory. We found that 3 of 11 Controls improved, 1 of 11 stayed the same, 7 of 11 did worse. Among the Enriched group, 6 of 12 improved, 5 of 12 stayed the same, 1 of 12 did worse. Improvements with enrichment continued for retention trials (A6 and A7) following the interference list (B1), although those differences were just shy of statistical significance (F = 3.98, p = 0.06for A6 and F=3.69, p=0.07 for A7). No other differences were observed in the other assessments (Table 3).

We also found a significant change (Timepoint x Group interaction, F=4.39, p=0.043, $\eta^2 p=0.101$, a medium-size effect) in the mean diffusivity (MD) of the left uncinate fasciculus in the enriched group compared to controls (Figure 3). We found no other significant differences in these measures. The degree of change in the brain measure was not significantly correlated with the degree of change in the behavioral measure (p>0.05) but this may be due to the reduced power in this analysis which necessarily only included the smaller subset of individuals who completed neuropsychological assessments during in-person visits.

For the RAVLT, Control females (N=9) decreased their scores by 0.1 points and Control males (N=2) decreased their scores by 3.5 points, while Enriched females (N=8) increased their scores by 0.88 points and Enriched males (N=4) increased their scores by 1 point. Controls between the ages of 60–72 years old (N=10) decreased their scores by 0.7 points, while Enriched participants in that age range (N=7) improved by 1.29 points. Control participants who were between 73 and 85 years old (N=1) decreased by 1.0 point, while Enriched participants in that age range (N=5) improved by 0.4 points.

For the MD differences in the uncinate fasciculus, Control females (N=18) decreased by 0.008, while Control males (N=5) increased by 0.004. Enriched females (N=15) increased by 0.01, while Enriched males (N-5) increased by 0.007. Controls 60–72 years old (N=17) decreased by 0.009, while participants who were 73–85 years old (N=6) increased by 0.007. Enriched participants 60–72 years old (N=13) increased by 0.003, while those between 73–85 (N=7) increased by 0.02.

To examine any changes in sleep duration, we calculated the difference between the average sleep duration for the first 14 days (baseline) and that for the last 14 days (6-months), and compared differences across the two groups. We found a slight increase in the

TABLE 3 Cognitive assessment results.

<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Assessment	Mean difference between pre- and post- measurements (Mean <u>+</u> S.D.)	ANOVA repeated measures (Group × Measurement Time) <i>F</i> -value	ANOVA repeated measures (Group × Measurement Time) <i>P</i> -value			
Fandad0.020.08RAVLTALConrol0.054.19. 0.072.1080.072.108Enchad0.072.1680.072.108RAVLTAC0.06.020.010Canrol0.06.020.02Enchad0.06.020.02Enchad0.06.020.02RAVLTAC0.022.26.100.02Canrol0.022.26.100.02Enchad0.022.26.100.02Enchad0.022.26.100.02Enchad0.022.26.100.02Enchad0.022.26.100.02Enchad0.052.16.100.02Enchad0.058.14.800.02Enchad0.021.100.02Enchad0	MMSE						
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Control	-0.36 ± 2.34	0.02	0.00			
Network Privabed0.0341.91 0.01711.640.007 0.007RAVLT A2Control00.64 2.29 0.000.00Brinkad00.64 2.29 0.000.0.00Brinkad00.64 2.29 0.000.0.00RAVLT A30.0000.000Control0.027 2.261 0.0000.000RAVLT A40.052 1.23 0.0000.000RAVLT A40.052 1.23 0.0000.020Control0.052 1.23 0.0000.020RAVLT A50.020 1.0000Control0.052 1.31 0.00000.0000RAVLT A50.000000.00000Control0.001 2.03 0.00000.00000RAVLT A50.00100000.00000Control0.0012.28 0.00000.00000RAVLT A50.0012.28 0.00000.00000Control0.0012.28 0.00000.00000RAVLT A50.00000000.000000Control0.0012.134 0.000000.000000Control0.000000000.000000Control0.0000000000.0000000Control0.000000000000000.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Enriched	-0.50 ± 2.24	0.02	0.89			
Inched0.070.07RAVLT A2Control00.36±2.290.00Enched00.67±2.350.00RAVLT A3Control0.02±2.610.12Enched0.02±2.620.12Control0.02±2.610.12Enched0.03±1.610.12Control0.03±1.440.12Enched0.03±1.440.12Control0.03±1.410.12Enched0.02±1.610.02±Enched0.02±1.610.02±Enched0.02±1.610.02±Enched0.02±1.610.02±Enched0.02±2.620.02±Enched0.02±2.620.02±Enched0.02±0.	RAVLT A1						
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Control	0.36 ± 1.91	0.07	0.79			
Cutrol-0.63 £2.29 0.00.00.76Enched0.07 £2.350.00.76KNUT A30.02 £2.43 0.00.02 0.00.73Enched0.05 £1.560.02 0.00.73KNUT A40.04 52.28 0.00.02 0.00.02 0.0KOUT A50.04 52.280.02 0.00.02 0.0KOUT A50.09 2.130.02 0.00.02 0.0KOUT A50.09 2.130.00 0.00 0.00.02 0.0KOUT A50.00 2.23 0.00.00 0.00 0.00.00 0.00 0.0KOUT A50.00 2.23 0.00.00 0.00 0.00.00 0.00 0.00 0.0KOUT A50.00 2.23 0.00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	Enriched	0.17 ± 1.64	0.07				
Incided0.00.0RAVLT A3Control0.027±2.61 0.03±1.560.02Enriched0.03±1.560.02RAVLT A4Control0.05±2.130.02Enriched0.05±2.130.02Control0.02±1.310.02Enriched0.09±2.830.02Enriched0.09±2.840.02Control0.09±2.840.02Enriched0.09±2.840.02Enriched0.09±2.840.02Enriched0.09±2.820.02Enriched0.09±2.820.02Enriched0.35±2.160.02Enriched0.35±2.160.02Enriched0.35±2.160.02Enriched0.05±2.180.02Enriched0.05±2.160.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.05±2.160.02Enriched0.05±2.160.02Enriched0.05±2.160.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched0.02.150.02Enriched	RAVLT A2	RAVLT A2					
Ended Index RMUTA3 RAVITA3 Canda 0.274.26 Banda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Banda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.26 Canda 0.274.27	Control	-0.36 ± 2.29	0.10	0.76			
Centrel0.0722.61 0.0581.560.120.03Enched0.0581.560.120.03KAVLT A41.0.0582.141.0.00.02Enched0.0582.141.0.00.02KAUT A51.0.00.020.02Kart A50.025.130.020.02Control0.092.180.000.02Fached0.092.280.000.02Enched0.092.280.000.02Control0.092.280.000.02Enched0.025.2420.000.00Fached0.025.2420.000.00Enched0.052.163.090.00Enched0.055.2163.090.00Fached0.001.130.000.00Fached0.001.130.000.00Control0.001.130.000.00Fached0.001.130.000.00Fached0.001.130.000.00Fached0.017.140.000.00Fached0.017.140.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00Fached0.017.160.000.00 <td>Enriched</td> <td>-0.67 ± 2.35</td> <td>0.10</td>	Enriched	-0.67 ± 2.35	0.10				
Invited Barriel0.120.73RAVLT A4Conrol-0.4552.38	RAVLT A3						
Enriched 0.58±1.56 RAVLT A4 Control -0.45±2.38 1.63 0.22 Enriched 0.58±1.44 1.63 0.22 RAVLT A5 Control -0.73±1.74 6.63 0.02* Control 0.02* Ontrol 0.02* Control 0.09±2.88 0.02* Control 0.09±2.84 0.10 Control 0.09±2.84 Ontrol 0.09±2.84 Control 0.09±2.84 Ontrol 0.09±2.84 Control 0.09±2.84 Control 0.09±2.84 Control 0.09±2.84 Control 0.09±2.84 Control 0.02±2.82 Control 0.05±2.16 Control 0.00±1.79 Control 0.0±0	Control	0.27 ± 2.61		0.52			
Carada-0.45±2.381.630.22Enriched0.58±1.441.630.22RAVLT A5-0.73±1.746.630.02*Enriched0.92±1.316.630.02*RAVLT B1-0.25±2.420.100.07*Carada0.09±2.880.100.76*Enriched0.09±2.820.100.76*Enriched0.09±2.820.100.76*Carada0.09±2.820.100.76*Enriched0.10±2.820.39*0.06*Carada0.12±1.820.06*0.06*Carada0.05±2.163.69*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.07*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.00±1.790.06*0.06*Carada0.01±1.340.06*0.06*Carada0.01±1.440.04*0.06*Carada0.01±1.640.04*0.06*Carada0.01±1.640.04*0.05*Carada0.01±1.640.04*0.05*Carada0.05±2.750.05*0.05*Carada0.05±2.750.05*0.05*Carada0.05±2.750.05*0.05* <t< td=""><td>Enriched</td><td>0.58 ± 1.56</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.73</td></t<>	Enriched	0.58 ± 1.56	0.12	0.73			
Initial of 0.58±1.441.630.22RAVLT ASControl-0.73±1.74	RAVLT A4						
Finded 0.88144 RAVLT AS Control -0.73 ± 1.74 Gandol -0.63 Insched 0.92 ± 1.31 Gandol -0.24 RAVLT BI -0.02 Control 0.09 ± 2.83 Gandol -0.25 ± 2.42 Gandol -0.02 RAVLT AG -0.02 Control -0.02 Gandol -0.02 Control -0.02 <	Control	-0.45 ± 2.38		0.22			
Control -0.73 ± 1.74 0.09 ± 1.31 -0.63 0.02^* RAVLT B1Control 0.09 ± 2.83 -0.05 ± 2.42 -0.10 0.76 Control -0.25 ± 2.42 -0.10 0.76 RAVLT A6Control -0.36 ± 2.06 1.02 ± 1.82 -0.89 -0.66 Control -0.36 ± 2.06 1.02 ± 1.82 -0.66 Control -0.36 ± 2.06 -0.75 ± 1.82 -0.66 Control -0.55 ± 1.82 -0.61 -0.66 Control -0.55 ± 2.16 -0.76 -0.69 -0.76 Control -0.55 ± 2.16 -0.76 -0.66 Control -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.66 -0.76 -0.66 Control -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.76 -0.66 Control -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.61 Control -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.61 -0.63 ± 2.06 Control -0.75 ± 2.16 -0.61 -0.63 ± 2.06 Control -0.75 ± 2.06 -0.61 Control -0.75 ± 2.06 -0.61 Control -0.75 ± 2.06 -0.61 Control -0.55 ± 2.73 -0.61	Enriched	0.58 ± 1.44	1.63				
Initided6.630.02*RAVLT B1Control0.09±2.880.0Control0.09±2.820.0Briched-0.25±2.420.0RAVLT A6Control-0.36±2.063.98Control1.05±1.820.06RAVLT A7Control-0.05±2.163.98Control-0.05±2.160.00Enriched1.33±2.503.69Control1.33±2.500.06KAIS digit span forward0.00±1.790.06Control0.00±1.710.06KAIS digit span backward0.17±1.440.41Control0.17±1.640.41KAIS digit span backward0.17±1.640.41KAIS digit span backward0.05±2.730.26Control0.05±2.730.26	RAVLT A5						
Initided 0.092±1.31 RAVLT B1 Control 0.09±2.88 Briched -0.25±2.42 Briched -0.25±2.42 Control -0.25±2.42 Control -0.25±2.42 Control -0.25±2.42 Control -0.25±2.42 Control -0.25±2.42 Control -0.36±2.06 Briched 1.25±1.82 Control 1.25±1.82 Control -0.25±2.16 Friched -0.75±2.16 Control -0.75±2.16 Control -0.75±2.16 Friched -0.75±2.16 Control -0.05±2.16 Control -0.05±2.16 Control -0.00±1.79 Control -0.00±1.79 Control -0.01±1.44 Control -0.02±2.88 Control -0.7±1.44	Control	-0.73 ± 1.74		0.02*			
Control0.09±2.880.100.76Enriched-0.25±2.420.100.76RAVLT A6-0.36±2.063.980.06Enriched1.25±1.823.980.06RAVLT A7-0.55±2.163.690.07Control-0.55±2.163.690.07Finched1.33±2.500.060.07VAIS digit span forward-0.17±1.340.06Control-0.17±1.340.060.80Finched0.01±1.540.060.80KAIS digit span backward-0.45±2.880.410.53Control-0.45±2.880.410.53Finched0.17±1.640.07±1.640.61KAIS Letter/number-0.45±2.880.410.53Control0.05±2.730.260.61	Enriched	0.92±1.31	6.63				
Enriched0.000.76Enriched-0.25±2.420.000.76RAVLT A6-0.36±2.063.980.06Enriched1.25±1.823.980.06RAVLT A7-0.55±2.163.690.07Control-0.55±2.163.690.07WAIS digit span forward-0.05±1.920.07Control0.00±1.790.060.07Control-0.17±1.340.060.80Finiched-0.17±1.340.060.80Control-0.45±2.880.410.53Finiched0.07±1.640.410.53Control0.01±1.640.610.63KAIS Letter/number	RAVLT B1						
Enriched 0.25 ± 2.42 RAVLT A6 Control 0.36 ± 2.06 Briched -0.36 ± 2.06 Barlace 0.36 ± 2.06 RAVLT A7 0.35 ± 2.16 Control 0.55 ± 2.16 Briched 0.35 ± 2.16 Briched 0.36 Briched 0.36 Briched 0.17 ± 1.34 Briched 0.17 ± 1.34 Briched 0.17 ± 1.34 Briched 0.45 ± 2.88 Gontrol 0.45 ± 2.88 Briched 0.45 ± 2.88 Briched 0.17 ± 1.44 Briched 0.45 ± 2.88 Briched 0.45 ± 2.88 Briched 0.55 ± 2.73	Control	0.09 ± 2.88		0.76			
Control0.36±2.063.980.06Enriched1.25±1.820.07RAVLT A70.55±2.163.690.07Enriched1.33±2.503.690.07WAIS digit span forward0.75±1.340.060.09Control0.00±1.790.060.80Enriched0.01±1.340.060.80WAIS digit span backward0.75±2.730.61Control0.01±1.640.260.63WAIS digit span backward0.45±2.880.410.53Enriched0.17±1.640.260.55WAIS letter/number0.55±2.730.260.61	Enriched	-0.25 ± 2.42	0.10				
Enriched 3.98 0.06 Enriched 1.25±1.82 0.07 RAVLT A7 -0.55±2.16 3.69 0.07 Enriched 1.33±2.50 3.69 0.07 WAIS digit span forward -0.05±2.16 0.00±1.79 0.06 Enriched 0.00±1.79 0.06 0.80 Enriched -0.17±1.34 0.06 0.80 WAIS digit span backward -0.05±2.88 0.041 0.53 Enriched 0.17±1.64 0.41 0.53 WAIS letter/number 0.55±2.73 0.26 0.61	RAVLT A6						
Inriched 1.25±1.82 RAVLT A7 Control -0.55±2.16 Briched 1.33±2.50 MAIS digit span forward -0.07 Control 0.00±1.79 Control -0.17±1.34 Pariched -0.17±1.34 Control -0.45±2.88 Gontrol -0.17±1.64 MAIS digit span backward -0.45±2.88 Furiched 0.17±1.64 MAIS letter/number -0.35±2.73	Control	-0.36 ± 2.06		0.06			
Control -0.55 ± 2.16 3.69 0.07 Enriched 1.33 ± 2.50 0.07 WAIS digit span forward 0.00 ± 1.79 0.06 Control 0.00 ± 1.79 0.06 Briched -0.17 ± 1.34 0.06 WAIS digit span backward 0.17 ± 1.34 0.61 Control -0.45 ± 2.88 0.41 0.53 Enriched 0.17 ± 1.64 0.53 WAIS letter/number 0.55 ± 2.73 0.26 0.61	Enriched	1.25 ± 1.82	3.98				
Aniched 3.69 0.07 Enriched 1.33 ± 2.50 0.07 WAIS digit span forward 0.00 ± 1.79 0.06 Enriched -0.17 ± 1.34 0.06 WAIS digit span backward 0.07 ± 1.64 0.41 Control -0.45 ± 2.88 0.41 0.53 Enriched 0.17 ± 1.64 0.53 WAIS letter/number 0.55 ± 2.73 0.26 0.61	RAVLT A7	RAVLT A7					
Enriched1.33±2.50Indext of the second	Control	-0.55 ± 2.16	A 17	0.07			
Control 0.00 ± 1.79 0.06 0.80 Enriched -0.17 ± 1.34 0.06 0.80 WAIS digit span backward Control -0.45 ± 2.88 0.41 0.53 Enriched 0.17 ± 1.64 0.41 0.53 0.53 WAIS letter/number Control 0.26 0.61	Enriched	1.33 ± 2.50	3.69				
Enriched 0.06 0.80 WAIS digit span backward 0.05 ± 2.88 0.41 0.53 Control 0.17 ± 1.64 0.41 0.53 WAIS letter/number 0.55 ± 2.73 0.26 0.61	WAIS digit span forward	WAIS digit span forward					
Enriched0.7±1.34Image: Control series of the serie	Control	0.00 ± 1.79		0.80			
Control -0.45±2.88 0.41 0.53 Enriched 0.17±1.64 0.53 0.53 WAIS letter/number Control 0.26 0.61	Enriched	-0.17 ± 1.34	0.06				
Enriched 0.17±1.64 0.41 0.53 WAIS letter/number Control 0.55±2.73 0.26 0.61	WAIS digit span backward						
Enriched 0.17±1.64 WAIS letter/number Control 0.55±2.73 0.26 0.61	Control	-0.45 ± 2.88		0.53			
Control 0.55±2.73 0.26 0.61	Enriched	0.17 ± 1.64	0.41				
0.26 0.61	WAIS letter/number						
	Control	0.55 ± 2.73	0.26	0.61			
	Enriched	1.08±2.31					

average amount of sleep recorded by subjects in the Enriched group (22 min) compared to that in the Control group (-3 min), however the difference did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.05). We found no statistically significant differences between groups on their olfactory ability, including threshold, discrimination, and recognition.

4. Discussion

The goal of the study was to determine whether older adults retain or improve their cognitive ability over a six-month period after daily olfactory enrichment at night. We found that, compared to controls, enriched participants improved in their performance on word list



recall, a key test of verbal learning and memory. Cha et al. (2022), also found significant improvements in memory using a word list recall test similar to the RAVLT after olfactory enrichment in older adults with dementia. Birte-Antina et al. (2018) gave olfactory training to older adults and found significant improvements in the semanticcategorical verbal fluency portion of the Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT) and the short-term memory section of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment test (MoCA). Oleszkiewicz et al. (2021) found that the control group had cognitive decline while the olfactory training groups did not. Oleszkiewicz et al. (2022) found that verbal fluency significantly improved for an odor-exposure group.

Additionally, we found that the mean diffusivity in the uncinate fasciculus increased in response to olfactory enrichment. The uncinate fasciculus is a major pathway that connects the basolateral amygdala and the entorhinal cortex to the prefrontal cortex (Ebeling and Von Cramon, 1992; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2012; Von der Heide et al., 2013). This brain pathway deteriorates in aging and furthermore in Alzheimer's disease (Morikawa et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2019) and has been suggested to play a role in mediating episodic memory, language, socio-emotional processing and selecting among competing memories during retrieval (Alm et al., 2016).

Changes in mean diffusivity of white matter pathways have been previously observed with age. For example, white matter diffusivity decreases as adults age (Sexton et al., 2014). In general, mean diffusivity increases have been reported with enrichment interventions. For example, mean diffusivity of white matter in older adults increased when dancing was used as a form of environmental enrichment (Rektorova et al., 2020). Sensory enrichment provided by listening to music increased quantitative anisotropy longitudinally in the left uncinate fasciculus compared to the group that listened to audiobooks (Sihvonen et al., 2022). Widespread increases in mean diffusivity in the white matter tracts in the frontal lobes bilaterally, internal and external capsules, and partial right parietal lobe also occurred after a wellness/ cognitive enhancement program in older adults (Stephen et al., 2020). Environmental enrichment in mice resulted in increased mean diffusivity in the visual cortex (Manno et al., 2022). Despite these results, at least one report has shown that mean diffusivity in the uncinate decreases with exercise (Predovan et al., 2021), however, it is important to note that the approach used in this study used probabilistic tractography of the uncinate and not an anatomical approach that consider the unique anatomy of this pathway [the approach we used in this study, and which is based on our prior work (Granger et al., 2021)]. This could explain the differences in the findings reported.

Olfactory stimulation does not go through the thalamus (Courtiol and Wilson, 2015; Gaeta and Wilson, 2022), a brain area that connects to the sleep control areas of the brain (Velluti, 2003; Carskadon and Herz, 2004), thereby preventing the odors from provoking a conscious perception during sleep. At the same time, olfactory stimulation during sleep deepens slow-wave sleep (Wolfe and Herzberg, 1996; Goel et al., 2005), which is the most restful portion of the sleep cycle, and people report feeling more vigorous the next day after nighttime olfactory exposure (Goel et al., 2005). Odorants enhance normal sleep, and they also improve abnormal sleep at a magnitude similar to that of sleep medication (Hardy and Kirk-Smith, 1995).

We have shown that even minimal olfactory enrichment, delivered at night, is sufficient to induce an improvement in cognition and neural function. This type of sensory enrichment may be particularly useful, as it is low cost, as well as low effort. This type of enrichment also appears even to be capable of successfully improving the cognitive ability of individuals living with dementia (Cha et al., 2022).

Limitations of the study include its small sample size and the single odorant that could be diffused each night due to the design of the diffusion device.

How might olfactory loss and olfactory enrichment impact cognition? It is striking how many human neurological disorders are accompanied by olfactory loss. Indeed, we have counted about 70 neurological and psychiatric disorders that are accompanied by olfactory loss (Doty and Hawkes, 2019; Leon and Woo, 2022). The wide range of etiologies and symptoms that encompass these cognitive, emotional, and motor problems may exist due to a common dysfunction, but they could reveal a fundamental role that olfactory impairment has in these disorders. Lifetime olfactory stimulation may have a salutary effect on the brain that may be similar to the concept of a cognitive reserve, wherein people who have had high levels of cognitive stimulation in life are protected from the neuropathology of Alzheimer's dementia (Pettigrew and Soldan, 2019; Zijlmans et al., 2022). Conversely, the illiterate are 2-3 times as likely to have Alzheimer's (Arce Rentería et al., 2019). Therefore, different levels of intellectual stimulation seem to increase or decrease the likelihood of developing dementia. In fact, there is a positive association between olfactory function and a cognitive reserve index (Masala et al., 2022).

It is possible that high levels of olfactory stimulation are protective for the brain and that the symptoms of these neurological disorders only become evident when olfactory stimulation is low. Perhaps this overarching influence of olfactory stimulation on neurological function underlies the remarkable finding in multiple large prospective studies that olfactory ability predicts all-cause mortality in adults (Gopinath et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2021; Pang et al., 2022). It therefore may be appropriate to begin envisioning olfactory enrichment as a low-cost public health program to reduce neurological risk in older adults.

We have shown that minimal olfactory enrichment at night using an odorant diffuser results in significant improvements in both verbal memory and the integrity of a specific brain pathway. Our findings should stimulate larger scale clinical trials systematically testing the therapeutic efficacy of olfactory enrichment in treating memory loss in older adults.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because due to reasonable privacy and security concerns, the underlying data are not easily redistributable to researchers other than those engaged in the current project's Institutional Review Boardapproved research. The corresponding author may be contacted for an IRB-approved collaboration. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to CW, cwoo@uci.edu.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by UC Irvine Institutional Review Board. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

CW, MS, ML, and MY: study design, data interpretation, and manuscript writing. ML wrote first draft of manuscript. CW, BM, and

References

Al Aïn, S., Poupon, D., Hétu, S., Mercier, N., Steffener, J., and Frasnelli, J. (2019). Smell training improves olfactory function and alters brain structure. *Neuroimage* 189, 45–54. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.008

Alm, K. H., Rolheiser, T., and Olson, I. R. (2016). Inter-individual variation in frontotemporal connectivity predicts the ability to learn different types of associations. *Neuroimage* 132, 213–224. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.038

Altundag, A. C., Cayonu, M., Kayabasoglu, G., Salihoglu, M., Tekeli, H., Saglam, O., et al. (2015). Modified olfactory training in patients with postinfectious olfactory loss. *Laryngoscope* 125, 1763–1766. doi: 10.1002/lary.25245

Andersson, J. L. R., and Sotiropoulos, S. N. (2016). An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. *Neuroimage* 125, 1063–1078. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.019

Arce Rentería, M., Vonk, J. M. J., Felix, G., Avila, J. F., Zahodne, L. B., Dalchand, E., et al. (2019). Illiteracy, dementia risk, and cognitive trajectories among older adults with low education. *Neurology* 93, e2247–e2256. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000008587

Birte-Antina, W., Ilona, C., Antje, H., and Thomas, H. (2018). Olfactory training with older people. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 33, 212–220. doi: 10.1002/gps.4725

Bitter, T., Bruderle, J., Gudziol, H., Burmeister, H. P., Gaser, C., and Guntinas-Lichius, O. (2010a). Gray and white matter reduction in hyposmic subjects - a voxel-based morphometry study. *Brain Res.* 1347, 42–47. doi: 10.1016/j. brainres.2010.06.003

Bitter, T., Gudziol, H., Burmeister, H. P., Mentzel, H.-J., Guntinas-Lichius, O., and Gaser, C. (2010b). Anosmia leads to a loss of gray matter in cortical brain areas. *Chem. Senses* 35, 407–415. doi: 10.1093/chemse/bjq028

MS: subject enrollment and follow-up, execution of study, and data analyses. FD-V: statistical analyses. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Procter and Gamble.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Zerlina Dubois, Marisa Casola, Miranda Farage, and Matthew Wagner from Procter and Gamble for their support and helpful discussions, Christy Hom for assistance with the neuropsychological testing, and Shana Kong for her help with subject enrollment and follow-up.

Conflict of interest

ML and MY have received travel expenses and compensation following presentations at P&G.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Carskadon, M. A., and Herz, R. S. (2004). Minimal olfactory perception during sleep: why odor alarms will not work for humans. *Sleep* 27, 402-405. doi: 10.1093/ sleep/27.3.402

Cha, H., Kim, S., Kim, H., Kim, G., and Kwon, K. Y. (2022). Effect of intensive olfactory training for cognitive function in patients with dementia. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* 22, 5–11. doi: 10.1111/ggi.14287

Chan, D., Suk, H. J., Jackson, B. L., Milman, N. P., Stark, D., Klerman, E. B., et al. (2022). Gamma frequency sensory stimulation in mild probable Alzheimer's dementia patients: results of feasibility and pilot studies. *PLoS One* 17:e0278412. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0278412

Chen, B., Espin, M., Haussmann, R., Matthes, C., Donix, M., Hummel, T., et al. (2022). The effect of olfactory training on olfaction, cognition, and brain function in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 85, 745–754. doi: 10.3233/JAD-215257

Chen, B., Wang, Q., Zhong, X., Mai, N., Zhang, M., Zhou, H., et al. (2021). Structural and functional abnormalities of olfactory-related regions in subjective cognitive decline, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 25, 361–374. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyab091

Choi, J. S., Hur, K., Chow, M., Shen, J., and Wrobel, B. (2018). Olfactory dysfunction and cognition among older adults in the United States. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 8, 648–654. doi: 10.1002/alr.22078

Choi, J. S., Jang, S. S., Kim, J., Hur, K., Ference, E., and Wrobel, B. (2021). Association between olfactory dysfunction and mortality in US adults. *JAMA Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 147, 49–55. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2020.3502

Conti, M. Z., Vicini-Chilovi, B., Riva, M., Zanetti, M., Liberini, P., Padovani, A., et al. (2013). Odor identification deficit predicts clinical conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia due to Alzheimer\u0027s disease. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 28, 391–399. doi: 10.1093/arclin/act032

Courtiol, E., and Wilson, D. A. (2015). The olfactory thalamus: unanswered questions about the role of the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus in olfaction. *Front. Neural Circ.* 9:49. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2015.00049

Damm, M., Pikart, L. K., Reimann, H., Burkert, S., Göktas, Ö., Haxel, B., et al. (2014). Olfactory training is helpful in postinfectious olfactory loss: a randomized, controlled, multicenter study. *Laryngoscope* 124, 826–831. doi: 10.1002/lary.24340

de Siqueira Mendes, F. C. C., Paixão, L. T. V. B., Diniz, D. G., Anthony, D. C., Brites, D., Diniz, C. W. P., et al. (2021). Sedentary life and reduced mastication impair spatial learning and memory and differentially affect dentate gyrus astrocyte subtypes in the aged mice. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:632216. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.632216

Doty, R. L., and Hawkes, C. H. (2019). Chemosensory dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 164, 325–360. doi: 10.1016/ B978-0-444-63855-7.00020-4

Doty, R. L., Shaman, P., Applebaum, S. L., Giberson, R., Siksorski, L., and Rosenberg, L. (1984). Smell identification ability: changes with age. *Science* 226, 1441–1443. doi: 10.1126/science.6505700

Douaud, G., Lee, S., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Arthofer, C., Wang, C., McCarthy, P., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK biobank. *Nature* 604, 697–707. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04569-5

Ebeling, U., and von Cramon, D. (1992). Topography of the uncinate fascicle and adjacent temporal fiber tracts. *Acta Neurochir.* 115, 143–148. doi: 10.1007/BF01406373

Fan, Y. T., Fang, Y. W., Chen, Y. P., Leshikar, E. D., Lin, C. P., Tzeng, O. J. L., et al. (2019). Aging, cognition, and the brain: effects of age-related variation in white matter integrity on neuropsychological function. *Aging Ment. Health* 23, 831–839. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2018.1455804

Filiz, G., Poupon, D., Banks, S., Fernandez, P., and Frasnelli, J. (2022). Olfactory bulb volume and cortical thickness evolve during sommelier training. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 43, 2621–2633. doi: 10.1002/hbm.25809

Franks, K. H., Chuah, M. I., King, A. E., and Vickers, J. C. (2015). Connectivity of pathology: the olfactory system as a model for network-driven mechanisms of Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 7:234. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2015.00234

Gaeta, G., and Wilson, D. A. (2022). Reciprocal relationships between sleep and smell. *Front. Neural Circ.* 16:1076354. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2022.1076354

Geißler, K., Reimann, H., Gudziol, H., Bitter, T., and Guntinas-Lichius, O. (2014). Olfactory training for patients with olfactory loss after upper respiratory tract infections. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 271, 1557–1562. doi: 10.1007/s00405-013-2747-y

Gellrich, J., Han, P., Manesse, C., Betz, A., Junghanns, A., Raue, C., et al. (2017). Brain volume changes in hyposmic patients before and after olfactory training. *Laryngoscope* 128, 1531–1536. doi: 10.1002/lary.27045

Goel, N., Kim, H., and Lao, R. P. (2005). An olfactory stimulus modifies nighttime sleep in young men and women. *Chronobiol. Int.* 22, 889–904. doi: 10.1080/07420520500263276

Gopinath, B., Sue, C. M., Kifley, A., and Mitchell, P. (2012). The association between olfactory impairment and total mortality in older adults. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A.* 67A, 204–209. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glr165

Graham, E. L., Clark, J. R., Orban, Z. S., Lim, P. H., Szymanski, A. L., Taylor, C., et al. (2021). Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers". *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.* 8, 1073–1085. doi: 10.1002/acn3.51350

Granger, S. J., Glynn, L. M., Sandman, C. A., Small, S. L., Obenaus, A., Keator, D. B., et al. (2021). Aberrant maturation of the uncinate fasciculus follows exposure to unpredictable patterns of maternal signals. *J. Neurosci.* 41, 1242–1250. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0374-20.2020

Haberly, L. B., and Price, J. L. (1977). The axonal projection patterns of the mitral and tufted cells of the olfactory bulb in the rat. *Brain Res.* 129, 152–157. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(77)90978-7

Haehner, A., Chen, B., Espin, M., Haussmann, R., Matthes, C., Desser, D., et al. (2022). Training with odors impacts hippocampal thickness in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 88, 743–755. doi: 10.3233/JAD-220248

Haehner, A., Tosch, C., Wolz, M., Klingelhoefer, L., Fauser, M., Storch, A., et al. (2013). Olfactory training in patients with Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One* 8:e61680. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0061680

Hannan, A. J. (2014). Environmental enrichment and brain repair: harnessing the therapeutic effects of cognitive stimulation and physical activity to enhance experiencedependent plasticity. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 40, 13–25. doi: 10.1111/nan.12102

Han, P., Musch, M., Abolmaali, N., and Hummel, T. (2021). Improved odor identification ability and increased regional gray matter volume after olfactory training in patients with idiopathic olfactory loss. *Iperception* 12:20416695211005811. doi: 10.1177/20416695211005811

Han, P., Whitcroft, K. L., Fischer, J., Gerber, J., Cuevas, M., Andrews, P., et al. (2017). Olfactory brain gray matter volume reduction in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 7, 551–556. doi: 10.1002/alr.21922 Hardy, M. D., and Kirk-Smith, D. D. (1995). Replacement of drug treatment for insomnia by ambient odour. *Lancet* 346:701. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(95)92310-1

Huang, T., Wei, Y., and Wu, D. (2021). Effects of olfactory training on posttraumatic olfactory dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 11, 1102–1112. doi: 10.1002/alr.22758

Iaccarino, H. F., Singer, A. C., Martorell, A. J., Rudenko, A., Gao, F., Gillingham, T. Z., et al. (2016). Gamma frequency entrainment attenuates amyloid load and modifies microglia. *Nature* 540, 230–235. doi: 10.1038/nature20587

Jung, H., Lee, Y., Lee, S. H., and Sohn, J. H. (2023). Auditory or audiovisual stimulation ameliorates cognitive impairment and neuropathology in ApoE4 knock-in mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24:938. doi: 10.3390/ijms24020938

Kempermann, G. (2019). Environmental enrichment, new neurons and the neurobiology of individuality. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 20, 235–245. doi: 10.1038/ s41583-019-0120-x

Koh, C., Tang, P., Chen, H., Hsu, Y., Hsieh, C., and Tseng, W. I. (2018). Impaired callosal motor fiber integrity and upper extremity motor impairment are associated with stroke lesion location. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair* 32, 602–612. doi: 10.1177/1545968318779730

Kollndorfer, K., Kowalczyk, K., Hoche, E., Mueller, C. A., Pollak, M., Trattnig, S., et al. (2014). Recovery of olfactory function induces neuroplasticity effects in patients with smell loss. *Neural Plast.* 2014:140419. doi: 10.1155/2014/140419

Konstantinidis, I., Tsakiropoulou, E., Bekiaridou, P., Kazantzidou, C., and Constantinidis, J. (2013). Use of olfactory training in post-traumatic and postinfectious olfactory dysfunction. *Laryngoscope* 123, E85–E90. doi: 10.1002/lary.24390

Konstantinidis, I., Tsakiropoulou, E., and Constantinidis, J. (2016). Long term effects of olfactory training in patients with postinfectious olfactory loss. *Laryngoscope* 54, 170–175. doi: 10.4193/Rhino15.264

Kopala, L., and Clark, C. (1990). Implications of olfactory agnosia for understanding sex differences in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 16, 255–261. doi: 10.1093/ schbul/16.2.255

Leon, M., and Woo, C. (2018). Environmental enrichment and successful aging. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 12:155. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00155

Leon, M., and Woo, C. C. (2022). Olfactory loss is a predisposing factor for depression, while olfactory enrichment is an effective treatment for depression. *Front. Neurosci.* 16:1013363. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.1013363

Liu, B., Luo, Z., Pinto, J. M., Shiroma, E. J., Tranah, G. J., Wirdefeldt, K., et al. (2019). Relationship between poor olfaction and mortality among community-dwelling older adults: a cohort study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 170, 673–681. doi: 10.7326/M18-0775

Luzzi, S., Snowden, J. S., Neary, D., Coccia, M., Provinciali, L., and Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2007). Distinct patterns of olfactory impairment in Alzheimer's disease, semantic dementia, frontotemporal dementia, and corticobasal degeneration. *Neuropsychologia* 45, 1823–1831. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.12.008

Mahmut, M. K., Ueker, F. C., Gotkas, O., Georgsdorf, W., Oleszkiewicz, A., and Hummel, T. (2020). Changes in olfactory function after immersive exposure to odorants. *J. Sens. Stud.* 35:e12559. doi: 10.1111/joss.12559

Manno, F. A. M., Kumar, R., An, Z., Khan, M. S., Su, J., Liu, J., et al. (2022). Structural and functional hippocampal correlations in environmental enrichment during the adolescent to adulthood transition in mice. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 15:807297. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2021.807297

Martorell, A. J., Paulson, A. L., Suk, H. J., Abdurrob, F., Drummond, G. T., and Guan, W. (2019). Multi-sensory gamma stimulation ameliorates Alzheimer's-associated pathology and improves cognition. *Cells* 177, 256–271.e22. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2019.02.014

Masala, C., Cavazzana, A., Sanna, F., Cecchini, M. P., Zanini, A., Gasperi, F., et al. (2022). Correlation between olfactory function, age, sex, and cognitive reserve index in the Italian population. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 279, 4943–4952. doi: 10.1007/s00405-022-07311-z

Meng, X., Deng, Y., Dai, Z., and Meng, Z. (2020). COVID-19 and anosmia: a review based on up-to-date knowledge. *Am. J. Otolaryngol.* 41:102581. doi: 10.1016/j. amjoto.2020.102581

Meusel, T., Westermann, B., Fuhr, P., Hummel, T., and Welge-Lüssen, A. (2010). The course of olfactory deficits in patients with Parkinson's disease – a study based on psychophysical and electrophysiological measures. *Neurosci. Lett.* 486, 166–170. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2010.09.044

Morikawa, M., Kiuchi, K., Taoka, T., Nagauchi, K., Kichikawa, K., and Kishimoto, T. (2010). Uncinate fasciculus-correlated cognition in Alzheimer's disease: a diffusion tensor imaging study by tractography. *Psychogeriatrics* 10, 15–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8301.2010.00312.x

Nguyen, A. D., Shenton, M. E., and Levitt, J. J. (2010). Olfactory dysfunction in schizophrenia: a review of neuroanatomy and psychophysiological measurements. *Harv. Rev. Psychiatry* 18, 279–292. doi: 10.3109/10673229.2010.511060

Nithianantharajah, J., and Hannan, A. J. (2009). The neurobiology of brain and cognitive reserve: mental and physical activity as modulators of brain disorders. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 89, 369–382. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2009.10.001

Oleszkiewicz, A., Abriat, A., Doelz, G., Azema, E., and Hummel, T. (2021). Beyond olfaction: beneficial effects of olfactory training extend to aging-related cognitive decline. *Behav. Neurosci.* 135, 732–740. doi: 10.1037/bne0000478

Oleszkiewicz, A., Bottesi, L., Pieniak, M., Fujita, S., Krasteva, N., Nelles, G., et al. (2022). Olfactory training with Aromastics: olfactory and cognitive effects. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 279, 225–232. doi: 10.1007/s00405-021-06810-9

Pang, N. Y., Song, H. J. J. M. D., Tan, B. K. J., Tan, J. X., Chen, A. S. R., See, A., et al. (2022). Association of olfactory impairment with all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 148, 436–445. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2022.0263

Patel, T. R. (2012). Environmental enrichment: aging and memory. Yale J. Biol. Med. 85, 491–500.

Pettigrew, C., and Soldan, A. (2019). Defining cognitive reserve and implications for cognitive aging. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* 19:1. doi: 10.1007/s11910-019-0917-z

Pieniak, M., Oleszkiewicz, A., Avaro, V., Calegari, F., and Hummel, T. (2022). Olfactory training - thirteen years of research reviewed. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 141:104853. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104853

Ponsen, M. M., Stoffers, D., Booij, J., van Eck-Smit, B. L., Wolters, E. C., and Berendse, H. W. (2004). Idiopathic hyposmia as a preclinical sign of Parkinson's disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 56, 173–181. doi: 10.1002/ana.20160

Predovan, D., Gazes, Y., Lee, S., Li, P., Sloan, R. P., and Stern, Y. (2021). Effect of aerobic exercise on white matter tract microstructure in young and middle-aged healthy adults. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 15:681634. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2021.681634

Rektorova, I., Klobusiakova, P., Balazova, Z., Kropacova, S., Sejnoha Minsterova, A., Grmela, R., et al. (2020). Brain structure changes in nondemented seniors after sixmonth dance-exercise intervention. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* 141, 90–97. doi: 10.1111/ ane.13181

Rey, A. (1941). L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encCphalopathie traumatique. Arch de Psychologie 28, 286–340.

Ross, G. W., Abbott, R. D., Petrovitch, H., Tanner, C. M., Davis, D. G., Nelson, J., et al. (2006). Association of olfactory dysfunction with incidental Lewy bodies. *Mov. Disord.* 21, 2062–2067. doi: 10.1002/mds.21076

Rupp, C. I., Kurz, M., Kemmler, G., Mair, D., Hausmann, A., Hinterhuber, H., et al. (2003). Reduced olfactory sensitivity, discrimination, and identification in patients with alcohol dependence. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 27, 432–9. doi: 10.1097/01. ALC.0000057945.57330.2C

Rusznák, Z., Sengul, G., Paxinos, G., Kim, W. S., and Fu, Y. (2018). Odor enrichment increases hippocampal neuron numbers in mouse. *Exp Neurobiol.* 27, 94–102. doi: 10.5607/en.2018.27.2.94

Salat, D. H. (2014). "Diffusion tensor imaging in the study of aging and age-associated neural disease" in *Diffusion MRI: from quantitative measurement to in vivo neuroanatomy*. eds. H. Johansen-Berg and T. E. J. Behrens. 2nd ed (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 257–281.

Saury, J. M., and Emanuelson, I. (2017). Neuropsychological assessment of hippocampal integrity. *Appl. Neuropsychol. Adult* 24, 140–151. doi: 10.1080/23279095.2015.1113536

Schaie, W. K., Willis, S. L., and Caskie, G. I. L. (2004). The Seattle longitudinal study: relationship between personality and cognition aging. *Neuropsychol. Cog.* 11, 304–324. doi: 10.1080/13825580490511134

Segura, B., Baggio, H. C., Solanaa, E., Palacios, E. M., Vendrell, P., Bargalló, N., et al. (2013). Neuroanatomical correlates of olfactory loss in normal aged subjects. *Behav. Brain Res.* 246, 148–153. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.02.025

Sexton, C. E., Walhovd, K. B., Storsve, A. B., Tamnes, C. K., Westlye, L. T., Johansen-Berg, H., et al. (2014). Accelerated changes in white matter microstructure during aging: a longitudinal diffusion tensor imaging study. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 15425–15436. doi: 10.1093/braincomms/fcac051

Sihvonen, A. J., Soinila, S., and Särkämö, T. (2022). Post-stroke enriched auditory environment induces structural connectome plasticity: secondary analysis from a randomized controlled trial. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 16, 1813–1822. doi: 10.1007/s11682-022-00661-6

Stephen, R., Solomon, A., Ngandu, T., Levälahti, E., Rinne, J. O., Kemppainen, N., et al. (2020). White matter changes on diffusion tensor imaging in the FINGER randomized controlled trial. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 78, 75–86. doi: 10.3233/JAD-200423

Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessments. (2008). Dementia -- caring, ethics, ethnical and economical aspects: a systematic review. Stockholm: Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessment (SBU). SBU Assessment No. 172.

Tabaton, M., Monaco, S., Cordone, M. P., Colucci, M., Giaccone, G., Tagliavini, F., et al. (2004). Prion deposition in olfactory biopsy of sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 55, 294–296. doi: 10.1002/ana.20038

Thiebaut de Schotten, M., Dell'Acqua, F., Valabregue, R., and Catani, M. (2012). Monkey to human comparative anatomy of the frontal lobe association tracts. *Cortex* 48, 82–96. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2011.10.001

Valero, J., España, J., Parra-Damas, A., Martín, E., Rodríguez-Álvarez, J., and Saura, C. A. (2011). Short-term environmental enrichment rescues adult neurogenesis and memory deficits in APP (sw,ind) transgenic mice. *PLoS One* 6:e16832. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0016832

Velluti, R. A. (2003). Interactions between sleep and sensory physiology. J. Sleep Res. 6, 61–77. doi: 10.1046/i.1365-2869.1997.00031.x

Veyrac, A., Sacquet, J., Nguyen, V., Marien, M., Jourdan, F., and Didier, A. (2009). Novelty determines the effects of olfactory enrichment on memory and neurogenesis through noradrenergic mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 786–795. doi: 10.1038/npp.2008.191

Von Der Heide, R. J., Skipper, L. M., Klobusicky, E., and Olson, I. R. (2013). Dissecting the uncinate fasciculus: disorders, controversies and a hypothesis. *Brain* 136, 1692–1707. doi: 10.1093/brain/awt094

Wolfe, N., and Herzberg, J. (1996). Can aromatherapy oils promote sleep in severely demented patients? *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 11, 926–927. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166 (199610)11:10<926::AID-GPS473>3.0.CO;2-1

Yao, L., Pinto, J. M., Yi, X., Li, L., Peng, P., and Wei, Y. (2014). Gray matter volume reduction of olfactory cortices in patients with idiopathic olfactory loss. *Chem. Senses* 39, 755–760. doi: 10.1093/chemse/bju047

Yeh, F. C., and Tseng, W. Y. (2011). NTU-90: a high angular resolution brain atlas constructed by q-space diffeomorphic reconstruction. *Neuroimage* 58, 91–99. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.06.021

Zambom-Ferraresi, F., Fernández-Irigoyen, J., Lachén-Montes, M., Cartas-Cejudo, P., Lasarte, J. J., Casares, N., et al. (2021). Olfactory characterization and training in older adults: protocol study. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13:757081. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.757081

Zijlmans, J. L., Lamballais, S., Vernooij, M. W., Ikram, M. A., and Luik, A. I. (2022). Sociodemographic, lifestyle, physical, and psychosocial determinants of cognitive reserve. J. Alzheimers Dis. 85, 701–713. doi: 10.3233/JAD-215122